

Utilitarian framings of biodiversity shape environmental impact
assessment in development cooperation

Supplementary material

Hugé, Jean; Rochette, Anne-Julie; Janssens de Bisthoven, Luc; Dahdouh-Guebasa, Farid; Koedam, Nico & VANHOVE, Maarten (2017) Utilitarian framings of biodiversity shape environmental impact assessment in development cooperation. In: ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & POLICY, 75, p. 91-102.

DOI: 10.1016/j.envsci.2017.06.003

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/23865>

Utilitarian framings of biodiversity shape environmental impact assessment in development cooperation

Tables

Table 1: Schematic overview of key descriptors of ideal-typical biodiversity framings derived from the scientific literature (adapted from Holmes et al., 2011; Mace, 2014; Miller et al., 2011; Tallis & Lubchenco, 2014)

| Biodiversity framings | Key descriptors | Source |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Nature for itself | Species; Wilderness; Protected Areas; | Mace (2014) |
| Nature despite people | Extinction threats, threatened species; Habitat loss; Pollution; Overexploitation; | Mace (2014) |
| Nature for people | Ecosystems; Ecosystem services; Economic values; | Mace (2014) |
| People and nature | Environmental Change; Resilience; Adaptability; Socio-ecological systems; | Mace (2014) |
| Nature protectionists | Protected Areas (PAs); Limiting human presence & disturbance; Biodiversity protection as primary goal; | Miller et al. (2011) |

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | | |
| Social conservationists | Sustainable use; Development and welfare-oriented goals; Poverty alleviation and social justice; | Miller et al. (2011) |
| Traditional conservation 2.0 | Biocentric motivation; Conserving ecosystem processes; Biodiversity in pristine areas and in modified landscapes; | Holmes et al. (2016) |
| Nearly new conservation | Market-based instruments; Science should play a strong role; Avoid harm to people when protecting biodiversity; | Holmes et al. (2016) |
| Market skepticism | Benefits for people are key; Opposes links with capitalism and corporations; | Holmes et al. (2016) |
| Intrinsic value of nature | Protect nature for its own sake; | Tallis & Lubchenco (2014) |
| Instrumental value of nature | Protect nature to help ourselves; | Tallis & Lubchenco (2014) |

Table 2. Biodiversity-relevant characteristics of the analysed EIAs *(Authors Note: Could also be provided as Supplementary Material)*

