Non-invasive cerebellar stimulation to rearrange disrupted functional networks

Kim van Dun, Mario Manto



kim.vandun@uhasselt.be





The cerebellum: The "little brain"



The cerebrum

- = "Brain"
- 2 hemispheres
- 4 lobes

The cerebellum

- = "Little brain"
- 2 hemispheres
- 3 lobes



The cerebellum: Cerebello-cerebral reciprocal connections

Numerous crossed reciprocal connections between the cerebellum and cerebrum





Pieterman et al., 2016

The cerebellum: Stimulation target

- Crossed connections between the cerebellum and the cerebrum
- Location of the posterior cerebellum right beneath the skull
- High concentration of neurons



Lent et al., 2012

Cerebellar neurostimulation: Types of stimulation

tES

= transcranial electrical stimulation



TMS

= transcranial magnetic stimulation



⇒ Capable of modulating (cerebellar) cortical excitability non-invasively

Cerebellar neurostimulation: Modeling

tDCS

1mA anode (5x5cm) over R CB Cathode (5x5cm) over right cheek



TMS

Figure-of-eight coil MMO



Bijsterbosch et al., 2012

Cerebellar neurostimulation: Effectiveness



TMS (EEG)







Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

van Dun, Mitoma, Manto, 2018

CEREBELLAR MOTOR DISORDERS

• Cerebellar ataxia (CA)

• e.g. Significant alleviation of truncal ataxia in spinocerebellar degeneration after cerebellar TMS (Shiga et al., 2002)

• Essential tremor (ET)

Acute or subacute tremor effect demonstrated in most studies (van Dun et al., 2018)

• Dystonia

 Mixed results after a single session => Studies with consecutive sessions needed (Ferrucci et al., 2016)

• Dyskinesia in Parkinson's Disease (PD)

• Promising effect of cerebellar atDCS (Ferrucci et al., 2016)

Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

van Dun, Mitoma, Manto, 2018

CEREBELLAR STROKE

Cerebellar stroke

Bonnì et al. (2014)

6 (5M, 1F) patients with posterior circulation stroke (9m-7y poststroke) 2 weeks of iTBS over the lesioned cerebellar hemisphere

Behavioral results:

- Posture and gait significantly improved

CEREBELLAR STROKE

Cerebellar stroke

Kim et al. (2014) 32 (17M, 15F) patients with posterior circulation stroke (~15days poststroke) 1 week of 1Hz rTMS over the lesioned cerebellar hemisphere Randomized sham-controlled study

Behavioral results:

- Overall walking significantly improved in the active group
- Balance improved in both groups

Cerebellar stroke

66-year-old right-handed man

- Cerebellar infarct
- Lesions in bilateral posterior lobes + mesencephalon/pons
- R occipital and L thalamic damage
- Cerebellar dysarthria



Cerebellar stroke

tDCS protocol:

- Anode over R insula
- Cathode over L insula
- 1.5mA, 20min, online (speech therapy)
- 3 weekly sessions, 16 weeks in ABAB design



Cerebellar stroke

fMRI: Speech protocol (pataka/tatata compared to rest) ~ Brendel et al. (2010)



Cerebellar stroke

tDCS protocol:

- Anode over R insula
- Cathode over L insula



- \Rightarrow Goals:
 - Restore insular equilibrium
 - Restore cerebello-cerebral connectivity



Cerebellar stroke

Behavioral results:

					<u> </u>				
Test	Baseline	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks
		of real	of rest	of sham	of rest	of real	of rest	of sham	of rest
		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS	
HN									
							_		
Fonation	5s	7s	9s	8s	10s	10s	9s	9s	-
	(-12.9SD)	(-11.4SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-10.6SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-9.8SD)	
NSVO	76%	80%	84%	78%	84%	88%	86%	90%	88%
words									
NSVO	86%	94%	91%	88%	93%	94%	93%	95%	93%
sen-									
tences									
SHI	20/60	21/60	22/60	22/60	21/60	20/60	23/60	22/60	21/60
Physical	9/20	10/20	10/20	10/20	9/20	9/20	11/20	11/20	12/20
Emotional	6/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	7/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	5/20
Functional	5/20	5/20	6/20	6/20	5/20	5/20	6/20	5/20	4/20

Legend: tDCS = transcranial direct current stimulation; MFT = maximal phonation time; NSVO

= Dutch speech comprehensibility investigation; SHI = Speech handicap index.

van Dun, 2017

Cerebellar stroke

Behavioral results:

