

Molecular and Cellular Aspects of Contaminant Toxicity in Plants: The Importance of Sulphur and Associated Signalling Pathways

Peer-reviewed author version

HENDRIX, Sophie; Schröder, Peter; KEUNEN, Els; Huber, Christian & CUYPERS, Ann (2017) Molecular and Cellular Aspects of Contaminant Toxicity in Plants: The Importance of Sulphur and Associated Signalling Pathways. In: Advances in Botanical Research, 83, p. 223-276.

DOI: 10.1016/bs.abr.2016.12.007

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/25667>

**Running title:** Plant responses to contaminant exposure

## **Molecular and cellular aspects of contaminant toxicity in plants**

**Sophie Hendrix<sup>a</sup>, Peter Schröder<sup>b</sup>, Els Keunen<sup>a</sup>, Christian Huber<sup>b</sup> and Ann Cuypers<sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Environmental Biology, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Agoralaan  
Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

<sup>b</sup> Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Centre for Environmental Health, GmbH  
Research Unit Environmental Genomics, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg,  
Germany

### **Abstract**

Environmental contamination with metals and organic compounds poses a serious threat to human health. Investigating plant responses to these contaminants at the molecular and cellular level is crucial to optimise phytoremediation strategies to clean up contaminated soils. Two key players in plant stress responses are the sulphur-containing amino acids cysteine and methionine. Cysteine is an important constituent of the metal-chelating metallothioneins and is also the precursor for glutathione and subsequent phytochelatins synthesis. During stress conditions, glutathione is involved in (1) metal chelation, (2) xenobiotic detoxification and (3) antioxidative defence. The activated form of methionine, S-adenosylmethionine, is involved in the synthesis of ethylene and polyamines, both playing important roles in signal transduction. This review provides an overview

22 of sulphur assimilation and its conversion into basic metabolites essential for detoxification and  
23 signal transduction during metal- and organic contaminant-induced stress conditions in plants.  
24 Furthermore, the cross-talk between these pathways and their relation to the contaminant-induced  
25 oxidative challenge are discussed.

26

## 1 General introduction

Since the industrial revolution, but especially over the last decades, abiotic contaminants – mainly from agricultural and industrial origin – have emerged into the environment and are recognised to pose a serious threat to the environment and human health (Ibrahim, Hayyan, AlSaadi, Hayyan, & Ibrahim, 2016; Noguera-Oviedo & Aga, 2016). These contaminants can be categorised in different groups based on their physicochemical properties, but their persistence (e.g. metals) and degradability (e.g. organics) are determining factors for their residence in the environment. As a result, different strategies are needed to remediate the environment. Microbe-assisted phytoremediation is a promising technology to be implemented in the clean-up of soils polluted with metals and organics (Chirakkara, Cameselle, & Reddy, 2016; Gerhardt, Huang, Glick, & Greenberg, 2009; Ma, Oliveira, Freitas, & Zhang, 2016; Vangronsveld et al., 2009). However, to exploit this technology, it is important to unravel the underlying molecular and cellular responses of plants in order to minimise phytotoxic responses.

Besides growth reduction and retardation, morphological and physiological responses as a result of organics or metal stress have been extensively described in plants (Verkleij, Golan-Goldhirsh, Antosiewicz, Schwitzguébel, & Schröder, 2009). More recently, multiple studies have focussed on the underlying molecular mechanisms of these stress responses. To cope with toxic compounds, plants rely on different detoxification mechanisms such as (1) biotransformation, (2) conjugation and (3) sequestration, all of which should be highly coordinated to prevent cellular damage. In addition to the detoxification of excess contaminants, also other factors such as environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, humidity) determine the stress intensity perceived by the plant (Reichenauer & Germida, 2008). Pivotal modulators of plant metabolism upon multiple

environmental challenges are reactive oxygen species (ROS), involved in oxidative damage but also signalling. In response to the alteration of ROS levels, metabolic adjustments lead to a newly established cellular homeostasis essential for plant performance (Cuypers et al., 2016; Foyer & Noctor, 2005).

When considering cellular detoxification and regulation mechanisms, the sulphur-containing amino acids, cysteine and methionine, play an important role. Cysteine is a major constituent of the metal chelators metallothioneins (MTs), glutathione (GSH) and phytochelatins (PCs) (Anjum, Gill, & Gill, 2014). Additionally, GSH is often consumed in conjugation reactions of organic compounds prior to sequestration into the vacuole (Dixon, Skipsey, & Edwards, 2010). Besides its role in detoxification, GSH is a major cellular antioxidant in plants (Noctor et al., 2012). As such, it is clear that detoxification and regulation are closely interconnected in plant stress acclimation. Methionine, the other sulphur-containing amino acid, forms the basis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), a central component in plant metabolism. It is also the initial biosynthetic compound in the production of the stress hormone ethylene (Sauter, Moffatt, Saechao, Hell, & Wirtz, 2013). Besides ROS, ethylene is an important regulator of stress perception and is known to be involved in multiple responses induced by abiotic stress (Keunen, Schellingen, Vangronsveld, & Cuypers, 2016b). From this, it becomes clear that upon exposure to excess organics or metals, sulphur is an essential element in (1) detoxification pathways and (2) the regulation of plant stress responses. Therefore, the current review focusses on sulphur uptake and assimilation and its conversion into basic metabolites essential for detoxification and regulation mechanisms under organic and metal exposure in plants. Via these sulphur-containing metabolites, detoxification and regulation are strongly interconnected and are discussed in view of the oxidative challenge and signal transduction under stress conditions in plants.

## 2 Sulphur uptake and assimilation

Sulphur is an essential macronutrient for all organisms, serving different metabolic functions. It is incorporated into the amino acids cysteine and methionine, controlling the structure and biological activity of many proteins (Davidian & Kopriva, 2010; Gotor et al., 2015). Furthermore, it is an important component of several coenzymes and prosthetic groups and is involved in plant responses to both biotic and abiotic stress factors (Davidian & Kopriva, 2010; Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014; Romero et al., 2014). In soil, sulphur is mainly present as inorganic sulphate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ). In order to enter metabolic pathways, sulphate is assimilated in plants through a pathway consisting of (1) sulphate uptake, (2) sulphate activation, (3) sulphate reduction and (4) synthesis of cysteine (Fig. 1) (Droux, 2004; Ravilious & Jez, 2012).

[Insert Figure 1 here]

Sulphate is taken up by plants via the action of proton/sulphate cotransport systems (Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014; Takahashi, Kopriva, Giordano, Saito, & Hell, 2011). The plant family of sulphate transporters (SULTRs), comprised of 12 to 16 genes depending on the plant species, is subdivided into four functional groups based on sequence, biochemical characteristics and physiological function (Takahashi et al., 2011). The SULTR family is best characterised in the model organism *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014). The most important transporters involved in sulphate uptake in roots are the high-affinity SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2 proteins (group 1). However, as the expression of the *SULTR1;1* gene in roots is much lower as compared to that of *SULTR1;2*, the latter is considered the most important transporter involved in plant sulphate uptake during normal sulphate supply (Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014; Rouached et al., 2008). During sulphate starvation however, *SULTR1;1* expression is strongly upregulated, a response that is likely

94 due to the presence of a sulphur-responsive (SURE) *cis* element in its promoter (Maruyama-  
95 Nakashita et al., 2005). Another known sulphate transporter is SULTR1;3, which is localised in  
96 phloem companion cells and involved in sulphate transport from source to sink (Yoshimoto, Inoue,  
97 Saito, Yamaya, & Takahashi, 2003). Furthermore, the low-affinity group 2 SULTRs facilitate long  
98 distance sulphate transport (Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014; Takahashi et al., 2000). The activity of  
99 SULTR2;1 is modulated by SULTR3;5, which does not transport sulphate itself (Kataoka, Hayashi,  
100 Yamaya, & Takahashi, 2004a). In contrast to SULTR3;5, other group 3 SULTRs function in  
101 sulphate import into plastids (Cao et al., 2013; Gigolashvili & Kopriva, 2014), as the enzymes  
102 necessary for sulphate reduction are exclusively present in these organelles (Davidian & Kopriva,  
103 2010). In addition, transporters belonging to group 4 are localised in the tonoplast, releasing  
104 sulphate from vacuoles (Kataoka et al., 2004b; Takahashi et al., 2011).

105 Once taken up in plant cells, sulphate is activated in an adenylation reaction catalysed by ATP  
106 sulphurylase (ATPS). The resulting adenosine 5'-phosphosulphate (APS) is an important  
107 branching point in the sulphate assimilation pathway (Fig. 1). It can be phosphorylated by the  
108 action of APS kinase (APK), leading to the formation of 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulphate  
109 (PAPS), an important activated sulphate donor for many sulphation reactions in plant secondary  
110 metabolism. In the primary sulphate assimilation pathway, however, APS reductase (APR) reduces  
111 APS to sulphite ( $\text{SO}_3^{2-}$ ), which is further reduced to sulphide ( $\text{S}^{2-}$ ) by the ferredoxin-dependent  
112 sulphite reductase (SiR). Sulphide is subsequently used for the synthesis of cysteine (Droux, 2004;  
113 Takahashi et al., 2011). While ATPS is also present in the cytosol, the reductive steps of the  
114 sulphate assimilation pathway only take place in plastids (Davidian & Kopriva, 2010).

115 The final step in the assimilation pathway of reduced sulphate is the synthesis of cysteine (Fig. 1).  
116 This sulphur-containing amino acid is subsequently incorporated into different compounds

117 including MTs, GSH and PCs, important in metal chelation, detoxification of xenobiotics and  
118 antioxidative defence (Anjum et al., 2014). Furthermore, cysteine is an important building block  
119 for the synthesis of methionine, the second sulphur-containing amino acid (Wirtz & Droux, 2005).  
120 In the first and rate-limiting step of cysteine biosynthesis, O-acetylserine (OAS) is synthesised  
121 from L-serine and acetyl-coenzyme A by serine acetyl transferase (SAT) (Wirtz & Droux, 2005;  
122 Wirtz & Hell, 2006). Subsequently, cysteine is formed by substitution of the acetate of OAS with  
123 sulphide in a  $\beta$ -replacement reaction catalysed by OAS (thiol) lyase (OASTL). Both SAT and  
124 OASTL are present in the cytosol, plastids and mitochondria (Anjum et al., 2014). These enzymes  
125 were demonstrated to associate, forming the hetero-oligomeric cysteine synthase complex. This  
126 association with OASTL is necessary for the stability and activity of SAT, which is otherwise  
127 subjected to feedback inhibition by cysteine. In contrast, OASTL activity is silenced when bound  
128 to SAT, suggesting that this enzyme only functions as a chaperone-like subunit in the cysteine  
129 synthase complex (Wirtz et al., 2010; Wirtz & Hell, 2006). However, as cellular OASTL activity  
130 is 100 to 300 times higher as compared to SAT activity, free OASTL enzymes are present in cells  
131 and catalyse the formation of cysteine from sulphide and OAS released by the complex (Droux,  
132 2004; Saito, 2000). It must be noted that several metabolites regulate the stability of the cysteine  
133 synthase complex. Under sulphur deprivation conditions, for example, increased OAS levels  
134 stimulate dissociation of the complex, thereby inhibiting SAT activity and further OAS  
135 accumulation. In contrast, the presence of sulphide counteracts the dissociation of SAT and  
136 OASTL, resulting in the maintenance of SAT activity as long as sulphide availability is not a  
137 limiting factor (Droux, Ruffet, Douce, & Job, 1998; Takahashi et al., 2011; Wirtz & Hell, 2006).



## 2.1 Response to metal stress

In addition to its regulation by internal stimuli, the sulphate assimilation pathway is affected by environmental factors including metal exposure (Ernst, Krauss, Verkleij, & Wesenberg, 2008; Na & Salt, 2011). Indeed, several metals were shown to influence the expression of genes encoding both high- and low-affinity sulphate transporters, thereby affecting sulphate uptake and assimilation. Nocito et al. (2006), for example, demonstrated that exposure to different concentrations of cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) increased the expression of *ST1;1*, a high-affinity sulphate transporter in *Zea mays*. As a result, sulphate uptake capacity in roots was significantly enhanced under all metal exposure conditions (Nocito et al., 2006). Furthermore, Zn was shown to enhance root *SULTR1;1*, *SULTR1;2*, *SULTR4;1* and *SULTR4;2* expression and sulphate uptake in *Brassica pekinensis* (Stuiver et al., 2014). Similarly, Dixit et al. (2016) demonstrated an upregulation of *SULTR1;1*, *SULTR1;2*, *SULTR2;2* and *SULTR4;1* expression in roots of *Oryza sativa* exposed to the metalloid arsenic (As), resulting in increased sulphur accumulation and translocation. As a consequence, cysteine, GSH and PC levels were higher in both roots and shoots (Dixit et al., 2016). However, exposure to chromium (Cr) clearly decreased the expression levels of *ST1;1* and significantly suppressed sulphate uptake in *Z. mays* (Schiavon et al., 2007). Furthermore, Cr was also shown to reduce sulphate uptake and negatively affect the transcription of several sulphate transporters including *SULTR1;3*, *SULTR2;1*, *SULTR3;2*, *SULTR3;5* and *SULTR4;1* in roots of *Brassica juncea* after 24 hours of exposure. In contrast, expression levels of *SULTR4;2* were significantly increased at this time point (Schiavon et al., 2012).

In addition to their effect on sulphate uptake, metals also influence transcript levels, protein abundance and activity of enzymes catalysing different reactions in the sulphate assimilation

pathway. For example, an increased activity of the sulphate-activating enzyme ATPS was reported in leaves of *A. thaliana* exposed to 50  $\mu$ M Cd for 3 or 5 days (Bashir, Ahmad, Bagheri, Nauman, & Qureshi, 2013). Similar effects were demonstrated in *Sedum alfredii*, with Cd increasing root and shoot ATPS transcript levels in a concentration-dependent manner. Moreover, the expression of the SAT gene encoding the first enzyme in cysteine biosynthesis was also significantly upregulated by Cd exposure in the roots (Liang et al., 2014). In addition to Cd, also Cu was shown to affect sulphate assimilation, as demonstrated by a strongly increased ATPS expression in roots of both *A. thaliana* and *Arabidopsis halleri* (Weber, Trampeczynska, & Clemens, 2006). Furthermore, Cu-induced increases in the protein abundance of APR, SAT and OASTL in *O. sativa* roots were reported by Song et al. (2013). By affecting enzymes involved in sulphate assimilation, several metal(loid)s including As (Dixit et al., 2016; Talukdar & Talukdar, 2014), Cd (Bashir et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2014; Nocito et al., 2006), Cr (Schiavon et al., 2012; Schiavon, Pilon-Smits, Wirtz, Hell, & Malagoli, 2008), Cu (Gajewska, Glowacki, Mazur, & Sklodowska, 2013), nickel (Ni) (Gajewska et al., 2013), lead (Pb) (Mandavian, Ghaderian, & Schat, 2016) and Zn (Stuiver et al., 2014) were shown to alter cysteine levels in a wide range of plant species.

However, it is important to note that plant responses to metal exposure depend on sulphate supply, as metal-induced effects observed during sulphate starvation conditions often differ from those observed during normal sulphate availability. Indeed, results of Bashir et al. (2015) indicate that under conditions of sulphate starvation, *B. juncea* displayed higher Cd-induced oxidative stress levels and an increased Cd sensitivity. Furthermore, sulphur addition was reported to increase Cd uptake in roots of *Brassica chinensis*, while inhibiting root-to-shoot translocation of the metal. Although the addition of sulphate to the growth medium increased plant Cd uptake, it also relieved the Cd-induced inhibition of root and shoot growth and significantly reduced malondialdehyde

(MDA) and superoxide ( $O_2^{\cdot-}$ ) levels in both organs (Liang et al., 2016). Furthermore, decreases in *Corchorus olitorius* dry weight induced by aluminium (Al), Cd, Cu and Pb were alleviated by the addition of either  $K_2SO_4$  or cysteine to the growth medium (Mazen, 2004). These results are of particular interest in the context of phytoremediation, as this soil remediation strategy strongly benefits from plants exhibiting a high metal uptake capacity and increased metal tolerance.

The involvement of sulphate uptake and assimilation in plant responses to metal stress is further supported by the observation that modification of genes involved in sulphate assimilation alters plant tolerance to a broad array of metals. Indeed, overexpression of *SAT* was shown to increase OAS, cysteine and GSH levels in *A. thaliana*, thereby increasing its resistance to Ni-induced growth inhibition (Freeman et al., 2004). Furthermore, overexpression of different *OASTL* isoforms increased Cd tolerance of both *A. thaliana* and *Nicotiana tabacum* (Dominguez-Solis, Gutierrez-Alcala, Romero, & Gotor, 2001; Dominguez-Solis et al., 2004; Harada, Choi, Tsuchisaka, Obata, & Sano, 2001; Kawashima et al., 2004; Ning, Zhang, Yao, & Yu, 2010). Interestingly, transgenic *Brassica napus* plants overexpressing *miR395* also showed diminished Cd-induced oxidative stress levels and were more tolerant to Cd. This miRNA is induced by sulphate starvation and controls the expression levels of *SULTR2;1* and three *ATPS* isoforms. However, expression of *miRNA395* is also increased under Cd exposure conditions, highlighting the similarity between plant responses to both stress factors (Gielen, Remans, Vangronsveld, & Cuypers, 2016; Zhang, Song, Shu, Zhang, & Yang, 2013).

Another finding indicating the importance of sulphate assimilation in plant responses to metal stress is the fact that transcript levels and activity of enzymes involved in sulphate uptake often differ between metal hyperaccumulators and their non-accumulator counterparts. Freeman et al. (2004), for example, reported strong positive correlations between OAS, cysteine and GSH levels

and shoot Ni concentrations in various *Thlaspi* hyperaccumulators and non-accumulators. Indeed, the metal-accumulating species *Thlaspi goesingense* displayed increased SAT activity and OAS, cysteine and GSH levels in comparison to the related non-accumulator *A. thaliana* under control conditions. When exposed to Ni, this resulted in an increased resistance of the former species against Ni-induced oxidative stress, as indicated by considerably lower concentrations of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) (Freeman et al., 2004). Furthermore, metal-tolerant genotypes of the same species often exhibit increased sulphate assimilation capacities as compared to their metal-sensitive counterparts. For example, in *Lens culinaris*, an As-tolerant cultivar, showed strongly increased SAT and OASTL transcript levels and activities and significantly enhanced cysteine and GSH levels as compared to an As-sensitive cultivar exposed to As. Interestingly, As differently affected transcript levels of group 1 sulphate transporters between both cultivars, with *SULTR1;1* and *SULTR1;2* upregulation in the tolerant and downregulation in the sensitive cultivar after 24 hours of exposure (Talukdar & Talukdar, 2014). Similarly, Song et al. (2013) reported more pronounced Cu-induced increases in SAT and OASTL protein abundance in a Cu-tolerant as compared to a Cu-sensitive *O. sativa* variety. Furthermore, the induction of ATPS and OASTL activities by Cd exposure in a tolerant *B. chinensis* cultivar was less pronounced or even absent in its sensitive counterpart (Liang et al., 2016). In conclusion, it is obvious that sulphate uptake and assimilation play a prominent role in plant responses to metal stress. This can be explained by the crucial role of cysteine-containing compounds in metal chelation and detoxification, as discussed in section 3.

