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Abstract

Alkali-activated materials (AAMs) based on ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) and phosphogypsum (PG) were investigated in order to quantify leaching of naturally occurring radionuclides (NOR) and inorganic non-radiological elements according to an up-flow percolation column test as described in CEN/TS 16637-3. Gamma spectroscopy and neutron activation analysis (NAA) were applied for radiological characterization, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and ion-chromatography (IC) for chemical characterization. Upon leaching, $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{226}\text{Ra}$, $^{210}\text{Pb}$, and $^{228}\text{Ra}$ were retained very well. Both for $^{232}\text{Th}$ and $^{40}\text{K}$, a decrease in activity concentration was observed due to leaching and their release was influenced by the use of different alkali activators, which was also the case for the leaching of non-radiological elements. Only a small amount of Al (0.5-0.8%), Ca (0.1-0.2%) and Si (0.1-0.3%) was mobilized, while highest release was observed for K (56-94%), Na (49-88%) and S (71-87%). At first glance, drinking water is not endangered by leaching of NOR following the requirements of the European Drinking Water Directive. From the results for porosity, obtained with mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP), it was concluded that both the porosity and formation of multiple leachable and non-leachable complexes are determining factors for the release of elements from AAMs.
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1. Introduction

From a sustainability perspective, the reuse of industrial by-products or residues in the production of construction materials has become an indispensable practice to reduce waste production, CO$_2$
emissions, energy and natural resources usage by replacement of primary raw materials. In this respect, Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) can be replaced by alkali-activated materials (AAMs), which are considered as promising alternative binders due to (1) their excellent performance characteristics, and (2) because they can be synthesized from by-products or residues (Provis, 2017). However, by-products or residues can be characterized by enhanced concentrations of hazardous compounds, such as heavy metals and/or naturally occurring radionuclides (NOR) (Nuccetelli et al., 2015). Therefore, AAMs must be capable to immobilize these particular compounds, starting from the use scenario as well as during demolition, recycling and disposal (end-of-life). Hence in the evaluation of AAMs, the whole life-cycle must be considered.

This study describes the incorporation of phosphogypsum (PG) in AAMs based on ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS). PG originates from the phosphate industry, where phosphoric acid is separated from phosphate ore by treatment with sulphuric acid. PG is characterized by enhanced levels of NOR, mainly from the $^{238}$U series, provoking many restrictions on its use. Besides, impurities such as P$_2$O$_5$, F, organic substances and alkali metals can be incorporated (Tayibi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2018). PG is disposed of in large stockpiles, occupying vast areas of land which is very costly due to mandatory environmental monitoring and long-term maintenance (Central Pollution Control Board, 2012; Tayibi et al., 2009). Up to 2006, the total amount of PG produced worldwide is estimated to have been about 6 billion tons (International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 2013), consequently large-scale valorization options are needed to consume these vast amounts (Rashad, 2017). GGBFS has already been extensively studied for the production of AAMs, being an excellent precursor for alkali activation and allowing the incorporation of precursors which are less suitable, such as PG (Kuo et al., 2014; Lancellotti et al., 2018; Ulubeyli and Artir, 2015). PG participates as an additional source of sulphate, giving rise to additional reaction products compared to AAMs solely based on slags (Nguyen et al., 2018). The levels of NOR in GGBFS are low, consisting of radionuclides from both the $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th series (Sas et al., 2017).
Concerning NOR in building materials, the European Basis Safety Standards (EU-BSS) Directive (Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom) sets down a framework for screening of gamma exposure from building materials. In addition to outdoor external exposure, the reference level for indoor external exposure emitted by building materials is set at 1 mSv/y (Council of the European Union, 2014). This aspect has been described in detail in a complementary study (Gijbels et al., 2018). Nonetheless, less attention has been paid to the leaching behavior of NOR from building materials (Croymans et al., 2017; Michalik et al., 2018; Mossini et al., 2015). Consequently, there is poor understanding of the potential migration of NOR, especially in the alkaline range of pH, which is the main condition imposed by AAMs. Notwithstanding, reuse of naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM), such as PG, in building materials may lead to leaching of NOR to groundwater and can consequently affect the quality of drinking water supplies, which could be a concern from the radiation protection point of view (Contreras et al., 2014, 2013). AAMs are often used for stabilization/solidification purposes (Huang et al., 2017; Shi and Fernández-Jiménez, 2006) and consequently low leaching rates are expected. A detailed investigation of NOR leaching is lacking and is presented in this study, aiming to prevent anthropogenic influence on the environment, in particular the contamination of water. Leaching of non-radiological elements (e.g. calcium or sodium) can possess synergistic effects on NOR leaching and should be studied in parallel.

