


Dactylogyridae 2022: a meta-analysis of phylogenetic studies and generic diagnoses of parasitic flatworms using published genetic and morphological data

Non Peer-reviewed author version

KMENTOVA, Nikol; CRUZ LAUFER, Armando; Pariselle, Antoine; SMEETS, Karen; ARTOIS, Tom & VANHOVE, Maarten (2022) Dactylogyridae 2022: a meta-analysis of phylogenetic studies and generic diagnoses of parasitic flatworms using published genetic and morphological data. In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR PARASITOLOGY, 52 (7) , p. 427 -457.

DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2022.01.003

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/37330>



Highlights

- Phylogenetic reconstruction of dactylogyrid monogeneans based on three ribosomal gene portions revealed two well-supported lineages and 16 well-supported clades.
- Re-evaluation of Dactylogyrinae and Ancyrocephalinae.
- Baseline multi-locus alignment to infer the phylogenetic position of new species and genera within Dactylogyridae.

Dactylogyridae 2022: a meta-analysis of phylogenetic studies and generic diagnoses of parasitic flatworms using published genetic and morphological data

Nikol Kmentová^{1,2*†}, Armando J. Cruz-Laufer^{2*}, Antoine Pariselle^{3,4}, Karen Smeets², Tom Artois², Maarten P. M. Vanhove^{1,2}

¹ Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno, Czech Republic

² Research Group Zoology: Biodiversity and Toxicology, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, UHasselt – Hasselt University, Agoralaan Gebouw D, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

³ ISEM, CNRS, Université de Montpellier, IRD, Montpellier, France

⁴ Laboratory “Biodiversity, Ecology and Genome”, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Faculty of Sciences, 4 avenue Ibn Batouta, BP 1014, Rabat, Morocco

12

***Authors contributed equally**

†Corresponding author: nikol.kmentova@uhasselt.be

15

Abstract

Dactylogyridae are one of the most studied families of parasitic flatworms with more than 1000 species and 166 genera described to date including ecto- and endoparasites. Dactylogyrid monogeneans were suggested as model organisms for host-parasite macroevolutionary and biogeographical studies due to the scientific and economic importance of some of their host lineages. Consequently, an array of phylogenetic research into different dactylogyrid lineages has been produced over the past years but the last family-wide study was published 16 years ago. Here, we provide a meta-analysis of the phylogenetic relationships of Dactylogyridae including representatives of all genera with available molecular data (n=67). First, we investigate the systematic informativeness of morphological characters widely used to diagnose dactylogyrid genera through a parsimony analysis of the characters, character mapping, and phylogenetic comparative methods. Second, we provide an overview of the current state of the systematics of the family

and its subfamilies, and summarise potentially poly- and paraphyletic genera. Third, we elaborate on the implications of taxonomic, citation, and confirmation bias in past studies. Fourth, we discuss host range, biogeographical, and freshwater-marine patterns. We found two well-supported macroclades which we assigned to the subfamilies Dactylogyrinae and Ancyrocephalinae. These subfamilies further include 16 well-supported clades with only few synapomorphies that could be deduced from generic diagnoses in the literature. Furthermore, few morphological characters considered systematically informative at the genus level display a strong phylogenetic signal. Yet the parsimony analysis suggests that these characters provide little information on the relationships between genera. We conclude that a strong taxonomic bias and low coverage of DNA sequences and regions limit knowledge on morphological and biogeographical evolutionary patterns that can be inferred from these results. We propose addressing potential citation and confirmation biases through a 'level playing field' multiple-sequence alignment as provided by this study.

Keywords: Monogenea, parasitic flatworms, biogeography, host-parasite interactions

Data availability statement

Phylogenetic trees and DNA alignments are openly available in TreeBase at <https://treebase.org>, accession number XXXXXX.

1. Introduction

Dactylogyridae (Monopisthocotylea, Monogenea, Neodermata) are one of the most studied neodermatan families with more than 1000 species described to date in 166 genera (Horton et al., 2021). The majority of dactylogyrid species are ectoparasites infecting the gills of fishes, but some are ectoparasitic on the skin (e.g., *Uroleioides falx* Zago, Yamada, De Oliveira Fadel Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & da Silva, 2020) or endoparasites. The latter can be found in the urinary system (e.g. species of *Urogyrus* Bilong Bilong, Birgi & Euzet, 1994 and *Acolpenteron* Fischthal & Allison, 1940) (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009; Fayton and Kritsky, 2013), in different regions of the digestive tract (e.g., species of *Enterogyrus* Paperna, 1963, *Paradiplectanotrema* Gerasev, Gayevskaya & Kovaleva, 1987, *Pseudempleurosoma* Yamaguti, 1965) (Luus-Powell et al., 2020) and nasal cavities (e.g., species of *Pavanelliella* and *Susanlimocotyle*) (Kritsky & Mendoza-Franco, 2003; Soares et al., 2021). Representatives of dactylogyrid monogeneans infect a broad range of fish hosts from a number of teleost taxa including Anabantiformes, Anguilliformes, Aulopiformes, Centrarchiformes, Characiformes, Chaetodontiformes, Cichliformes, Clupeiformes, Cypriniformes, Ehippiformes, Gerreiformes, Gobiiformes, Gymnotiformes, Holocentriformes, Lutjaniformes, Mugiliformes, Perciformes, Syngnathiformes, Siluriformes, and Tetraodontiformes. The known distribution covers all biogeographic realms worldwide including the Arctic and Antarctic regions (Beverley-Burton, 1995; Rohde et al., 1998; Luque et al., 2017; Scholz et al., 2018; Kuchta et al., 2020). Due to the tremendous species richness and various levels of host specificity, dactylogyrid monogeneans have been proposed as models to study general mechanisms of host-parasite interactions and distribution patterns of their hosts. So far, they have been used to study phylogenetic relationships (Benovics et al., 2017), biogeographical history (Boeger and Kritsky, 2003; Benovics et al., 2020b), anthropogenic introductions (Kmentová et al., 2019; Jorissen et al., 2020; Ondračková et al., 2021), and population structure (Kmentová et al., 2020) of the hosts. Moreover, cases of co-divergence on a host radiation have been reported for several dactylogyrid lineages (Vanhove et al., 2015; Benovics et al., 2020b; Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021b). Host biogeography and diversification patterns are key determinants of the current distribution of dactylogyrid monogeneans (Šimková et al., 2003; Braga et al., 2014). Host repertoires were also reported to correlate with clades in the host phylogeny especially within younger parasite lineages (Braga et al., 2015). These

81 patterns have to be seen in the context of oscillation of host repertoires (Janz and Nylin, 2008). As
82 suggested by Brooks et al. (2019), oscillating host repertoires are enabled by ecological opportunities
83 emerging from the rise and fall of ecological barriers (D’Bastiani et al., 2020) and ecological fitting as the
84 capacity to infect new host species (Agosta et al., 2010).

85 Despite the versatility of dactylogyrid research, almost two decades have passed since the last assessment
86 of dactylogyrid evolutionary history as a whole by Šimková et al. (2006). Biases in the selection of taxa and
87 molecular markers potentially mask macroevolutionary patterns within dactylogyrids. For instance,
88 phylogenetic relationships are mostly inferred from subsets of taxa with DNA sequences available even
89 though nowadays molecular data frequently accompany new descriptions of monogenean species and/or
90 genera. Many taxonomic studies on dactylogyrid monogeneans have also targeted specific host taxa or
91 geographic regions, e.g., species infecting siluriform fishes in the Amazon (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015,
92 2020) or Central America (Salgado-Maldonado, 2008), as well as host-parasite model systems, e.g., African
93 cichlids and species of *Cichlidogyrus* (reviewed in Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021a). Furthermore, confirmation and
94 citation paradigms might play in monogenean research. Confirmation biases arise from prior expectations
95 of researchers driven by taxon selection, a problem that affects phylogenetic studies in general (see Jermin
96 et al., 2020). Citation bias emerges when the probability to be cited depends on the study outcome
97 (positive vs. negative results) (Urlings et al., 2021) or author-related factors such as reputation (Bol et al.,
98 2018), gender (Dworkin et al., 2020), and country of origin (Van der Stocken et al., 2016). The latter might
99 affect the visibility of monogenean research especially from low-income countries, where most biodiversity
100 hotspots of fish and their parasites are found (Jorge and Poulin, 2018).

101 Dactylogyridae *sensu lato* currently comprise 166 genera (Horton et al., 2021). However, two different
102 designations have been used interchangeably for species belonging to this lineage: Dactylogyridae
103 Bychowsky, 1933 and Ancyrocephalidae Bychowsky, 1937. Moreover, the status of several other families
104 (Fig. 1a,b) has been put into question due to morphological similarities and phylogenetic relationships with
105 members of Dactylogyridae (Kritsky and Boeger, 1989; Bilong Bilong et al., 1994; Boeger and Kritsky, 2001;
106 Lim et al., 2001; Šimková et al., 2006; Galli and Kritsky, 2008; Kritsky et al., 2009; Fayton and Kritsky, 2013;
107 Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015). A morphological assessment and cladistic study of Kritsky and Boeger

(1989) proposed Ancyrocephalidae *sensu* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1978 as a junior synonym of Dactylogyridae and further suggested nine subfamilies for Dactylogyridae: Anacanthorinae Price, 1967, Ancylo-discoidinae Gussev, 1961, Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937, Dactylogyrinae Bychowsky, 1937, Linguadactylinae Bychowsky, 1957, Linguadactyloidinae Thatcher & Kritsky, 1983, Hareocephalinae Young, 1968, Heterotesiinae Euzet & Dossou, 1979, and Pseudodactylogyrinae Ogawa, 1986. Yet the subfamily Ancyrocephalinae (Šimková et al., 2006; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015) is likely polyphyletic as members of Ancylo-discoidinae appear to be nested in this group (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015). The family Dactylogyridae is in need of a taxonomic revision.

Traditionally, partial DNA sequences of the nuclear ribosomal subunit genes together with internal transcribed spacers have been used for phylogenetic reconstructions of monogenean and neodermatan lineages similar to other metazoan taxa (Jamy et al., 2020). The multiple copy nature of the nuclear rDNA operon facilitates amplification for Sanger sequencing and next-generation sequencing as the initial amount of DNA in these rather small organisms is often low (Strona et al., 2009). Studies deviate regarding the exact region used for phylogenetic reconstruction, e.g., some studies only used a portion of the large (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015) or the small (e.g., Soares et al., 2021) subunit rDNA genes. This inconsistency results in considerable gaps in multi-gene alignments and, hence, reduces the comparability of DNA sequence data across studies. Only recently, studies have incorporated mitochondrial DNA regions into macroevolutionary analyses (Zago et al., 2020, 2021; Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021b). These sequences can increase resolution for recently diverged lineages because of their extremely low rate of recombination, maternal inheritance, and fast substitution rate (Hwang and Kim, 1999; Carvalho-Silva et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Nicolas et al., 2020).

Here, we aim to elucidate patterns of molecular evolution in Dactylogyridae by maximising the number of genera included in the phylogenetic reconstruction (meta-analysis) to minimise the bias towards certain lineages and geographic regions. To elucidate phylogenetic relationships across evolutionary time scales, we employ a range of ribosomal and mitochondrial markers with different rates of molecular evolution. We further identify dactylogyrid lineages that should be the target of future taxonomic revisions based on the

polyphylies and and paraphylies suggested by our analyses, and provide a new baseline multi-gene alignment for future taxonomic and phylogenetic studies on this parasite family.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sequence selection and taxon coverage

Molecular data were obtained from GenBank (Clark et al., 2016). We searched for species of all genera that have so far been assigned to Ancylo-discoididae, Ancyrocephalidae, Dactylogyridae, Protygyrodactylidae, and Pseudodactylogyridae currently listed within Dactylogyridea in the WORMS database (Horton et al., 2021). We selected only sequences released in peer-reviewed publications to assure that species identity and sequence quality had been verified. With the selected sequences, we compiled a three-locus concatenated multiple alignment including fragments of the large (28S rDNA) and small (18S rDNA) subunit ribosomal DNA, the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1). The taxon coverage was highest for 28S rDNA but, for some genera, we found only 18S rDNA or ITS1 sequences e.g., *Pavanelliella* Kritsky & Boeger, 1998, *Susanlimocotyle* Soares, Domingues & Adriano, 2020, and *Thylacicleidus* Wheeler & Klassen, 1988. We also assembled an alignment for the mitochondrial gene coding for the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI). Sequences of COI mtDNA were only selected for specimens with a corresponding 28S rDNA sequence. However, we excluded the COI sequence of *Urocleidoides tenuis* Zago, Yamada, De Oliveira Fadel Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & da Silva, 2020 (GenBank accession number: MT594475) as this sequence was almost identical to a sequence of *Characithecium parapanemense* Zago, Franceschini, Abdallah, Müller, Azevedo & da Silva, 2021. Despite both sequences being published by some of the same authors the sequence of *U. tenuis* was omitted in the study on *C. parapanemense* (Zago et al., 2021) unlike those of other species of *Urocleidoides* Mizelle and Price, 1964 letting us doubt its reliability. Species of *Calceostoma* Van Beneden, 1858, *Neocalceostoma* Tripathi, 1959, *Neotetraonchus* Bravo-Hollis, 1968, and *Synodontella* Dossou & Euzet, 1993 were omitted as the available DNA sequences (Justine et al., 2002; Hayward et al., 2007; Raphahlelo et al., 2016; Mendoza-Franco et al., 2018) were unusually short or non-alignable. For genera with more than three species with available sequences, we only included two to three specimens to reflect the major clades of the genus based on previous phylogenetic studies including for *Anacanthorus* Mizelle & Price, 1965, *Ameloblastella* Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000, *Bravohollisia* Bychowsky &

161 Nagibina, 1970, *Characidotrema* Mendoza-Franco, Reina & Torchin, 2009, *Chauhanellus* Bychowsky &
 162 Nagibina, 1968, *Cichlidogyrus* Paperna, 1960, *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850, *Euryhaliotrema* Kritsky & Boeger,
 163 2002, *Haliotrematoides* Kritsky, Yang & Sun, 2009, *Hamatopeduncularia* Yamaguti, 1953, *Heteropriapulus*
 164 Kritsky, 2007, *Lethrinitrema* Lim & Justine, 2011, *Ligophorus* Euzet & Suriano, 1977, *Metahaliotrema*
 165 Yamaguti, 1953, *Nanayella* Acosta, Mendoza-Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019, *Quadriacanthus* Paperna,
 166 1961, *Scutogyrus* Pariselle & Euzet, 1995, *Thaparocleidus* Jain, 1952, and *Urocleidoides* Mizelle & Price,
 167 1964 (Wu et al., 2007, 2008; Blasco-Costa et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2014; García-Vásquez et al., 2015; Acosta
 168 et al., 2017, 2019; Francová et al., 2017; Moreira et al., 2019b; Řehulková et al., 2019; Soo, 2019; Zago et
 169 al., 2020; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2020; Soo and Tan, 2021; Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021b). If possible, the type
 170 species of each genus was included. Full genus and species names including authorities can be found in
 171 Table 1.

172 2.2. Phylogenetic analyses of molecular data

173 We aligned DNA sequences using the L-INS-I algorithm in *MAFFT* v7.409 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) as
 174 recommended for ribosomal DNA by the *MAFFT* manual, and removed poorly aligned positions and
 175 divergent regions with *Gblocks* v0.91b using the options for less stringent parameters (Talavera and
 176 Castresana, 2007). The 18S rDNA alignment contained sequences that only covered an anterior part of the
 177 alignment, i.e., members of *Chauhanellus*, *Cornudiscoides*, *Hamatopeduncularia*, and *Tetrancistrum*, a
 178 majority which covered the posterior parts, and some sequences that covered the entirety of the
 179 alignment. As, otherwise, *Gblocks* removes the anterior part (results not shown), we opted to split the
 180 alignment in two parts allowing us to trim both parts in *Gblocks* separately. We partitioned the DNA
 181 sequence data by gene for 28S and ITS1, by the anterior/posterior part for 18S, and by codon for COI and
 182 selected the substitution models for each partition according to the Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
 183 through partition merging (Chernomor et al., 2016) as implemented in *ModelFinder* in *IQ-Tree*
 184 (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) (Table 1). For subsequent Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses, we only selected
 185 models implemented in *MrBayes* v3.2.6 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) (Table 2).

186 We estimated tree topologies through Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) methods
 187 applied to the individual loci and on the concatenated dataset using *MrBayes* v3.2.6 (Ronquist and
 188 Huelsenbeck, 2003) on the CIPRES Science Gateway online server (Miller et al., 2010) and *IQ-Tree* v1.6.12
 189 (Nguyen et al., 2015). Species belonging to Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903 were used to root the
 190 phylogenetic trees due to their well-documented sister relationship with dactylogyrid monogeneans
 191 (Mollaret et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2020). For BI analyses, we used two parallel runs and four chains of
 192 Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations, ran 100 million generations with a burn-in
 193 fraction of 0.25, and sampled the trees every 1000th generation. We checked convergence criteria by
 194 assessing the average standard deviation of split frequencies (< 0.01 in all datasets) and the effective
 195 sample size (> 200) using *Tracer* v1.7. For ML analyses (Rambaut et al., 2018). We estimated branch support
 196 values using ultrafast bootstrap approximation (Hoang et al., 2018) and Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like
 197 approximate likelihood ratio tests (SH-aLRT) (Guindon et al., 2010) with 1000 replicates following the
 198 recommendations of the *IQ-Tree* manual. We considered nodes with a BI posterior probability (PP) ≥ 0.95 ,
 199 ultrafast bootstrap values (UFBoot) ≥ 95 , and SH-aLRT statistic ≥ 80 as well-supported (Hoang et al., 2018).

200 To compare the resulting tree topologies, we inferred the congruence between the BI and ML
 201 concatenated trees as well as the maximum parsimony tree (see below) using the Congruence Among
 202 Distance Matrices (CADM) test (Legendre and Lapointe, 2004; Campbell et al., 2011). We calculated
 203 phylogenetic pairwise distance matrices for each tree and to conduct the CADM test on the resulting
 204 matrices using the package *ape* v5.3 (Paradis and Schliep, 2019) in *R* v4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2021).

205 2.3. Ecological and biogeographical characterisation of clades and phylogenetic support in previous studies

206 Based on a survey of peer-reviewed literature, we characterised all clades in our tree (Fig. 2) according to
 207 their synapomorphies, host repertoire, occurrence in freshwater or marine habitats, and geographical
 208 distribution limited to the species included in the phylogenetic analysis (Table 2). We also reviewed the
 209 support from previous phylogenetic studies to assess the stability of the clades in phylogenetic
 210 reconstructions. For the host classification, we followed Betancur-R. et al. (2007). Habitat preferences of
 211 the hosts (marine vs. freshwater) and geographical distribution were inferred from FishBase (Froese and

212 Pauly, 2000). The latter was defined as biogeographic realms according to Olson and Dinerstein (1998) and
213 Spalding et al. (2007). Finally, we accessed information on the family-affiliation of all genera belonging to
214 the order Dactylogyridea from the WoRMS database (Horton et al., 2021) to infer temporal trends in the
215 description of novel genera in this taxon (see Fig. 1).

216 2.4. Molecular vs. morphological phylogeny

217 To infer the systematic informativeness of morphological features mentioned in generic diagnoses, we
218 assessed the congruence and compared the resolution of the phylogenies produced by the molecular and
219 morphological characters (see Table 2). We inferred morphological characters from the respective original
220 and emended generic diagnoses (Supporting Information S1). Character states reported diagnostic for the
221 genus were treated as equivalent to the character state of the species due to the absence of the characters
222 in a majority of species descriptions. Some of the characters were excluded from the analyses such as
223 stable characters (e.g., ‘subterminal and midventral’ position of mouth are reported for all genera analysed
224 here), characters with no comprehensive definition (e.g., the vitellaria are reported as ‘scattered through
225 trunk’ or ‘coextensive with gut’, yet the presence/absence in the region of other reproductive organs being
226 rarely mentioned; the position of the vagina is reported as ‘dextroventral and submidventral’, ‘sinistrally
227 submedian’, or ‘dextromarginal’, characterisations that designed to reflect a range, which are challenging
228 to code as unambiguous discrete characters) or characters being mentioned only in few out of the total
229 number of generic diagnoses included (e.g., the oviduct). We conducted a CADM test (see above) on the
230 molecular and morphological tree topologies estimated under maximum parsimony using *TNT* v1.5
231 (Goloboff et al., 2008b; Goloboff and Catalano, 2016).

232 For the parsimony analyses in *TNT*, we used extended implied weighting (Goloboff, 2014) in a range of
233 values for the concavity constant *K* (20, 21, 23, 26, 30, 35, 41, 48, 56). Unlike in the original implied
234 weighting method (Goloboff, 1993), extended implied weighting avoids weighting characters with missing
235 data artificially high (Goloboff, 2014). We also tested different weighting schemes for the molecular
236 characters as suggested by Mirande (2019). Molecular characters were weighted separately (SEP) like the
237 morphological characters or by the average homoplasy of their partition (BLK) as suggested by Goloboff et

al. (2008a). For COI mtDNA sequences, we also weighted characters by their codon position (COD). The final phylogenetic hypotheses were inferred from the parameters (k and weighting scheme) that produced the most stable consensus tree topology. The distortion coefficient and the subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) distance served as selection criteria by calculating the similarity of all the consensus trees obtained under the different parameter combinations to the other consensus trees (Mirande, 2009). Tree searches involved rounds of tree fusing, sectorial searches, and tree drifting (Goloboff, 1999) under default settings and each round was stopped after three hits of the same optimum. Gaps were treated as missing data. Branch support was estimated through symmetric resampling with a probability of change of 0.33 and values expressed as differences in frequencies (GC: 'Groups present/Contradicted') as bootstrapping and jackknifing have been reported to be distorted by implied weighting methods (Goloboff, 2003).

2.5. Phylogenetic signal of morphological characters and character mapping

We also inferred the phylogenetic expressed by the morphological characters using phylogenetic comparative methods. The characters were fitted to 100 randomly selected tree topologies from the post-burn-in fraction of the Bayesian phylogenetic analysis using a continuous-time Markov model of trait evolution as implemented in the function *fitDiscrete* in the *R* package *geiger* v2.0 (Pennell et al., 2014). As we could make no inference on the transition costs between character states, all characters were modelled with an all-rates-different model (ARD) with a Pagel's λ tree transformation (Pagel, 1999). Model performance was assessed through the sample size-corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) in comparison to a white noise model that assumes absolute phylogenetic independence (Pennell et al., 2014).

2.6. Graphing

We plotted graphs and phylogenetic trees using the packages *ggplot2* 3.3.5 (Wickham, 2016) and *ggtree* v3.1.2 (Yu et al., 2017, 2018) in *R* v4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2021). Character maps were produced with the function *asr_max_parsimony* in the *R* package *castor* (Louca and Doebeli, 2018).

3. Results

263 3.1. Phylogenetic reconstructions

264 In total, specimens belonging to 67 dactylogyrid genera were included in this analysis. An overview of all
265 dactylogyridean genera described through time is presented in Fig. 1a. The COI mtDNA alignment included
266 sequences of only 10 genera and was, thus, excluded from all downstream analyses. A more detailed
267 discussion of the COI phylogeny can be found in Supporting Information S2. For the parsimony analysis of
268 the molecular data, the BLK scheme with $k = 23$ (SPR distance: 0.968) and $k = 35$ (distortion coefficient:
269 0.981) produced the most stable tree topologies and were used to infer the final consensus tree topology.
270 The BI, ML, and MP trees were significantly congruent (Kendall's $W = 0.691$, $\chi^2 = 24115$, $p < 0.01$).
271 Phylogenetic reconstruction revealed the presence of two main lineages (further referred to as
272 macroclades A and B), which comprise ten and six well-supported clades, respectively (clades A1–A10 and
273 B1–B6) (Fig. 2) [node support values: Bayesian posterior probabilities/ultrafast bootstrap
274 values/Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratios/GC values; asterisk (*) indicates low
275 support below threshold (see Fig. 2)]. Both macroclade A (99/98/100/19), which includes clades A1–A10,
276 and macroclade B (99/94/100/*), which includes clades B1–B6 are well-supported. The phylogenetic
277 positions of representatives of *Characidotrema*, parasites of African alestid fishes, and *Kapentagyryus*,
278 parasites of African freshwater clupeids, remain unresolved within macroclade A, the same applies to
279 representatives of *Anacanthorus*, parasites of South American serrasalmids, in macroclade B. Although DNA
280 sequences of these three genera analysed here each form well-supported monophyletic clades, they were
281 not assigned clade numbers as the intrageneric phylogenetic relationships are already discussed elsewhere
282 (Kmentová et al., 2018; Moreira et al., 2019b; Řehulková et al., 2019).

283 3.2. Morphological, ecological, and biogeographical characterisation of clades

284 Most of the generic diagnoses analysed here fit the morphological diagnosis of Dactylogyridae *sensu*
285 Bychowsky, 1933. The presence of two pairs of anchors and a single dorsal and ventral bar are considered
286 plesiomorphic in dactylogyrid monogeneans (Kritsky and Boeger, 1989). Yet species of several genera
287 deviating from this ancestral bauplan by displaying a single pair of anchors and bars (*Dactylogyrus*,
288 *Dactylogyroides*, *Dogieli*) or no anchors and bars at all (*Anacanthorus*) form part of the macroclades A

289 and B, respectively. A comparative overview of the morphological character states of all genera of which
290 representatives were included in the phylogenetic reconstruction is presented in Table 3. An overview of
291 the host repertoire, biogeography, phylogenetic support, and synapomorphies of all 16 clades in our tree
292 (Fig. 2) is provided in Table 4 (restricted to the dactylogyrid species and lineages included in the phylogeny).
293 The sclerotised parts of the attachment and reproductive organs are considered one of the most
294 systematically informative structures in monogenean taxonomy (Kritsky and Boeger, 1989). A list of the
295 most recent original or emended generic diagnoses is available in Supporting Information S1.