## 2.2 *Response to organic contaminants*

While our knowledge on the effects of organic environmental contaminants on sulphur assimilation is scarce, the effects of herbicides and safeners – used to selectively protect crops from herbicide damage – have been frequently described (Abu-Qare & Duncan, 2002; Hirase & Molin, 2003). In 1985, the lab of Lamoureux described that pretreatment of *Z. mays* with low levels of the chloroacetamide herbicide 2-chloro-N,N-di-2-propenylacetamide protected them from the herbicide when it was applied later at higher concentrations by increasing GSH synthesis (Ezra, Rusness, Lamoureux, & Stephenson, 1985). Similarly, dichloroacetamide herbicide antidotes were shown to enhance sulphate metabolism in *Z. mays* roots by Adams et al. (1983). Diclormid and benoxacor both induced ATPS, whereas flurazole acted on OASTL (Hirase & Molin, 2003). Such an effect was also observed a few years later for *Sorghum bicolor* by Gronwald and co-workers (1987). In 1990, evidence for a direct interaction of N,N-diallyl-2,2-dichloroacetamide and 4-dichloroacetyl-3,4-dihydro-3-methyl-2H-1,4-benzo-oxazin (CGA 154 281) with sulphate assimilation and GSH contents was presented in *Z. mays* (Farago & Brunold, 1990). Similar to the safener CGA 154 281, cysteine formation and GSH synthesis were also induced by the safener 1-dichloroacetyl-hexahydro-3,3,8-trimethyl-pyrrolo-[1,2-]-pyrimidine-6-(2H)-one-(dicyclonone) (BAS 145 138) in *Z. mays*. (Kocsy et al., 2001). These specific effects of safeners on sulphate assimilation have been attributed to their influence on oxidative stress levels, but are not completely unravelled yet. Just recently, the effect of three herbicide safeners (mefenpyr-diethyl, fenchlorazole-ethyl and diclormid) on the content of sulphur-containing metabolites in Fe-deficient barley was investigated (Bartucca et al., 2016). All three safeners were effectively inducing ATPS activity, but the effect on OASTL activity was dependent on concentration as well as exposure time. An initial reduction of OASTL activity was followed by a strong induction. The

251 authors speculate that safeners initially induce some membrane damage and the generation of  
252 hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) in an oxidative burst, which leads to the activation of defence genes.  
253 Different from herbicidal metabolic action injuring plants, the inertness of safeners to plants does  
254 not cause toxicity. Hence, the initial decrease in OASTL activity after treatment would be regarded  
255 as an unspecific response of the plant to stress. Thereafter, the safening action prevails and the  
256 chemicals activate defence responses inducing OASTL activity, leading to enhanced GSH  
257 availability (Bartucca et al., 2016).

### 259 3 Thiols play an important role in detoxification

#### 260 3.1 Metallothioneins

261 Metallothioneins are cysteine-rich low-molecular-weight (< 10 kDa) metal-chelating proteins in  
262 many organisms (Fig. 1) (Anjum et al., 2015; Freisinger, 2008). Plant MTs are divided into four  
263 groups based on the number and arrangement of their cysteine residues (Cobbett & Goldsbrough,  
264 2002). Type 1-3 MTs contain two cysteine-rich domains, connected by a cysteine-poor linker  
265 region, the length of which depends on the specific MT type and the plant species (Leszczyszyn,  
266 Imam, & Blindauer, 2013). The cysteine-rich  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -domains are involved in metal binding. The  
267  $\alpha$ -domain is located at the C-terminus of the protein and contains six cysteine residues arranged  
268 according to the consensus sequence CxCxxxCxCxxCxC, where x represents any other amino acid  
269 than cysteine. The  $\beta$ -domain is present at the N-terminus and has a more variable amino acid  
270 sequence. It generally contains six cysteine residues in MT1 proteins, eight in MT2 proteins and  
271 four in MT3 proteins. Type 4 MTs – also referred to as E<sub>c</sub> proteins – can be distinguished from

other MT classes based on the presence of three cysteine-rich domains separated by two linker regions. Furthermore, they are characterised by two highly conserved histidine residues in the central cysteine-rich domain (Freisinger, 2008, 2011). In contrast to PCs, which are also important metal chelators in plant cells (see section 3.2.1), MTs are encoded by genes and thus are products of mRNA translation (Anjum et al., 2015). While almost all MT genes contain an intron near the N-terminal cysteine-rich domain, it is interesting to note that the exact position of this intron varies according to the specific type of MT encoded (Cobbett & Goldsbrough, 2002).

Different types of MTs display distinct spatiotemporal expression patterns. In general, type 1 MTs are more strongly expressed in roots as compared to shoots, whereas the opposite holds true for type 2 MTs (Guo, Bundithya, & Goldsbrough, 2003). Although type 3 MTs are also present in leaves, they are mainly expressed in ripening, fleshy fruits (Clendennen & May, 1997; Moyle, Fairbairn, Ripi, Crowe, & Botella, 2005). In contrast, MTs of type 4 are exclusively localised in developing seeds, implying a role in metal storage and accumulation there (Guo et al., 2003). As proposed by Ren et al. (2012), these MTs could provide a means to store Zn required for seed germination. Furthermore, different MT genes are strongly upregulated in ageing leaves, suggesting their involvement in leaf senescence. During this process, MTs possibly serve a dual function. On one hand, they could protect cells against metal toxicity arising from the breakdown of different metal-containing cellular components. On the other hand, they could also be involved in translocating the released metals to non-senescing plant tissues (Guo et al., 2003; Leszczyszyn et al., 2013).

Although many questions remain with regard to the different functions of plant MTs, their role in metal chelation is well established. Metallothioneins have the ability to bind both mono- and divalent metal ions in typical metal-thiolate clusters, characterised by high thermodynamic and low

kinetic stability. As a consequence, metals are tightly bound by MTs, but part of the metal ions can be readily relocated to other proteins (Hassinen, Tervahauta, Schat, & Karenlampi, 2011). Both essential and non-essential metals are chelated by MTs, indicating their involvement in nutrient homeostasis as well as metal detoxification. The metals Zn, Cu and Cd are bound to MTs with the highest affinity (Blindauer & Leszczyszyn, 2010). The importance of MTs in detoxifying excess metals is highlighted by their induction under metal exposure conditions, as discussed in the following section (3.1.1). However, many other biotic and abiotic stress factors including pathogen attack (Dauch & Jabaji-Hare, 2006), wounding (Razem & Bernards, 2002), light (Chen et al., 2003), drought (Li et al., 2016) and low temperature (Zhu et al., 2009) also induce the expression of *MT* genes, suggesting additional roles besides metal chelation. Transcriptional responses of MTs to different stress factors are possibly mediated by the presence of upstream regulatory elements in the promoter regions of genes encoding these proteins. Indeed, metal-, antioxidant-, wounding- and stress-responsive elements were identified in the promoters of type 1, 2 and 3 MTs. Furthermore, elements responsive to different phytohormones including ethylene, methyl jasmonate, gibberellic acid and salicylic acid were also found in MT promoters, suggesting hormonal regulation of MT expression (Leszczyszyn et al., 2013).

Interestingly, MTs also exhibit antioxidant properties. This is illustrated in *N. tabacum*, where ectopic expression of a type 1 MT from *O. sativa* clearly decreased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation during salinity stress (Kumar et al., 2012). Similar results were obtained by Xue et al. (2009), reporting increased stress tolerance and decreased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in transgenic *N. tabacum* plants overexpressing the *Gossypium hirsutum* *MT3a* gene as compared to the wildtype (WT) exposed to cold, salt and drought stress. Moreover, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels were significantly higher in an *A. thaliana* T-DNA insertion line lacking functional MT2a as compared to the WT subjected to drought and cold



stress (Zhu et al., 2009). Additional evidence supporting an antioxidative function for MTs is provided by the observation that exposure to ROS or ROS-inducing stress factors (e.g. paraquat) increased the expression of several *MT* genes in many plant species including *Quercus suber* (Mir et al., 2004), *G. hirsutum* (Xue et al., 2009), *Ipomoea batatas* (Kim, Jeong, Ahn, Lee, & Kwak, 2014) and *O. sativa* (Liu et al., 2015a). The antioxidative function of MTs can be explained by the fact that the cysteine residues responsible for metal scavenging also have the capability to reduce ROS. During metal exposure conditions, MTs could also exert an indirect antioxidative function by chelating redox-active metals such as Cu, thereby preventing ROS formation as a consequence of Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Leszczyszyn et al., 2013).

### 3.1.1 Response to metal stress

As mentioned before, metal exposure induces MT expression in numerous plant species. Exposure to Cu, for example, induced the expression of *MT1a*, *MT2a*, *MT2b* and *MT3* in different organs of *A. thaliana*. This increased transcription was especially pronounced in leaf trichomes, which are often reported to accumulate large amounts of metal ions (Guo et al., 2003). Similarly, Cd exposure significantly induced the expression of *MT2a* in *A. thaliana* roots and leaves (Jozefczak et al., 2014). In another study, 24 hours exposure to Cd, Cu or Zn was shown to induce the expression of *MT1* in *Cajanus cajan* (Sekhar, Priyanka, Reddy, & Rao, 2011). Furthermore, these metals also increased *MT3* transcription levels in leaves of *Porteresia coarctata* (Usha, Keeran, Harikrishnan, Kavitha, & Parida, 2011). In addition to Cd, Cu and Zn, which are most often associated with MT binding, other metals were shown to induce MT expression as well. Exposure to Pb, for example, strongly induced *MT2a* and *MT2b* expression in shoots of *Hirschfeldia incana* (Auguy et al., 2016). Similarly, *MT1* and *MT2* expression levels were elevated in As-exposed *O. sativa* (Nath et al.,

2014). Furthermore, also Fe (Ahn et al., 2012), Mn (Ahn et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012), mercury (Hg) (Venkatachalam, Srivastava, Raghothama, & Sahi, 2009) and Cr (Gautam et al., 2012) were shown to induce the expression of different *MT* genes in a broad range of plant species. The induction of *MT* expression in metal-exposed plants suggests a role for these proteins in metal stress defence responses.

A positive role for MTs in metal tolerance is also suggested by several studies reporting differences in *MT* expression between metal-sensitive plants and their tolerant counterparts. Indeed, *MT3* transcript levels were approximately 2.5-fold higher in the metal hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens* as compared to the non-accumulator *A. thaliana* grown under control conditions. Furthermore, the cysteine positions in the MT amino acid sequence of both species were different, predicting a smaller metal binding cavity in *A. thaliana* as compared to *T. caerulescens* (Roosens, Bernard, Leplae, & Verbruggen, 2004). Interestingly, *MT2a*, *MT2b* and *MT3* expression levels were also 1.5- to 4-fold higher in the metal hyperaccumulator *A. halleri* as compared to those in *A. thaliana* (Chiang, Lo, & Yeh, 2006). In addition, *MT2b* expression was considerably higher in two independently evolved Cu-tolerant *Silene paradoxa* populations as compared to a Cu-sensitive population, both under control conditions and after Cu exposure. This effect is probably related to the presence of multiple *MT2b* gene copies in both Cu-tolerant populations (Mengoni et al., 2003). Furthermore, Hassinen et al. (2009) reported that *MT2* and *MT3* were more highly expressed in metallicolous *T. caerulescens* accessions as compared to a non-metallicolous accession. However, Zn accumulation and *MT* transcript levels did not co-segregate, implying that MTs are not the major determinants of Zn accumulation in these plants.

A role for MTs in plant defence against metal stress is also supported by the fact that plants overexpressing different *MT* genes often display enhanced metal tolerance. Overexpression of a

364 putative MT from *Colocasia esculenta* (*CeMT*), for example, reduced the negative effects of Cd,  
365 Cu and Zn exposure on root growth of *N. tabacum* seedlings. Furthermore, it significantly increased  
366 metal accumulation in these plants. The positive function of this MT during metal stress could be  
367 related to its metal-chelating function, but could also be associated with its antioxidant properties.  
368 This is confirmed by significantly reduced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and lipid peroxidation levels in the *CeMT*-  
369 overexpressing plants as compared to their WT counterparts after 24 hours of metal exposure (Kim,  
370 Jung, Kim, & Bae, 2013). Similarly, overexpression of *MT2* from *S. alfredii* resulted in increased  
371 Cd and Zn tolerance and accumulation in *N. tabacum*. Again, this response was accompanied by  
372 significantly decreased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in metal-exposed transgenic tobacco plants as compared to the  
373 WT. Furthermore, when exposed to Cd and Zn, the *MT2* overexpressing plants also displayed  
374 increased SOD, CAT and POD activities in comparison to WT plants (Zhang et al., 2014a). In  
375 addition, overexpression of MTs from yeast and mammals can also increase metal tolerance and/or  
376 accumulation in plants (Daghan, Arslan, Uygur, & Koleli, 2013; Ruiz, Alvarez, Torres, Roman, &  
377 Daniell, 2011; Vrbova et al., 2013) and is proposed to be an interesting phytoremediation strategy.

378 Although MTs are important players in plant metal stress responses, it should be noted that their  
379 responses depend on many factors, including the plant species, tissue and specific metal considered.  
380 Schiller et al. (2014), for example, demonstrated that leaf expression levels of *MT1a* were  
381 upregulated by Cu and Zn exposure and downregulated by Cd exposure in *Hordeum vulgare*.  
382 Similarly, transcript levels of *MT2c* and *MT3* increased in response to Cu, while they decreased in  
383 leaves of Cd-exposed plants. In contrast, expression levels of these *MTs* remained unchanged in  
384 response to Zn. Furthermore – as mentioned above – expression levels of different MTs were  
385 strongly tissue-dependent, with *MT1b* and *MT4* transcripts exclusively detected in roots and grains  
386 respectively (Schiller et al., 2014). Taken together, these data point towards distinct physiological

functions for different MT isoforms. A first step towards unravelling these functions involves the analysis of MTs at the protein level. This remains a challenge, however, as plant MTs are very prone to proteolysis, hampering the application of proteomics approaches (Blindauer & Leszczyszyn, 2010).

### 3.2 *Glutathione*

Glutathione is the most abundant non-protein thiol in almost all aerobic species, occurring at intracellular concentrations of 0.5 to 10 mM. It is a tripeptide consisting of  $\gamma$ -glutamate, cysteine and glycine and is synthesised in two ATP-dependent steps (Fig. 1). First, a peptide bond is formed between  $\gamma$ -glutamate and cysteine, producing  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine ( $\gamma$ -EC). This reaction is catalysed by  $\gamma$ -EC synthetase ( $\gamma$ -ECS; GSH1), also known as glutamate–cysteine ligase (GCL), and constitutes the rate-limiting step in GSH biosynthesis. Subsequently, GSH is formed by the addition of glycine to  $\gamma$ -EC in a reaction catalysed by glutathione synthetase (GSH-S; GSH2) (Noctor et al., 2012). While GSH1 is exclusively localised in chloroplasts, GSH2 is also present in the cytosol, with cytosolic GSH2 activity strongly exceeding that in chloroplasts (Wachter, Wolf, Steininger, Bogs, & Rausch, 2005). Transport of  $\gamma$ -EC into the cytosol, necessary for cytosolic GSH production, is mediated by chloroquine-resistance transporter-like transporters (CLTs) in the plastid envelope (Maughan et al., 2010). Once synthesised, GSH is distributed to different organelles by the action of plastidal CLTs and transporters in mitochondrial and nuclear membranes (Zechmann, 2014). Mitochondria, chloroplasts and the cytosol have the highest GSH abundance in plant cells (Noctor et al., 2012). In addition to its intracellular transport, GSH is also transported between cells and is one of the major reduced sulphur forms translocated in the phloem (Noctor et al., 2012).

410 An important determinant of GSH biosynthesis is GSH1 activity, which is regulated at three levels.  
411 First, GSH1 activity is subject to feedback inhibition by GSH itself. Under stress conditions, GSH  
412 is consumed and the feedback inhibition is alleviated, thereby increasing GSH synthesis. In  
413 addition, GSH1 is transcriptionally regulated via the interaction of a redox-sensitive repressor-  
414 binding protein with the 5'-untranslated region of its encoding gene (Noctor, Gomez, Vanacker, &  
415 Foyer, 2002). Furthermore, GSH1 is post-transcriptionally modulated by the cellular redox state.  
416 Under oxidising conditions, it functions as a homodimeric enzyme with two intermolecular  
417 disulphide bonds. Reducing conditions however, disrupt one of these disulphide bonds, thereby  
418 altering the dimer interface and shifting the enzyme to its less active monomeric form (Hothorn et  
419 al., 2006). Whether this mechanism is responsible for GSH1 feedback inhibition by GSH is  
420 currently unknown (Jozefczak, Remans, Vangronsveld, & Cuypers, 2012). It is interesting to note  
421 that GSH biosynthesis is tightly coupled to sulphate assimilation, as stress-induced GSH  
422 accumulation is often accompanied by increased sulphate uptake and transcriptional upregulation  
423 of sulphate assimilation genes including *APR* and *SAT* (Noctor et al., 2012; Queval et al., 2009;  
424 Smith, Kendall, Keys, Turner, & Lea, 1985).

425 In plants, GSH is involved in many processes including cell cycle regulation and defence against  
426 biotic and abiotic stress factors (Noctor et al., 2012). The essential role of GSH in plant physiology  
427 is underlined by the observation that plants lacking GSH due to a knockout mutation in either *GSH1*  
428 or *GSH2* display a lethal phenotype (Cairns, Pasternak, Wachter, Cobbett, & Meyer, 2006; Lim,  
429 Meyer, & Cobbett, 2011). In contrast, plants with lowered GSH levels are viable but show an  
430 altered phenotype as compared to WT plants. For example, the *root meristemless 1-1* (*rm11-1*)  
431 mutant contains less than 5% of WT GSH levels and thereby fails to develop a root apical meristem  
432 (Cheng, Seeley, & Sung, 1995; Vernoux et al., 2000). Other GSH-deficient mutants such as

*cadmium-sensitive 2-1 (cad2-1)*, *regulator of ascorbate peroxidase 1-1 (rax1-1)* and *phytoalexin-deficient 2-1 (pad2-1)* display GSH levels between 25 and 50% of WT levels. Even though these plants develop normally, they are more sensitive to environmental stress factors, emphasising the crucial role of GSH during stress conditions (Ball et al., 2004; Howden, Andersen, Goldsbrough, & Cobbett, 1995; Parisy et al., 2007).

In this review, we will focus on the most important functions of GSH in stress responses induced by metals and organic contaminants. These include (1) metal chelation by PCs, (2) detoxification of xenobiotics by glutathione transferases (GSTs) and (3) antioxidative defence (Fig. 1).

### 3.2.1 Glutathione in chelation: Phytochelatins

An important role of GSH during stress relies on its function as a precursor of PCs. These molecules are important metal scavengers in plant cells and are characterised by a typical  $(\gamma\text{-Glu-Cys})_n\text{-Gly}$  structure, with  $n$  ranging from 2 to 11 (Zagorchev, Seal, Kranner, & Odjakova, 2013). Phytochelatins are synthesised by PC synthase (PCS) in a two-step reaction. In the first step, the cysteine-glycine peptide bond of a donor GSH molecule is cleaved, yielding  $\gamma\text{-EC}$  and glycine. Subsequently, the  $\gamma\text{-EC}$  unit is transferred to an acceptor molecule – either another GSH molecule or an oligomeric PC peptide – in a transpeptidation reaction. Even though its encoding gene is constitutively expressed, PCS activity is dependent on the presence of metals. While Cd is the most important inducer of PCS activity, other metal(loid)s including As, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn were also reported to increase PC synthesis (Anjum et al., 2014). The metal-induced activation of PCS is related to the presence of four highly conserved cysteine residues in its N-terminal domain, which also contains the active site. The C-terminal domain, in contrast, is rich

in cysteine residues that possibly bind metal ions in order to transfer them to the N-terminal active site (Vestergaard et al., 2008). Interestingly, Cd-PC complexes were demonstrated to be translocated into the vacuole, possibly reducing Cd-induced damage to other cellular compartments (Cobbett & Goldsbrough, 2002). In *A. thaliana*, this vacuolar translocation is likely mediated by the ATP binding cassette (ABC) C3 (ABCC3) transporter, which is transcriptionally induced upon Cd exposure (Brunetti et al., 2015).