Leaching of inorganic constituents from building materials can be assessed either by batch or column leaching tests (Hjelmar et al., 2012; Nebel and Spanka, 2013). Batch tests may underestimate the actual release of contaminants, while column tests provide more reliable field-correlated information (Cappuyns and Swennen, 2008). Moreover, the release behavior during the end-of-life phases of the building material is more accurately predicted when compared to batch tests.

The radioactivity of the $^{238}$U and $^{232}$Th isotope decay chains in processed industrial by-products or residues, such as GGBFS and PG, are mostly characterized to be in disequilibrium resulting in differences in the activity concentration for the radionuclides of a given decay chain (Michalik et al.,
For this reason, assessment of leaching requires careful evaluation. Only NOR with a half-life long enough to behave independently in the environment will be considered in the leaching assessment (Michalik et al., 2018).

The overall goal of the present study is to assess the leaching of NOR (more specific the long-lived radionuclides from these natural decay chains, and $^{40}$K) and non-radiological elements from AAMs based on GGBFS when PG is incorporated. In a conservative approach, the requirements set by the European Drinking Water Directive are used for the evaluation of NOR leaching from AAMs (Council of the European Union, 2013). Also the influence of alkaline solution on leaching and porosity is assessed. In a complementary study, the maximum amount of PG which can be incorporated following the EU-BSS requirements, was determined (Gijbels et al., 2018).

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

A combination of GGBFS and PG was used as precursor. GGBFS was provided by a Belgian steel company. It was dried in a laboratory oven at 110 °C and subsequently milled to a Blaine fineness of 4050 ± 200 cm²/g, determined according to EN 196-6 (Bureau voor Normalisatie (NBN), 2010). The density of GGBFS was found to be 2.9 g/cm³ (Quantachrome Multipycnometer MVP-6DC) according to ASTM C204 (ASTM International, 2017). The chemical composition of GGBFS, determined by X-ray fluorescence analysis (Philips, PW 1830), was (in wt%): 36.2 ± 0.2 SiO₂, 40.3 ± 0.5 CaO, 11.4 ± 0.2 Al₂O₃, 8.2 ± 0.1 MgO, 1.1 ± 0.1 S, 0.8 ± 0.1 TiO₂, 0.8 ± 0.1 Na₂O, 0.5 ± 0.1 K₂O and 0.3 ± 0.1 FeO. PG was derived from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (reference material 434) (Shakhashiro et al., 2011) and was used as received with particle size ranging from 0.5 µm to 30 µm. The matrix composition of PG was provided by the supplier and consisted of (in wt%): 96 CaSO₄.2H₂O, 1-2 P₂O₅, 1.2 F, 1 SiO₂ and 0.2 Al₂O₃. The sodium silicate solution used in the experiments was supplied by ABCR GmbH (molar ratio SiO₂/Na₂O = 3.3 and 65% water). Sodium
hydroxide pellets (99% purity) were purchased from Chem-Lab. Distilled water (ASTM type II) was used throughout the experiments.

2.2 Sample synthesis

Sodium silicate solution was mixed with sodium hydroxide pellets and distilled water to form an alkaline solution with three different molar ratios (SiO₂/Na₂O=0.75 and H₂O/Na₂O=20, SiO₂/Na₂O=0 and H₂O/Na₂O=27.8, SiO₂/Na₂O=0 and H₂O/Na₂O=18.5). The alkaline solutions were then left overnight to cool to ambient temperature.