296 3.3. Systematic informativeness of morphological characters: Parsimony analysis, phylogenetic comparative 297 methods, and character mapping

298 For the parsimony analysis of the morphological data, $k = 30$ produced the most stable trees (SPR distance:
299 0.993, distortion coefficient: 0.972) and was used to compute the consensus tree (Fig. 3). The morphology-
300 based phylogeny showed significant congruence with the molecular MP parsimony tree (Kendall's $W =$
301 0.585 , $\chi^2 = 12544$, $p < 0.01$) 5 (Fig. 3), but few larger clades were supported in the former resulting in a large
302 polytomy including all taxa except for species of *Kapentagyris* and the outgroup.

303 A phylogenetic comparative analysis revealed that all morphological characters investigated here expressed
304 a phylogenetic signal when modelled against a randomly selected BI tree distribution (Fig. 4). However, for
305 eight of these characters the difference in model fit compared to the white noise model was comparatively
306 minor ($\Delta AICc > -20$). Characters with the comparatively strongest phylogenetic signal are the number of
307 cephalic lobes, the number of eyespot pairs, the position of the gonads, the number of prostatic reservoirs,
308 the presence/absence of accessory piece, and the number of anchors (Fig. 4). Character maps of these
309 characters are provided in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 (for the input data matrix, see Table 3).

310 4. Discussion

311 This study provides the most extensive phylogenetic meta-analysis of dactylogyrid monogeneans to date.
312 Based on representatives of 67 genera and a combination of three ribosomal gene markers, our
313 phylogenetic reconstruction revealed the presence of two major lineages, macroclades, including ten and

314 six well-supported smaller clades, respectively. In the past, morphological and molecular data have resulted
315 in multiple systematic revisions of species and subfamilies in dactylogyrid monogeneans. Here, we provide
316 an overview of evolutionary patterns, revise Dactylogyridae and its subfamilies, and summarise potential
317 problematic areas at the genus level, i.e. potential polyphylies or paraphylies that call for a systematic
318 revision in the future. Habitat types and host repertoires are illustrated alongside the phylogeny (Fig. 2).
319 Our study also suggests that morphological characters contain little information on the phylogenetic
320 relationships of dactylogyrids above the genus level as illustrated by the polytomy (i.e. low support) for
321 most clades above the genus level (Fig. 3). Finally, we highlight how a limited coverage of host taxa or
322 distribution ranges and biases towards certain host groups and regions interfere with scientific exploration
323 of the evolutionary history of Dactylogyridae.

324 *Taxonomic implications: Dactylogyridae and its subfamilies*

325 In the following section, we present a systematic revision of Dactylogyridae and its subfamilies. The clades
326 suggested as the revised subfamilies, are well-supported across phylogenetic analyses (see Fig. 2) providing
327 us with the confidence for the proposed taxonomic revision. However, we limit this revision to the genera
328 of dactylogyrid monogeneans included in the present phylogenetic analyses as the low resolution of the
329 morphology-based phylogeny above the genus level (Fig. 3) provides little confidence for classifying any of
330 the genera without published DNA sequence data in the revised subfamilies. Future studies should further
331 investigate the phylogenetic relationships of species groups and more morphological features should be
332 inferred to help distinguish dactylogyrids belonging to these two proposed subfamilies.

333 **Class Monogenea Bychowsky, 1933**

334 **Subclass Monopisthocotylea Bychowsky, 1933**

335 **Order Dactylogyridea Bychowsky, 1937**

336 **Family Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933**

337 *Junior synonyms:* Ancylo-discoididae Gusev, 1961, Ancyrocephalidae Bychowsky, 1937, Heteronchocleididae
338 Tan, Fong & Lim, 2011, Protogyrodactylidae Johnston & Tiegs, 1922, Pseudodactylogyridae Gusev, 1965 and
339 Urogyridae Bilong Bilong, Birgi & Euzet, 1994.

340 Remarks:

341 In the last decades, several studies have investigated phylogenetic relationships within Dactylogyridae
342 (Šimková et al., 2003, 2006; Plaisance et al., 2005; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015). However, this research
343 tradition required an update as DNA sequences are becoming available for an increasing number of species
344 and species groups (see Fig. 1c). Overall, 53 and 30 families were recognised in the most recent systematic
345 revision of Monogenea and Monopisthocotylea by Boeger and Kritsky (2001), respectively. The diagnoses
346 of Ancyrocephalidae Bychowsky, 1937 and Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1935, which have been used
347 interchangeably in the past, rely on the difference mainly in the number of seminal vesicles supported by a
348 cladistic study of Bychowsky and Nagibina (1978) and the revision of Malmberg (1990). However, the
349 revision of Kritsky and Boeger (1989) proposed Ancyrocephalidae *sensu* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1978 as a
350 junior synonym of Dactylogyridae as Ancyrocephalidae appeared paraphyletic with no unambiguous
351 morphological evidence supporting the distinction between both families. As the difference in number of
352 seminal vesicles is not consistent among the macroclades A and B and no other unambiguous
353 morphological differences were identified in this study (see Supporting Information S1; Figs. 5 & 6), we
354 follow the previously suggested synonymisation of Dactylogyridae and Ancyrocephalidae with
355 Dactylogyridae having taxonomic priority.

356 Our results also confirm that *Protygodactylus* Johnston & Tiegs, 1922 is a genus within Dactylogyridae
357 and as sister taxon to *Metahaliotrema*. Thus, we consider the family Protygodactylidae Johnston & Tiegs,
358 1922 invalid and a synonym of Dactylogyridae as previously suggested by Price and Pike (1969).

359 Unlike Malmberg (1990), we conclude that *Ergenstrema mugilis* is nested within Dactylogyridae and
360 representatives of this genus should be reassigned from Tetraonchidae to Dactylogyridae as proposed by
361 Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015) and Blasco-Costa et al. (2012). However, species of *Tetraonchus* Diesing,
362 1858 are not transferred to Dactylogyridae and are kept in Tetraonchidae as this genus forms a separate
363 lineage as supported by a recent phylogenetic reconstruction based on mitochondrial protein coding
364 regions (Zhang et al., 2020).

365 Previous studies also recognised the subfamily Pseudodactylogyrinae Ogawa, 1986 (Šimková et al., 2003,
366 2006; Plaisance et al., 2005; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015) for species of *Pseudodactylogyrus* characterised
367 by a reduced anchor-bar complex and supplementary needle-like pieces. Moreover, representatives of
368 dactylogyrid genera with three well-developed anchors (*Eutrianchoratus*, *Heteronchocleidus*, and
369 *Trianchoratus*) were placed in another subfamily, Heteronchocleidinae Price, 1968. Some studies even
370 suggested raising Pseudodactylogyrinae (Le Brun et al., 1986) and Heteronchocleidinae (Tan et al., 2011) to
371 family level. In the present study, pseudodactylogyrid and heteronchocleidine species form a well-
372 supported clade (A9) within Dactylogyridae alongside species of *Gobioecetes* and *Ancyrocephalus*
373 *mogurndae*. Additionally, Ogawa (1986) remarked on similarities of species of *Pseudodactylogyrus* and
374 *Heteronchocleidus* concerning the haptor morphology. We propose that Pseudodactylogyridae Le Brun,
375 Lambert & Justine, 1986 and Heteronchocleididae Tan, Fong & Lim, 2011 are synonyms of Dactylogyridae.
376 Urogyridae Bilong Bilong, Birgi & Euzet, 1994 was proposed to accommodate species of Dactylogyridae with
377 a single, asymmetric pair of anchors (i.e. one anchor is only rudimentarily developed) infecting the urinary
378 bladder of cichlid fishes. As Bilong Bilong et al. (1994) provide only little information and even suggested a
379 possible relationship with species of *Onchobdella*, the family was later synonymised with Dactylogyridae
380 (Fayton and Kritsky, 2013). Indeed, the number of anchor pairs varies across dactylogyrid genera ranging
381 from three pairs (e.g., in the ‘heteronchocleidid’ genera *Heteronchocleidus*, *Eutrianchoratus*, and
382 *Trianchoratus*) to none (e.g., in species of *Anacanthorus* and *Pavanelliella*). Reduced anchor-bar complexes
383 were suggested to result from morphological convergence of endoparasitic dactylogyrids, in particular for
384 dactylogyrids infecting the excretory system, e.g., species of *Acolpenteron* Fischthal and Allison, 1941,
385 where the wide geographical range in the holarctic and Neotropical realms indicates a potential polyphyly
386 (Fayton and Kritsky, 2013). Yet despite a limited taxon coverage, our results indicate a common ancestor
387 for endoparasitic dactylogyrids. The existence of this clade was previously reported but with only a limited
388 number of other dactylogyrid lineages included in a phylogenetic analysis (Theisen et al., 2017, 2018).
389 Lim et al. (2001) raised Ancylo-discoidinae to family level comprising monogeneans from siluriform and
390 notopterid fishes of the Old World with four anchors. Although our results moderately support the
391 monophyletic status of ancylo-discoidine monogeneans (clades B1–B5), the lineage is included in

392 macroclade B together with clade B6. Moreover, given that *Ancyrocephalus paradoxus* as type species is
393 placed in clade B6, Ancyrocephalidae has taxonomic priority over Ancylo-discoididae. Hence, we propose
394 that Ancylo-discoididae should be synonymised with Dactylogyridae.
395 Neither representatives of the families Calceostomatidae, Fridericianellidae, Neocalceostomatidae, and
396 Neotetraonchidae nor the subfamilies Linguadactylinae, Linguadactyloidea, Hareocephalinae, and
397 Heterotesiinae were included in the presented phylogenetic reconstruction due to the absence of
398 published DNA sequence data. Therefore, the status of these taxa remains unresolved (Justine et al., 2002).

399 **Subfamily Dactylogyrinae Bychowsky, 1937**

400 *Junior synonyms:* Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937; Heteronchocleidinae Price, 1968 and
401 Pseudodactylogyrinae Ogawa, 1986.

402 *Diagnosis (based on diagnoses of genera with available molecular data available):* Two or four eye-spots;
403 might be dissociated, incipient, or lacking. Body fusiform, pyriform, or uniform in width; compact or divided
404 in cephalic region with trunk, peduncle, and haptor. Tegument smooth or striated. Two or three pairs of
405 cephalic lobes; sometimes poorly developed. Three to five pairs of bilateral head organs; sometimes poorly
406 developed. Cephalic glands unicellular, in two, three, or four pairs; might be dissociated or inconspicuous.
407 Mouth subterminal. Intestinal caeca 2, confluent posterior to gonads or not united, diverticula present or
408 absent. Common genital pore midventral or absent. Gonads in tandem or overlapping, intercaecal. Vas
409 deferens looping left intestinal cecum or not looping; one or two seminal vesicles are a dilation of vas
410 deferens. One or two prostatic reservoirs; might be absent. Vaginal aperture sclerotised or not sclerotised.
411 Male copulatory organ sclerotised, tubular, coiled, or straight; accessory piece articulated or detached;
412 might be weakly sclerotised or absent. Seminal receptacle present or absent. Vitellaria coextensive with the
413 gut or scattered throughout the body. Haptor armed with single or paired dorsal and ventral anchor/bar
414 sclerotised complexes; additional structures with various levels of sclerotisation might be present; 7 pairs of
415 similar or dissimilar marginal hooks. Infecting the gills, skin, pharynx, oesophagus, intestines, urinary
416 bladder, and kidneys of freshwater and marine fishes worldwide.

417 Includes (only genera with molecular data available mentioned): '*Ancyrocephalus*' *mogurndae* (Yamaguti,
418 1940); *Bravohollisia* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970; *Characidotrema* Paperna & Thurston, 1968; *Cichlidogyrus*
419 Paperna, 1960; *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850; *Enterogyrus* Paperna, 1963; *Ergenstrema* Paperna, 1964;
420 *Eutrianchoratus* Paperna, 1969; *Glyphidohaptor* Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007; *Gobioecetes* Ogawa & Ito, 2017;
421 *Gussevia* Kohn & Paperna, 1964; *Haliotrema* Johnston & Tiegs, 1922; *Haliotrematoides* Kritsky, Yang & Sun,
422 2009; *Heteronchocleidus* Bychowsky, 1957; *Kapentagyrus* Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove, 2018;
423 *Lethrinitrema* Lim & Justin, 2011; *Ligophorus* Euzet & Suriano, 1977; *Metahaliotrema* Yamaguti, 1953;
424 *Mexicana* Caballero & Bravo-Hollia, 1959; *Onchobdella* Paperna, 1968; *Paradiplectanotrema* Gerashev,
425 Gayevskaya & Kovaleva, 1987; *Parancyrocephaloides* Yamaguti, 1938; *Parasciadicleithrum* Mendoza-
426 Palmero, Blasco-Costa, Hernández-Mena & Pérez-Ponce de León, 2017; *Platycephalotrema* Kritsky & Nitta,
427 2019; *Protygyrodactylus* Johnston & Tiegs, 1922; *Pseudempleurosoma* Yamaguti, 1965; *Pseudodactylogyrus*
428 Gusev, 1965; *Pseudohaliotrema* Yamaguti, 1953; *Sciadicleithrum* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989;
429 *Tetrancistrum* Goto & Kikuchi, 1917; *Thylacicleidus* Wheeler & Klassen, 1988; *Trianchoratus* Price & Berry,
430 1966; *Xenoligophoroides* Dmitrieva, Sanna, Piras, Garippa & Merella, 2018.

431 Remarks:

432 As mentioned in the result section, the two macroclades found here each include the type genera
433 (*Dactylogyrus* and *Ancyrocephalus*) of two of the previously suggested subfamilies. Therefore, we reassign
434 *Ancyrocephalinae* and *Dactylogyrinae*, subfamilies of *Dactylogyridae*, to these macroclades. As a
435 consequence, *Heteronchocleidinae*, *Protygyrodactylinae*, and *Pseudodactylogyrinae* are synonymised with
436 *Dactylogyrinae*. However, we could identify no apparent morphological differences between the genera
437 belonging to these groups based on diagnostic features of internal organs and sclerotised structures in the
438 literature (see Supporting Information S1 and Fig. 3). Given the lack of distinctive features for the
439 subfamily, only genera with molecular data available are included here. Šimková et al. (2006) identified two
440 sister groups within *Dactylogyridae sensu* Kritsky and Boeger (1989). The first group includes the freshwater
441 species belonging to *Ancyrocephalinae* and *Ancylodiscoidinae*. The second group includes species belonging
442 to *Pseudodactylogyrinae*, *Dactylogyrinae*, and marine representatives of *Ancyrocephalinae*. Kritsky and

443 Boeger (1989) proposed nine different subfamilies. We identified 16 well- or moderately supported clades
444 (Fig. 2), which only partially confirm the proposed subfamilies/clades. Compared to previous studies
445 (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015; Moreira et al., 2019b; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2020), we report higher
446 support values for the clade of predominantly marine dactylogyrids (A8).

447 **Subfamily Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937**

448 *Junior synonyms:* Anacanthorinae Price, 1967 and Ancylo-discoidinae Gussev, 1961.

449 *Includes (only genera with molecular data available mentioned):* *Actinocleidus* Müller, 1937; *Ameloblastella*
450 Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000; *Anacanthorus* Mizelle & Price, 1965; *Ancyrocephalus* Creplin,
451 1839; *Aphanoblastella* Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000; *Boegeriella* Mendoza-Palmero & Hsiao,
452 2020; *Bychowskyella* Akhmerov, 1952; *Cacatuocotyle* Boeger, Domingues & Kritsky, 1997; *Cornudiscoides*
453 Kulkarni, 1969; *Characithecium* Mendoza-Franco, Reina & Torchin, 2009, *Cosmetocleithrum* Kritsky,
454 Thatcher & Boeger, 1986; *Demidospermus* Suriano, 1983; *Diaphorocleidus* Jogunoori, Kritsky &
455 Venkatanarasaiah, 2004; *Hamatopeduncularia* Yamaguti, 1953; *Heteropriapulius* Kritsky, 2007; *Ligictaluridus*
456 Beverley-Burton, 1984; *Mymarothecium* Kritsky, Boeger & Jégu, 1998; *Nanayella* Acosta, Mendoza-
457 Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019; *Pavanelliella* Kritsky & Boeger, 1998; *Pseudancylo-discoides* Yamaguti,
458 1963; *Quadriacanthus* Paperna, 1961; *Schilbetrema* Paperna & Thurston, 1968; *Susanlimocotyle* Soares,
459 Domingues & Adriano, 2020; *Thaparocleidus* Jain, 1952; *Trinigyrus* Hanek, Molnár & Fernando, 1974;
460 *Unibarra* Suriano & Incorvaia, 1995; *Unilatus* Mizelle & Kritsky, 1967; *Urocleidoides* Mizelle & Price, 1964;
461 *Vancleaveus* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986.

462 *Diagnosis (based on generic diagnoses of genera with available molecular data):* Two or four eye-spots;
463 might be dissociated, incipient, or lacking. Body fusiform, pyriform, or uniform in width; compact or divided
464 of cephalic region with trunk, peduncle, and haptor. Tegument smooth. Two or three pairs of cephalic
465 lobes; sometimes poorly developed. Two to four pairs of bilateral head organs; sometimes poorly
466 developed. Cephalic glands unicellular, in two, three, or four pairs; might be dissociated or inconspicuous.
467 Mouth subterminal. Intestinal caeca 2, confluent posterior to gonads or not united, diverticula absent.
468 Common genital pore midventral or inconspicuous. Gonads in tandem or overlapping, intercaecal. Vas

469 deferens looping left intestinal cecum or not looping; one or two seminal vesicles are a dilation of vas
470 deferens. One or two prostatic reservoirs. Vaginal aperture sclerotised or not sclerotised. Male copulatory
471 organ sclerotised, tubular, coiled, or straight; accessory piece articulated or detached; might be weakly
472 sclerotised or absent. Seminal receptacle present or absent. Vitellaria coextensive with gut or scattered
473 throughout the body. Haptor armed with single or paired dorsal and ventral anchor/bar sclerotised
474 complexes, sometimes absent; additional structures with various levels of sclerotisation might be present;
475 7 pairs of similar or dissimilar marginal hooks. Infecting the gills, skin, or nasal cavities of freshwater and
476 marine fishes worldwide.

477 Remarks:

478 As reported above, the two macroclades found here each include a type genus (*Dactylogyrus* and
479 *Ancyrocephalus*) of the two suggested subfamilies. Therefore, we reassign Ancyrocephalinae and
480 Dactylogyrinae to these macroclades as subfamilies of Dactylogyridae as presented by Bychowsky, 1937.
481 Similar to Dactylogyrinae, we could identify no apparent morphological similarities between the genera
482 belonging to the subfamily based on diagnostic features of internal organs and sclerotised structures in the
483 literature (see Fig. 3, Figs. 5 & 6). Therefore, only genera with molecular data available are included.
484 Anacanthorinae and Ancylo-discoidinae are synonymised with Ancyrocephalinae. Representatives of
485 Anacanthorinae Price, 1968 sequenced to date form a monophyletic group (Moreira et al., 2019b) nested
486 within Ancyrocephalinae as defined here and comprise species of *Anacanthorus* that are unique in lacking
487 anchors and bars. Representatives of Ancylo-discoidinae are also nested within Ancyrocephalinae (see
488 remarks for Dactylogyridae) albeit without representatives of the type genus *Ancylo-discoides* Johnston &
489 Tiegs, 1922 being sequenced so far. Moreover, other genera formerly considered members of
490 Ancylo-discoididae *sensu* Lim et al. (2001) and Anacanthorinae Price, 1968 also likely form also part of this
491 subfamily as suggested by the morphological similarities observed with representatives so far included in
492 phylogenetic analyses. These genera include *Anacanthoroides* Kritsky & Thatcher, 1974, *Anchylodiscus*
493 Johnston & Tiegs, 1922, *Ancylo-discoides* Yamaguti, 1937, *Bagrobdella* Paperna, 1969, *Bifurcohaptor* Jain,
494 1958, *Malayanodiscoides* Lim & Furtado, 1986, *Mizelleus* Jain, 1957, *Notopterodiscoides* Lim & Furtado,

1986 *Pangasitrema* Pariselle, Euzet & Lambert, 2004, *Paraquadriacanthus* Ergens, 1988, *Philureter* Viozzi & Gutiérrez, 2001, *Protoancylodiscoides* Paperna, 1969, *Schilbetrematoides* Kritsky & Kulo, 1992, and *Synodontella* Dossou & Euzet, 1993. Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937 has served as a catch-all and, consequently, polyphyletic subfamily within Dactylogyridae (Šimková et al., 2003, 2006). Members of ancyrocephaline clades originate in freshwater, coastal, and marine origin, respectively. Moreover, Šimková et al. (2006) found the relationships between marine members of Ancyrocephalinae, Dactylogyrinae, and Pseudodactylogyrinae unresolved. Several recent studies have pointed out the need for revision of Dactylogyridae and discussed the relevance of habitat type (marine vs. freshwater) and geographic origin as drivers of evolutionary processes (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015; Moreira et al., 2019b).

Systematics: problematic points (paraphyletic and polyphyletic genera)

As illustrated by the congruence of the morphology-based phylogeny with the molecular phylogeny, suggest a roughly matching of these two data types. Yet contradictions of character states suggested by generic diagnosis and the morphology of actual species do occur even though the placement of a species in a genus implies that this species displays the features listed in the most recent generic diagnoses. One example is *Demidospermus mortenthaleri*. This species was placed in *Demidospermus* despite a difference in the bar shape (Franceschini et al., 2018) and a difference in the number of eyespots that were reported (Table 3). We also observed a low resolution of the morphology-based phylogeny at higher nodes, which is unlikely the result of occasional mistakes made in generic diagnoses or species classification. Instead, rapid changes in shape and size may render morphological characters systematically uninformative beyond the level of closely related species as suggested for some dactylogyrid taxa before, e.g., *Cichlidogyrus* (Pouyaud et al., 2006; Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021b) or *Thaparocleidus* (Wu et al., 2008). Similar patterns have been found for representatives of Diplectanidae (Poisot et al., 2011; Villar-Torres et al., 2019), a sister family to Dactylogyridae. Conversely, morphological similarities in more distantly related lineages may have led to the erection of several ‘waste bucket’ genera including *Ancyrocephalus* (Bychowsky and Nagibina, 1970), *Haliotrema* (Klassen, 1994), potentially *Thaparocleidus*, which is rendered paraphyletic by specimens belonging to *Pseudancylodiscoides* (Wu et al., 2008; this study) and *Cornudiscoides* (Fig. 2), and formerly

521 *Demidospermus* and *Urocleidoides* (Acosta et al., 2018). Many species formerly considered part of these
522 groups share morphological features but are otherwise unrelated. To address this issue, some studies
523 based generic classification on monophyletic clades inferred from phylogenetic reconstructions
524 (phylogenetic systematics) of ribosomal DNA regions. For instance, *Parasciadicleithrum octofasciatum*
525 Mendoza-Palmero, Blasco-Costa, Hernández-Mena & Pérez-Ponce de León, 2017 is morphologically almost
526 indistinguishable from species of *Sciadicleithrum* (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017) but appears to be more
527 closely related to *Gussevia asota* (Fig. 2: Clade A3). However, the type species of *Sciadicleithrum*, *S.*
528 *uncinatum* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989, has not been sequenced to date. Thus, the phylogenetic
529 position of *P. octofasciatum* in relation to *S. uncinatum* remains unknown and it seems premature to have
530 placed the former in a new genus. A similar approach has also led to the erroneous erection of the genus
531 *Paracosmetocleithrum* Acosta, Scholz, Blasco-Costa, Alves & da Silva, 2017. A lack of support for a
532 monophyletic clade including the type species *P. trachydorasi* Acosta, Scholz, Blasco-Costa, Alves & da Silva,
533 2017 and other species of *Cosmetocleithrum* was misinterpreted as evidence for taxon separation in spite
534 of the unresolved relationship between these taxa (Acosta et al., 2018). Later, the genus was reassigned to
535 *Cosmetocleithrum* as conclusions drawn from the phylogenetic analysis were questioned because of a lack
536 of morphological differences (Cohen et al., 2020). Our results agree with this decision as we found partial
537 support (95/*/*/*) for *Cosmetocleithrum sensu* Cohen et al. (2020). The need for strictly monophyletic taxa
538 remains contested in the literature (Schmidt-Lebuhn, 2012; Stuessy and Hörandl, 2014; for an extensive
539 discussion against the use of paraphyletic taxa, see Schmidt-Lebuhn, 2012). Yet we argue that all taxa
540 should reflect phylogenetic hypotheses and, consequently, the evolutionary history (for an extensive
541 discussion against the use of paraphyletic taxa, see Schmidt-Lebuhn, 2012). In the following section we
542 provide a list of poly- and paraphyletic molecularly characterised dactylogyrid genera, to be revised in
543 future systematic studies, with remarks on their host repertoires and morphological
544 similarities/differences.

545 *Ancyrocephalus* Creplin, 1936

546 The diagnosis of *Ancyrocephalus* has been revalidated by Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970 to include
547 representatives infecting only percids, namely *A. paradoxus* and *A. percae* (Fig. 2: clade B6). Yet several
548 other species remain classified under this genus. Therefore, the catch-all genus *Ancyrocephalus* has
549 remained polyphyletic with, e.g., *A. mogurndae* being placed among the Dactylogyrinae (clade A9) rather
550 than the Ancyrocephalinae (Fig. 2: clade B6). In the past, this polyphyly has resulted in the proposal of
551 several genera whose members were previously assigned to *Ancyrocephalus* including *Kapentagyris*
552 (Kmentová et al., 2018), *Ligophorus* (Euzet and Suriano, 1977; Marchiori et al., 2015), and
553 *Xenoligophoroides* (Dmitrieva et al., 2018). Here however, we refrain from creating a new genus for *A.*
554 *mogurndae* as sequences of a majority species of *Ancyrocephalus* are unavailable and systematic revision of
555 the genus should be based on more extensive molecular and morphological datasets than used in the
556 present study.

557 *Bravohollisia* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970 and *Caballeria* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970
558 The genera *Bravohollisia* and *Caballeria* were proposed for gill parasites of haemulid fishes (Lim, 1995).
559 Both groups are morphologically similar, i.e. they present a simple copulatory tube without accessory
560 pieces, anchors with canals running from shaft to point, similarly sized marginal hooks, haptoral glands, and
561 a net-like structure near the tips of the anchors (Lim, 1995). Species of *Caballeria* differ regarding the
562 presence of haptoral digits. However, phylogenetic studies suggest that some species of *Caballeria* are
563 nested within a clade of species *Bravohollisia* (Wu et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2014), a result confirmed in the
564 present study (Fig. 2). However, the type species of both genera, *C. pedunculata* Bychowsky & Nagibina,
565 1970 and *B. magna* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970 have not been sequenced to date. Future studies should
566 investigate the phylogenetic position of the type species as well as a wider array of species from these
567 genera to untangle the relationship of *Bravohollisia* and *Caballeria*.