As mentioned above, PC synthesis is induced by several metals. The importance of PCs in metal-induced plant responses is further supported by the fact that the PC-deficient *A. thaliana* mutants *cad1-3* and *cad1-6* – carrying a mutation in the *PCSI* gene – display an enhanced sensitivity towards several metals such as Cd, Pb and Zn (Fischer, Kuhnlenz, Thieme, Schmidt, & Clemens, 2014; Tennstedt, Peisker, Bottcher, Trampczynska, & Clemens, 2009). Furthermore, differences in the extent of PC synthesis between metal-sensitive and -tolerant varieties are often observed. Indeed, a Cd-tolerant *Triticum aestivum* cultivar displayed stronger Cd-induced increases in GSH levels and *PCS* expression in comparison to a Cd-sensitive cultivar (Kumari, Parmar, & Sharma, 2015). Similarly, *PCSI* expression and total PC levels were significantly increased by As exposure in an As-tolerant *O. sativa* cultivar, while they remained unaffected in its As-sensitive counterpart. In addition, As-induced increases in GSH, cysteine and methionine levels were also more pronounced in the tolerant as compared to the sensitive cultivar (Begum et al., 2016).

As PCs are efficient metal chelators, transgenic plants with increased PC levels are often able to accumulate high metal concentrations. For example, *N. tabacum* plants overexpressing *PCSI* displayed an enhanced accumulation of Cd, Cu, Ni and Zn as compared to WT plants. These plants even accumulated higher metal concentrations as compared to the metal hyperaccumulator *T.*

*caerulescens* (Martínez et al., 2006). The use of transgenic plants with increased PC production could therefore offer a promising strategy for the phytoremediation of metal-polluted soils. However, it should be noted that overproduction of PCs is not always beneficial for plants, as it can cause a strong decrease of cellular GSH levels. Since GSH also serves important antioxidative functions (see section 3.2.3), GSH depletion possibly results in oxidative stress and cellular damage. This was shown by Jozefczak and co-workers (2014), who reported a rapid increase in PC levels in roots and leaves of *A. thaliana* plants exposed to 5 and 10  $\mu\text{M}$  Cd. In roots, this response coincided with a fast (2 hours) reduction of GSH levels. Despite the activation of alternative antioxidative defence systems after 24 hours, decreased biomass and increased lipid peroxidation were observed in Cd-exposed plants (Jozefczak et al., 2014). These results indicate that plant metal tolerance is not only related to the production of PCs, but also to the ability to prevent the resulting GSH depletion. Therefore, the use of transgenic plants overexpressing both *PCS* and GSH biosynthesis genes could be more efficient to remediate metal-contaminated areas (Seth et al., 2012).

### 3.2.2 Glutathione in detoxification: glutathione transferases

Another important function of GSH is related to its involvement in detoxification reactions mediated by GSTs (Fig. 1). These enzymes catalyse the conjugation of xenobiotics to GSH by addition or substitution reactions in order to reduce their toxicity and increase their water solubility (Coleman, Blake-Kalff, & Davies, 1997; Dixon et al., 2010). As GSTs possess broad and overlapping substrate specificities, they are able to detoxify a chemically diverse array of compounds. Xenobiotics susceptible to GSH conjugation include electrophilic herbicides, several drugs and organic contaminants (Cole, Cummins, Hatton, Dixon, & Edwards, 1997; Frova, 2003).



Generally, these compounds are characterised by the presence of two carbon atoms coupled by a double bond, adjacent to an electron withdrawing group (Frova, 2003). Given the reactivity of these molecules, conjugation to GSH occurs spontaneously but is speeded up considerably by GST activity (Coleman et al., 1997). In addition to their role in GSH conjugation reactions, GSTs also serve a broad range of other catalytic as well as non-catalytic functions (Frova, 2003). While the molecular structure and catalytic mechanisms of GSTs have been studied by several research groups, their natural functions remains largely obscure. An overview of natural GST functions elucidated so far is provided in Table 1. It is interesting to note that the first functions ascribed to GSTs were all related to conjugation (e.g. of xenobiotics), whereas more sophisticated functions were only discovered more recently.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Even though most GSTs are soluble proteins present in the cytosol, they have also been detected in several organelles including chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, the vacuole and the nucleus. Furthermore, microsomal GSTs with herbicide detoxifying activities have been characterized in *Pachyrhizus erosus* (Belford, Dorfler, Stampfl, & Schroder, 2004) and in lower plants (Pflugmacher, Schroder, & Sandermann, 2000). Microsomal GSTs belonging to the ‘Membrane Associated Proteins involved in Eicosanoid and Glutathione metabolism’ (MAPEG) family have been characterised as well (Oztetik, 2008). It is important to note that cytosolic GSTs are very abundant, constituting up to 2% of all soluble proteins in plants (Scalla & Roulet, 2002).

To date, plant GSTs are subdivided into several classes according to a classification system proposed by Droog (1997) and subsequently refined by Edwards and co-workers (2000). Using this system, GSTs are classified based on gene organisation (number and position of introns), amino acid similarity and conservation of specific residues in the protein. The phi (GSTF) and tau

(GSTU) classes constitute the largest classes of plant GSTs and are plant-specific. Interestingly, they are also predominantly active in xenobiotic detoxification (Frova 2006, Edwards et al. 2011). Indeed, phi class GSTs have been co-crystallised with a range of herbicide and other xenobiotic conjugates (Prade, Huber, & Bieseler, 1998). In contrast, the zeta (GSTZ) and theta (GSTT) families are much smaller and are related to mammalian GSTs. While GSTs belonging to these classes display GSH-conjugating activities, other classes including the lambda GSTs (GSTL) and dehydroascorbate reductases (DHARs) have no known ability to conjugate or detoxify synthetic compounds. Instead, they seem to have a redox-related role (Dixon, Davis, & Edwards, 2002).

Structurally, most cytosolic GSTs occur as dimers consisting of two subunits (approximately 25 kDa each), each encoded by one gene (Oztetik, 2008). Although homodimers and heterodimers can be formed, dimerisation has only been observed between GSTs from the same class (Armstrong, 1997). An exception are the DHARs and lambda GSTs, which function as monomers (Dixon et al., 2010). Each GST subunit is characterised by a common overall structure with a well-defined N-terminal GSH binding domain (G-site) and a less specific C-terminal domain binding the hydrophobic co-substrate (H-site). Most GST classes including the phi, tau, zeta and theta class contain a serine in the active site, responsible for the formation and stabilisation of the reactive thiolate anion from GSH, which is the target for the nucleophilic attack of an electrophilic substrate (Dixon et al., 2010). In DHARs and lambda GSTs however, this serine is replaced by a cysteine that forms a mixed disulphide with GSH. The catalytic cysteine performs a nucleophilic attack on GSH-conjugated substrates. Under these conditions, the catalytic cysteine of the GST becomes glutathionylated, thereby deconjugating the substrate that is subsequently released. Regeneration of the resulting glutathionylated GST forms requires a GSH molecule, forming GSSG as another end product. While the reduced GSTs can be used in another catalytic cycle, GSSG is reduced back

to GSH by glutathione reductase (GR) at the expense of NADPH (Lallement, Brouwer, Keech, Hecker, & Rouhier, 2014).

In general, phi and tau GST-catalysed substitution reactions change the parent compound to an extent that the toxicity of the xenobiotic is significantly lowered (Schroder & Collins, 2002). Along with the resulting increase in polarity, GSH addition is a very effective means of detoxification, especially when the electrophilic centre of the target molecule is a leaving group. In some situations, however, the formation of GS-conjugates could be disadvantageous to plants when they accumulate in high amounts. In *Picea abies* for example, it is clearly proven that GS-conjugates accumulating in the cytosol inhibit the stress-reducing activity of GR (Schroder & Pflugmacher, 1996). Furthermore, when detoxification reactions withdraw GSH from the cellular pool, GSH depletion due to exaggerated utilisation in conjugation reactions or inhibition of GSH supply could become a problem, particularly when the xenobiotic conjugates still remain reactive and/or are not efficiently stabilised (Brazier-Hicks et al., 2008). However, GS-conjugate formation has generally been accepted to be beneficial to plants (Edwards et al., 2000; Schröder, 2006).

Furthermore, conjugation of substrates with GSH allows them to be transported into the vacuole by ATP-binding cassette transporters (Mohanasundaram et al., 2015; Theodoulou, 2000). This removal of GS-conjugates from the cytosol is of ample importance, as they can inhibit the activities of GSTs as well as other GSH-dependent enzymes (cfr. *supra*) (Coleman et al., 1997). Once present in the vacuole, GS-conjugates can undergo further metabolism, as discussed by Coleman et al. (1997).

Interestingly, GSTs are induced by a broad range of biotic and abiotic stress conditions, including exposure to organic contaminants. The relevance of this induction is mostly related to direct detoxification of xenobiotics, but can also be explained by the fact that certain GSTs detoxify

products of lipid peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage. This characteristic of GSTs also possibly underlies their involvement in plant responses to metal stress (Frova, 2003).

#### 3.2.2.1 Response to stress conditions

As mentioned above, GSTs are important players in plant responses to organic compounds. In this context, especially herbicides are known to affect plant GST levels and activities. For example, glyphosate significantly increased GST activities in *Pisum sativum* roots and leaves (Miteva, Ivanov, & Alexieva, 2010). Similarly, exposure to the chloroacetamide herbicide metazachlor induced GST activity in *B. napus* leaves (Vercampt, Koleva, Vassilev, Vangronsveld, & Cuypers, 2016). A role for GSTs in herbicide detoxification is further supported by the enhanced tolerance of *N. tabacum* plants overexpressing a *Citrus cinensis* tau class GST to the diphenyl ether herbicide fluorodifen. Interestingly, salt and drought tolerance were also increased in the overexpressor plants. However, this effect was not due to scavenging of oxidative stress by-products, suggesting additional GST-mediated mechanisms provoking tolerance to these stress conditions (Lo Cicero, Madesis, Tsiftaris, & Lo Piero, 2015). Even though GSTs play an important role in detoxifying herbicides, their activities are not always induced in herbicide-exposed plants. Indeed, the photosynthetic herbicide isoproturon reduced GST activity in *Z. mays* leaves, indicating that certain herbicides function as GST inhibitors (Alla, Hassan, & El-Bastawisy, 2008).

Herbicide safeners often exert their function by increasing the rate of GSH conjugation in several crop species (Abu-Qare & Duncan, 2002; Davies & Caseley, 1999). For example, the safener benoxacor induced the activity of a tau class GST in *Festuca arundinacea* (Del Buono, Scarponi, & Espen, 2007). Furthermore, the safener cloquintocet-mexyl was shown to increase the protein

abundance of several GSTs belonging to the phi, tau and lambda classes in the roots and coleoptile of *Triticum tauschii* seedlings (Zhang, Xu, Lambert, & Riechers, 2007). However, it should be noted that safeners could also increase the GSH conjugation rate in weeds competing with the target crop. Mefenpyr diethyl and fenchlorazole ethyl safeners, for example, were shown to increase the protein abundance of certain phi and lambda GSTs in the weed *Alopecurus myosuroides* treated with the graminicidal herbicide fenoxaprop ethyl (Cummins, Bryant, & Edwards, 2009). In addition, the gene encoding GSTU26 was transcriptionally induced upon exposure to the chloroacetanilide herbicides alachlor and metolachlor and the safener benoxacor in *A. thaliana* seedlings. In contrast, expression levels of *GSTF9* were not affected under these conditions, indicating that specific GST isoforms are responsive to specific safeners and/or herbicides (Nutricati, Miceli, Blando, & De Bellis, 2006). Similarly, different safeners were shown to transcriptionally induce several phi and theta class GSTs in *A. thaliana*. Nonetheless, this response did not protect the plants from herbicide-induced damage, suggesting that other players besides GSTs are responsible for the differences in safener-induced herbicide tolerance between plant species (DeRidder, Dixon, Beussman, Edwards, & Goldsbrough, 2002).

Furthermore, it should be noted that GSTs can bioactivate instead of detoxify certain organic compounds. Indeed, two examples of toxic GS-conjugates in plants refer to GST-mediated isomerisation involved in herbicide bioactivation of isourazoles and thiadiazolidines to toxic urazoles and triazolidines respectively (Edwards et al., 2000).

In addition to herbicides and safeners, metal exposure is also known to affect GSTs in plants. As mentioned, this observation is likely related to the involvement of GSTs in detoxifying products resulting from oxidative damage to cellular macromolecules. Indeed, exposure to Cd, Cu, cobalt (Co), Hg, Ni and Zn significantly increased GST activity in root tips of *H. vulgare*. Interestingly,

this response was also induced by salt, cold, drought and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exposure (Halušková, Valentovičová, Huttová, Mistřík, & Tamás, 2009). Furthermore, Cd was shown to induce GST activity in roots and leaves of *Ricinus communis*. This Cd-induced increase in GST activity was also observed in *B. napus* roots. As Cd exposure significantly increased MDA concentrations in both plant species, the induction of GST activity could be targeted towards detoxifying lipid peroxidation products (Bauddh, Kumar, Srivastava, Singh, & Tripathi, 2016). In addition, Kumar et al. (2013) reported that gene expression levels of *GSTL1* and *GSTL2* were increased by As, Cd and Pb exposure in *O. sativa* seedlings, while they were not affected by Cr. In contrast, *GSTL3* expression was induced by As and Pb, but not by Cd. Taken together, these data indicate that metal-induced transcriptional responses of GSTs depend on the metal and GST isoform under study (Kumar et al., 2013; Lyubenova & Schroder, 2011).

### 3.2.3 Glutathione in antioxidative defence

Glutathione, as the most abundant essential non-enzymatic antioxidant in plant cells, contributes to antioxidative defence in several ways. First of all, it can directly react with singlet oxygen (<sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and hydroxyl radicals (•OH) (Gill & Tuteja, 2010b). In addition, GSH plays an important role in the antioxidative ascorbate (AsA)-GSH cycle, also referred to as the Foyer-Halliwell-Asada pathway. In this cycle, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is reduced to H<sub>2</sub>O by the action of ascorbate peroxidase (APX), simultaneously oxidising AsA to dehydroascorbate (DHA). The latter molecule is again reduced to AsA by the action of DHAR, using GSH as an electron donor (Fig. 1) (Foyer & Noctor, 2011). Furthermore, GSH also contributes to antioxidative defence as an electron donor for glutathione peroxidase (GPX), catalysing the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, organic peroxides and lipid peroxides (Anjum

et al., 2012; Gill & Tuteja, 2010b). In addition, it reduces glutaredoxins (GRXs), which are involved in several processes including the regeneration of antioxidative enzymes (Rouhier, 2010).

In all reactions described above, GSH donates an electron – derived from the cysteine residue of its thiol group – to another molecule and thereby becomes reactive. It then readily reacts with another reactive GSH molecule, forming glutathione disulphide (GSSG). Subsequently, GSSG can be reduced to GSH by the action of the NADPH-dependent GR enzyme (Fig. 1). Plants possess two GR-encoding genes: (1) *GR1*, present in the cytosol and (2) *GR2*, dually targeted to plastids and mitochondria (Noctor et al., 2012). As GR is constitutively active, more than 90 percent of the cellular GSH pool is in its reduced form under control conditions. Under stress conditions however, GSH demand can exceed GR activity, thereby decreasing the GSH/GSSG ratio (Jozefczak et al., 2012). As the most abundant redox couple in plant cells, GSH/GSSG play a crucial role in redox regulation (Noctor et al., 2012). However, the relevance of the GSH/GSSG redox potential as driving force of biological processes has to be critically discussed (Flohe, 2013).

Plant GSH levels and redox state are often affected by metal(loid) exposure (Jozefczak et al., 2012). For example, Dixit et al. (2016) demonstrated that exposure to 25 and 50  $\mu\text{M}$  As significantly increased GSH and GSSG levels in both roots and shoots of *O. sativa* plants. The observed oxidation of GSH was related to the activation of the AsA-GSH cycle, as indicated by significantly increased APX activities in both organs. However, the GSH/GSSG ratio remained unaffected after As exposure. This is probably due to the observed increases in the activities of GR and enzymes involved in sulphate assimilation and GSH biosynthesis such as SAT and GSH1. It is interesting to note that under all conditions, GSH levels were positively correlated with sulphur concentrations in the growth medium, while GSSG levels decreased with increasing sulphur levels. These data indicate that antioxidative defence in As-exposed plants is more efficient under high sulphur

conditions (Dixit et al., 2016). Furthermore, the GSH redox state was significantly shifted towards a more reduced state in leaves of *A. thaliana* exposed to Zn concentrations ranging from 100 to 500  $\mu$ M, despite a significant decrease of GR activity. However, the increased GSH/GSSG ratio could be explained by an increased GSH synthesis, as Zn significantly increased total GSH equivalents and free GSH levels. In contrast, Zn slightly decreased the GSH/GSSG ratio in roots, possibly as a result of the observed decrease in root GR activity. Furthermore, PC synthesis was strongly induced, thereby decreasing free GSH levels available for antioxidative defence reactions in roots of Zn-exposed plants (Remans et al., 2012). In addition, Cuypers et al. (2011) reported that both Cd and Cu exposure shifted the GSH redox state in *A. thaliana* roots towards a more oxidised state, which was much more pronounced in Cu-exposed plants. In contrast, the GSH/GSSG ratio was not significantly affected by either metal in the leaves (Cuypers et al., 2011). Furthermore, Nocito et al. (2006) reported that Cd exposure caused significantly decreased total GSH levels in roots of *Z. mays*. While reduced GSH levels strongly diminished, GSSG concentrations significantly increased in roots of Cd-exposed plants, thereby shifting the cellular redox balance towards a more oxidised state. Zinc exposure, in contrast, did not alter the GSH/GSSG ratio, as it increased both GSH and GSSG levels to the same extent. Again, exposure to Cu caused the most dramatic effects, as it decreased the GSH/GSSG ratio by more than 20-fold (Nocito et al., 2006). Taken together, these data indicate that metal-induced effects related to the GSH/GSSG ratio depend on many factors including the chemical properties of the metal, its concentration, the exposure duration and the plant species and organ considered.

As mentioned above, the role of GSH in plants exposed to organic contaminants is mainly related to its involvement in GST-mediated xenobiotic detoxification. In addition, GSH possibly functions in antioxidative defence reactions triggered by organic compounds such as herbicides. Indeed,



exposure to metazachlor for 14 days increased the activities of APX and GR in leaves of *B. napus*, suggesting activation of the AsA-GSH cycle. This assumption was further supported by the observation that the GSH redox state was significantly shifted towards its oxidised form. In addition, activities of other antioxidative enzymes including SOD and CAT were also induced by exposure to metazachlor (Vercampt et al., 2016). Similarly, exposure to the recommended field dose of metribuzin increased GSSG levels and slightly decreased the GSH/GSSG ratio in leaves of *Z. mays*, possibly as a result of the observed decrease in GR activity. In contrast, treatment with the recommended field dose of pretilachlor increased GR activity and the GSH/GSSG ratio. These results possibly explain the fact that the induction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and lipid peroxidation was more pronounced in plants exposed to metribuzin as compared to pretilachlor. Taken together, these data indicate that herbicide-induced antioxidative plant responses depend on the specific herbicide applied (Alla, Badawi, Hassan, El-Bastawisy, & Badran, 2008).

## **4 Thiols play an important role in cellular regulation**

### **4.1 Methionine and S-adenosylmethionine synthesis**

In addition to its function as a building block of proteins and in particular MTs, GSH and PCs, cysteine also plays an important role in the biosynthesis of the second sulphur-containing amino acid methionine. Like lysine and threonine, methionine belongs to the family of aspartate-derived amino acids (Hesse et al., 2001). It is composed of (1) a sulphur atom derived from cysteine, (2) the nitrogen/carbon skeleton from a phosphorylated serine and (3) a folate-derived methyl group (Wirtz & Droux, 2005). The synthesis of methionine comprises three steps (Fig. 1). In the first step,

cystathionine  $\gamma$ -synthase (CGS) catalyses the formation of the thioether cystathionine from its substrates cysteine and O-homophosphoserine (OPH). Subsequently, cystathionine  $\beta$ -lyase (CBL) cleaves cystathionine to homocysteine in a  $\beta$ -cleavage reaction. These two steps exclusively take place in plastids and are together referred to as the transsulphuration pathway (Droux, 2004; Wirtz & Droux, 2005). In the third and final step of methionine synthesis, methionine is formed through the methylation of homocysteine, which is catalysed by a vitamin B12-independent methionine synthase (MS), using methyltetrahydrofolate as the methyl donor (Fig. 1) (Takahashi et al., 2011). This enzyme is present in both plastids and the cytosol. Whereas the plastidal MS isoform is only involved in *de novo* methionine synthesis, the cytosolic isoform is suggested to also mediate the recycling of homocysteine resulting from the hydrolysis of S-adenosylhomocysteine (Gigolashvili & Kopriwa, 2014; Wirtz & Droux, 2005).