Samples were prepared with a GGBFS/PG mass ratio of 9/1 and the mass ratio between the alkaline solution and dry mix (GGBFS + PG) was 3/5. GGBFS and PG were mixed thoroughly until a uniform blend was produced. The alkaline solution was then stirred for 3 min with the dry mix to form a homogeneous paste. The pastes were cast in polymer coated steel molds (20 mm x 20 mm x 80 mm) and allowed to consolidate for 24 h at room temperature having the molds wrapped with plastic foil to avoid water evaporation. After 24 h, the samples were demolded and were stored in sealed storage vessels for further curing at room temperature till they were 28 days old. Table 1 gives an overview of the sample compositions, which were selected for their compressive strength at 28 days (tested in a previous study (Gijbels et al., 2018) and presented in Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiO₂/Na₂O</th>
<th>H₂O/Na₂O</th>
<th>wt% GGBFS</th>
<th>wt% PG</th>
<th>Alkaline solution/dry mix</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Leaching test

The leaching of non-volatile inorganic NOR and non-radiological elements as a function of liquid-over-solid ratio (L/S) was assessed by means of an up-flow percolation test as described in CEN/TS 16637-3 (European Committee for Standardization, 2016). After a curing period of 28 days, samples were dried in a laboratory oven at 40 °C till constant mass, whereafter they were cooled down in a desiccator. Subsequently, samples were crushed till 45% by mass of the test sample had a particle size lower than 4 mm, while 100% by mass had a particle size lower than 16 mm. For the leaching test, 90 g of sample was placed in a column made of glass with an inner diameter of 30 mm and a length of 200 mm. In the top and bottom of the column, a filter paper (Schleicher & Schuell, nr. 595) was fixed, and the outlets were connected with Viton® tubing material with an inner diameter of 1.6 mm. A volumetric peristaltic pump (SP100 OEM fixed flow peristaltic pump, APT Instruments) pumped the leachant through the setup with a flow rate of 0.096 ml/min. Distilled water was used as leachant solution to allow a rapid screening of potentially leachable elements and the results are independent of variable local surface, ground- and rainwater chemistry. A saturation period of 20 h was applied whereafter 7 eluate fractions were collected at predefined intervals (0.10 ± 0.02 l/kg, 0.10 ± 0.02 l/kg, 0.30 ± 0.05 l/kg, 0.50 ± 0.05 l/kg, 1.00 ± 0.05 l/kg, 3.0 ± 0.1 l/kg, 5.0 ± 0.2 l/kg) until a cumulative L/S of 10.0 ± 0.5 l/kg was reached. The bottles for collection of the eluates were covered with film in order to minimize carbonation. Due to their small volume, the first 2 eluate fractions were diluted by a factor 2. The pH (HI2211 pH/ORP Meter, HANNA Instruments) and conductivity (Konduktometer CG 858, Schott Geräte) of each eluate fraction was measured immediately after collection. Leaching tests were done under laboratory conditions (temperature 20 ± 2 °C, relative humidity about 50%). Between each leaching test, the set-up was first rinsed with diluted (0.1 mol/l) nitric acid (65%, supplied by Merck) and thereafter with distilled water. After leaching, the samples were dried in a laboratory oven at 100 °C till constant mass.
2.4 Analysis procedure for naturally occurring radionuclides

For the analysis of NOR, samples were radiometrically counted before \( (A_0) \) (in Bq/kg dry mass) and after \( (A_f) \) (in Bq/kg dry mass) leaching, and the release is calculated with Eq. 1:

\[
\text{Release (\%)} = \left( \frac{A_0 - A_f}{A_0} \right) \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 1})
\]

