Accession-specific life strategies affect responses in leaves of
Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to excess Cu and Cd

Peer-reviewed author version

AMARAL DOS REIS, Rafaela; KEUNEN, Els; Mourato, Miguel Pedro; Martins, Luisa Louro; VANGRONSVELD, Jaco & CUYPERS, Ann (2018) Accession-specific life strategies affect responses in leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants exposed to excess Cu and Cd. In: JOURNAL OF PLANT PHYSIOLOGY, 223, p. 37-46.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jplph.2018.01.008

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/26325>

1 **Original paper**

2
3 **Accession-specific life strategies affect responses in leaves of**
4 ***Arabidopsis thaliana* plants exposed to excess Cu and Cd**

5
6 **Rafaela Amaral dos Reis^a, Els Keunen^a, Miguel Pedro Mourato^b, Luísa Louro Martins^b,**
7 **Jaco Vangronsveld^a and Ann Cuypers^{a*}**

8
9 ^aEnvironmental Biology, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek,
10 Belgium

11 ^bLEAF, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

12
13 Email addresses:

14 Rafaela.amaraldosreis@uhasselt.be

15 Els.keunen@uhasselt.be

16 Mmourato@isa.ulisboa.pt

17 Luisalouro@isa.ulisboa.pt

18 Jaco.vangronsveld@uhasselt.be

19 Ann.cuypers@uhasselt.be

20
21 *Corresponding author:

22 Ann Cuypers

23 Centre for Environmental Sciences

24 Hasselt University

25 Agoralaan Building D

26 3590 Diepenbeek

27 Belgium

28 Tel: +32 11 268326

29 Fax: +32 11 268299

30 Email: ann.cuypers@uhasselt.be

Summary

The natural accession Columbia (Col-0) is considered as the reference genome of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nonetheless, Col-0 plants are more sensitive to excess copper (Cu) and cadmium (Cd) than other widely used accessions such as Wassilewskija (Ws) plants. In the current study, this accession-specific metal sensitivity is further explored by comparing the responses in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants exposed to excess Cu and Cd.

Our results suggest that different life strategies favored by both accessions under physiological conditions affect their response to metal exposure. While Col-0 plants mainly invest in metal detoxification, Ws plants center on nutrient homeostasis. In particular, the higher expression of genes related to Cu homeostasis genes in non-exposed conditions indicates that Ws plants possess a constitutively efficient metal homeostasis. On the other hand, oxidative stress-related MAPK signaling appears to be boosted in leaves of Col-0 plants exposed to excess Cu. Furthermore, the upregulation of the glutathione (GSH) biosynthesis *GSH2* gene and the increased GSH concentration after Cd exposure suggest the activation of detoxification mechanisms, such as phytochelatin production, to counteract the more severe Cd-induced oxidative stress in leaves of Col-0 plants. Exposure to Cd also led to a more pronounced ethylene signaling response in leaves of Col-0 as compared to Ws plants, which could be related to Cd-induced GSH metabolism. In conclusion, accession-specific life strategies clearly affect the way in which leaves of *A. thaliana* plants cope with excess Cu and Cd.

Keywords: *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Natural accessions; Leaves; Copper; Cadmium

1. Introduction

Anthropogenic activities have a worldwide impact on soil elemental composition. In turn, this represents an obstacle to normal plant development. For example, plant survival is commonly affected in metal-enriched environments where excessive concentrations of plant-available metals cause phytotoxicity and inhibit plant growth. However, this toxicity response is highly dependent on the chemical properties of the metal involved. For example, excess copper (Cu) and cadmium (Cd) disturb normal plant metabolism in different ways (Cuypers et al., 2012; Mourato et al., 2012). Copper is a redox-active essential micronutrient that inhibits enzyme functioning and interferes with essential cellular processes when present in excess (Cuypers et al., 2011; Gielen et al., 2016, 2017; Lequeux et al., 2010; Yruela, 2005, 2009). It also directly induces oxidative stress by catalyzing the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Drażkiewicz et al., 2004). Alternatively, Cd is a highly phytotoxic non-essential element affecting plant growth even when available in low concentrations (Jozefczak et al., 2014; Keunen et al., 2011, 2013; Park et al., 2012; Schellingen et al., 2015b; Wong and Cobbett, 2009). It triggers oxidative stress in an indirect way by interfering with the cellular metabolism and antioxidative mechanisms (Cuypers et al., 2011; Jozefczak et al., 2014, 2015; Schellingen et al., 2015a).

Arabidopsis thaliana is a well-established model plant in molecular and genetic studies. Notwithstanding several natural accessions existing, the Columbia (Col-0) accession is generally acknowledged as the reference genome (Weigel, 2012) and is the subject of intensive study. Nonetheless, exploring and comparing the responses of different natural accessions can provide new insights into our current knowledge, for example on stress responses induced by excess soil metal concentrations. Albeit limited, some comparative studies described differences in metal

sensitivity between different *A. thaliana* accessions. Indeed, Col-0 plants have been demonstrated to be more sensitive to excess Cu and Cd than plants of other widely used *Arabidopsis* accessions such as Wassilewskija (Ws) or Landsberg erecta (Laer) (Amaral dos Reis et al., submitted; Murphy and Taiz, 1995a, 1995b, 1997; Park et al., 2012; Schiavon et al., 2007).

In their study using 10 different *A. thaliana* accessions, Murphy and Taiz (1995a) described Col-0 as the accession showing the lowest constitutive Cu tolerance. Furthermore, they reported that Ws plants showed an acclimation response to Cu, resulting in significant levels of inducible Cu tolerance, which was later related to higher basal levels of non-protein thiols and glutathione (GSH) (Murphy and Taiz, 1995b). In subsequent studies, the authors correlated this accession-specific Cu tolerance to a distinctive regulation of the Cu-chelating metallothionein 2 (*MT2*) gene (Murphy and Taiz, 1995b) and to differences in the ability to reverse Cu-induced potassium leakage (Murphy and Taiz, 1997). Schiavon et al. (2007) also explored this accession-specific variation in Cu sensitivity. Since the less sensitive Ws plants accumulated more Cu in the roots and shoots than the more sensitive Col-0 plants, they reasoned that Cu exclusion is not the main tolerance mechanism of the former accession. Alternatively, they hypothesized that a higher Cu sensitivity is a manifestation of cation imbalance in the cell, ultimately reflecting Cu-induced nutrient deficiency (Schiavon et al., 2007). Park et al. (2012) described Col-0 plants to be more sensitive to Cd than Ws plants, potentially related to the non-functioning of heavy metal ATPase 3 (*HMA3*) in Col-0 plants and a differential expression of other *HMA* genes in both accessions. Considering differential localization and expression patterns, it was hypothesized that the combined action of the vacuolar transporter *HMA3* and the plasma membrane transporters *HMA2* and *HMA4* is relevant to detoxify Cd in plants with a lower Cd sensitivity. While *HMA3* results in Cd sequestration in root vacuoles, *HMA2* and *HMA4* limit root-to-shoot Cd

translocation, ultimately preventing competition between different elements and nutrient deficiency symptoms in the shoots of Ws plants (Park et al., 2012).

In addition, our recent study further supports Ws plants being less sensitive to excess Cu and Cd than Col-0 plants after comparing metal-induced responses in roots (Amaral dos Reis et al., submitted). More specifically, Ws plants were better able to respond to the alterations in Cu homeostasis induced by both Cu and Cd exposure. Recently, it was shown that Cd exposure induces Cu deficiency-like responses in Col-0 plants (Gielen et al., 2016). We demonstrated that accession-specific differences in the ability to cope, counteract and/or recover from Cu- and Cd-induced alterations to Cu homeostasis might be key to exhibit different metal sensitivity levels. Overall, roots of Ws plants seemed to be better at counteracting the alterations to Cu homeostasis by sequestering excess Cu or remobilizing intracellular Cu more effectively than roots of Col-0 plants exposed to excess Cu or Cd (Amaral dos Reis et al., submitted).

Although roots are the first plant organ coming into contact with metals in the soil and are therefore directly affected, leaves also display metal-induced effects due to root-to-shoot metal translocation and/or inter-organ signaling. In the current study, responses in the leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants exposed to metal excess are compared to complement our previous study on roots and reveal accession-specific changes. More specifically, effects of excess Cu and Cd on rosette growth, metal concentrations and transport, and their associated oxidative stress signatures were determined in both accessions after exposure for 24 and 72 h.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Plant material

Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh plants, accessions Columbia (Col-0) and Wassilewskija (Ws), were grown on sand in a hydroponic system (based on the method described by Smeets et al. (2008)), at 22 °C/18 °C during 12 h/12 h light/dark periods respectively, with a light intensity of 170 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at the rosette level and 65 % relative humidity.

Nineteen-day-old plants of each accession were exposed to sublethal Cu or Cd concentrations (Cuypers et al., 2011; Smeets et al., 2008), 2 μM (excess) CuSO_4 or 5 μM CdSO_4 via the roots, or further grown under non-exposed (“control”) conditions (32 nM CuSO_4). These Cu and Cd concentrations are environmentally realistic and comparable to those commonly found in pore water of contaminated soils in North Limburg (Belgium). Leaf (*i.e.* whole rosette) samples were harvested 24 and 72 h after the start of metal exposure. Biological replicates were sampled from – depending on the required sample weight – one or more individual plants out of one pot at each time point. To avoid within-pot correlation (Smeets et al., 2008), different biological replicates were sampled out of at least two pots containing the same Cu or Cd concentration. Samples to determine Cu and Cd concentrations were processed as described hereafter, while the remaining samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 70 °C for the remaining analyses.

2.2. Determination of metal concentrations

Leaf samples were first rinsed in distilled water and then dried at 60 °C for at least one week. Dried leaf samples were digested in HNO_3 (65 %) in a microwave oven (CEM MDS-2000 Microwave Digestor Oven, CEM Corporation, NC, USA), followed by a five-fold dilution in ultrapure water. The Cu and Cd concentrations were determined by atomic absorption

spectrophotometry (Unicam Solaar M, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., MA, USA) in the acid-digested samples, employing a graphite furnace for Cd concentration assessment.

