

Cardiac atrial appendage stem cells promote angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo

Peer-reviewed author version

FANTON, Yanick; Houbrechts, Cynthia; WILLEMS, Leen; Daniëls, Annick; LINSEN, Loes; RATAJCZAK, Jessica; BRONCKAERS, Annelies; LAMBRICHTS, Ivo; DECLERCQ, Jeroen; RUMMENS, Jean-Luc; HENDRIKX, Marc & HENSEN, Karen (2016) Cardiac atrial appendage stem cells promote angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo. In: JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR AND CELLULAR CARDIOLOGY, 97, p. 235-244.

DOI: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2016.06.005

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/22595>

1 **Cardiac Atrial Appendage Stem Cells Promote Angiogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo***

2

3 Yanick Fanton^{a,b}, MSc; Cynthia Houbrechts^b, MSc; Leen Willems^{a,b}, MSc; Annick Daniëls^a, MSc;
4 Loes Linsen^c, PhD; Jessica Ratajczak^d, MSc; Annelies Bronckaers^d, PhD; Ivo Lambrechts^d, PhD;
5 Jeroen Declercq^{a,b}, PhD; Jean-Luc Rummens^{a,b}, MD; Marc Hendrikx^{b,e}, MD, PhD, FETCS; Karen
6 Hensen^{a,b}, PhD

7 ^aLaboratory of Experimental Hematology, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium; ^bFaculty of Medicine and
8 Life Sciences, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; ^cAC Biobanking, University Hospital Leuven,
9 Leuven, Belgium; ^dBiomedical Research Institute, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; ^eDepartment
10 of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium

11

12 Yanick Fanton

13 Experimental Hematology

14 Jessa Hospital Campus Virga Jesse

15 Stadsomvaart 11

16 B-3500 Hasselt - Belgium

17 e-mail : yanick.fanton@uhasselt.be

18 tel. : +3211338262

19 Fax : +3211338208

20 **CASCs Promote Angiogenesis**

21 angiogenesis; basic science research; growth factors and cytokines; myocardial regeneration; stem cell;

22 Word Count 7141

1 ABSTRACT

2

3 Cardiac atrial appendage stem cells (CASCs) show extraordinary myocardial differentiation
4 properties, making them ideal candidates for myocardial regeneration. However, since the
5 myocardium is a highly vascularized tissue, revascularization of the ischemic infarct area is essential
6 for functional repair. Therefore, this study assessed if CASCs contribute to cardiac angiogenesis via
7 paracrine mechanisms.

8 First, it was demonstrated that CASCs produce and secrete high levels of numerous angiogenic growth
9 factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), endothelin-1 (ET-1) and insulin-like
10 growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3). Functional *in vitro* assays with a human microvascular
11 endothelial cell line (HMEC-1) and CASC CM showed that CASCs promote endothelial cell
12 proliferation, migration and tube formation, the most important steps of the angiogenesis process.
13 Addition of inhibitory antibodies against identified growth factors could significantly reduce these
14 effects, indicating their importance in CASC-induced neovascularization. The angiogenic potential of
15 CASCs and CASC CM was also confirmed in a chorioallantoic membrane assay, demonstrating that
16 CASCs promote blood vessel formation *in vivo*.

17 In conclusion, this study shows that CASCs not only induce myocardial repair by cardiomyogenic
18 differentiation, but also stimulate blood vessel formation by paracrine mechanisms. The angiogenic
19 properties of CASCs further strengthen their therapeutic potential and make them an optimal stem cell
20 source for the treatment of ischemic heart disease.

21

22 Keywords: angiogenesis; growth factors and cytokines; stem cell; cardiac progenitor cells; endothelial
23 cell

24

25

1 ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

2	ALDH	aldehyde dehydrogenase
3	ANG	angiogenin
4	Ang-1	angiopoietin-1
5	CAM	chorioallantoic membrane
6	CASC	cardiac atrial appendage stem cell
7	CM	conditioned medium
8	CSC	cardiac stem cell
9	DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
10	DPPIV	dipeptidyl peptidase 4
11	DSC	dental stem cell
12	EC	endothelial cell
13	GM-CSF	granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor
14	HMEC-1	human microvascular endothelial cell line 1
15	ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
16	EPC	endothelial progenitor cell
17	ET-1	endothelin 1
18	FBS	fetal bovine serum
19	IGFBP-1/2/3	insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1/2/3
20	IL-8	interleukin 8
21	MCP-1	monocyte chemotactic protein 1
22	MI	myocardial infarction
23	MSC	mesenchymal stem cell
24	MTT	3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide
25	Neg Contr	negative control
26	Pos Contr	positive control
27	P/S	penicillin/streptomycin

- 1 PTX-3 pentraxin 3
- 2 TIMP-1 tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1
- 3 uPA urokinase plasminogen activator
- 4 VEGF vascular endothelial growth factor
- 5
- 6

1. INTRODUCTION

Ischemic heart disease is still one of the major causes of global morbidity and mortality since current therapies are not able to repair the damaged heart muscle [4]. Recent developments in stem cell biology and regenerative medicine show promise to replace the lost myocardium with functional healthy tissue [27]. Various stem cell types such as induced pluripotent stem cells [38], mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [18] and cardiac stem cells (CSCs) [2, 40] have shown potential to improve heart function after myocardial infarction (MI). Most beneficial effects observed so far were mediated by paracrine actions, as stem cells secrete cytokines, growth factors and miRNAs that promote cardioprotection, angiogenesis and activate resident CSCs. However, only moderate therapeutic effects were observed in clinical trials. This can be explained by limited differentiation of these stem cells towards cardiomyocytes [15].

Recently, our research group identified a new CSC type in the adult human heart based on high aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) enzyme activity, known as the cardiac atrial appendage stem cell (CASC) [22]. These CASCs are able to preserve left ventricular function in a Göttingen minipig infarction model based on extensive cardiomyogenic differentiation and functional integration [12, 22]. Moreover, CASCs can be expanded to clinically relevant cell numbers [42], making them a perfect candidate for myocardial regeneration. However, to fully restore cardiac function, revascularization of the infarcted tissue is essential. Current catheter-based interventions and surgical bypass procedures are often not successful in reestablishing myocardial blood flow in MI patients, leading to an increased mortality and a poor clinical outcome [3]. In addition, cells transplanted in the infarct area end up in an ischemic environment, implicating that new blood vessel formation is necessary for their successful survival, engraftment and differentiation [36]. Ideally, cell-based therapies should therefore focus on both cardiomyogenesis and angiogenesis to induce optimal repair of the heart muscle. This study explores a possible contribution of CASCs in myocardial angiogenesis by investigating expression of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors and their role in three consecutive steps of the angiogenesis process (endothelial proliferation, migration, tube formation). Stimulation of

- 1 angiogenesis would further strengthen the therapeutic potential of the CASCs in ischemic heart
- 2 conditions.
- 3

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All procedures were carried out in accordance with the principles set forth in the Helsinki Declaration. Approval by the institutional review board and informed consent from each patient were obtained.

