Evaluation of Molecular Assays for Rapid Detection of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*[∇]†

Surbhi Malhotra-Kumar, ^{1*} Liesbet Van Heirstraeten, ¹ Andie Lee, ² José Cortinas Abrahantes, ³‡ Christine Lammens, ¹ Evelyn Vanhommerig, ¹ Geert Molenberghs, ³ Marc Aerts, ³ Stephan Harbarth, ² and Herman Goossens ¹ on behalf of the MOSAR WP2 Study Team

Department of Medical Microbiology, Vaccine & Infectious Disease Institute, Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerp, Belgium¹; University of Geneva Hospitals and Medical School, Geneva, Switzerland²; and Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and Statistical Bioinformatics, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium³

Received 2 January 2010/Returned for modification 5 May 2010/Accepted 29 September 2010

The diagnostic sensitivities of the BD GeneOhm and Cepheid Xpert assays were compared using culture on log-serial dilutions of well-characterized methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and non-MRSA strains and on nasal and groin swabs from patients with histories of MRSA carriage. The sensitivities of GeneOhm and Xpert were high at 10³-CFU/ml MRSA concentrations (92.3% and 96.3%, respectively) although decreased considerably (<35%) at a 1-log-lower concentration. Unexpectedly, both assays also detected select coagulase-negative staphylococci, which requires further evaluation.

Effective and rapid laboratory diagnosis is critical for treating, managing, and preventing methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections. PCR-based MRSA detection assays offer certain benefits over conventional culture techniques, such as lower limits of detection (LoDs), high-throughput screening, and, importantly, shorter time to detection. Currently, two of the most promising commercially available PCR-based assays for MRSA detection are the GeneOhm MRSA (BD Diagnostics, Erembodegem, Belgium) and Xpert MRSA (Cepheid, Bouwel, Belgium) assays (reviewed in reference 10). Both target the junction of the mobile element staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) carrying the *mecA* methicillin resistance gene in *S. aureus* (6).

(Part of this work was presented at the 19th ECCMID, 16 to 19 May 2009, Helsinki, Finland.)

We first evaluated and compared the diagnostic sensitivities of the BD GeneOhm and Cepheid Xpert MRSA assays for patient screening samples compared to culture—both direct and subsequent to overnight enrichment—on conventional/chromogenic media (mannitol salt agar with 4 µg/ml cefoxitin and BBL-CHROMagar [BD Diagnostics]), followed by confirmatory testing, as previously described (9, 21). Fifty-two nose and groin samples were prospectively collected in 1.5 ml brain heart infusion broth and 15% glycerol from 26 previously identified MRSA carriers at the University of Geneva Hospitals. Patient samples were tested according to manufacturers' recommendations by the GeneOhm and Xpert assays, showing

similar sensitivities for MRSA detection (96% and 93%, respectively) compared to direct culture, which detected 28 samples as MRSA positive (Table 1). Consistent with recent reports (1, 21), an overnight enrichment protocol drastically increased the MRSA true-positive status of the patient screening samples compared to direct culture (42/52 versus 28/52 samples). For the 14 samples that did not show any MRSA CFU on direct culture, Xpert successfully detected MRSA in 2 samples and GeneOhm in 7 samples, suggesting an increased sensitivity of these PCR-based assays over direct cultures. However, when preenriched-culture results were taken as the gold standard, GeneOhm and Xpert showed significantly reduced sensitivities of 81% (McNemar test; P = 0.039) and 66.7% (P = 0.001), respectively (Table 1). However, the sensitivities of GeneOhm and Xpert were not significantly different from each other, with an overall concordance of 80.8% (n = 42, Cohen's kappa = 0.60), or concordances of 76.9%(n = 20; kappa = 0.54) and 84.6% (n = 22; kappa = 0.65) fornasal and groin samples, respectively. These data for previously identified MRSA carriers are similar to those for recent hospital-based studies showing comparable high sensitivities for GeneOhm and Xpert for patient screening samples from the nose/groin or throat compared to the results for direct culture but a reduced performance compared to the results for enriched culture (7, 24). Only three samples with MRSA loads of 100 CFU/ml or more were not detected by these assays. These samples included two groin samples for Xpert and a nasal sample for GeneOhm from a patient that carried MRSA only in the nose. Because certain SCCmec IV variants are reported not to be detected by these assays, possibly due to an altered SCCmec element, we performed SCCmec genotyping as described previously (5). SCCmec I was the predominant clone identified in all but two strains that harbored one each of SCCmec II and IV. Interestingly, the nasal sample that GeneOhm failed to identify carried SCCmec IV MRSA.