568 *Cichlidogyrus* Paperna, 1960 and *Scutogyrus* Pariselle & Euzet 1995

569 Both species of *Scutogyrus* and *Cichlidogyrus* are parasites of cichlids with in the latter genus a few
570 exceptions infecting nothobranchiid and polycentrid hosts (Birgi and Euzet, 1983; Birgi and Lambert, 1986).
571 The well-recognisable morphological difference lies in a fan-shaped plate associated with the ventral bar of

species of *Scutogyrus*, missing in species of *Cichlidogyrus* (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009). Phylogenetic studies based on ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA markers (e.g., Caña-Bozada et al., 2021; Cruz-Laufer et al. 2021b) suggest that *Scutogyrus* is indeed monophyletic but also highlight that *Scutogyrus* is nested within *Cichlidogyrus* (clade A4) (Wu et al., 2007; Cruz-Laufer et al. 2021b). The resulting paraphyly of *Cichlidogyrus* could be resolved in several ways: *Cichlidogyrus* is divided into multiple (sub-)genera, e.g., by the clades characterised in Cruz-Laufer et al. (2021b), or *Scutogyrus* could be synonymised with *Cichlidogyrus*. However, due to the limited data included here, we refrain from taking any taxonomic decisions.

Dactylogyrus Diesing, 1850, *Dactylogyroides* Gusev, 1963 and *Dogielius* Bychowsky, 1936

Dogielius encompasses gill parasites of cyprinid fishes that differ from species of *Dactylogyrus* regarding the dorsal position of the anchor-bar complex (as opposed to a ventral position) and the absence of the loop around the intestinal caecum in the vas deferens (as opposed to its presence) (Price and Yurkiewicz, 1968). *Dactylogyroides* encompasses gill parasites of freshwater fishes that differ from *Dactylogyrus* through their paired, barely linked dorsal bar (Gussev, 1963). Despite these differences, both taxa are considered closely related to *Dactylogyrus* (Gussev, 1963; Price and Yurkiewicz, 1968). Phylogenetic studies have suggested that *Dactylogyrus* is monophyletic (Šimková et al., 2003, 2006). Previous studies involving *Dactylogyroides* failed to resolve its phylogenetic position as DNA sequences of members of *Dactylogyroides* were used to root the tree (Singh and Chaudhary, 2010; Chiary et al., 2013). In the first molecular study on *Dogielius* (Dash et al., 2014), the species included (*Dogielius catlaius* (Jain, 1962) as '*Dactylogyrus catlaius* Jain, 1961 [sic]') appeared nested in *Dactylogyrus*. Our phylogenetic analyses indicate that species of *Dactylogyroides* together with those of *Dactylogyrus* and *Dogielius* form a monophyletic group (clade A10) of dactylogyrids with a single pair of anchors. However, *Dactylogyrus*, the most species-rich genus of monogeneans (Horton et al., 2021), is rendered paraphyletic by the representatives of *Dogielius* and *Dactylogyroides* sequenced to date (Fig. 2). We suggest that this observation calls for a deeper investigation of these closely related genera. The type species of *Dogielius*, *D. forceps* Bychowsky, 1936, should also be included in future studies alongside a wider array of species of *Dactylogyroides*, *Dactylogyrus*, and *Dogielius* to reconsider the current classification system of this species group.

Demidospermus Suriano, 1983

Species of *Demidospermus* alongside representatives of *Cosmetocleithrum* form a well-supported lineage (Fig. 2: clade B2). Our phylogenetic analysis shows that several specimens assigned to *Demidospermus* fall into separate lineages together with two other unassigned dactylogyrid specimens (Fig. 2: clade B1). These specimens should however not be considered members of *Demidospermus* as the type species falls within a separate clade (Fig. 2: clade B2). Moreover, *D. mortenthaleri* is situated within another dactylogyrid lineage suggesting that this species was either wrongly assigned to this genus or *Demidospermus*, as currently defined, is polyphyletic. These instances call for further systematic revisions of the genus in a more extensive study covering a larger number of species than included here. In particular, the taxonomic position and generic status of *D. mortenthaleri* should be revised as suggested by Franceschini et al. (2018).

Hamatopeduncularia Yamaguti, 1953 and *Chauhanellus* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1968

Hamatopeduncularia sensu Lim (1996) encompasses gill parasites of ariid fishes with haptoral digitations. Although closely related to *Hamatopeduncularia*, species of *Chauhanellus*, also infecting the gills of ariids, usually lack haptoral digitations and present wings on the anchors and a spine on the inner root of the dorsal anchors, a dorsal bar with spines, and a ventral bar with protuberances unlike species of *Hamatopeduncularia* (Lim, 1994). However, none of these characteristics provides an unambiguous separation of these two genera as they can also be present in representatives of the other genus and, therefore, “the two genera are distinguished on a combination of characteristics” (Lim, 1994). For instance, Lim (1994) found several species of *Chauhanellus* with haptoral digitations. Moreover, phylogenetic analyses suggested that species of *Chauhanellus* sequenced so far are nested in a clade of species of *Hamatopeduncularia* (Soo and Tan, 2021; in this study Fig. 2: clade B3). The ambiguous delineation of the two genera and the close phylogenetic relationship of these species call for a thorough taxonomic revision in the future encompassing a wider array of species and also including the type species of *Chauhanellus* (*Chauhanellus oculatus* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1968).

Platycephalotrema Kritsky & Nitta, 2019

In the present study, species of *Haliotrema* are placed in a well-supported lineages of clade A8 (*‘Haliotrema’* group) which also includes *Bravohollisia*, *Glyphidohaptor*, *Lethrinitrema*,

625 *Parancyrocephaloides*, *Pseudohaliotrema*, *Tetrancistrum*, and *Thylacicleidus* (Fig. 2: clade A8). The lack of
 626 distinctive morphological features of species of *Haliotrema* compared to the other genera in the clade and
 627 the lack of an apparent host-related pattern highlight the need for revising this genus as already suggested
 628 by Klassen (1994). In this context, Kritsky and Nitta (2019) created *Platycephalotrema* to encompass
 629 dactylogyrid parasites infecting platycephalid fishes but remarked that likely all dactylogyrid parasites of
 630 scorpaeniform fishes assigned to the *Ancyrocephalus* and *Haliotrema* might belong to this group. Yet recent
 631 phylogenetic studies show that the used host classification is outdated: Platycephalidae Gill, 1872 is now
 632 classified in the suborder Platycephaloidei within Perciformes (Betancur-R et al., 2017). Kritsky and Nitta
 633 (2019) did also not discuss phylogenetic relationships of the group despite the availability of molecular data
 634 for two species of *Platycephalotrema*, *P. macassarensis* and *P. platycephali* (both described under
 635 *Haliotrema*) (Wu et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2014). Therefore, they did not note that *Haliotrema johnstoni* is
 636 closely related to or even nested in *Platycephalotrema* as it appears according to a more recent study (Soo,
 637 2019) and the results here, which both include all available sequences of species of *Platycephalotrema*.
 638 *Haliotrema johnstoni* also presents a dorsal bar with bifurcating ends similar to species of
 639 *Platycephalotrema*, but does not lack the accessory piece in the male copulatory organ and has a different
 640 host repertoire (Syngnathiformes, Mullidae). The relationship of *H. johnstoni* with members of
 641 *Platycephalotrema* should be investigated further.

642 *Sciadicleithrum* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989 and *Euryhaliotrema* Kritsky & Boeger, 2002

643 *Euryhaliotrema* encompasses gill parasites of lutjanid, sciaenid, sparid, and heamulid fishes in marine and
 644 freshwater environments (Kritsky, 2012). *Sciadicleithrum* was proposed for gill parasites of Neotropical
 645 cichlid fishes. It was proposed to be closely related to species of *Gussevia*, which also infect Neotropical
 646 cichlids, but differ regarding an unmodified hook pair 5, a ventral bar with anterior umbelliform
 647 membranes or cavities and unmodified anchors (Kritsky et al., 1989). Species of *Euryhaliotrema* and
 648 *Sciadicleithrum* have never been compared morphologically, most likely because their distinct host
 649 repertoires (cichlids vs. other fishes) and habitats (most species of *Sciadicleithrum* are limnic whereas a
 650 majority of species of *Euryhaliotrema* are marine). However, morphological comparison based on generic

651 diagnoses reflects close morphological similarities with the main difference being the presence of
652 membranes on the ventral bar in species of *Sciadicleithrum* with no such structure described in species of
653 *Euryhaliotrema*. In contrast, phylogenetic studies (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017; Mendoza-Franco et al.,
654 2018) indicated a close relationship between these two groups as observed in the present study (Fig. 2:
655 clade A5). The most detailed study to date (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017) suggests that *Sciadicleithrum* is
656 a monophyletic lineage but nested in *Euryhaliotrema* and renders it paraphyletic (Fig. 2: clade A5), similar
657 to the situation of the *Scutogyrus-Cichlidogyrus* lineage. Yet only a fraction of species of *Euryhaliotrema* and
658 *Sciadicleithrum* have been sequenced so far, among them the type species *E. chaoi* Kritsky & Boeger, 2002
659 and *S. uncinatum* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989. Future phylogenetic studies should aim for an
660 increased coverage of species and DNA regions to resolve the relationship of these species.

661 *Thaparocleidus* Jain 1952, *Pseudancylodiscoides* Yamaguti, 1963 and *Cornudiscoides* Kulkarni, 1969

662 Our phylogenetic study suggests that *Pseudancylodiscoides* and *Cornudiscoides* are nested in
663 *Thaparocleidus* (Fig. 2: clade B4). *Thaparocleidus* encompasses dactylogyrids infecting Old World siluriforms
664 (Lim, 2001). In contrast, species of *Cornudiscoides* and *Pseudancylodiscoides* have only been reported from
665 bagrids specifically in Southern and Eastern Asia (Lim, 2001). Species of *Cornudiscoides* differ from species
666 of *Thaparocleidus* with regard to a single pair of elongated, needle-like marginal hooks and a divided
667 ventral bar. Species of *Pseudancylodiscoides* differ only with regard to a divided ventral bar. However, Lim
668 et al. (2001) remarked that some species of *Thaparocleidus* also present a divided ventral bar and
669 *Pseudancylodiscoides* could be considered as synonym of *Thaparocleidus* as proposed by Gussev (1976)
670 (cited as *Silurodiscoides*). Furthermore, studies on other dactylogyrid genera highlight that the length of
671 respective marginal hook pairs can differ substantially between congeners, e.g., in species of *Cichlidogyrus*
672 (Cruz-Laufer et al., 2021b). Yet only few species of *Cornudiscoides* and *Pseudancylodiscoides* have been
673 sequenced to date in comparison to the total number of species, the sequences available from
674 *Pseudancylodiscoides* (Wu et al., 2008) were never attributed to any particular species, and only a fragment
675 of the 18S rDNA gene has been sequenced to date for the type species of *Cornudiscoides* and

676 *Thaparocleidus*, *C. heterotylus*, and *T. wallagonius*, respectively. Thus, we refrain from any nomenclatural
677 acts.

678 *Environment and biogeography*

679 Monogenean evolution is often considered to reflect a co-divergence with the host lineages (Pariselle et al.,
680 2011). As dactylogyrid monogeneans occur in almost every biogeographic realm, their deep evolutionary
681 history is likely shaped by large-scale biogeographical factors including continental drift, changes in salinity,
682 and teleost diversification. Biogeographical, salinity (marine vs. freshwater), and host-related distinctions
683 between the respective (macro)clades can be observed (Fig. 2). Environmental factors affect endo- and
684 ectoparasites differently (Pariselle et al., 2011). Endoparasites are more likely shielded from environmental
685 changes although the egg/larval development might partly occur in an external environment (Theisen et al.,
686 2018; Assane et al., 2021). Notably, the phylogenetic tree presented here (Fig. 2) suggests a common
687 ancestor for all endoparasitic dactylogyrid species sequenced to date. In contrast, ectoparasites are directly
688 exposed to external stressors. Sudden changes in salinity are deadly to many gill monogeneans and hence,
689 are used to treat these infections in aquaculture (Fajer-Ávila et al., 2007; Schelkle et al., 2011; Brazenor and
690 Hutson, 2015). This effect might explain why closely related ectoparasitic dactylogyrids appear often
691 exclusive to either freshwater or marine habitats (see Fig. 2). However, interpretations of these patterns
692 should be treated with caution as these observations are based on ribosomal genes and few species and
693 genera only.

694 Despite these limitations, early evidence from previous studies indicates at least a partial adherence to
695 freshwater and marine habitats in dactylogyrid species infecting catfishes (Siluriformes). Catfishes
696 constitute approximately 30% part of the world's ichthyofauna in terms of species richness (Teugels, 1996)
697 and have a Pangaeen origin that dates back to the Early Cretaceous period (145–66 MYA) (Teugels, 1996;
698 Chen et al., 2013). For these reasons, catfishes have been established as models for historical biogeography
699 (e.g. Agnèse and Teugels, 2005; Betancur-R. et al., 2007; Roxo et al., 2014; Van Steenberge et al., 2020).
700 Several phylogenetic studies have focused on the evolutionary history of the Neotropical dactylogyrid
701 lineages infecting siluriform hosts. Our study suggests that all molecularly characterised dactylogyrid

702 monogenean genera infecting catfishes belong to a single lineage (macroclade B) with two clades (Fig. 2:
703 B1, B2, and B4) specific to New World hosts and two others to Old World species (Fig. 2: B3 and B5). This
704 pattern appears to indicate that dactylogyrid monogeneans have followed their hosts' evolution to spread
705 to all continents before the time Pangaea separated 200 million years ago remaining in freshwater habitats
706 except for a single mostly marine dactylogyrid lineage (Fig. 2: clade B3). However, as many lineages and
707 species infecting siluriform hosts have not been sequenced to date, the pattern found here might also be
708 an artifact of a boom of studies investigating certain taxa among these parasites (e.g., Wu et al., 2008;
709 Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015, 2019; Francová et al., 2017; Acosta et al., 2017, 2018; Franceschini et al.
710 2018, 2020; Soo and Tan, 2021).

711 Another example for possible adherence to freshwater habitats, are the species infecting the gills of cichlid
712 fishes. All species (that have been sequenced) belong to macroclade (A) including representatives of
713 *Cichlidogyrus*, *Onchobdella*, and *Scutogyrus* from continental Africa (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009), and
714 *Gussevia*, *Parasciadicleithrum*, and *Sciadicleithrum* from the Americas (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017).
715 However, cichlids have a Gondwanan origin with the oldest lineages found in Madagascar (Matschiner,
716 2019; Matschiner et al., 2020). Dactylogyrid gill parasites on Neotropical and African cichlids that we know
717 of constitute four different lineages that are not closely related including *Cichlidogyrus-Scutogyrus*,
718 *Gussevia-Parasciadicleithrum*, *Onchobdella*, and *Sciadicleithrum* (Fig. 2). Based on these lineages, previous
719 studies hypothesised that cichlids must have crossed marine habitats (Pariselle et al., 2011; Vanhove et al.,
720 2016) enabling multiple dactylogyrid freshwater lineages (*Insulacleidus* spp. in Madagascar, or *Onchobdella*
721 or *Cichlidogyrus* in Africa, and *Gussevia*, *Sciadicleithrum* or *Parasciadicleithrum* in South America) to infect
722 cichlids. Transatlantic dispersal of cichlids has since then been further discussed in ichthyological studies
723 (Matschiner, 2019; Matschiner et al., 2020). However, to elucidate the origin and dispersal history of cichlid
724 monogeneans, a more complete taxon coverage is needed. For instance, molecular data on endoparasitic
725 dactylogyrids infecting Neotropical cichlids would allow to evaluate whether endoparasitic lineages, indeed,
726 persisted after an alleged transatlantic dispersal in contrast to ectoparasitic dactylogyrids.

727 We also revealed multiple potential marine-freshwater switches within Dactylogyridae based on the limited
728 selection of DNA sequence data included here. Several species of *Sciadicleithrum* form a freshwater lineage
729 which appears closely related to a group of mostly marine representatives of *Euryhaliotrema* (Fig. 2: clade
730 A5), a sequence of *Thylacicleidus* sp. represents the only freshwater lineage in the respective clade (Fig. 2:
731 clade A8), and in macroclade B species of *Chauhanellus* and *Hamatopeduncularia* are the only marine
732 representatives but, nonetheless, are still parasites of catfish hosts as most other species in this group. The
733 number of possible marine-freshwater switches reported, indicates that these events might be a major
734 factor in the evolution of teleost-dactylogyrid interactions.

735 *Taxonomic biases and limitations*

736 Despite the increasing number of described species and genera, and availability of DNA sequence data,
737 taxonomic bias and limited data remain a major challenge for a comprehensive systematic revision of
738 Dactylogyridae. Many phylogenetic studies in recent years have targeted specific taxa, clades, or
739 geographic regions but omitted possibly related genera. For instance, phylogenetic publications
740 investigating the parasite fauna of reef and littoral fish communities occasionally omit other taxa, e.g.,
741 much of the research focusing on species formerly and presently considered members of *Haliotrema*
742 including *Euryhaliotrema*, *Haliotrema*, *Haliotrematoides*, and *Metahaliotrema* fails to include freshwater
743 taxa such as *Cichlidogyrus*, *Enterogyrus*, or *Scutogyrus* (Plaisance et al., 2005; Mendoza-Franco et al., 2018)
744 or other taxa altogether (Kritsky et al., 2009b) despite DNA sequences of these species groups being
745 available at the time. Furthermore, a boom of molecular characterisations of monogenean parasites
746 infecting Neotropical siluriforms in recent years (e.g., Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2015) has produced many
747 DNA sequence data of species belonging to Ancyrocephalinae (macroclade B), which now appears almost
748 exclusive to siluriforms. Few studies have focused on other host groups such as cichliforms (Mendoza-
749 Garfias et al., 2017), characiforms (Zago et al., 2018, 2020, 2021; Moreira et al., 2019b), and gymnotiforms
750 (Zago et al., 2020) limiting the availability of molecular data from possibly related genera. In fact, molecular
751 data of many parasite lineages remain unavailable (Poulin et al., 2019) and many species remain likely
752 undiscovered (Jorge and Poulin, 2018), e.g., purely morphological studies on Neotropical host taxa

753 described new genera on non-siluriform teleosts such as cichliforms, characiforms, and perciforms (Boeger
754 et al., 2014; Morey et al., 2019; Cruces et al., 2020, 2021; de Oliveira et al., 2021). Apparent morphological
755 and biogeographical patterns correlating with phylogenetic relationships might also be affected by
756 discussed bias. For instance, species of clade A1 appear to be mostly restricted to the Indo-Pacific region,
757 species of clade A10 to the Palaearctic and Indo-Malayan realms, and species of clade B1 and B2 to the
758 Neotropics. Yet molecular data of dactylogyrine lineages are biased towards the Northern hemisphere, yet
759 species of *Dactylogyrus* are also present in the Afrotropical realm in sub-Saharan water bodies (e.g., Birgi
760 and Euzet, 1983; Raphahlelo et al., 2020).

761 Citation bias might also play a role in monogenean research. We observed that some DNA sequences used
762 in less prestigious studies are less likely to be included in follow-up studies (see examples discussed below)
763 leading to the omission of relevant molecular data published in journals with lower impact factors. This
764 pattern mirrors the citation biases observed in other fields such as behavioural biology (see, Taborsky,
765 2009). Promotion on social media might address this shortfall but can likely not fully compensate for this
766 bias (Peoples et al., 2016; Marshall and Strine, 2019). Furthermore, confirmation biases might affect which
767 taxa are included in phylogenetic studies as prior expectations of researchers could affect taxon selection
768 (see Jermini et al., 2020). For instance, DNA sequences of species of *Gobioecetes* and *Parancyrocephaloides*
769 (Ogawa and Itoh, 2017) were absent from a study on species formerly and presently considered as
770 belonging to '*Haliotrema*' (Soo, 2019), despite their close relationship to the '*Haliotrema*' group (clade A8).
771 Sequences of heteronchocleidine (Tan et al., 2011) and endoparasitic (Theisen et al., 2017, 2018) worms
772 were not considered in a study on the new genus *Characidotrema* and its phylogenetic position among
773 Dactylogyrinae (macroclade A) (Řehulková et al., 2019), despite the importance of these groups as major
774 lineages within the subfamily. Omissions of taxa, intentional or not, can negatively impact the results of
775 phylogenetic analyses. Taxon alongside gene sampling are key factors for improving phylogenetic accuracy
776 (Nabhan and Sarkar, 2012) and even taxa with incomplete gene or sequence coverage can improve
777 phylogenetic estimates (Wiens and Tiu, 2012).

778 One step to taxon sampling could be a level playing field for multiple sequence alignments as provided by
779 the present study. Our phylogeny presents by no means a comprehensive or definite phylogenetic tree of
780 Dactylogyridae as we included only a subset of all DNA sequences available on Genbank deemed
781 representative of the main lineages within a genus. A majority of species and type species of genera have
782 also not been sequenced to date, and new species are discovered every year. Furthermore, we cannot rule
783 out that some DNA sequences were wrongly assigned. Our study also highlights several groups and studies
784 that have previously been overlooked by phylogenetic analysis. We also point out general trends regarding
785 the phylogenetic relationships that should be investigated in more detail in the future. Thus, we suggest
786 that the DNA sequence alignments of ribosomal (Fig. 2) and mitochondrial (Supplementary Information S2)
787 DNA regions we provide here could form a new starting point for studies aiming to infer the phylogenetic
788 position of new species and genera within Dactylogyridae.

789 Concluding remarks

790 A phylogenetic reconstruction (meta-analysis) of dactylogyrid monogeneans based on three ribosomal gene
791 portions traditionally used in flatworm taxonomy revealed two well-supported lineages. Because of the
792 phylogenetic positions of the type genera and species of two previously described subfamilies, we revised
793 the classification of Dactylogyridae into two subfamilies Dactylogyrinae and Ancyrocephalinae *sensu*
794 Bychowsky and Nagibina (1978). Comparison with previous phylogenetic reconstructions of dactylogyrid
795 monogeneans revealed differences in tree topology within both subfamilies. For the first time, a
796 monophyletic clade of endoparasitic species was reported as well as three well-supported clades infecting
797 siluriform hosts. In cases of paraphyly and polyphyly suggested by our phylogenetic analyses, we discuss
798 the morphological characters and propose steps for a systematic revision. Apparent biogeographical
799 patterns in the evolution of dactylogyrid monogeneans might be explained by sampling bias towards
800 certain biogeographical regions and host taxa. This study aims to provide a level playing field for future
801 phylogenetic studies on Dactylogyridae by presenting an alignment accompanied by state-of-the-art
802 phylogenetic trees. We encourage researchers investigating dactylogyrid monogeneans to use the data

803 offered here as a baseline for their respective studies. This approach could reduce researcher bias and
804 enable a more balanced phylogenetic approach of one of the most species-rich families of fish parasites.

805 **Author contributions**

806 Conceptualization, N.K. and A.J.C.-L.; Methodology and data analyses, A.J.C.-L.; Writing–Original Draft
807 Preparation, N.K. and A.J.C.-L.; Writing–Review & Editing, A.P., M.P.M.V., T.A., K.S., N.K., A.J.C.-L.;
808 Supervision, M.P.M.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

809 **Acknowledgements**

810 This research was funded by Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) standard project GA19-13573S. N.K.
811 (BOF21PD01), A.J.C.L. (BOF19OWB02) and M.P.M.V. (BOF20TT06) are financed by the Special Research
812 Fund of Hasselt University. Walter A. Boeger (Universidade Federal do Paraná, Brazil) is thanked for fruitful
813 discussions on this matter.