2.1 Cell culture

Atrial appendages were obtained from ischemic heart disease patients undergoing routine cardiac surgery and CASCs were isolated from atrial appendages based on a high ALDH enzyme activity as previously described [22]. Heart tissue was dissociated by collagenase type II treatment (600U/mL; Invitrogen) and the obtained single cell suspension was stained with Aldefluor[®] (STEMCELL Technologies Inc.) according to the manufacturers' instructions. ALDH+ cells were directly flow-sorted in X-vivo 15 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2% penicillin-streptomycin (P/S). The isolated CASCs were seeded in fibronectin-coated culture plates and expanded in X-vivo 15 medium supplemented with 20% FBS and 2% P/S. The medium was changed twice weekly and cells were re-plated at a density of 5×10^3 cells/cm² when reaching 80-85% confluence. After the first passage, serum levels were reduced to 10% and the cells were expanded. A human microvascular endothelial cell line (HMEC-1) was used to study angiogenesis *in vitro* [19] and was obtained from the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA). HMEC-1 were cultured in MCDB 131 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 2% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S), 10 mM L-glutamine (L-glut), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 ng/ml human epidermal growth factor (hEGF, Gibco, Paisley, UK) and 1µg/ml hydrocortisone (HC, Sigma-Aldrich, Diegem, Belgium).

2.2 Preparation of conditioned medium and cell lysates

CASC conditioned medium (CM) and lysates were prepared from CASC cultures of passage 3 to 7, when reaching 85-90% confluence. After washing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), the cells

1 were cultured for 48h in serum-free low glucose Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (LG-DMEM) 2%
2 P/S 0% FBS. CM was harvested and passed through a 0.22 μ m filter to remove cell debris. Afterwards
3 the medium was concentrated in 3kDa Amicon Ultra-15 centriprep tubes YM-10 (Amicon, Millipore
4 Corp.) at 3600g and sterile filtered to obtain 10X concentrated CM. Batches of 20X concentrated CM
5 were also prepared for the *in vivo* chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay. In this way, a final
6 concentration of 10X CM after 1:1 dilution in Matrigel was obtained. CASC viability was assessed
7 after preparation of CM with an annexin V Kit to guarantee the quality of the CM (Number of viable
8 CASCs >80%; Supplemental material). After CM collection, cell lysates were prepared by
9 homogenization of CASCs in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5%
10 sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) supplemented with 1mM sodium orthovanadate and protease
11 inhibitor cocktail (1:100; Thermo Fischer Scientific, Erembodegem, Belgium) and stored at -80°C
12 until further analysis.

13 10X or 20X concentrated LG-DMEM 2% P/S 0% FBS served as negative control medium (Neg
14 Contr) and LG-DMEM 2% P/S 10% FBS as positive control medium (Pos Contr), unless stated
15 otherwise. For the functional HMEC-1 assays, CASC CM was pre-incubated with 500ng/ml VEGF
16 (R&D systems), 1 μ g/ml IGFBP3 (R&D systems), 100ng/ml ET-1 (Abcam) neutralizing antibody or
17 isotype controls (ISO, R&D systems).

18

19 2.3 Human Angiogenesis Array

20 A Proteome Profiler™ Human Angiogenesis Antibody Array (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was
21 performed on CASC CM of 3 different patients and on negative and positive control media to identify
22 angiogenic factors according to the manufacturer's instructions. Signal detection was performed using
23 the LI-COR Odyssey Infrared Imaging System in combination with IRDye® 800CW streptavidin (Li-
24 Cor, Westburg, The Netherlands). Quantification was performed with LI-COR Odyssey Image Studio
25 analyzer software. Data were normalized against the positive control spots (Positive control was set to
26 100%, Blue demarcations in Fig 1A).

1 2.4 Immunofluorescence for angiogenic growth factors

2 CASCs were cultured on glass coverslips and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 80%
3 confluence. The cells were incubated overnight with a rabbit polyclonal anti-VEGF (1:100; R&D
4 systems), a rabbit anti-IGFBP-3 (1:100; R&D systems) or a mouse anti-ET1 (1:100; Abcam) antibody
5 at 4°C in PBS 0.3% Triton. An Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit antibody or an Alexa Fluor 555 goat
6 anti-mouse antibody (1:500; life technologies) was used as secondary antibody. Nuclei were stained
7 with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Pictures were taken with a Nikon Eclipse 80i fluorescent
8 microscope and a Nikon DS-2MBWc digital camera.

9 2.5 Western blot

10 After heat denaturation, protein lysates were separated on 4-15% Protean® TGX™ Gels and
11 transferred to 0.2µm Transblot® Turbo™ nitrocellulose membranes with the Transblot® Turbo™
12 Transfer System (all from Bio-rad, Temse, Belgium). Blots were blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer
13 (Li-Cor) and primary antibodies used for western blot analysis included a rabbit anti-ET1 antibody
14 (1:500; Abcam), a rabbit anti-IGFBP-3 antibody (1:300; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg,
15 Germany) and a rabbit anti-VEGF antibody (1:300; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). A mouse anti-alpha-
16 tubulin antibody (1:5000, Abcam) was used for the loading control. Secondary antibodies were a goat
17 polyclonal anti-rabbit IR-Dye 800CW and a goat polyclonal anti-mouse IRDye 680CW antibody
18 (1:15000; Li-Cor). Detection was performed using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Licor).

19

20 2.6 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

21 The concentration of identified angiogenic factors was determined by ELISA for the negative and
22 positive control, CASC CM and CASC lysates. Quantikine ELISA kits against ET-1, IGFBP-3 and
23 VEGF (R&D Systems) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

24

25

1 2.7 Proliferation assay

2 The effect of CASC CM on HMEC-1 proliferation was explored in a 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-
3 diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay and by Ki-67 immunofluorescence analysis.