More than 80% of the synthesised methionine is used for the production of SAM (Ravanel, Gakiere, Job, & Douce, 1998). This metabolite is produced from methionine and ATP in a cytosolic reaction catalysed by SAM synthetase (SAMS) (Fig. 1). Subsequently, SAM serves as methyl donor in a broad array of methylation reactions catalysed by methyltransferases. Furthermore, SAM is also the precursor for the synthesis of ethylene, polyamines, nicotianamine, phytosiderophores and biotin (Roje, 2006).

The regulation of methionine and SAM synthesis mainly takes place at the level of the CGS enzyme. Although CGS is not subject to feedback inhibition by methionine or its metabolites at the activity level, it is post-transcriptionally regulated by SAM (Amir, 2010). When SAM is present, it induces a temporary arrest of the translation elongation process of the Methionine Overaccumulation 1 (MTO1) domain in the CGS mRNA, causing degradation of the mRNA upstream of the stalled ribosome. As a result, 5'-truncated RNA species are produced, causing the

727 decay of the transcript (Amir, 2010; Onouchi et al., 2005). The importance of the tight regulation  
728 of CGS is highlighted by the observation that plants with increased or decreased CGS levels display  
729 severe morphological phenotypes (Amir, 2010).

730 Furthermore, control of methionine production also occurs at the level of OPH, which is the last  
731 common intermediate for methionine and threonine biosynthesis. Although CGS and threonine  
732 synthase (TS) both use OPH as a substrate, the affinity of TS for OPH strongly exceeds that of  
733 CGS. In addition, SAM enhances the activity and substrate affinity of TS. Therefore, OPH mainly  
734 flows to the threonine synthesis pathway when methionine and SAM levels are sufficiently high.  
735 When SAM levels decline, however, TS activity decreases and OPH supply to the methionine  
736 synthesis pathway increases (Takahashi et al., 2011).

737 In addition to cysteine, also methionine levels can be affected in metal-exposed plants. Indeed,  
738 exposure to 120  $\mu$ M Ni was demonstrated to increase methionine concentrations in roots and shoots  
739 of *Matricaria chamomilla* (Kovacik, Klejdus, Hedbavny, & Backor, 2009). Furthermore, exposure  
740 to different sublethal Cd concentrations for 24 and 72 hours significantly increased methionine  
741 concentrations in both roots and leaves of *A. thaliana* (Keunen et al., 2016a). In addition, MS  
742 protein abundance was significantly increased by Cd exposure in *T. aestivum* leaves. This response  
743 was accompanied by an increased level of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) synthase  
744 (ACS), an intermediate in the ethylene biosynthesis pathway (Fig 1). Interestingly, similar effects  
745 were induced by exposure to trichlorobenzene, suggesting that both metals and organic  
746 contaminants can affect methionine and ethylene biosynthesis pathways in plants (Ge et al., 2009).  
747 In contrast, Barkla et al. (2014) reported that Zn decreased MS abundance in leaves of *A. thaliana*.  
748 In addition, serine hydroxymethyltransferase levels were also reduced in Zn-exposed plants. This  
749 enzyme catalyses the conversion of glycine to serine, thereby yielding methyl units that are

750 channelled into the methionine biosynthesis pathway. The Zn-induced decrease of this enzyme  
751 possibly results in a decreased methionine concentration. The authors hypothesise that this  
752 mechanism protects Zn-stressed cells by attenuating the initiation of protein translation, thereby  
753 preventing the synthesis of misfolded proteins (Barkla et al., 2014). Furthermore, a Zn-induced  
754 decrease of methionine levels was also observed in shoots of *N. tabacum* (Pavlíková et al., 2014).  
755 Exposure to As, however, increased methionine concentrations in *O. sativa*. This response was  
756 more pronounced in a genotype accumulating higher As levels. A similar response was observed  
757 for cysteine and other stress-responsive amino acids such as proline, glycine and glutamate.  
758 Furthermore, clear correlations were reported between the levels of these amino acids, the extent  
759 of lipid peroxidation and the activities of antioxidative enzymes, suggesting that their levels are  
760 increased as a defence mechanism against As-induced oxidative stress (Dave et al., 2013).

761 Further evidence supporting a role for methionine in plant responses to metal stress is again derived  
762 from comparing metal-tolerant and sensitive species. Begum et al. (2016) reported that As exposure  
763 significantly increased methionine levels in a tolerant *O. sativa* genotype, whereas they were not  
764 affected in an As-sensitive genotype. Similar results were demonstrated for cysteine and GSH,  
765 underlining the importance of sulphur metabolism in plant metal tolerance (Begum et al., 2016).  
766 Similarly, Liang et al. (2014) demonstrated that Cd increased root methionine levels in a  
767 hyperaccumulating *S. alfredii* ecotype, while this response was absent in its non-  
768 hyperaccumulating counterpart. Furthermore, *SAMS* expression levels were only significantly  
769 enhanced by Cd exposure in roots and shoots of the hyperaccumulator plants. Taken together, these  
770 data point towards a role for SAM in mediating Cd tolerance in *S. alfredii* (Liang et al., 2014).  
771 Furthermore, MS and SAMS protein abundance were significantly increased by Cu exposure in  
772 roots of a metallicolous population of *Agrostis capillaris*, while they were not affected in a non-

metallicolous population, further supporting a role for methionine and SAM in plant metal tolerance (Hego et al., 2014).

Whereas the effects of metals on methionine and SAM biosynthesis are relatively well studied, information regarding the influence of organic contaminants on these processes is scarce and therefore constitutes an interesting topic for future research. In this regard, evidence has been presented that SAM-methyltransferase is involved in the detoxification of the herbicide fluorodifen in *Picea*. The fluorodifen metabolite 2-nitro-4-trifluoromethyl-thiophenol was rapidly converted into the corresponding 2-nitro-4-trifluoromethyl-thioanisole, a volatile end product of the degradation pathway in needles of *P. abies*, *Picea glauca* and *Picea pungens* (Lamoureux, Rusness, & Schroder, 1993). A similar pathway was demonstrated for the metabolism of pentachloronitrobenzene in *Allium cepa* (Lamoureux & Rusness, 1980).

#### **4.2 Ethylene: hormonal regulation**

As mentioned above, thiols represent important precursors in a large number of cellular processes and regulatory networks. As an activated form of methionine, SAM is a key player in the synthesis of ethylene and polyamines (PAs). As a precursor of ethylene, SAM is directly linked to hormonal signalling.

In the ethylene biosynthesis pathway, SAM is converted to ACC by the ACS enzyme belonging to the class of pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzymes utilising vitamin B6 as a cofactor (Fig. 1). This reaction involves the release of 5'-methylthioadenosine (MTA), which is recycled back to methionine in the so-called Yang Cycle (Murr & Yang, 1975). The ACS enzyme is located in the cytosol and encoded by a multigene family of 12 members in *Arabidopsis*, from which 8 encode

functional proteins (Van de Poel & Van Der Straeten, 2014). Expression of different members of this large gene family is tissue-dependent and single isoforms are specifically involved in distinct physiological or developmental tasks (Tsuchisaka & Theologis, 2004). Furthermore, complex interactions are known to occur between different ACS members (Tsuchisaka et al., 2009).

The second step in ethylene synthesis consists of the oxidation of ACC to ethylene via ACC oxidase (ACO) in the presence of oxygen (Fig. 1) (Kende, 1993). As a member of the superfamily of dioxygenases, ACO requires  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  as cofactor and bicarbonate as activator (Zhang, Ren, Clifton, & Schofield, 2004). Information on its cellular localisation remains unclear: it could be localised either in the cytosol (Chung, Chou, Kuang, Charng, & Yang, 2002; Hudgins, Ralph, Franceschi, & Bohlmann, 2006) or the plasma membrane (Ramassamy, Olmos, Bouzayen, Pech, & Latche, 1998; Rombaldi et al., 1994). Even though ACS is considered the rate-limiting step of ethylene biosynthesis in plants, there are reports that point into the direction of ACO limiting ethylene synthesis under certain conditions, e.g. post-climacteric fruit ripening in tomato (Van de Poel & Van Der Straeten, 2014). In plants, ethylene synthesis is regulated by a variety of internal and external signals, often with ACS as main target (for a review, see Van de Poel and Van Der Straeten (2014) and Keunen et al. (2016b)).

Many reports have shown enhanced ethylene production upon metal exposure in plants, with the extent depending on the metal and its applied concentration. From all inorganic ions, Cd probably causes the strongest induction of ethylene production in plants (Keunen et al., 2016b). While this was shown for several species under short time exposure conditions, longer Cd exposure decreased ethylene concentrations in *A. thaliana* (Carrio-Segui, Garcia-Molina, Sanz, & Penarrubia, 2015). Summing up literature data, an intimate relationship exists between metal stress and ethylene production in plants. In addition, more and more reports suggest an implication of ethylene

818 signalling in plant adaptation and tolerance to metal stress (Keunen et al., 2016b; Thao et al., 2015).  
819 As discussed in section 5, extensive cross-talk is observed between ethylene and other key players  
820 in the plant metal stress response such as ROS and GSH.

821 In contrast with the vast amount of data available on the involvement of ethylene in plant metal  
822 stress responses, knowledge on its role in plants exposed to organic contaminants is limited.  
823 Nonetheless, Kumerova et al. (2012) have demonstrated a significant stimulation of ethylene  
824 release in germinating *Z. mays* and *P. sativum* seeds during exposure to the polycyclic aromatic  
825 hydrocarbon fluoranthene. In addition, seed germination was significantly inhibited by this  
826 compound in both species (Kummerova et al., 2012).

#### 828 **4.3 Polyamines: hormone-like signalling compounds**

829 Next to ethylene synthesis, SAM is also a precursor for the synthesis of polyamines, representing  
830 a group of low-molecular-weight polycationic amines ubiquitous in all living organisms (Liu,  
831 Wang, Wu, Gong, & Moriguchi, 2015b). In plants, the positively charged polyamines are either  
832 bound to negatively charged molecules or conjugated to small molecules and proteins but also  
833 occur as free forms (Walters, 2003). Polyamines are involved in a large number of cellular  
834 functions and regulatory processes, including the stabilisation of proteins and other biomolecules,  
835 the regulation of cell division, growth and differentiation or senescence as well as general adaptive  
836 stress responses (Bouchereau, Aziz, Larher, & Martin-Tanguy, 1999; Groppa & Benavides, 2008;  
837 Walters, 2003).

838 Putrescine (Put), spermidine (Spd) and spermine (Spm) are the three major polyamines and  
839 therefore most studied in plants. They can be present in free, soluble conjugated and insoluble

bound forms. In plants, polyamine synthesis is well studied and based on the two precursors L-ornithine and L-arginine from which Put is generated by the catalytic actions of ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) and arginine decarboxylase (ADC). From Put, both Spd and Spm are formed, with a strong connection to SAM (Fig. 1) (Sauter et al., 2013). In a first step, SAM is decarboxylated to decarboxylated SAM (dcSAM) by SAM decarboxylase (SAMDC). This metabolite then provides the aminopropyl group for the conversion of Put into Spd by Spd synthase. Spermidine is then further converted into Spm by Spm synthase, again using dcSAM as an aminopropyl donor (Fig. 1) (Liu et al. 2015). From this reaction, MTA is released and feeded back into the SAM cycle where SAM is synthesised from methionine by SAM synthase (SAMS) (Sauter et al., 2013).

Apart from *de novo* synthesis of polyamines, it is worth mentioning that there is catabolism of these molecules, involving both Cu-containing diamine oxidases (CuAOs) as well as FAD-dependent polyamine oxidases (PAOs) (Cona, Rea, Angelini, Federico, & Tavladoraki, 2006; Liu et al., 2015b).

The relationship between abiotic stress and altered (mostly elevated) polyamine levels is well established and known for a long time. Nevertheless, their actual function still remains unclear. Although polyamines could protect cells against abiotic stress, for example due to their antioxidative properties, they could also cause cell damage due to the production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by their catabolism (Minocha, Majumdar, & Minocha, 2014).

As indicated in Fig. 1, SAM represents a direct link between ethylene and polyamine synthesis, allowing plants to directly switch between both pathways. Interestingly, polyamines and ethylene display counteracting functions in plants. Whereas ethylene is known to induce senescence, polyamines play the opposite role by decelerating chlorophyll loss and cell membrane degradation



863 as well as inducing protease and RNase activity (Kusano, Berberich, Tateda, & Takahashi, 2008).  
864 This is due to their ability to neutralise acids, their antioxidative properties as well as their potential  
865 to stabilise cell walls and membranes (Gill & Tuteja, 2010a).

866 Different reports indicate a role for polyamines in plant metal stress responses. For example,  
867 exposure to Cu and Cd led to an increase in ADC and ODC activity in *T. aestivum* leaves. This  
868 effect was stronger under Cd exposure, where Put levels were elevated up to 3-fold as compared  
869 to control levels. Spermidine concentration was not affected by any of the metals, whereas Spm  
870 levels were significantly reduced. Interestingly, externally applied Spm reduced the formation of  
871 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and led to a reduction of TBARS levels as well. A potential antioxidative function of Spm  
872 could be concluded from these results, although the actual mechanism of protection is still unclear  
873 (Groppa, Tomaro, & Benavides, 2007).

874 Although it is well established that in plants many organic pollutants cause the formation of ROS,  
875 there is only little information available on how the polyamine metabolism is affected by these  
876 xenobiotic compounds. Nevertheless, Burritt (2008) could link phenanthrene exposure to the  
877 synthesis of polyamines. Phenanthrene is a polycyclic and highly toxic aromatic hydrocarbon that  
878 is often found in aquatic environments. Whereas data on its effect on aquatic macrophytes are  
879 scarce, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons often induce oxidative stress in plants. The involvement  
880 of polyamines in the protection of plants against oxidative stress could be shown for *Riccia fluitans*  
881 exposed to phenanthrene. Concentrations up to 500 µM led to an induction of oxidative stress to  
882 which the plants responded with an increase in polyamine synthesis linked to elevated ADC and  
883 SAMDC activity. Chemical inhibition of these enzymes caused an inhibition of plant recovery,  
884 while externally applied polyamines could reduce the negative effects caused by phenanthrene  
885 exposure (Burritt, 2008). More recent studies suggest the application of polyamines as priming

agents prior to all sorts of abiotic stress, also including metal exposure (Savvides, Ali, Tester, & Fotopoulos, 2016). This opens the window to more extensive research on the involvement of polyamines in plant responses to metals as well as organic pollutants.

## 5 Interaction between detoxification and signal transduction pathways

As discussed in the previous sections of this review, sulphur-containing metabolites play an important role in plant responses to metal stress. While compounds directly derived from cysteine (e.g. GSH, PCs and MTs) are involved in metal chelation and ROS detoxification, molecules derived from methionine and its primary metabolite SAM (e.g. ethylene and polyamines) mainly function in cellular signal transduction. However, it should be noted that different components of the detoxification and signal transduction pathways interact with each other under both physiological and stress conditions, including metal exposure (Fig. 2).

[Insert Figure 2 here]

For example, cross-talk exists between ethylene and other players involved in plant responses to metal stress, as recently reviewed by Keunen et al. (2016b). Indeed, several studies demonstrate a role for ethylene in the oxidative burst induced by a broad range of stress conditions. Cao et al. (2006) demonstrated that paraquat-induced increases in  $O_2^{\bullet -}$  and  $H_2O_2$  levels were less pronounced in an *ethylene insensitive 2-1* (*ein2-1*) mutant as compared to those in WT *A. thaliana* plants. As a consequence, the extent of lipid peroxidation was significantly lower in the mutant (Cao et al., 2006). Similar responses were described in *ein2-1* mutants exposed to Al (Zhang, He, Zhao, Huang, & Hao, 2014b). In addition, blocking of ethylene biosynthesis and/or signalling resulted in a

reduced extent of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in camptothecin-exposed *Lycopersicon esculentum* suspension cells (de Jong, Yakimova, Kapchina, & Woltering, 2002) and Cd-exposed *Phaseolus coccineus* roots (Maksymiec, 2011). Furthermore, inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis limited Cd-induced O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup> accumulation in root hair tips of *B. napus*, suggesting that ethylene is an upstream regulator of O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup> generation (Sun & Guo, 2013). The effect of ethylene on O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup> production is possibly mediated by its interaction with ROS-producing NADPH oxidases, also referred to as respiratory burst oxidase homologues (RBOHs). This is confirmed by the observation that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production induced by the ethylene releasing compound ethephon in *I. batatas* was limited by treatment with the NADPH inhibitor diphenyleneiodonium (Chen, Huang, Huang, Chow, & Lin, 2013). This relationship between ethylene and NADPH oxidases is also supported under metal exposure conditions, as Hg-induced increases in apoplastic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation and NADPH oxidase activity in apical root segments of *Medicago sativa* were reduced by treatment with an ethylene signalling inhibitor (Montero-Palmero, Martin-Barranco, Escobar, & Hernandez, 2014). Furthermore, Keunen et al. (2015) reported that the Cd-induced *RBOHC* upregulation in several *A. thaliana* ethylene biosynthesis and signal transduction mutants was less pronounced as compared to that in WT plants.

In addition to its effects on ROS production, ethylene is also linked to several players of the antioxidative defence system. It interacts with both enzymatic antioxidants such as SOD and CAT and non-enzymatic antioxidants such as AsA and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (Keunen et al., 2016b). In the context of this review, the interaction between ethylene and GSH is of particular interest. The cross-talk between these two compounds is probably related to the link of ethylene biosynthesis and signalling with sulphate assimilation (Fig. 2), as was recently reviewed by Iqbal et al. (2013) and Wawrzynska et al. (2015). Indeed, sulphur nutrition has been shown to modulate plant responses

to a diverse array of stress factors by increasing ethylene production (Wawrzynska et al., 2015). Nazar et al. (2014), for example, demonstrated that excess sulphur alleviated the effects of salt stress in *B. juncea*. However, this response was absent when ethylene synthesis was inhibited, suggesting a role for ethylene in the sulphur-induced salt stress alleviation (Nazar et al., 2014). Furthermore, several genes involved in ethylene signalling were strongly upregulated in sulphate-treated *Vitis vinifera* (Giraud, Ivanova, Gordon, Whelan, & Considine, 2012). In addition to sulphur excess, sulphur limitation was also shown to increase ethylene concentrations and the expression of ethylene-related genes in *N. tabacum* plants (Lewandowska et al., 2010; Moniuszko et al., 2013).

Interestingly, the interplay between ethylene and sulphate assimilation also functions in the other direction, with ethylene levels affecting several proteins of the sulphate assimilation pathway (Fig. 2). Indeed, it was shown that ethephon significantly induced ATPS activity and sulphur content in *B. juncea* (Iqbal, Khan, Nazar, & da Silva, 2012). Furthermore, treatment of *A. thaliana* with 200  $\mu$ M ACC was shown to induce APR at the transcriptional and activity level (Koprivova, North, & Kopriva, 2008). As GSH synthesis depends on sulphur availability for the production of its precursor cysteine, ethylene possibly modulates the sulphate assimilation pathway in order to meet the increasing demand for GSH during stress conditions (Keunen et al., 2016b).