814 **References**

- 815 Acosta, A.A., Franceschini, L., Zago, A.C., Scholz, T., da Silva, J.R., 2017. Six new species of *Heteropriapulus*
816 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from South American fishes with an amended diagnosis to the genus.
817 Zootaxa 4290, 459–482. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4290.3.3>
- 818 Acosta, A.A., Mendoza-Palmero, C.A., da Silva, R.J., Scholz, T., 2019. A new genus and four new species of
819 dactylogyrids (Monogenea), gill parasites of pimelodid catfishes (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae) in South
820 America and the reassignment of *Urocleidoides megorchis* Mizelle et Kritsky, 1969. Folia Parasitol. 66.
821 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2019.004>
- 822 Acosta, A.A., Scholz, T., Blasco-Costa, I., Alves, P.V., da Silva, R.J., 2018. A new genus and two new species of
823 dactylogyrid monogeneans from gills of Neotropical catfishes (Siluriformes: Doradidae and
824 Loricariidae). Parasitol. Int. 67, 4–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2017.09.012>
- 825 Agnèse, J.F., Teugels, G.G., 2005. Insight into the phylogeny of African Clariidae (Teleostei, Siluriformes):
826 Implications for their body shape evolution, biogeography, and taxonomy. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 36,

827 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.03.028>

828 Agosta, S.J., Janz, N., Brooks, D.R., 2010. How specialists can be generalists: resolving the “parasite
829 paradox” and implications for emerging infectious disease. *Zoologia* 27, 151–162.
830 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702010000200001>

831 Aguiar, J.C., Maia, A.A.M., Silva, M.R.M., Ceccarelli, P.S., Domingues, M. V., Adriano, E.A., 2017. An
832 integrative taxonomic study of *Pavanelliella* spp. (Monogeneoidea, Dactylogyridae) with the
833 description of a new species from the nasal cavities of an Amazon pimelodid catfish. *Parasitol. Int.* 66,
834 777–788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2017.09.003>

835 Al Jufaili, S.H., Machkevsky, V.K., Al Kindi, U.H., Palm, H.W., 2020. *Glyphidohaptor safiensis* n. sp.
836 (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from the white-spotted rabbitfish *Siganus canaliculatus* (Park)
837 (Perciformes: Siganidae) off Oman, with notes on its phylogenetic position within the
838 Ancyrocephalidae Bychowsky & N. Syst. Parasitol. 97, 727–741. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-020-09949-x)
839 09949-x

840 Assane, I.M., Prada-Mejia, K.D., Gallani, S.U., Weiser, N.F., Valladão, G.M.R., Pilarski, F., 2021. *Enterogyrus*
841 spp. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalinae) and *Aeromonas jandaei* co-infection associated with high
842 mortality following transport stress in cultured Nile tilapia. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*
843 <https://doi.org/10.1111/TBED.14295>

844 Behrmann-Godel, J., Roch, S., Brinker, A., 2014. Gill worm *Ancyrocephalus percae* (Ergens 1966) outbreak
845 negatively impacts the Eurasian perch *Perca fluviatilis* L. stock of Lake Constance, Germany. *J. Fish Dis.*
846 37, 925–930. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12178>

847 Benovics, M., Desdevises, Y., Šanda, R., Vukić, J., Scheifler, M., Doadrio, I., Sousa-Santos, C., Šimková, A.,
848 2020a. High diversity of fish ectoparasitic monogeneans (*Dactylogyrus*) in the Iberian Peninsula: a case
849 of adaptive radiation? *Parasitology* 147, 418–430. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020000050>

850 Benovics, M., Kičinjaová, M.L., Šimková, A., 2017. The phylogenetic position of the enigmatic Balkan

851 *Aulopyge huegelii* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from the perspective of host-specific *Dactylogyrus* parasites
852 (Monogenea), with a description of *Dactylogyrus omenti* n. sp. Parasit. Vectors 10, 547.
853 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2491-z>

854 Benovics, M., Vukić, J., Šanda, R., Rahmouni, I., Šimková, A., 2020b. Disentangling the evolutionary history
855 of peri-Mediterranean cyprinids using host-specific gill monogeneans. Int. J. Parasitol. 50, 969–984.
856 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.05.007>

857 Betancur-R., R., Acero P., A., Bermingham, E., Cooke, R., 2007. Systematics and biogeography of New World
858 sea catfishes (Siluriformes: Ariidae) as inferred from mitochondrial, nuclear, and morphological
859 evidence. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 45, 339–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.022>

860 Betancur-R, R., Wiley, E.O., Arratia, G., Acero, A., Bailly, N., Miya, M., Lecointre, G., Ortí, G., 2017.
861 Phylogenetic classification of bony fishes. BMC Evol. Biol. 2017 171 17, 1–40.
862 <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12862-017-0958-3>

863 Beverley-Burton, M., 1995. Origins of the Monogenea of selected major taxa of Nearctic freshwater fishes.
864 Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52, 24–34. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f95-505>

865 Beverley-Burton, M., 1984. Monogenea and Turbellaria, in: Margolis, L., Kabata, Z. (Eds.), Guide to the
866 parasites of fishes of Canada. Part I: General introduction. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries
867 and Aquatic Sciences 74. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Canada, pp. 5–209.

868 Beverley-Burton, M., 1981. *Actinocleidus oculatus* (Mueller, 1934) and *A. recurvatus* Mizelle and Donahue,
869 1944 (Monogenea, Ancyrocephalinae) from *Lepomis gibbosus* L. (Pisces: Centrarchidae) in Ontario,
870 Canada: anatomy and systematic position. Can. J. Zool. 59, 1810–1817.

871 Bilong Bilong, C.F., Birgi, E., Euzet, L., 1994. *Urogyrus cichlidarum* gen.nov., sp.nov., Urogyridae fam.nov.,
872 monogène parasite de la vessie urinaire de poissons cichlidés au Cameroun. Can. J. Zool. 72, 561–566.
873 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z94-076>

874 Birgi, E., Euzet, L., 1983. Monogènes parasites des poissons des eaux douces du Cameroun. Présence des

875 genres *Cichlidogyrus* et *Dactylogyrus* chez *Aphyosemion* (Cyprinodontidae). Bull. la Soc. Zool. Fr. 108,
876 101–106.

877 Birgi, E., Lambert, A., 1986. Présence chez un Nandidae (Téléostéen), *Polycentropsis abbreviata* Boulenger,
878 1901, du genre *Cichlidogyrus* (Monogenea, Monopisthocotylea, Ancyrocephalidae). Ann. Parasitol.
879 Hum. Comp. 61, 521–528. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/1986615521>

880 Blasco-Costa, I., Míguez-Lozano, R., Sarabeev, V., Balbuena, J.A., 2012. Molecular phylogeny of species of
881 *Ligophorus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) and their affinities within the Dactylogyridae. Parasitol. Int.
882 61, 619–627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2012.06.004>

883 Boeger, W.A., Domingues, M. V., Kritsky, D.C., 1997. Neotropical Monogenoidea. 32. *Cacatuocotyle*
884 *paranaensis* n. g., n. sp. (Dactylogyridae, Ancyrocephalinae) from *Characidium* spp. (Teleostei,
885 Characidae) from the State of Paraná, Brazil, Systematic Parasitology. Teleostei.
886 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005796027406>

887 Boeger, W.A., Ferreira, R.C., Vianna, R.T., Patella, L., 2014. Neotropical monogenoidea 59. Polyonchoineans
888 from *Characidium* spp. (Characiformes: Crenuchidae) from Southern Brazil. Folia Parasitol.. 61, 120–
889 132. <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2014.010>

890 Boeger, W.A., Kritsky, D.C., 2003. Parasites, fossils and geologic history: historical biogeography of the
891 South American freshwater croakers, *Plagioscion* spp. (Teleostei, Sciaenidae). Zool. Scr. 32, 3–11.
892 <https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1463-6409.2003.00109.X>

893 Boeger, W.A., Kritsky, D.C., 2001. Phylogenetic relationships of the Monogenoidea, in: Littlewood, D.T.J.,
894 Bray, R.A. (Eds.), Interrelationships of the Platyhelminthes. Taylor & Francis, London, pp. 92–102.

895 Bol, T., De Vaan, M., Van De Rijt, A., 2018. The Matthew effect in science funding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
896 A. 115, 4887–4890. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719557115>

897 Braga, M.P., Araújo, S.B.L., Boeger, W.A., 2014. Patterns of interaction between Neotropical freshwater
898 fishes and their gill Monogenoidea (Platyhelminthes). Parasitol. Res. 113, 481–90.

899 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3677-8>

900 Braga, M.P., Razzolini, E., Boeger, W.A., 2015. Drivers of parasite sharing among Neotropical freshwater
 901 fishes. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 84, 487–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12298>

902 Brazenor, A.K., Hutson, K.S., 2015. Effects of temperature and salinity on the life cycle of *Neobenedenia* sp.
 903 (Monogenea: Capsalidae) infecting farmed barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*). *Parasitol. Res.* 114, 1875–
 904 1886. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4375-5>

905 Brooks, D.R., Hoberg, E.P., Boeger, W.A., 2019. The Stockholm Paradigm: climate change and emerging
 906 disease. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA. [https://doi.org/10.46473/wcsaj27240606/15-05-](https://doi.org/10.46473/wcsaj27240606/15-05-2020-0013/full/html)
 907 [2020-0013/full/html](https://doi.org/10.46473/wcsaj27240606/15-05-2020-0013/full/html)

908 Bychowsky, B.E., 1957. Monogenetic trematodes. Their systematics and phylogeny, 2nd ed. Akad. Nak.
 909 SSSR.

910 Bychowsky, B.E., Nagibina, L.F., 1978. Revision of Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937. *Parazitol. Sb.* 28, 5–
 911 15.

912 Bychowsky, B.E., Nagibina, L.F., 1970. Contribution to the revision of the genus *Ancyrocephalus* Creplin,
 913 1839 (Dactylogyridae, Ancyrocephalinae). *Parazitologiya* 4, 193–200.

914 Camargo, A.C.A., Luque, J.L., Santos, C.P., 2017. *Mexicana rubra* sp. nov. and *Encotyllabe* cf. *spari* Yamaguti,
 915 1934 (Monogenea) of *Orthopristis ruber* (Cuvier, 1830) from the Brazilian coast off Rio de Janeiro.
 916 *Helminthologia* 54, 336–347. <https://doi.org/10.1515/helm-2017-0046>

917 Campbell, V., Legendre, P., Lapointe, F.J., 2011. The performance of the Congruence Among Distance
 918 Matrices (CADM) test in phylogenetic analysis. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 64. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-64)
 919 [2148-11-64](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-64)

920 Caña-Bozada, V., Llera-Herrera, R., Fajer-Ávila, E.J., Morales-Serna, F.N., 2021. Mitochondrial genome of
 921 *Scutogyrus longicornis* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridea), a parasite of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*.
 922 *Parasitol. Int.* 81, 102281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102281>

923 Carvalho-Silva, M., Stech, M., Soares-Silva, L.H., Buck, W.R., Wickett, N.J., Liu, Y., Câmara, P.E.A.S., 2017. A
 924 molecular phylogeny of the Sematophyllaceae s.l. (Hypnales) based on plastid, mitochondrial and
 925 nuclear markers, and its taxonomic implications. *Taxon* 66, 811–831. <https://doi.org/10.12705/664.2>

926 Chen, W.J., Lavoué, S., Mayden, R.L., 2013. Evolutionary origin and early biogeography of otophysan fishes
 927 (Ostariophysi: Teleostei). *Evolution* (N. Y.). 67, 2218–2239. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12104>

928 Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., 2016. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic
 929 inference from supermatrices. *Syst. Biol.* 65, 997–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw037>

930 Chiary, H.R., Chaudhary, A., Singh, H.S., 2013. Phylogenetic analysis of the *Dactylogyroides longicirrus*
 931 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) based on the 18S and ITS 1 ribosomal genes. *Bioinformation* 9, 250–
 932 254. <https://doi.org/10.6026/97320630009250>

933 Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Sayers, E.W., 2016. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44,
 934 D67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1276>

935 Cohen, S.C., Justo, M.C.N., Gen, D.V.S., Boeger, W.A., 2020. Dactylogyridae (Monogenoidea, Polyonchoinea)
 936 from the gills of *Auchenipterus nuchalis* (Siluriformes, Auchenipteridae) from the Tocantins River,
 937 Brazil. *Parasite* 27, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2020002>

938 Cruces, C.L., Chero, J.D., Sáez, G., Luque, J.L., 2021. *Bicentenariella* n. g. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae)
 939 including descriptions of three new species and two new combinations from serranid fishes
 940 (Actinopterygii: Serranidae: Anthiinae) in the South American Pacific Ocean. *Syst. Parasitol.* 98, 357–
 941 367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-021-09983-3>

942 Cruces, C.L., Chero, J.D., Sáez, G., Luque, J.L., 2020. A new genus and three new species of dactylogyrids
 943 (Monogenea), gill parasites of the threadfin bass, *Pronotogrammus multifasciatus* Gill (Perciformes:
 944 Serranidae) in the Southeastern Pacific Ocean off Peru. *Syst. Parasitol.* 97, 121–131.
 945 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-019-09900-9>

946 Cruz-Laufer, A.J., Artois, T., Smeets, K., Pariselle, A., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2021a. The cichlid–*Cichlidogyrus*

947 network: a blueprint for a model system of parasite evolution. *Hydrobiologia* 848, 3847–3863.
 948 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04426-4>

949 Cruz-Laufer, A.J., Pariselle, A., Jorissen, M.W.P., Bukinga, F.M., Assadi, A. Al, Steenberge, M. Van,
 950 Koblmüller, S., Sturmbauer, C., Smeets, K., Huyse, T., Artois, T., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2021b. Somewhere I
 951 belong: phylogeny and morphological evolution in a species-rich lineage of ectoparasitic flatworms
 952 infecting cichlid fishes. *bioRxiv* 2021.03.22.435939. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.435939v4>

953 D’Bastiani, E., Campiaõ, K.M., Boeger, W.A., Araújo, S.B.L., 2020. The role of ecological opportunity in
 954 shaping host-parasite networks. *Parasitology* 147, 1452–1460.
 955 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202000133X>

956 Dang, B.T., Levens, A., Schander, C., Bristow, G.A., 2010. Some *Haliotrema* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae)
 957 from cultured grouper (*Epinephelus* spp.) with emphasis on the phylogenetic position of *Haliotrema*
 958 *cromileptis*. *J. Parasitol.* 96, 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-2140.1>

959 Dash, P., Kar, B., Mishra, A., Sahoo, P.K., 2014. Effect of *Dactylogyrus catla* (Jain 1961) infection in *Labeo*
 960 *rohita* (Hamilton 1822): innate immune responses and expression profile of some immune related
 961 genes. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 52, 267–280.

962 de Oliveira, G.S., da Silva, R.J., Vieira, F.E.G., Acosta, A.A., 2021. Urocleidoides spp. (Monogenea:
 963 Dactylogyridae) from the gills of *Parodon nasus* (Characiformes: Parodontidae) from a Brazilian
 964 stream with description of two new species. *Zootaxa* 5081, 535–550.
 965 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5081.4.5>

966 Dmitrieva, E. V., Sanna, D., Piras, M.C., Garippa, G., Merella, P., 2018. *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* (Ergens,
 967 1963) n. g., n. comb. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae), a parasite of *Gobius cobitis* Pallas (Perciformes:
 968 Gobiidae) from the Mediterranean and Black seas. *Syst. Parasitol.* 95, 625–643.
 969 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-018-9805-1>

970 Domingues, M. V., Boeger, W.A., 2008. Phylogeny and revision of Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903
 971 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenoidea). *Zootaxa* 1903, 1–40

- 972 Dworkin, J.D., Linn, K.A., Teich, e.g., , Zurn, P., Shinohara, R.T., Bassett, D.S., 2020. The extent and drivers of
973 gender imbalance in neuroscience reference lists. *Nat. Neurosci.* 23, 918–926.
974 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0658-y>
- 975 Euzet, L., Suriano, D.M., 1977. *Ligophorus* n. g. (Monogenea, Ancyrocephalidae) parasite des Mugilidae
976 (Téléostéens) en Méditerranée. *Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat. (3e ser.)* 329, 799–822.
- 977 Fajer-Ávila, E.J., Velásquez-Medina, S.P., Betancourt-Lozano, M., 2007. Effectiveness of treatments against
978 eggs, and adults of *Haliotrema* sp. and *Euryhaliotrema* sp. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalinae) infecting
979 red snapper, *Lutjanus guttatus*. *Aquaculture* 264, 66–72.
980 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.12.035>
- 981 Fayton, T.J., Kritsky, D.C., 2013. *Acolpenteron willifordensis* n. sp. (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) parasitic
982 in the kidney and ureters of the spotted sucker *Minytrema melanops* (Rafinesque) (Cypriniformes:
983 Catostomidae) from Econfina Creek, Florida. *Comp. Parasitol.* 80, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1654/4605.1>
- 984 Franceschini, L., Acosta, A.A., Zago, A.C., Müller, M.I., da Silva, R.J., 2020. *Trinigyrus* spp. (Monogenea:
985 Dactylogyridae) from Brazilian catfishes: new species, molecular data and new morphological
986 contributions to the genus. *J. Helminthol.* 94, e126. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X20000097>
- 987 Franceschini, L., Zago, A.C., Müller, M.I., Francisco, C.J., Takemoto, R.M., da Silva, R.J., 2018. Morphology
988 and molecular characterization of *Demidospermus spirophallus* n. sp., *D. prolixus* n. sp. (Monogenea:
989 Dactylogyridae) and a redescription of *D. anus* in siluriform catfish from Brazil. *J. Helminthol.* 92, 228–
990 243. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X17000256>
- 991 Francová, K., Seifertová, M., Blažek, R., Gelnar, M., Mahmoud, Z.N., Řehulková, E., 2017. *Quadriacanthus*
992 species (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from catfishes (Teleostei: Siluriformes) in eastern Africa: new
993 species, new records and first insights into interspecific genetic relationships. *Parasit. Vectors* 10, 361.
994 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2223-4>
- 995 Galli, P., Kritsky, D.C., 2008. Three new species of *Protygodactylus* Johnston & Tiegs, 1922

996 (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of the longtail silverbiddy *Gerres longirostris* (Teleostei:
 997 Gerreidae) in the Red Sea. Syst. Parasitol. 2007 69:3 69, 221–231. [https://doi.org/10.1007/S11230-](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11230-007-9118-2)
 998 007-9118-2

999 García-Vásquez, A., Pinacho-Pinacho, C.D., Soler-Jiménez, L.C., Fajer-Ávila, E.J., Pérez-Ponce De León, G.,
 1000 2015. *Haliotrematoides* spp. (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing *Lutjanus guttatus*
 1001 (Lutjanidae) in two localities of the Pacific coast of Mexico, and their phylogenetic position within the
 1002 Ancyrocephalinae through sequences of the 28S rRNA. Rev. Mex. Biodivers. 86, 298–305.
 1003 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmb.2015.04.027>

1004 Goloboff, P.A., 2014. Extended implied weighting. Cladistics 30, 260–272.
 1005 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12047>

1006 Goloboff, P.A., 2003. Improvements to resampling measures of group support. Cladistics 19, 324–332.
 1007 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0748-3007\(03\)00060-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0748-3007(03)00060-4)

1008 Goloboff, P.A., 1999. Analyzing large data sets in reasonable times: solutions for composite optima.
 1009 Cladistics 15, 415–428. <https://doi.org/10.1006/clad.1999.0122>

1010 Goloboff, P.A., 1993. Estimating character weights during tree search. Cladistics 9, 83–91.
 1011 <https://doi.org/10.1006/clad.1993.1003>

1012 Goloboff, P.A., Carpenter, J.M., Arias, J.S., Esquivel, D.R.M., 2008a. Weighting against homoplasy improves
 1013 phylogenetic analysis of morphological data sets. Cladistics 24, 758–773.
 1014 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00209.x>

1015 Goloboff, P.A., Catalano, S.A., 2016. TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic
 1016 morphometrics. Cladistics 32, 221–238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12160>

1017 Goloboff, P.A., Farris, J.S., Nixon, K.C., 2008b. TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. Cladistics 24,
 1018 774–786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00217.x>

1019 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., Gascuel, O., 2010. New algorithms and
 1020 methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst.
 1021 Biol. 59, 307–321. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010>

- 1022 Gussev, A.V., 1976. Freshwater Indian Monogenoidea. Principles of systematics, analysis of the world
1023 faunas and their evolution. Indian J. Helminthol. 25&26, 1–241.
- 1024 Gussev, A.V., 1963. New species of Monogenoidea from fishes of Ceylon. Bull. Fish. Res. Station. Ceylon 16,
1025 53–93.
- 1026 Hayward, C.J., Bott, N.J., Itoh, N., Iwashita, M., Okihira, M., Nowak, B.F., 2007. Three species of parasites
1027 emerging on the gills of mullet, *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Temminck and Schlegel, 1843), cultured in
1028 Australia. Aquaculture 265, 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.02.004>
- 1029 Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., Le Vinh, S., 2018. UFBoot2: improving the
1030 ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 518–522.
1031 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281>
- 1032 Horton, T., Kroh, A., Ah Yong, S., Bailly, N., Boyko, C.B., Brandão, S.N., Gofas, S., Hooper, J.N.A., Hernandez,
1033 F., Holovachov, O., Mees, J., Molodtsova, T.N., Paulay, G., De Cock, W., De Keyser, S., Poffyn, G.,
1034 Vandepitte, L., Vanhoorne, B., Adlard, R., Agatha, S., Ahn, K.J., Akkari, N., Alvarez, B., Amorim, V.,
1035 Anderberg, A., Anderson, G., Antic, D., Antonietto, L.S., Arango, C., Artois, T., Atkinson, S., Auffenberg,
1036 K., Baldwin, B.G., Bank, R., Barber, A., Barbosa, J.P., Bartsch, I., Bellan-Santini, D., Bergh, N., Bernot, J.,
1037 Berta, A., Bezerra, T.N., Bieler, R., Blanco, S., Blasco-Costa, I., Blazewicz, M., Bock, P., de León, M.,
1038 Böttger-Schnack, R., Bouchet, P., Boury-Esnault, N., Boxshall, G., Bray, R., Bruce, N.L., Bueno, V.,
1039 Cairns, S., Calvo Casas, J., Carballo, J.L., Cárdenas, P., Carstens, E., Chan, B.K., Chan, T.Y., Cheng, L.,
1040 Christenhusz, M., Churchill, M., Coleman, C.O., Collins, A.G., Collins, G.E., Corbari, L., Cordeiro, R.,
1041 Cornils, A., Coste, M., Costello, M.J., Crandall, K.A., Cremonte, F., Cribb, T., Cutmore, S., Dahdouh-
1042 Guebas, F., Daly, M., Daneliya, M., Dauvin, J.C., Davie, P., De Broyer, C., De Grave, S., de Lima Ferreira,
1043 P., de Mazancourt, V., de Voogd, N.J., Decker, P., Defaye, D., D’Hondt, J.L., Dippenaar, S., Dohrmann,
1044 M., Dolan, J., Domning, D., Downey, R., Dreyer, N., Ector, L., Eisendle, U., Eitel, M., Encarnação, S.C. d.,
1045 Enghoff, H., Epler, J., Ewers-Saucedo, C., Faber, M., Figueroa, D., Finn, J., Fišer, C., Fordyce, E., Foster,
1046 W., Frank, J.H., Franssen, C., Freire, S., Furuya, H., Gale, A., Galea, H., Gao, T., Garcia-Alvarez, O.,
1047 Garcia-Jacas, N., Garic, R., Garnett, S., Gasca, R., Gaviria-Melo, S., Gerken, S., Gibson, D., Gibson, R.,

1048 Gil, J., Gittenberger, A., Glasby, C., Glenner, H., Glover, A., Gómez-Noguera, S.E., González-Solís, D.,
 1049 Gostel, M., Grabowski, M., Gravili, C., Grossi, M., Guerra-García, J.M., Guidetti, R., Guiry, M.D.,
 1050 Gutierrez, D., Hadfield, K.A., Hajdu, E., Hallermann, J., Hayward, B.W., Heiden, G., Hendrycks, E.,
 1051 Herbert, D., Herrera Bachiller, A., Ho, J. s., Hodda, M., Høeg, J., Hoeksema, B., Houart, R., Hughes, L.,
 1052 Hyžný, M., Iniesta, L.F.M., Iseto, T., Ivanenko, V., Iwataki, M., Janssen, R., Jaume, D., Jazdzewski, K.,
 1053 Jersabek, C.D., Jóźwiak, P., Kabat, A., Kantor, Y., Karanovic, I., Karthick, B., Kathirithamby, J., Katinas,
 1054 L., Kim, Y.H., King, R., Kirk, P.M., Klautau, M., Kociolek, J.P., Köhler, F., Kolb, J., Konowalik, K., Kotov, A.,
 1055 Kovács, Z., Kremenetskaia, A., Kristensen, R.M., Kulikovskiy, M., Kullander, S., Kupriyanova, E.,
 1056 Lambert, G., Lazarus, D., Le Coze, F., LeCroy, S., Leduc, D., Lefkowitz, E.J., Lemaitre, R., Lichter-Marck,
 1057 I.H., Lindsay, D., Liu, Y., Loeuille, B., Lörz, A.N., Lowry, J., Ludwig, T., Lundholm, N., Macpherson, E.,
 1058 Madin, L., Mah, C., Mamo, B., Mamos, T., Manconi, R., Mapstone, G., Marek, P.E., Marshall, B.,
 1059 Marshall, D.J., Martin, P., Mast, R., McFadden, C., McInnes, S.J., Meland, K., da Silva, D.C., Merrin, K.L.,
 1060 Messing, C., Mills, C., Moestrup, Ø., Mokievsky, V., Monniot, F., Mooi, R., Morandini, A.C., da Rocha,
 1061 R., Morrow, C., Mortelmans, J., Mortimer, J., Musco, L., Nery, D.G., Nesom, G., Neubauer, T.A.,
 1062 Neubert, E., Neuhaus, B., Ng, P., Nguyen, A.D., Nielsen, C., Nishikawa, T., Norenburg, J., O'Hara, T.,
 1063 Opresko, D., Osawa, M., Osigus, H.J., Ota, Y., Páll-Gergely, B., Panero, J.L., Pasini, E., Patterson, D.,
 1064 Paxton, H., Pelser, P., Peña-Santiago, R., Perez-Losada, M., Petrescu, I., Pfingstl, T., Pica, D., Picton, B.,
 1065 Pilger, J.F., Pisera, A.B., Polhemus, D., Poore, G.C., Potapova, M., Pugh, P., Read, G., Reich, M., Reimer,
 1066 J.D., Reip, H., Reuscher, M., Reynolds, J.W., Richling, I., Rimet, F., Ríos, P., Rius, M., Rodríguez, E.,
 1067 Rogers, D.C., Roque, N., Rosenberg, G., Rützler, K., Saavedra, M., Sabbe, K., Saiz-Salinas, J., Sala, S.,
 1068 Santagata, S., Santos, S., Sar, E., Satoh, A., Saucède, T., Schatz, H., Schierwater, B., Schilling, E.,
 1069 Schmidt-Rhaesa, A., Schneider, S., Schönberg, C., Schuchert, P., Senna, A.R., Sennikov, A., Serejo, C.,
 1070 Shaik, S., Shamsi, S., Sharma, J., Shear, W.A., Shenkar, N., Short, M., Sicinski, J., Sierwald, P., Simmons,
 1071 E., Sinniger, F., Sivell, D., Sket, B., Smit, H., Smit, N., Smol, N., Souza-Filho, J.F., Spelda, J., Sterrer, W.,
 1072 Stienen, E., Stoev, P., Stöhr, S., Strand, M., Suárez-Morales, E., Summers, M., Suppan, L., Susanna, A.,
 1073 Suttle, C., Swalla, B.J., Taiti, S., Tanaka, M., Tandberg, A.H., Tang, D., Tasker, M., Taylor, J., Taylor, J.,
 1074 Tchesunov, A., Temereva, E., ten Hove, H., ter Poorten, J.J., Thomas, J.D., Thuesen, E. V, Thurston, M.,

1075 Thuy, B., Timi, J.T., Timm, T., Todaro, A., Turon, X., Uetz, P., Urbatsch, L., Uribe-Palomino, J., Urtubey,
 1076 E., Utevsky, S., Vacelet, J., Vachard, D., Vader, W., Väinölä, R., de Vijver, B., van der Meij, S.E., van
 1077 Haaren, T., van Soest, R.W., Vanreusel, A., Venekey, V., Vieira, L.O.M., Vinarski, M., Vonk, R., Vos, C.,
 1078 Walker-Smith, G., Walter, T.C., Watling, L., Wayland, M., Wesener, T., Wetzel, C.E., Whipps, C., White,
 1079 K., Wieneke, U., Williams, D.M., Williams, G., Wilson, R., Witkowski, A., Witkowski, J., Wyatt, N.,
 1080 Wylezich, C., Xu, K., Zanol, J., Zeidler, W., Zhao, Z., 2021. World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS).