4 HMEC-1 were seeded at a density of 5×10^3 cells/cm² in standard HMEC-1 culture medium. The
5 following day, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with control media or CASC CM. After 72
6 hours, HMEC-1 proliferation was assessed. For the MTT assay, HMEC-1 cells were incubated with 5
7 mg/ml MTT (Sigma-Aldrich, Diegem, Belgium) in negative control medium. After an incubation
8 period of 4 hours at 37°C, the MTT solution was removed and a mixture of 0.01 M glycine and
9 DMSO was added to each well. Absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 540 nm with the
10 FLUOstar Omega microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany). For the Ki-67 analysis,
11 immunofluorescence was performed as described above with a Rabbit Ki-67 Ready-To-Use primary
12 antibody solution (Thermo Fischer Scientific), which was diluted 1:1 in PBS with a final concentration
13 of 0.3% Triton .

14

15 2.8 Migration assay

16 HMEC-1 migration was examined in a Transwell migration assay. The lower compartment consisted
17 of 24-well plates containing the control media or CASC CM. Subsequently, HMEC-1 cells were
18 seeded on 8- μ m pore Thincert™ tissue culture inserts (Greiner Bio-One, Wommel, Belgium) in the
19 negative control at a density of 5×10^4 cells/cm² (upper compartment). After 24h, the inserts were
20 washed with PBS, fixed with 4% PFA and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Representative pictures
21 were taken with an Axiovert 200 M microscope (Carl Zeiss NV-SA, Zaventem, Belgium).
22 Quantification of the area occupied by migrating HMEC-1 was performed by Axiovision 4.8 software
23 (Carl Zeiss). Values were expressed as mean area percentage.

24

25

1 2.9 Tube formation assay

2 HMEC-1 tube formation was investigated by culturing HMEC-1 on phenol red-free Matrigel™
3 (Beckton & Dickinson) in 15µ angiogenesis slides (Ibidi, München, Germany). HMEC-1 were seeded
4 on Matrigel at a density of 4×10^5 cells/cm² in CASC CM or the negative and positive control. Standard
5 HMEC-1 culture medium served as a positive control instead of LG-DMEM 2% P/S 10% FBS as the
6 latter showed limited tube formation. Overview pictures were taken after 24 hours with an Axiovert
7 200 M microscope. Total tube length was quantified using the automated Angiogenesis Analyzer Tool
8 for Image J [8].

9

10 2.10 Chorioallantoic membrane assay

11 The angiogenic properties of the CASCs were examined *in ovo* in the CAM assay [5, 19]. Fertilized
12 white leghorn chicken eggs (*Gallus gallus*) were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere. After
13 3 days (E3), 3–4 ml albumen was removed and a small opening was made in the shell. This opening
14 was covered with cellophane tape and the eggs were returned to the incubator. After 6 days (E9),
15 droplets of 30µl phenol red-free growth factor-reduced Matrigel™ (Amsbio, Abingdon, U.K.) were
16 placed on the CAM. Droplets containing 50 000 CASCs were compared with pure Matrigel droplets as
17 a negative control (Neg Contr 1). On the other hand, Matrigel mixed 1:1 with 20X CASC CM or 20X
18 LG-DMEM 2% P/S 0% FBS (Neg Contr 2) was analyzed. On E12, the CAM was carefully removed
19 from the eggs and pictures were taken. To quantify angiogenesis, two concentric circles (radii 3 and 4
20 mm) were drawn and intersecting blood vessels were counted by 2 independent researchers in a
21 double-blind fashion. The assay was performed 3 times on CASCs and with CM from 6 different
22 donors.

23

24

25

1 2.11 Statistical analysis

2 Data are reported as mean±SEM. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.3 software
3 (SAS Institute Inc.). To take into account the different levels of dependency in the dataset, linear
4 mixed models (proc MIXED in SAS) were fitted for all datasets with the different test conditions as
5 fixed effects. For the functional *in vitro* assays, experiment and CM sample nested within experiment
6 were fitted as random effects. Experiment was included as random effect to take variability between
7 experiments into account. CM sample was included as random effect parameter since a paired relation
8 exists between CM and CM with antibodies of the same patient sample. For the CAM assay only
9 experiment was modeled as random effect. The different test conditions are compared using
10 CONTRAST statements. For the functional HMEC-1 assays, a Bonferroni correction for multiple
11 comparisons was implemented based on relevant comparisons between the test conditions of the
12 functional assays. A global significance level of 5% is considered. Bonferroni correction for the
13 multiple comparisons of the functional HMEC-1 assays resulted in a p-value of 0.004.

14

3. RESULTS

3.1 CASCs secrete numerous angiogenic growth factors

To investigate a possible paracrine effect of CASCs on angiogenesis, we first determined their expression and secretion of important angiogenic growth factors. Numerous anti- (red) and pro-angiogenic (green) factors were identified in CASC CM by a human angiogenesis array, whereas no growth factors were detected in the negative control that was not conditioned by CASCs (Fig 1a). Based on consistent high relative expression levels, the pro-angiogenic growth factors ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF were further investigated. ELISA revealed high concentrations of all three factors in CASC CM, while the levels for the negative and positive control were below detection limit or neglectible (Fig 1b). CASC lysates contained substantially lower growth factor concentrations (Fig 1b) compared to CM. Expression of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF in CASCs was further confirmed by immunofluorescence in the cells (Fig 1c) and Western blot in lysates (Fig 1d). CASCs thus express and secrete factors that can positively influence the angiogenesis process.

3.2 CASCs promote HMEC-1 angiogenesis *in vitro* by the secretion of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF

Next we explored the effects of CASC CM on main steps of the angiogenesis process. It was demonstrated that the different test conditions did not affect HMEC-1 viability (Fig S2), confirming that effects on HMEC-1 survival did not negatively influence the results. Subsequently, the effects of CASC CM on HMEC-1 proliferation, migration and tube formation were studied. Involvement of the individual factors ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF was assessed by pre-incubating CASC CM with inhibitory antibodies against these factors. CM incubated with isotype control antibodies (ISO) was included to rule out any non-specific influences. In all assays, serum-free medium that was not conditioned by CASCs served as negative control medium (Neg Contr). Medium containing 10% serum as positive control medium (Pos Contr), except for the tube formation assay where HMEC-1 culture medium served as positive control.