The ratio between metal concentrations in the leaves and in the roots of the same plants was calculated to estimate root-to-shoot translocation factors of Cu and Cd in both accessions.

2.3. Enzymatic activity determination

Spectrophotometric (UV-1800 UV-VIS Spectrophotometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) methods were used to estimate the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione reductase (GR), guaiacol peroxidase (GPOD), syringaldazine peroxidase (SPOD), malic enzyme (ME), isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) in purified protein extracts. Enzyme activities were calculated according to the Lambert-Beer law and defined as the amount of CAT, GR, GPOD, SPOD, ME, ICDH or G6PDH needed for the conversion of 1 μmol of substrate per min and cm^3 at room temperature, or as the amount of SOD necessary to inhibit the reduction of cytochrome c by 50 % per min and cm^3 at room temperature.

Leaf samples were first crushed in liquid nitrogen, using a mortar and pestle, and then homogenized in a 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.8) solution containing 5 mM EDTA, 1 % (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) K30, 5 mM dithioerythritol (DTE) and 1 % (V/V) Nonidet P-40. After agitation for 30 min, leaf homogenates were centrifuged at 50 000 x g and 4 °C for 30 min to obtain a crude protein extract (supernatant). The proteins were then fractionated from the crude extract in a two-step ammonium sulfate precipitation method (first by 40 % and second by 80 % salt saturation), each step performed for 30 min at 4 °C and followed by centrifugation at 50 000 x g and 4 °C. Finally, the pelleted proteins were resuspended in a 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8)

161 buffer. Purified extracts were desalted using PD-10 Desalting Columns (GE Healthcare, Illinois,
162 USA), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 70 °C until the enzyme measurements.

163 Superoxide dismutase (EC 1.15.1.1) activity was assessed at 550 nm in a reaction mixture of
164 50 mM KH_2PO_4 (pH 7.8) buffer, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 μM cytochrome c, 50 μM xanthine and
165 7.2 mU xanthine oxidase (EC 1.17.3.2) (McCord and Fridovich, 1969). Catalase (EC 1.11.1.6)
166 activity was measured at 240 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} = 40 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) using 0.1 M KH_2PO_4 (pH 7.0) buffer
167 and 0.85 mM H_2O_2 (Bergmeyer et al., 1974). Guaiacol peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7) activity was
168 determined at 436 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{tetraguaiacol}} = 25.5 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), in a reaction mixture containing a 0.1 M
169 KH_2PO_4 (pH 7.0) buffer, 0.8 mM H_2O_2 and 1.8 mM guaiacol (Bergmeyer et al., 1974).
170 Syringaldazine peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7) activity was measured at 530 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{oxidized syringaldazine}} =$
171 $11.6 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), with the reaction mixture consisting of 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) buffer, 1 mM
172 H_2O_2 , 56.6 μM syringaldazine, 0.13 M 1,4-dioxane and 0.14 M methanol (Imberty et al., 1984).
173 Activities of GR, ME, ICDH and G6PDH were determined at 340 nm ($\epsilon_{\text{NADPH}} = 6.22 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).
174 For glutathione reductase (EC 1.8.1.7), the reaction mixture included 0.1 M Tris and 1 mM
175 EDTA (pH 8.0) buffer, 1.4 mM GSSG and 0.1 μM NADPH (Bergmeyer et al., 1974). Malic
176 enzyme (EC 1.1.1.39) activity was assessed using 15 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.3) buffer, 36 mM
177 MnSO_4 , 10 mM NADP^+ and 0.1 M L-malate (Bergmeyer et al., 1974). For isocitrate
178 dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.42) the reaction mixture contained 0.1 M Tris (pH 7.5) buffer, 4.6 mM
179 DL-isocitrate, 52 mM NaCl and 5 mM NADP^+ (Bergmeyer et al., 1974). Finally, glucose-6-
180 phosphate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.49) activity was estimated using 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)
181 buffer, 1 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 0.2 mM NADP^+ and 6.7 mM MgCl_2 (Bergmeyer et al.,
182 1974).

2.4. Determination of glutathione concentrations

Oxidized (glutathione disulfide, GSSG) and reduced (GSH) forms of glutathione were analyzed on a plate reader by enzymatic assays based on the protocol described by Queval and Noctor (2007). Leaf samples were crushed in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle, followed by homogenization in 200 mM HCl (pH 4.5). After reduction of GSSG to GSH by GR, total GSH and GSSG concentrations were determined by a kinetic enzymatic recycling assay based on the GSH-dependent reduction of 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB). The absorbance at 412 nm was measured in a 200 mM NaH₂PO₄ and 10 mM EDTA (pH 7.5) buffer containing 0.6 mM DTNB (in dimethyl sulfoxide), 0.5 mM NADPH and 1 U mL⁻¹ GR. The rate of absorbance change over 5 min is proportional to the GSH concentration in the sample, which was calculated using GSH and GSSG standard curves ranging from 0 to 1 or 0.4 nmol, respectively. To determine the concentration of GSSG, the extracts (and GSSG standards) were first incubated in 1 % (V/V) 2-vinyl-pyridine (2-VP) at 20 °C for 30 min to derivatize GSH and then twice centrifuged for 10 min at 16 100 x g and 4 °C to precipitate 2-VP.

2.5. Gene expression analysis

Frozen leaf samples were shredded twice in liquid nitrogen-cooled adapters for 1 min at 30/s frequency (Retsch Mixer Mill MM400, Verder Scientific GmbH & Co. KG, Haan, Germany). Next, RNA was extracted from the homogenized samples using the Ambion™ RNAqueous® Kit (Life Technologies, Waltham, MA, USA) and eluted in RNase-free water pre-heated at 80 °C. Concentration and quality of the RNA samples were verified using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., MA, USA). Prior to cDNA synthesis, the RNA samples were cleaned of genomic DNA using the TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Life

Technologies). Complementary DNA was synthesized from equal amounts (1.1 µg) of cleaned RNA samples using the PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (Perfect Real Time) (TAKARA BIO Inc., Shiga, Japan) and the thermal cycler Techne TC-5000 (Life Technologies). The cDNA samples were diluted in 1/10 TE (Tris-EDTA) buffer and stored at - 20 °C.

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis was performed using the Applied Biosystems™ Fast SYBR® Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 300 (or 600 nM) of gene-specific forward and reverse primers (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The amplification reaction involved 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 3 s followed by annealing/elongation at 60 °C for 30 s, after an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 20 s, and was performed in the Applied Biosystems™ 7500 Fast Real Time PCR System (Life Technologies). Subsequently, a melting curve was generated to verify amplification specificity. After analyzing five candidate reference genes (Remans et al., 2008), *AT2G28390*, *AT4G05320*, and *AT5G15710* were selected using the GrayNorm algorithm (Remans et al., 2014) to normalize the expression levels of the genes of interest (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Expression levels were determined for several genes of interest (Supplementary Table 2): five oxidative stress hallmark genes (Gadjev et al., 2006); two genes encoding ROS-producing enzymes; five encoding antioxidative enzymes; two primary microRNA transcripts; three encoding copper transporters; five encoding metallothioneins; three encoding protein kinases; two encoding transcription factors; and one gene encoding a protein involved in ethylene signaling.

Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed (GenEx Pro software, v6.1, MultiD Analyses AB, Sweden) to recognize potential sample-related patterns within leaves of plants of both accessions exposed to excess Cu and Cd. The analysis was based on raw gene expression values (Cq values). The distance between conditions was defined by the “Average linkage” algorithm as

the average of distances between all pairs of individuals in all groups, while the distances between the measures were calculated via the Euclidian Distance Measure. Heat maps were constructed to compare expression levels between different genes and samples.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis of data obtained from rosette growth measurements, determination of metal concentrations, enzyme analysis, glutathione concentration measurements, and gene expression analysis was performed using R 3.3.1 (R Core Team, 2016) running on RStudio 1.0.143 (RStudio Team, 2015). Data normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test, while homoscedasticity was verified via Bartlett's and Levene's tests. Normally distributed datasets were analyzed via one-way ANOVA, followed by post-hoc analysis via the Tukey's HSD test when significant. Non-normal datasets were analyzed via the Kruskal-Wallis test. To determine statistical significance of gene expression data, datasets were first log-transformed.

3. Results

3.1. Rosette growth

Hydroponically grown three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants of Col-0 and Ws background were exposed to excess Cu (2 μ M) or Cd (5 μ M). To determine responses after short-term and more prolonged metal exposure, leaves were sampled 24 and 72 h after the onset of Cu or Cd exposure.

Fig. 1. Rosette fresh weight per plant (in mg) of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws) exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄, 5 μ M CdSO₄ or not exposed for 24 and 72 h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of 25 individual plants. $\square$ = non-exposed. $\blacksquare$ = exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄. $\blacksquare$ = exposed to 5 μ M CdSO₄. Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) is indicated using lowercase (within accession and time point) or uppercase letters (between non-exposed accessions at both time points).

Arabidopsis natural accessions Col-0 and Ws are morphologically different (Passardi et al., 2007). Under non-exposed conditions, rosettes of Ws plants had a significantly higher fresh weight as compared to those of Col-0 plants (Fig. 1). Moreover, this was not related to a different

number of leaves, but rather to a larger surface area of the leaves of Ws plants versus Col-0 plants (data not shown). Excess Cu and Cd inhibited rosette growth in both accessions as indicated by a lower fresh weight (Fig. 1). While exposure to excess Cu resulted in an inhibition of Col-0 rosette growth already after 24 h, Ws rosettes were only significantly affected by Cu after 72 h. The effects of Cd exposure were only significant in leaves of both Col-0 and Ws plants after 72 h (Fig. 1).