 1081 Hwang, U.W., Kim, W., 1999. General properties and phylogenetic utilities of nuclear ribosomal DNA and
 1082 mitochondrial DNA commonly used in molecular systematics. Korean J. Parasitol. 37, 215–228.
 1083 <https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.1999.37.4.215>

 1084 Illa, K., Shameem, U., Serra, V., Melai, M., Mangam, S., Basuri, C.K., Petroni, G., Modeo, L., 2019.
 1085 Multidisciplinary investigation on the catfish parasite *Hamatopeduncularia* Yamaguti, 1953
 1086 (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae): description of two new species from India, and phylogenetic
 1087 considerations. Eur. Zool. J. 86, 132–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24750263.2019.1597931>

 1088 Janz, N., Nylin, S., 2008. The oscillation hypothesis of host-plant range and speciation, in: Specialization,
 1089 speciation, and radiation: the evolutionary biology of herbivorous insects. University of California
 1090 Press, pp. 203–215. <https://doi.org/10.1525/california/9780520251328.003.0015>

 1091 Jermini, L.S., Catullo, R.A., Holland, B.R., 2020. A new phylogenetic protocol: dealing with model
 1092 misspecification and confirmation bias in molecular phylogenetics. NAR Genomics Bioinforma. 2,
 1093 lqaa041. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqaa041>

 1094 Jogunoori, W., Kritsky, D.C., Venkatanarasaiah, J., 2004. Neotropical Monogenoidea. 46. Three new species
 1095 from the gills of introduced aquarium fishes in India, the proposal of *Heterotylus* n. g. and
 1096 *Diaphorocleidus* n. g., and the reassignment of some previously described species of Urocleidoides.
 1097 Syst. Parasitol. 58, 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SYPA.0000029422.16712.9a>

 1098 Jorge, F., Poulin, R., 2018. Poor geographical match between the distributions of host diversity and parasite
 1099 discovery effort. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 285. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0072>

1100 Jorissen, M.W.P., Huyse, T., Pariselle, A., Wamuini Lunkayilakio, S., Muterezi Bukinga, F., Chocha Manda, A.,
 1101 Kapepula Kasembebe, G., Vreven, E.J., Snoeks, J., Decru, E., Artois, T., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2020.
 1102 Historical museum collections help detect parasite species jumps after tilapia introductions in the
 1103 Congo Basin. *Biol. Invasions* 22, 2825–2844. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02288-4>
 1104 Justine, J.-L., Jovelin, R., Neifar, L., Mollaret, I., Susan Lim, L.H., Hendrix, S.S., Euzet, L., 2002. Phylogenetic
 1105 positions of the Bothitrematidae and Neocalceostomatidae (Monopisthocotylean Monogeneans)
 1106 inferred from 28s rDNA sequences. *Comp. Parasitol.* 69, 20–25. [https://doi.org/10.1654/1525-](https://doi.org/10.1654/1525-2647(2002)069[0020:ppotba]2.0.co;2)
 1107 2647(2002)069[0020:ppotba]2.0.co;2
 1108 Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., Jermin, L.S., 2017. ModelFinder: fast
 1109 model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14, 587–589.
 1110 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285>
 1111 Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in
 1112 performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
 1113 Klassen, G.J., 1994. Phylogeny of *Haliotrema* species (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from boxfishes
 1114 (Tetraodontiformes: Ostraciidae): are *Haliotrema* species from boxfishes monophyletic? *J. Parasitol.*
 1115 80, 596–610.
 1116 Kmentová, N., Koblmüller, S., Van Steenberge, M., Artois, T., Muterezi Bukinga, F., Mulimbwa N’sibula, T.,
 1117 Muzumani Risasi, D., Masilya Mulungula, P., Gelnar, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2021. Failure to diverge in
 1118 African Great Lakes: the case of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* gen. nov. comb. nov. (Monogenea,
 1119 Diplectanidae) infecting latid hosts. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 46, 1113–1130.
 1120 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2019.09.022>
 1121 Kmentová, N., Koblmüller, S., Van Steenberge, M., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Artois, T., De Keyzer, E.L.R., Milec,
 1122 L., Muterezi Bukinga, F., Mulimbwa N’sibula, T., Masilya Mulungula, P., Ntakimazi, G., Volckaert,
 1123 F.A.M., Gelnar, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2020. Weak population structure and recent demographic
 1124 expansion of the monogenean parasite *Kapentagyryus* spp. infecting clupeid fishes of Lake Tanganyika,

- 1125 East Africa. Int. J. Parasitol. 50, 471–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.02.002>
- 1126 Kmentová, N., Van Steenberge, M., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Koblmüller, S., Hablützel, P.I., Bukinga, F.M.,
 1127 N'sibula, T.M., Mulungula, P.M., Nzigidahera, B., Ntakimazi, G., Gelnar, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2018.
 1128 Monogenean parasites of sardines in Lake Tanganyika: diversity, origin and intraspecific variability.
 1129 Contrib. to Zool. 87, 105–132. <https://doi.org/10.1163/18759866-08702004>
- 1130 Kmentová, N., Van Steenberge, M., Thys van den Audenaerde, D.F.E., Nhiwatiwa, T., Muterezi Bukinga, F.,
 1131 Mulimbwa N'sibula, T., Masilya Mulungula, P., Gelnar, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2019. Co-introduction
 1132 success of monogeneans infecting the fisheries target *Limnothrissa miodon* differs between two non-
 1133 native areas: the potential of parasites as a tag for introduction pathway. Biol. Invasions 21, 757–773.
 1134 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-018-1856-3>
- 1135 Kritsky, D.C., 2012. Dactylogyrids (Monogenoidea: Polyonchoinea) parasitizing the gills of snappers
 1136 (Perciformes: Lutjanidae): revision of *Euryhaliotrema* with new and previously described species from
 1137 the red sea, Persian Gulf, the Eastern and Indo-West Pacific Ocean, and the Gulf of Mexico. Zoologia
 1138 29, 227–276. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702012000300006>
- 1139 Kritsky, D.C., Boeger, W.A., 1989. The phylogenetic status of the Ancyrocephalidae Bychowsky, 1937
 1140 (Monogenea: Dactylogyroidea). J. Parasitol. 75, 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3282767>
- 1141 Kritsky, D.C., Boeger, W.A., Jégu, M., 1996. Neotropical monogenoidea. 28. Ancyrocephalinae
 1142 (Dactylogyridae) of piranha and their relatives (Teleostei, Serrasalminidae) from Brazil and French
 1143 Guiana: species of *Notozothecium* Boeger and Kritsky, 1988, and *Mymarothecium* gen. n. Comp.
 1144 Parasitol. 63, 153–175.
- 1145 Kritsky, D.C., Boeger, W.A., Van Every, L.R., 1992. Neotropical Monogenoidea. 17. *Anacanthorus* Mizelle
 1146 and Price, 1965 (Dactylogyridae, Anacanthorinae) from characoid fishes of the Central Amazon. J.
 1147 Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 59, 25–51.
- 1148 Kritsky, D.C., Galli, P., 2007. Dactylogyrids (Monogenoidea) parasitizing the gills of spinefoots (Teleostei:

1149 Siganidae): Revision of *Pseudohaliotrema*, with redescriptions of *P. sphincteroporus* and *P. molnari*
1150 from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Comp. Parasitol. 74, 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3580.1>

1151 Kritsky, D.C., Galli, P., Tingbao, Y., 2007a. Dactylogyrids (Monogeneoidea) parasitizing the gills of spinefoots
1152 (Teleostei, Siganidae): Revision of *Tetrancistrum* Goto and Kikuchi, 1917, with descriptions of two new
1153 species from *Siganus* spp. of the Red Sea and Celebes. J. Nat. Hist. 41, 1513–1551.
1154 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930701452989>

1155 Kritsky, D.C., Galli, P., Yang, T., 2007b. Dactylogyrids (Monogeneoidea) parasitizing the gills of spinefoots
1156 (Teleostei: Siganidae): Proposal of *Glyphidohaptor* n. gen., with two new species from the great barrier
1157 reef, Australia, and *G. plectocirra* n. comb. from Ras Mohammed National. J. Parasitol. 93, 39–46.
1158 <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3580.1>

1159 Kritsky, D.C., Gutierrez, P.A., 1998. Neotropical monogeneoidea. 34. Species of *Demidospermus*
1160 (Dactylogyridae, Ancyrocephalinae) from the gills of pimelodids (Teleostei, Siluriformes) in Argentina.
1161 J. Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 65, 147–159.

1162 Kritsky, D.C., Kulo, S.-D., 1988. The African species of *Quadriacanthus* with proposal of *Quadriacanthoides*
1163 gen. n. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae). Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 55, 175–187.

1164 Kritsky, D.C., Kulo, S.-D., Boeger, W.A., 1987. Resurrection of *Characidotrema* Paperna and Thurston, 1968
1165 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) with description of two new species from Togo, Africa. Proc.
1166 Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 54, 175–184.

1167 Kritsky, D.C., Mendoza-Franco, E.F., 2003. Neotropical Monogeneoidea. 42. *Pavanelliella scaphiocotylus* sp.
1168 nov. (Dactylogyridae) from the nasal cavity of the Guatemalan chulin, *Rhamdia guatemalensis*
1169 (Siluriformes: Heptapteridae), from a cenote on the Yucatán Peninsula, Mexico. Comp. Parasitol. 70,
1170 136–139. [https://doi.org/10.1654/1525-2647\(2003\)070\[0136:NMPSSN\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1654/1525-2647(2003)070[0136:NMPSSN]2.0.CO;2)

1171 Kritsky, D.C., Mendoza-Franco, E.F., Scholz, T., 2000. Neotropical Monogeneoidea. 36. Dactylogyrids from the
1172 gills of *Rhamdia guatemalensis* (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae) from cenotes of the Yucatan Peninsula,
1173 Mexico, with proposal of *Ameloblastella* gen. n. and *Aphanoblastella* gen. n. (Dactylogyridae:

- 1174 Ancyrocephalinae). Comp. Parasitol. 67, 76–84
- 1175 Kritsky, D.C., Nguyen, H. Van, Ha, N.D., Heckmann, R.A., 2016. Revision of *Metahaliotrema* Yamaguti, 1953
 1176 (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae), with new and previously described species from the spotted scat
 1177 *Scatophagus argus* (Linnaeus) (Perciformes: Scatophagidae) in Vietnam. Syst. Parasitol. 93, 321–335.
 1178 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-015-9621-9>
- 1179 Kritsky, D.C., Nitta, M., 2019. Dactylogyrids (Platyhelminthes: Monogenoidea) infecting the gill lamellae of
 1180 flatheads (Scorpaeniformes: Platycephalidae), with proposal of *Platycephalotrema* n. gen. and
 1181 descriptions of new species from Australia and Japan. Divers. 2019, Vol. 11, Page 132 11, 132.
 1182 <https://doi.org/10.3390/D11080132>
- 1183 Kritsky, D.C., Thatcher, V.E., Boeger, W.A., 1986. Neotropical Monogenea. 8: Revision of *Urocleidoides*
 1184 (Dactylogyridae, Ancyrocephalinae). Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 53, 1–37.
- 1185 Kritsky, D.C., Thatcher, V.E., Boeger, W.A., 1989. Neotropical Monogenea. 15. Dactylogyrids from the gills of
 1186 Brazilian Cichlidae with proposal of *Sciadicleithrum* gen. n. (Dactylogyridae). Proc. Helminthol. Soc.
 1187 Wash. 56, 128–140.
- 1188 Kritsky, D.C., Tingbao, Y., Yuan, S., 2009. Dactylogyrids (Monogenoidea, Polyonchoinea) parasitizing the gills
 1189 of snappers (Perciformes, Lutjanidae): proposal of *Haliotrematoides* n. gen. and descriptions of new
 1190 and previously described species from marine fishes of the Red Sea, the eastern and Indo-west Pacific
 1191 Ocean, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Zootaxa 1970, 1–51.
 1192 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1970.1.1>
- 1193 Kritsky, D.C., Yang, T., Sun, Y., 2009. Dactylogyrids (Monogenoidea, Polyonchoinea) parasitizing the gills of
 1194 snappers (Perciformes, Lutjanidae): proposal of *Haliotrematoides* n. gen. and descriptions of new and
 1195 previously described species from marine fishes of the Red Sea, the eastern and Indo-west Pacific
 1196 Ocean, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Zootaxa 1970, 1–51.
- 1197 Kuchta, R., Řehulková, E., Francová, K., Scholz, T., Morand, S., Šimková, A., 2020. Diversity of monogeneans
 1198 and tapeworms in cypriniform fishes across two continents. Int. J. Parasitol. 50, 771–786.

1199 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPARA.2020.06.005>

1200 Le Brun, N., Lambert, A., Justine, J.-L., 1986. Oncomiracidium, morphogenèse du haptor et ultrastructure
 1201 du spermatozoïde de *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae* (Yin et Sporstson, 1948) Gussev, 1965. Ann.
 1202 Parasitol. Hum. Comparée 61, 273–284.

1203 Legendre, P., Lapointe, F.-J., 2004. Assessing congruence among distance matrices: single-malt scotch
 1204 whiskies revisited. Aust. N. Z. J. Stat. 46, 615–629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.00357.x>

1205 Lim, L.H.S., 1996. Eight new species of *Hamatopeduncularia* Yamaguti, 1953 (Monogenea:
 1206 Ancyrocephalidae) from Ariidae of Peninsular Malaysia. Syst. Parasitol. 33, 53–71.
 1207 <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01526634>

1208 Lim, L.H.S., 1995. *Bravohollisia* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970 and *Caballeria* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970
 1209 (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from *Pomadasys hasta* (Bloch) (Pomadasyidae), with the description
 1210 of a new attachment mechanism. Syst. Parasitol. 32, 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00008830>

1211 Lim, L.H.S., 1994. *Chauhanellus* Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1969 (Monogenea) from ariid fishes (Siluriformes)
 1212 of Peninsular Malaysia. Syst. Parasitol. 28, 99–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00012180>

1213 Lim, L.H.S., Justine, J.-L., 2011. Two new species of ancyrocephalid monogeneans from *Lethrinus*
 1214 *rubrioperculatus* Sato (Perciformes: Lethrinidae) off New Caledonia, with the proposal of
 1215 *Lethrinitrema* n. g. Syst. Parasitol. 78, 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-010-9283-6>

1216 Lim, L.H.S., Timofeeva, T.A., Gibson, D.I., 2001. Dactylogyridean monogeneans of the siluriform fishes of the
 1217 Old World. Syst. Parasitol. 50, 159–197. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012237801974>

1218 Louca, S., Doebeli, M., 2018. Efficient comparative phylogenetics on large trees. Bioinformatics 34, 1053–
 1219 1055. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx701>

1220 Luque, J.L., Amato, J.F.R., Takemoto, R.M., 1992. A new species of *Mexicana* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae)
 1221 parasitic on *Haemulon steindachneri* (Jordan & Gilbert) (Osteichthyes: Haemulidae) from the
 1222 Brazilian coast. Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Veterinária 1, 85–88.

- 1223 Luque, J.L., Pereira, F.B., Alves, P.V., Oliva, M.E., Timi, J.T., 2017. Helminth parasites of South American
1224 fishes: current status and characterization as a model for studies of biodiversity. J. Helminthol. 91,
1225 150–164. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X16000717>
- 1226 Luus-Powell, W.J., Madanire-Moyo, G.N., Matla, M.M., Přikrylová, I., 2020. Monogenean parasites from the
1227 stomach of *Oreochromis mossambicus* from South Africa: two new species of *Enterogyrus*
1228 (Dactylogyridae: Ancyrocephalinae). Parasitol. Res. 119, 1505–1514. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06650-2)
1229 020-06650-2
- 1230 Malmberg, G., 1990. On the ontogeny of the haptor and the evolution of the Monogenea. Syst. Parasitol.
1231 17, 1–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009356>
- 1232 Marchiori, N.C., Pariselle, A., Pereira, J., Agnèse, J.F., Durand, J.D., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2015. A comparative
1233 study of *Ligophorus uruguayense* and *L. saladensis* (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from *Mugil liza*
1234 (Teleostei: Mugilidae) in southern Brazil. Folia Parasitol.. 62, 1–10.
1235 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2015.024>
- 1236 Marshall, B.M., Strine, C.T., 2019. Exploring snake occurrence records: spatial biases and marginal gains
1237 from accessible social media. PeerJ 7, e8059. <https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.8059>
- 1238 Matschiner, M., 2019. Gondwanan vicariance or trans-Atlantic dispersal of cichlid fishes: a review of the
1239 molecular evidence. Hydrobiologia 832, 9–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3686-9>
- 1240 Matschiner, M., Böhne, A., Ronco, F., Salzburger, W., 2020. The genomic timeline of cichlid fish
1241 diversification across continents. Nat. Commun. 11, 5895. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17827-9)
1242 17827-9
- 1243 Mendlová, M., Desdevises, Y., Cíváňová, K., Pariselle, A., Šimková, A., 2012. Monogeneans of west African
1244 cichlid fish: evolution and cophylogenetic interactions. PLoS One 7, e37268.
1245 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037268>
- 1246 Mendlová, M., Pariselle, A., Vyskočilová, M., Šimková, A., 2010. Molecular phylogeny of monogeneans

- 1247 parasitizing African freshwater Cichlidae inferred from LSU rDNA sequences. Parasitol. Res. 107, 1405–
1248 1413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2008-6>
- 1249 Mendoza-Franco, E.F., Reina, R.G., Torchin, M.E., 2009. Dactylogyrids (Monogeneoidea) parasitizing the gills
1250 of *Astyanax* spp. (Characidae) from Panama and Southeast Mexico, a new species of *Diaphorocleidus*
1251 and a proposal for *Characithecium* n. gen. J. Parasitol. 95, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1592.1>
- 1252 Mendoza-Franco, E.F., Tun, M. del C.R., Anchevida, A. de J.D., Rodríguez, R.E. de. R., 2018. Morphological
1253 and molecular (28S rRNA) data of monogeneans (platyhelminthes) infecting the gill lamellae of marine
1254 fishes in the Campeche Bank, southwest Gulf of Mexico. Zookeys 2018, 125–161.
1255 <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.783.26218>
- 1256 Mendoza-Garfias, B., García-Prieto, L., Pérez-Ponce De León, G., 2017. Checklist of the Monogenea
1257 (Platyhelminthes) parasitic in Mexican aquatic vertebrates. Zoosystema 39, 501–598.
1258 <https://doi.org/10.5252/z2017n4a5>
- 1259 Mendoza-Palmero, C.A., Blasco-Costa, I., Hernández-Mena, D., Pérez-Ponce de León, G., 2017.
1260 *Parasciadicleithrum octofasciatum* n. gen., n. sp. (Monogeneoidea: Dactylogyridae), parasite of *Rocio*
1261 *octofasciata* (Regan) (Cichlidae: Perciformes) from Mexico characterised by morphological and
1262 molecular evidence. Parasitol. Int. 66, 152–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2017.01.006>
- 1263 Mendoza-Palmero, C.A., Blasco-Costa, I., Scholz, T., 2015. Molecular phylogeny of Neotropical
1264 monogeneans (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) from catfishes (Siluriformes). Parasit. Vectors 8.
1265 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0767-8>
- 1266 Mendoza-Palmero, C.A., Mendoza-Franco, E.F., Acosta, A.A., Scholz, T., 2019. *Walteriella* n. g.
1267 (Monogeneoidea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of pimelodid catfishes (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae) from
1268 the Peruvian Amazonia based on morphological and molecular data. Syst. Parasitol. 96, 441–452.
1269 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-019-09866-8>
- 1270 Mendoza-Palmero, C.A., Rossin, M.A., Irigoitia, M.M., Scholz, T., 2020. A new species of *Ameloblastella*
1271 Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000 (Monogeneoidea: Dactylogyridae) from South American

1272 freshwater catfishes (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae). Syst. Parasitol. 97, 357–367.
 1273 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-020-09915-7>

1274 Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., Schwartz, T., 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large
 1275 phylogenetic trees. 2010 Gatew. Comput. Environ. Work. GCE 2010.
 1276 <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>

1277 Mirande, J.M., 2019. Morphology, molecules and the phylogeny of Characidae (Teleostei, Characiformes).
 1278 Cladistics 35, 282–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12345>

1279 Mirande, J.M., 2009. Weighted parsimony phylogeny of the family Characidae (Teleostei: Characiformes).
 1280 Cladistics 25, 574–613. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00262.x>

1281 Mizelle, J.D., Kritsky, D.C., 1967. *Unilatus* gen. n., a unique Neotropical genus of Monogenea. J. Parasitol.
 1282 53, 1113–1114. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3276854>

1283 Mollaret, I., Jamieson, B.G.M., Adlard, R.D., Hugall, A., Lecointre, G., Chombard, C., Justine, J.-L., 1997.
 1284 Phylogenetic analysis of the Monogenea and their relationships with Digenea and Eucestoda inferred
 1285 from 28S rDNA sequences. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 90, 433–438. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-6851(97)00176-X)
 1286 [6851\(97\)00176-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-6851(97)00176-X)

1287 Mollaret, I., Jamieson, B.G.M., Justine, J.-L., 2000. Phylogeny of the Monopisthocotylea and
 1288 Polyopisthocotylea (Platyhelminthes) inferred from 28S rDNA sequences. Int. J. Parasitol. 30, 171–185.
 1289 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(99\)00197-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(99)00197-6)

1290 Moreira, J., da Silva Carneiro, J., Ruz, E.J.H., Luque, J.L., 2019a. New species and records of *Anacanthorus*
 1291 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing serrasalmid fish (Characiformes) from Brazil, including
 1292 molecular data. Acta Parasitol. 64, 449–455. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00055-7>

1293 Moreira, J., Luque, J.L., Šimková, A., 2019b. The phylogenetic position of *Anacanthorus* (Monogenea,
 1294 Dactylogyridae) parasitizing Brazilian serrasalmids (Characiformes). Parasite 26, 44.
 1295 <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2019045>

1296 Morey, G.A.M., Arimuya, M.V., Boeger, W.A., 2019. Neotropical Monogeneoidea 62. *Biotodomella*
1297 *mirospinata* gen. nov., sp. nov. (Polyonchoinea: Dactylogyridae): a parasite of the gills of *Biotodoma*
1298 *cupido* (Cichliformes: Cichlidae), from the Peruvian Amazon. *Zoologia* 36, e38455.
1299 <https://doi.org/10.3897/zoologia.36.e38455>

1300 Nabhan, A.R., Sarkar, I.N., 2012. The impact of taxon sampling on phylogenetic inference: a review of two
1301 decades of controversy. *Brief. Bioinform.* 13, 122–134. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbr014>

1302 Neifar, L., Euzet, L., 2007. Five new species of *Pseudorhabdosynochus* (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) from the
1303 gills of *Epinephelus costae* (Teleostei: Serranidae). *Folia Parasitol.* 54, 117–128.
1304 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2007.017>

1305 Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
1306 algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 268–274.
1307 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>

1308 Nicolas, V., Fabre, P.H., Bryja, J., Denys, C., Verheyen, E., Missoup, A.D., Olayemi, A., Katuala, P., Dudu, A.,
1309 Colyn, M., Kerbis Peterhans, J., Demos, T., 2020. The phylogeny of the African wood mice (Muridae,
1310 *Hylomyscus*) based on complete mitochondrial genomes and five nuclear genes reveals their
1311 evolutionary history and undescribed diversity. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 144, 106703.
1312 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106703>

1313 Nitta, M., Nagasawa, K., 2020. *Gobioecetes longibasais* n. sp. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from
1314 *Rhinogobius similis* gill (Perciformes: Gobiidae) from Okinawa-jima Island, the Ryukyu Archipelago,
1315 southern Japan, with a new host record for *Gobioecetes biwaensis* Ogawa & Itoh, 2017. *Syst. Parasitol.*
1316 97, 193–200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-020-09905-9>

1317 Ogawa, K., Itoh, N., 2017. *Gobioecetes biwaensis* n. g., n. sp. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of
1318 a freshwater gobiid fish, *Rhinogobius* sp. BW Takahashi & Okazaki, 2002, with a redescription of
1319 *Parancyrocephaloides daicoci* Yamaguti, 1938. *Parasitol. Int.* 66, 287–298.
1320 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2017.02.006>

- 1321 Ogawa, K., Iwashita, M., Hayward, C.J., Kurashima, A., 2015. Three new species of *Pseudodactylogyrus*
1322 (Monogenea: Pseudodactylogyridae) from Australian eels. *Folia Parasitol.* 62, 046.
1323 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2015.046>
- 1324 Olson, P.D., Littlewood, D.T.J., 2002. Phylogenetics of the Monogenea – evidence from a medley of
1325 molecules. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 32, 233–244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(01\)00328-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00328-9)
- 1326 Ondračková, M., Bartáková, V., Kvach, Y., Bryjová, A., Trichkova, T., Ribeiro, F., Carassou, L., Martens, A.,
1327 Masson, G., Zechmeister, T., Jurajda, P., 2021. Parasite infection reflects host genetic diversity among
1328 non-native populations of pumpkinseed sunfish in Europe. *Hydrobiologia* 848, 2169–2187.
1329 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04410-y>
- 1330 Pagel, M., 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature* 401, 877–884.
1331 <https://doi.org/10.1038/44766>
- 1332 Paperna, I., 1969. Monogenea of the subfamily Heteronchocleidinae, Price, 1968 (Dactylogyridae) from
1333 African freshwater fish. *Parasitology* 59, 557–561. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000031103>
- 1334 Paperna, I., 1968. *Onchobdella* n. gen., new genus of monogenetic trematodes (Dactylogyridae, Bychowski,
1335 1933) from cichlid fish from West Africa. *Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Wash.* 35, 200–206.
- 1336 Paperna, I., 1964. Parasitic helminths of inland-water fishes in Israel. *Isr. J. Zoology* 13, 1–20.
- 1337 Paperna, I., 1960. Studies on monogenetic trematodes in Israel. 2. Monogenetic trematodes of cichlids.
1338 *Bamidgeh* 12, 20–33.
- 1339 Paradis, E., Schliep, K., 2019. Ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses
1340 in *R. Bioinformatics* 35, 526–528. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633>
- 1341 Pariselle, A., Boeger, W.A., Snoeks, J., Bilong Bilong, C.F., Morand, S., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2011. The
1342 monogenean parasite fauna of cichlids: a potential tool for host biogeography. *Int. J. Evol. Biol.* 2011,
1343 471480. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/471480>
- 1344 Pariselle, A., Euzet, L., 2009. Systematic revision of dactylogyridean parasites (Monogenea) from cichlid

1345 fishes in Africa, the Levant and Madagascar. *Zoosystema* 31, 849–898.
 1346 <https://doi.org/10.5252/z2009n4a6>

1347 Pennell, M.W., Eastman, J.M., Slater, G.J., Brown, J.W., Uyeda, J.C., Fitzjohn, R.G., Alfaro, M.E., Harmon, L.J.,
 1348 2014. Geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to
 1349 phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2216–2218.
 1350 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu181>

1351 Peoples, B.K., Midway, S.R., Sackett, D., Lynch, A., Cooney, P.B., 2016. Twitter predicts citation rates of
 1352 ecological research. *PLoS One* 11, e0166570. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0166570>

1353 Plaisance, L., Littlewood, D.T.J., Olson, P.D., Morand, S., 2005. Molecular phylogeny of gill monogeneans
 1354 (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) and colonization of Indo-West Pacific butterflyfish
 1355 hosts (Perciformes, Chaetodontidae). *Zool. Scr.* 34, 425–436. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2005.00191.x)
 1356 [6409.2005.00191.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2005.00191.x)

1357 Poisot, T., Verneau, O., Desdevises, Y., 2011. Morphological and molecular evolution are not linked in
 1358 *Lamellodiscus* (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea). *PLoS One* 6, e26252.
 1359 <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0026252>

1360 Poulin, R., Hay, E., Jorge, F., 2019. Taxonomic and geographic bias in the genetic study of helminth
 1361 parasites. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 49, 429–435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.12.005>

1362 Pouyaud, L., Desmarais, E., Deveney, M., Pariselle, A., 2006. Phylogenetic relationships among monogenean
 1363 gill parasites (Dactylogyridea, Ancyrocephalidae) infesting tilapiine hosts (Cichlidae): Systematic and
 1364 evolutionary implications. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 38, 241–249.
 1365 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YMPEV.2005.08.013>

1366 Price, C.E., Berry, W.S., 1966. *Trianchoratus*, a new genus of Monogenea. *Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Washingt.*
 1367 33, 201–203.