To identify the actual LoDs of GeneOhm and Xpert for

^{*}Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Medical Microbiology, Campus Drie Eiken, University of Antwerp, S3, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium. Phone: 32-3-820-25-51. Fax: 32-3-820-26-63. E-mail: surbhi.malhotra@ua.ac.be.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://jcm.asm.org/.

[‡] Present address: Assessment Methodology Unit, European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy.

[▽] Published ahead of print on 13 October 2010.

Vol. 48, 2010 NOTES 4599

TABLE 1. Sensitivities of GeneOhm and Xpert for detection of MRSA from patient screening samples in comparison
to results for direct and preenriched cultures

Assay	Sample source(s)	Direct culture		Preenriched culture	
		Sensitivity (%) (95% CI)	Proportion of true-positive samples	Sensitivity (%) (95% CI)	Proportion of true-positive samples
GeneOhm	Nasal	90.90 (62.5–98.4)	10/11	71.40 (50.0–86.2)	15/21
	Groin	100 (81.6–100)	17/17	90.50 (71.1–97.4)	19/21
	All	96.40 (82.3–99.4)	27/28	81.00 (66.7–90.0)	34/42
Xpert	Nasal	100 (74.1–100)	11/11	57.10 (36.6–75.5)	12/21
1	Groin	88.20 (65.7–96.7)	15/17	76.10 (54.9–89.4)	16/21
	All	92.90 (77.4–98.0)	26/28	66.70 (51.6–79.0)	28/42

divergent MRSA clones as well as to overcome the inherently low level of epidemiological diversity observed among clinical samples collected from a single hospital, we analyzed 27 distinct MRSA strains at defined concentrations. These strains harbored distinct SCCmec subtypes and comprised some of the most prevalent, well-characterized clonal lineages that have disseminated worldwide in hospitals and communities, including animal-associated MRSA strains that are carried by and cause disease in humans (2, 22) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). MRSA strains were tested in these assays with serial dilutions from 10⁰ through 10⁵ CFU/ml (1, 10, 10², 10³, 10⁴, and 10⁵ CFU/ml) until a positive result was obtained. Both assays showed high sensitivities for detection of pure MRSA strains at concentrations of 10³ CFU/ml, with the average LoDs for GeneOhm (430 CFU/swab, or 4,300 CFU/ml) and Xpert (250 CFU/ swab, or 3,300 CFU/ml) corroborating previous data (16, 17) (GeneOhm MRSA package insert) (Table 2). Nonetheless, the steep drop in sensitivity at 10² CFU/ml brings into question the ability of these assays to accurately detect MRSA carriage at lower concentrations in carriers, including carriers who have completed decolonization treatment but in whom complete eradication has not been achieved (14, 23). Moreover, 3 MRSA strains could not be detected at 10³ CFU/ml but could be detected at a 1-log-higher concentration in two independent experiments. These strains included MRSA strains harboring SCCmec III/sequence type 239 (ST239) (GeneOhm; human MRSA strain 9) (Table S1), SCCmec IV/ST398 (GeneOhm; animal MRSA strain 19), or SCCmec V/ST398 (Xpert; animal MRSA strain 20). The reduced sensitivities of detection observed for these MRSA strains corroborate previous reports of detection failures for human and animal MRSA harboring SCCmec types III, IV, and V in these assays (8, 15, 19, 20). While the precise reason for this is unknown, sequence variations in the targeted orfX-SCCmec junction region, which are especially common in animal MRSA (13), are the most likely reason for the poor performance of the molecular assays with specific MRSA strains. Hence, from a clinical-use perspective, iterative modifications of the molecular assays based on epidemiological changes will be necessary for optimal sensitivities to be sustained.