			,		0	,			
Test	Baseline	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks
		of real	of rest	of sham	of rest	of real	of rest	of sham	of rest
		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS	
HN									
Fonation	55	75	9s	85	10s	10s	98	95	_
Tonation	(-12.9SD)	(-11.4SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-10.6SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-9.8SD)	
NSVO	76%	80%	84%	78%	84%	88%	86%	90%	88%
words									
NSVO	86%	94%	91%	88%	93%	94%	93%	95%	93%
sen-									
tences									
SHI	20/60	21/60	22/60	22/60	21/60	20/60	23/60	22/60	21/60
Physical	9/20	10/20	10/20	10/20	9/20	9/20	11/20	11/20	12/20
Emotional	6/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	7/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	5/20
Functional	5/20	5/20	6/20	6/20	5/20	5/20	6/20	5/20	4/20

Legend: tDCS = transcranial direct current stimulation; MFT = maximal phonation time; NSVO

= Dutch speech comprehensibility investigation; SHI = Speech handicap index.

Cerebellar stroke

Behavioral results:

		,			,			
Baseline	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks
	of real	of rest	of sham	of rest	of real	of rest	of sham	of rest
	tDCS		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS	
Fa	7.	0.5	9.5	10-	10-	0.5	0.5	
3S	/5	95	05			95	95	-
(-12.9SD)	(-11.4SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-10.6SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-9.8SD)	
76%	80%	84%	78%	84%	88%	86%	90%	88%
86%	94%	91%	88%	93%	94%	93%	95%	93%
20/60	21/60	22/60	22/60	21/60	20/60	23/60	22/60	21/60
9/20	10/20	10/20	10/20	9/20	9/20	11/20	11/20	12/20
6/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	7/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	5/20
1 5/20	5/20	6/20	6/20	5/20	5/20	6/20	5/20	4/20
1	Baseline 5s (-12.9SD) 76% 86% 20/60 9/20 6/20 5/20	Baseline 2 weeks of real tDCS 5s 7s (-12.9SD) (-11.4SD) 76% 80% 86% 94% 20/60 21/60 9/20 10/20 6/20 6/20 5/20 5/20	Baseline 2 weeks of real tDCS 2 weeks of rest tDCS 5s 7s 9s (-12.9SD) (-11.4SD) (-9.8SD) 76% 80% 84% 86% 94% 91% 20/60 21/60 22/60 9/20 10/20 10/20 6/20 6/20 6/20 5/20 5/20 6/20	Baseline 2 weeks of real tDCS 2 weeks of rest tDCS 2 weeks of sham tDCS 5s 7s 9s 8s (-12.9SD) (-11.4SD) (-9.8SD) (-10.6SD) 76% 80% 84% 78% 86% 94% 91% 88% 20/60 21/60 22/60 22/60 9/20 10/20 10/20 10/20 6/20 6/20 6/20 6/20 5/20 5/20 6/20 6/20	Baseline2 weeks of real tDCS2 weeks of rest2 weeks of sham tDCS2 weeks of rest of rest $5s$ $7s$ $9s$ $8s$ $10s$ (-12.9SD)(-11.4SD) 76% (-9.8SD) 80% (-10.6SD) 84% (-9.1SD) 78% 86% 94% 91% 88% 93% 86% 94% 91% 88% 93% $20/60$ $21/60$ $22/60$ $22/60$ $10/20$ $21/60$ $9/20$ $21/60$ $10/20$ $9/20$ $10/20$ $6/20$ $10/20$ $6/20$ $9/20$ $5/20$ $6/20$ $5/20$	Baseline2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks2 weeks0 f real0 f real10 f realtDCStDCStDCStDCStDCStDCStDCStDCS $(-12.9SD)$ (-11.4SD)(-9.8SD)(-10.6SD)(-9.1SD)(-9.1SD)76%80%84%78%84%88%86%94%91%88%93%94%20/6021/6022/6022/6021/6020/609/2010/2010/2010/209/209/206/206/206/206/206/206/205/205/206/206/205/205/205/20	Baseline 2 weeks 0 f real o f real o f rest o f real o f rest o f rest	Baseline 2 weeks 0 f real of real of rest of sham tDCS 10s 0 f rest of sham tDCS 5s 7s 9s 8s 10s 10s 9s 9s 9s (-12.9SD) (-11.4SD) (-9.8SD) (-10.6SD) (-9.1SD) (-9.8SD) (-9.8SD) (-9.8SD) 76% 80% 84% 78% 84% 88% 86% 90% 86% 94% 91% 88% 93% 94% 93% 95% 20/60 21/60 22/60 21/60 21/60 23/60 22/60 9/20 10/20 10/20 10/20 9/20 9/20 11/20 11/20 6