EC proliferation was investigated in an MTT assay and by Ki-67 immunofluorescence. HMEC-1

1 proliferation was increased after incubation with the positive control and CASC CM compared to the
2 negative control in both the Ki-67 (Fig 2a,b; p-value 0.0004 and 0.0006 respectively) and the MTT
3 assay (Fig 2c; p-value <0.0001 and 0.0038 respectively). Inhibition of VEGF reduced the effect of
4 CASC CM in the Ki67 assay (Fig 2b; p-value <0.0001), while for the MTT assay only combined
5 inhibition of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF led to a significant decrease (Fig 2c; p-value 0.0032).
6 However, a clear trend was observed for all inhibitory antibodies in both assays and significance was
7 reached while omitting the bonferoni correction.

8 To study the role of CASC CM in EC migration, a transwell migration assay was performed (Fig 3).
9 Our results showed that HMEC-1 migration was 4.3 times higher for CASC CM compared to the
10 negative control (p-value <0.0001), although the response was not that strong as the positive control.
11 Incubation of the CM with antibodies against ET-1, IGFBP-3 or VEGF alone significantly diminished
12 these effects (p-value <0.0001 for all antibodies), with an even stronger response when all three
13 inhibitory antibodies were combined, reducing the migration effect of CASC CM by 2.7 fold (p-value
14 <0.0001).

15 EC assembly into tube-like structures was explored by plating HMEC-1 on Matrigel in control media
16 or CASC CM (Fig 4). HMEC-1 network formation, expressed as the total tube length, was
17 significantly higher after culturing the cells in the positive control and CASC CM compared to the
18 negative control (p-value 0.0001 and 0.0018 respectively). Pre-incubation of CASC CM with a
19 combination of inhibitory antibodies against ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF significantly reduced HMEC-
20 1 network formation (p-value <0.0001), which could not be accomplished by blocking these growth
21 factors individually.

22 These *in vitro* experiments show that CASCs promote all important steps of the angiogenesis process.
23 The effect seems to be mainly mediated by ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF secretion, although other
24 factors are likely also involved.

25

26

1 **3.4 CASCs promote angiogenesis *in vivo* in the chorioallantoic membrane assay**

2 To confirm the angiogenic effect of both CASCs and CASC CM, an *in vivo* CAM assay was
3 performed by incubating the CAM with CASCs, CASC CM or negative control samples (Fig 5a Neg
4 Contr 1 = Matrigel; Neg Contr 2 = Matrigel mixed 1:1 with 20X LG-DMEM 2% P/S 0% FBS). After
5 72h, radial growth of blood vessels in a spoke wheel pattern towards the droplets was visible for all
6 conditions (Fig 5b,c). Quantification of the number of blood vessels, based on intersection with two
7 concentric circles (Fig 5b), revealed a significant increase in blood vessels for both CASCs and CASC
8 CM compared to their negative control (Fig 5d). The number of blood vessels increased from 22.4 to
9 26.3 (inner circle; p-value 0.0364) and from 29.2 to 37.0 (outer circle; p-value 0.0011) for the negative
10 control compared to CASCs respectively. For CASC CM an augmentation was observed from 18.9 to
11 23.3 (inner circle; p-value 0.0102) and from 29.1 to 32.5 (outer circle; p-value 0.0202) respectively.
12 These results indicate that both CASCs and CASC CM promote blood vessel formation *in vivo*.

13

4. DISCUSSION

We have previously shown that CASCs, as one of the few CSC types, preserve cardiac function in a minipig MI model based on extensive engraftment and cardiomyogenic differentiation [12]. However, not only replacement of the lost heart muscle, but also new blood vessel formation is essential to restore cardiac function. This ensures the supply of critical oxygen and nutrients to the ischemic heart tissue, and guarantees the survival and engraftment of the transplanted progenitor cells [3]. Vasculogenesis and angiogenesis are the main mechanisms for new blood vessel formation, while arteriogenesis enhances blood flow by increasing the luminal diameter of existing arteries [7]. Vasculogenesis by EC differentiation and vascular integration has been described for e.g. endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) [25], MSCs [20] and CSCs [2]. In contrast, EC differentiation was only rarely observed (<1%) after CASC transplantation in a minipig MI model, which is in agreement with the strong cardiomyogenic potential of CASCs. Regardless of this observation, an increase in blood vessel density in ischemic areas with transplanted CASC was observed, suggesting a role for CASCs in myocardial angiogenesis [12]. Hence, in line with the emerging paracrine hypothesis for stem cell-induced myocardial repair, possible paracrine angiogenic effects of CASCs were explored in this study.

Angiogenesis, characterized by the formation of new blood vessels via the branching or elongation of preexisting vessels, is tightly regulated by a balance of both pro- and anti-angiogenic mediators [7]. Numerous angiogenic inducers could be identified in CASC CM after an initial screening. ET-1 [37], IGFBP-3 [16] and VEGF [6, 28] were selected for further analysis, because of high expression levels in CASC CM. Their concentration in CASC lysates was consistently lower compared to the CM, as previously reported for MSCs [31]. This might already suggest a strong paracrine angiogenic potential for CASCs by the secretion of high levels of angiogenic proteins. The concentration of VEGF, as most widely studied angiogenic growth factor, is markedly higher in CASC CM compared to other stem cell types [19, 24]. However, direct comparison of growth factor concentrations in stem cell CM is not feasible, because of differences in the preparation of CM.

The importance of VEGF, IGFBP-3 and ET-1 in neovascularization further confirms a likely

1 involvement of these mediators in CASC-induced myocardial angiogenesis. VEGF is one of the most
2 studied angiogenic factors and is involved in almost all steps of the angiogenesis process [6, 28].
3 IGFBP-3 has been reported to have inhibitory effects in tumor angiogenesis [26], while pro-
4 angiogenic effects have been shown in human umbilical vein ECs [16]. ET-1 is a mitogen for vascular
5 cells and promotes EC migration and tube formation [37]. Despite high relative expression levels of
6 ANG and urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA), these factors were not investigated here as no
7 suitable blocking antibodies were available. The role of all identified growth factors in angiogenesis
8 can be found in Table S2. A wide variety of angiogenic growth factors are known to be secreted by
9 various stem cell types, such as fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, interleukins and
10 VEGF [6, 10, 29, 30]. Other reparative processes have also been shown to be influenced by these
11 secreted growth factors, which also seem likely for the identified CASC secreted mediators. Indeed,
12 VEGF reduces inflammation and promotes cardioprotection [6], while MCP-1 attracts monocytes and
13 macrophages, which clear the infarct area from dead cells and debris leading to activation of reparative
14 pathways [13].