3.2. Metal translocation factors

To evaluate the ability to translocate Cu and/or Cd, the translocation factors were estimated (Fig. 2) from the concentrations of Cu and Cd in roots and leaves (Supplementary Table 3) of non-exposed, Cu- and Cd-exposed plants. In non-exposed conditions, the translocation factor of Cu significantly increased in Ws plants over time, with a similar trend in Col-0 plants (Fig. 2A). Exposure to excess Cu severely impaired relative root-to-shoot Cu translocation as compared to non-exposed conditions (Fig. 2A). Whereas the Cu translocation factor was significantly higher in Col-0 than Ws plants after 24 h, it decreased to translocation factors similar to those of Ws plants after 72 h. The root-to-shoot Cu translocation factor in Cu-exposed Ws plants decreased as compared to non-exposed conditions but remained constant over time (Fig. 2A). Although the root-to-shoot Cu translocation factor was reduced by Cd exposure in both accessions (Fig. 2A), it was significantly higher in Ws than Col-0 plants at each time point (Fig. 2A). During exposure to Cd, its translocation factor in Col-0 plants was constant over time (Fig. 2B). The root-to-shoot Cd translocation factor was significantly higher in Ws than Col-0 plants after 24 h, but decreased to values comparable to those in Col-0 plants after 72 h (Fig. 2B).

Fig. 2. Root-to-shoot translocation factors of Cu and Cd in three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws) exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄, 5 μ M CdSO₄ or not exposed for 24 and 72 h. **(A)** Cu translocation factors in non-exposed, Cu- and Cd-exposed plants. **(B)** Cd translocation factors in Cd-exposed plants. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least three biological replicates. $\square$ = non-exposed. $\blacksquare$ = exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄. $\blacksquare$ = exposed to 5 μ M CdSO₄. Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) is indicated using different lowercase (within exposure condition, between accessions and time points) or uppercase letters (across exposure conditions, within accession and time point).

3.3. Activities of antioxidative and NAD(P)H-producing enzymes

Activities of antioxidative enzymes (SOD, CAT, GR, GPOD and SPOD) and of NAD(P)H-producing enzymes (ICDH, ME and G6PDH) were determined in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants (Table 1). Exposure to excess Cu only affected the enzymatic activities in leaves of Ws plants. Superoxide dismutase activity was significantly higher after exposure to Cu for 72 h, as were GR and ME activities at both time points. Exposure to Cd led to an increased ME activity in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants at 24 and 72 h. The activities of GR, GPOD, SPOD, ICDH and G6PDH were only significantly higher in leaves of Col-0 plants after 72 h of Cd exposure (Table 1).

Table 1. Activities of antioxidative and redox-regulating enzymes (mU mg⁻¹ fresh weight) in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws) exposed to 2 µM CuSO₄, 5 µM CdSO₄ or not exposed for 24 and 72 h. Antioxidative enzymes: superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione reductase (GR), guaiacol peroxidase (GPOD) and syringaldazine peroxidase (SPOD). NAD(P)H-producing enzymes: isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH), malic enzyme (ME) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH). Values are mean ± S.E. of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of at least two individual plants. Statistical significance (P < 0.05) is indicated using different lowercase letters (for differences within each accession and time point).

		Col-0			Ws		
		non-exposed	2 µM Cu	5 µM Cd	non-exposed	2 µM Cu	5 µM Cd
SOD	24 h	367.76 ± 40.50 a	360.55 ± 18.92 a	317.46 ± 3.74 a	301.20 ± 33.15 a	361.16 ± 31.59 a	299.82 ± 7.10 a
	72 h	249.82 ± 70.80 a	251.6 ± 15.27 a	254.87 ± 18.20 a	188.26 ± 43.72 a	362.51 ± 14.07 b	257.89 ± 28.82 ab
CAT	24 h	1.24 ± 0.40 a	0.71 ± 0.20 a	3.71 ± 1.37 a	1.03 ± 0.57 a	13.80 ± 7.55 a	2.51 ± 0.99 a
	72 h	1.98 ± 1.13 a	3.59 ± 2.01 a	6.32 ± 1.66 a	2.72 ± 0.98 a	1.34 ± 0.39 a	2.64 ± 1.10 a
GR	24 h	872.14 ± 47.96 a	1073.43 ± 97.31 a	1133.84 ± 0.05 a	851.58 ± 122.55 a	1283.30 ± 70.17 b	1055.06 ± 67.42 ab
	72 h	828.67 ± 39.95 a	850.93 ± 36.70 a	1075.80 ± 47.91 b	634.20 ± 176.64 a	1168.64 ± 46.39 b	953.59 ± 31.51 ab
GPOD	24 h	23.64 ± 4.06 a	52 ± 14.12 a	42.06 ± 8.66 a	17.88 ± 7.06 a	47.98 ± 10.97 a	36.66 ± 7.82 a
	72 h	15.82 ± 3.94 a	19.62 ± 2.31 a	243.45 ± 16.19 b	6.93 ± 3.26 a	37.34 ± 9.04 a	36.75 ± 8.68 a
SPOD	24 h	57.08 ± 23.18 a	115.99 ± 49.15 a	219.87 ± 63.35 a	102.93 ± 43.91 a	172.16 ± 40.75 a	207.41 ± 45.11 a
	72 h	89.49 ± 18.23 a	102.54 ± 11.86 a	1421.37 ± 127.49 b	110.81 ± 22.4 a	258.37 ± 56.62 a	189.89 ± 56.43 a
ICDH	24 h	559.68 ± 25.79 a	588.77 ± 55 a	647.77 ± 24.68 a	618.51 ± 218.03 a	549.57 ± 17.21 a	492.95 ± 25.93 a
	72 h	450.08 ± 38.11 a	483.27 ± 20.55 a	615.23 ± 19.18 b	330.77 ± 59.84 a	446.75 ± 20.71 a	402.64 ± 8.68 a
ME	24 h	242.72 ± 6.70 a	285.70 ± 76.98 a	501.06 ± 49.35 b	154.88 ± 23.55 a	293.80 ± 8.03 b	230.86 ± 9.90 c
	72 h	220.22 ± 10.33 a	357.39 ± 18.44 a	771.33 ± 132.53 b	169.89 ± 22.83 a	349.42 ± 28.93 b	397.00 ± 1.77 b
G6PDH	24 h	105.96 ± 7.61 a	138.12 ± 13.80 a	136.36 ± 10.97 a	60.76 ± 16.62 a	140.10 ± 46.75 a	115.95 ± 11.28 a
	72 h	95.06 ± 6.34 a	109.37 ± 0.87 a	168.55 ± 18.84 b	73.75 ± 27.27 a	150.68 ± 14.85 a	118.88 ± 3.88 a

3.4. Glutathione concentrations

Concentrations of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (glutathione disulfide, GSSG) glutathione were determined in leaves of both accessions (Table 2). Total glutathione levels were higher in the leaves of Col-0 plants after exposure to excess Cu for 72 h, which coincided with an increased GSSG concentration. In case of Col-0 plants exposed to Cd for 72 h, higher versus lower concentrations were observed for GSH and GSSG respectively, resulting in a lower GSSG/GSH ratio (Table 2). No significant changes in total glutathione concentrations were observed in leaves of Ws plants. However, similar to Col-0 plants, a higher GSSG concentration was observed in leaves of Cu-exposed Ws plants after 72 h, resulting in a higher GSSG/GSH ratio. Exposure to Cd significantly lowered the GSSG concentration in leaves of Ws plants (Table 2).

Table 2. Concentrations of total (GSH + GSSG), reduced (GSH), oxidized (GSSG) glutathione (nmol g⁻¹ fresh weight) and GSSG/GSH ratio in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws), exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄, 5 μ M CdSO₄ or not exposed for 24 and 72 h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of at least one individual plant. Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) is indicated using different lowercase letters (for differences within each accession and time point).

		Col-0			Ws		
		non-exposed	2 μ M Cu	5 μ M Cd	non-exposed	2 μ M Cu	5 μ M Cd
Total (GSH+GSSG)	24 h	266.27 $\pm$ 55.68 a	266.5 $\pm$ 43.31 a	216.64 $\pm$ 44.62 a	212.71 $\pm$ 37.42 a	272.60 $\pm$ 87.22 a	208.77 $\pm$ 37.20 a
	72 h	229.71 $\pm$ 10.34 a	361.00 $\pm$ 37.53 b	419.32 $\pm$ 13.45 b	290.43 $\pm$ 35.95 a	301.74 $\pm$ 24.88 a	335.00 $\pm$ 66.45 a
GSH	24 h	250.93 $\pm$ 51.43 a	256.67 $\pm$ 39.82 a	213.58 $\pm$ 44.77 a	205.20 $\pm$ 37.58 a	261.07 $\pm$ 85.92 a	205.66 $\pm$ 37.37 a
	72 h	212.67 $\pm$ 11.15 a	328.90 $\pm$ 37.55 a	416.37 $\pm$ 13.03 b	280.44 $\pm$ 35.78 a	246.21 $\pm$ 5.74 a	330.05 $\pm$ 66.15 a
GSSG	24 h	15.34 $\pm$ 4.77 a	9.83 $\pm$ 3.91 a	3.06 $\pm$ 0.42 a	7.51 $\pm$ 0.86 ab	11.53 $\pm$ 2.16 a	3.11 $\pm$ 0.45 b
	72 h	15.84 $\pm$ 1.74 a	31.68 $\pm$ 0.58 b	6.25 $\pm$ 0.63 c	9.99 $\pm$ 0.83 a	55.54 $\pm$ 20.91 b	4.95 $\pm$ 0.43 b
GSSG/GSH	24 h	0.059 $\pm$ 0.011 a	0.035 $\pm$ 0.009 ab	0.011 $\pm$ 0.000 b	0.040 $\pm$ 0.011 a	0.068 $\pm$ 0.028 a	0.017 $\pm$ 0.005 a
	72 h	0.062 $\pm$ 0.004 a	0.075 $\pm$ 0.010 a	0.018 $\pm$ 0.002 b	0.037 $\pm$ 0.005 a	0.223 $\pm$ 0.080 b	0.016 $\pm$ 0.002 a

3.5. Gene expression

Expression levels of several genes involved in Cu transport and chelation (MTs), pro- and antioxidative responses, secondary metabolism, ethylene/MAPK signaling pathways and genes encoding transcription factors were determined in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants either not exposed (Table 3) or exposed to excess Cu (Table 4) or Cd (Table 5).