For the analysis of \(^{226}\text{Ra},^{210}\text{Pb},^{228}\text{Ra}\) and \(^{40}\text{K}\), samples were gamma spectroscopically counted \((A_0)\) after enclosing radon-tight in a sealed cylindrical polystyrene container of 55 mm diameter and 105 mm height for 30 days to reach secular equilibrium of the progenies. Samples were measured on top of a hyper-pure germanium (HPGe) detector (Mirion Technologies (Canberra) model BE5075-7500Si). Shielding against the background radiation was achieved by 0.2 cm copper and 10 cm lead. The system was calibrated with respect to energy using standard sources. The relative efficiency of the detector is 48%, and its energy resolution is 0.346, 0.587 and 1.768 at full width half maximum (FWHM) from 5.9 keV, 122 keV and 1332.5 keV, respectively. The efficiency \((\varepsilon)\) as a function of the gamma energy (in keV) was determined using Canberra Laboratory Sourceless Calibration software (LabSOCS) by loading the geometry dimensions, mass, shape, material composition and detector configuration and position for each measurement setup. The data and spectra were recorded by a Lynx MCA. The sample measuring time was 67 h. The background spectrum measured under the same conditions with an empty sealed beaker was used to correct the net peak area of measured gamma rays from the samples. The activities were calculated by the software program Genie 2000 from Canberra. The activity of \(^{226}\text{Ra}\) and progeny was estimated from the full energy peaks of \(^{214}\text{Bi}\) (609.3 keV, 1120.3 keV, 1729.6 keV and 1764.5 keV) and \(^{214}\text{Pb}\) (351.9 keV). The activity of \(^{210}\text{Pb}\) is determined by its 46.5 keV full energy peak. The activity of \(^{228}\text{Ra}\) was estimated by the 911.2 keV full energy peak of \(^{228}\text{Ac}\). The \(^{40}\text{K}\) activity concentration was estimated using its 1460.8 keV gamma peak. After leaching and drying, samples were enclosed again for 30 days, whereafter they were counted \((A_f)\) again with the same procedure as for \(A_0\).
For the analysis of $^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{232}\text{Th}$, thermal and epithermal neutron activation analysis (NAA) was chosen for determination of $A_0$ and $A_f$. Before and after leaching, 3 g of the dried sample was separated, homogenized with a mortar and passed through a 250 micron filter. Thereafter, the sample was placed in a polyethylene container. $^{238}\text{U}$ was determined using epithermal neutrons with a neutron flux of $\sim 2.25 \times 10^{12}$ n cm$^{-2}$/s for an irradiation time of 2 min at 500 kW with a decay time of 10 min and a counting time of 5 min. For analysis of $^{232}\text{Th}$, thermal neutrons were used with a neutron flux of $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{12}$ n cm$^{-2}$/s and irradiated for 3 h at 950 kW with a decay time of 2 weeks and a counting time of 4 h. All neutron irradiations were performed using the TRIGA MARK II 1.1 MW reactor at the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Lab (University of Texas). A description of the experimental conditions is shown in Table 2. $^{238}\text{U}$ was determined by the 74.5 keV gamma-ray of $^{239}\text{U}$ ($t_{1/2} = 25$ min) and $^{232}\text{Th}$ by the 311.9 keV gamma-ray of $^{233}\text{Pa}$ ($t_{1/2} = 27.7$ days) with a HPGe ORTEC detector with a FWHM of 2.1 keV gamma-ray of 1332.4 keV $^{60}\text{Co}$ gamma-ray and efficiency of 32%. Energy calibration of the detector was performed using $^{152}\text{Eu}$. Certified uranium and thorium standards of 10 µg/g were prepared from Inorganic Ventures. Certified reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) were used for quality control measurements. The NAA values were in excellent agreement with the NIST values as seen in Table 3.

**Table 2**: Irradiation and decay information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Irradiation time</th>
<th>Decay time</th>
<th>Counting time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{238}\text{U}$</td>
<td>Epithermal</td>
<td>500 kW</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{232}\text{Th}$</td>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>950 kW</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>4 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: NAA results as compared to NIST certified values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAA results</th>
<th>NIST certified values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1632d coal</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.05 µg/g (Th)</td>
<td>1.428 ± 0.035 µg/g (Th)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The overall uncertainties for the samples and standards were the counting statistics for the uranium and thorium standards, the uncertainties in the concentrations and counting statistics for the samples of certified reference materials all taken in quadrature. For all measured NOR, the values for \( A_j \) were corrected for mass loss during leaching. The criteria for release of NOR to groundwater are specified in the Euratom Drinking Water Directive 2013/51/Euratom (Council of the European Union, 2013).

### 2.5 Analysis procedure for non-radiological elements

The leaching of non-radiological elements was assessed as a function of L/S (7 eluate fractions) using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Optima 8300, RSD < 2%) for analysis of Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P, Pb, S and Si, and ion-chromatography (IC, Dionex DX120) for analysis of Cl and F. For IC, an analytical column (IonPac AS14A) with a conductivity detector was used, and the pH of the eluates was buffered using 1 mM NaHCO₃ (supplied by Merck) and 8 mM Na₂CO₃ (supplied by Merck). The detection limits (DL) for the quantification of given elements are shown in Table 4.