15 Undoubtedly, the identified factors are involved in important steps of angiogenesis and therefore *in*
16 *vitro* assays with HMEC-1 were performed to establish the functional pro-angiogenic effects of
17 CASCs. EC proliferation is one of the first important steps in neovascularization and can best be
18 investigated by a combination of cell number quantification and cell cycle analysis [39]. Both our
19 experiments indicated that CASC CM stimulates an increase in HMEC-1 proliferation. Neutralization
20 of VEGF reduced the effect of CASC CM in the Ki67 assay (Fig 2B; p-value <0.0001), while for the
21 MTT assay only combined inhibition of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF led to a significant decrease (Fig
22 2C; p-value 0.0032). Discrepancy between the observed results can be explained by the different
23 approach of the assays to assess proliferation. The Ki-67 assay quantifies the number of actively
24 proliferating cells, while the MTT is a measure of the total number of cells and their metabolic
25 activity. Still, a clear trend towards a reduction in HMEC-1 proliferation was observed for all
26 inhibitory antibodies in both assays and significance could be reached for all antibody conditions
27 without Bonferroni correction. Bonferroni correction is known to reduce the statistical power of the

1 test significantly [14]. Our data hence suggest ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF to be important mediators of
2 CASC-induced HMEC-1 proliferation. The proliferative effect of CASC CM was reduced to baseline
3 levels in most antibody conditions. This clearly demonstrates the importance of these specific
4 mediators in the delicate balance of pro- and anti-angiogenic proteins. Similar effects on EC
5 proliferation have also been reported for CM obtained from various MSC types *in vitro* [11, 21, 35]
6 and fetal aorta-derived CD133+ progenitor cells *in vivo* [1], while no proliferative effect was observed
7 for dental stem cells (DSCs) [5, 19].

8 After the proliferation phase, ECs migrate towards chemotactic stimuli. In a transwell system, we were
9 able to show that CASC CM induced similar HMEC-1 migration as the positive control. Individual
10 inhibition of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF reduced this process, which was enhanced when combining
11 the three inhibitory antibodies. This demonstrates that ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF are all important
12 mediators of CASC-induced EC chemotaxis. Despite this promising result, the effect of CASC CM
13 was not completely reduced. This suggests that other factors are also involved in EC chemotaxis as
14 shown in migration assays for EPCs [41], MSCs [11, 35], DSCs [5, 19] and other CSC types [43].
15 As a last step in the angiogenesis process, migrating ECs assemble into tube-like structures that form
16 the base of the newly formed blood vessels, which was assessed in a Matrigel tube formation assay.
17 Our results indicated that CASC CM improved HMEC-1 network formation as previously reported for
18 MSCs [17], DSCs [5, 19] and other CSCs [9]. Only combined inhibition of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF
19 reduced HMEC-1 tube formation to baseline levels, pointing towards a synergistic effect. Moreover, a
20 dose-dependent effect on HMEC-1 tube formation was demonstrated as shown in supplementary
21 figure S3.

22 Although CASCs stimulate the main phases of neovascularization, the formation of functional blood
23 vessels requires successful occurrence of all subsequent steps of the angiogenesis process with
24 complex interactions between ECs, pericytes or smooth muscle cells and stromal cells. Therefore, a
25 CAM assay was performed to confirm the angiogenic effects of the CASCs *in vivo*. The CAM is a
26 highly vascularized extraembryonic membrane which serves as a gas exchange surface of the chicken
27 embryo. As the chick immune system is not fully established until late stages of development, the

1 embryo serves as a naturally immunodeficient host capable of sustaining grafted mediators and cells
2 without species-specific restrictions [33]. Moreover, the CAM can be easily manipulated and
3 observed, making it an ideal model to study angiogenesis *in vivo* [34]. Both CASCs and CASC CM
4 promoted angiogenesis as observed by extensive radial ingrowth of blood vessels. Although CASC
5 CM was concentrated 10X, similar effects were observed for CASCs and CASC CM. This can be
6 explained by the continuous production of angiogenic growth factors by CASCs, while CM or single
7 protein administrations require higher doses because of a short protein half-life [32]. Similar results
8 were observed in CAM assays with DSCs [5, 19] bone marrow stromal cells [17] and placental MSCs
9 [23].

10 In conclusion, CASC, isolated from atrial appendages of MI patients, stimulate angiogenesis *in vitro*
11 and *in vivo*. This effect was mediated by the secretion of numerous growth factors that promote
12 important steps of new blood vessel formation. Together with their strong cardiomyogenic
13 differentiation potential, these newly identified angiogenic properties distinguish CASCs from other
14 stem cells types. This unique therapeutic combination makes CASCs an interesting candidate for the
15 treatment of ischemic heart disease. Translation from bench to bedside still requires more research to
16 confirm the therapeutic effects of CASCs in a clinically relevant animal model with an isolation and
17 expansion protocol suited for human application.

18

1 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

2 We would like to thank An Creemers of the Centre of Statistics (CENSTAT) at Hasselt University and
3 the Flanders Training Network for Methodology and Statistics (FLAMES) for her expertise and
4 assistance with the linear mixed model statistical analyses.

5

6 6. SOURCES OF FUNDING

7 This work was supported by the Limburg Clinical Research Program (LCRP) UHasselt-ZOL-Jessa;
8 the foundation Limburg Sterk Merk; Hasselt University; Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg and Jessa Hospital.
9 In addition, support has been provided via a postdoctoral grant of the FWO (Research Foundation –
10 Flanders) to Annelies Bronckaers, a DOC-PhD grant of Hasselt University for Yanick Fanton and a
11 Ph.D. grant of the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT) for Leen
12 Willems.