Lastly, we also studied cross-reactions to non-MRSA strains for mixtures of select MRSA and non-MRSA strains, including various methicillin-resistant and -sensitive coagulase-negative staphylococci (MRCoNS and MSCoNS, respectively) (n = 25) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material, strains 28 through 52). Twenty-one mixtures of non-MRSA/MRSA strains were prepared as described in the supplemental material and assayed with serial dilutions from 10°- to 105-CFU/ml MRSA concentrations. Interestingly, increased sensitivity (and decreased LoD) was observed for MRSA strains in mixtures spiked with non-MRSA strains compared to the level for pure MRSA strains at similar concentrations (Table 2). To study whether this increased sensitivity was due to cross-reactivity to non-MRSA strains, we tested all 25 pure non-MRSA strains individually as well as 8 mixtures comprising only non-MRSA strains at a single high concentration of 10⁵ to 10⁶ CFU/ml MRSA. Those showing false-positive results for either molecular assay were confirmed with log-serial dilutions. False-positive detections of pure non-MRSA strains and their mixtures were observed sporadically for GeneOhm (all 5 MRCoNS and 1 of 3 MSCoNS strains tested) and Xpert (3 MRCoNS and 2 MSCoNS strains) (see Table S2 in the supplemental material for the threshold cycle $[C_T]$ values obtained for these strain dilutions). In a previous analytical study by Huletsky and colleagues, approximately 250 MRCoNS and MSCoNS strains did not show any false-positive detection with an in-house realtime PCR targeting orfX-SCCmec junction (6). GeneOhm and Xpert are also based on the same principle, although the primer targets might differ from those used by Huletsky et al. (6). A U.S.-based study tested 44 strains of MRCoNS and MSCoNS on Xpert and did not find any cross-reactivity (24), although the species and SCCmec types present in these strains were not described in the study. In yet another analytical study, Francois and colleagues showed false-positive results for GeneOhm with MSSA, but MRCoNS were not tested (4). Some other clinical studies with large numbers of human screening samples have also shown false-positive results; however, the underlying cross-reactive organisms could not be completely elucidated (3, 7). Interestingly, in similarity to S. aureus, the vicinity of the orfX gene is also a preferred site for insertion of SCCmec cassettes in other staphylococci, and frequent exchange of parts or of entire SCCmec elements or even of non-mecA-containing SCC elements is also common in these organisms (11, 12). Preliminary sequencing of the orfX-SCCmec junction region in select falsely positive MRCoNS has shown high homology to MRSA (S. Malhotra-Kumar, M. Gazin, L. Van Heirstraeten, and H. Goossens, unpublished results). Thus, in addition to the well-described cross-reactivity

4600 NOTES J. CLIN. MICROBIOL.

TABLE 2. Sensitivities and limits of detection for the two assays tested on pure strains and their defined mixtures at various concentrations

Sample group	Assay	LoD range (CFU/ml)	Avg LoD	Avg LoD (95% CI)	Sensitivity (Sensitivity (%) at indicated MRSA concn (no. of positive samples)	SSA concn
(no. ot sampres)			CFU/ml	CFU/swab ^a	10 ² CFU/ml	10^2 CFU/ml 10^3 CFU/ml 10^4 CFU/m	10 ⁴ CFU/n
MRSA strains (27)	GeneOhm Xpert	$1.4 \times 10^{2} - 4.1 \times 10^{4}$ $1.4 \times 10^{2} - 2.0 \times 10^{4}$	$4.3 \times 10^3 (1.7 \times 10^2 - 3.2 \times 10^4)$ $3.3 \times 10^3 (1.6 \times 10^2 - 1.1 \times 10^4)$	$4.3 \times 10^{2} (1.7 \times 10^{1} - 3.2 \times 10^{3})$ $2.5 \times 10^{2} (1.2 \times 10^{1} - 8.4 \times 10^{2})$	33.30 (9) 14.80 (4)	92.30 (25) 96.30 (26)	100 (27) 100 (27)
MRSA/non-MRSA mixtures (21)	GeneOhm Xpert	$5.4 \times 10^{0} - 5.1 \times 10^{3}$ $2.7 \times 10^{1} - 5.1 \times 10^{3}$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.0 \times 10^3 \ (4.5 \times 10^1 4.9 \times 10^3) \\ 2.4 \times 10^3 \ (3.7 \times 10^1 5.0 \times 10^3) \end{array}$	$2.0 \times 10^{2} (4.5 \times 10^{0} - 4.9 \times 10^{2})$ $1.8 \times 10^{2} (2.7 \times 10^{0} - 3.7 \times 10^{2})$	42.90 (9) 38.10 (8)	100 (21) 100 (21)	$\stackrel{\text{ND}^b}{\text{ND}}$

^a Calculated for 100- μ l and 75- μ l sample inputs for GeneOhm and Xpert, respectively. ^b ND, not determined, as all MRSA-positive mixtures were detectable at the preceding lower concentration.

with MSSA (18), our study shows that the presence of select MRCoNS in human screening samples could also affect the specificity of *orfX*-SCC*mec*-targeting assays.

