

The influence of random removal of sources and items on the h-index

Peer-reviewed author version

EGGHE, Leo (2011) The influence of random removal of sources and items on the h-index. In: SCIENTOMETRICS, 88(2). p. 363-370.

DOI: 10.1007/s11192-011-0411-0

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/12064>

# The influence of random removal of sources and items on the h-index

by

L. Egghe

Universiteit Hasselt (UHasselt), Campus Diepenbeek, Agoralaan, B-3590 Diepenbeek,  
Belgium<sup>1</sup>

and

Universiteit Antwerpen (UA), IBW, Stadscampus, Venusstraat 35, B-2000 Antwerpen,  
Belgium

leo.egghe@uhasselt.be

---

## **ABSTRACT**

If we have two information production process with the same h-index we show that random removal of items causes one system to have a higher h-index than the other system while random removal of sources causes the opposite effect. In conclusion, we warn for the use of the h-index in case of incomplete data sets.

---

<sup>1</sup> Permanent address

Key words and phrases: random removal, Hirsch-index, h-index, sources, items

# **Introduction**

The Hirsch-index (or h-index) Hirsch (2005)) is now a well-established indicator of impact of an object (journal, author, topic, institute,...) (Braun, Glänzel and Schubert (2005, 2006), Banks (2006), van Raan (2006)). Yet several disadvantages of the h-index have been revealed in the literature – see Egghe (2010a) for an extensive review on h-type indices up to (and including) 2008.

A problem of any indicator is the incompleteness of the data set. In Egghe (2010b) a heuristic study was done on how the h-index is influenced by the deletion of items and/or sources

## **II.**

### **Lemma II.1:**

## **III.**

### **III.1**

## **References**

P. Ahlgren, D. Jarneving and R. Rousseau (2003). Requirements for a cocitation similarity measure, with special reference to Pearson's correlation coefficient. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 54(6), 550-560.