#### Table 4: DL for ICP-OES (Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P, Pb, S and Si) and IC (Cl and F)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL (in mg/l)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.6 Mercury intrusion porosimetry
Mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) was applied on samples after 28 days of curing. After their curing period, samples were dried at 40 °C in a laboratory oven till constant mass, whereafter they were cooled down in a desiccator. MIP was governed by the Washburn-Laplace equation (Cook and Hover, 1993; Washburn, 1921):

\[ P = \frac{4y \cos \theta}{d} \quad (Eq. 2) \]

where \( P \) is the mercury injection pressure (Pa), \( y \) is the surface tension of mercury (N/m), \( \theta \) is the contact angle between solid and mercury (°), and \( d \) is the pore access diameter (m). The tests were performed with a Micromeritics Autopore IV 9500 V1.07 mercury porosimeter with a maximum injection pressure of 207 MPa. By assuming a contact angle of 130° and a mercury surface tension of 485 x 10^{-3} N/m, the minimum pore access diameter reached is about 6 x 10^{-9} m.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Leaching of naturally occurring radionuclides

The leaching of NOR was assessed by means of gamma spectroscopy (\(^{226}\text{Ra},^{210}\text{Pb},^{228}\text{Ra} \text{ and } ^{40}\text{K}) and NAA (\(^{238}\text{U} \text{ and } ^{232}\text{Th}) by radiometrically counting the samples before (\(A_0\)) and after (\(A_f\)) leaching with a cumulative L/S of 10.0 ± 0.5 l/kg. An overview of the results is shown in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(A_0) (in Bq/kg) for (^{238}\text{U}, ^{226}\text{Ra}, ^{210}\text{Pb}, ^{232}\text{Th}, ^{228}\text{Ra}) and (^{40}\text{K})</th>
<th>(A_f) (in Bq/kg) for (^{238}\text{U}, ^{226}\text{Ra}, ^{210}\text{Pb}, ^{232}\text{Th}, ^{228}\text{Ra}) and (^{40}\text{K})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>(A_0) 65.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>(A_f) 76.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2^{238}\text{U})</td>
<td>(2^{226}\text{Ra})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>(A_0) 75.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>(A_f) 87.5 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2^{238}\text{U})</td>
<td>(2^{226}\text{Ra})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Table 5, it can be observed that the activity concentrations for the NOR from the $^{238}$U decay chain (i.e. $^{238}$U, $^{226}$Ra and $^{210}$Pb) vary, indicating disequilibrium (as a consequence of the enhanced $^{226}$Ra concentration in PG). The $^{232}$Th decay chain is assumed to be equilibrium since the activity concentrations of $^{232}$Th and $^{228}$Ra are equal. In general, the radionuclides from the $^{238}$U decay chain show higher activity concentrations compared to the ones from the $^{232}$Th decay chain. As a result of the up-flow percolation leaching test, the activity concentrations of $^{226}$Ra, $^{210}$Pb and $^{228}$Ra remained the same (within the boundaries of their uncertainty) for all samples, while the activity concentrations of $^{238}$U were slightly higher after leaching. It can be concluded that $^{238}$U, $^{226}$Ra, $^{210}$Pb and $^{228}$Ra were retained very well in the solid matrix. For all samples, both for $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K, a decrease in activity concentration was observed due to leaching, indicating that these nuclides left the solid matrix. In Fig. 1, the release (in %) of NOR, calculated with Eq. 1, is shown.
Figure 1: Release of NOR as a consequence of leaching

The release of $^{232}$Th was highest for sample 1 (19.9 ± 2.0%), while it was lowest for sample 2 (10.2 ± 2.7%). For sample 3, release of $^{232}$Th was 15.8 ± 2.1%. For $^{40}$K, the opposite was observed, with the highest release for samples synthesized with a sodium hydroxide solution (75.8 ± 14.1% for sample 2 and 70.5 ± 11.9% for sample 3), while the use of a sodium silicate solution resulted in lowest $^{40}$K release (i.e. 43.1 ± 10.0%).

Although $^{232}$Th and $^{238}$U are both actinides, $^{238}$U was not released upon leaching. There is only limited published data on the solubility of actinides in cementitious pore waters, which concentrates mostly on the effect of additives, e.g. EDTA or plasticizers (Colàs et al., 2013; Kitamura et al., 2013).