The cross-talk between ethylene and GSH is further supported by the observation that application of exogenous GSH in *A. thaliana* increased both gene expression levels and protein abundance of ACS2 and ERF2, involved in ethylene biosynthesis and signal transduction respectively (Sinha et al., 2015). Furthermore, the ethephon-induced increased ROS production in sweet potato was attenuated when plants were treated with exogenous GSH (Chen et al., 2013). In addition, expression levels of ethylene-related genes are often affected in transgenic plants with altered GSH levels (Keunen et al., 2016b). Schnaubelt et al. (2015), for example, reported several *ERF* genes to

be significantly upregulated in the severely GSH-deficient *rml1-1* *A. thaliana* mutant as compared to WT plants. In contrast, *ERF2* expression was significantly downregulated in the *A. thaliana cad2-1* mutant, which is characterised by a milder GSH deficiency (Han, Mhamdi, Chaouch, & Noctor, 2013). Furthermore, transgenic tobacco plants with an enhanced GSH content displayed a significant upregulation of genes involved in ethylene biosynthesis and signal transduction (Ghanta et al., 2014). Similarly, Datta et al. (2015) reported that transgenic *A. thaliana* plants with elevated GSH levels displayed increased ACS2, ACS6 and ACO1 transcription levels and protein abundance as compared to WT plants, while the opposite response was observed in the GSH-deficient *pad2-1* mutant. The authors demonstrated that the GSH-induced upregulation of ACS2 and ACS6 was mediated by a WRKY33-related mechanism, whereas the increased ACO1 expression was due to an increased stability of its encoding mRNA. Interestingly, application of exogenous GSH also increased the tolerance of WT *A. thaliana* plants to necrotrophic infection and salt stress, while it did not affect the stress tolerance of *ein2-1* mutants deficient in ethylene signalling. These data suggest that GSH induces plant tolerance to different stress factors by an ethylene-dependent mechanism (Datta et al., 2015).

Interestingly, the relationship between ethylene and GSH is also supported under metal exposure conditions (Keunen et al., 2016b). Indeed, ethephon application was shown to increase GSH concentrations in *B. juncea* exposed to Cd (Masood, Iqbal, & Khan, 2012), Ni and Zn (Khan & Khan, 2014). Furthermore, Schellingen et al. (2015) reported that the Cd-induced upregulation of genes involved in GSH biosynthesis was significantly weaker in an *acs2-1/6-1* knockout mutant as compared to WT *A. thaliana* plants, suggesting that ethylene biosynthesis is essential for the induction of efficient GSH-dependent defence responses upon Cd exposure (Schellingen et al., 2015). Similarly, the crucial role of EIN2 in Pb tolerance in *A. thaliana* was also demonstrated to

be partially related to its stimulating effect on GSH levels (Cao et al., 2009). It should be noted that the interaction between ethylene and GSH under metal exposure conditions also functions in the other direction, with GSH levels affecting ethylene signalling. Indeed, Hasan et al. (2016) reported that the Cd-induced upregulation of *ERF1* and *ERF2* was more pronounced in plants supplied with 5 mM GSH, while it was weaker in plants treated with the GSH biosynthesis inhibitor buthionine sulfoximine.

In addition to ethylene, polyamines are also subject to cross-talk with the antioxidative defence system during stress conditions. Addition of Spd to *Solanum lycopersicum* exposed to chilling stress, for example, strongly enhanced the chilling-induced increase in GSH and AsA levels. Furthermore, it significantly increased the GSH/GSSG and AsA/DHA ratios, possibly as a result of the observed induction of enzymes involved in the AsA-GSH cycle at the transcriptional and/or activity level. While the enzymatic activities of SOD, POD and CAT were decreased by chilling stress, this effect was reversed by adding Spd, with activities even increasing those measured in control plants. These data possibly explain the observed reduction of chilling-induced  $O_2^{\bullet-}$  and  $H_2O_2$  production by addition of exogenous Spd (Diao, Song, & Qi, 2015). Similarly, positive effects of polyamine treatment on the antioxidative defence system were also reported in salt-exposed *O. sativa* (Jain, Vart, Verma, & Malhotra, 2015) and *Cucumis sativus* (Shu, Yuan, Guo, Sun, & Yuan, 2013) and *Glycine max.* subjected to osmotic stress (Radhakrishnan & Lee, 2013).

Polyamines were also shown to positively affect several components of the antioxidative defence system in metal-exposed plants. Indeed, Spd treatment was shown to further enhance the Cr-induced increase in GSH levels in *Rhaphis sativus*. Furthermore, Spd significantly alleviated Cr-induced lipid peroxidation and  $H_2O_2$  concentrations. In contrast, it counteracted the increase in CAT activity caused by Cr exposure. Overall, exogenous Spd increased plant tolerance to Cr, as

indicated by its positive effects on root and shoot length and fresh weight of Cr-exposed plants (Choudhary, Kanwar, Bhardwaj, Yu, & Tran, 2012). Similarly, Rady and Hemida (2015) reported that addition of either Spd or Spm counteracted the negative effects of Cd exposure on several growth parameters of *T. aestivum* plants. This effect was likely related to the fact that polyamine application attenuated Cd-induced effects on leaf GSH levels and SOD, CAT and APX activity. As a result, Cd-induced lipid peroxidation and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production were reduced in leaves of polyamine-treated plants. However, these data should be interpreted with caution, as the application of Spd and Spm also significantly reduced shoot Cd concentrations potentially explaining the observed attenuation of metal stress (Rady & Hemida, 2015).

Taken together, available data indicate that significant cross-talk exists between cysteine-related detoxification and SAM-related signal transduction pathways under different stress conditions including exposure to metals and possibly also organic contaminants. This interaction should not be surprising as the sulphur atom of cysteine is used to synthesise methionine and its primary metabolite SAM, thereby linking both pathways. However, further research is needed to fully elucidate the mechanisms connecting both pathways (Fig. 2).

## **6 Concluding remarks and future perspectives**

The sulphur-containing amino acids cysteine and methionine play crucial roles in plant responses to metals and organic contaminants through their incorporation into the primary metabolites GSH and SAM. Whereas GSH is primarily involved in chelation and detoxification mechanisms, SAM mainly contributes to signal transduction reactions mediated by ethylene and polyamines.

Significant cross-talk exists between these detoxification and signal transduction pathways, which is explained by the fact that cysteine is a precursor for both GSH and methionine synthesis. Furthermore, ROS appear to play an important role in mediating the interaction between both pathways. Whereas the effects of metal exposure on plant detoxification and signal transduction pathways are relatively well described, information regarding the influence of organic contaminants is rather scarce and mostly limited to herbicides and safeners, indicating a need for further research. Elucidating plant responses to metals and organic compounds can significantly contribute to optimising phytoremediation strategies for the clean-up of contaminated soils. In this strategy, special attention should be given to sulphur availability in the environment as it affects sulphate assimilation and downstream detoxification and regulation pathways that are important factors controlling metal uptake and translocation as well as phytotoxic responses. With view to the importance of sulphur nutrition and the internal regulation of sulphur-dependent metabolic pathways for plant health and performance, future research on breeding and practical application of plants should focus on ways to establish stable and adaptive sulphur metabolism in crops. This could be achieved by molecular approaches, fostering plant-microbe interactions or the use of sustainable agrochemical amendments.

1036

1037



1038   **7   References**

- 1039   Abu-Qare, A. W., & Duncan, H. J. (2002). Herbicide safeners: Uses, limitations, metabolism, and  
1040       mechanisms of action. *Chemosphere*, 48, 965-974.
- 1041   Adams, C. A., Blee, E., & Casida, J. E. (1983). Dichloroacetamide herbicide antidotes enhance  
1042       sulfate metabolism in corn roots. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 19, 350-360.
- 1043   Ahn, Y. O., Kim, S. H., Lee, J., Kim, H., Lee, H.-S., & Kwak, S.-S. (2012). Three *Brassica rapa*  
1044       metallothionein genes are differentially regulated under various stress conditions.  
1045       *Molecular Biology Reports*, 39, 2059-2067.
- 1046   Alla, M. M. N., Badawi, A. H. M., Hassan, N. M., El-Bastawisy, Z. M., & Badran, E. G. (2008).  
1047       Herbicide tolerance in maize is related to increased levels of glutathione and glutathione-  
1048       associated enzymes. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 30, 371-379.
- 1049   Alla, M. M. N., Hassan, N. M., & El-Bastawisy, Z. M. (2008). Changes in antioxidants and kinetics  
1050       of glutathione-S-transferase of maize in response to isoproturon treatment. *Plant*  
1051       *Biosystems*, 142, 5-16.
- 1052   Amir, R. (2010). Current understanding of the factors regulating methionine content in vegetative  
1053       tissues of higher plants. *Amino Acids*, 39, 917-931.
- 1054   Anjum, N. A., Ahmad, I., Mohmood, I., Pacheco, M., Duarte, A. C., Pereira, E., et al. (2012).  
1055       Modulation of glutathione and its related enzymes in plants' responses to toxic metals and  
1056       metalloids - A review. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 75, 307-324.
- 1057   Anjum, N. A., Gill, S. S., & Gill, R. (2014). Cysteine - Jack of all glutathione-based plant stress  
1058       defence trades. In N. A. Anjum, S. S. Gill, & R. Gill (Eds.), *Plant adaptation to*  
1059       *environmental change - Significance of amino acids and their derivatives* (pp. 35-52).  
1060       London: CAB International.

1061 Anjum, N. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossein, M. A., Thangavel, P., Roychoudhury, A., Gill, S. S.,  
 1062 et al. (2015). Jacks of metal/metalloid chelation trade in plants - an overview. *Frontiers in*  
 1063 *Plant Science*, 6, 1-17.

1064 Armstrong, R. N. (1997). Structure, catalytic mechanism, and evolution of the glutathione  
 1065 transferases. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 10, 2-18.

1066 Auguy, F., Mouna, F., Moulin, P., El Mzibri, M., Abdelaziz, S., Filali-Maltouf, A., et al. (2016).  
 1067 Transcriptome changes in *Hirschfeldia incana* in response to lead exposure. *Frontiers in*  
 1068 *Plant Science*, 6, 1-13.

1069 Ball, L., Accotto, G. P., Bechtold, U., Creissen, G., Funck, D., Jimenez, A., et al. (2004). Evidence  
 1070 for a direct link between glutathione biosynthesis and stress defense gene expression in  
 1071 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, 16, 2448-2462.

1072 Barkla, B. J., Vera-Estrella, R., Miranda-Vergara, M. C., & Pantoja, O. (2014). Quantitative  
 1073 proteomics of heavy metal exposure in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals alterations in one-  
 1074 carbon metabolism enzymes upon exposure to zinc. *Journal of Proteomics*, 111, 128-138.

1075 Bartucca, M. L., Celletti, S., Astolfi, S., Mimmo, T., Cesco, S., Panfili, I., et al. (2016). Effect of  
 1076 three safeners on sulfur assimilation and iron deficiency response in barley (*Hordeum*  
 1077 *vulgare*) plants. *Pest Management Science*.

1078 Bashir, H., Ahmad, J., Bagheri, R., Nauman, M., & Qureshi, M. I. (2013). Limited sulfur resource  
 1079 forces *Arabidopsis thaliana* to shift towards non-sulfur tolerance under cadmium stress.  
 1080 *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 94, 19-32.

1081 Bashir, H., Ibrahim, M. M., Bagheri, R., Ahmad, J., Arif, I. A., Baig, M. A., et al. (2015). Influence  
 1082 of sulfur and cadmium on antioxidants, phytochelatins and growth in Indian mustard. *Aob*  
 1083 *Plants*, 7, 1-13.

1084 Baudh, K., Kumar, A., Srivastava, S., Singh, R. P., & Tripathi, R. D. (2016). A study on the effect  
 1085 of cadmium on the antioxidative defense system and alteration in different functional  
 1086 groups in castor bean and Indian mustard. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 62, 877-  
 1087 891.

1088 Begum, M. C., Islam, M. S., Islam, M., Amin, R., Parvez, M. S., & Kabir, A. H. (2016).  
 1089 Biochemical and molecular responses underlying differential arsenic tolerance in rice  
 1090 (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 104, 266-277.

1091 Belford, E. J., Dorfler, U., Stampfl, A., & Schroder, P. (2004). Microsomal detoxification enzymes  
 1092 in yam bean [*Pachyrhizus erosus* (L.) urban]. *Z Naturforsch C*, 59, 693-700.

1093 Blindauer, C. A., & Leszczyszyn, O. I. (2010). Metallothioneins: unparalleled diversity in  
 1094 structures and functions for metal ion homeostasis and more. *Natural Product Reports*, 27,  
 1095 720-741.

1096 Bouchereau, A., Aziz, A., Larher, F., & Martin-Tanguy, J. (1999). Polyamines and environmental  
 1097 challenges: recent development. *Plant Science*, 140, 103-125.

1098 Brazier-Hicks, M., Evans, K. M., Cunningham, O. D., Hodgson, D. R. W., Steel, P. G., & Edwards,  
 1099 R. (2008). Catabolism of glutathione conjugates in *Arabidopsis thaliana* - Role in metabolic  
 1100 reactivation of the herbicide safener fenclorim. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283,  
 1101 21102-21112.

1102 Brunetti, P., Zanella, L., De Paolis, A., Di Litta, D., Cecchetti, V., Falasca, G., et al. (2015).  
 1103 Cadmium-inducible expression of the ABC-type transporter AtABCC3 increases  
 1104 phytochelatin-mediated cadmium tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Experimental*  
 1105 *Botany*, 66, 3815-3829.

1106 Burritt, D. J. (2008). The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon phenanthrene causes oxidative stress  
 1107 and alters polyamine metabolism in the aquatic liverwort *Riccia fluitans* L. *Plant Cell and*  
 1108 *Environment*, *31*, 1416-1431.

1109 Cairns, N. G., Pasternak, M., Wachter, A., Cobbett, C. S., & Meyer, A. J. (2006). Maturation of  
 1110 *Arabidopsis* seeds is dependent on glutathione biosynthesis within the embryo. *Plant*  
 1111 *Physiology*, *141*, 446-455.

1112 Cao, M. J., Wang, Z., Wirtz, M., Hell, R., Oliver, D. J., & Xiang, C. B. (2013). SULTR3;1 is a  
 1113 chloroplast-localized sulfate transporter in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Journal*, *73*, 607-  
 1114 616.

1115 Cao, S. Q., Chen, Z. Y., Liu, G. Q., Jiang, L., Yuan, H. B., Ren, G., et al. (2009). The *Arabidopsis*  
 1116 Ethylene-Insensitive 2 gene is required for lead resistance. *Plant Physiology and*  
 1117 *Biochemistry*, *47*, 308-312.

1118 Cao, S. Q., Jiang, S. T., & Zhang, R. X. (2006). Evidence for a role of Ethylene-Insensitive 2 gene  
 1119 in the regulation of the oxidative stress response in *Arabidopsis*. *Acta Physiologiae*  
 1120 *Plantarum*, *28*, 417-425.

1121 Carrio-Segui, A., Garcia-Molina, A., Sanz, A., & Penarrubia, L. (2015). Defective copper transport  
 1122 in the *cop15* mutant affects cadmium tolerance. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *56*, 442-454.

1123 Chen, H. J., Hou, W. C., Yang, C. Y., Huang, D. J., Liu, J. S., & Lin, Y. H. (2003). Molecular  
 1124 cloning of two metallothionein-like protein genes with differential expression patterns from  
 1125 sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) leaves. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, *160*, 547-555.

1126 Chen, H. J., Huang, C. S., Huang, G. J., Chow, T. J., & Lin, Y. H. (2013). NADPH oxidase inhibitor  
 1127 diphenyleneiodonium and reduced glutathione mitigate ethephon-mediated leaf  
 1128 senescence, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> elevation and senescence-associated gene expression in sweet potato  
 1129 (*Ipomoea batatas*). *Journal of Plant Physiology*, *170*, 1471-1483.

1130 Cheng, J. C., Seeley, K. A., & Sung, Z. R. (1995). *RML1* and *RML2*, *Arabidopsis* genes required  
1131 for cell proliferation at the root tip. *Plant Physiology*, 107, 365-376.

1132 Chiang, H. C., Lo, J. C., & Yeh, K. C. (2006). Genes associated with heavy metal tolerance and  
1133 accumulation in Zn/Cd hyperaccumulator *Arabidopsis halleri*: A genomic survey with  
1134 cDNA microarray. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 6792-6798.

1135 Chirakkara, R. A., Cameselle, C., & Reddy, K. R. (2016). Assessing the applicability of  
1136 phytoremediation of soils with mixed organic and heavy metal contaminants. *Reviews in*  
1137 *Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 15, 299-326.

1138 Choudhary, S. P., Kanwar, M., Bhardwaj, R., Yu, J. Q., & Tran, L. S. P. (2012). Chromium stress  
1139 mitigation by polyamine-brassinosteroid application involves phytohormonal and  
1140 physiological strategies in *Raphanus sativus* L. *Plos One*, 7, 11.

1141 Chung, M. C., Chou, S. J., Kuang, L. Y., Charng, Y. Y., & Yang, S. F. (2002). Subcellular  
1142 localization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase in apple fruit. *Plant and*  
1143 *Cell Physiology*, 43, 549-554.

1144 Clendennen, S. K., & May, G. D. (1997). Differential gene expression in ripening banana fruit.  
1145 *Plant Physiology*, 115, 463-469.

1146 Cobbett, C., & Goldsbrough, P. (2002). Phytochelatins and metallothioneins: Roles in heavy metal  
1147 detoxification and homeostasis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 53, 159-182.

1148 Cole, D. J., Cummins, I., Hatton, P. J., Dixon, D. P., & Edwards, R. (1997). Glutathione  
1149 transferases in crops and major weeds. In K. K. Hatzios (Ed.), *Regulation of enzymatic*  
1150 *systems detoxifying xenobiotics in plants* (Vol. 37, pp. 139-154). Dordrecht: Kluwer  
1151 Academic Publishers.

1152 Coleman, J., Blake-Kalff, M., & Davies, E. (1997). Detoxification of xenobiotics by plants:  
 1153 chemical modification and vacuolar compartmentation. *Trends in Plant Science*, 2, 144-  
 1154 151.

1155 Cona, A., Rea, G., Angelini, R., Federico, R., & Tavladoraki, P. (2006). Functions of amine  
 1156 oxidases in plant development and defence. *Trends in Plant Science*, 11, 80-88.

1157 Cummins, I., Bryant, D. N., & Edwards, R. (2009). Safener responsiveness and multiple herbicide  
 1158 resistance in the weed black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*). *Plant Biotechnology Journal*,  
 1159 7, 807-820.

1160 Cummins, I., Dixon, D. P., Freitag-Pohl, S., Skipsey, M., & Edwards, R. (2011). Multiple roles for  
 1161 plant glutathione transferases in xenobiotic detoxification. *Drug Metabolism Reviews*, 43,  
 1162 266-280.

1163 Cuypers, A., Hendrix, S., dos Reis, R. A., De Smet, S., Deckers, J., Gielen, H., et al. (2016).  
 1164 Hydrogen peroxide, signaling in disguise during metal phytotoxicity. *Frontiers in Plant*  
 1165 *Science*, 7, 1-25.

1166 Cuypers, A., Smeets, K., Ruytinx, J., Opdenakker, K., Keunen, E., Remans, T., et al. (2011). The  
 1167 cellular redox state as a modulator in cadmium and copper responses in *Arabidopsis*  
 1168 *thaliana* seedlings. *J Plant Physiol*, 168, 309-316.

1169 Daghan, H., Arslan, M., Uygur, V., & Koleli, N. (2013). Transformation of tobacco with *ScMTII*  
 1170 gene-enhanced cadmium and zinc accumulation. *Clean-Soil Air Water*, 41, 503-509.

1171 Datta, R., Kumar, D., Sultana, A., Hazra, S., Bhattacharyya, D., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2015).  
 1172 Glutathione regulates 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase transcription via  
 1173 WRKY33 and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase by modulating messenger  
 1174 RNA stability to induce ethylene synthesis during stress. *Plant Physiology*, 169, 2963-2981.

1175 Dauch, A. L., & Jabaji-Hare, S. H. (2006). Metallothionein and bZIP transcription factor genes  
1176 from velvetleaf and their differential expression following *Colletotrichum coccodes*  
1177 infection. *Phytopathology*, 96, 1116-1123.

1178 Dave, R., Tripathi, R. D., Dwivedi, S., Tripathi, P., Dixit, G., Sharma, Y. K., et al. (2013). Arsenate  
1179 and arsenite exposure modulate antioxidants and amino acids in contrasting arsenic  
1180 accumulating rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 262, 1123-  
1181 1131.