Representation of the gene expression data of leaves from plants grown under non-exposed conditions using a heat map revealed an accession-related clustering, with Ws samples mostly clustering separated from Col-0 samples (Fig. 3A; for more detail see Supplementary Fig. 1). In addition, hierarchical clustering revealed two gene clusters responding differently in the leaves of both accessions. One cluster included Cu homeostasis-related genes such as the iron superoxide dismutase gene *FSD1*, primary microRNAs *pri-miR398a* and *pri-miR398b*, MT genes *MT1a* and *MT1c* and the Cu transporter gene *COPT2*, and the lipoxygenase gene *LOX2* with higher expression levels in leaves of Ws (green-shaded rectangles) than Col-0 plants (red-shaded rectangles). Opposite expression patterns were observed within the other gene cluster grouping three oxidative stress hallmark genes (Gadjev et al., 2006), MAPK/ethylene signaling-related genes (mitogen-activated kinase gene *MPK3*, WRKY DNA-binding protein gene *WRKY33* and ethylene response factor gene *ERF1*), the pro-oxidative gene *LOX1*, as well as *COPT5* and *MT2a* (Fig. 3A). Transcript level analysis of both gene clusters confirmed accession-specific expression levels in leaves of non-exposed Col-0 and Ws plants (Table 3).

Fig. 3. Heat map representations of the gene expression data obtained in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws). (A) Heat map of data collected from plants grown in non-exposed conditions for 24 and 72 h. (B) Heat map of data collected from plants exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄ or not exposed (C) Heat map of data collected from plants exposed to 5 μ M CdSO₄ or not exposed. Hierarchical clustering of genes is shown at the top (gene names at the bottom). Green-shaded rectangles indicate increased, while red-shaded rectangles indicate decreased gene expression. Abbreviations: *UPOX*: upregulated by oxidative stress; *Defensin-like*: protein member of the defensin-like (DEFL) family; *AT1G19020*: unknown protein; *AT1G05340*: unknown protein; *TIR-class*: Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) domain family protein; *LOX1*: lipoxygenase 1; *GSH1*: glutamate-cysteine ligase; *GSH2*: glutathione synthetase 2; *CSD*: Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase; *FSD1*: Fe superoxide dismutase 1; *pri-miR398a*: primary microRNA 398a; *pri-miR398b*: primary microRNA 398b; *COPT*: copper transporter; *MT*: metallothionein; *OXII*: Oxidative signal inducible 1; *MPK*: mitogen-activated protein kinase; *WRKY*: WRKY DNA-binding protein; *ERF1*: ethylene response factor 1.

Comparing non-exposed to Cu- or Cd-exposed samples revealed a separate clustering between the metal-exposed and non-exposed groups (Figs. 3B and 3C; for more detail see Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3). After including only non-exposed and Cu-exposed samples in the heat map, two groups of genes emerged (Fig. 3B): (1) as indicated by the red-shaded rectangles, genes that were less expressed after Cu exposure, including those involved in Cu homeostasis, such as *FSD1*, *pri-miR398b*, *COPT1*, *COPT2* and *MT1c* (Cluster Cu-I); (2) as indicated by the green-shaded rectangles, genes that were more expressed under excess Cu conditions, including those involved in MAPK/ethylene signaling, such as *OXII*, *MPK3*, *WRKY33* and *ERF1*, four oxidative stress hallmark genes, *pri-miR398a*, *LOX1* and *LOX2*, *GSH2* and *MT2a* (Cluster Cu-II). Moreover, gene expression data revealed some accession-specific changes in the expression of these genes upon exposure to excess Cu (Table 4). Concerning the genes in cluster Cu-I, transcript levels of *FSD1*, *pri-miR398b* and *COPT2* were significantly lower only in leaves of Cu-exposed Ws plants after 72 h (Table 4). Expression of *MT1c* was significantly lower in leaves of Ws plants exposed to excess Cu at both time points (Table 4). Regarding the genes in cluster Cu-II, transcript levels of *GSH2* and *OXII* were significantly higher only in leaves of Cu-exposed Col-0 plants (at 72 and 24 h respectively) (Table 4). Moreover, while *pri-miR398a* transcript levels were higher after

370 24 h of Cu exposure in leaves of both accessions, its upregulation was significantly higher in Col-
371 0 as compared to Ws plants (Table 4). Transcript levels of *MPK3* and *WRKY33* were higher in
372 leaves of Cu-exposed Ws plants at both time points. In Col-0 plants, *MPK3* was only upregulated
373 after 24 h, whereas *WRKY33* was significantly upregulated after 72 h of exposure to excess Cu
374 (Table 4). The ethylene signaling-related gene *ERF1* was significantly upregulated after Cu
375 exposure and its transcript levels were significantly higher in leaves of Ws as compared to Col-0
376 plants (Table 4).

377 Leaves of Cd-exposed Col-0 and Ws plants generally clustered away from leaves of plants
378 grown under non-exposed conditions (Fig. 3C). Several genes grouped together due to their
379 higher expression upon Cd exposure (green-shaded rectangles). This cluster included oxidative
380 stress-related genes such as all five oxidative stress hallmark genes, *GSH2*, *LOX1* and *LOX2*, the
381 MAPK/ethylene signaling-related genes (*OXII*, *MPK3*, *WRKY33* and *ERF1*), *COPT5*, *FSD1*,
382 *MT2a* and *pri-miR398a/b* (Fig. 3C). Moreover, gene expression analysis revealed some
383 accession-specific differences in their expression upon Cd exposure (Table 5). Transcript levels
384 of oxidative stress hallmark genes (Gadjev et al., 2006) and ethylene signaling-related gene *ERF1*
385 were generally more upregulated in leaves of Col-0 than Ws plants after Cd exposure (Table 5).
386 Transcript levels of *LOX1* were significantly higher in leaves of Col-0 plants after 72 h exposure
387 to Cd. While *LOX2* and *pri-miR398a* were upregulated in the leaves of both accessions after 24 h,
388 their transcript levels were significantly higher in Col-0 than in Ws plants and remained
389 upregulated after 72 h in the former accession (Table 5). Cadmium-induced upregulation of the
390 *GSH2* gene occurred in leaves of Col-0 plants only. Exposure to Cd led to significantly increased
391 expression levels of *MT2a* in leaves of both accessions after 72 h. Although *OXII* expression
392 increased in leaves of both accessions exposed to Cd, its transcript levels were significantly
393 higher in leaves of Col-0 than Ws plants after 24 h (Table 5).

394 Although not included in one of the above-mentioned gene clusters (Fig. 3), *CSD1*, *MT2b*,
395 *MT3* and *WRKY29* were differentially expressed in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants exposed to
396 excess Cu (Table 4) or Cd (Table 5). On the one hand, exposure to Cu increased *CSD1*
397 expression in leaves of Ws plants after 24 h (Table 4). Furthermore, *MT2b* and *MT3* were
398 upregulated in leaves of Ws plants after 72 h (Table 4). On the other hand, exposure to Cd alone
399 caused upregulation of *WRKY29* in leaves of Col-0 plants after 72 h (Table 5).

Table 3. Transcript levels of genes within clusters identified in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws) grown under non-exposed conditions. Values are mean normalized expression of Col-0 samples at 24 h $\pm$ S.E. (abundance, within gene family) or relative to Col-0 samples at 24 h (set at 1.00) $\pm$ S.E (fold-change) of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of at least one individual plant. Resolution values are mean inverse normalization factors relative to the non-exposed at each time point, indicating the stability of the selected reference genes. Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) is indicated by asterisks and printed in bold (for differences within each time point, between accessions). Abbreviations: see Fig. 3.

Col-0		Non-exposed	Ws	
24 h	72 h		24 h	72 h
1.00 $\pm$ 0.18	1.48 $\pm$ 0.17	Resolution	1.08 $\pm$ 0.10	1.40 $\pm$ 0.19
Genes encoding oxidative stress hallmark proteins				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.13	3.77 $\pm$ 1.28	<i>ATIG19020</i>	0.51 $\pm$ 0.04 *	1.86 $\pm$ 0.22
1.00 $\pm$ 0.13	1.73 $\pm$ 0.38	<i>ATIG05340</i>	0.86 $\pm$ 0.06	1.29 $\pm$ 0.09
1.00 $\pm$ 0.38	3.88 $\pm$ 2.41	<i>TIR-class</i>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.03 *	0.66 $\pm$ 0.21
Genes encoding ROS-producing enzymes				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.22	1.22 $\pm$ 0.15	<i>LOX1</i>	0.70 $\pm$ 0.09	1.14 $\pm$ 0.11
1.00 $\pm$ 0.17	2.14 $\pm$ 0.43	<i>LOX2</i>	2.47 $\pm$ 0.20 *	4.85 $\pm$ 0.38 *
Gene encoding antioxidative enzyme				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.48	15.09 $\pm$ 8.20	<i>FSD1</i>	2.24 $\pm$ 0.82	15.21 $\pm$ 3.04
Primary microRNA transcripts				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.22	46.45 $\pm$ 28.43	<i>pri-miR398a</i>	3.97 $\pm$ 0.17 *	94.66 $\pm$ 25.62
1.00 $\pm$ 0.22	15.31 $\pm$ 7.69	<i>pri-miR398b</i>	0.92 $\pm$ 0.21	11.75 $\pm$ 2.25
Genes encoding copper transporters				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.08	2.58 $\pm$ 0.98	<i>COPT2</i>	3.16 $\pm$ 0.37 *	7.09 $\pm$ 0.48 *
1.00 $\pm$ 0.10	1.28 $\pm$ 0.27	<i>COPT5</i>	0.74 $\pm$ 0.06	1.09 $\pm$ 0.05
Genes encoding metallothioneins				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.21	1.81 $\pm$ 0.13	<i>MT1a</i>	5.46 $\pm$ 0.82 *	9.94 $\pm$ 2.87 *
1.00 $\pm$ 0.02	1.38 $\pm$ 0.01	<i>MT1c</i>	2.13 $\pm$ 0.24 *	2.36 $\pm$ 0.30 *
1.00 $\pm$ 0.08	1.65 $\pm$ 0.32	<i>MT2a</i>	1.01 $\pm$ 0.07	1.37 $\pm$ 0.19
Gene encoding protein kinase				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.22	1.41 $\pm$ 0.35	<i>MPK3</i>	0.53 $\pm$ 0.02 *	0.75 $\pm$ 0.10
Gene encoding transcription factor				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.25	1.94 $\pm$ 0.62	<i>WRKY33</i>	0.55 $\pm$ 0.04	1.48 $\pm$ 0.21
Gene encoding protein involved in ethylene signaling				
1.00 $\pm$ 0.13	4.38 $\pm$ 1.03	<i>ERF1</i>	0.49 $\pm$ 0.05 *	1.68 $\pm$ 0.19 *