| EIA Number/ country | Topic of the project on which EIA was applied | Considered biodiversity aspects in the EIA | Quality and level of detail of baseline data in the EIA | Use of baseline data in the EIA environmental management plan (EMP) |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| EIA 1 / Benin | Paving of the access road to a landfill site | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Negative impacts: potential destruction of trees; • Fish 'resources' separated from other fauna in impact assessment; • Temporary increase of water turbidity could affect fish resources; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vegetation: plantations species identified; • Common birds: species or family level; • General names for fauna (scavengers, birds, rodents, insects); • Aquatic fauna: species-level ; • Land use map with 4 vegetation categories (thickets, plantations, crops and fallows); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited explicit linkages between baseline & EMP; • Uprooting of trees identified (acacia and teak), only <i>Acacia</i> mentioned in the baseline & EMP; • Reforestation as mitigation measure, with no information about the type of tree (except in teak and acacia areas) • Installation proposed far from a lake to avoid contamination, without reference to any biological data; • No biological data in the EMP; |
| EIA 2 / Burkina Faso | Extension of thermal power stations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Negative impacts: plant cover reduction, fragmentation and destruction of fauna habitats (with no specification); • Number of trees to be cut identified (% of vegetation cover loss quantified); • No information about fauna; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem types cited; • Mention of existing (non-project related) threats to vegetation; • Qualitative presence of some plant species; • Reference to the presence of plants that are useful for humans (no specifications); • No reference to fauna • Floristic inventory (as appendix, not available) includes sanitary state of trees; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reforestation as mitigation measure, with no information about the type of tree (only mention that it will be a mix of local and exotic species); • No biological data in the EMP; |
| EIA 3 / Ivory Coast | Widening and asphaltting of roads | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mammals threatened by poaching, agriculture, bushfire; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative description of vegetation types, forest | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EMP assumes that there is no flora or fauna of ecological |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant species impossible to determine in sacred forests; • Negative impacts: landscape alteration and degradation of plant cover; however: No significant impact on biological components as project in urbanized area; • Vegetation considered for its role against erosion and mitigation (replant trees with deep root systems); | <p>categories and presence of sacred forests;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention of existing (non-project related) threats to mammals in the region: poaching, agriculture, bushfire; • Common name of most and least common mammals and birds, with reference to endemism; • Qualitative presence of groups ('amphibians', 'insects', 'reptiles'); • Mention of domestic animals presence in the area; | <p>interest in the area (unspecified in baseline);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscape design often cited in EMPS, never in the baseline; • Vegetation monitoring is part of the EMP; |
| EIA 4 / Ivory Coast | Gas field expansion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference to the complexity of marine food chains • Negative impacts: imbalance of the marine ecosystem, habitat disturbance, contamination, damage to fish; | <p>Based on field studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phytoplankton: (taxa vary from species-level to class-level, number/m³) + seasonality • Benthic community: trophic categories, species assemblages, number of species for each ecological zone • Fish: communities (incl. dominant species), habitat, seasonality • Birds: list of important and migratory species • Marine mammals + marine turtles : species, habitat, threats • Ecosystems: forest types with dominant species; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explicit linkages (contamination risks mentioned in both baseline and EMP (e.g. mangrove fringes, coastal lagoons)); • Monitoring of marine mammals and marine turtles included in the EMP; |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flora: number of woody species, list of endemic species • Mammals and reptiles : species-level • National parks : description with important ecosystems/species • Threatened species | |
| EIA 5 / Ivory Coast | Obsolete Pesticides Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Negative impacts : loss of plant cover, loss of habitats contamination of fauna and flora if leaks; • Microphytes as important source of primary production for living matter usable for humans; • Floating hydrophytes as plague; • Decapods as resource for fishing and for their role in the ecosystem; • Preservation of natural resources as positive impact of pesticide decontamination; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vegetation: type, area, pressure, dominant species, land cover map; • Qualitative presence of Macrophytes, phytobenthos, phyto-and zooplankton, crustaceans, birds, crocodiles - macrofauna at various levels (class to species-level); • Quantitative information only for fish, zooplankton, and extent of forests and national parks; • Interactions between species (crustaceans as food for fish) • Conservation status and endemism for a few species; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No biological data in EMP; • Reforestation as mitigation measure against the loss of plant cover and habitats of high biodiversity value, with no information about the type of tree; |
| EIA 6/ Ghana | Oil field development | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of species of fisheries interest; • Mention of dependencies or impacts of activities on ecosystem services (very detailed); • Negative impacts: loss & fragmentation of habitat, impacts on flora due to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very detailed baseline study based on field surveys with quantitative data, conservation status, habitat, seasonality, and species-level for most taxa, at project level (areas of influence) • 31 ecosystem services identified for each habitat type; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong linkages between baseline data & EMP; • Monitoring Programs for fish & marine turtles included; • EMP includes sub-plans for marine fauna, vegetation and alien species, biodiversity and ecosystem services; |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | degradation of abiotic components of ecosystems, introduction of alien species, disturbance and/or displacement of fauna due to pollution, increased mortality of wildlife, impacts on landscape, disturbance of marine fauna due to physical disturbance of seabed, etc.; | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity management plan includes: transplantation of important species, forbidding collection of specimens, , avoidance, management, monitoring, repair and remediate; Mitigation options mentioned for each ecosystem service affected; |