1182 Davidian, J. C., & Kopriva, S. (2010). Regulation of sulfate uptake and assimilation - the same or  
1183 not the same? *Molecular Plant*, 3, 314-325.

1184 Davies, J., & Caseley, J. C. (1999). Herbicide safeners: a review. *Pesticide Science*, 55, 1043-1058.

1185 de Jong, A. J., Yakimova, E. T., Kapchina, V. M., & Woltering, E. J. (2002). A critical role for  
1186 ethylene in hydrogen peroxide release during programmed cell death in tomato suspension  
1187 cells. *Planta*, 214, 537-545.

1188 Dean, J. V., Devarenne, T. P., Lee, I. S., & Orlofsky, L. E. (1995). Properties of a maize glutathione  
1189 S-transferase that conjugates coumaric acid and other phenylpropanoids. *Plant Physiology*,  
1190 108, 985-994.

1191 Del Buono, D., Scarponi, L., & Espen, L. (2007). Glutathione S-transferases in *Festuca*  
1192 *arundinacea*: Identification, characterization and inducibility by safener benoxacor.  
1193 *Phytochemistry*, 68, 2614-2624.

1194 DeRidder, B. P., Dixon, D. P., Beussman, D. J., Edwards, R., & Goldsbrough, P. B. (2002).  
1195 Induction of glutathione S-transferases in *Arabidopsis* by herbicide safeners. *Plant*  
1196 *Physiology*, 130, 1497-1505.

1197 Dhindsa, R. S. (1991). Drought stress, enzymes of glutathione metabolism, oxidation injury, and  
1198 protein synthesis in *Tortula ruralis*. *Plant Physiology*, 95, 648-651.

1199 Diao, Q. N., Song, Y. J., & Qi, H. Y. (2015). Exogenous spermidine enhances chilling tolerance of  
1200 tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) seedlings via involvement in polyamines metabolism  
1201 and physiological parameter levels. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 37, 15.

1202 Diesperger, H., & Sandermann, H. (1979). Soluble and microsomal glutathione S-transferase  
1203 activities in pea seedlings (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Planta*, 146, 643-648.

1204 Dixit, G., Singh, A. P., Kumar, A., Mishra, S., Dwivedi, S., Kumar, S., et al. (2016). Reduced  
1205 arsenic accumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) shoot involves sulfur mediated improved  
1206 thiol metabolism, antioxidant system and altered arsenic transporters. *Plant Physiology and*  
1207 *Biochemistry*, 99, 86-96.

1208 Dixon, D. P., Davis, B. G., & Edwards, R. (2002). Functional divergence in the glutathione  
1209 transferase superfamily in plants - Identification of two classes with putative functions in  
1210 redox homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 30859-  
1211 30869.

1212 Dixon, D. P., & Edwards, R. (2010). Glutathione transferases. *The Arabidopsis Book* (Vol. 8, pp.  
1213 e0131).

1214 Dixon, D. P., Skipsey, M., & Edwards, R. (2010). Roles for glutathione transferases in plant  
1215 secondary metabolism. *Phytochemistry*, 71, 338-350.

1216 Dominguez-Solis, J. R., Gutierrez-Alcala, G., Romero, L. C., & Gotor, C. (2001). The cytosolic  
1217 O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase gene is regulated by heavy metals and can function in cadmium  
1218 tolerance. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276, 9297-9302.

1219 Dominguez-Solis, J. R., Lopez-Martin, M. C., Ager, F. J., Ynsa, M. D., Romero, L. C., & Gotor,  
1220 C. (2004). Increased cysteine availability is essential for cadmium tolerance and  
1221 accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 2, 469-476.



1222 Droog, F. (1997). Plant glutathione S-transferases, a tale of theta and tau. *Journal of Plant Growth*  
1223 *Regulation*, 16, 95-107.

1224 Droux, M. (2004). Sulfur assimilation and the role of sulfur in plant metabolism: a survey.  
1225 *Photosynthesis Research*, 79, 331-348.

1226 Droux, M., Ruffet, M. L., Douce, R., & Job, D. (1998). Interactions between serine  
1227 acetyltransferase and O-acetylserine (thiol) lyase in higher plants - Structural and kinetic  
1228 properties of the free and bound enzymes. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 255, 235-  
1229 245.

1230 Dudler, R., Hertig, C., Rebmann, G., Bull, J., & Mauch, F. (1991). A pathogen-induced wheat gene  
1231 encodes a protein homologous to glutathione-S-transferases. *Molecular Plant-Microbe*  
1232 *Interactions*, 4, 14-18.

1233 Edwards, R., Dixon, D. P., & Walbot, V. (2000). Plant glutathione S-transferases: enzymes with  
1234 multiple functions in sickness and in health. *Trends in Plant Science*, 5, 193-198.

1235 Edwards, R., & Dixon, R. A. (1991). Glutathione S-cinnamoyl transferases in plants.  
1236 *Phytochemistry*, 30, 79-84.

1237 Ernst, W. H. O., Krauss, G. J., Verkleij, J. A. C., & Wesenberg, D. (2008). Interaction of heavy  
1238 metals with the sulphur metabolism in angiosperms from an ecological point of view. *Plant*  
1239 *Cell and Environment*, 31, 123-143.

1240 Ezra, G., Rusness, D. G., Lamoureux, G. L., & Stephenson, G. R. (1985). The effect of CDAA  
1241 (N,N,-diallyl-2-chloroacetamide) pretreatments on subsequent CDAA injury to corn (*Zea*  
1242 *mays* L.). *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 23, 108-115.

1243 Farago, S., & Brunold, C. (1990). Regulation of assimilatory sulfate reduction by herbicide  
1244 safeners in *Zea mays* L. *Plant Physiology*, 94, 1808-1812.

1245 Fischer, S., Kuhnlenz, T., Thieme, M., Schmidt, H., & Clemens, S. (2014). Analysis of plant Pb  
1246 tolerance at realistic submicromolar concentrations demonstrates the role of phytochelatin  
1247 synthesis for Pb detoxification. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48, 7552-7559.

1248 Flohe, L. (2013). The fairytale of the GSSG/GSH redox potential. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-*  
1249 *General Subjects*, 1830, 3139-3142.

1250 Foyer, C. H., & Noctor, G. (2005). Oxidant and antioxidant signalling in plants: a re-evaluation of  
1251 the concept of oxidative stress in a physiological context. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 28,  
1252 1056-1071.

1253 Foyer, C. H., & Noctor, G. (2011). Ascorbate and glutathione: The heart of the redox hub. *Plant*  
1254 *Physiology*, 155, 2-18.

1255 Freeman, J. L., Persans, M. W., Nieman, K., Albrecht, C., Peer, W., Pickering, I. J., et al. (2004).  
1256 Increased glutathione biosynthesis plays a role in nickel tolerance in *Thlaspi* nickel  
1257 hyperaccumulators. *Plant Cell*, 16, 2176-2191.

1258 Freisinger, E. (2008). Plant MTs - long neglected members of the metallothionein superfamily.  
1259 *Dalton Transactions*, 6663-6675.

1260 Freisinger, E. (2011). Structural features specific to plant metallothioneins. *Journal of Biological*  
1261 *Inorganic Chemistry*, 16, 1035-1045.

1262 Frova, C. (2003). The plant glutathione transferase gene family: genomic structure, functions,  
1263 expression and evolution. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 119, 469-479.

1264 Gajewska, E., Glowacki, R., Mazur, J., & Sklodowska, M. (2013). Differential response of wheat  
1265 roots to Cu, Ni and Cd treatment: oxidative stress and defense reactions. *Plant Growth*  
1266 *Regulation*, 71, 13-20.

1267 Gautam, N., Verma, P. K., Verma, S., Tripathi, R. D., Trivedi, P. K., Adhikari, B., et al. (2012).  
1268 Genome-wide identification of rice class I metallothionein gene: tissue expression patterns

1269 and induction in response to heavy metal stress. *Functional & Integrative Genomics*, 12,  
1270 635-647.

1271 Ge, C., Ding, Y., Wang, Z., Wan, D., Wang, Y., Shang, Q., et al. (2009). Responses of wheat  
1272 seedlings to cadmium, mercury and trichlorobenzene stresses. *Journal of Environmental*  
1273 *Sciences*, 21, 806-813.

1274 Gerhardt, K. E., Huang, X.-D., Glick, B. R., & Greenberg, B. M. (2009). Phytoremediation and  
1275 rhizoremediation of organic soil contaminants: Potential and challenges. *Plant Science*,  
1276 176, 20-30.

1277 Ghanta, S., Datta, R., Bhattacharyya, D., Sinha, R., Kumar, D., Hazra, S., et al. (2014). Multistep  
1278 involvement of glutathione with salicylic acid and ethylene to combat environmental stress.  
1279 *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 171, 940-950.

1280 Gielen, H., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A. (2016). Toxicity responses of Cu and Cd:  
1281 the involvement of miRNAs and the transcription factor SPL7. *BMC Plant Biology*, 16, 16.

1282 Gigolashvili, T., & Kopriva, S. (2014). Transporters in plant sulfur metabolism. *Frontiers in Plant*  
1283 *Science*, 5, 1-16.

1284 Gill, S. S., & Tuteja, N. (2010a). Polyamines and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Signaling*  
1285 *& Behavior*, 5, 26-33.

1286 Gill, S. S., & Tuteja, N. (2010b). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic  
1287 stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 48, 909-930.

1288 Giraud, E., Ivanova, A., Gordon, C. S., Whelan, J., & Considine, M. J. (2012). Sulphur dioxide  
1289 evokes a large scale reprogramming of the grape berry transcriptome associated with  
1290 oxidative signalling and biotic defence responses. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 35, 405-  
1291 417.

1292 Gotor, C., Laureano-Marin, A. M., Moreno, I., Aroca, A., Garcia, I., & Romero, L. C. (2015).  
1293 Signaling in the plant cytosol: cysteine or sulfide? *Amino Acids*, 47, 2155-2164.

1294 Gronwald, J. W., Fuerst, E. P., Eberlein, C. V., & Egli, M. A. (1987). Effect of herbicide antidotes  
1295 on glutathione content and glutathione S-transferase activity of *Sorghum* shoots. *Pesticide*  
1296 *Biochemistry and Physiology*, 29, 66-76.

1297 Groppa, M. D., & Benavides, M. P. (2008). Polyamines and abiotic stress: recent advances. *Amino*  
1298 *Acids*, 34, 35-45.

1299 Groppa, M. D., Tomaro, M. L., & Benavides, M. P. (2007). Polyamines and heavy metal stress:  
1300 the antioxidant behavior of spermine in cadmium- and copper-treated wheat leaves.  
1301 *Biometals*, 20, 185-195.

1302 Guo, W. J., Bundithya, W., & Goldsbrough, P. B. (2003). Characterization of the *Arabidopsis*  
1303 metallothionein gene family: tissue-specific expression and induction during senescence  
1304 and in response to copper. *New Phytologist*, 159, 369-381.

1305 Halušková, L. u., Valentovičová, K., Huttová, J., Mistřík, I., & Tamás, L. (2009). Effect of abiotic  
1306 stresses on glutathione peroxidase and glutathione S-transferase activity in barley root tips.  
1307 *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 47, 1069-1074.

1308 Han, Y., Mhamdi, A., Chaouch, S., & Noctor, G. (2013). Regulation of basal and oxidative stress-  
1309 triggered jasmonic acid-related gene expression by glutathione. *Plant Cell and*  
1310 *Environment*, 36, 1135-1146.

1311 Harada, E., Choi, Y. E., Tsuchisaka, A., Obata, H., & Sano, H. (2001). Transgenic tobacco plants  
1312 expressing a rice cysteine synthase gene are tolerant to toxic levels of cadmium. *Journal of*  
1313 *Plant Physiology*, 158, 655-661.

1314 Hasan, M. K., Liu, C., Wang, F., Ahammed, G. J., Zhou, J., Xu, M.-X., et al. (2016). Glutathione-  
1315 mediated regulation of nitric oxide, S-nitrosothiol and redox homeostasis confers cadmium

1316 tolerance by inducing transcription factors and stress response genes in tomato.  
1317 *Chemosphere*, 161, 536-545.

1318 Hassinen, V. H., Tervahauta, A. I., Schat, H., & Karenlampi, S. O. (2011). Plant metallothioneins  
1319 - metal chelators with ROS scavenging activity? *Plant Biology*, 13, 225-232.

1320 Hassinen, V. H., Tuomainen, M., Peraniemi, S., Schat, H., Karenlampi, S. O., & Tervahauta, A. I.  
1321 (2009). Metallothioneins 2 and 3 contribute to the metal-adapted phenotype but are not  
1322 directly linked to Zn accumulation in the metal hyperaccumulator, *Thlaspi caerulescens*.  
1323 *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60, 187-196.

1324 Hego, E., Bes, C. M., Bedon, F., Palagi, P. M., Chaumeil, P., Barre, A., et al. (2014). Differential  
1325 accumulation of soluble proteins in roots of metallicolous and nonmetallicolous  
1326 populations of *Agrostis capillaris* L. exposed to Cu. *Proteomics*, 14, 1746-1758.

1327 Hesse, H., Kreft, O., Maimann, S., Zeh, M., Willmitzer, L., & Höfgen, R. (2001). Approaches  
1328 towards understanding methionine biosynthesis in higher plants. *Amino Acids*, 20, 281-289.

1329 Hirase, K., & Molin, W. T. (2003). Sulfur assimilation in plants and weed control: Potential targets  
1330 for novel herbicides and action sites of certain safeners. *Weed Biology and Management*, 3,  
1331 147-157.

1332 Hothorn, M., Wachter, A., Gromes, R., Stuwe, T., Rausch, T., & Scheffzek, K. (2006). Structural  
1333 basis for the redox control of plant glutamate cysteine ligase. *Journal of Biological*  
1334 *Chemistry*, 281, 27557-27565.

1335 Howden, R., Andersen, C. R., Goldsbrough, P. B., & Cobbett, C. S. (1995). A cadmium-sensitive,  
1336 glutathione-deficient mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiology*, 107, 1067-1073.

1337 Hudgins, J. W., Ralph, S. G., Franceschi, V. R., & Bohlmann, J. (2006). Ethylene in induced conifer  
1338 defense: cDNA cloning, protein expression, and cellular and subcellular localization of 1-

1339 aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase in resin duct and phenolic parenchyma cells.  
1340 *Planta*, 224, 865-877.

1341 Ibrahim, R. K., Hayyan, M., AlSaadi, M. A., Hayyan, A., & Ibrahim, S. (2016). Environmental  
1342 application of nanotechnology: air, soil, and water. *Environmental Science and Pollution*  
1343 *Research*, 23, 13754-13788.

1344 Iqbal, N., Khan, N. A., Nazar, R., & da Silva, J. A. T. (2012). Ethylene-stimulated photosynthesis  
1345 results from increased nitrogen and sulfur assimilation in mustard types that differ in  
1346 photosynthetic capacity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 78, 84-90.

1347 Iqbal, N., Masood, A., Khan, M. I., Asgher, M., Fatma, M., & Khan, N. A. (2013). Cross-talk  
1348 between sulfur assimilation and ethylene signaling in plants. *Plant Signal Behav*, 8, e22478.

1349 Jain, V., Vart, S., Verma, E., & Malhotra, S. P. (2015). Spermine reduces salinity-induced oxidative  
1350 damage by enhancing antioxidative system and decreasing lipid peroxidation in rice  
1351 seedlings. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 24, 316-323.

1352 Jozefczak, M., Keunen, E., Schat, H., Blik, M., Hernandez, L. E., Carleer, R., et al. (2014).  
1353 Differential response of *Arabidopsis* leaves and roots to cadmium: Glutathione-related  
1354 chelating capacity vs antioxidant capacity. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 83, 1-9.

1355 Jozefczak, M., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A. (2012). Glutathione is a key player in  
1356 metal-induced oxidative stress defenses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 13,  
1357 3145-3175.

1358 Kataoka, T., Hayashi, N., Yamaya, T., & Takahashi, H. (2004a). Root-to-shoot transport of sulfate  
1359 in *Arabidopsis*. Evidence for the role of SULTR3;5 as a component of low-affinity sulfate  
1360 transport system in the root vasculature. *Plant Physiology*, 136, 4198-4204.

1361 Kataoka, T., Watanabe-Takahashi, A., Hayashi, N., Ohnishi, M., Mimura, T., Buchner, P., et al.  
1362 (2004b). Vacuolar sulfate transporters are essential determinants controlling internal  
1363 distribution of sulfate in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, 16, 2693-2704.

1364 Kawashima, C. G., Noji, M., Nakamura, M., Ogra, Y., Suzuki, K. T., & Saito, K. (2004). Heavy  
1365 metal tolerance of transgenic tobacco plants over-expressing cysteine synthase.  
1366 *Biotechnology Letters*, 26, 153-157.

1367 Kende, H. (1993). Ethylene biosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular*  
1368 *Biology*, 44, 283-307.

1369 Keunen, E., Florez-Sarasa, I., Obata, T., Jozefczak, M., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., et al.  
1370 (2016a). Metabolic responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots and leaves to sublethal  
1371 cadmium exposure are differentially influenced by ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a.  
1372 *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 124, 64-78.

1373 Keunen, E., Schellingen, K., Van Der Straeten, D., Remans, T., Colpaert, J., Vangronsveld, J., et  
1374 al. (2015). ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a modulates the oxidative challenge during  
1375 moderate Cd exposure in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 66,  
1376 2967-2977.

1377 Keunen, E., Schellingen, K., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A. (2016b). Ethylene and metal stress:  
1378 Small molecule, big impact. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1-18.

1379 Khan, M. I. R., & Khan, N. A. (2014). Ethylene reverses photosynthetic inhibition by nickel and  
1380 zinc in mustard through changes in PS II activity, photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency,  
1381 and antioxidant metabolism. *Protoplasma*, 251, 1007-1019.

1382 Kim, S. H., Jeong, J. C., Ahn, Y. O., Lee, H. S., & Kwak, S. S. (2014). Differential responses of  
1383 three sweetpotato metallothionein genes to abiotic stress and heavy metals. *Molecular*  
1384 *Biology Reports*, 41, 6957-6966.

1385 Kim, Y. O., Jung, S., Kim, K., & Bae, H. J. (2013). Role of pCeMT, a putative metallothionein  
1386 from *Colocasia esculenta*, in response to metal stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*,  
1387 64, 25-32.

1388 Kocsy, G., von Ballmoos, P., Rueggsegger, A., Szalai, G., Galiba, G., & Brunold, C. (2001).  
1389 Increasing the glutathione content in a chilling-sensitive maize genotype using safeners  
1390 increased protection against chilling-induced injury. *Plant Physiology*, 127, 1147-1156.

1391 Koprivova, A., North, K. A., & Kopriva, S. (2008). Complex signaling network in regulation of  
1392 adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase by salt stress in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant Physiology*,  
1393 146, 1408-1420.

1394 Kovacik, J., Klejdus, B., Hedbavny, J., & Backor, M. (2009). Nickel uptake and its effect on some  
1395 nutrient levels, amino acid contents and oxidative status in *Matricaria chamomilla* plants.  
1396 *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 202, 199-209.

1397 Kumar, G., Kushwaha, H. R., Panjabi-Sabharwal, V., Kumari, S., Joshi, R., Karan, R., et al. (2012).  
1398 Clustered metallothionein genes are co-regulated in rice and ectopic expression of *OsMT1e*-  
1399 *P* confers multiple abiotic stress tolerance in tobacco via ROS scavenging. *BMC Plant*  
1400 *Biology*, 12, 1-15.

1401 Kumar, S., Asif, M. H., Chakrabarty, D., Tripathi, R. D., Dubey, R. S., & Trivedi, P. K. (2013).  
1402 Differential expression of rice lambda class GST gene family members during plant growth,  
1403 development, and in response to stress conditions. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, 31,  
1404 569-580.

1405 Kumari, N., Parmar, P., & Sharma, V. (2015). Differential gene expression in two contrasting  
1406 wheat cultivars under cadmium stress. *Biologia Plantarum*, 59, 701-707.



