

# Affective-motivational Factors Predicting Freshmen's Study Time Investment

Sarah Doumen, Chris Masui, & Jan Broeckmans

Hasselt University, Faculty of Business Economics

# **RESEARCH AIM**

Students significantly differ in the amount of time devoted to studying. Female students have been shown to work harder (Brint & Cantwell, 2010), whereas students with higher scores on general cognitive ability and prior learning tests invest less study time (Plant, Ericsson, Hill, & Asberg, 2005). But what about variables with a strong affective-motivational component?

# METHOD

### **\$ 329 freshmen of business economics** Hasselt University, Belgium

- 185 boys, 144 girls

# **Self-regulated learning environment**

- Self-study tasks for each course are described in study itineraries
- Classes: lectures, response sessions, and workshops
- Key outcome variable: students continuously recorded their study time **investment** in self-study tasks and class attendance during the entire term
- Study time per credit point was calculated
- Course involved: either Macro-Economics, Micro-Economics, Mathematics, or Financial

# **Affective-motivational variables**

- Measured at course-level (week 6/8)
- Self-efficacy (18 items,  $\alpha = .91$ ) e.g., During the past 8 weeks, I was certain that I could handle the workload of (course x)
- Learning goal orientation (7 items,  $\alpha = .70$ ) e.g., I study (course x) because it interests me.
- Action-orientation (Kuhl, 1994; Volet, 1997)

#### ♦ Disengaging vs. Being Preoccupied with Failure

10 items,  $\alpha = .81$ ; e.g., When I am concerned about my progress in (course x), I start with something else and don't think about it anymore/ it takes me a long time before I can concentrate on something else

### ♦ Taking Initiative vs. Hesitating

7 items,  $\alpha$  = .72; e.g., When I have to complete an important assignment, I easily start working/ I often think too long about where to start

♦ Being Persistent vs. Being Easily Distracted

#### Accounting

### Control variables: intelligence test score at university entry and gender

4 items,  $\alpha = .64$ ; e.g., When a part of (course x) is more difficult than expected, I keep studying until I have processed it/ I tend to engage in something else

### RESULTS

Table 2. Study Time and Grades Regressed On Affective-Motivational Factors

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Intelligence Test	1.00							
2. Self-Efficacy	.15**	1.00						
3. Learning Goal Orientation	03	.30***	1.00					
4. Disengaging	.02	.25***	13*	1.00				
5. Taking Initiative	10	.35***	.34***	.18**	1.00			
6. Being Persistent	.02	.36***	.45***	.06	.47***	1.00		
7. Study Time	08	07	.13*	17**	.23***	.23***	1.00	
8. Course Grade	.35***	.39***	.16**	11	.22***	.27***	.14*	1.00
$M_{\rm boys}(SD_{\rm boys})$	36.75(8.26)	4.09(0.67)	2.76(0.42)	2.54(0.47)	2.56(0.45)	2.97(0.55)	22.41(7.19)	9.40(4.67)
$M_{\rm girls}(SD_{\rm girls})$	33.58(7.24)	4.09(0.62)	2.86(0.43)	2.42(0.50)	2.75(0.52)	3.11(0.55)	24.50(6.26)	9.56(4.22)
Independent samples <i>t</i> -test	3.64***	-0.05	-1.94	2.37*	-3.51***	-2.35*	-2.77**	- 0.33

	Study Time		Course Grade	
	β	$\Delta R^2$	β	$\Delta R^2$
Step 1: Control variables		.03*		.13***
Intelligence Test	05		.37***	
Gender	.14*		.09	
Step 2: Adding Affective-Motivational		.12***		.19***
Factors				
Intelligence Test	02		.32***	
Gender	.06		.01	
Self-Efficacy	16**		.33***	
Learning Goal Orientation	01		07	
Disengaging	17**		24***	
Taking Initiative	.21**		.14*	

Theoretical	range		
-------------	-------	--	--

.13\*

After adding the affective-motivational factors, gender is no longer a significant predictor of study time. Easily taking initiative to study and being persistent are associated with more time investment, whereas more disengagement from the course and a higher course-related self-efficacy are related to less time investment. When looking at self-study time and class attendance separately, the model only holds for self-study time. Only persistence predicts class attendance. The same affective-motivational factors play a role in the prediction of course grades. However, students with a higher course-related selfefficacy perform better.