| EIA 7 / Liberia | Electricity expansion project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No reference to a biological component in impact assessment; Only biodiversity impact identified: sediment-laden storm water runoff can negatively impact aquatic flora and fauna; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualitative presence of most common species for flora (9 cited) and fauna (mammals, birds, reptiles); Conservation status; Growth form, dominance and invasiveness information for plants; National parks and Ramsar wetlands described at national level (none in the influence area of the project); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No reference to biological data in the EMP: (quote: <i>'The pipeline exists in an industrial area away from any natural context'</i>); |
| EIA 8 / Mali | Obsolete pesticides management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fauna and flora assessed as affected environmental components of 'High' importance; Positive and negative impacts identified only in general terms: (<i>'Activities will have a negative impact on soil, vegetation'</i>) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At national scale: number of species of mammals, birds and fish; Only for high-risk sites: very general mention of presence of domestic animals, flora (e.g. <i>'Some bushes and tall grass surround the site'</i>) and fauna (e.g. <i>'presence of birds and rodents'</i>) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak link: only reference to general terms 'fauna 'and 'vegetation' in EMP; |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Threats identified on biodiversity at national level; | | |
| EIA 9 / Mauritania | Offshore gas field development, incl. production wells, subsea pipeline and onshore gas processing facilities; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Differentiation between local and regional area of influence of the project Fish data (cf. baseline info) include information on fisheries (e.g. fleet, fish landings); Seabed, marine ecology and terrestrial ecology are considered; Negative impacts: noise disturbance to marine mammals; placement of subsea infrastructure may lead to impact to seabed and benthic fauna; occasional oil spills may cause impact to marine habitats and species; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed & systematic biodiversity survey incl. reference to use of IUCN, FAO & <i>FishBase</i> data; Data on geographical distribution of primary productivity at sea; Species-level info for plankton, benthic Annelida, marine mammals, sea turtles (incl. maps), birds (incl. seasonality), reptiles & mammals; Reference to IUCN conservation status of selected species; Terrestrial biodiversity survey includes land cover types and info on ongoing reforestation project; Mention of protected areas locations; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited linkage between baseline and EMP; Pre- and post-installation survey and micro-routing of the pipeline to avoid sensitive habitats; Careful site layout plus offsetting of vegetation loss, based on mapping of vegetation in baseline study; |
| EIA 10 / Niger | Irrigation project in arid region | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wild fauna as protein source (hunting); Fauna as threat to people (jackals); Negative (vegetation removal) and positive (regrowth downstream) impacts of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No quantitative baseline data; fragmentary qualitative data on presence of macrofauna (mammals, birds); Species-level data for trees, including references to national conservation status; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No explicit linkages; Hunting ban during construction phase linked to identified general poaching threat; |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | | irrigation infrastructure mentioned; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention of existing (non-project related) threats to fauna in the region: poaching, encroachment; | |
| EIA 11 / Nigeria | Bridge construction in National Park | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soil microorganisms sampled because of their role in soil carbon storage; • Focus on iconic species: primates, limited mention of other mammals and birds; • Focus on improved national park management & conservation enforcement: through improved access; • Yet increased accessibility leads to increased human movement and hence increased illegal hunting cutting & encroachment by farmers; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fauna studies & vegetation studies mentioned, based on literature review and interviews; • Species-level presence data for primates only, incl. conservation status; • Limited presence list of selected other taxa (birds, mammals); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the EMP: mention of demarcation between forest farming and preserved area; • Potential loss of fauna during construction phase linked to conservation status of some species; |
| EIA 12 / Nigeria | Building rice processing centre & access roads | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cumulative negative impacts include: deforestation due to agricultural development; • Negative impacts of access road: biodiversity reduction, habitat destruction, impeding of wildlife movement, increase in poaching and illegal removal of firewood; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative ecosystem description; • List of economically important crop species; • Incomplete presence lists of mammals, birds and reptiles provided, with qualitative indications of degree of rarity; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set-up of vegetation clearing and biomass management plan linked to predicted biodiversity loss (qualitative); |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eutrophication and destruction of local ecological functionalities due to agriculture; • Proposal of actions to decrease demand for bushmeat; • Proposed collaboration with conservation groups; | | |