Regarding the binding mechanisms of actinides to cement, less information exists on thorium, compared to uranium (Evans, 2008). Thorium has a very stable tetravalent oxidation state (Choppin et al., 2001), while uranium is expected to exist in the form of U$^{6+}$ in an alkaline environment (Evans, 2008). Most likely, thorium forms leachable and non-leachable complexes with other chemical species upon alkali activation. In aqueous media, thorium is almost always present as Th$^{4+}$ (Rand et al., 2008) and in alkaline solutions thorium is expected to form thorium hydroxide complexes (Th$_m$(OH)$_{am}$) (Rand et al., 2008). Also, high pH values give rise to carbonates, resulting in the formation of mixed thorium-hydroxo-carbonate complexes and thorium carbonate complexes.
(Altmaier et al., 2005, 2006). Several other chemical species are available for complexation, of which all of them show different thermodynamic properties. There is no evidence for complex formation between $\text{Th}^{4+}$ and $\text{ClO}_4^-$, while $\text{Th}^{4+}$ forms strong complexes with fluoride (with stoichiometry $\text{ThF}_n^{4-n}$, with $n$ from 1 to 6) (Rand et al., 2008). The formation of species like $\text{Th(SO}_4)_n^{4-2n}$ (with $n$ from 1 to 4) is also reasonable because of their very high solubility (Rand et al., 2008). However, the extent to which expected thorium complexes are formed is out of the scope of this research paper. Since thorium leaching was highest for sample 1, the use of a sodium silicate solution gives rise to the formation of more leachable thorium complexes, compared to sodium hydroxide activated samples.

Upon alkali activation, the formation of uranium-mineral phases is responsible for its retention in the solid phase. This was already demonstrated in several studies on cementitious materials (Matzen et al., 2000; Sutton et al., 2003), wherein uranium gets adsorbed to silicate surfaces (Sylwester et al., 2000; Tits et al., 2015) and incorporated into the C-S-H (calcium-silicate-hydrate) structure (Felipe-sotelo et al., 2017; Tits et al., 2015), limiting its solubility. Due to the incorporation of PG containing remnants of phosphoric acid, the formation of uranium-phosphate phases may be evident, which were also demonstrated in cement (Wellman et al., 2007). Highest release was observed for potassium, which acts as charge-balancing ion at the negatively charged silicate surface sites (Tänzer et al., 2017). Since potassium belongs to the group of salts, it shows pH independent leaching. Furthermore, as discussed in section 3.2, potassium shows the same leaching behavior as sodium. The release of potassium and sodium is higher for sodium hydroxide activated samples, because their excessiveness was higher compared to sample 1. Radium and lead were retained very well. Since radium is chemically very similar to calcium, a multiphase immobilization is expected, as explained in (Gijbels et al., 2018). The immobilization of lead is due to its precipitation as $\text{Pb(OH)}_2$ in the solid structure (Koplík et al., 2016).

The leaching of the different isotopes is affecting the destiny of thorium itself or its daughters to very different degrees. Since the long living isotopes $^{232}\text{Th}$ ($t_{1/2} = 14 \times 10^9$ y) and $^{230}\text{Th}$ ($t_{1/2} = 75.4 \times 10^3$ y) are...
chemically the same elements, their leaching behavior is expected to be similar. Leaching of $^{230}$Th can have influence on the equilibria in its respective decay chain, and will in the very long term result in enhanced concentrations of $^{226}$Ra and progeny in soil and soil water. Since $^{232}$Th is the primordial element of its decay chain, its leaching behavior will not give rise to disequilibria, but in the long term lead to slightly lower activity concentrations for all its daughters in the building material.

Referring to the European Drinking Water Directive (Council of the European Union, 2013), none of the NOR considered (i.e. $^{238}$U, $^{234}$U, $^{226}$Ra, $^{228}$Ra, $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po) leached out from the solid AAM matrix. At first glance, drinking water is not endangered. However, the $^{226}$Ra concentration in soil and soil water is likely to increase as a consequence of $^{230}$Th leaching. $^{210}$Pb (and consequently $^{210}$Po) concentrations are not expected to be of concern because $^{210}$Pb was retained in the solid matrix and the half-life of $^{226}$Ra is 1600 y. However, the extent to which concentrations will rise or decline, requires advanced modeling, and is also influenced by geochemical and biological processes occurring during the life-time of AAMs. Also, additional alpha- and beta spectroscopy are desirable to confirm the statements about $^{210}$Pb and $^{210}$Po, respectively.