13

14 7. DISCLOSURES

15 The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest.

16

17

1 8. REFERENCES

- 2 1. Barcelos LS, Duplaa C, Krankel N, Graiani G, Invernici G, Katare R, Siragusa M, Meloni M,
3 Campesi I, Monica M, Simm A, Campagnolo P, Mangialardi G, Stevanato L, Alessandri G, Emanuelli C,
4 Madeddu P (2009) Human CD133+ progenitor cells promote the healing of diabetic ischemic ulcers
5 by paracrine stimulation of angiogenesis and activation of Wnt signaling. *Circ Res* 104:1095-1102 doi:
6 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.192138
- 7 2. Beltrami AP, Barlucchi L, Torella D, Baker M, Limana F, Chimenti S, Kasahara H, Rota M,
8 Musso E, Urbanek K, Leri A, Kajstura J, Nadal-Ginard B, Anversa P (2003) Adult cardiac stem cells are
9 multipotent and support myocardial regeneration. *Cell* 114:763-776 doi:
10 3. Boodhwani M, Sodha NR, Laham RJ, Sellke FW (2006) The future of therapeutic myocardial
11 angiogenesis. *Shock* 26:332-341 doi: 10.1097/01.shk.0000225318.08681.a7
- 12 4. Braunwald E, Bristow MR (2000) Congestive heart failure: fifty years of progress. *Circulation*
13 102:IV14-23 doi:
14 5. Bronckaers A, Hilkens P, Fanton Y, Struys T, Gervois P, Politis C, Martens W, Lambrichts I
15 (2013) Angiogenic properties of human dental pulp stem cells. *PLoS One* 8:e71104 doi:
16 10.1371/journal.pone.0071104
- 17 6. Burchfield JS, Dimmeler S (2008) Role of paracrine factors in stem and progenitor cell
18 mediated cardiac repair and tissue fibrosis. *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair* 1:4 doi: 10.1186/1755-1536-1-
19 4
- 20 7. Carmeliet P (2000) Mechanisms of angiogenesis and arteriogenesis. *Nat Med* 6:389-395 doi:
21 10.1038/74651
- 22 8. Carpentier G (2012) Angiogenesis Analyzer for ImageJ. Gilles Carpentier Research Web Site:
23 Computer Image Analysis
- 24 9. Cheng K, Malliaras K, Smith RR, Shen D, Sun B, Blusztajn A, Xie Y, Ibrahim A, Aminzadeh MA,
25 Liu W, Li TS, De Robertis MA, Marban L, Czer LS, Trento A, Marban E (2014) Human cardiosphere-
26 derived cells from advanced heart failure patients exhibit augmented functional potency in
27 myocardial repair. *JACC Heart Fail* 2:49-61 doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2013.08.008
- 28 10. Chimenti I, Smith RR, Li TS, Gerstenblith G, Messina E, Giacomello A, Marban E (2010)
29 Relative roles of direct regeneration versus paracrine effects of human cardiosphere-derived cells
30 transplanted into infarcted mice. *Circ Res* 106:971-980 doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.210682
- 31 11. Choi M, Lee HS, Naidansaren P, Kim HK, O E, Cha JH, Ahn HY, Yang PI, Shin JC, Joe YA (2013)
32 Proangiogenic features of Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stromal/stem cells and their ability
33 to form functional vessels. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 45:560-570 doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2012.12.001
- 34 12. Fanton Y, Robic B, Rummens JL, Daniels A, Windmolders S, Willems L, Jamaer L, Dubois J,
35 Bijmens E, Heuts N, Notelaers K, Paesen R, Ameloot M, Mees U, Bito V, Declercq J, Hensen K, Koninckx
36 R, Hendrikx M (2015) Cardiac atrial appendage stem cells engraft and differentiate into
37 cardiomyocytes in vivo: A new tool for cardiac repair after MI. *Int J Cardiol* 201:10-19 doi:
38 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.07.066
- 39 13. Frangogiannis NG (2012) Regulation of the inflammatory response in cardiac repair. *Circ Res*
40 110:159-173 doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.243162
- 41 14. Gelman A (2012) Why We (Usually) Don't Have to Worry About Multiple Comparisons.
42 *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* 5:189–211 doi:
43 15. Gnecchi M, Zhang Z, Ni A, Dzau VJ (2008) Paracrine mechanisms in adult stem cell signaling
44 and therapy. *Circ Res* 103:1204-1219 doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.176826
- 45 16. Granata R, Trovato L, Lupia E, Sala G, Settanni F, Camussi G, Ghidoni R, Ghigo E (2007) Insulin-
46 like growth factor binding protein-3 induces angiogenesis through IGF-I- and SphK1-dependent
47 mechanisms. *J Thromb Haemost* 5:835-845 doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2007.02431.x
- 48 17. Gruber R, Kandler B, Holzmann P, Vogeke-Kadletz M, Losert U, Fischer MB, Watzek G (2005)
49 Bone marrow stromal cells can provide a local environment that favors migration and formation of
50 tubular structures of endothelial cells. *Tissue Eng* 11:896-903 doi: 10.1089/ten.2005.11.896