1368 Price, C.E., Pike, T., 1969. The monogenean parasites of African fishes. VII. Dissolution of the family
 1369 Protogyrodactylidae Johnston and Tiegs, 1922. *Proc. th Helminthol. Soc. Wash.* 36, 260–264.

- 1370 Price, C.E., Yurkiewicz, W.J., 1968. The monogenean parasites of African fishes. VIII. A re-evaluation of the
1371 genus *Dogielius* Bychowsky. 1936, with the description of a new species. Rev. Ibérica Parasitol. 28,
1372 467–472.
- 1373 R Core Team, 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 1374 Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., Suchard, M.A., 2018. Posterior summarization in Bayesian
1375 phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67, 901–904. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032>
- 1376 Raphahlelo, M.E., Přikrylová, I., Matla, M.M., 2020. *Dactylogyrus* spp. (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) from
1377 the gills of *Enteromius* spp. (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae) from the Limpopo Province, South Africa with
1378 descriptions of three new species. Acta Parasitol. 65, 396–412. [https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-020-](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-020-00175-5)
1379 00175-5
- 1380 Raphahlelo, M.E., Přikrylová, I., Matla, M.M., Theron, J., Luus-Powell, W.J., 2016. A revised description of
1381 *Synodontella zambezensis* Douëllou et Chishawa, 1995 (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from the gills
1382 of *Synodontis zambezensis* (Siluriformes: Mochokidae) from South Africa. Helminthol. 53, 363–371.
1383 <https://doi.org/10.1515/helmin-2016-0038>
- 1384 Řehulková, E., Kičinjaová, M.L., Mahmoud, Z.N., Gelnar, M., Seifertová, M., 2019. Species of
1385 *Characidotrema* Paperna & Thurston, 1968 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from fishes of the Alestidae
1386 (Characiformes) in Africa: new species, host-parasite associations and first insights into the phylogeny
1387 of the genus. Parasit. Vectors 12, 366. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3580-y>
- 1388 Rogers, W.A., 1967. Studies on Dactylogyridae (Monogenea) with descriptions of 24 new species of
1389 *Dactylogyrus*, 5 new species of *Pellucidhaptor*, and the proposal of *Aplodiscus* gen. n. J. Parasitol. 53,
1390 501–524. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3276709>
- 1391 Rohde, K., Ho, J.-S., Smales, L., Williams, R., 1998. Parasites of Antarctic fishes: Monogenea, Copepoda and
1392 Acanthocephala. Mar. Freshw. Res. 49, 121–125. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF97133>
- 1393 Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models.

- 1394 Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>
- 1395 Roxo, F.F., Albert, J.S., Silva, G.S.C., Zawadzki, C.H., Foresti, F., Oliveira, C., 2014. Molecular phylogeny and
 1396 biogeographic history of the armored Neotropical catfish subfamilies hypoptopomatinae,
 1397 neoplecostominae and otothyridinae (Siluriformes: Loricariidae). PLoS One 9, 105564.
 1398 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105564>
- 1399 Sarabeev, V.L., Rubtsova, N., Yang, T., Balbuena, J.A., 2013. Taxonomic revision of the Atlantic and Pacific
 1400 species of *Ligophorus* (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) from mullets (Teleostei, Mugilidae) with the
 1401 proposal of a new genus and description of four new species. Vestn. Zool. 28.
- 1402 Salgado-Maldonado, G., 2008. Helminth parasites of freshwater fish from Central America. Zootaxa 1915,
 1403 29–53. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1915.1.2](https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1915.1.2)
- 1404 Schelkle, B., Doetjes, R., Cable, J., 2011. The salt myth revealed: treatment of gyrodactylid infections on
 1405 ornamental guppies, *Poecilia reticulata*. Aquaculture 311, 74–79.
 1406 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.11.036>
- 1407 Schmidt-Lebuhn, A.N., 2012. Fallacies and false premises—a critical assessment of the arguments for the
 1408 recognition of paraphyletic taxa in botany. Cladistics 28, 174–187. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2011.00367.x)
 1409 [0031.2011.00367.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2011.00367.x)
- 1410 Scholz, T., Vanhove, M.P.M., Smit, N., Jayasundera, Z., Gelnar, M., 2018. A Guide to the parasites of African
 1411 freshwater fishes. Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium.
- 1412 Šimková, A., Matějusková, I., Cunningham, C.O., 2006. A molecular phylogeny of the Dactylogyridae *sensu*
 1413 Kritsky & Boeger (1989) (Monogenea) based on the D1-D3 domains of large subunit rDNA.
 1414 Parasitology 133, 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006009942>
- 1415 Šimková, A., Plaisance, L., Matějusková, I., Morand, S., Verneau, O., 2003. Phylogenetic relationships of the
 1416 Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridea): the need for the systematic revision
 1417 of the Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937. Syst. Parasitol. 54, 1–11.

1418 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022133608662>

1419 Singh, H.S., Chaudhary, A., 2010. Genetic characterization of *Dactylogyroides longicirrus* (Tripathi, 1959)

1420 Gussev, 1976 by nuclear 28S segment of ribosomal DNA with a morphological redescription. Sci

1421 Parasitol 11, 119–127.

1422 Soares, G.B., Domingues, M. V., Adriano, E.A., 2021. An integrative taxonomic study of *Susanlimocotyle*

1423 *narina* n. gen. n. sp. (Monogeneoidea, Dactylogyridae) from the nasal cavities of a marine catfish

1424 (Siluriformes, Ariidae) from the Atlantic Amazon coast of Brazil and new molecular data of

1425 *Chauhanellus* spp. Parasitol. Int. 81, 102271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102271>

1426 Soler-Jiménez, L.C., García-Gasca, A., Fajer-Ávila, E.J., 2012. A new species of *Euryhaliotrematoides*

1427 Plaisance & Kritsky, 2004 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of the spotted rose snapper

1428 *Lutjanus guttatus* (Steindachner) (Perciformes: Lutjanidae). Syst. Parasitol. 82, 113–119.

1429 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-012-9351-1>

1430 Soo, O.Y.M., 2019. A new species of *Haliotrema* (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae (*sensu lato*) Bychowsky &

1431 Nagibina, 1968) from holocentrids off Langkawi Island, Malaysia with notes on the phylogeny of

1432 related *Haliotrema* species. Parasitol. Int. 68, 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2018.09.003>

1433 Soo, O.Y.M., Tan, W.B., 2021. *Hamatopeduncularia* Yamaguti, 1953 (Monogenea: Ancylo-discoididae) from

1434 catfish off Peninsular Malaysia: Description of two new species and insights on the genus. Parasitol.

1435 Int. 81, 102282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2021.102282>

1436 Strona, G., Stefani, F., Galli, P., 2009. Field preservation of monogenean parasites for molecular and

1437 morphological analyses. Parasitol. Int. 58, 51–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARINT.2008.10.001>

1438 Stuessy, T.F., Hörandl, E., 2014. The importance of comprehensive phylogenetic (evolutionary)

1439 classification-a response to Schmidt-Lebuhn’s commentary on paraphyletic taxa. Cladistics 30, 291–

1440 293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12038>

1441 Sun, Y., Li, M., Yang, T., 2014. Studies on *Lethrinitrema* Lim & Justine, 2011 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae),

1442 with the description of two new species, a key to the genus and a phylogenetic analysis based on
 1443 rDNA sequences. Syst. Parasitol. 88, 119–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-014-9482-7>

1444 Suriano, D.M., Incorvaia, I.S., 1995. Ancyrocephalid (Monogenea) parasites from siluriform fishes from the
 1445 Paranean-Platan ichthyogeographical province in Argentina. Acta Parasitol. 40, 113–124.

1446 Taborsky, M., 2009. Biased citation practice and taxonomic parochialism. Ethology 115, 105–111.
 1447 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2009.01610.x>

1448 Talavera, G., Castresana, J., 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously
 1449 aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56, 564–577.
 1450 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701472164>

1451 Tan, W.B., Fong, M.Y., Lim, L.H.S., 2011. Relationships of the heteronchocleidids (*Heteronchocleidus*,
 1452 *Eutrianchoratus* and *Trianchoratus*) as inferred from ribosomal DNA nucleotide sequence data. Raffles
 1453 Bull. Zool. 59, 127–138.

1454 Teugels, G.G., 1996. Taxonomy, phylogeny and biogeography of catfishes (Ostariophysi, Siluroidei): an
 1455 overview. Aquat. Living Resour. 9, 9–34. <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr:1996039>

1456 Theisen, S., Palm, H.W., Al-Jufaili, S.H., Kleinertz, S., 2017. *Pseudempleurosoma haywardi* sp. nov.
 1457 (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae (*sensu lato*) Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1968): an endoparasite of
 1458 croakers (Teleostei: Sciaenidae) from Indonesia. PLoS One 12, e0184376.
 1459 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184376>

1460 Theisen, S., Palm, H.W., Stolz, H., Al-Jufaili, S.H., Kleinertz, S., 2018. Endoparasitic *Paradiplectanotrema*
 1461 *klimpeli* sp. nov. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from the greater lizardfish *Saurida tumbil* (Teleostei:
 1462 Synodontidae) in Indonesia. Parasitol. Open 4, e13. <https://doi.org/10.1017/pao.2018.8>

1463 Urlings, M.J.E., Duyx, B., Swaen, G.M.H., Bouter, L.M., Zeegers, M.P., 2021. Citation bias and other
 1464 determinants of citation in biomedical research: findings from six citation networks. J. Clin. Epidemiol.
 1465 132, 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.11.019>

- 1466 Van der Stocken, T., Hugé, J., Deboelpaep, E., Vanhove, M.P.M., Janssens de Bisthoven, L., Koedam, N.,
1467 2016. Academic capacity building: holding up a mirror. *Scientometrics* 106, 1277–1280.
1468 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1811-3>
- 1469 Van Steenberge, M.W., Vanhove, M.P.M., Chocha Manda, A., Larmuseau, M.H.D., Swart, B.L., Khang’Mate,
1470 F., Arndt, A., Hellemans, B., Van Houdt, J., Micha, J.C., Koblmüller, S., Roodt-Wilding, R., Volckaert,
1471 F.A.M., 2020. Unravelling the evolution of Africa’s drainage basins through a widespread freshwater
1472 fish, the African sharptooth catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. *J. Biogeogr.* 47, 1739–1754.
1473 <https://doi.org/10.1111/JBI.13858>
- 1474 Vanhove, M.P.M., Hablützel, P.I., Pariselle, A., Šimková, A., Huyse, T., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., 2016. Cichlids: a
1475 host of opportunities for evolutionary parasitology. *Trends Parasitol.* 32, 820–832.
1476 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PT.2016.07.002>
- 1477 Vanhove, M.P.M., Pariselle, A., Van Steenberge, M., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Hablützel, P.I., Gillardin, C.,
1478 Hellemans, B., Breman, F.C., Koblmüller, S., Sturmbauer, C., Snoeks, J., Volckaert, F.A.M., Huyse, T.,
1479 2015. Hidden biodiversity in an ancient lake: phylogenetic congruence between Lake Tanganyika
1480 tropheine cichlids and their monogenean flatworm parasites. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1–15.
1481 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13669>
- 1482 Verma, J., Agrawal, N., Verma, A.K., 2017. The use of large and small subunits of ribosomal DNA in
1483 evaluating phylogenetic relationships between species of *Cornudiscoides* Kulkarni, 1969
1484 (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) from India. *J. Helminthol.* 91, 206–214.
1485 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X16000134>
- 1486 Villar-Torres, M., Repullés-Albelda, A., Montero, F.E., Raga, J.A., Blasco-Costa, I., 2019. Neither *Diplectanum*
1487 nor specific: a dramatic twist to the taxonomic framework of *Diplectanum* (Monogenea:
1488 Diplectanidae). *Int. J. Parasitol.* 49, 365–374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPARA.2018.11.003>
- 1489 Wheeler, T.A., Beverley-Burton, M., 1989. Systematics of *Onchocleidus* Mueller, 1936 (Monogenea:
1490 Ancyrocephalidae): generic revision. *Can. J. Zool.* 67, 136–157. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z89-020>

- 1491 Wheeler, T.A., Klassen, G.J., 1988. *Thylacicleidus serendipitus* n.gen., n.sp. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae)
1492 from the Indonesian freshwater puffer *Tetraodon fluviatilis* (Tetraodontiformes: Tetraodontidae). Can.
1493 J. Zool. 66, 1928–1930. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z88-281>
- 1494 Wickham, H., 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis, 2nd ed, Use R! Springer, Switzerland.
- 1495 Wiens, J.J., Tiu, J., 2012. Highly incomplete taxa can rescue phylogenetic analyses from the negative impacts
1496 of limited taxon sampling. PLoS One 7, e42925. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0042925>
- 1497 Wu, X., Li, A., Zhu, X., Xie, M., 2005. Description of *Pseudorhabdosynochus seabassi* sp. n. (Monogenea:
1498 Diplectanidae) from *Lates calcarifer* and revision of the phylogenetic position of *Diplectanum grouperi*
1499 (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) based on rDNA sequence data. Folia Parasitol.. 52, 231–240.
1500 <https://doi.org/10.14411/FP.2005.031>
- 1501 Wu, X.Y., Zhu, X.Q., Xie, M.Q., Li, A.X., 2007. The evaluation for generic-level monophyly of
1502 Ancyrocephalinae (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) using ribosomal DNA sequence data. Mol.
1503 Phylogenet. Evol. 44, 530–544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.025>
- 1504 Wu, X.Y., Zhu, X.Q., Xie, M.Q., Li, A.X., 2006. The radiation of *Haliotrema* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae:
1505 Ancyrocephalinae): molecular evidence and explanation inferred from LSU rDNA sequences.
1506 Parasitology 132, 659–668. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200500956X>
- 1507 Wu, X.Y., Zhu, X.Q., Xie, M.Q., Wang, J.Q., Li, A.X., 2008. The radiation of *Thaparocleidus* (Monogenoidea:
1508 Dactylogyridae: Ancyrodiscoidinae): phylogenetic analyses and taxonomic implications inferred from
1509 ribosomal DNA sequences. Parasitol. Res. 102, 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-007-0760-z>
- 1510 Xie, Z., Ma, J., Yang, K., Duan, C., Guo, A., Yue, C., 2019. Morphological description and molecular phylogeny
1511 of the *Gussevia asota* parasite on *Astronotus ocellatus*. Prog. Fish. Sci. 40, 87–93.
1512 <https://doi.org/10.19663/j.issn2095-9869.20171226001>
- 1513 Yamada, F.H., Acosta, A.A., Yamada, P. de O.F., Scholz, T., da Silva, R.J., 2018. A new species of
1514 *Aphanoblastella* Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco and Scholz, 2000 (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) parasitic on

1515 heptapterid catfish (Siluriformes) in the Neotropical region. *Acta Parasitol.* 63, 772–780.

1516 <https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2018-0092>

1517 Yamaguti, Satyu, 1965. New Monogenetic Trematodes from Hawaiian Fishes, I. *Pacific Sci.* XIX, 55–95.

1518 Young, P.C., 1968. Ten new species of *Haliotrema* (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) from Australian fish and

1519 a revision of the genus. *J. Zool.* 154, 41–75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1968.tb05039.x>

1520 Yu, G., Lam, T.T.-Y., Zhu, H., Guan, Y., 2018. Two methods for mapping and visualizing associated data on

1521 phylogeny using ggtree. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 3041–3043. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy194>

1522 Yu, G., Smith, D.K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y., Lam, T.T.-Y., 2017. ggtree : an R package for visualization and

1523 annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*

1524 8, 28–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12628>

1525 Zago, A.C., Franceschini, L., Müller, M.I., Silva, R.J. Da, 2018. A new species of *Cacatuocotyle* (Monogenea,

1526 Dactylogyridae) parasitizing *Astyanax* spp. (Characiformes, Characidae) from Brazil, including

1527 molecular data and a key to species identification. *Acta Parasitol.* 63, 261–269.

1528 <https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2018-0030>

1529 Zago, A.C., Franceschini, L., Abdallah, V.D., Müller, M.I., Azevedo, R.K., da Silva, R.J., 2021. Morphological

1530 and molecular data of new species of *Characithecium* and *Diaphorocleidus* (Monogenea:

1531 Dactylogyridae) from Neotropical characid fishes. *Parasitol. Int.* 84.

1532 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2021.102406>

1533 Zago, A.C., Yamada, F.H., de Oliveira Fadel Yamada, P., Franceschini, L., Bongiovani, M.F., da Silva, R.J.,

1534 2020. Seven new species of *Urocleidoides* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from Brazilian fishes

1535 supported by morphological and molecular data. *Parasitol. Res.* 119, 3255–3283.

1536 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06831-z>

1537 Zhang, D., Li, W., Zou, H., Wu, S., Li, M., Jakovlić, I., Zhang, J., Chen, R., Wang, G., 2020. Mitochondrial

1538 genomes and 28S rDNA contradict the proposed obsolescence of the order Tetraonchidea

1539 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea). Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 143, 891–901.
1540 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJBIOMAC.2019.09.150>

1541 Zhang, D., Zou, H., Wu, S.G., Li, M., Jakovlić, I., Zhang, J., Chen, R., Li, W.X., Wang, G.T., 2019. Evidence for
1542 adaptive selection in the mitogenome of a mesoparasitic monogenean flatworm *Enterogyrus*
1543 *malmbergi*. Genes (Basel). 10, 863. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10110863>

Table 1. Specimen data for DNA sequences used for phylogenetic reconstruction of Dactylogyridae including host species, GenBank accession numbers, locality by country, and reference.

Genera and species	Host	Isolate/Voucher	28S rDNA	18S rDNA	ITS1	COI mtDNA	Locality	Reference
<i>Actinocleidus</i> Mueller, 1937								
<i>Actinocleidus recurvatus</i> Mizelle & Donahue, 1944	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		AJ969951				Slovakia	Šímková et al. (2006)
<i>Ameloblastella</i> Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000								
<i>Ameloblastella chavarriai</i> (Price, 1938)	<i>Rhamdia quelen</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	AmchRq1	KP056251				Mexico	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Ameloblastella edentensis</i> Mendoza-Franco, Mendoza-Palmero & Scholz, 2016	<i>Hypophthalmus edentatus</i> Spix & Aggasiz, 1829	Am16He	KP056255				Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Ameloblastella unapioides</i> Mendoza-Franco, Mendoza-Palmero & Scholz, 2016	<i>Sorubim lima</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1901)	Am8Sl	KP056254				Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Anacanthorus</i> Mizelle & Price, 1965								
<i>Anacanthorus lepyrophallus</i> Kritsky, Boeger & Van Every, 1992	<i>Serrasalmus maculatus</i> Kner, 1858	PR04/ PR214a1 ^a	MH843718			MK757436	Brazil	Moreira et al. (2019a, 2019b)
<i>Anacanthorus paraxaniophallus</i> Moreira, Carneiro, Ruz & Luque, 2019	<i>Serrasalmus marginatus</i> Valenciennes, 1837	PR50/ PR105b2 ^a	MH843717			MK757438	Brazil	Moreira et al. (2019a, 2019b)
<i>Anacanthorus penilabiatus</i> Boeger, Husak & Martins, 1995	<i>Piaractus mesopotamicus</i> (Holmberg, 1887)	PR05/ PR228a3 ^a	MH843719			MK757435	Brazil	Moreira et al. (2019a, 2019b)
<i>Ancyrocephalus</i> Creplin, 1839								
<i>Ancyrocephalus mogurndae</i> (Yamaguti, 1940)	<i>Siniperca chuatsi</i> (Basilewsky, 1855)		DQ157667				China	Wu et al. (2006)
<i>Ancyrocephalus paradoxus</i> Creplin, 1839	<i>Sander lucioperca</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		AJ969952				Czech Republic	Šímková et al. (2006)
<i>Ancyrocephalus percae</i> Ergens, 1966	<i>Perca fluviatilis</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Ac3	KF499080				Finland	Behrmann-Godel et al. (2014)

<i>Aphanoblastella</i> Kritsky, Mendoza-Franco & Scholz, 2000					
<i>Aphanoblastella aurorae</i> Mendoza-Palmero, Scholz, Mendoza- Franco & Kuchta, 2012	<i>Goeldiella eques</i> (Müller & Troschel, 1849)	ApauGe	KP056239	Peru	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Aphanoblastella magna</i> Yamada, Acosta, Yamada, Scholz & Da Silva, 2018	<i>Pimelodella</i> <i>avanhandavae</i> Eigenmann, 1917	1	MH688484	Brazil	Yamada et al. (2018)
<i>Aphanoblastella travassosi</i> (Price, 1938)	<i>Rhamdia guatemalensis</i> (Günther, 1864)	2	MK358458	Mexico	Acosta et al. (2019)
<i>Boegeriella</i> Mendoza-Palmero & Hsiao, 2020					
<i>Boegeriella conica</i> (Mendoza- Palmero, Mendoza-Franco, Acosta & Scholz, 2019)	<i>Platynemathichthys</i> <i>notatus</i> (Jardine, 1841)	Ancy10Pn2	KP056225	Peru	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Boegeriella ophiocirrus</i> (Mendoza-Palmero, Mendoza- Franco, Acosta & Scholz, 2019)	<i>Platystomatichthys sturio</i> (Kner, 1858)	2	MK834511	Peru	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2019)
<i>Bravohollisia</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970					
<i>Bravohollisia maculatus</i> (Venkatanarasiah, 1984)	<i>Pomadasys maculatus</i> (Bloch, 1793)	SYSU200604 29-3	KJ571008	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Bravohollisia plectorhynchus</i> Li, Zhang, Chen & Chen, 2005	<i>Plectorhynchus</i> sp.	SYSU200605 02-2	KJ571010	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Bravohollisia tecta</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970	<i>Pomadasys maculatus</i>	SYSU200604 29-4	KJ571012	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Bychowskyella</i> Akhmerov, 1952					
<i>Bychowskyella pseudobagri</i> Akhmerov, 1952	<i>Tachysurus fulvidraco</i> (Richardson, 1846)		EF100541	China	Wu et al. (2008)
<i>Caballeria</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970					
<i>Caballeria intermedius</i> Lim, 1995	<i>Pomadasys argenteus</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	SYSU200605 01-4	KJ571013	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Cacatuocotyle</i> Boeger, Domingues & Kritsky, 1997					
<i>Cacatuocotyle papilionis</i> Zago, Franceschini, Müller & da Silva, 2018	<i>Astyanax lacustris</i> (Lütgen, 1875)		MG832889	Brazil	Zago et al. (2018)