### 3.2 Leaching of non-radiological elements

The leaching of non-radiological elements was assessed by means of ICP-OES and IC as a function of L/S. For Fe, Mg, P and Pb the concentrations in the eluates were below the detection limits (see Table 4). The change in pH during the column experiments is illustrated in Fig. 2. The general trends in eluate pH were similar for all samples. Initially, the pH increased during the first 2 fractions, followed by a decrease from approximately 13.4 to 12.6. The pH increase is attributed to the dissolution of alkaline elements, such as sodium and potassium, and sulphate ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$). There is no doubt that the eluate pH will decrease with increasing L/S.
Figure 2: Eluate pH as a function of cumulative L/S
Figure 3: Concentration as a function of cumulative L/S for a) aluminium, b) calcium, c) chlorine, d) fluorine, e) potassium, f) silicon, g) sodium and h) sulfur.

Fig. 3 reports the concentrations (in mg/l) as a function of cumulative L/S for Al, Ca, Cl, F, K, Si, Na and S. The release of Fe, Mg, P and Pb is not shown because neither of the eluates contained measurable concentrations of these elements. Looking at the results reported in Fig. 3, it can be noticed that the trends of mass release for the different samples are quite similar. This reveals on the one hand a similar behavior of the samples, and on the other hand, a good reproducibility of the column test and the consistency of leaching data. The release mechanisms for the measurable elements were investigated in order to predict the long term release of these during the use scenario as well as end-of-life phases of the AAM. Ca shows a different release mechanism compared to the other elements investigated, which also remained unidentified following CEN/TS 16637-3. Al, Cl, F, K, Si, Na and S show pH dependent solubility controlled release.
Figure 4: Cumulative release as a function of cumulative L/S for a) aluminium, b) calcium, c) chlorine, d) fluorine, e) potassium, f) silicon, g) sodium and h) sulfur.

In Fig. 4, the cumulative release (in mg/kg dry mass) as a function of cumulative L/S for Al, Ca, Cl, F, K, Si, Na and S is depicted. It is clear that the use of different alkali activators substantially affected the
leaching capacity of the samples, although the evolution patterns were quite similar. The cumulative release of Al, Cl, F, K, Si Na and S increased progressively with respect to L/S. A sharp increase of Ca concentration in the eluates was observed for L/S 10.0 ± 0.5 l/kg. This behavior can be attributed to the sequential mode of leaching, where initially the depletion of SO₄²⁻ occurs and Ca mobility is impeded, whereafter Ca transport is facilitated. The use of a sodium silicate solution resulted in highest cumulative release of Si, while Na and K release were highest in case of sodium hydroxide solutions, indicating that these elements were in excess. More Al was leached when the H₂O content in the alkali activator was higher. Cl was immobilized better when a sodium hydroxide solution was used, while the opposite trend was observed for F for which sample 1 was the best performing immobilization matrix. The leaching of Cl and Si was higher for sample 1, which showed also the highest ²³²Th release. However, it is expected that multiple leachable thorium complexes were formed, making it impossible to predict to which extent they individually contribute to ²³²Th leaching in this case study.

**Figure 5**: Relative release for a) chlorine, fluorine, potassium, sodium and b) aluminium, calcium and silicon.

By comparing the cumulative release (in mg/kg dry mass) of each element provided by the column test (at cumulative L/S 10.0 ± 0.5 l/kg) with the total content, the relative release (in %) was calculated. Results are shown in Fig. 5. Only a small amount of Al, Ca and Si is mobilized, at least if the environmental conditions (pH, temperature, flow rate) are similar to the tested ones. In fact, the
release percentage was about 0.5 to 0.8% for Al, 0.1 to 0.2% for Ca and 0.1 to 0.3% for Si. The highest mobility was observed for K, Na and S with release percentages up to 56-94%, 49-88% and 71-87%, respectively. During the hydration process, some K and Na may have been replaced by Ca. Lowest release for K, Na and S was observed for sample 1. Release of Cl was 16-26% and for F was 4-8%.

Except for S, the elements originating from PG (i.e. Ca, Cl and F) are fairly well retained in the AAM structure, which is good from the environmental point of view. Since the relative release of Na and S are similar for sample 2 and sample 3, it is expected that the excessive amounts of Na resulted in soluble thenardite formation.