- 1 18. [Hendrikx M, Hensen K, Clijsters C, Jongen H, Koninckx R, Bijmens E, Ingels M, Jacobs A, Geukens R, Dendale P, Vijgen J, Dilling D, Steels P, Mees U, Rummens JL \(2006\) Recovery of regional but not global contractile function by the direct intramyocardial autologous bone marrow transplantation: results from a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Circulation* 114:1101-107 doi: 114/1_suppl/I-101 \[pii\]](#)
- 6 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.000505
- 7 19. [Hilkens P, Fanton Y, Martens W, Gervois P, Struys T, Politis C, Lambrichts I, Bronckaers A \(2014\) Pro-angiogenic impact of dental stem cells in vitro and in vivo. *Stem Cell Res* 12:778-790 doi: 10.1016/j.scr.2014.03.008](#)
- 10 20. [Kajstura J, Rota M, Whang B, Cascapera S, Hosoda T, Bearzi C, Nurzynska D, Kasahara H, Zias E, Bonafe M, Nadal-Ginard B, Torella D, Nascimbene A, Quaini F, Urbanek K, Leri A, Anversa P \(2005\) Bone marrow cells differentiate in cardiac cell lineages after infarction independently of cell fusion. *Circ Res* 96:127-137 doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000151843.79801.60](#)
- 14 21. [Kinnaird T, Stabile E, Burnett MS, Lee CW, Barr S, Fuchs S, Epstein SE \(2004\) Marrow-derived stromal cells express genes encoding a broad spectrum of arteriogenic cytokines and promote in vitro and in vivo arteriogenesis through paracrine mechanisms. *Circ Res* 94:678-685 doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000118601.37875.AC](#)
- 18 22. [Koninckx R, Daniels A, Windmolders S, Mees U, Macianskiene R, Mubagwa K, Steels P, Jamaer L, Dubois J, Robic B, Hendrikx M, Rummens JL, Hensen K \(2013\) The cardiac atrial appendage stem cell: a new and promising candidate for myocardial repair. *Cardiovasc Res* 97:413-423 doi: cvs427 \[pii\]](#)
- 22 10.1093/cvr/cvs427
- 23 23. [Lee MY, Huang JP, Chen YY, Aplin JD, Wu YH, Chen CY, Chen PC, Chen CP \(2009\) Angiogenesis in differentiated placental multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells is dependent on integrin alpha5beta1. *PLoS One* 4:e6913 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006913](#)
- 26 24. [Li TS, Cheng K, Malliaras K, Smith RR, Zhang Y, Sun B, Matsushita N, Blusztajn A, Terrovitis J, Kusuoka H, Marban L, Marban E \(2012\) Direct comparison of different stem cell types and subpopulations reveals superior paracrine potency and myocardial repair efficacy with cardiosphere-derived cells. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 59:942-953 doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.029](#)
- 30 25. [Liang SX, Khachigian LM, Ahmadi Z, Yang M, Liu S, Chong BH \(2011\) In vitro and in vivo proliferation, differentiation and migration of cardiac endothelial progenitor cells \(SCA1+/CD31+ side-population cells\). *J Thromb Haemost* 9:1628-1637 doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04375.x](#)
- 33 26. [Liu B, Lee KW, Anzo M, Zhang B, Zi X, Tao Y, Shiry L, Pollak M, Lin S, Cohen P \(2007\) Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 inhibition of prostate cancer growth involves suppression of angiogenesis. *Oncogene* 26:1811-1819 doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209977](#)
- 36 27. [Malliaras K, Kreke M, Marban E \(2011\) The stuttering progress of cell therapy for heart disease. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 90:532-541 doi: 10.1038/clpt.2011.175](#)
- 38 28. [Markel TA, Wang Y, Herrmann JL, Crisostomo PR, Wang M, Novotny NM, Herring CM, Tan J, Lahm T, Meldrum DR \(2008\) VEGF is critical for stem cell-mediated cardioprotection and a crucial paracrine factor for defining the age threshold in adult and neonatal stem cell function. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 295:H2308-2314 doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00565.2008](#)
- 42 29. [Matsuura K, Honda A, Nagai T, Fukushima N, Iwanaga K, Tokunaga M, Shimizu T, Okano T, Kasanuki H, Hagiwara N, Komuro I \(2009\) Transplantation of cardiac progenitor cells ameliorates cardiac dysfunction after myocardial infarction in mice. *J Clin Invest* 119:2204-2217 doi: 10.1172/JCI37456](#)
- 46 30. [Miyamoto S, Kawaguchi N, Ellison GM, Matsuoka R, Shin'oka T, Kurosawa H \(2010\) Characterization of long-term cultured c-kit+ cardiac stem cells derived from adult rat hearts. *Stem Cells Dev* 19:105-116 doi: 10.1089/scd.2009.0041](#)
- 49 31. [Nishishita T, Ouchi K, Zhang X, Inoue M, Inazawa T, Yoshiura K, Kuwabara K, Nakaoka T, Watanabe N, Igura K, Takahashi TA, Yamashita N \(2004\) A potential pro-angiogenic cell therapy with](#)

1 human placenta-derived mesenchymal cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 325:24-31 doi:
2 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.10.003
3 32. Renault MA, Losordo DW (2007) Therapeutic myocardial angiogenesis. *Microvasc Res* 74:159-
4 171 doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2007.08.005
5 33. Ribatti D (2014) The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane as a model for tumor biology.
6 *Exp Cell Res* 328:314-324 doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2014.06.010
7 34. Ribatti D, Gualandris A, Bastaki M, Vacca A, Iurlaro M, Roncali L, Presta M (1997) New model
8 for the study of angiogenesis and antiangiogenesis in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane:
9 the gelatin sponge/chorioallantoic membrane assay. *J Vasc Res* 34:455-463 doi:
10 35. Roubelakis MG, Tsaknakis G, Pappa KI, Anagnou NP, Watt SM (2013) Spindle shaped human
11 mesenchymal stem/stromal cells from amniotic fluid promote neovascularization. *PLoS One*
12 8:e54747 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054747
13 36. Roy S, Khanna S, Bickerstaff AA, Subramanian SV, Atalay M, Bierl M, Pendyala S, Levy D,
14 Sharma N, Venojarvi M, Strauch A, Orosz CG, Sen CK (2003) Oxygen sensing by primary cardiac
15 fibroblasts: a key role of p21(Waf1/Cip1/Sdi1). *Circ Res* 92:264-271 doi:
16 37. Salani D, Taraboletti G, Rosano L, Di Castro V, Borsotti P, Giavazzi R, Bagnato A (2000)
17 Endothelin-1 induces an angiogenic phenotype in cultured endothelial cells and stimulates
18 neovascularization in vivo. *Am J Pathol* 157:1703-1711 doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64807-9
19 38. Song G, Li X, Shen Y, Qian L, Kong X, Chen M, Cao K, Zhang F (2014) Transplantation of iPSc
20 Restores Cardiac Function by Promoting Angiogenesis and Ameliorating Cardiac Remodeling in a
21 Post-infarcted Swine Model. *Cell Biochem Biophys* doi: 10.1007/s12013-014-0369-7
22 39. Staton CA, Reed MW, Brown NJ (2009) A critical analysis of current in vitro and in vivo
23 angiogenesis assays. *Int J Exp Pathol* 90:195-221 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2613.2008.00633.x
24 40. Tang XL, Li Q, Rokosh G, Sanganalmath S, Chen N, Ou Q, Stowers H, Hunt G, Bolli R (2016)
25 Long-Term Outcome of Administration of c-kitPOS Cardiac Progenitor Cells After Acute Myocardial
26 Infarction: Transplanted Cells Do Not Become Cardiomyocytes, but Structural and Functional
27 Improvement and Proliferation of Endogenous Cells Persist for At Least One Year. *Circ Res* doi:
28 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.307647
29 41. Urbich C, Aicher A, Heeschen C, Dernbach E, Hofmann WK, Zeiher AM, Dimmeler S (2005)
30 Soluble factors released by endothelial progenitor cells promote migration of endothelial cells and
31 cardiac resident progenitor cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 39:733-742 doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2005.07.003
32 42. Windmolders S, Willems L, Daniels A, Linsen L, Fanton Y, Hendrikx M, Koninckx R, Rummens
33 JL, Hensen K (2015) Clinical-scale in vitro expansion preserves biological characteristics of cardiac
34 atrial appendage stem cells. *Cell Prolif* doi: 10.1111/cpr.12166
35 43. Zhang Y, Sivakumaran P, Newcomb AE, Hernandez D, Harris N, Khanabdali R, Liu GS, Kelly DJ,
36 Pebay A, Hewitt AW, Boyle A, Harvey R, Morrison WA, Elliott DA, Dusting GJ, Lim SY (2015) Cardiac
37 Repair With a Novel Population of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Resident in the Human Heart. *Stem Cells*
38 33:3100-3113 doi: 10.1002/stem.2101