Table 4. Relative gene expression levels in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws), exposed to 2 μ M CuSO₄ for 24 and 72 h. Values are mean normalized expression relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point (set at 1.00) $\pm$ S.E. of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of at least one individual plant. Resolution values are mean inverse normalization factors relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point, indicating the stability of the selected reference genes. Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) metal-induced changes in expression relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point are indicated by color (■ = upregulation; ■ = downregulation). Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between accessions and within metal exposure are indicated by asterisks and printed in black and bold. Abbreviations: see Fig. 3.

Col-0		2 μ M Cu	Ws	
24 h	72 h		24 h	72 h
1.49 $\pm$ 0.35	0.95 $\pm$ 0.21	Resolution	1.4 $\pm$ 0.39	1.11 $\pm$ 0.29
Genes encoding oxidative stress hallmark proteins				
1.38 $\pm$ 0.09	3.98 $\pm$ 1.49	<i>UPOX</i>	1.06 $\pm$ 0.00	2.02 $\pm$ 0.64
5.31 $\pm$ 0.53	7.86 $\pm$ 5.90	<i>Defensin-like</i>	3.99 $\pm$ 0.90	4.03 $\pm$ 0.52
6.07 $\pm$ 1.14	3.90 $\pm$ 1.77	<i>AT1G19020</i>	5.29 $\pm$ 1.77	3.40 $\pm$ 1.10
2.94 $\pm$ 0.48	8.97 $\pm$ 4.54	<i>AT1G05340</i>	3.95 $\pm$ 0.88	1.98 $\pm$ 0.24
16.6 $\pm$ 3.71	4.35 $\pm$ 2.04	<i>TIR-class</i>	16.03 $\pm$ 6.58	2.92 $\pm$ 1.27
Genes encoding ROS-producing enzymes				
1.42 $\pm$ 0.06	1.29 $\pm$ 0.23	<i>LOX1</i>	2.22 $\pm$ 0.24	1.41 $\pm$ 0.30
9.89 $\pm$ 0.45	2.70 $\pm$ 0.60	<i>LOX2</i>	5.33 $\pm$ 0.65	2.44 $\pm$ 0.55
Genes encoding antioxidative enzymes				
1.32 $\pm$ 0.10	1.06 $\pm$ 0.05	<i>GSH1</i>	1.24 $\pm$ 0.05	0.80 $\pm$ 0.05 *
1.39 $\pm$ 0.12	1.65 $\pm$ 0.11	<i>GSH2</i>	1.37 $\pm$ 0.06	1.62 $\pm$ 0.11
1.73 $\pm$ 0.18	2.28 $\pm$ 0.35	<i>CSD1</i>	2.34 $\pm$ 0.21	1.81 $\pm$ 0.60
0.96 $\pm$ 0.19	1.37 $\pm$ 0.05	<i>CSD2</i>	0.88 $\pm$ 0.09	1.04 $\pm$ 0.03
0.36 $\pm$ 0.07	0.07 $\pm$ 0.06	<i>FSD1</i>	0.21 $\pm$ 0.07	0.17 $\pm$ 0.15
Primary microRNA transcripts				
85.67 $\pm$ 23.78	5.40 $\pm$ 3.50	<i>pri-miR398a</i>	12.1 $\pm$ 2.84 *	1.82 $\pm$ 1.15
0.68 $\pm$ 0.13	0.25 $\pm$ 0.21	<i>pri-miR398b</i>	1.48 $\pm$ 0.50	0.08 $\pm$ 0.02
Genes encoding copper transporters				
0.90 $\pm$ 0.06	0.73 $\pm$ 0.05	<i>COPT1</i>	1.15 $\pm$ 0.08	1.13 $\pm$ 0.07
0.90 $\pm$ 0.01	0.32 $\pm$ 0.03	<i>COPT2</i>	1.04 $\pm$ 0.11	0.66 $\pm$ 0.02
1.38 $\pm$ 0.13	1.11 $\pm$ 0.19	<i>COPT5</i>	1.77 $\pm$ 0.08	1.08 $\pm$ 0.11
Genes encoding metallothioneins				
1.91 $\pm$ 0.27	0.93 $\pm$ 0.26	<i>MT1a</i>	1.55 $\pm$ 0.03	1.67 $\pm$ 0.46
0.70 $\pm$ 0.14	0.45 $\pm$ 0.15	<i>MT1c</i>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.03	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01
3.56 $\pm$ 0.43	2.19 $\pm$ 0.37	<i>MT2a</i>	4.14 $\pm$ 0.45	3.7 $\pm$ 0.36
1.22 $\pm$ 0.08	1.17 $\pm$ 0.29	<i>MT2b</i>	1.76 $\pm$ 0.07 *	2.00 $\pm$ 0.25
1.23 $\pm$ 0.06	1.41 $\pm$ 0.41	<i>MT3</i>	1.93 $\pm$ 0.12 *	2.79 $\pm$ 0.05

Genes encoding protein kinases				
10.65 ± 3.20	12.83 ± 6.78	<i>OXII</i>	2.22 ± 0.42	3.12 ± 1.54
2.77 ± 0.23	1.68 ± 0.18	<i>MPK3</i>	3.92 ± 0.58	3.37 ± 0.46
1.07 ± 0.04	1.24 ± 0.07	<i>MPK6</i>	1.26 ± 0.04	1.18 ± 0.13
Genes encoding transcription factors				
0.60 ± 0.14	2.12 ± 0.25	<i>WRKY29</i>	0.64 ± 0.13	1.28 ± 0.25
4.14 ± 1.21	4.32 ± 1.08	<i>WRKY33</i>	5.48 ± 1.62	3.10 ± 0.54
Gene encoding protein involved in ethylene signaling				
24.71 ± 2.85	4.76 ± 1.20	<i>ERF1</i>	86.51 ± 20.55 *	18.85 ± 5.43 *

420

Table 5. Relative gene expression levels in leaves of three-weeks-old *A. thaliana* plants (accessions Col-0 and Ws), exposed to 5 μ M CdSO₄ for 24 and 72 h. Values are mean normalized expression relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point (set at 1.00) $\pm$ S.E. of at least three biological replicates, each containing rosettes of at least one individual plant. Resolution values are mean inverse normalization factors relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point, indicating the stability of the selected reference genes. Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) metal-induced changes in expression relative to the non-exposed accession at each time point are indicated by color (■ = upregulation; ■ = downregulation). Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between accessions and within metal exposure are indicated by asterisks and printed in black and bold. Abbreviations: see Fig. 3.

Col-0		5 μ M Cd	Ws	
24 h	72 h		24 h	72 h
1.61 $\pm$ 0.22	0.74 $\pm$ 0.15		1.07 $\pm$ 0.16	1.01 $\pm$ 0.16
Genes encoding oxidative stress hallmark proteins				
5.93 $\pm$ 1.16	6.27 $\pm$ 2.19	<i>UPOX</i>	2.21 $\pm$ 0.19	2.21 $\pm$ 0.32
27.80 $\pm$ 4.70	46.09 $\pm$ 17.38	<i>Defensin-like</i>	6.81 $\pm$ 0.60 *	8.93 $\pm$ 2.33
129.71 $\pm$ 43.01	9.28 $\pm$ 4.87	<i>AT1G19020</i>	56.87 $\pm$ 15.44	3.58 $\pm$ 0.06
77.41 $\pm$ 36.40	30.25 $\pm$ 15.94	<i>AT1G05340</i>	7.82 $\pm$ 2.30	4.14 $\pm$ 1.55
231.62 $\pm$ 80.13	9.90 $\pm$ 4.53	<i>TIR-class</i>	422.48 $\pm$ 106.43	8.84 $\pm$ 1.00
Genes encoding ROS-producing enzymes				
1.99 $\pm$ 0.37	1.90 $\pm$ 0.18	<i>LOX1</i>	1.27 $\pm$ 0.04	1.11 $\pm$ 0.11
9.42 $\pm$ 2.21	2.30 $\pm$ 0.50	<i>LOX2</i>	2.24 $\pm$ 0.08 *	1.95 $\pm$ 0.51
Genes encoding antioxidative enzymes				
1.19 $\pm$ 0.19	1.27 $\pm$ 0.07	<i>GSH1</i>	0.92 $\pm$ 0.05	0.94 $\pm$ 0.09 *
3.31 $\pm$ 0.35	2.05 $\pm$ 0.27	<i>GSH2</i>	1.25 $\pm$ 0.07	1.46 $\pm$ 0.10
1.40 $\pm$ 0.23	0.85 $\pm$ 0.02	<i>CSD1</i>	1.25 $\pm$ 0.14	0.67 $\pm$ 0.06
0.61 $\pm$ 0.17	0.22 $\pm$ 0.01	<i>CSD2</i>	0.80 $\pm$ 0.08	0.45 $\pm$ 0.22
4.83 $\pm$ 1.14	0.85 $\pm$ 0.15	<i>FSD1</i>	1.92 $\pm$ 0.60	0.55 $\pm$ 0.28
Primary microRNA transcripts				
2330.58 $\pm$ 267.36	13.67 $\pm$ 0.86	<i>pri-miR398a</i>	831.04 $\pm$ 180.57 *	5.22 $\pm$ 2.00
7.51 $\pm$ 0.39	1.72 $\pm$ 0.15	<i>pri-miR398b</i>	5.00 $\pm$ 0.48	2.05 $\pm$ 0.10
Genes encoding copper transporters				
0.88 $\pm$ 0.09	0.88 $\pm$ 0.02	<i>COPT1</i>	1.12 $\pm$ 0.08	1.16 $\pm$ 0.10
1.41 $\pm$ 0.19	1.15 $\pm$ 0.27	<i>COPT2</i>	1.47 $\pm$ 0.05	1.38 $\pm$ 0.30
5.40 $\pm$ 1.06	1.28 $\pm$ 0.10	<i>COPT5</i>	2.76 $\pm$ 0.17	1.24 $\pm$ 0.16
Genes encoding metallothioneins				
1.07 $\pm$ 0.27	0.45 $\pm$ 0.16	<i>MT1a</i>	0.93 $\pm$ 0.11	1.22 $\pm$ 0.08
1.03 $\pm$ 0.06	1.70 $\pm$ 0.25	<i>MT1c</i>	0.65 $\pm$ 0.09	0.61 $\pm$ 0.22
3.30 $\pm$ 0.44	2.22 $\pm$ 0.22	<i>MT2a</i>	2.21 $\pm$ 0.06	2.63 $\pm$ 0.17
0.93 $\pm$ 0.09	0.88 $\pm$ 0.08	<i>MT2b</i>	0.94 $\pm$ 0.05	1.32 $\pm$ 0.21
0.74 $\pm$ 0.12	0.88 $\pm$ 0.07	<i>MT3</i>	0.94 $\pm$ 0.14	1.30 $\pm$ 0.34