1407 Kummerova, M., Zezulka, S., Vanova, L., & Fiserova, H. (2012). Effect of organic pollutant  
1408 treatment on the growth of pea and maize seedlings. *Central European Journal of Biology*,  
1409 7, 159-166.

1410 Kusano, T., Berberich, T., Tateda, C., & Takahashi, Y. (2008). Polyamines: essential factors for  
1411 growth and survival. *Planta*, 228, 367-381.

1412 Lallement, P. A., Brouwer, B., Keech, O., Hecker, A., & Rouhier, N. (2014). The still mysterious  
1413 roles of cysteine-containing glutathione transferases in plants. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*,  
1414 5, 1-22.

1415 Lamoureux, G. L., & Frear, D. S. (1987). Current problems, trends and developments in pesticide  
1416 metabolism in plants. In R. Greenhalgh & T. R. Roberts (Eds.), *Pesticide science and*  
1417 *biotechnology* (pp. 455-463). Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.

1418 Lamoureux, G. L., & Rusness, D. G. (1980). In vitro metabolism of pentachloronitrobenzene to  
1419 pentachloromethylthiobenzene by onion: Characterization of glutathione S-transferase,  
1420 cysteine C-S lyase, and S-adenosylmethionine methyl transferase activities. *Pesticide*  
1421 *Biochemistry and Physiology*, 14, 50-61.

1422 Lamoureux, G. L., & Rusness, D. G. (1989). The role of glutathione and glutathione S-transferases  
1423 in pesticide metabolism, selectivity and mode of action in plants and insects. In D. Dolphin,  
1424 R. Poulson, & O. Avramovic (Eds.), *Glutathione: Chemical, biochemical, and medical*  
1425 *aspects* (Vol. IIIB, pp. 153-196). New York: Wiley & Sons.

1426 Lamoureux, G. L., Rusness, D. G., & Schroder, P. (1993). Metabolism of a diphenylether herbicide  
1427 to a volatile thioanisole and a polar sulfonic-acid metabolite in spruce (*Picea*). *Pesticide*  
1428 *Biochemistry and Physiology*, 47, 8-20.

1429 Leszczyszyn, O. I., Imam, H. T., & Blindauer, C. A. (2013). Diversity and distribution of plant  
 1430 metallothioneins: a review of structure, properties and functions. *Metallomics*, 5, 1146-  
 1431 1169.

1432 Levine, A., Tenhaken, R., Dixon, R., & Lamb, C. (1994). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from the oxidative burst  
 1433 orchestrates the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Cell*, 79, 583-593.

1434 Lewandowska, M., Wawrzynska, A., Moniuszko, G., Lukomska, J., Zientara, K., Piecho, M., et al.  
 1435 (2010). A contribution to identification of novel regulators of plant response to sulfur  
 1436 deficiency: characteristics of a tobacco gene *UP9C*, its protein product and the effects of  
 1437 *UP9C* silencing. *Molecular Plant*, 3, 347-360.

1438 Li, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X. Q., Peng, Y., Merewitz, E., Ma, X., et al. (2016). The alterations of  
 1439 endogenous polyamines and phytohormones induced by exogenous application of  
 1440 spermidine regulate antioxidant metabolism, metallothionein and relevant genes conferring  
 1441 drought tolerance in white clover. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 124, 22-38.

1442 Li, Z. S., Alfenito, M., Rea, P. A., Walbot, V., & Dixon, R. A. (1997). Vacuolar uptake of the  
 1443 phytoalexin medicarpin by the glutathione conjugate pump. *Phytochemistry*, 45, 689-693.

1444 Liang, J., Shohag, M. J. I., Yang, X. E., Tian, S. K., Zhang, Y. B., Feng, Y., et al. (2014). Role of  
 1445 sulfur assimilation pathway in cadmium hyperaccumulation by *Sedum alfredii* Hance.  
 1446 *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 100, 159-165.

1447 Liang, T. S., Ding, H., Wang, G. D., Kang, J. Q., Pang, H. X., & Lv, J. Y. (2016). Sulfur decreases  
 1448 cadmium translocation and enhances cadmium tolerance by promoting sulfur assimilation  
 1449 and glutathione metabolism in *Brassica chinensis* L. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental*  
 1450 *Safety*, 124, 129-137.

1451 Lim, B., Meyer, A. J., & Cobbett, C. S. (2011). Development of glutathione-deficient embryos in  
 1452 *Arabidopsis* is influenced by the maternal level of glutathione. *Plant Biology*, 13, 693-697.

1453 Liu, J., Shi, X. T., Qian, M., Zheng, L. Q., Lian, C. L., Xia, Y., et al. (2015a). Copper-induced  
 1454 hydrogen peroxide upregulation of a metallothionein gene, *OsMT2c*, from *Oryza sativa* L.  
 1455 confers copper tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 294,  
 1456 99-108.

1457 Liu, J. H., Wang, W., Wu, H., Gong, X. Q., & Moriguchi, T. (2015b). Polyamines function in stress  
 1458 tolerance: from synthesis to regulation. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 1-10.

1459 Lo Cicero, L., Madesis, P., Tsaftaris, A., & Lo Piero, A. R. (2015). Tobacco plants over-expressing  
 1460 the sweet orange tau glutathione transferases (CsGSTUs) acquire tolerance to the diphenyl  
 1461 ether herbicide fluorodifen and to salt and drought stresses. *Phytochemistry*, 116, 69-77.

1462 Loyall, L., Uchida, K., Braun, S., Furuya, M., & Frohnmeier, H. (2000). Glutathione and a UV  
 1463 light-induced glutathione S-transferase are involved in signaling to chalcone synthase in  
 1464 cell cultures. *Plant Cell*, 12, 1939-1950.

1465 Lyubenova, L., & Schroder, P. (2011). Plants for waste water treatment - Effects of heavy metals  
 1466 on the detoxification system of *Typha latifolia*. *Bioresource Technology*, 102, 996-1004.

1467 Ma, Y., Oliveira, R. S., Freitas, H., & Zhang, C. (2016). Biochemical and molecular mechanisms  
 1468 of plant-microbe-metal interactions: Relevance for phytoremediation. *Frontiers in Plant*  
 1469 *Science*, 7, 1-19.

1470 Maksymiec, W. (2011). Effects of jasmonate and some other signalling factors on bean and onion  
 1471 growth during the initial phase of cadmium action. *Biologia Plantarum*, 55, 112-118.

1472 Mandavian, K., Ghaderian, S. M., & Schat, H. (2016). Pb accumulation, Pb tolerance, antioxidants,  
 1473 thiols, and organic acids in metalicolous and non-metallicolous *Peganum harmala* L. under  
 1474 Pb exposure. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 126, 21-31.

1475 Mannervik, B., & Danielson, U. H. (1988). Glutathione transferases - Structure and catalytic  
 1476 activity. *Crc Critical Reviews in Biochemistry*, 23, 283-337.

1477 Marrs, K. A. (1996). The functions and regulation of glutathione S-transferases in plants. *Annual*  
1478 *Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 47, 127-158.

1479 Marrs, K. A., Alfenito, M. R., Lloyd, A. M., & Walbot, V. (1995). A glutathione S-transferase  
1480 involved in vacuolar transfer encoded by the maize gene *Bronze-2*. *Nature*, 375, 397-400.

1481 Martínez, M., Bernal, P., Almela, C., Vélez, D., García-Agustín, P., Serrano, R., et al. (2006). An  
1482 engineered plant that accumulates higher levels of heavy metals than *Thlaspi caerulescens*,  
1483 with yields of 100 times more biomass in mine soils. *Chemosphere*, 64, 478-485.

1484 Martinoia, E., Grill, E., Tommasini, R., Kreuz, K., & Amrhein, N. (1993). ATP-dependent  
1485 glutathione S-conjugate export pump in the vacuolar membrane of plants. *Nature*, 364, 247-  
1486 249.

1487 Maruyama-Nakashita, A., Nakamura, Y., Watanabe-Takahashi, A., Inoue, E., Yamaya, T., &  
1488 Takahashi, H. (2005). Identification of a novel cis-acting element conferring sulfur  
1489 deficiency response in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant Journal*, 42, 305-314.

1490 Masood, A., Iqbal, N., & Khan, N. A. (2012). Role of ethylene in alleviation of cadmium-induced  
1491 photosynthetic capacity inhibition by sulphur in mustard. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 35,  
1492 524-533.

1493 Mauch, F., & Dudler, R. (1993). Differential induction of distinct glutathione-S-transferases of  
1494 wheat by xenobiotics and by pathogen attack. *Plant Physiology*, 102, 1193-1201.

1495 Maughan, S. C., Pasternak, M., Cairns, N., Kiddle, G., Brach, T., Jarvis, R., et al. (2010). Plant  
1496 homologs of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine-resistance transporter, PfCRT, are  
1497 required for glutathione homeostasis and stress responses. *Proceedings of the National*  
1498 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 2331-2336.

1499 Mazen, A. M. A. (2004). Accumulation of four metals in tissues of *Corchorus olitorius* and  
1500 possible mechanisms of their tolerance. *Biologia Plantarum*, 48, 267-272.

1501 Mengoni, A., Gonnelli, C., Hakvoort, H. W. J., Galardi, F., Bazzicalupo, M., Gabbrielli, R., et al.  
 1502 (2003). Evolution of copper-tolerance and increased expression of a 2b-type  
 1503 metallothionein gene in *Silene paradoxa* L. populations. *Plant and Soil*, 257, 451-457.

1504 Meyer, R. C., Goldsbrough, P. B., & Woodson, W. R. (1991). An ethylene-responsive flower  
 1505 senescence-related gene from carnation encodes a protein homologous to glutathione S-  
 1506 transferases. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 17, 277-281.

1507 Minocha, R., Majumdar, R., & Minocha, S. C. (2014). Polyamines and abiotic stress in plants: a  
 1508 complex relationship. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 1-17.

1509 Mir, G., Domenech, J., Huguet, G., Guo, W. J., Goldsbrough, P., Atrian, S., et al. (2004). A plant  
 1510 type 2 metallothionein (MT) from cork tissue responds to oxidative stress. *Journal of*  
 1511 *Experimental Botany*, 55, 2483-2493.

1512 Miteva, L. P. E., Ivanov, S. V., & Alexieva, V. S. (2010). Alterations in glutathione pool and some  
 1513 related enzymes in leaves and roots of pea plants treated with the herbicide glyphosate.  
 1514 *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 57, 131-136.

1515 Mohanasundaram, K. A., Haworth, N. L., Grover, M. P., Crowley, T. M., Goscinski, A., &  
 1516 Wouters, M. A. (2015). Potential role of glutathione in evolution of thiol-based redox  
 1517 signaling sites in proteins. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 6, 1-15.

1518 Moniuszko, G., Skoneczny, M., Zientara-Rytter, K., Wawrzynska, A., Glow, D., Cristescu, S. M.,  
 1519 et al. (2013). Tobacco LSU-like protein couples sulphur-deficiency response with ethylene  
 1520 signalling pathway. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64, 5173-5182.

1521 Montero-Palmero, M. B., Martin-Barranco, A., Escobar, C., & Hernandez, L. E. (2014). Early  
 1522 transcriptional responses to mercury: a role for ethylene in mercury-induced stress. *New*  
 1523 *Phytologist*, 201, 116-130.

1524 Morgenstern, R., Depierre, J. W., & Jornvall, H. (1985). Microsomal glutathione transferase -  
 1525 Primary structure. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 260, 3976-3983.

1526 Moyle, R., Fairbairn, D. J., Ripi, J., Crowe, M., & Botella, J. R. (2005). Developing pineapple fruit  
 1527 has a small transcriptome dominated by metallothionein. *Journal of Experimental Botany*,  
 1528 56, 101-112.

1529 Murr, D. P., & Yang, S. F. (1975). Conversion of 5'-methylthioadenosine to methionine by apple  
 1530 tissue. *Phytochemistry*, 14, 1291-1292.

1531 Na, G., & Salt, D. E. (2011). The role of sulfur assimilation and sulfur-containing compounds in  
 1532 trace element homeostasis in plants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 72, 18-25.

1533 Nath, S., Panda, P., Mishra, S., Dey, M., Choudhury, S., Sahoo, L., et al. (2014). Arsenic stress in  
 1534 rice: Redox consequences and regulation by iron. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 80,  
 1535 203-210.

1536 Nazar, R., Khan, M. I. R., Iqbal, N., Masood, A., & Khan, N. A. (2014). Involvement of ethylene  
 1537 in reversal of salt-inhibited photosynthesis by sulfur in mustard. *Physiologia Plantarum*,  
 1538 152, 331-344.

1539 Ning, H. X., Zhang, C. H., Yao, Y., & Yu, D. Y. (2010). Overexpression of a soybean O-  
 1540 acetylserine (thiol) lyase-encoding gene *GmOASTL4* in tobacco increases cysteine levels  
 1541 and enhances tolerance to cadmium stress. *Biotechnology Letters*, 32, 557-564.

1542 Nocito, F. F., Lancilli, C., Crema, B., Fourcroy, P., Davidian, J. C., & Sacchi, G. A. (2006). Heavy  
 1543 metal stress and sulfate uptake in maize roots. *Plant Physiology*, 141, 1138-1148.

1544 Noctor, G., Gomez, L., Vanacker, H., & Foyer, C. H. (2002). Interactions between biosynthesis,  
 1545 compartmentation and transport in the control of glutathione homeostasis and signalling.  
 1546 *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 53, 1283-1304.

1547 Noctor, G., Mhamdi, A., Chaouch, S., Han, Y., Neukermans, J., Marquez-Garcia, B., et al. (2012).  
1548       Glutathione in plants: an integrated overview. *Plant Cell Environ*, 35, 454-484.

1549 Noguera-Oviedo, K., & Aga, D. S. (2016). Lessons learned from more than two decades of research  
1550       on emerging contaminants in the environment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 316, 242-  
1551       251.

1552 Nutricati, E., Miceli, A., Blando, F., & De Bellis, L. (2006). Characterization of two *Arabidopsis*  
1553       *thaliana* glutathione S-transferases. *Plant Cell Reports*, 25, 997-1005.

1554 Onouchi, H., Nagami, Y., Haraguchi, Y., Nakamoto, M., Nishimura, Y., Sakurai, R., et al. (2005).  
1555       Nascent peptide-mediated translation elongation arrest coupled with mRNA degradation in  
1556       the *CGSI* gene of *Arabidopsis*. *Genes & Development*, 19, 1799-1810.

1557 Oztetik, E. (2008). A tale of plant Glutathione S-transferases: Since 1970. *Botanical Review*, 74,  
1558       419-437.

1559 Parisy, V., Poinssot, B., Owsianowski, L., Buchala, A., Glazebrook, J., & Mauch, F. (2007).  
1560       Identification of PAD2 as a gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase highlights the importance  
1561       of glutathione in disease resistance of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Journal*, 49, 159-172.

1562 Pavlíková, D., Zemanová, V., Procházková, D., Pavlík, M., Száková, J., & Wilhelmová, N. (2014).  
1563       The long-term effect of zinc soil contamination on selected free amino acids playing an  
1564       important role in plant adaptation to stress and senescence. *Ecotoxicology and*  
1565       *Environmental Safety*, 100, 166-170.

1566 Pflugmacher, S., Schroder, P., & Sandermann, H. (2000). Taxonomic distribution of plant  
1567       glutathione S-transferases acting on xenobiotics. *Phytochemistry*, 54, 267-273.

1568 Prade, L., Huber, R., & Bieseler, B. (1998). Structures of herbicides in complex with their  
1569       detoxifying enzyme glutathione S-transferase - explanations for the selectivity of the  
1570       enzyme in plants. *Structure*, 6, 1445-1452.

1571 Queval, G., Thominet, D., Vanacker, H., Miginiac-Maslow, M., Gakiere, B., & Noctor, G. (2009).  
 1572  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ -activated up-regulation of glutathione in *Arabidopsis* involves induction of genes  
 1573 encoding enzymes involved in cysteine synthesis in the chloroplast. *Molecular Plant*, 2,  
 1574 344-356.

1575 Radhakrishnan, R., & Lee, I. J. (2013). Spermine promotes acclimation to osmotic stress by  
 1576 modifying antioxidant, abscisic acid, and jasmonic acid signals in soybean. *Journal of Plant*  
 1577 *Growth Regulation*, 32, 22-30.

1578 Rady, M. M., & Hemida, K. A. (2015). Modulation of cadmium toxicity and enhancing cadmium-  
 1579 tolerance in wheat seedlings by exogenous application of polyamines. *Ecotoxicology and*  
 1580 *Environmental Safety*, 119, 178-185.

1581 Ramassamy, S., Olmos, E., Bouzayen, M., Pech, J. C., & Latche, A. (1998). 1-aminocyclopropane-  
 1582 1-carboxylate oxidase of apple fruit is periplasmic. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 49,  
 1583 1909-1915.

1584 Ravanel, S., Gakiere, B., Job, D., & Douce, R. (1998). The specific features of methionine  
 1585 biosynthesis and metabolism in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*  
 1586 *of the United States of America*, 95, 7805-7812.

1587 Ravilious, G. E., & Jez, J. M. (2012). Structural biology of plant sulfur metabolism: From  
 1588 assimilation to biosynthesis. *Natural Product Reports*, 29, 1138-1152.

1589 Razem, F. A., & Bernards, M. A. (2002). Hydrogen peroxide is required for poly(phenolic) domain  
 1590 formation during wound-induced suberization. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
 1591 *Chemistry*, 50, 1009-1015.

1592 Reichenauer, T. G., & Germida, J. J. (2008). Phytoremediation of organic contaminants in soil and  
 1593 groundwater. *ChemSusChem*, 1, 708-717.



1594 Remans, T., Opdenakker, K., Guisez, Y., Carleer, R., Schat, H., Vangronsveld, J., et al. (2012).  
 1595 Exposure of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to excess Zn reveals a Zn-specific oxidative stress  
 1596 signature. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 84, 61-71.

1597 Ren, Y. J., Liu, Y., Chen, H. Y., Li, G., Zhang, X. L., & Zhao, J. (2012). Type 4 metallothionein  
 1598 genes are involved in regulating Zn ion accumulation in late embryo and in controlling  
 1599 early seedling growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 35, 770-789.

1600 Roje, S. (2006). S-Adenosyl-L-methionine: Beyond the universal methyl group donor.  
 1601 *Phytochemistry*, 67, 1686-1698.

1602 Rombaldi, C., Lelievre, J. M., Latche, A., Petitprez, M., Bouzayen, M., & Pech, J. C. (1994).  
 1603 Immunocytolocalization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase in tomato and  
 1604 apple fruit. *Planta*, 192, 453-460.

1605 Romero, L. C., Aroca, M. A., Laureano-Marin, A. M., Moreno, I., Garcia, I., & Gotor, C. (2014).  
 1606 Cysteine and cysteine-related signaling pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Plant*,  
 1607 7, 264-276.

1608 Roosens, N. H., Bernard, C., Leplae, R., & Verbruggen, N. (2004). Evidence for copper  
 1609 homeostasis function of metallothionein (MT3) in the hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi*  
 1610 *caerulescens*. *FEBS Letters*, 577, 9-16.

1611 Rouached, H., Wirtz, M., Alary, R., Hell, R., Arpat, A. B., Davidian, J. C., et al. (2008). Differential  
 1612 regulation of the expression of two high-affinity sulfate transporters, SULTR1.1 and  
 1613 SULTR1.2, in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology*, 147, 897-911.

1614 Rouhier, N. (2010). Plant glutaredoxins: pivotal players in redox biology and iron-sulphur centre  
 1615 assembly. *New Phytologist*, 186, 365-372.

1616 Ruiz, O. N., Alvarez, D., Torres, C., Roman, L., & Daniell, H. (2011). Metallothionein expression  
 1617 in chloroplasts enhances mercury accumulation and phytoremediation capability. *Plant*  
 1618 *Biotechnology Journal*, 9, 609-617.

