## Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Counterexamples to a conjecture of Las Vergnas

Non Peer-reviewed author version

BRIJDER, Robert & Hoogeboom, Hendrik Jan (2020) Counterexamples to a conjecture of Las Vergnas. In: European Journal of Combinatorics, 89 (Art N° 103141).

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejc.2020.103141

Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/32747

## Counterexamples to a conjecture of Las Vergnas

Robert Brijder<sup>1</sup> and Hendrik Jan Hoogeboom<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hasselt University, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Leiden University, The Netherlands

## Abstract

We present counterexamples to a 30-year-old conjecture of Las Vergnas [J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 1988] regarding the Tutte polynomial of binary matroids.

Based on an evaluation established for the Tutte polynomial of plane graphs on (3,3), Michel Las Vergnas made three conjectures in [LV88], in increasing strength, regarding the Tutte polynomial of binary matroids. The first and weakest of these [LV88, Conjecture 4.1] was proved in [Jae89] and in a more general setting in [Bou91].

**Theorem 1** ([Jae89, Bou91]). For every binary matroid M, the value  $T_M(3,3)/T_M(-1,-1)$  is an odd integer.

We remark that, for a binary matroid M,  $T_M(-1,-1)=(-1)^{|E(M)|}(-2)^{b(M)}$ , where b(M) is the dimension of the bicycle space of M [RR78, Theorem 9.1]. The other two conjectures remained open for a long time (and were recalled again in 2004 in [ELV04]). It was shown by Gordon Royle [Roy13] that  $M(K_8)$  is a counterexample to the third and strongest of the three conjectures [LV88, Conjecture 4.3]. In fact, an exhaustive search using the dataset of binary matroids with at most 15 elements of [FW11] reveals several more counterexamples.

We now state the second conjecture [LV88, Conjecture 4.2], which is stronger than the first and weaker than the third conjecture.

Conjecture 2 ([LV88]). For every binary matroid M and every integer z, the value  $T_M(-1 + 4z, -1 + 4z)/T_M(-1, -1)$  is an odd integer.

Thus Theorem 1 corresponds to the value z=1 in Conjecture 2. It turns out that  $M(K_8)$  is not a counterexample to this conjecture. Also, an exhaustive search using the above-mentioned dataset of [FW11] reveals no counterexample to this conjecture. Consequently, any counterexample has at least 16 elements. In fact, for each binary matroid M with less than 16 elements,  $Q_M(z) := T_N(-1+4z,-1+4z)/T_N(-1,-1)$  turns out to have only integer coefficients. This, together with the fact that both  $Q_M(0)=1$  and  $Q_M(1)$  are odd (the latter by Theorem 1), implies that  $Q_M(z)$  is an odd integer for all integers z.

Using SageMath [Sage] we found that the binary matroid G with 24 elements corresponding to the extended binary Golay code (see, e.g., the appendix of [Oxl11] for a definition) is a counterexample to Conjecture 2. Moreover, the rank-6 minor N of G with 18 elements having the following reduced representation over GF(2)

is another counterexample. Indeed, N has the following Tutte polynomial  $T_N(x,y)$ 

$$y^{12} + 6y^{11} + 21y^{10} + 56y^9 + 126y^8 + 252y^7 + x^6 + 45xy^5 + 462y^6 + 12x^5 + 6x^4y + 225xy^4 + 747y^5 + 72x^4 + 111x^3y + 240x^2y^2 + 675xy^3 + 1017y^4 + 247x^3 + 591x^2y + 1095xy^2 + 1057y^3 + 417x^2 + 909xy + 723y^2 + 231x + 231y.$$

We have 
$$T_N(-1, -1) = 2^6$$
 and  $Q_N(z) = T_N(-1 + 4z, -1 + 4z)/T_N(-1, -1)$  is equal to 
$$262144z^{12} - 393216z^{11} + 344064z^{10} - 180224z^9 + 73728z^8 - 18432z^7 + 8320z^6 - 1248z^5 + 2616z^4 - 1012z^3 + \frac{195}{2}z^2 - \frac{15}{2}z + 1.$$

Consequently,  $Q_N(z)$  is even for  $z \in \{-2, -1, 2\}$ , contradicting Conjecture 2.

Finally, the self-dual (but not identically self-dual) rank-9 minor N' of G having the following reduced representation over GF(2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is yet another counterexample with 18 elements.

**Acknowledgements**. While SageMath is a collaborative project, we especially would like to thank Stefan van Zwam and Rudi Pendavingh for developing SageMath's matroid theory module, which we used extensively in our calculations. R.B. is a postdoctoral fellow of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO).

## References

- [Bou91] A. Bouchet. Tutte-Martin polynomials and orienting vectors of isotropic systems.  $Graphs\ and\ Combinatorics,\ 7(3):235-252,\ 1991.$
- [ELV04] G. Etienne and M. Las Vergnas. The Tutte polynomial of a morphism of matroids III. Vectorial matroids. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 32:198–211, 2004.
- [FW11] H. Fripertinger and M. Wild. A catalogue of small regular matroids and their Tutte polynomials, 2011. arXiv:1107.1403. Dataset at imsc.uni-graz.at/fripertinger/html/matroids/matroide\_neu.html. Retrieved: July 24, 2018.
- [Jae89] F. Jaeger. On Tutte polynomials of matroids representable over GF(q). European Journal of Combinatorics, 10:247–255, 1989.
- [LV88] M. Las Vergnas. On the evaluation at (3,3) of the Tutte polynomial of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 45(3):367–372, 1988.

- [Oxl11] J. Oxley. Matroid theory, Second Edition. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [Roy13] G. Royle. A Las Vergnas conjecture, 2013. URL symomega.wordpress.com/2013/06/30/a-las-vergnas-conjecture/.
- [RR78] P. Rosenstiehl and R. Read. On the principal edge tripartition of a graph. In B. Bollobás, editor, Advances in Graph Theory, volume 3 of Annals of Discrete Mathematics, pages 195–226. Elsevier, 1978.
- $[Sage] \hspace{0.5cm} \textit{SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System. } \textbf{URL sagemath.org.}$