Legend: tDCS = transcranial direct current stimulation; MFT = maximal phonation time; NSVO

= Dutch speech comprehensibility investigation; SHI = Speech handicap index.

van Dun, 2017

Cerebellar stroke

Behavioral results:

			,		U	,			
Test	Baseline	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks	2 weeks
		of real	of rest	of sham	of rest	of real	of rest	of sham	of rest
		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS		tDCS	
HN									
Fonation	55	75	95	85	10s	10s	95	95	-
1 onution	(-12.9SD)	(-11.4SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-10.6SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.1SD)	(-9.8SD)	(-9.8SD)	
NSVO	76%	80%	84%	78%	84%	88%	86%	90%	88%
words									
NSVO	86%	94%	91%	88%	93%	94%	93%	95%	93%
sen-									
tences									
SHI	20/60	21/60	22/60	22/60	21/60	20/60	23/60	22/60	21/60
Physical	9/20	10/20	10/20	10/20	9/20	9/20	11/20	11/20	12/20
Emotional	6/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	7/20	6/20	6/20	6/20	5/20
Functiona	<i>l</i> 5/20	5/20	6/20	6/20	5/20	5/20	6/20	5/20	4/20

Legend: tDCS = transcranial direct current stimulation; MFT = maximal phonation time; NSVO

= Dutch speech comprehensibility investigation; SHI = Speech handicap index.

Cerebellar stroke

fMRI results:

- After > Before tDCS



Cerebellar stroke

Bilateral damage in the cerebellum resulting in cerebellar dysarthria Asymmetrical insular activations (L > R) R anodal stimulation over insular region, cathode over L insular region More activation directly under anode and subcortically Some improvement in speech intelligibility

Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

van Dun, Mitoma, Manto, 2018

Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

68-year-old right-handed man

- Subcortical hemorrhage in left basal ganglia
- Hypokinetic dysarthria
- Old extensive lesion in right frontal and left parietal area



Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

tDCS protocol:

- Anode over L CB
- Cathode over R CB
- 2mA, 20min, online (speech training)
- 3 weekly sessions, 9 weeks





Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

Results:

- Speech intelligibility



Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

Results:



Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage



Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

Speech:

- Speech intelligibility markedly improved but no clear indication for the added value of tDCS
- No change in intonation or speech/articulation rate
- Possible effect on pauses during reading after 3 weeks of tDCS

Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

fMRI: Speech protocol (pataka/tatata compared to rest) ~ Brendel et al. (2010)



fMRI pre stimulation



fMRI post stimulation



Subcortical or extensive bilateral cerebral cortical damage

fMRI:

- Left anodal stimulation appeared to inhibit right motor activations during speech

- Right cathodal stimulation appeared to excite left motor activations during speech

- Cerebellar stimulation primarily affected bilateral prefrontal areas

⇒ Neurophysiological mechanisms of cerebellar stimulation still poorly understood

Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

van Dun, Mitoma, Manto, 2018

CEREBELLO-CEREBRAL NETWORK DISORDER

Disorders caused/accompanied by cerebello-cerebral network anomalies

- Neuropsychiatric diseases (Schizophrenia, bipolar disorder)
- Neurodegenerative diseases (Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, ...)

Clinical applications of cerebellar stimulation

- Cerebellar motor disorders
- Cerebellar stroke
- Subcortical stroke
- Cerebello-cerebral network disorder
- Neurodevelopmental disorders

Why cerebellar stimulation? NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS

CEREBELLUM implicated in

- Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD)
- Dyslexia
- Autism
- Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

Why cerebellar stimulation? Adults vs Children

CEREBELLAR DAMAGE

<u>Adults</u>:

- Subtle effect on acquired skills
- Most pronounced in acquisition/learning process

Why cerebellar stimulation? Adults vs Children

CEREBELLAR DAMAGE

<u>Adults</u>:

- Subtle effect on acquired skills
- Most pronounced in acquisition/learning process

<u>Children</u> (acquired and developmental damage):

- Great impact on cognitive and behavioral functions
- Rare improvement with conventional therapy

Cerebellar stimulation: Future directions

Systematic studies needed to investigate the specific impact of

Different stimulation parameters

- Type, timing, and area of stimulation
- Intensity/duration/...