| EIA 13 / Nigeria | Power plant & gas pipeline | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic information on the use and functions of mangroves (not site-specific); • Mention of importance of ecosystem for local communities' livelihoods; • Negative impacts on freshwater ecology rated very high as it is a breeding ground for fish, amphibians etc.; • Excavation of the pipeline trench is expected to disperse sediments which may smother benthic invertebrates; • Heavy metals released through sediment movement could bio-accumulate in the food chain; • Destruction of bird nests is expected impact; • Underwater noise may disturb marine mammals; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Info on floristic composition and forest types, including standard comment on conservation status (<i>'there are no unique, rare or endangered species'</i>); • Genus-level information regarding invertebrates; • Low resolution of baseline data on e.g. birds where category such as 'songbirds' is used; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Link between baseline section and EMP limited; • Mitigation measures include adapted drilling technique to avoid sediment damage; timing of construction work outside of main breeding season of birds; • Use of least intrusive dredging equipment and dredging during low tide when feasible, is advised; |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mitigation includes the prohibition of hunting & selling of bushmeat & avoidance of fauna migration paths; | | |
| EIA 14 / Nigeria | Rehabilitation of an irrigation scheme | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ponds and game reserves cited as tourist sites; • Vegetation in the baseline study associated to its local uses; • Fish as animal protein in baseline; • Negative impacts: increased de-vegetation, and loss of economically interesting plants and animals; discharge of sediment laden run-off and contaminants in water runoff may affect aquatic life; • Biodiversity aspects as a negative social impact (attack from dangerous animals during de-vegetation activities , increase of crop production thereby attracting higher density of pests, Increased presence of termite mounds, nematodes, Bat infestation, <i>Typha</i> grass invasion, <i>Quelea quela</i> | <p><i>At state level:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short qualitative description of vegetation, and number of domestic animals • Sites of significance interest (tourist places): national parks, ponds • Ecological problems include desertification, and environmental degradation (fuel wood); <p><i>At project level:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species lists based on field survey for plant species, • aquatic plant species, fauna (only some mammals, birds, bats, termites, fishes) • Information on local uses of plant species • Quantitative data on increase/decrease of fish catch (%) • Qualitative summary of habitats types • Damages caused by termites | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No explicit linkage between baseline section and EMP; • Revegetation of cleared areas planned with beneficial local species known to mitigate against erosion • Clearing should avoid areas with indigenous vegetation • Training against attack from dangerous animals during de-vegetation activities as mitigation: • Anti-birds sprays, insecticides, rodenticides and physical disturbance of bats and birds as mitigation; |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | invasion, grasshoppers invasion); | | |
| EIA 15 / Nigeria | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gas turbine power plant | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor negative impacts: disturbance & loss of wildlife considered minor; Moderate negative impact: loss of vegetation & disturbance and loss of benthic organisms t; Prohibit hunting & selling of bushmeat, train in fauna avoidance & migration paths In mitigation section: restore & revegetate, control invasive plants, design access roads to minimize destruction and fragmentation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation & wildlife & marine ecology (plankton, benthic macroinvertebrates, fisheries); Details on p. 19 (habitats & vegetation) with focus on plants with edible fruits; Species-level presence info on limited list of 35 animals based on interviews, tracks & fecal analysis; Species-level info on plankton & fish, both in wet and dry season; Vegetation survey, incl. vegetation type and distribution map; Species-level flora info, including use; Conservation status listed for selected mammal species; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited linkages between baseline data & EMP; Habitat disturbance estimate is provided (% of area disturbed per vegetation type & location) in the EMP; |
| EIA 16 / Nigeria | Urban water supply & sanitation project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focus on domestic species only; Soil & water analysis is detailed; Biodiversity defined as 'terrestrial habitats' in the impact matrix; Mention of increasing human population that may lead to biodiversity loss; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation assessment done only on cultivated fields (as the site is heavily urbanized); Species-level fauna info limited: presence list of domestic animals and crops; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No explicit linkages between baseline data & EMP; |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| EIA 17 / Senegal | Road rehabilitation project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services framework is presented; • Mention of biodiversity linked to national policy (National Biodiversity Strategy & Action Plan); • Mitigation strategies include hunting & firewood collection bans during construction phase; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicative number of plant and bird species, compared to nationally present species totals; • Species-level presence info for four mammals; • Species-level presence info for vegetation, including conservation status according to National Forestry Law; • Mention of nearby Marine Protected Area (MPA); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No explicit linkages between baseline data & EMP, although mention of avoiding vegetation loss; |
| EIA 18 / Senegal | Development of sewage system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Biodiversity mentions linked to National Biodiversity Action Plan and to National Environmental Law; <p>Differentiated impacts with or without mitigation plans ('variance analysis') on fauna described;</p> <p>Flora: negative impact on photosynthesis due to dust during construction work;</p> <p>Impacts of the emission of wastewater in the lagoon is considered problematic for two reasons: crustacean populations (including nursery function of mangroves) & bathing water will be impacted</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species & genus-level presence data for Mollusca; • Species-level presence data for mangrove trees; • Vernacular names only for birds; • Focus on MPAs information; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on the biodiversity in the lagoon, abandoning the emission of wastewater in lagoon is proposed; • Mitigation actions for the prevention of pollution of the marine protected area are listed; |

