# The Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for semi-direct products of Lie algebras

Alfons I. Ooms

Mathematics Department, Hasselt University, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium E-mail address: alfons.ooms@uhasselt.be

Abstract. Let g be an n-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero and let W be a g-module such that dim  $W \ge n$ . Sufficient conditions are given in order for the semi-direct product  $g \oplus W$  to satisfy the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture. This implies that this conjecture holds for an important class of Frobenius Lie algebras. Special attention is devoted to the case where g = sl(2, k).

### 1. Introduction

Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero, with basis  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_s\}$ . Let U(L) be its enveloping algebra and let D(L) be the quotient division ring of U(L) with center Z(D(L)). Let R(L) be the quotient field of the symmetric algebra S(L). Denote by i(L) the index of L, for which the following formula holds [D, 1.14.13].

$$i(L) = \dim L - \operatorname{rank}_{R(L)}([y_i, y_j])$$

and which coincides with the transcendence degree of Z(D(L)) over k if L is algebraic [RV, 4.6], [O1, p. 72].

In 1966, Gelfand and Kirillov formulated the following conjecture and settled it for nilpotent Lie algebras, gl(n) and sl(n) [GK1].

The GK-conjecture: Let L be algebraic and let k be algebraically closed, then D(L) is isomorphic to a Weyl skew field  $D_n(F)$  over a purely transcendental extension F of k.

Later on, they established this also for L semi-simple, but only for an extension

of the center Z(D(L)) [GK2].

In 1973, three separate proofs were given for the solvable case by Borho [BGR], Joseph [J] and McConnell [M]. Concerning the mixed case, Nghiem treated in [N] the semi-direct products sl(n), sp(2n) and so(n) with their standard representation and proved the GK-conjecture for these.

In 1996, Alev, Van den Bergh and the author presented a family of counterexamples in [AOV1], focusing on semi-direct products of the Lie algebra of a nonspecial group with a representation admitting a trivial generic stabilizer. For example, the 9-dimensional semi-direct product of sl(2) with two copies of the adjoint representation (the nonspecial group to be considered here is PSL(2)). This happens to be the smallest counterexample since the GK-conjecture holds in lower dimensions [AOV2].

In this paper we continue to consider semi-direct products. The following is our main result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let g be an n-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero and let W be a g-module such that dim  $W \ge n$ . Put K = R(W), the quotient field of the symmetric algebra S(W), and let  $K^g$  be the subfield of invariants under the action of g. Consider the semi-direct product  $L = g \oplus W$ . We assume that:

- (i) g(f) = 0 for some  $f \in W^*$  (where g(f) is the stabilizer of f, consisting of all  $x \in g$  such that f(xw) = 0 for all  $w \in W$ )
- (ii) K is a purely transcendental extension of  $K^g$
- (iii)  $tr \deg_{K^g}(K) = n$

Then D(L) is isomorphic to the Weyl skew field  $D_n(F)$ , where  $F = Z(D(L)) = K^g$ . If in addition the extension F/k is also rational then L satisfies the GK-conjecture.

Note that g is not assumed to be algebraic and also that k need not be algebraically closed. However, if g is algebraic, then so is L and in that case (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i) (Remark 2.3).

Theorem 1.1, which generalizes Corollary 2.3(1) of [AOV1], has some interesting consequences. In particular, it implies that an important class of Frobenius Lie algebras satisfy the GK-conjecture. Its proof is straightforward and provides a method for the explicit computation of the Weyl generators of D(L). In section 3 this procedure is applied to the 8-dimensional semi-direct product of sl(2) with  $W_2 \bigoplus W_1$  (where  $W_n$  is the (n+1)-dimensional irreducible sl(2)-module). Finally, section 4 is devoted to the semi-direct product of sl(2) with  $W_n$  for  $n \ge 5$  (k algebraically closed). This satisfies the GK-conjecture if and only if n is odd. In particular,  $sl(2) \bigoplus W_6$  is a 10-dimensional counterexample to GK.