Genes encoding protein kinases				
272.11 ± 75.02	62.59 ± 36.07	<i>OXII</i>	38.74 ± 1.32 *	5.94 ± 1.96
5.83 ± 1.49	2.24 ± 0.34	<i>MPK3</i>	5.35 ± 0.61	2.34 ± 0.38
2.28 ± 0.51	1.40 ± 0.06	<i>MPK6</i>	1.48 ± 0.12	1.11 ± 0.09
Genes encoding transcription factors				
1.02 ± 0.07	2.80 ± 0.33	<i>WRKY29</i>	0.93 ± 0.03	1.53 ± 0.32
41.42 ± 13.28	7.69 ± 3.75	<i>WRKY33</i>	18.64 ± 5.94	2.82 ± 0.29
Gene encoding protein involved in ethylene signaling				
759.48 ± 165.90	13.11 ± 4.95	<i>ERF1</i>	289.41 ± 46.10	12.64 ± 2.50

431

4. Discussion

Arabidopsis thaliana is a well-established model plant with several resources and tools available for molecular and genetic studies. Natural phenotypic variation, manifested by different natural accessions, is one such important resource. Therefore, morphological and physiological differences amongst the most popular *Arabidopsis* accessions have been well described and, to a more limited extent, their genetic variability is studied (Alonso-Blanco et al., 2016; Passardi et al., 2007). In this study on accession-specific responses, morphological differences between both accessions were evident from leaf growth data of non-exposed plants (Fig. 1). In addition to the biometrical parameters, genetic differences between the two accessions were highlighted in a heat map presenting gene expression data of non-exposed plants (Fig. 3A). Hierarchical clustering revealed two clusters of genes with differential expression patterns in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants. The higher expressed genes in Col-0 as compared to Ws plants were hallmark genes for oxidative stress or associated to the MAPK and ethylene signaling pathways, while the lower expressed genes were related to Cu homeostasis (Fig. 3A and Table 3). These results suggest that Col-0 and Ws plants employ different life strategies. While Ws plants appear to constitutively invest in nutrient homeostasis, Col-0 plants invest more in detoxification responses, related to oxidative stress signaling and antioxidative defense mechanisms. In particular, the constitutively higher expressed Cu homeostasis-related genes in leaves of Ws plants (Fig. 3A) encode for proteins that are either involved in the mobilization or sequestration of Cu, such as *COPT2*, *MT1a* and *MT1c* (Table 3; Guo et al., 2008; Sancenón et al., 2003), or are part of the trademark strategy to redirect Cu, from dispensable to essential cuproproteins under Cu deficiency conditions, such as *FSD1*, *pri-miR398a* and *pri-miR398b* (Gielen et al., 2016; Yamasaki et al., 2007, 2008, 2009). As nutrient homeostasis is important in metal sensitivity, this supports our

previous results suggesting that Ws plants are less sensitive to excess Cu and Cd than Col-0 plants due their ability to better counteract alterations in Cu homeostasis (Amaral dos Reis et al., submitted), including a perceived Cd-induced Cu deficiency (Gielen et al., 2016).

Exposure for 72 h, but not for 24 h, to Cd significantly inhibited rosette growth in both accessions. A similar response was observed for Ws plants after exposure to excess Cu (Fig. 1). This delayed effect is not surprising since leaves are not in direct contact with the metals in the growth medium and, as such, metal-induced responses depend on root-to-shoot translocation of the metals and/or inter-organ signaling. Exposure to excess Cu, however, significantly inhibited rosette growth of Col-0 plants already after 24 h (Fig. 1), coinciding with a significantly higher Cu concentration (Supplementary Table 3) and higher root-to-shoot Cu translocation factor at this time point (Fig. 2A). These observations suggest that growth is more severely affected in Col-0 than Ws plants after exposure to excess Cu, agreeing with an enhanced constitutive Cu homeostasis in Ws plants (Fig. 3A and Table 3). In addition to the COPT family members (Sancenón et al., 2003), several other transporters are involved in plant nutrient distributions (Puig et al., 2007). Amongst these, HMA proteins are implicated in the transport of essential and non-essential heavy metals (Andrés-Colás et al., 2006; Hussain et al., 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2008; Morel et al., 2009; Park et al., 2012; Puig et al., 2007; Wong and Cobbett, 2009). In *Arabidopsis*, this P_{1B}-ATPase family consists of eight members, divided into two groups according to metal-substrate specificity associated to the valence of the transported cations. Whereas HMA1-4 are transporters of the divalent cations Cd/zinc/cobalt/lead, HMA5-8 transport monovalent Cu or silver. Therefore, the mobilization of essential Cu in the plant does not involve the same HMA proteins as the mobilization of non-essential Cd. Furthermore, different HMA transporters have specific subcellular locations and functions. For example, the plasma membrane

HMA5 protein is involved in Cu translocation from roots to shoots (Kobayashi et al., 2008) and Cu compartmentalization and detoxification within roots (Andrés-Colás et al., 2006). After studying 103 different accessions, Kobayashi et al. (2008) suggested that the variation in Cu tolerance observed in *A. thaliana* is partially regulated by the capacity of root-to-shoot Cu translocation, associated with the functional integrity of HMA5. However, the Ws accession was not included in that study and should be investigated in future research.

The root-to-shoot translocation factor of Cu significantly decreased in plants exposed to Cd (Fig. 2A) compared to those not exposed, pointing towards a Cd-induced decreased ability of plants in this condition to translocate Cu, which leads to Cd-induced Cu deficiency-like responses (Gayomba et al., 2013; Gielen et al., 2016, 2017). Nonetheless, at each time point, the Cu translocation factor was significantly higher in Cd-exposed Ws versus Col-0 plants (Fig. 2A). Gielen et al. (2016) observed that Cd-induced Cu deficiency-like responses could be alleviated by supplying extra Cu to Cd-exposed *Arabidopsis* plants, resulting in a lower *HMA5* upregulation in Cu-supplemented plants as compared to non-supplemented plants (Gielen et al., 2017). Therefore, the significantly lower *HMA5* upregulation in leaves of Cd-exposed Ws as compared to Col-0 plants after 72 h (Supplementary Fig. 4) indicates that these Cu deficiency-like responses were less pronounced in Ws plants. This again supports our statement that Cu homeostasis mechanisms are less disturbed in leaves of Cd-exposed Ws plants.

After 24 h of exposure, the root-to-shoot Cd translocation factor was significantly higher in Ws than in Col-0 plants (Fig. 2B). Both HMA2 and HMA4 are known to mediate Cd translocation in *A. thaliana* (Wong and Cobbett, 2009), whereas HMA3 is involved in Cd sequestration in the vacuole (Morel et al., 2009). In addition, it was shown that the *HMA3* gene bears a point mutation in the Col-0 accession, consequently encoding for a truncated protein

differing from the protein in Ws plants (Hussain et al., 2004; Morel et al., 2009). Although an obvious candidate gene, Fischer et al. (2017) observed that *HMA3* is unlikely determining the variation in Cd tolerance observed in different *Arabidopsis* accessions. However, the Ws accession was not included in that study and therefore this difference in HMA3 function may still account for some of the differences in the Cd translocation factor observed between both accessions (Fig. 2B). Moreover, Park et al. (2012) hypothesized that the non-functional HMA3 results in a preference for the expression of *HMA4* over *HMA2* in Col-0 plants, suggesting that the cooperation between HMA3 and HMA4 is relevant for Cd detoxification. These authors also reported that whereas short-term exposure to Cd did not alter *HMA4* expression in Ws plants, it induced *HMA4* overexpression in Col-0 plants (Park et al., 2012). This can explain the time-associated alterations to the Cd concentrations in leaves of both accessions (Supplementary Table 3) and the apparent arrest in the Cd transport observed in Ws plants considering the decreased translocation factor (Fig. 2B). Since metal sequestration and transport are important mechanisms in metal tolerance, future research on the root-to-shoot Cd translocation and *HMA2-4* expression patterns after long-term exposure to Cd are required to further elucidate how Col-0 and Ws plants cope with this toxic metal.