Table 3. Consideration of biodiversity in the assessed EIAs along three dimensions of the conceptual framework outlined in Section 2. Table 3 is an interpretative synthesis of Table 2. Framings terminology is based on Holmes et al. (2016), Mace (2014), Miller et al. (2011), Tallis & Lubchenco (2014) as outlined in Table 1. Regarding decision-making context: the symbols refer to the links between the baseline data and the environmental management plan ('-': no link; '+': link; '++': strong link)

| EIA number | Biodiversity framing | Representation of biodiversity | Decision-making context |
|-------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| EIA 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic value / Nature for itself</i>: species; • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for people / Social conservationist</i>: ecosystem services (sacred forest, fish resources separated from fauna in impact identification); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative presence data • Species-level (only for plantations, fishes, crustaceans, molluscs, birds) | + |
| EIA 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself</i>: species, habitats); • <i>Nature despite people / Nature protectionist / Traditional Conservation</i>: habitat loss and overexploitation (threats on plants: agriculture, livestock, timber, firewood) • <i>Nature for people / Nearly New Conservation / Instrumental Value</i>: ecosystem services (plants useful for humans) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level for some plants • Type of ecosystems present | - |

| | | | |
|--------------|---|--|----|
| EIA 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself</i>: species • <i>Nature despite people / Nature protectionist</i>: habitat loss and overexploitation (threats on mammals: agriculture, bushfire, poaching) • <i>Nature for people / Instrumental Value</i>: ecosystem services (domestic species, sacred forests, plant cover against soil erosion) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level and endemism for birds • Common names for mammals • General names for other groups ('reptiles', 'amphibians' and 'insects') • Vegetation types and forest categories | - |
| EIA 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature for itself / Intrinsic Value</i>: species, protected areas (habitats, ecology) • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for people</i>: ecosystems • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: overexploitation (threats on marine turtles) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level • Quantitative data for plankton, benthos, flora • Habitat information • Seasonality included • Conservation status • Endemism information • Interactions between species (food chain) | ++ |
| EIA 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic value / Nature for itself</i>: species, protected areas • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level presence for variety of taxa • Quantitative information only for fishes, zooplankton, | - |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|---|----|
| | <p>overexploitation and habitat loss (agriculture, bushfire, hunt)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for people / Nearly New Conservation</i>: ecosystem services (food resource, photosynthesis); | <p>and extent of forests and national parks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conservation status and endemism (qualitative) | |
| EIA 6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature for itself / Intrinsic Value</i>: species, protected areas • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: threats, threatened species, habitat loss, pollution and overexploitation • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for people</i>: ecosystems, ecosystem services (considered as receptor of impact and included in EMP) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level • Conservation status • Seasonality • Migration information • Quantitative data for all taxa • Species of fisheries interest • Ecosystem services (31) for each habitat | ++ |
| EIA 7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself</i>: species, protected areas • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: threats, threatened species, pollution, overexploitation (mining, firewood, charcoal, fishing) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative presence data • Species-level • Conservation status • Endemism of plants | - |