We thank BD Diagnostics and Cepheid for providing test kits and recommendations for patient sample and strain testing. We thank the MOSAR WP2 partners (Waleria Hryniewicz, Jordi Vila, Marek Gniadkowski, and Claire Poyart) for providing strains. S.H. and A.L. gratefully acknowledge the staff of the Staphylococcal Laboratory and the Infection Control Program at the University of Geneva Hospitals for assistance with specimen collection and processing.

This work, L.V.H., and A.L. were supported by funding from the European Community (MOSAR network contract LSHP-CT-2007-037941 and TheraEdge network contract FP7-216027). S.M.-K. is funded by the Research Foundation—Flanders (FWO-V), Belgium. The sponsors of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. S.M.-K. has received a speaker's honorarium from BD Diagnostics. We declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- de San, N., O. Denis, M. F. Gasasira, R. De Mendonca, C. Nonhoff, and M. J. Struelens. 2007. Controlled evaluation of the IDI-MRSA assay for detection of colonization by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in diverse mucocutaneous specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1098–1101.
- Ekkelenkamp, M. B., M. Sekkat, N. Carpaij, A. Troelstra, and M. J. Bonten. 2006. Endocarditis due to meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus originating from pigs. Ned. Tijdschr. Geneeskd. 150:2442–2447. (In Dutch.)
- Farley, J. E., P. D. Stamper, T. Ross, M. Cai, S. Speser, and K. C. Carroll. 2008. Comparison of the BD GeneOhm methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) PCR assay to culture by use of BBL CHROMagar MRSA for detection of MRSA in nasal surveillance cultures from an at-risk community population. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:743–746.
- Francois, P., M. Bento, G. Renzi, S. Harbarth, D. Pittet, and J. Schrenzel. 2007. Evaluation of three molecular assays for rapid identification of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:2011–2013.
- Francois, P., G. Renzi, D. Pittet, M. Bento, D. Lew, S. Harbarth, P. Vaudaux, and J. Schrenzel. 2004. A novel multiplex real-time PCR assay for rapid typing of major staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec elements. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:3309–3312.
- Huletsky, A., R. Giroux, V. Rossbach, M. Gagnon, M. Vaillancourt, M. Bernier, F. Gagnon, K. Truchon, M. Bastien, F. J. Picard, A. van Belkum, M. Ouellette, P. H. Roy, and M. G. Bergeron. 2004. New real-time PCR assay for rapid detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus directly from specimens containing a mixture of staphylococci. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:1875–1884.
- Kelley, P. G., E. A. Grabsch, B. P. Howden, W. Gao, and M. L. Grayson. 2009. Comparison of the Xpert methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) assay, BD GeneOhm MRSA assay, and culture for detection of nasal and cutaneous groin colonization by MRSA. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47: 3769–3772.
- Laurent, C., P. Bogaerts, D. Schoevaerdts, O. Denis, A. Deplano, C. Swine, M. J. Struelens, and Y. Glupczynski. 2010. Evaluation of the Xpert MRSA assay for rapid detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from nares swabs of geriatric hospitalized patients and failure to detect a specific SCCmec type IV variant. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. doi:10.1007/ s10096-010-0958-3.
- Malhotra-Kumar, S., J. C. Abrahantes, W. Sabiiti, C. Lammens, G. Vercauteren, M. Ieven, G. Molenberghs, M. Aerts, and H. Goossens. 2010. Evaluation of chromogenic media for detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48:1040–1046.
- Malhotra-Kumar, S., K. Haccuria, M. Michiels, M. Ieven, C. Poyart, W. Hryniewicz, and H. Goossens. 2008. Current trends in rapid diagnostics for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and glycopeptide-resistant enterococcus species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:1577–1587.
- Miragaia, M., I. Couto, and H. de Lencastre. 2005. Genetic diversity among methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis (MRSE). Microb. Drug Resist. 11:83–93.
- 12. Mongkolrattanothai, K., S. Boyle, T. V. Murphy, and R. S. Daum. 2004. Novel non-mecA-containing staphylococcal chromosomal cassette composite island containing pbp4 and tagF genes in a commensal staphylococcal species: a possible reservoir for antibiotic resistance islands in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48:1823–1836.
- Reischl, U., J. Frick, S. Hoermansdorfer, H. Melzl, M. Bollwein, H. J. Linde, K. Becker, R. Kock, C. Tuschak, U. Busch, and A. Sing. 2009. Singlenucleotide polymorphism in the SCCmec-orfX junction distinguishes between livestock-associated MRSA CC398 and human epidemic MRSA strains. Euro Surveill. 14(49):pii=19436.