### 2. Proof of the main theorem and its consequences

Let g, W, L etc... be as above. In particular  $L = g \bigoplus W$  is the semi-direct product of g with W in which  $[x, w] = xw, x \in g, w \in W$  and in which W is an abelian ideal. Let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  be a basis of g and let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$  be a basis of W. We now recall the following [O3, p. 708]:

**Proposition 2.1** The following are equivalent:

- 1. g(f) = 0 for some  $f \in W^*$
- 2.  $\operatorname{rank}_K([x_i, e_j]) = n$
- 3.  $i(L) = \dim W \dim g$
- 4. W is a commutative polarization of L
- 5. K is a maximal subfield of D(L)

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied then W is a faithful g-module and  $Z(D(L)) = K^g$ .

**Remark 2.2** If k is algebraically closed, g a simple Lie algebra, acting irreducibly on W then the conditions of the proposition are satisfied if and only if dim  $g < \dim W$  [AVE]. See also [R, p. 196].

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Put  $F = K^g = Z(D(L))$ . By assumption, we can find  $q_1, \ldots, q_n \in K$ , algebraically independent over F, such that  $K = F(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ . Next, we claim that the matrix

$$A = ([x_i, q_j]) \in K^{n \times n}$$

is invertible.

Since  $e_j \in K$  there exists  $f_j \in F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $e_j = f_j(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ . Then,

$$[x_i, e_j] = \sum_{s=1}^n [x_i, q_s] \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial q_s}$$

for all i: 1, ..., n and j: 1, ..., m. Therefore, we have the following equality of matrices:

$$([x_i, e_j]) = \underbrace{([x_i, q_s])}_A \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial q_s}\right)$$

On the left hand side we have an  $n \times m$  matrix of rank n. Consequently,  $A \in K^{n \times n}$ is also of rank n, establishing our claim. Let  $B = (b_{js}) \in K^{n \times n}$  be the inverse of A and put

$$p_j = \sum_{s=1}^n b_{js} x_s \quad j:1,\dots,n$$

Note that:  $p_j \in g_K = K \otimes_k g$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [x_i, q_j] p_j = \sum_{j,s} [x_i, q_j] b_{js} x_s = x_i$$

In other words,  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in g_K$  are the unique solutions of the following system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [x_i, q_j] p_j = x_i \quad i: 1, \dots, n \quad (*)$$

This implies that (since K is commutative)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [x, q_j] p_j = x \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in g_K \quad (**)$$

Next, we want to verify that

$$p_1,\ldots,p_n,q_1,\ldots,q_n$$

form a set of Weyl generators of D(L) over F. For all i, j : 1, ..., n we have:

- 1)  $[q_i, q_j] = 0$  since K is commutative.
- 2)  $[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij}$ First we observe that for all  $j, t : 1, \ldots, n$ :

$$[p_j, q_t] = \left[\sum_s b_{js} x_s, q_t\right] = \sum_s b_{js} [x_s, q_t] \in K$$

Using (\*) and the fact that K is commutative we get for all i, t : 1, ..., n:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [x_i, q_j] [p_j, q_t] = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} [x_i, q_j] p_j, q_t \right] = [x_i, q_t]$$

Therefore we have the following equality of matrices  $\in K^{n \times n}$ :

$$\underbrace{([x_i, q_j])}_A([p_j, q_t]) = \underbrace{([x_i, q_t])}_A$$

Consequently,  $[p_i, q_t] = \delta_{it}$  as A is invertible.

3)  $[p_i, p_j] = 0$ 

Using (2) we see that for all  $s: 1, \ldots, n$ :  $[[p_i, p_j], q_s] = [[p_i, q_s], p_j] + [p_i, [p_j, q_s]] = 0.$ Hence,  $[p_i, p_j] \in C(K) = K$ , K being a maximal subfield of D(L) by Proposition 2.1.