In the long term, it is expected that the pH generally will decrease to neutral, and the release of constituents will decline. However, it is worth pointing out that long-term field predictions based on these results should be treated with caution since it neglects different geochemical and biological processes which are likely to occur during the life-time of the AAMs, and that can significantly influence the release. Considering the European Drinking Water Directive (Council of the European Union, 2013), the non-radiological elements of concern in this particular case are Al, Cl, F, Na and S, for which modelling and monitoring is required in case these AAMs are used in the immediate vicinity of a drinking water supply. It is also important to note that the pH of drinking water should be lower than 9.5.

3.3 Mercury intrusion porosimetry

The results from MIP are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. From Fig. 6, it is observed that the final intruded pore volumes for sample 2 and sample 3 are almost double the volume intruded for sample 1. Also the total pore area was much larger for samples activated with sodium hydroxide. The highest $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ in the alkali activator (i.e. sample 2) led to highest total intrusion volume and total pore area (0.22 ml/g and 19 m²/g, respectively). The data comply with those found by (Nedeljkovic et al., 2018; Park et al., 2014) on alkali-activated GGBFS.
In Fig. 7 the cumulative pore volume distribution is shown. The pore size distribution of sample 2 and sample 3 are similar, while the curve shape of sample 1 is quite different. Sample 1, activated with a sodium silicate solution, shows a higher amount of pores with diameters in the range of 1 µm to 100 µm, compared to the other samples. In the range from 10 nm to 1 µm, there is almost no increase in pore volume for sample 1, resulting in lowest cumulative pore volume. The use of a sodium hydroxide solution increases the cumulative pore volume, with highest amount of pores in the range from 10 nm to 300 nm. A higher H$_2$O/Na$_2$O for a sodium hydroxide solution (i.e. sample 2) gives rise to a lower amount of pores in the range from 100 nm to 100 µm, but a higher amount of pores in the range from 10 nm to 100 nm, compared to sample 3.

For most investigated elements (i.e. $^{40}$K, Al, Ca, F, K, Na and S), a lower pore volume and pore surface area of the AAM results in lowest release upon leaching, which was the case for sample 1 activated with a sodium silicate solution. On the contrary, the release of $^{232}$Th, Cl and Si was higher for sample 1. It can be concluded that both the porosity and formation of multiple leachable and non-leachable complexes are determining factors for the release of elements from AAMs. Further investigation of the formed complexes upon alkali activation is needed to validate the hypothesis and further investigate the influence of alkali activator.
4. Conclusions

Leaching of NOR and inorganic non-radiological elements from AAMs based on GGBFS when PG was incorporated, was assessed. It is concluded that $^{238}$U, $^{226}$Ra, $^{210}$Pb, and $^{228}$Ra were retained very well. Both for $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K, a decrease in activity concentration was observed due to leaching and their release was influenced by the use of different alkali activators. The use of a sodium silicate solution gave rise to the highest $^{232}$Th leaching (19.9 ± 2.0%), while the use of sodium hydroxide solutions resulted in the highest $^{40}$K release (75.8 ± 14.1% and 70.5 ± 11.9%). Also the leaching of non-radiological elements was affected by the use of different alkali activators. In general, only a small amount of Al (0.5-0.8%), Ca (0.1-0.2%) and Si (0.1-0.3%) was mobilized, while the highest release was observed for K (56-94%), Na (49-88%) and S (71-87%). $^{40}$K showed the same leaching behavior as K and Na, while for $^{232}$Th it was concluded that the formation of multiple leachable thorium complexes was responsible for its release. The extent to which these complexes individually contribute to leaching, and the influence of the alkali activator on their formation, forms a subject of further study.

At first glance, drinking water is not endangered by leaching of NOR following the requirements of the European Drinking Water Directive. The non-radiological elements of concern in this regard are...
Al, Cl, F, Na and S, for which modelling and monitoring is required in case these AAMs are used in the immediate vicinity of a drinking water supply. Also the pH should be monitored, since its value should not exceed 9.5 for drinking water purposes. From the MIP results, it was concluded that both the porosity and formation of multiple leachable and non-leachable complexes are determining factors for the release of elements from AAMs.
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