Vol. 48, 2010 NOTES 4601

14. Rohr, U., C. Mueller, M. Wilhelm, G. Muhr, and S. Gatermann. 2003. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus whole-body decolonization among hospitalized patients with variable site colonization by using mupirocin in combination with octenidine dihydrochloride. J. Hosp. Infect. 54: 305–309.

- Roosendaal, R., J. A. Kluytmans, J. H. Woudenberg, X. Huijsdens, and C. M. Vandenbroucke-Grauls. 2007. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains from animal origin are recognized by IDI-MRSA PCR. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 13(s1):S234.
- Rossney, A. S., C. M. Herra, G. I. Brennan, P. M. Morgan, and B. O'Connell. 2008. Evaluation of the Xpert methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) assay using the GeneXpert real-time PCR platform for rapid detection of MRSA from screening specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:3285– 3290.
- Rossney, A. S., C. M. Herra, M. M. Fitzgibbon, P. M. Morgan, M. J. Lawrence, and B. O'Connell. 2007. Evaluation of the IDI-MRSA assay on the SmartCycler real-time PCR platform for rapid detection of MRSA from screening specimens. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 26:459–466.
- 18. Shore, A. C., A. S. Rossney, B. O'Connell, C. M. Herra, D. J. Sullivan, H. Humphreys, and D. C. Coleman. 2008. Detection of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec-associated DNA segments in multiresistant methicillinsusceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) and identification of Staphylococcus epidermidis ccrAB4 in both methicillin-resistant S. aureus and MSSA. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52:4407–4419.
- Sissonen, S., T. Pasanen, S. Salmenlinna, J. Vuopio-Varkila, E. Tarkka, M. Vaara, and P. Tissari. 2009. Evaluation of a commercial MRSA assay when

- multiple MRSA strains are causing epidemics. Eur.J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **28**:1271–1273.
- Thomas, L., S. van Hal, M. O'Sullivan, P. Kyme, and J. Iredell. 2008. Failure
 of the BD GeneOhm StaphS/R assay for identification of Australian methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains: duplex assays as the "gold
 standard" in settings of unknown SCCmec epidemiology. J. Clin. Microbiol.
 46:4116–4117
- Van Heirstraeten, L., J. C. Abrahantes, C. Lammens, A. Lee, S. Harbarth, G. Molenberghs, M. Aerts, H. Goossens, and S. Malhotra-Kumar. 2009. Impact of a short pre-enrichment on detection and bacterial loads of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus from screening specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 10:3326–3328.
- van Rijen, M. M., P. H. van Keulen, and J. A. Kluytmans. 2008. Increase in a Dutch hospital of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus related to animal farming. Clin. Infect. Dis. 46:261–263.
- 23. Wolk, D. M., J. L. Marx, L. Dominguez, D. Driscoll, and R. B. Schifman. 2009. Comparison of MRSASelect agar, CHROMagar methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) medium, and Xpert MRSA PCR for detection of MRSA in nares: diagnostic accuracy for surveillance samples with various bacterial densities. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:3933–3936.
- 24. Wolk, D. M., E. Picton, D. Johnson, T. Davis, P. Pancholi, C. C. Ginocchio, S. Finegold, D. F. Welch, M. de Boer, D. Fuller, M. C. Solomon, B. Rogers, M. S. Mehta, and L. R. Peterson. 2009. Multicenter evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) test as a rapid screening method for detection of MRSA in nares. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:758–764.