<i>Characithecium</i> Mendoza-Franco, Reina & Torchin, 2009						
<i>Characithecium paranpanemense</i> Zago, Franceschini, Abdallah, Müller, Azevedo & da Silva, 2021	<i>Psalidodon paranae</i> (Eigenmann, 1914)	2	MZ408902		MZ408251	Brazil Zago et al. (2021)
<i>Characidotrema</i> Paperna & Thurston, 1968						
<i>Characidotrema nursei</i> Ergens, 1973	<i>Brycinus nurse</i> (Rüppell, 1832)	S	MK012540	MK014158	MK014158	Sudan Řehulková et al. (2019)
<i>Characidotrema vespertilio</i> Kíčinjaová & Řehulková, 2019	<i>Brycinus imberi</i> (Peters, 1852)	C	MK012543	MK014161	MK014161	Dem. Rep. of the Congo Řehulková et al. (2019)
<i>Chauhanellus</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1969						
<i>Chauhanellus auriculatum</i> Lim, 1994	<i>Plicofollis argyropleuron</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	Ca1	MN108169	MN105020		Malaysia Soo and Tan (2021)
<i>Chauhanellus boegeri</i> Domingues & Fehlauer, 2006	<i>Genidens genidens</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	ChboGg	KP056241			Brazil Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Chauhanellus intermedius</i> Lim, 1994	<i>Hexanematichthys sagor</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Ci1	MN108172	MN105023		Malaysia Soo and Tan (2021)
<i>Chauhanellus</i> sp.	<i>Genidens genidens</i>	ChGg	KP056242			Brazil Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Cichlidogyrus</i> Paperna, 1960						
<i>Cichlidogyrus arthracanthus</i> Paperna, 1960	<i>Coptodon guineensis</i> (Günther, 1862)	PC60	HQ010022	HE792783	HE792783	Senegal Mendlová et al. (2010, 2012)
<i>Cichlidogyrus attenboroughi</i> Kmentová, Gelhar, Kobl Müller & Vanhove, 2016	<i>Benthochromis tricoti</i> (Poll, 1948)	PB46 CiAt	MH708146	MH708153	MH708153	Burundi Kmentová et al. (2018)
<i>Cichlidogyrus halli</i> (Price & Kirk, 1967)	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> x <i>mweruensis</i>	C ha	MG973075	MG973075	MG970255	Dem. Rep. of the Congo Vanhove et al. (2018)
<i>Cichlidogyrus pouyaudi</i> Pariselle & Euzet, 1994	<i>Tylochromis intermedius</i> (Boulenger, 1916)	PC69	HQ010039	HE792793	HE792793	Senegal Mendlová et al. (2010, 2012)
<i>Cichlidogyrus sclerosus</i> Paperna & Thurston, 1969	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> Linnaeus, 1758		DQ157660	DQ537359	DQ537359	China Wu et al. (2006, 2007)

<i>Cichlidogyrus zambezensis</i> Douëllou, 1993	<i>Serranochromis macrocephalus</i> (Boulenger, 1899)	AP375	MW580361	MW580384	MW580384	Zambia	Cruz-Laufer et al. (2021b)
<i>Cornudiscoides Kulkarni, 1969</i>							
<i>Cornudiscoides geminus</i> Gusev, 1976	<i>Mystus vittatus</i> (Bloch, 1794)	E07, EMBOSS gc	KU358727	KU358728		India	Verma et al. (2017)
<i>Cornudiscoides proximus</i> Gusev, 1976	<i>Mystus vittatus</i>	C08, EMBOSS pc	KU358726	KU235550		India	Verma et al. (2017)
<i>Cosmetocleithrum</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986							
<i>Cosmetocleithrum bifurcum</i> Mendoza-Franco, Mendoza-Palmero & Scholz, 2016	<i>Hassar orestis</i> (Steindachner, 1875)	Co8H2	KP056216			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Cosmetocleithrum bulbocirrus</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986	<i>Pterodoras granulosus</i> (Valenciennes, 1821)		MG001326			Brazil	Acosta et al. (2018)
<i>Cosmetocleithrum trachydorasi</i> (Acosta, Scholz, Blasco-Costa, Alves & da Silva, 2017)	<i>Trachydoras paraguayensis</i> (Eigenmann & Ward, 1907)		MG001323			Brazil	Acosta et al. (2018)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 13	<i>Hypophthalmus edentatus</i> Spix & Aggasiz, 1829	Ancy13He2	KP056230			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 18	<i>Pseudoplatystoma fasciatum</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	Ancy18Pf	KP056231			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 23	<i>Platysilurus mucosus</i> (Vaillant, 1880)	Ancy23Pm	KP056232			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 26	<i>Platynematachthys notatus</i> (Jardine, 1841)	Ancy26Pn	KP056234			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 4	<i>Ageneiosus vittatus</i> Steindachner, 1908	Ancy4Av1	KP056218			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Dactylogyridae</i> gen. sp. 9	<i>Platynematachthys notatus</i>	Ancy9Pn5	KP056222			Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)

<i>Dactylogyroides</i> Gusev, 1963						
<i>Dactylogyroides tripathii</i> (Yamaguti, 1963)	<i>Pethia ticto</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	JX993982		India	Chiary et al. (2013)	
<i>Dactylogyrus</i> Diesing, 1859						
<i>Dactylogyrus bicornis</i> Malevitskaja, 1941	<i>Rhodeus meridionalis</i> Karaman, 1924	KY629345	ROME Bicornis	Greece	Šimková et al. (2003)	
<i>Dactylogyrus extensus</i> Mueller & Van Cleave, 1932	<i>Cyprinus caprio</i> Linnaeus, 1758	AJ564129		Czech Republic	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)	
<i>Dactylogyrus lamellatus</i> Akhmerow, 1952	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> (Valenciennes, 1844)	AJ564141		Czech Republic	Šimková et al. (2006)	
<i>Dactylogyrus mascomai</i> El Gharbi, Renaud & Lambert, 1993	<i>Luciobarbus graellsii</i> (Steindachner, 1866)	MN338215	LUGL Mascomai S13	Spain	Benovics et al. (2020a)	
<i>Demidospermus</i> Suriano, 1983						
<i>Demidospermus anus</i> Suriano, 1983	<i>Loricariichthys platymetopon</i> Isbrücker & Nijssen, 1979	KY766957	2	Brazil	Franceschini et al. (2018)	
<i>Demidospermus mortenthaleri</i> Mendoza-Palermo, Scholz, Mendoza-Franco & Kuchta, 2012	<i>Brachyplatystoma juruense</i> (Boulenger, 1898)	KP056245	DemoBj1	Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)	
<i>Demidospermus prolixus</i> Franceschini, Zago, Müller, Francisco, Takemoto & da Silva, 2017	<i>Loricaria prolix</i> (Isbrücker & Nijssen, 1978)	KY766955		Brazil	Franceschini et al. (2018)	
<i>Demidospermus rhinelepsi</i> Acosta, Scholz, Blasco-Costa, Alves & da Silva, 2017	<i>Rhinelepis aspera</i> Spix & Agassiz, 1829	MG001324		Brazil	Acosta et al. (2018)	
<i>Demidospermus</i> sp. 11	<i>Brachyplatystoma vaillantii</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	KP056235	De11Bv	Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)	
<i>Demidospermus</i> sp. 23	<i>Brachyplatystoma vaillantii</i>	KP056236	De23Bv	Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)	
<i>Diaphorocleidus</i> Jogunoori, Kritsky & Venkatanarasaiah, 2004						

<i>Diaphorocleidus magnus</i> Zago, Franceschini, Abdallah, Müller, Azevedo & da Silva, 2021	<i>Astyanax lacustris</i> (Lütgen, 1875)	1	MZ408903	MZ408253	Brazil	Zago et al. (2021)
<i>Diaphorocleidus neotropicalis</i> Zago, Franceschini, Abdallah, Müller, Azevedo & da Silva, 2021	<i>Astyanax lacustris</i> (Lütgen, 1875)	1	MZ408906	MZ408254	Brazil	Zago et al. (2021)
<i>Dogieli</i> us Bychowsky, 1936						
<i>Dogieli</i> us <i>cattai</i> us (Jain, 1962)	<i>Labeo rohita</i> (Hamilton, 1822)		KC687091		India	Dash et al. (2014)
<i>Enterogyrus</i> Paperna, 1963						
<i>Enterogyrus coronatus</i> Pariselle, Lambert & Euzet, 1991	<i>Tilapia dageti</i> Thys van den Audenaerde, 1967		HQ10030		Senegal	Mendlová et al. (2010)
<i>Enterogyrus malmbergi</i> Bilong Bilong, 1988	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	GZ-ZSDX	MN152976	MN095193	China	Zhang et al. (2019)
<i>Ergenstrema</i> Paperna, 1964						
<i>Ergenstrema mugilis</i> Paperna, 1964	<i>Chelon ramada</i> (Risso, 1827)		JN996800	JN996835	Spain	Blasco-Costa et al. (2012)
<i>Euryhaliotrema</i> Kritsky & Boeger, 2002						
<i>Euryhaliotrema johnii</i> Tripathi, 1959	<i>Lutjanus johnii</i> (Bloch, 1792)	ZSU 20060501-1	EU836193	EU836214	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Euryhaliotrema mehen</i> (Solar-Jiménez, García-Gasca & Fajera-Ávila, 2012)	<i>Lutjanus guttatus</i> (Steindachner, 1869)	LSJ-2011	HQ615997		Mexico	Soler-Jiménez et al. (2012)
<i>Euryhaliotrema pirulum</i> (Plaisance & Kritsky, 2004)	<i>Chaetodon lunula</i> (Lacepède, 1802)		AY820618	AY820607	French Polynesia	Plaisance et al. (2005)
<i>Euryhaliotrema spiratubiforum</i> (Zhang in Zhang, Yang & Liu, 2001)	<i>Lutjanus stellatus</i> (Akazaki, 1983)		DQ157656	DQ537347	China	Wu et al. (2006, 2007)
<i>Eutrianchoratus</i> Paperna, 1969						
<i>Eutrianchoratus cleithrum</i> Lim, 1989	<i>Belontia hasselti</i> (Cuvier, 1831)		HQ719224		Malaysia	Tan et al. (2011)
<i>Glyphidhaptor</i> Kritsky, Galli & Yang, 2007						

<i>Glyphidhaptor safiensis</i> Al Jufaili, Machkevsky, Kindi & Palm, 2020	<i>Siganus canaliculatus</i>	7	MN176409	MN213150	MN213150	Oman	Al Jufaili et al. (2020)
<i>Gobioecetes</i> Ogawa & Ito, 2017							
<i>Gobioecetes biwaensis</i> Ogawa & Ito, 2017	<i>Rhinogobius</i> sp. OM	M37	LC494515	LC494518	LC494518	Japan	Nitta and Nagasawa (2020)
<i>Gobioecetes longibasis</i> Nitta & Nagasawa, 2020	<i>Rhinogobius similis</i> Gill, 1859	M194	LC494516	LC494519	LC494519	Japan	Nitta and Nagasawa (2020)
<i>Gussevia</i> Kohn & Paperna, 1964							
<i>Gussevia asota</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989	<i>Astronotus ocellatus</i> (Agassiz, 1831)	DMS	MG596661			China	Xie et al. (2019)
<i>Haliotrema Johnston & Tiegs, 1922</i>							
<i>Haliotrema angelopterum</i> Plaisance, Bouamer & Morand, 2004	<i>Chaetodon kleinii</i> Bloch, 1790		AY820620	AY820609		Palau	Plaisance et al. (2005)
<i>Haliotrema bilobatus</i> (Yamaguti, 1953)	<i>Drepane punctata</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		MG593837			Malaysia	Soo (2019)
<i>Haliotrema chenhsintaoi</i> Zhang, 2001	<i>Branchiostegus auratus</i> (Kishinouye, 1907)		DQ537371	DQ537345		China	Wu et al. (2007)
<i>Haliotrema cromileptis</i> Young, 1968	<i>Epinephelus coioides</i> (Hamilton, 1822)		EU523146	EU523144	EU523145	Vietnam	Dang et al. (2010)
<i>Haliotrema johnstoni</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970	<i>Upeneus luzonius</i> Jordan & Seale, 1907		DQ157664			China	Wu et al. (2007)
<i>Haliotrema magnihamus</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1970	<i>Drepane punctata</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		MG593838			Malaysia	Soo (2019)
<i>Haliotrema scyphovagina</i> Yamaguti, 1968	<i>Forcipiger flavissimus</i> Jordan & McGregor, 1898		AY820622	AY820611		French Polynesia	Plaisance et al. (2005)
<i>Haliotrema susanae</i> Soo, 2018	<i>Myripristis murdjan</i> (Forsskål, 1775)		MG518632			Malaysia	Soo (2019)
<i>Haliotrematoides</i> Kritsky, Yang & Sun, 2009							
<i>Haliotrematoides guttati</i> (García-Vargas, Fajer-Ávila & Lamothe-Argumedo, 2008)	<i>Lutjanus guttatus</i>		HQ615993	JN054406		Mexico	Soler-Jiménez et al. (2012)

<i>Haliotrematoides plectridium</i> Kritsky & Mendoza-Franco, 2009	<i>Lutjanus guttatus</i>	HQ615994			Mexico	García-Vásquez et al. (2015)
<i>Haliotrematoides spinatus</i> Kritsky & Mendoza-Franco, 2009	<i>Lutjanus guttatus</i>	HQ615995	JN054404		Mexico	Soler-Jiménez et al. (2012)
<i>Hamatopeduncularia</i> Yamaguti, 1953						
<i>Hamatopeduncularia arii</i> Yaamaguti, 1953	<i>Arius jella</i> Day, 1877		KT252895		Mexico	Illa et al. (2019)
<i>Hamatopeduncularia bagre</i> Hargis, 1955	<i>Bagre marinus</i> (Mitchill, 1815)	MG586871		5	Mexico	Mendoza-Franco et al. (2018)
<i>Hamatopeduncularia isosimplex</i> Lim, 1996	<i>Arius maculatus</i> (Thunberg, 1792)	MN108167	MN105018	Hi1	Malaysia	Soo and Tan (2021)
<i>Hamatopeduncularia petalumvaginata</i> Soo & Tan, 2021	<i>Nemapteryx caelata</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	MN108164	MN105015	Hp1	Malaysia	Soo and Tan (2021)
<i>Heteronchocheilus</i> Bychowsky, 1957						
<i>Heteronchocheilus buschkei</i> Bychowsky, 1957	<i>Macropodus opercularis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	AY841876			China	Tan et al. (2011)
<i>Heteropriapulius</i> Kritsky, 2007						
<i>Heteropriapulius heterotylus</i> (Jogunoori, Kritsky & Venkatanarasaiah, 2004)	<i>Pterygoplichthys ambrosettii</i> (Holmberg, 1893)	MF116370			Brazil	Acosta et al. (2017)
<i>Heteropriapulius simplex</i> Li & Huang, 2012	<i>Pterygoplichthys ambrosettii</i>	MF116372			Brazil	Acosta et al. (2017)
<i>Kapentagyryus</i> Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove 2018						
<i>Kapentagyryus limnotrissae</i> (Paperna, 1973)	<i>Limnotrissa miodon</i> (Boulenger, 1906)	MH071782	MH071808	LI14	Dem. Rep. of the Congo	Kmentová et al. (2018, 2020b)
<i>Kapentagyryus tanganicanus</i> Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove 2018	<i>Stolothrissa tanganicae</i> Regan, 1907	MH071783	MH071807	LI8	Dem. Rep. of the Congo	Kmentová et al. (2018, 2020b)
<i>Lethrinitrema</i> Lim & Justine, 2011						
<i>Lethrinitrema grossecurviturum</i> (Li & Chen, 2005)	<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i> (Forsskal, 1775)	EU836204	EU836225	ZSU 20060522-1	China	Sun et al. (2014)

<i>Lethrinotrema nebulosum</i> Sun, Li & Yang, 2014	<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>	ZSU 20060524-1	EU836203	EU836224	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Ligictaluridus Beverley-Burton</i> , 1984						
<i>Ligictaluridus pricei</i> (Mueller, 1936)	<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i> (Lesueur, 1819)		AJ969939	AJ490168	Czech Republic	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Ligophorus</i> Euzet & Suriano, 1977						
<i>Ligophorus imitans</i> Euzet & Suriano, 1977	<i>Chelon ramada</i> (Risso, 1827)		JN996813	JN996849	Spain	Blasco-Costa et al. (2012)
<i>Ligophorus leporinus</i> (Zhang & Ji, 1981)	<i>Mugil cephalus</i> Linnaeus, 1758		DQ537380		China	Wu et al. (2007)
<i>Ligophorus vanbenedenii</i> (Parona & Perugia, 1890)	<i>Chelon auratus</i> (Risso, 1810)		JN996801	JN996836	Spain	Blasco-Costa et al. (2012)
<i>Metahaliotrema</i> Yamaguti, 1953						
<i>Metahaliotrema digyroides</i> (Zhang in Zhang, Yang & Liu, 2001)	<i>Gerres macrosoma</i> Cuvier, 1830		DQ537377	DQ537349	China	Wu et al. (2007)
<i>Metahaliotrema scatophagi</i> Yamaguti, 1953	<i>Scatophagus argus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)		DQ157646	DQ537352	China	Wu et al. (2006, 2007)
<i>Metahaliotrema subancistroides</i> (Zhang in Zhang, Yang & Liu, 2001)	<i>Gerres decacanthus</i> (Bleeker, 1864)	ZSU 20050917B-1	EU836210	EU836231	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Mexicana</i> Caballero & Bravo-Hollis, 1959						
<i>Mexicana rubra</i> Camargo, Luque & Santos, 2017	<i>Orthopristis ruber</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	E514	KY553147	KY553146	Brazil	Camargo et al. (2017)
<i>Mymarothecium</i> Kritsky, Boeger & Jégu, 1998						
<i>Mymarothecium viatorum</i> Boeger, Piasecki & Sobecka, 2002	<i>Piaractus mesopotamicus</i> (Holmberg, 1887)	PR84	MH843723		Brazil	Moreira et al. (2019b)
<i>Nanayella</i> Acosta, Mendoza-Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019						
<i>Nanayella aculeatrium</i> Acosta, Mendoza-Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019	<i>Sorubim lima</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	Ancy12SI	KP056228		Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Nanayella amplofalcis</i> Acosta, Mendoza-Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019	<i>Hemisorubim platyrhynchos</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)		MG001325		Brazil	Acosta et al. (2018)

<i>Nanayella fluctuatrum</i> Acosta, Mendoza-Palmero, da Silva & Scholz, 2019	<i>Sorubim lima</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	MG001327		Brazil	Acosta et al. (2018)
<i>Onchobdella</i> Paperna, 1968					
<i>Onchobdella aframae</i> Paperna, 1968	<i>Hemichromis fasciatus</i> Peters, 1857	HQ010034			Mendlová et al. (2010)
<i>Onchobdella bopeleti</i> Bilong Bilong & Euzet, 1995	<i>Hemichromis letourneuxi</i> Sauvage, 1880	HQ010033			Mendlová et al. (2010)
<i>Onchocleidus</i> Mueller, 1936					
<i>Onchocleidus similis</i> Mueller, 1936	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	AJ969938	AJ490167	Slovakia	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Paradiplectanotrema</i> Gerasev, Gayevskaya & Kovaleva, 1987					
<i>Paradiplectanotrema klimpeli</i> Theisen, Palm, Stolz, Al-Jufaili & Kleinertz, 2018	<i>Saurida tumbil</i> (Bloch, 1795)	MG763101		Indonesia	Theisen et al. (2018)
<i>Parancyrocephaloides</i> Yamaguti, 1938					
<i>Parancyrocephaloides daicoci</i> Yamaguti, 1938	<i>Dactyloptena peterseni</i> (Nyström, 1887)	LC190513	LC176447	Japan	Ogawa and Itoh (2017)
<i>Parasciadicleithrum</i> Mendoza-Palmero, Blasco-Costa, Hernández-Mena & Pérez-Ponce de León, 2017					
<i>Parasciadicleithrum octofasciatum</i> Mendoza-Palmero, Blasco-Costa, Hernández-Mena & Pérez-Ponce de León, 2017	<i>Rocio octofasciata</i> (Regan, 1903)	KY305885		Mexico	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2017)
<i>Pavanelliella</i> Kritsky & Boeger, 1998					
<i>Pavanelliella takemotoi</i> Aguiar, Ceccarelli & Luque, 2011	<i>Pimelodus maculatus</i> Lacepède, 1803	298	MF398305	Brazil	Aguiar et al. (2017)
<i>Platycephalotrema</i> Kritsky & Nitta, 2019					
<i>Platycephalotrema macassarense</i> (Yamaguti, 1963)	<i>Ratabulus megacephalus</i> (Tanaka, 1917)	ZSU 20060523-1	EU836228	China	Sun et al. (2014)
<i>Platycephalotrema platycephali</i> (Yin & Sproston, 1948)	<i>Platycephalus indicus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	DQ157662		China	Wu et al. (2006)
<i>Protogyrodactylus</i> Johnston & Tiegs, 1922					
<i>Protogyrodactylus alienus</i> Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1974	<i>Gerres filamentosus</i> Cuvier, 1829	DQ157650	DQ537355	China	Wu et al. (2006; 2007)

<i>Protygodactylus hainanensis</i> Pan, Ding & Zhang, 1995	<i>Therapon jarbua</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	DQ157653		China	Wu et al. (2006)
<i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> Yamaguti, 1963					
<i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> sp. 1	<i>Pseudobagrus fulvidraco</i> (Richardson, 1846)	HSY1	EF100564	China	Wu et al. (2008)
<i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> sp. 2	<i>Pseudobagrus fulvidraco</i>	HSY3	EF100565	China	Wu et al. (2008)
<i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> sp. 3	<i>Pseudobagrus fulvidraco</i>	HSY4	EF100566	China	Wu et al. (2008)
<i>Pseudempleurosoma</i> Yamaguti, 1965					
<i>Pseudempleurosoma haywardi</i> Theisen, Palm, Al-Jufaili & Kleinertz, 2017	<i>Johnius amblycephalus</i>	worm 1 2698625 1 D2	MF115715	Indonesia	Theisen et al. (2018)
<i>Pseudodactylogyrus</i> Gusev, 1965					
<i>Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae</i> (Yin & Sproston, 1948)	<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		AJ490162	Slovakia	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Pseudodactylogyrus bini</i> (Kikuchi, 1929)	<i>Anguilla Anguilla</i>		AJ490163	Austria	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Pseudohaliotrema</i> Yamaguti, 1953					
<i>Pseudohaliotrema</i> <i>sphincteroporos</i> Yamaguti, 1953	<i>Siganus doliatus</i> Guérin- Ménéville, 1829-38		AJ287568	Australia	Olson and Littlewood (2002)
<i>Quadriacanthus</i> Paperna, 1961					
<i>Quadriacanthus clariadis</i> Paperna, 1961	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822)		KX713994	Sudan	Francová et al. (2017)
<i>Quadriacanthus fornicates</i> Francová & Řehulková, 2017	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>		KX713995	Sudan	Francová et al. (2017)
<i>Quadriacanthus mandibulatus</i> Francová & Řehulková, 2017	<i>Heterobranchus bidorsalis</i> Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1809		KX713996	Sudan	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Schilbetrema</i> Paperna & Thurston, 1968					
<i>Schilbetrema</i> sp.	<i>Pareutropius debauwi</i> (Boulenger, 1900)	ScPd2	KP056244	West Africa	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2017)
<i>Sciadicleithrum</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989					

<i>Sciadacleithrum bravohollisae</i> Kritsky, Vidal-Martínez & Rodríguez-Canul, 1994	<i>Vieja fenestrata</i> (Günther, 1860)	ExC2 3	KY305879			Mexico	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2017)
<i>Sciadacleithrum meekii</i> Mendoza-Franco, Scholz & Vidal-Martínez, 1997	<i>Thorichthys meeki</i> Brind, 1918	ExC10 12	KY305889			Mexico	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2017)
<i>Scutogyrus</i> Pariselle & Euzet, 1995							
<i>Scutogyrus longicornis</i> (Paperna & Thurston, 1969)	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	PC105	HQ010035	HE792800	HE792800	Senegal	Mendlová et al. (2010, 2012); Caña-Bozada et al. 2021
<i>Scutogyrus vanhovei</i> Pariselle, Bitja Nyom & Bilong Bilong, 2013	<i>Pelmatolapia mariae</i> (Boulenger, 1899)	AP385	MW580366			Cameroon	Cruz-Laufer et al. (2021b)
<i>Susanlimocotyle</i> Soares, Domingues & Adriano, 2020							
<i>Susanlimocotyle narina</i> Soares, Domingues & Adriano, 2020	<i>Sciades herzenbergii</i> (Bloch, 1794)			MW144824	MW179606		Soares et al. (2021)
<i>Tetrancistrum</i> Goto & Kikuchi, 1917							
<i>Tetrancistrum indicum</i> (Paperna, 1972)	<i>Siganus canaliculatus</i> (Park, 1797)	Ti6	MN179335	MN179330		Oman	Al Jufaili et al. (2020)
<i>Tetrancistrum labyrinthus</i> Al Jufaili & Palm, 2017	<i>Siganus canaliculatus</i>	Ti6	MN179332	MN179334		Oman	Al Jufaili et al. (2020)
<i>Tetrancistrum</i> sp.	<i>Siganus fuscescens</i> (Houttuyn, 1782)		AF026114			Australia	Mollaret et al. (1997)
<i>Thaparocleidus</i> Jain, 1952							
<i>Thaparocleidus siluri</i> (Zandt, 1924)	<i>Silurus glanis</i> Linnaeus, 1758		AJ969940	AJ490164	AJ490164	Czech Republic	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Thaparocleidus vistulensis</i> (Sivak, 1932)	<i>Silurus glanis</i>		AJ969941	AJ490165	AJ490165	Czech Republic	Šimková et al. (2003, 2006)
<i>Thylacicleidus</i> Wheeler & Klassen, 1988							
<i>Thylacicleidus</i> sp.	<i>Dichotomycetere fluviatilis</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Malaysia-AS- 2002		AJ490169	AJ490169	Malaysia	Šimková et al. (2003)
<i>Trianchoratus</i> Price & Berry, 1966							

<i>Trianchoratus gusevi</i> Lim, 1986	<i>Anabas testudineus</i> (Bloch, 1792)	AY841875	China	Tan et al. (2011)
<i>Trinigyrus</i> Hanek, Molnár & Fernando, 1974				
<i>Trinigyrus anthus</i> Franceschini, Acosta, Zago, Müller & da Silva, 2020	<i>Hypostomus regani</i> (Ihering, 1905)	MN947622	Brazil	Franceschini et al. (2020)
<i>Trinigyrus carvalhoi</i> Franceschini, Acosta, Zago, Müller & da Silva, 2020	<i>Hypostomus ancistroides</i> (Ihering, 1911)	MN947608	Brazil	Franceschini et al. (2020)
<i>Trinigyrus peregrinus</i> Nitta & Nagasawa, 2016	<i>Pterygoplychthys ambrosettii</i> (Holmberg, 1893)	MN944890	Brazil	Franceschini et al. (2020)
<i>Unibarra</i> Suriano & Incorvaia, 1995				
<i>Unibarra paranoplatensis</i> Suriano & Incorvaia, 1995	<i>Aguarunichthys torosus</i> Stewart, 1986	KP056219	Peru	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Unilatus</i> Mizelle & Kritsky, 1967				
<i>Unilatus unilatus</i> Mizelle & Kritsky, 1967	<i>Pterygoplychthys ambrosettii</i>	MF102106	Brazil	Acosta et al. (2017)
<i>Urocleidoidea</i> Mizelle & Price, 1964				
<i>Urocleidoidea digitabulum</i> Zago, Yamada, De Oliveira Fadel Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & da Silva, 2020	<i>Leporinus friderici</i> (Bloch, 1794)	MT556796	Brazil	Zago et al. (2020)
<i>Urocleidoidea tenuis</i> Zago, Yamada, De Oliveira Fadel Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & da Silva, 2020	<i>Apareiodon</i> sp.	MT556797	Brazil	Zago et al. (2020)
<i>Urocleidoidea uncinus</i> Zago, Yamada, De Oliveira Fadel Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & da Silva, 2020	<i>Gymnotus sylvius</i> Albert & Fernandes-Matioli, 1999	MT556798	Brazil	Zago et al. (2020)
<i>Vancleaveus</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986				

<i>Vancleaveus janauacaensis</i> Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986	<i>Pterodoras granulatus</i> (Valenciennes, 1821)	VajaPg1	KP056247		Peru	Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2015)
<i>Xenoligophoroides Dmitrieva</i> , Sanna, Piras, Garippa & Merella, 2018						
<i>Xenoligophoroides cobitis</i> (Ergens, 1963)	<i>Gobius cobitis</i> Pallas, 1814	B9	MG194744		Russia	Dmitrieva et al. (2018)
Outgroup: Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903						
<i>Doliciroplectanum lacustre</i> Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove, 2021	<i>Lates niloticus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	LN1	MK937579	MK937576	MK908186	Kmentová et al. (2021)
<i>Paradiplectanum sillagonum</i> (Tripathi, 1959)	<i>Sillago sihama</i> (Forsskål, 1775)		AY553626	AY553617		Wu et al. (2005)
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus grouperi</i> (Bu, Leong, Wong, Woo & Foo, 1999)	<i>Epinephelus coioides</i> (Hamilton, 1822)		AY553628	AY553618		Francová et al. (2017)

^a 28S and COI sequences with different isolate numbers but same author list, ^b authors report 100% similarity of 28S sequence with sequence published by Mendlová et al. (2010).