1619 Saito, K. (2000). Regulation of sulfate transport and synthesis of sulfur-containing amino acids.  
 1620 *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 3, 188-195.

1621 Sauter, M., Moffatt, B., Saechao, M. C., Hell, R., & Wirtz, M. (2013). Methionine salvage and S-  
 1622 adenosylmethionine: Essential links between sulfur, ethylene and polyamine biosynthesis.  
 1623 *Biochemical Journal*, 451, 145-154.

1624 Savvides, A., Ali, S., Tester, M., & Fotopoulos, V. (2016). Chemical priming of plants against  
 1625 multiple abiotic stresses: Mission possible? *Trends in Plant Science*, 21, 329-340.

1626 Scalla, R., & Roulet, A. (2002). Cloning and characterization of a glutathione S-transferase induced  
 1627 by a herbicide safener in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Physiologia Plantarum*, 116, 336-344.

1628 Schellingen, K., Van Der Straeten, D., Remans, T., Loix, C., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A.  
 1629 (2015). Ethylene biosynthesis is involved in the early oxidative challenge induced by  
 1630 moderate Cd exposure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*,  
 1631 117, 1-11.

1632 Schiavon, M., Galla, G., Wirtz, M., Pilon-Smits, E. A. H., Telatin, V., Quaggiotti, S., et al. (2012).  
 1633 Transcriptome profiling of genes differentially modulated by sulfur and chromium  
 1634 identifies potential targets for phytoremediation and reveals a complex S-Cr interplay on  
 1635 sulfate transport regulation in *B. juncea*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 239, 192-205.

1636 Schiavon, M., Pilon-Smits, E. A. H., Wirtz, M., Hell, R., & Malagoli, M. (2008). Interactions  
 1637 between chromium and sulfur metabolism in *Brassica juncea*. *Journal of Environmental*  
 1638 *Quality*, 37, 1536-1545.

1639 Schiavon, M., Wirtz, M., Borsa, P., Quaggicitti, S., Hell, R., & Malagoli, M. (2007). Chromate  
 1640 differentially affects the expression of a high-affinity sulfate transporter and isoforms of  
 1641 components of the sulfate assimilatory pathway in *Zea mays* (L.). *Plant Biology*, 9, 662-  
 1642 671.

1643 Schiller, M., Hegelund, J. N., Pedas, P., Kichey, T., Laursen, K. H., Husted, S., et al. (2014). Barley  
 1644 metallothioneins differ in ontogenetic pattern and response to metals. *Plant Cell and*  
 1645 *Environment*, 37, 353-367.

1646 Schnaubelt, D., Queval, G., Dong, Y. P., Diaz-Vivancos, P., Makgopa, M. E., Howell, G., et al.  
 1647 (2015). Low glutathione regulates gene expression and the redox potentials of the nucleus  
 1648 and cytosol in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 38, 266-279.

1649 Schröder, P. (2006). Enzymes transferring biomolecules to organic foreign compounds: A role for  
 1650 glucosyltransferase and glutathione S-transferase in phytoremediation. In M. Mackova, D.  
 1651 Dowling, & T. Macek (Eds.), *Phytoremediation and rhizoremediation* (pp. 133-142).  
 1652 Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

1653 Schroder, P., & Collins, C. (2002). Conjugating enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism of  
 1654 organic xenobiotics in plants. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 4, 247-265.

1655 Schroder, P., & Pflugmacher, S. (1996). Induction of glutathione S-transferase activity in Norway  
 1656 spruce by xenobiotics and herbicide safeners. *Journal of Applied Botany-Angewandte*  
 1657 *Botanik*, 70, 97-100.

1658 Sekhar, K., Priyanka, B., Reddy, V. D., & Rao, K. V. (2011). Metallothionein 1 (CcMT1) of  
 1659 pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*, L.) confers enhanced tolerance to copper and cadmium in  
 1660 *Escherichia coli* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 72,  
 1661 131-139.

1662 Seth, C. S., Remans, T., Keunen, E., Jozefczak, M., Gielen, H., Opdenakker, K., et al. (2012).  
1663 Phytoextraction of toxic metals: a central role for glutathione. *Plant Cell Environ*, 35, 334-  
1664 346.

1665 Shu, S., Yuan, L. Y., Guo, S. R., Sun, J., & Yuan, Y. H. (2013). Effects of exogenous spermine on  
1666 chlorophyll fluorescence, antioxidant system and ultrastructure of chloroplasts in *Cucumis*  
1667 *sativus* L. under salt stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 63, 209-216.

1668 Singh, B. R., & Shaw, R. W. (1988). Selective-inhibition of oat glutathione-S-transferase activity  
1669 by tetrapyrroles. *FEBS Letters*, 234, 379-382.

1670 Sinha, R., Kumar, D., Datta, R., Hazra, S., Bhattacharyya, D., Mazumdar, A. B., et al. (2015).  
1671 Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* exposed to  
1672 glutathione unravels its role in plant defense. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture*, 120,  
1673 975-988.

1674 Smith, I. K., Kendall, A. C., Keys, A. J., Turner, J. C., & Lea, P. J. (1985). The regulation of the  
1675 biosynthesis of glutathione in leaves of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant Science*, 41,  
1676 11-17.

1677 Sommer, A., & Boger, P. (1999). Characterization of recombinant corn glutathione S-transferase  
1678 isoforms I, II, III, and IV. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 63, 127-138.

1679 Song, Y. F., Cui, J., Zhang, H. X., Wang, G. P., Zhao, F. J., & Shen, Z. G. (2013). Proteomic  
1680 analysis of copper stress responses in the roots of two rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties  
1681 differing in Cu tolerance. *Plant and Soil*, 366, 647-658.

1682 Stuiver, C. E. E., Posthumus, F. S., Parmar, S., Shahbaz, M., Hawkesford, M. J., & De Kok, L. J.  
1683 (2014). Zinc exposure has differential effects on uptake and metabolism of sulfur and  
1684 nitrogen in Chinese cabbage. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 177, 748-757.

1685 Sun, X., & Guo, L. Z. (2013). Relationship between cadmium-induced root subapical hair  
 1686 development and ethylene biosynthesis in oilseed rape seedlings. *Acta Biologica*  
 1687 *Cracoviensia Series Botanica*, 55, 68-75.

1688 Takahashi, H., Kopriva, S., Giordano, M., Saito, K., & Hell, R. (2011). Sulfur assimilation in  
 1689 photosynthetic organisms: Molecular functions and regulations of transporters and  
 1690 assimilatory enzymes. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 62, 157-184.

1691 Takahashi, H., Watanabe-Takahashi, A., Smith, F. W., Blake-Kalff, M., Hawkesford, M. J., &  
 1692 Saito, K. (2000). The roles of three functional sulphate transporters involved in uptake and  
 1693 translocation of sulphate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Journal*, 23, 171-182.

1694 Takahashi, Y., & Nagata, T. (1992). parB: An auxin-regulated gene encoding glutathione S-  
 1695 transferase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
 1696 *America*, 89, 56-59.

1697 Talukdar, D., & Talukdar, T. (2014). Coordinated response of sulfate transport, cysteine  
 1698 biosynthesis, and glutathione-mediated antioxidant defense in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.)  
 1699 genotypes exposed to arsenic. *Protoplasma*, 251, 839-855.

1700 Tennstedt, P., Peisker, D., Bottcher, C., Trampczynska, A., & Clemens, S. (2009). Phytochelatin  
 1701 synthesis is essential for the detoxification of excess zinc and contributes significantly to  
 1702 the accumulation of zinc. *Plant Physiology*, 149, 938-948.

1703 Thao, N. P., Khan, M. I. R., Thu, N. B. A., Hoang, X. L. T., Asgher, M., Khan, N. A., et al. (2015).  
 1704 Role of ethylene and its cross talk with other signaling molecules in plant responses to  
 1705 heavy metal stress. *Plant Physiology*, 169, 73-84.

1706 Theodoulou, F. L. (2000). Plant ABC transporters. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes*,  
 1707 1465, 79-103.

1708 Tsuchisaka, A., & Theologis, A. (2004). Unique and overlapping expression patterns among the  
 1709 *Arabidopsis* 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase gene family members. *Plant*  
 1710 *Physiology*, *136*, 2982-3000.

1711 Tsuchisaka, A., Yu, G. X., Jin, H. L., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., Zhang, X. M., et al. (2009). A  
 1712 combinatorial interplay among the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate isoforms regulates  
 1713 ethylene biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics*, *183*, 979-1003.

1714 Usha, B., Keeran, N. S., Harikrishnan, M., Kavitha, K., & Parida, A. (2011). Characterization of a  
 1715 type 3 metallothionein isolated from *Porteresia coarctata*. *Biologia Plantarum*, *55*, 119-  
 1716 124.

1717 Van de Poel, B., & Van Der Straeten, D. (2014). 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC)  
 1718 in plants: more than just the precursor of ethylene! *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *5*, 11.

1719 Vangronsveld, J., Herzig, R., Weyens, N., Boulet, J., Adriaensen, K., Ruttens, A., et al. (2009).  
 1720 Phytoremediation of contaminated soils and groundwater: lessons from the field.  
 1721 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *16*, 765-794.

1722 Venkatachalam, P., Srivastava, A. K., Raghothama, K. G., & Sahi, S. V. (2009). Genes induced in  
 1723 response to mercury-ion-exposure in heavy metal hyperaccumulator *Sesbania drummondii*.  
 1724 *Environmental Science & Technology*, *43*, 843-850.

1725 Vercampt, H., Koleva, L., Vassilev, A., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A. (2016). Short-term  
 1726 phytotoxicity in *Brassica napus* (L.) in response to pre-emergently applied metazachlor: A  
 1727 microcosm study. *Environ Toxicol Chem*.

1728 Verkleij, J. A. C., Golan-Goldhirsh, A., Antosiewicz, D. M., Schwitzguébel, J.-P., & Schröder, P.  
 1729 (2009). Dualities in plant tolerance to pollutants and their uptake and translocation to the  
 1730 upper plant parts. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *67*, 10-22.

1731 Vernoux, T., Wilson, R. C., Seeley, K. A., Reichheld, J. P., Muroy, S., Brown, S., et al. (2000).  
1732 The *ROOT MERISTEMLESS1/CADMIUM SENSITIVE2* gene defines a glutathione-  
1733 dependent pathway involved in initiation and maintenance of cell division during  
1734 postembryonic root development. *Plant Cell*, 12, 97-110.

1735 Vestergaard, M., Matsumoto, S., Nishikori, S., Shiraki, K., Hirata, K., & Takagi, M. (2008).  
1736 Chelation of cadmium ions by phytochelatin synthase: Role of the cystein-rich C-terminal.  
1737 *Analytical Sciences*, 24, 277-281.

1738 Vrbova, M., Kotrba, P., Horacek, J., Smykal, P., Svabova, L., Vetrovcova, M., et al. (2013).  
1739 Enhanced accumulation of cadmium in *Linum usitatissimum* L. plants due to  
1740 overproduction of metallothionein alpha-domain as a fusion to beta-glucuronidase protein.  
1741 *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture*, 112, 321-330.

1742 Wachter, A., Wolf, S., Steininger, H., Bogs, J., & Rausch, T. (2005). Differential targeting of GSH1  
1743 and GSH2 is achieved by multiple transcription initiation: implications for the  
1744 compartmentation of glutathione biosynthesis in the Brassicaceae. *Plant Journal*, 41, 15-  
1745 30.

1746 Walters, D. (2003). Resistance to plant pathogens: possible roles for free polyamines and  
1747 polyamine catabolism. *New Phytologist*, 159, 109-115.

1748 Wawrzynska, A., Moniuszko, G., & Sirko, A. (2015). Links between ethylene and sulfur nutrition  
1749 - A regulatory interplay or just metabolite association? *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 1-7.

1750 Weber, M., Trampczynska, A., & Clemens, S. (2006). Comparative transcriptome analysis of toxic  
1751 metal responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the Cd<sup>2+</sup>-hypertolerant facultative  
1752 metallophyte *Arabidopsis halleri*. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 29, 950-963.

1753 Wirtz, M., Birke, H., Heeg, C., Muller, C., Hosp, F., Throm, C., et al. (2010). Structure and function  
 1754 of the hetero-oligomeric cysteine synthase complex in plants. *Journal of Biological*  
 1755 *Chemistry*, 285, 32810-32817.

1756 Wirtz, M., & Droux, M. (2005). Synthesis of the sulfur amino acids: cysteine and methionine.  
 1757 *Photosynthesis Research*, 86, 345-362.

1758 Wirtz, M., & Hell, R. (2006). Functional analysis of the cysteine synthase protein complex from  
 1759 plants: structural, biochemical and regulatory properties. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 163,  
 1760 273-286.

1761 Xue, T. T., Li, X. Z., Zhu, W., Wu, C. G., Yang, G. G., & Zheng, C. C. (2009). Cotton  
 1762 metallothionein GhMT3a, a reactive oxygen species scavenger, increased tolerance against  
 1763 abiotic stress in transgenic tobacco and yeast. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60, 339-  
 1764 349.

1765 Yoshimoto, N., Inoue, E., Saito, K., Yamaya, T., & Takahashi, H. (2003). Phloem-localizing  
 1766 sulfate transporter, Sultr1;3, mediates re-distribution of sulfur from source to sink organs  
 1767 in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology*, 131, 1511-1517.

1768 Zagorchev, L., Seal, C. E., Kranner, I., & Odjakova, M. (2013). A central role for thiols in plant  
 1769 tolerance to abiotic stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 14, 7405-7432.

1770 Zechmann, B. (2014). Compartment specific importance of glutathione during abiotic and biotic  
 1771 stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 1-11.

1772 Zettl, R., Schell, J., & Palme, K. (1994). Photoaffinity-labeling of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plasma  
 1773 membrane vesicles by 5-azido-[7-<sup>3</sup>H]indole-3-acetic acid: Identification of a glutathione S-  
 1774 transferase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
 1775 *America*, 91, 689-693.



1776 Zhang, J., Zhang, M., Tian, S. K., Lu, L. L., Shohag, M. J. I., & Yang, X. E. (2014a).  
1777 Metallothionein 2 (SaMT2) from *Sedum alfredii* Hance confers increased Cd tolerance and  
1778 accumulation in yeast and tobacco. *Plos One*, 9, 1-10.

1779 Zhang, L. W., Song, J. B., Shu, X. X., Zhang, Y., & Yang, Z. M. (2013). miR395 is involved in  
1780 detoxification of cadmium in *Brassica napus*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 250, 204-  
1781 211.

1782 Zhang, Q., Xu, F., Lambert, K. N., & Riechers, D. E. (2007). Safeners coordinately induce the  
1783 expression of multiple proteins and MRP transcripts involved in herbicide metabolism and  
1784 detoxification in *Triticum tauschii* seedling tissues. *Proteomics*, 7, 1261-1278.

1785 Zhang, Y. Y., He, Q. Q., Zhao, S. Y., Huang, L. L., & Hao, L. (2014b). *Arabidopsis ein2-1* and  
1786 *npr1-1* response to Al stress. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 93,  
1787 78-83.

1788 Zhang, Z. H., Ren, J. S., Clifton, I. J., & Schofield, C. J. (2004). Crystal structure and mechanistic  
1789 implications of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase - The ethylene-forming  
1790 enzyme. *Chemistry & Biology*, 11, 1383-1394.

1791 Zhao, H. J., Wu, L. Q., Chai, T. Y., Zhang, Y. X., Tan, J. J., & Ma, S. W. (2012). The effects of  
1792 copper, manganese and zinc on plant growth and elemental accumulation in the manganese-  
1793 hyperaccumulator *Phytolacca americana*. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 169, 1243-1252.

1794 Zhu, W., Zhao, D. X., Miao, Q., Xue, T. T., Li, X. Z., & Zheng, C. C. (2009). *Arabidopsis thaliana*  
1795 metallothionein, AtMT2a, mediates ROS balance during oxidative stress. *Journal of Plant*  
1796 *Biology*, 52, 585-592.

1797

1799     **Table 1: Natural functions of GSTs in plants.**

1800

Postulated natural role for GSTs	Reference
Conjugation of endogenous metabolites	Diesperger & Sandermann, 1979 Edwards & Dixon, 1991 Dean et al., 1995
Conjugation of DNA degradation products	Morgenstern et al., 1985
Conjugation of phytohormones (auxin, ethylene, gibberellic acid)	Lamoureux & Frear, 1987 Meyer et al., 1991 Takahashi & Nagata, 1992 Zettl et al., 1994
Detoxification of lipid hydroperoxides	Mannervik & Danielson, 1988 Marrs, 1996 Sommer & Böger, 1999
Transport of (thio-)phenols, chlorophyllin and anthocyanins	Singh & Shaw, 1988 Martinoia et al., 1993
Regulation of GSH pool	Lamoureux & Rusness, 1989
Detoxification of fungal toxins and pathogen defence	Dudler et al., 1991 Mauch & Dudler, 1993
Increase of drought tolerance	Dhindsa, 1991

Antioxidative protective protein	Levine et al., 1994
Conjugation and transport of phytoalexins and similar compounds	Li et al., 1997 Marrs et al., 1995
Regulation of UV-induced genes	Loyall et al., 2000
Transporter proteins for secondary metabolites and their unstable intermediates	Dixon et al., 2010
Synthesis of glucosinolates; conjugation, transport and storage of reactive oxylipins, phenolics and flavonoids	Dixon et al., 2010 Dixon & Edwards, 2010
Isomerisation and peroxidation	Cummins et al., 2011
Deglutathionation of GS-conjugates	Lallement et al., 2014

1801

1802

1803

## 9 Figure legends

### **Fig. 1: Biosynthesis pathway of sulphur-derived cellular compounds and their involvement in metal scavenging, xenobiotic detoxification, antioxidative defence and signal transduction.**

Abbreviations: acetyl-CoA, acetyl-coenzyme A; ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid; ACO, ACC oxidase; ACS, ACC synthase; APK, APS kinase; APR, APS reductase; APS, 5'-phosphosulphate; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; AsA, ascorbate; ATPS, ATP sulphurylase; CBL, cystathionine  $\beta$ -lyase; CGS, cystathionine  $\gamma$ -synthase; Cys, cysteine; dcSAM, decarboxylated SAM; DHA, dehydroascorbate; DHAR, DHA reductase;  $\gamma$ -EC,  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine;  $\gamma$ -ECS,  $\gamma$ -EC synthetase;  $\gamma$ -Glu,  $\gamma$ -glutamate; Gly, glycine; GR, glutathione reductase; GS-conjugate, glutathione S-conjugate; GSH, glutathione; GSH-S, glutathione synthetase; GSSG, glutathione disulphide; GST, glutathione transferase; H<sub>2</sub>O, water; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, hydrogen peroxide; HCys, homocysteine; L-Ser, L-serine; Met, methionine; MS, methionine synthase; MT, metallothionein; OAS, O-acetylserine; OASTL, OAS (thiol) lyase; OPH, O-homophosphoserine; PAPS, 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulphate; PC, phytochelatin; PCS, phytochelatin synthase; Put, putrescine; S<sup>2-</sup>, sulphide; SAM, S-adenosylmethionine; SAMDC, SAM decarboxylase; SAMS, SAM synthetase; SAT, serine acetyl transferase; SiR, sulphite reductase; SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, sulphite; SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, sulphate; Spd, spermidine; Spd synthase, spermidine synthase; Spm, spermine; Spm synthase, spermine synthase; SULTR, sulphate transporter.

### **Fig. 2: Cross-talk between detoxification and signal transduction pathways in plants.**

Significant cross-talk exists between glutathione-mediated detoxification mechanisms and ethylene- and polyamine-related signal transduction pathways in plants, both under physiological

1826 and metal exposure conditions. Reactive oxygen species are put forward as central players in this  
1827 interaction. Furthermore, several components involved in detoxification and signal transduction  
1828 pathways are known to affect sulphur uptake and assimilation. Abbreviations: Cys, cysteine; GSH,  
1829 glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulphide; GST, glutathione transferase; Met, methionine; PC,  
1830 phytochelatin; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SAM, S-adenosylmethionine.

1831

1832