Next,

$$\begin{split} & [x_i, p_s] = \left[\sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] p_j, p_s\right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ [x_i, q_j], p_s \right] p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] [p_j, p_s] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ [x_i, p_s], q_j \right] p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{[x_i, [q_j, p_s]]}_0 p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] [p_j, p_s] \\ & = [x_i, p_s] + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] [p_j, p_s] \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{using } (**) \text{ since } & [x_i,p_s] \in g_K ). \\ \text{Hence,} & \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i,q_j] [p_j,p_s] \, = \, 0 & \text{which forces } & [p_j,p_s] \, = \, 0 & \text{for all} & j,s \, : \end{array}$  $1, \ldots, n$  as  $A = ([x_i, q_j])$  is an invertible matrix.

4.  $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n$  generate D(L) as a skew field over F. From  $q_1, \ldots, q_n$  and F we obtain all of K as  $K = F(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ . In particular, also  $W \subset K$ . On the other hand, we also obtain the basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of g since  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] p_j$  and  $[x_i, q_j] \in K$ .

We may now conclude that  $D(L) = D_n(F)$ .

**Remark 2.3** Suppose g is algebraic. Then the same holds for L and in that case (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i).

**Proof.** First, we show that L is algebraic.  $ad_L W$  is algebraic, since it is an abelian Lie subalgebra of EndL, consisting of nilpotent endomorphisms [C, p. 303]. Hence,  $ad_L L = ad_L g + ad_L W$  is also algebraic, being the sum of two algebraic Lie subalgebras of EndL [C, p. 175]. Consequently, L is algebraic [C, p. 336]. Therefore,

$$tr \deg_k(K^g) = tr \deg_k Z(D(L)) = i(L)$$
  
= dim W - dim g = m - n (by Proposition 2.1)

From  $k \subset K^g \subset K$  we see that

$$tr \deg_{K^g}(K) = tr \deg_k(K) - tr \deg_k(K^g)$$
$$= m - (m - n) = n$$

**Corollary 2.4** Assume  $L = g \bigoplus W$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1. In particular,

$$F = k(c_1, \dots, c_t)$$
 and  $K = F(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ 

are purely transcendental extensions of k and F respectively (where  $F = K^g = Z(D(L))$ ). Let  $d \in DerL$  be an outer derivation of L such that

- (i)  $d(g) \subset g$
- (ii)  $d(c_i) = \alpha_i c_i$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad i: 1, \dots, t$
- (iii)  $d(q_j) = \lambda_j q_j$  for some  $\lambda_j \in k$ , j: 1, ..., n

Then the semi-direct product  $L \bigoplus kd$  satisfies the GK-conjecture.

**Proof.** We construct  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in D(L)$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then we know that  $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n$  form a system of Weyl generators of D(L) over F, a rational extension of k. In view of Lemma 4 of [AOV2] it suffices to show that  $d(p_j) = -\lambda_j p_j$  for all  $j: 1, \ldots, n$ .

For this purpose, we let d act on both sides of formule (\*)

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j] p_j \quad i: 1, \dots, n$$

We obtain:

$$d(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d([x_i, q_j])p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j]d(p_j)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j=1}^n [d(x_i), q_j]p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, d(q_j)]p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j]d(p_j)$$
  
= 
$$d(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, \lambda_j q_j]p_j + \sum_{j=1}^n [x_i, q_j]d(p_j)$$

(using (\*\*) since  $d(x_i) \in g$ )

Consequently  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} [x_i, q_j](\lambda_j p_j + d(p_j)) = 0$  for all i : 1, ..., n. This implies that  $\lambda_j p_j + d(p_j) = 0$  for all j : 1, ..., n as the matrix  $A = ([x_i, q_j]) \in K^{n \times n}$  is invertible.

**Proposition 2.5** Let g be an n-dimensional Lie algebra over k and W an n-dimensional g-module such that g(f) = 0 for some  $f \in W^*$ .

- (i) Then the semi-direct product  $L = g \bigoplus W$  is Frobenius and satisfies the GK-conjecture. In fact,  $D(L) \cong D_n(k)$ .
- (ii) We may assume that  $g \subset \text{End}W$ . Let  $T \subset \text{End}W$  be an abelian Lie subalgebra consisting of diagonalizable endomorphisms of W such that  $[T,g] \subset g$  and  $T \cap g = \{0\}$ . Then the semi-direct product  $L_1 = (T \oplus g) \oplus W$  also satisfies the GK-conjecture.