Difference TMS and tDCS/tACS

- Different working mechanisms

Cerebellar involvement in neuroplasticity and functional networks

- How exactly is the cerebellum involved in spontaneous recovery and the functional network





Conclusion

- TMS and tDCS over the cerebellum are capable of modulating cortical functions through cerebello-cerebral connections, which might be useful to restore functional connectivity in a stroke population (e.g. case study of patient with subcortical stroke)
- Cerebellum is involved in several neurodegenerative, neuropsychiatric, and neurodevelopmental disorders, which makes it an interesting target for stimulation as a therapeutic aid

HOWEVER

 More research is needed to investigate the specific impact of cerebellar stimulation parameters on cerebellar excitability and cortical functions, and, more specifically, on functional connectivity

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Questions: kim.vandun@uhasselt.be

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bijsterbosch, J. D., Barker, A. T., Lee, K.-H., & Woodruff, P. W. R. (2012). Where does transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) stimulate? Modelling of induced field maps for some common cortical and cerebellar targets. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, 50(7), 671–681.

Bonnì, S., Ponzo, V., Caltagirone, C., & Koch, G. (2014). Cerebellar theta burst stimulation in stroke patients with ataxia. *Functional Neurology*, 29(1), 41–45. Ferrucci R, Bocci T, Cortese F, Ruggiero F, & Priori A. (2016). Cerebellar transcranial direct current stimulation in neurological disease. *Cerebellum & Ataxias*, 3, 16.

Brendel, B., Hertrich, I., Erb, M., Lindner, A., Riecker, A., Grodd, W., & Ackermann, H. (2010). The contribution of mesiofrontal cortex to the preparation and execution of repetitive syllable productions: An fMRI study. *NeuroImage*, *50*(3), 1219–1230.

Galea, J. M., Jayaram, G., Ajagbe, L., & Celnik, P. (2009). Modulation of Cerebellar Excitability by Polarity-Specific Noninvasive Direct Current Stimulation. Journal of Neuroscience, 29(28), 9115–9122.

Kim, W., Jung, S., Oh, M., Min, Y., Lim, J., & Paik, N. (2014). Effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the cerebellum on patients with ataxia after posterior circulation stroke: A pilot study. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 46(5), 418–423.

Lent, R., Azevedo, F. A. C., Andrade-Moraes, C. H., & Pinto, A. V. O. (2012). How many neurons do you have? Some dogmas of quantitative neuroscience under revision: Neuroscience dogmas and brain cell numbers. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(1), 1–9.

Mariën, P., De Smet, H. J., Wijgerde, E., Verhoeven, J., Crols, R., & De Deyn, P. P. (2013). Posterior fossa syndrome in adults: A new case and comprehensive survey of the literature. *Cortex*, 49(1), 284–300.

Pieterman, K., Batalle, D., Dudink, J., Tournier, J.-D., Hughes, E. J., Barnett, M., ... Counsell, S. J. (2017). Cerebello-cerebral connectivity in the developing brain. Brain Structure and Function, 222(4), 1625–1634.

Rampersad, S. M., Janssen, A. M., Lucka, F., Aydin, Ü., Lanfer, B., Lew, S., ... Oostendorp, T. F. (2014). Simulating transcranial direct current stimulation with a detailed anisotropic human head model. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 22(3), 441–452.

Shiga, Y., Tsuda, T., Itoyama, Y., Shimizu, H., Miyazawa, K., Jin, K., & Yamazaki, T. (2002). Transcranial magnetic stimulation alleviates truncal ataxia in spinocerebellar degeneration. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 72, 124–126.

van Dun, K., Bodranghien, F., Manto, M., & Mariën, P. (2017). Targeting the Cerebellum by Noninvasive Neurostimulation: A Review. *The Cerebellum*, 16(3), 695–741.

van Dun, K. (2018). The cerebellum and planning: the role of the cerebellum in speech and writing movements (doctoral dissertation).

van Dun, K., & Manto, M. (2018). Non-invasive Cerebellar Stimulation: Moving Towards Clinical Applications for Cerebellar and Extra-Cerebellar Disorders. *The Cerebellum*, 17(3), 259–263.

van Dun, K., Mitoma, H., & Manto, M. (2018). Cerebellar Cortex as a Therapeutic Target for Neurostimulation. *The Cerebellum*, 17(6), 777–787.

van Dun, K., Overwalle, F. V., Manto, M., & Marien, P. (2018). Cognitive Impact of Cerebellar Damage: Is There a Future for Cognitive Rehabilitation? CNS & Neurological Disorders - Drug Targets, 17(3), 199–206.