39

40

1 9. FIGURES





b. Table 1: Angiogenic growth factor concentrations

	Neg Contr	Pos Contr	CASC CM	CASC lysate
ET-1 (ng/ml)	<<	<<	32.4±9.8	1.2±0.4
IGFBP-3 (ng/ml)	<<	<<	885.5±158.2	99.1±43.9
VEGF (ng/ml)	<<	0.04	64.8±18.2	0.8±0.1

<< below detection limit

Data are expressed as mean±SEM




1 **Figure 1: Angiogenic growth factor expression and secretion by CASCs.**

2 Both anti-angiogenic (red) and pro-angiogenic (green) growth factors were identified in CASC CM in
3 an angiogenesis protein array, while these were absent in the negative control (a; Neg Contr n=1,
4 CASC CM n=3). Relative values were calculated against positive control spots, which were
5 considered as 100% (blue).



6 Exact concentrations of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF, measured by ELISA, showed high levels in CASC
7 CM and CASC lysates, but not in the negative control (b; Neg and Pos Contr n=1; CASC CM n=10;
8 CASC lysates n=4). Expression of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF was confirmed in CASCs by
9 immunofluorescence (c; n=3) and western blot (d; n=4). Data are expressed as mean±SEM.

10 ANG angiogenin, Ang-1 angiopoietin-1, DPPIV dipeptidyl peptidase 4, GM-CSF granulocyte-
11 monocyte colony stimulating factor, ET-1 endothelin 1, IGFBP-1/2/3, insulin-like growth factor
12 binding protein 1/2/3, IL-8 interleukin 8, MCP-1 monocyte chemotactic protein 1, PTX-3 pentraxin 3,
13 TIMP-1 tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1, uPA urokinase plasminogen activator, VEGF vascular
14 endothelial growth factor.



1
2 **Figure 2: CASCs promote HMEC-1 proliferation in an MTT and a Ki67 assay.**

3 HMEC-1 were cultured in control media and CASC CM for 72h, after which proliferation was
 4 examined. Representative pictures of the Ki67 assay are displayed in a with nuclei in blue (DAPI) and
 5 Ki67 in red. Both the Ki67 and the MTT assay revealed a significant increase in HMEC-1
 6 proliferation for the positive control and CASC CM compared to the negative control (b,c). This effect
 7 was significantly inhibited by an anti-VEGF antibody in the Ki67 assay (b) and by a combination of
 8 an antibody against ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF in the MTT test (c). Data are expressed as mean±SEM.
 9 The MTT assay was repeated 8 independent times with control media n=11, CASC CM n=18, ISO
 10 n=9, aET-1 n=6, aIGFBP-3 n=8, aVEGF n=8, aE+I+V n=6. The Ki67 assay was performed 7 times
 11 with control media n=10, CASC CM n=15, ISO n=8, aET-1 n=6, aIGFBP-3 n=6, aVEGF n=6,
 12 aE+I+V n=6. * significance compared to Neg Contr; # compared to CASC CM. * or # p-value <0.004;
 13 ** p-value < 0.0008. ET-1 endothelin 1, IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3, ISO
 14 isotype control antibodies, Neg Contr unconditioned serum-free medium, Pos Contr medium with 10%
 15 FBS, VEGF vascular endothelial growth factor.



1

2 **Figure 3: CASCs promote HMEC-1 migration in a transwell assay.**

3 Representative pictures show HMEC-1 migrating through the transwell membrane (A; purple). A

4 significant increase in HMEC-1 migration was observed for the positive control and CASC CM

5 compared to the negative control (B). CM-induced migration could be reduced by addition of

6 antibodies against ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. The transwell assay

7 was repeated 16 independent times with Neg Contr n=17, Pos Contr n=20, CASC CM n=28, ISO n=3,

8 aET-1 n=20, aIGFBP-3 n=18, aVEGF n=15, aE+I+V n=9. * significance compared to Neg Contr; #



9 compared to CASC CM. * or # p-value <0.004. ET-1 endothelin 1, IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth

10 factor binding protein 3, ISO isotype control antibodies, Neg Contr unconditioned serum-free medium,

11 Pos Contr medium with 10% FBS, VEGF vascular endothelial growth factor.

12

13



1

2 **Figure 4: CASCs promote HMEC-1 tube formation.**

3 Representative pictures of HMEC-1 tubular networks after 24h (A). A significant increase in total tube
 4 length was observed for the positive control and CASC CM compared to the negative control (B).

5 Combined inhibition of ET-1, IGFBP-3 and VEGF significantly reduced these effects. Data are
 6 expressed as mean±SEM. For the tube formation assay, 14 experiments were performed with Neg


7 Contr n=18, Pos Contr n=14, CASC CM n=25, ISO n=6, aET-1 n=17, aIGFBP-3 n=20, aVEGF n=20,
 8 aE+I+V n=13. * significance compared to Neg Contr; # compared to CASC CM.* or # p-value

9 <0.004; ## p-value < 0.0008. ET-1 endothelin 1, IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth factor binding protein
 10 3, ISO isotype control antibodies, Neg Contr unconditioned serum-free medium, Pos Contr HMEC-1

11 culture medium with 10% FBS L-Glut hEGF HC, VEGF vascular endothelial growth factor.

12

13



1

2 **Figure 5: CASCs promote angiogenesis *in vivo* in the CAM assay.**

3 The CAM membrane of fertilized chicken eggs was incubated at E9 with Matrigel droplets containing
 4 different test conditions (A). Representative pictures of each condition show the radial ingrowth of
 5 blood vessels with a typical spoke wheel pattern (B,C). A significant increase in blood vessel number
 6 was observed for CASCs (D, left panel) and CASC CM (D, right panel) compared to their negative
 7 control for the inner and outer circle. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. The CAM assay was repeated
 8 3 independent times with 6 CASC and CASC CM donor samples. * p-value <0.05; ** p-value < 0.01.
 9 Neg Contr 1 = Matrigel; Neg Contr 2 = Matrigel mixed 1:1 with serum-free medium.

10

11