**Proof.** (i) L is Frobenius since i(L) = 0 by Proposition 2.1. In particular,  $K^g = Z(D(L)) = k$  [O2], [O4, p. 283].

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are trivially satisfied.

(ii) Let  $\{t_1, \ldots, t_r\}$  be a basis of T. We can find a basis  $\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$  of Wsuch that  $[t_i, q_j] = t_i(q_j) = \lambda_{ij}q_j$  for some  $\lambda_{ij} \in k$  for all i, j. We can construct  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in D(L)$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 such that  $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n$  is a system of Weyl generators of D(L) over k = Z(D(L)). Then each derivation  $d_i = \operatorname{ad}_L t_i$  is a derivation of L which satisfies the conditions of Corollary 2.4, which implies that

$$[t_i, p_j] = d_i(p_j) = -\lambda_{ij}p_j$$
 for all  $i, j$ 

and so  $[t_i, p_j q_j] = 0.$ 

First, we introduce for each  $i: 1, \ldots, r$ 

$$u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} p_j q_j \in D(L) \setminus \{0\}$$

Clearly,  $[t_s, u_i] = 0$  for all s, i. Note that

$$[u_i, p_s] = [\sum_j \lambda_{ij} p_j q_j, p_s] = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} p_j [q_j, p_s]$$
$$= -\lambda_{is} p_s$$

Similarly,  $[u_i, q_s] = \lambda_{is} q_s.$ 

In particular,  $[u_i, p_s q_s] = 0$  for all s and hence also  $[u_i, u_j] = 0$  for all i, j. Next, we put

$$z_i = t_i - u_i \in D(L_1) \setminus \{0\}, \quad i: 1, \dots, r$$

Then we observe that for all i, j:

$$[z_i, p_j] = [t_i - u_i, p_j] = [t_i, p_j] - [u_i, p_j] = 0$$

similarly,  $[z_i, q_j] = 0$  and also  $[z_i, z_j] = 0$ . We now proceed step by step.

#### Step 1: t = 1

Because  $z_1 = t_1 - u_1$  commutes with all the *p*'s and *q*'s we may conclude, as in the proof (case 2) of lemma 4 of [AOV2], that  $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n$  form a system of Weyl generators of  $D(L_1)$  over  $k(z_1)$ .

Step 2 (t = 2) follows from step 1 using the same argument, and so on. In the end,  $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n$  form a system of Weyl generators of  $D(L_1)$  over  $k(z_1, \ldots, z_r)$ , a purely transcendental extension of k. In particular,  $Z(D(L_1)) = k(z_1, \ldots, z_r).$  **Examples** (all of type (i) of the proposition)

- 1. Let g be an n-dimensional Frobenius Lie algebra and let W be its adjoint representation. Then the Takiff Lie algebra  $L = g \bigoplus W$  is Frobenius and  $D(L) \cong D_n(k)$ .
- 2. Let g be an n-dimensional reductive Lie algebra over k, k algebraically closed, and let  $W^*$  be a prehomogeneous g-module (i.e.  $W^*$  has an open orbit) such that dim W = n. Then  $L = g \bigoplus W$  is Frobenius [EO, p. 143] and  $D(L) \cong D_n(k)$ .
- 3. Let A be an n-dimensional (associative) Frobenius algebra with a unit. A becomes a Lie algebra g for the Lie bracket [a, b] = ab ba and W = A becomes a g-module by left multiplication. Then  $L = g \bigoplus W$  is a Frobenius Lie algebra [EO, p. 144] and  $D(L) \cong D_n(k)$ .

# 3. The explicit verification of $L = sl(2,k) \oplus W_2 \oplus W_1$

We want to demonstrate the method of Theorem 1.1 (and its proof) for this Lie algebra (which is  $L_{8,2}$  of [AOV2, p. 567]) in order to obtain the Weyl generators of D(L).