Table 2. Substitution models of molecular evolution and partitions for Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood estimation (ML) of phylogeny of Dactylogyridae. For model specification see the IQ-TREE ModelFinder manual (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017).

Partition	Base pairs	Bayesian inference (BI)	Maximum likelihood estimation (ML)
28S rDNA	641	GTR + F + I + Γ 4	GTR + F + R6
18S rDNA: part 1 + part 2	1320 + 496	SYM + I + Γ 4	TIM3e + R3
ITS1 rDNA	238	GTR + F + Γ 4	TVM + F + R2
COI mtDNA: 1st codon	828/3	GTR + F + I + Γ 4	TN + F + Γ 4
COI mtDNA: 2nd codon	828/3	GTR + F + Γ 4	GTR + F + Γ 4
COI mtDNA: 3rd codon	828/3	HKY + F + I + Γ 4	HKY + F + R3

Table 3. List of morphological character states for each genus and reference of generic diagnoses used to infer these character states.

Genus (diagnosis reference)	Clade (Fig. 2)	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18	C19	C20	C21	C22
<i>Metahaliotrema</i> (Kritsky et al., 2016)	A1	a	c	c	c	b	a	b	a	a	a	a	b	a	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	a
<i>Protygodactylus</i> (Galli and Kritsky, 2008)	A1	a	d	c	d	a	b	a	a	b	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	a	b	b	a
<i>Parasciadicleithrum</i> (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017)	A2	a	d	c	c	b	a	b	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	d	a	b	b	a
<i>Gussevia</i> (Kritsky et al., 1986)	A2	a	c	c	a	b	a	a	d	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Ligophorus</i> (Sarabiev et al., 2013)	A3	a	d	c	c	a	c	b	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Xenoligophoroides</i> (Dmitrieva et al., 2018)	A3	a	d	c	c	a	c	b	a	c	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Ergenstrema</i> (Paperna, 1964)	A3	a	c	c	c	d	b	a	a	a	a	b	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	b	a
<i>Cichlidogyrus</i> (Paperna, 1960; Pariselle and Euzet, 2009)	A4	c	a	b	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	b	a	a	a	a	b	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Scutogyrus</i> (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009)	A4	c	a	b	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	d	b	b	b	b	a
<i>Euryhaliotrema</i> (Kritsky, 2012)	A5	a	c	c	d	b	a	c	a	a	e	c	b	a	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Sciadicleithrum</i> (Kritsky et al., 1989)	A5	a	d	c	c	c	a	b	a	a	e	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Haliotrematoides</i> (Kritsky et al., 2009)	A6	a	c	c	c	b	a	a	a	b	b	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Mexicana</i> (Luque et al., 1992)	A6	a	c	a	c	a	b	b	a	b	b	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Pseudempleurosoma</i> (Yamaguti, 1965)	A7	c	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	d	b	a	b	a
<i>Paradiplotanotrema</i> (Theisen et al., 2018)	A7	c	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	a	b	a
<i>Enterogyrus</i> (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009)	A7	b	c	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Onchobdella</i> (Paperna, 1968)	A8	a	a	d	a	a	a	c	a	b	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	b	a
<i>Caballeria</i> (Lim, 1995)	A8	a	c	c	a	a	a	b	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	a
<i>Bravohallisia</i> (Lim, 1995)	A8	b	c	a	a	a	b	b	b	b	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	b	a
<i>Platycephalotrema</i> (Kritsky and Nitta, 2019)	A8	a	d	c	c	b	a	a	a	c	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Lethrinitrema</i> (Lim and Justine, 2011)	A8	c	b	a	a	a	a	a	c	c	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	b	a
<i>Haliotrema</i> (Adapted form Young, 1968)	A8	c	a	a	a	a	a	b	c	a	a	b	c	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Thylacicleidus</i> (Wheeler and Klassen, 1988)	A8	b	a	c	c	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	b	a
<i>Parancyrocephaloides</i> (Ogawa and Itoh, 2017)	A8	c	a	a	a	a	a	c	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	a	b	b	b	a
<i>Tetrancistrum</i> (Kritsky et al., 2007a)	A8	a	d	b	a	b	a	a	a	c	a	c	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Glyphidohaptor</i> (Kritsky et al., 2007b)	A8	a	d	c	a	b	a	a	a	c	a	c	a	b	a	a	b	a	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Pseudohaliotrema</i> (Kritsky and Galli, 2007)	A8	a	d	b	a	b	a	a	b	a	c	a	b	a	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	b	a
<i>Gobioecetes</i> (Ogawa and Itoh, 2017)	A9	a	a	c	b	a	a	a	a	c	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	a	b	b	a
<i>Heteronchocleidus</i> (Bychowsky, 1957)	A9	c	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	c	b	b	b	a
<i>Eutrianchoratus</i> (Paperna, 1969)	A9	c	a	a	a	a	a	c	a	c	a	a	a	a	a	b	b	c	b	b	b	b	a

<i>Trianchoratus</i> (Price and Berry, 1966)	A9	a	a	c	e	a	a	a	b	a	b	b	c	b	b	a
<i>Pseudodactylogyrus</i> (Ogawa et al., 2015)	A9			c	b	a	a	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	b	a
<i>Dactylogyrus</i> (Rogers, 1967)	A10	a		c	a	a	a	c	a	b			b	b	b	a
<i>Dogielius</i> (Price and Yurkiewics, 1968)	A10			c	a	c	b	a	c	a			b	b	b	a
<i>Dactylogyroides</i> (Gusseu, 1963)	A10	d			a				a	a	a	a	b	b	b	a
<i>Characidotrema</i> (Kritsky et al., 1987)	A	a	b	c	a	b	a	a	b	a	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Kapentagyrus</i> (Kmentova et al., 2018)	A			c	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	b	a
<i>Nanayella</i> (Acosta et al., 2019)	B1	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	b		a	b	d	b	a
<i>Boegeriella</i> (Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2019)	B1	a	d	c	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	d	b	a
<i>Demidospermus</i> (Kritsky & Gutiérrez, 1998)	B2	a	d	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	b	a	b	d	b	a
<i>Cosmetocleithrum</i> (Kritsky et al., 1986)	B2	a		a	a	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	d	b	a
<i>Chauhanellus</i> (Lim, 1994)	B3			c	b	b	a	a	a	b	b	a		d	a	a
<i>Hamatopeduncularia</i> (Lim, 1996)	B3			c	b		a	a	c	b		a		d	b	a
<i>Susanlimocotyle</i> (Soares et al., 2021)	B3	a		c	a	a	a	b	a	a	a	a	b	d	b	a
<i>Schilbetrema</i> (Kritsky and Kulo, 1992)	B3	a	d	c	c	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	a	d	a	b
<i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> (Lim et al., 2001)	B4			c	a		a		a	a	a	b	a	d	a	b
<i>Comudiscoides</i> (Lim et al., 2001)	B4			c	a		a	c	a		a	b	a	d	a	b
<i>Thaparocleidus</i> (Lim et al., 2001; Lim, 1996)	B4			c	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	a	b
<i>Quadriacanthus</i> (Kritsky and Kulo, 1988)	B5	a	d	c	c	a	b	a	c	a	a	a	a	d	a	b
<i>Bychowskyella</i> (Lim et al., 2001)	B5			c	a		b		a	b	a	b	a	d	a	b
<i>Aphanoblastella</i> (Kritsky et al., 2000)	B	a	d	c	a	b	a	a	a	a	c	a	a	d	b	b
<i>Anacanthorus</i> (Kritsky et al., 1992)	B	a	e	c		a	c	a	c		b		a		b	b
<i>Trinigyrus</i> (Franceschini et al., 2020)	B6	a		a	a	b	a	a	c	a	a	b	a	b	b	a
<i>Heteropriapulus</i> (Acosta et al., 2017)	B6	a	d	c	b	a	b	a	c	a	a	a	b	d	b	b
<i>Unilatus</i> (Mizelle and Kritsky, 1967)	B6	a	d	c	a		a		a	e	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Ameloblastella</i> (Kritsky et al., 2000)	B6	a	d	c	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	d	b	b
<i>Unibarra</i> (Suriano and Incorvaia, 1995)	B6	a		a	a	b	a	a	c	a	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Vancleaveus</i> (Kritsky et al., 1986)	B6	a			a	b	a	a	b	a	a	b	a	d	b	b
<i>Ancyrocephalus</i> (Bychowsky and Nagibina, 1970)	B6				b	a	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Onchocleidus</i> (Wheeler and Beverley-Burton, 1989)	B6			c			a	a	a		a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Ligictaluridus</i> (Beverley-Burton, 1984)	B6			c	a	a	a	a	b	b	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Actinocleidus</i> (Beverley-Burton, 1981)	B6			c	b	a	a	a	b	b	a	b	a	d	b	a
<i>Urocleidoides</i> (Zago et al., 2020)	B6	a			a	c	a	a	a	b	a		a	d	b	b
<i>Cacatuocotyle</i> (Boeger et al., 1997)	B6	a			a	a	a	b	a	b		a	a	d	b	a

<i>Myrarthecium</i> (Kritsky et al., 1996)	B6	a	d	c	c	a	b	a	a	c	a	a	a	b	a	a	d	b	b	a
<i>Diaphorocleidus</i> (Jogunoori et al., 2004)	B6	a	e	c	c	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	a
<i>Pavanelliella</i> (Kritsky and Mendoza-Franco, 2003)	B6	a		c	c	a	b	a	a	b	a	b			b		a		b	a
<i>Characithecium</i> (Mendoza-Franco et al., 2009)	B6	a	d	c	c	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	d	b	b	a
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus</i> (Neifar and Euzet, 2007)	outgroup	c		c	b	b	b	a	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	b	c	b
<i>Dolicirroplectanum</i> (Kmentová et al., 2021)	outgroup	a		c		b	b	a	b	b	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	b	a	b
<i>Paradiplotanum</i> (Dominguez and Boeger, 2008)	outgroup	a		c		b	b	a	b	a	a	a	a	a	a	b	d	b	a	b

C1: Tegument - smooth (a), striated (b) or smooth/striated (c); C2: Number of cephalic lobes - 0 (a), 2 (b), 3 (c), 4 (d) or 5 (e); C3: Position of cephalic lobes: terminal (a), subterminal/bilateral (b) or terminal/bilateral (c); C4: Number of eyespot pairs - 0 (a), 1 (b), 2 (c) or 1/2 (d); C5: Number of head organ pairs - 2 (a), 3 (b), 4 (c), 3/4 (d) or 3/4/5 (e); C6: Intestinal caeca - confluent (a) or nonconfluent (b); C7: Mutual position of gonads - tandem (a), overlapping (b), tandem/overlapping (c) or beside (d); C8: Position of vas deferens - looping (a) or not looping left intestinal caecum (b); C9: Number of seminal vesicles: 1 (a) or 2 (b); C10: Number of prostatic reservoirs - 0 (a), 1 (b), 2 (c), 0/2 (d) or 1/2 (e); C11: Accessory piece: present (a), absent (b) or present/absent (c); C12: Position of testis - preovarian (a), postovarian (b) or beside (c); C13: Comparative size of hooks - similar (a) or dissimilar (b); C14: Dorsal bar - present (a) or absent (b); C15: Dorsal bar - modified (a) or unmodified (b); C16: Ventral bar - present (a) or absent (b); C17: Ventral bar - modified (a) or unmodified (b); C18: Number of anchors - 0 (a), 2 (b), 3 (c) or 4 (d); C19: Anchors - modified (a) or unmodified (b); C20: Diventricula - present (a) or absent (a); C21: Squamodiscs - present (a), absent (b) or present/absent (c); C22: MCO - tubular (a) or nested tube (b)

Table 4. Information reported in the literature for clades inferred through phylogenetic analyses including infection site, habitat, hosts repertoires, potential synapomorphies, and phylogenetic relationships as well as synapomorphies inferred from character maps in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

#	Clade name	Node support	Genera/species included	Site of infection	Habitat	Ecoregions	Host repertoire	Synapomorphies	Results of previous phylogenetic studies
A1	<i>Metahaliotrema</i> – <i>Protogyrodactylus</i>	100/100/100/*	<i>Metahaliotrema</i> <i>Protogyrodactylus</i>	gills	marine	Central Indo-Pacific	Centrarchiiformes Gerreiformes Scatophagidae	-	Wu et al. (2006): <i>Metahaliotrema</i> and <i>Protogyrodactylus</i> reported as sister taxa.
A2	<i>Gussevia</i> – <i>Parasciadicleithrum</i>	99/95/100/*	<i>Gussevia</i> <i>Parasciadicleithrum</i>	gills	fresh-water	Neotropical	Cichliiformes	Preovarian position of testis (present study), coiled copulatory tube (Kritsky et al., 1986; Mendoza-Palmero et al., 2017)	-
A3	' <i>Ligophorus</i> '-like	95/87/98/*	<i>Ligophorus</i> <i>Ergenstrema</i> <i>Xenoligopharoides</i>	gills	marine	Central Indo-Pacific Temperate Northern Atlantic	Gobiiformes Mugiliformes	Vas deferens not looping caecum and modified ventral bar (present study), vas deferens not looping (Dimitrieva et al., 2018)	Dimitrieva et al. (2018): <i>Ergenstrema</i> and <i>Ligophorus</i> closely related but relationship with <i>X. cobitis</i> unresolved
A4	' <i>Cichlidogyrus</i> '-like	100/100/100/100	<i>Cichlidogyrus</i> <i>Scutogyrus</i>	gills	fresh-water	Afrotropical	Cichliiformes	Vas deferens not looping caecum, dissimilar size of hooks, modified dorsal bar (present study), auricles associated with dorsal bar (Pariselle and Euzet, 2009)	Wu et al. (2006): <i>Scutogyrus</i> nested in <i>Cichlidogyrus</i>
A5	<i>Euryhaliotrema</i> – <i>Sciadicleithrum</i>	100/96/100/*	<i>Euryhaliotrema</i> <i>Sciadicleithrum</i>	gills	marine and fresh-water	Central Indo-Pacific Eastern Indo-Pacific Neotropical	Chaetodontiformes Cichliiformes Lutjaniformes	copulatory tube coiled or meandering (Kritsky et al, 1989; Kritsky, 2012)	Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2017): <i>Sciadicleithrum</i> nested in a clade of species now considered members of <i>Euryhaliotrema</i>

A6	<i>Haliotrematoides</i> – <i>Mexicana</i>	100/100/100/77	<i>Haliotrematoides</i> <i>Mexicana</i>	gills	marine	Temperate Northern Pacific Temperate South America	Lutjaniformes	Overlapping gonads, modified ventral bar and absence of accessory piece (present study), absence of accessory piece in the male copulatory organ (Luque et al., 2002; Kritsky et al., 2009)	-
A7	Mesoparasitic dactylogyrids	100/100/100/87	<i>Enterogyrus</i> <i>Paradiplectanotrema</i> <i>Pseudempleurosoma</i>	oesophagus pharynx stomach rarely gills	marine and fresh- water	Afrotropical Central Indo- Pacific	Aulopiformes Cichliformes Scianidae	-	-
A8	' <i>Haliotrema</i> '-like	100/100/100/74	<i>Haliotrema</i> <i>Bravohallisia</i> <i>Caballeria</i> <i>Glyphidohaptor</i> <i>Lethrinitrema</i> <i>Parancyrocephaloides</i> <i>Platycephalotrema</i> <i>Pseudohaliotrema</i> <i>Tetrancistrum</i> <i>Thylacicleidus</i>	gills	marine	Central Indo- Pacific Eastern Indo- Pacific Indo-Malayan Temperate Northern Atlantic Western Indo- Pacific	Chaetodontiformes Ephippiformes Holocentriformes Lutjaniformes Perciformes Spariformes Syngnathiformes Tetraodontiformes Malacanthidae Siganidae	-	Monophyly of the ' <i>Haliotrema</i> ' group [without species now considered as members of <i>Euryhaliotrema</i> (Kritsky, 2012), <i>Haliotrematoides</i> (García- Vázquez et al., 2015), <i>Ligophorus</i> (Euzet and Suriano, 1977), and <i>Metahaliotrema</i> (Kritsky et al., 2016)] supported by Dang et al. (2010), Soo (2019), and Al Jufaili et al. (2020)
A9	'pseudodactylogyrids' – 'heteronchocleidids'	99/99/100/39	<i>Heteronchocleidus</i> ' <i>Ancyrocephalus</i> ' <i>maguridae</i> <i>Eutrianchoratus</i> <i>Gobioecetes</i> <i>Trianchoratus</i> <i>Pseudodactylogyryus</i>	gills	fresh- water	Indo-Malayan Palaeartic	Anabantiformes Centrarchiformes Gobiiformes Anguilliformes	-	Moderate to high support for monophyly in Tan et al. (2011) and Ogawa and Itoh (2017) but representatives of only two to three genera belonging to A9 included.
A10	dactylogyrids	100/100/100/*	<i>Dactylogyryus</i> <i>Dactylogyroides</i> <i>Dogielius</i>	gills	fresh- water	Indo-Malayan Palaeartic	Cypriniformes	Two prostatic reservoirs and single pair of anchors	-
B1	Parasites of siluriforms I: Pimelodidae	100/100/100/*	<i>Boegeriella</i> ' <i>Demidospemus</i> ' <i>mortenthaleri</i> <i>Nanayella</i>	gills	fresh- water	Neotropical	Siluriformes (Pimelodidae)	-	Clade reported as monophyletic by Acosta et al. (2018). Clade reported as monophyletic by Mendoza-Palmero et al. (2015, 2019) and Acosta et al. (2019).
B2	Parasites of siluriforms II: Doradidae and Locariidae	97/86/96/*	<i>Cosmetocleithrum</i> <i>Demidospemus</i>	gills	fresh- water	Neotropical	Siluriformes (Doradidae and Locariidae)	-	Acosta et al. (2019), Mendoza- Palmero et al. (2019): clade reported as monophyletic.

B3	Parasites of siluriforms III: Ariidae, Bagridae, and Schilbeidae	100/99/100/*	<i>Chaudanellus</i> <i>Hamatopodundularia</i> <i>Schilbetrema</i> <i>Susanlimocotyle</i>	gills	marine and fresh- water	Afrotropical Central Indo- Pacific Temperate South America Tropical Atlantic Western Indo- Pacific	Siluriformes (Ariidae, Bagridae, and Schilbeidae)	Modified dorsal bar	Monophyly supported by previous studies but omission of at least one of the following genera: <i>Hamatopodundularia</i> (Franceschini et al., 2018; Acosta et al., 2019), <i>Schilbetrema</i> (Soares et al., 2021), and <i>Susanlimocotyle</i> (Soo and Tan, 2021)
B4	Parasites of siluriforms IV: Bagridae and Siluridae	99/94/100/*	<i>Cornudiscoides</i> <i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> <i>Thaparocleidus</i>	gills	fresh- water	Indo-Malayan Palearctic	Siluriformes (Bagridae and Siluridae)	-	Close relationship between species of <i>Pseudancylodiscoides</i> and <i>Thaparocleidus</i> reported in Wu et al. (2008)
B5	Parasites of siluriforms V: Bagridae and Clariidae	100/100/100/*	<i>Bychowskyella</i> <i>Quadriacanthus</i>	gills	fresh- water	Afrotropical and Indo- Malayan	Siluriformes (Bagridae and Clariidae)	-	Wu et al. (2008): Close relationship of the two genera reported.
B6	ancyrocephalines	98/99/99/*	<i>Actinocleidus</i> <i>Ameloblastella</i> <i>Ancyrocephalus</i> <i>Cacatuocotyle</i> <i>Characithecium</i> <i>Diaphorocleidus</i> <i>Heteropriapulus</i> <i>Ligictalurus</i> <i>Mymarothecium</i> <i>Onchocleidus</i> <i>Pavanelliella</i> <i>Trinigyrus</i> <i>Unibarra</i> <i>Unilatus</i> <i>Urocleidoides</i> <i>Vancleaveus</i>	gills	fresh- water	Indo-Malayan Neotropical Nearctic <i>Palearctic</i> *	Centrarchiformes Characiformes Gymnotiformes Perciformes Siluriformes	-	Reported as monophyletic in Moreira et al. (2019b), Franceschini et al. (2020), Zago et al. (2020), and Zago et al. (2021) but <i>Pavanelliella</i> not included.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Classification system of genera previously included in Dactylogyridea with family affiliations as listed on the WORMS database (Horton et al., 2021): (A) cumulative count of genera described over time, (B) families recognised in WORMS that were previously hypothesised as synonyms of Dactylogyridae with the surface area proportionate to the number of genera, and (C) availability of molecular data in GenBank for these genera. Full names of families: Ancylo-discoididae Gusev, 1961, Calceostomatidae Parona & Perugia, 1890, Fridericianellidae Gupta & Sachdeva, 1990, Neocalceostomatidae Lim, 1995, Neotetraonchidae Bravo-Hollis, 1968, Protogyrodactylidae Johnston & Tiegs, 1922, Pseudodactylogyridae Johnston & Tiegs, 1922, Tetraonchidae Monticelli, 1903, Urogyridae Bilong Bilong, Birgi & Euzet, 1994.

*Only species of *Ergenstrema* were suggested to form part of Dactylogyridae.

Figure 2. Bayesian inference (BI) phylogram of monogenean flatworms belonging to Dactylogyridae (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) with node support inferred from BI, Maximum Likelihood (ML), and Maximum Parsimony (MP). The phylogram was inferred from three nuclear DNA portions (18S, 28S, and ITS rDNA). Node support values include Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) followed by ultrafast bootstrap values (UBoost) and Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratios (SH-aLRT) inferred from ML estimation and GC values inferred from symmetric resampling ($p = 0.33$) during MP analysis; asterisk (*) indicates low support below threshold ($PP < 0.95$, $UBoost < 95$, $SH-aLRT < 80$); black dots indicate internal nodes with strong support across all analyses; highlighted clades indicate monophyletic clades considered strongly supported ([A1–A5], [B1–B6]); heatmaps indicate the order of the infected host species and the biogeographic realm of the sampling location.

Figure 3. Final phylogenetic hypotheses inferred from molecular and morphological data under maximum parsimony. (A) Molecular phylogram inferred from three nuclear DNA portions (18S, 28S, and ITS rDNA) under extended implied weighting. (B) Morphological phylogram based 22 morphological characters (Table 3). Node support constitutes GC values inferred from symmetric resampling ($p = 0.33$).

Figure 4. Phylogenetic signal of morphological characters widely used in generic diagnoses of dactylogyrid monogeneans assessed through the model fit (all-rates-different model) in comparison to a white noise

model that absolute assumes phylogenetic independence. Model fits were assessed through the difference between the sample size–corrected Akaike information criterion ($\Delta AICc$) values. For character codes (C1–C22) see Table 3.

Figures 5. Character maps of morphological character widely used in generic diagnoses of dactylogyrid monogeneans estimated under maximum parsimony. For character codes (C1–C11) and respective character states (a–e) see Table 3.

Figures 6. Continuation of Fig. 5. Character maps of morphological character widely used in generic diagnoses of dactylogyrid monogeneans estimated under maximum parsimony. For character codes (C12–C22) and respective character states (a–e) see Table 3.

Supplementary material


Supporting Information S1. Table of morphological features reported in generic diagnoses of species of Dactylogyridae.

Supporting Information S2. Results and discussion of the phylogenetic analyses of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene.

Figure 1

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure1.eps](#)

A



B




C

Genus includes species with molecular data available at GenBank



Figure 2






Figure 4






Figure 5


[Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure 5.eps](#)




C19




C18




C17




C16



C15



C14



C13