Exposure to excess Cu and Cd affected transcript levels of different genes in the leaves of both accessions, as evidenced by the representation in heat maps (Fig.s 3B and 3C). Regardless of the time point or accession, samples obtained from Cu- or Cd-exposed plants generally clustered away from samples of non-exposed plants, allowing the identification of genes affected by each metal. The heat map representation revealed that excess Cu affected genes involved in Cu homeostasis mechanisms (Fig. 3B). These genes are known to be mediated by the central regulator SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like 7 (SPL7) by way of its binding to GTAC

motifs within their promoter regions (Gayomba et al., 2013; Gielen et al., 2016; Yamasaki et al., 2009). Although more research is needed, Dąbrowska et al. (2012) identified GTAC motifs containing Cu response elements located in the promoter regions of *A. thaliana MT1a* and *MT1c* genes, suggesting that these cysteine-rich proteins are also targeted by the SPL7 transcription factor (Yamasaki et al., 2009). Therefore, the significant downregulation of *MT1c* after 24 h and 72 h of exposure and the upregulation of *MT2b* and *MT3* after 72 h suggest yet again that Ws plants are more efficient at counteracting the altered Cu homeostasis. The same heat map revealed another gene cluster including oxidative stress- and MAPK/ethylene signaling-related genes, with a higher expression after exposure to excess Cu than under non-exposed conditions (Fig. 3B). In particular, excess Cu appeared to induce different signaling mechanisms in leaves of Col-0 and Ws plants (Table 4). The upregulation of *OX11* and *MPK3* suggests that MAPK signaling pathways were activated in leaves of Cu-exposed Col-0 plants. The OX11 kinase is essential to ROS sensing and MAPK signaling, linking oxidative burst signals to its downstream responses (Rentel et al., 2004), such as the activation of detoxification mechanisms following Cu exposure (Smeets et al., 2013). On the other hand, the upregulation of *MPK3*, *WRKY33* and *ERF1* indicates that excess Cu stimulated ethylene signaling in leaves of Ws plants (Table 4). The phytohormone ethylene regulates several developmental and physiological processes such as seed germination, growth, flowering and senescence (Iqbal et al., 2017). Ethylene is also a known “stress hormone”, modulating hormone and redox signaling processes under several biotic and abiotic stress conditions including metal stress (Keunen et al., 2016; Schellingen et al., 2015a, 2015b) via a signaling cascade that, amongst others, induces the expression of *ERF1* (Huang et al., 2016). Both MPK3 and MPK6 are known to play a role in controlling the rate-limiting step in ethylene biosynthesis via the transcription factor WRKY33 (Li et al., 2012). Although ethylene signaling is clearly favored in Cu-exposed Ws plants, the upregulation of *ERF1* in combination

with the upregulation of *OXI1* suggests that these two signaling-related molecules interact in leaves of Col-0 plants in response to excess Cu. This interaction needs to be further investigated to not only clarify the crosstalk between ethylene and ROS signaling, but also to explore the activation of these signaling pathways in both accessions, particularly their time-related patterns.

Exposure to Cd increased the transcript levels of oxidative stress hallmark genes (Gadjev et al., 2006), *LOX* genes and genes related to MAPK/ethylene signaling compared to non-exposed plants (Fig. 3C). The overall higher upregulation of the oxidative stress hallmark genes and the expression patterns of *LOX1* and *LOX2* in leaves of Cd-exposed Col-0 plants (Table 5) suggest that these plants respond more strongly to Cd-induced oxidative stress than Ws plants. The observed alterations in the GSH metabolism in leaves of Cd-exposed Col-0 plants, such as the significant upregulation of *GSH2* (Table 5) and the increased GSH concentration (Table 2), point towards the activation of detoxification mechanisms, by means of phytochelatin production, to counteract the more severe Cd-induced oxidative stress response (Table 5). This is in agreement with the proposed strategy favored by Col-0 plants. Several studies suggest an association between GSH metabolism and ethylene signaling in metal stress conditions (Keunen et al., 2016; Schellingen et al., 2015a, 2015b; Zhang et al., 2014), which appears to be supported by the concurrent induction of both processes in the leaves of Cd-exposed Col-0 plants (Table 5). Recently, Schellingen et al. (2015a) proposed a model linking ethylene biosynthesis, signal transduction and oxidative stress in leaves of Cd-exposed *A. thaliana* leaves. In this model, it is hypothesized that Cd induces an oxidative burst that leads to ethylene signaling via a MAPK cascade initiated by *OXI1*. In turn, the ethylene signal cascade induces the expression of downstream transcription factors such as *ERF1*. The expression of *ERF1* is known to increase in response to ethylene signaling during Cd exposure (Schellingen et al., 2015a, 2015b). Moreover,

Schellingen et al., (2015a) also described ethylene to be involved in regulating GSH levels during the early Cd-induced oxidative challenge. Indeed, several MAPK/ethylene signaling-related genes were upregulated in leaves of Cd-exposed Col-0 plants, particularly after 24 h (Table 5), suggesting a stronger ethylene signaling response in Col-0 than in Ws plants, which in turn might have determined the GSH metabolism response observed in leaves of Col-0 plants (Tables 2 and 5).

In conclusion, our results suggest that Col-0 and Ws plants developed different life strategies. While Ws plants have enhanced nutrient homeostatic capacities, particularly related to Cu homeostasis mechanisms, Col-0 plants have boosted oxidative stress-related responses, mainly related to MAPK/ethylene signaling and GSH detoxification mechanisms. This is evident not only under non-exposed conditions, but also determines how both accessions respond to excess Cu and Cd.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported by BOF funding from Hasselt University through a PhD grant for Rafaela Amaral dos Reis and the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) by a postdoctoral grant for Els Keunen. Additional funding came from FWO projects [G0D3414; G0B6716].

587 **References**

- 588 Alonso-Blanco, C., Andrade, J., Becker, C., Bemm, F., Bergelson, J., Borgwardt, K. M., et al.,
589 2016. 1,135 Genomes reveal the global pattern of polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
590 Cell 166, 481–491. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.063
- 591 Amaral dos Reis, R., Keunen, E., Mourato, M. P., Martins, L. L., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A.,
592 submitted. Efficient regulation of Cu homeostasis underlies accession-specific sensitivities
593 to excess Cu and Cd in roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J.
- 594 Andrés-Colás, N., Sancenón, V., Rodríguez-Navarro, S., Mayo, S., Thiele, D. J., Ecker, J. R., et
595 al. (2006). The *Arabidopsis* heavy metal P-type ATPase HMA5 interacts with
596 metallochaperones and functions in copper detoxification of roots. Plant J. 45, 225–236.
597 doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02601.x
- 598 Bergmeyer, H.U., Gawehn, K., Grassi, M., 1974. Enzymes as biochemical reagents, in:
599 Bergmeyer, H.U. (Ed.), Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. Academic Press, New York, pp.
600 425–522.
- 601 Bustin, S. A., Benes, V., Garson, J. A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R.,
602 Nolan, T., Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., Vandesompele, J., Wittwer, C.T., 2009. The MIQE
603 guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments.
604 Clin. Chem. 55, 611–622. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797
- 605 Cuypers, A., Keunen, E., Bohler, S., Jozefczak, M., Opdenakker, K., Gielen, H., Vercampt, H.,
606 Bielen, A., Schellingen, K., Vangronsveld, J., Remans, T., 2012. Cadmium and copper stress
607 induce a cellular oxidative challenge leading to damage versus signalling, in: Gupta, D.K.,
608 Sandalio, L.M. (Eds.), Metal Toxicity in Plants: Perception, Signaling and Remediation. pp.
609 65–90. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-22081-4_4
- 610 Cuypers, A., Smeets, K., Ruytinx, J., Opdenakker, K., Keunen, E., Remans, T., Horemans, N.,
611 Vanhoudt, N., Van Sanden, S., Van Belleghem, F., Guisez, Y., Colpaert, J., Vangronsveld,
612 J., 2011. The cellular redox state as a modulator in cadmium and copper responses in
613 *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. J. Plant Physiol. 168, 309–316.
614 doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2010.07.010
- 615 Dąbrowska, G., Mierek-Adamska, A., Goc, A., 2012. Plant metallothioneins: Putative functions
616 identified by promoter analysis in silico. Acta Biol. Cracoviensia Ser. Bot. 54, 109–120.
617 doi:10.2478/v10182-012-0030-y
- 618 Drażkiewicz, M., Skórzyńska-Polit, E., Krupa, Z., 2004. Copper-induced oxidative stress and
619 antioxidant defence in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Biometals 17, 379–387.
620 doi:10.1023/B:BIOM.0000029417.18154.22
- 621 Fischer, S., Spielau, T., Clemens, S., 2017. Natural variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Cd
622 responses and the detection of quantitative trait loci affecting Cd tolerance. Sci. Rep. 7,
623 3693. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03540-z
- 624 Gadjiev, I., Vanderauwera, S., Gechev, T.S., Laloi, C., Minkov, I.N., Shulaev, V., Apel, K., Inze,
625 D., Mittler, R., Van Breusegem, F., 2006. Transcriptomic footprints disclose specificity of
626 reactive oxygen species signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 141, 436–445.
627 doi:10.1104/pp.106.078717
- 628 Gayomba, S.R., Jung, H., Yan, J., Danku, J., Rutzke, M. a, Bernal, M., Krämer, U., Kochian, L.
629 V, Salt, D.E., Vatamaniuk, O.K., 2013. The CTR/COPT-dependent copper uptake and
630 SPL7-dependent copper deficiency responses are required for basal cadmium tolerance in A.
631 thaliana. Metallomics 5, 1262–75. doi:10.1039/c3mt00111c