So, here g = sl(2, k) (which is algebraic), with standard basis h, x, y and  $W = W_2 \bigoplus W_1$ , with standard basis  $e_0, e_1, e_2; e_3, e_4$ . The Lie brackets of these form the following matrix M:

	h	x	y	$e_0$	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$
h	0	2x	-2y	$2e_0$	0	$-2e_2$	$e_3$	$-e_4$
x	-2x	0	h	0	$2e_0$	$e_1$	0	$e_3$
y	2y	-h	0	$e_1$	$2e_2$	0	$e_4$	0
$e_0$	$-2e_0$	0	$-e_1$	0	0	0	0	0
$e_1$	0	$-2e_0$	$-2e_2$	0	0	0	0	0
$e_2$	$2e_2$	$-e_1$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$e_3$	$-e_3$	0	$-e_4$	0	0	0	0	0
$e_4$	$ \begin{array}{c} -2x \\ 2y \\ -2e_0 \\ 0 \\ 2e_2 \\ -e_3 \\ e_4 \end{array} $	$-e_3$	0	0	0	0	0	0

Put  $K = R(W) = k(e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$ . First, we verify the conditions of Theorem 1.1.

(i) We notice that the 3 × 5 submatrix of M in the top right corner has rank 3 over K (since det  $\begin{pmatrix} -2e_2 & e_3 & -e_4 \\ e_1 & 0 & e_3 \\ 0 & e_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2e_2e_3e_4 - e_1e_4^2 \neq 0$ ). This implies that g(f) = 0 for some  $f \in W^*$  by Proposition 2.1. In particular,  $Z(D(L)) = K^g$ .

(ii) Since L is algebraic we know that:

$$tr \deg_k(Z(D(L))) = i(L) = \dim W - \dim g = 2$$

Put

$$c_1 = e_1^2 - 4e_0e_2$$
 and  $c_2 = e_0e_4^2 - e_1e_3e_4 + e_2e_3^2$ 

We verify that  $c_1, c_2 \in Z(D(L))$ 

$$\begin{split} [x,c_1] &= 2[x,e_1]e_1 - 4e_0[x,e_2] \\ &= 4e_0e_1 - 4e_0e_1 = 0 \\ \\ [y,c_1] &= 2[y,e_1]e_1 - 4[y,e_0]e_2 \\ &= 4e_1e_2 - 4e_1e_2 = 0 \\ \\ [x,c_2] &= e_0[x,e_4^2] - [x,e_1]e_3e_4 - e_1e_3[x,e_4] + [x,e_2]e_3^2 \\ &= 2e_0e_3e_4 - 2e_0e_3e_4 - e_1e_3^2 + e_1e_3^2 = 0 \\ \\ [y,c_2] &= [y,e_0]e_4^2 - [y,e_1]e_3e_4 - e_1[y,e_3]e_4 + e_2[y,e_3^2] \\ &= e_1e_4^2 - 2e_2e_3e_4 - e_1e_4^2 + 2e_2e_3e_4 = 0 \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Put} \quad F=k(c_1,c_2)\subset Z(D(L)) \quad \text{and note that} \quad tr\deg_k(F)=2=tr\deg(Z(D(L))).\\ \text{So, } Z(D(L)) \text{ is algebraic over } F. \end{array}$ 

We now consider the following elements of K:

$$q_1 = e_3$$
  $q_2 = e_0 e_3^{-1} e_4$   $q_3 = 2q_2 - e_1$ 

Then,

$$4e_0c_2 = (q_1q_3)^2 - c_1q_1^2 \qquad (***)$$

Indeed,

$$(q_1q_3)^2 - c_1q_1^2 = (2q_1q_2 - q_1e_1)^2 - c_1q_1^2$$
  
=  $(2e_0e_4 - e_1e_3)^2 - (e_1^2 - 4e_0e_2)e_3^2$   
=  $4e_0^2e_4^2 - 4e_0e_1e_3e_4 + e_1^2e_3^2 - e_1^2e_3^2 + 4e_0e_2e_3^2$   
=  $4e_0(e_0e_4^2 - e_1e_3e_4 + e_2e_3^2) = 4e_0c_2$ 

Next,  $K = k(c_1, c_2, q_1, q_2, q_3).$ 

Indeed, using (\* \* \*) we obtain  $e_0$  from  $c_1, c_2, q_1, q_3$ . From  $q_2, q_3$  we obtain  $e_1$ . From  $e_0, e_1$  and  $c_1 = e_1^2 - 4e_0e_2$  we get  $e_2$ . Finally, from  $e_0, q_1 = e_3$  and  $q_2 = e_0e_3^{-1}e_4$  we obtain  $e_4$ .