| | | | |
|---------------|---|---|---|
| EIA 8 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself</i>: species, protected areas • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: habitat loss and overexploitation (logging, overgrazing, poaching, fishing, bushfire, chemicals, climate change), pollution (chemicals for pest control) • <i>Nature for the people/Instrumental value</i>: ecosystem services (domestic animals and crops) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General descriptors used ('trees, bushes, birds') • Number of species of mammals, birds and fishes (national scale only) | - |
| EIA 9 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: overexploitation (hunting), habitat loss (vegetation loss, fragmentation) • <i>Instrumental value / Nature for the people</i>: ecosystem services (edible fruit crops) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems information (marine) • Species level data for plankton, fish, birds, mammals • Seasonality included • Conservation status | + |
| EIA 10 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: overexploitation (poaching), habitat loss (encroachment); • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for the people</i>: ecosystem services (protein source); | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative presence data • Species-level info only for trees • Conservation status | - |

| | | | |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| EIA 11 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature Protectionist / Nature for itself</i>: protected area (national park conservation enforcement) • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: overexploitation (poaching), habitat loss (encroachment) • <i>Instrumental value / Nature for the people</i>: ecosystem services (carbon storage) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species level presence data for primates • Conservation status | + |
| EIA 12 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people</i>: threats (wildlife), habitat loss & overexploitation • <i>Instrumental value / Nature for the people</i>: ecosystem services (economically important crops) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems information • Qualitative data on mammals, birds, reptiles • Conservation status (qualitative) | + |
| EIA 13 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic value / Nature for itself</i>: protected areas, species • <i>Social conservationists / People and nature</i>: socio-ecological interactions (food chain bio-accumulation) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems information • Genus-level data on invertebrates • General descriptions birds • Conservation status | + |
| EIA 14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself</i>: species, habitats | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species-level for plant species, aquatic plant | + |

| | | | |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people:</i> overexploitation, pollution, habitat loss • <i>Instrumental value / Nature for people / Social conservationists:</i> ecosystem services (protection against erosion, food, tourism) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> species, fauna (only some mammals, birds, bats, termites, fishes) • Local uses of plant species • Quantitative data on trends in fish catch • Qualitative summary of habitats types | |
| EIA 15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic value / Nature for itself:</i> species, protected areas • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for the people:</i> ecosystem services (fisheries) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems information (marine & terrestrial) • Extensive referencing to biodiversity databases • Species level data for variety of taxa • Conservation status | + |
| EIA 16 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself:</i> species, protected areas • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for the people:</i> ecosystem services (fisheries) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems information (marine & terrestrial) • Extensive referencing to biodiversity databases • Species level data for variety of taxa • Conservation status | - |

| | | | |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| EIA 17 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Instrumental value / Social Conservationists / Nature for the people: ecosystem services (framework presented as guide)</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species level data for selected taxa | - |
| EIA 18 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature protectionist / Nature despite people / Traditional conservation: overexploitation, pollution, habitat loss</i> • <i>Intrinsic Value / Nature for itself: species, protected areas</i> • <i>Instrumental Value / Nature for the people: ecosystem services (food, clean water, recreation)</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species and genus level data selected taxa • Species level data trees • Conservation: focus on Marine Protected Area | + |