- Gielen, H., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2016. Toxicity responses of Cu and Cd: the involvement of miRNAs and the transcription factor SPL7. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 145. doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0830-4
- Gielen, H., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2017. Cd-induced Cu deficiency responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: are phytochelatins involved? *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 390–400. doi:10.1111/pce.12876
- Guo, W.-J., Meetam, M., Goldsbrough, P.B., 2008. Examining the specific contributions of individual *Arabidopsis* metallothioneins to copper distribution and metal tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 1697–706. doi:10.1104/pp.108.115782
- Huang, P.-Y., Catinot, J., Zimmerli, L., 2016. Ethylene response factors in *Arabidopsis* immunity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 1231–1241. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv518
- Hussain, D., Haydon, M.J., Wang, Y., Wong, E., Sherson, S.M., Young, J., Camakaris, J., Harper, J.F., Cobbett, C.S., 2004. P-type ATPase heavy metal transporters with roles in essential zinc homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 16, 1327–39. doi:10.1105/tpc.020487
- Imberty, A., Goldberg, R., Catesson, A.M., 1984. Tetramethylbenzidine and p-phenylenediamine-pyrocatechol for peroxidase histochemistry and biochemistry: Two new, non-carcinogenic chromogens for investigating lignification process. *Plant Sci. Lett.* 35, 103–108. doi:10.1016/0304-4211(84)90182-2
- Iqbal, N., Khan, N.A., Ferrante, A., Trivellini, A., Francini, A., Khan, M.I.R., 2017. Ethylene role in plant growth, development and senescence: Interaction with other phytohormones. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 475. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00475
- Jozefczak, M., Bohler, S., Schat, H., Horemans, N., Guisez, Y., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2015. Both the concentration and redox state of glutathione and ascorbate influence the sensitivity of *Arabidopsis* to cadmium. *Ann. Bot.* 116, 601–612. doi:10.1093/aob/mcv075
- Jozefczak, M., Keunen, E., Schat, H., Blik, M., Hernández, L.E., Carleer, R., Remans, T., Bohler, S., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2014. Differential response of *Arabidopsis* leaves and roots to cadmium: glutathione-related chelating capacity vs antioxidant capacity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 83, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.07.001
- Keunen, E., Jozefczak, M., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2013. Alternative respiration as a primary defence during cadmium-induced mitochondrial oxidative challenge in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 91, 63–73.
- Keunen, E., Remans, T., Bohler, S., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2011. Metal-induced oxidative stress and plant mitochondria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 12, 6894–6918. doi:10.3390/ijms12106894
- Keunen, E., Schellingen, K., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2016. Ethylene and Metal Stress : Small Molecule , Big Impact. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1–18. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00023
- Kobayashi, Y., Kuroda, K., Kimura, K.K., Southron-Francis, J.L.J., Furuzawa, A., Kimura, K.K., Iuchi, S., Kobayashi, M., Taylor, G.J.G., Koyama, H., 2008. Amino acid polymorphisms in strictly conserved domains of a P-type ATPase HMA5 are involved in the mechanism of copper tolerance variation in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 148, 969–980. doi:10.1104/pp.108.119933
- Lequeux, H., Hermans, C., Lutts, S., Verbruggen, N., 2010. Response to copper excess in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Impact on the root system architecture, hormone distribution, lignin accumulation and mineral profile. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 673–682. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.05.005
- Li, G., Meng, X., Wang, R., Mao, G., Han, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, S., 2012. Dual-level regulation of

- ACC synthase activity by MPK3/MPK6 cascade and its downstream WRKY transcription factor during ethylene induction in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Genet. 8, e1002767. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002767
- McCord, J.M., Fridovich, I., 1969. Superoxide dismutase. An enzymic function for erythrocuprein (hemocuprein). J. Biol. Chem. 244, 6049–6055. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(69)90079-7
- Morel, M., Crouzet, J., Gravot, A., Auroy, P., Leonhardt, N., Vavasseur, A., Richaud, P., 2009. AtHMA3, a P1B-ATPase allowing Cd/Zn/Co/Pb vacuolar storage in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 149, 894–904. doi:10.1104/pp.108.130294
- Mourato, M.P., Reis, R., Martins, L.L., 2012. Characterization of plant antioxidative system in response to abiotic stresses: A focus on heavy metal toxicity, in: Montanaro, G., Dichio, B. (Eds.), Advances in Selected Plant Physiology Aspects. InTech, pp. 23–44.
- Murphy, A., Taiz, L., 1995a. A new vertical mesh transfer technique for metal-tolerance studies in *Arabidopsis* (ecotypic variation and copper-sensitive mutants). Plant Physiol. 108, 29–38. doi:10.1104/pp.108.1.29 [pii]
- Murphy, A., Taiz, L., 1995b. Comparison of metallothionein gene expression and nonprotein thiols in ten *Arabidopsis* ecotypes. Correlation with copper tolerance. Plant Physiol. 109, 945–54. doi:10.1104/pp.109.3.945
- Murphy, A., Taiz, L., 1997. Correlation between potassium efflux and copper sensitivity in 10 *Arabidopsis* ecotypes. New Phytol. 136, 211–222. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00738.x
- Park, W., Han, K.-H., Ahn, S.-J., 2012. Differences in root-to-shoot Cd and Zn translocation and by HMA3 and 4 could influence chlorophyll and anthocyanin content in *Arabidopsis* Ws and Col-0 ecotypes under excess metals. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 58, 334–348. doi:10.1080/00380768.2012.684643
- Passardi, F., Dobias, J., Valério, L., Guimil, S., Penel, C., Dunand, C., 2007. Morphological and physiological traits of three major *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. J. Plant Physiol. 164, 980–92. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2006.06.008
- Puig, S., Andrés-Colás, N., García-Molina, A., Peñarrubia, L., 2007. Copper and iron homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*: responses to metal deficiencies, interactions and biotechnological applications. Plant. Cell Environ. 30, 271–90. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01642.x
- Queval, G., Noctor, G., 2007. A plate reader method for the measurement of NAD, NADP, glutathione, and ascorbate in tissue extracts: Application to redox profiling during *Arabidopsis* rosette development. Anal. Biochem. 363, 58–69.
- R Core Team, 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- Remans, T., Keunen, E., Bex, G.J., Smeets, K., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2014. Reliable gene expression analysis by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR: reporting and minimizing the uncertainty in data accuracy. Plant Cell 26, 3829–3837. doi:10.1105/tpc.114.130641
- Remans, T., Smeets, K., Opdenakker, K., Mathijssen, D., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2008. Normalisation of real-time RT-PCR gene expression measurements in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exposed to increased metal concentrations. Planta 227, 1343–1349. doi:10.1007/s00425-008-0706-4
- Rentel, M.C., Lecourieux, D., Ouaked, F., Usher, S.L., Petersen, L., Okamoto, H., Knight, H., Peck, S.C., Grierson, C.S., Hirt, H., Knight, M.R., 2004. OXI1 kinase is necessary for oxidative burst-mediated signalling in *Arabidopsis*. Nature 427, 858–861.

- RStudio Team, 2015. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
- Sancenón, V., Puig, S., Mira, H., Thiele, D.J., Penarrubia, L., 2003. Identification of a copper transporter family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 51, 577–587. doi:10.1023/A:1022345507112.
- Schellingen, K., Van Der Straeten, D., Remans, T., Loix, C., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2015a. Ethylene biosynthesis is involved in the early oxidative challenge induced by moderate Cd exposure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 117, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.04.005
- Schellingen, K., Van Der Straeten, D., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., Keunen, E., Cuypers, A., 2015b. Ethylene signalling is mediating the early cadmium-induced oxidative challenge in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Sci.* 239, 137–146. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.07.015
- Schiavon, M., Zhang, L.H., Abdel-Ghany, S.E., Pilon, M., Malagoli, M., Pilon-Smits, E.A.H., 2007. Variation in copper tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions Columbia, Landsberg erecta and Wassilewskija. *Physiol. Plant.* 129, 342–350. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.2006.00809.x
- Smeets, K., Opdenakker, K., Remans, T., Forzani, C., Hirt, H., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2013. The role of the kinase OX11 in cadmium and copper induced molecular responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant. Cell Environ.* doi:10.1111/pce.12056
- Smeets, K., Ruytinx, J., Van Belleghem, F., Semane, B., Lin, D., Vangronsveld, J., Cuypers, A., 2008. Critical evaluation and statistical validation of a hydroponic culture system for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 46, 212–218. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2007.09.014
- Weigel, D., 2012. Natural variation in *Arabidopsis*: from molecular genetics to ecological genomics. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 2–22. doi:10.1104/pp.111.189845
- Wong, C.K.E., Cobbett, C.S., 2009. HMA P-type ATPases are the major mechanism for root-to-shoot Cd translocation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol.* 181, 71–78. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02638.x
- Yamasaki, H., Abdel-Ghany, S.E., Cohu, C.M., Kobayashi, Y., Shikanai, T., Pilon, M., 2007. Regulation of copper homeostasis by micro-RNA in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 16369–16378. doi:10.1074/jbc.M700138200
- Yamasaki, H., Hayashi, M., Fukazawa, M., Kobayashi, Y., Shikanai, T., 2009. SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like7 is a central regulator for copper homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21, 347–61. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.060137
- Yamasaki, H., Pilon, M., Shikanai, T., 2008. How do plants respond to copper deficiency? Hiroaki. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 3, 231–232.
- Yruela, I., 2005. Copper in plants. *Brazilian J. Plant Physiol.* 17, 145–156. doi:10.1590/S1677-04202005000100012
- Yruela, I., 2009. Copper in plants: acquisition, transport and interactions. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 36, 409–430. doi:10.1071/fp08288
- Zhang, Y., He, Q., Shiyang, Z., Huang, L., Hao, L., Zhao, S., Huang, L., Hao, L., 2014. *Arabidopsis ein2-1* and *npr1-1* response to Al stress. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 93, 78–83. doi:10.1007/s00128-014-1249-y