Clearly,  $K = F(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ , a purely transcendental extension of degree 3 over  $F = k(c_1, c_2)$ . The subfield  $Z(D(L)) \subset K$  is algebraic over F. Hence, Z(D(L)) = F. By Theorem 1.1 we may conclude that  $D(L) \cong D_3(F)$  where  $F = k(c_1, c_2)$ , a rational extension of k. So, L satisfies the GK-conjecture. In order to construct  $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in D(L)$  we need to calculate the following Lie brackets:

Finally,  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  are the solutions of the following equations:

$$h = [h, q_1]p_1 + [h, q_2]p_2 + [h, q_3]p_3 = e_3p_1$$

$$x = [x, q_1]p_1 + [x, q_2]p_2 + [x, q_3]p_3 = e_0p_2$$

$$y = [y, q_1]p_1 + [y, q_2]p_2 + [y, q_3]p_3$$

$$= e_4p_1 + e_3^{-2}(e_2e_3^2 - c_2)p_2 - 2e_3^{-2}c_2p_3$$

So,  $p_1 = e_3^{-1}h$   $p_2 = e_0^{-1}x$  and  $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_3^2c_2^{-1}[e_4p_1 + e_3^{-2}(e_2e_3^2 - c_2)p_2 - y]$  $= \frac{1}{2}c_2^{-1}[e_3e_4h + e_0^{-1}(e_2e_3^2 - c_2)x - e_3^2y]$ 

## 4. The semi-direct product $L = sl(2, k) \oplus W_n$

In this section we assume k to be algebraically closed. Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group over k with Lie algebra g and let W be a finite dimensional G-representation. Then W is also a g-representation. We recall that G is said to be special if any principal homogeneous G-space is locally trivial for the Zariski topology. For example, GL(n,k), SL(n,k) and Sp(2n,k) are special [CS, p. 18]. We now recall the main result of [AOV1], due to M. Van den Bergh:

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that the generic stabilizer (in G) of W is trivial and consider the semi-direct product  $L = g \bigoplus W$ . Then the following are equivalent: (1) D(L) is a Weyl skew field over some field.

(2) G is special.

We now focus our attention to the case where G = SL(2, k). As before, we put K = R(W), which we regard as the field of rational functions on W. Clearly,  $K^{SL(2,k)} = K^{sl(2,k)}$ . This field of invariants has the following interesting property, due to F.A. Bogomolov and P.I. Katsylo [B], [BK], [K1], [K2].

**Theorem 4.2**  $K^{SL(2,k)}$  is a purely transcendental extension of k.

Next, we replace W by  $W_n$ , the (n + 1)-dimensional irreducible representation of SL(2, k), usually represented by the space of binary forms of degree n. For  $n \leq 4$  we have already verified in [AOV2] that the Lie algebra  $L = sl(2, k) \bigoplus W_n$  satisfies the GK-conjecture by providing the Weyl generators explicitly. See also the appendix (due to H. Kraft) of [AOV1] for n = 3, 4.

For larger n we now have the following simple criterion:

**Proposition 4.3** Suppose  $n \ge 5$ . Then,  $L = sl(2, k) \bigoplus W_n$  satisfies the GK-conjecture if and only if n is odd.

**Proof** Case 1: n is odd.

In this case we know that the generic stabilizer of  $W_n$  is trivial [P]. Since SL(2, k) is special, D(L) is a Weyl skew field over some field extension F of k by Theorem 4.1.

Clearly,  $F = Z(D(L)) = K^{sl(2,k)}$  by Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2. But the

latter is rational over k by Theorem 4.2. Therefore, the "if"-part is settled. Case 2: n is even.

In this situation the generic stabilizer of  $W_n$  is precisely  $Z = \{I, -I\}$ , the center of SL(2,k) [P]. Hence,  $W_n$  can also be regarded as a representation space for the group PSL(2,k) = SL(2,k)/Z, with trivial generic stabilizer. Since PSL(2,k)is not special we may conclude, using Theorem 4.1, that D(L) cannot be a Weyl skew field over some field. In particular, L does not satisfy the GK-conjecture.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Jacques Alev and Michel Van den Bergh for their interest and their valuable comments. We are very grateful to Fedor Bogomolov for informing us about Theorem 4.2. We also thank the referee for some very useful suggestions.

# References

- [AOV1] J. Alev, A. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh, A class of counterexamples to the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), 1709-1716.
- [AOV2] J. Alev, A.I. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh, The Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for Lie algebras of dimension at most eight, J. Algebra 227 (2000), 549-581. Corrigendum, J. Algebra 230 (2000), 749.
- [AVE] E.M. Andreev, E.B. Vinberg and A.G. Elashvili, Orbits of greatest dimension of semi-simple linear groups, Funkt. Anal. Ego Prilozh. 1 No 4(1967), 3-7. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl.1 (1967), 257-261.
- [B] F.A. Bogomolov, Rationality of the moduli of hyperelliptic curves of arbitrary genus, Proceedings of the 1984 Vancouver Conference in Algebraic Geometry, CMS Conf. Proc. vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, 17-37.
- [BK] F.A. Bogomolov and P.I. Katsylo, Rationality of some quotient varieties, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 126 (168) (1985), 584-589.
- [BGR] W. Borho, P. Gabriel and R. Rentschler, Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 357, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1973.

- [C] C. Chevalley, "Théorie de groupes de Lie", Vol.III, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [CS] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups (with special regards to the Brauer group) arXiv:math.AG/050715401, 7 July 2005.
- [D] J. Dixmier, "Enveloping Algebras", Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 11, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.
- [EO] A.G. Elashvili and A.I. Ooms, On commutative polarizations, J. Algebra 264 (2003), 129-154.
- [GK1] I.M. Gelfand and A.A. Kirillov, Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie, Inst. Hautes Études, Sci. Publ. Math. 31 (1966), 5-19.
- [GK2] I.M. Gelfand and A.A. Kirillov, The structure of the Lie field connected with a split semi-simple Lie algebra, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen 3 (1969), 6-21.
- [J] A. Joseph, Proof of the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for solvable Lie algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 1-10.
- [K1] P.I. Katsylo, Rationality of orbit spaces of irreducible representations of the group  $SL_2$ , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 47 (1983), 26-36. English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 22 (1984), no. 1, 23-32.
- [K2] P.I. Katsylo, Rationality of the moduli spaces of hyperelliptic curves, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 48 (1984), 705-710. English translation: Math. USSR Izv 25 (1985), no 1, 45-50).
- [M] J.C. McConnell, Representations of solvable Lie algebras and the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture, Proc. London, Math. Soc. (3) 29 (1974), 453-484.
- [N] Nghiem Xuan Hai, Réduction de produit semi-directs et conjecture de Gelfand et Kirillov, Bull. Soc. Math. France (1979), 241-267.
- [O1] A.I. Ooms, On Lie algebras with primitive envelopes, supplements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1976), 67-72.
- [O2] A.I. Ooms, On Frobenius Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra 8 (1980), 13-52.

- [O3] A.I. Ooms, On certain maximal subfields in the quotient division ring of an enveloping algebra, J. Algebra 230 (2000), 694-712.
- [O4] A.I. Ooms, The Frobenius semiradical of a Lie algebra, J. Algebra 273 (2004), 274-287.
- [P] A.M. Popov, Irreducible simple linear Lie groups with finite standard subgroups in general position, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen 9 (1975), no 4, 81-82. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 9 (1975), no 4, 346-347 (1976).
- [R] M. Raïs, L'indice des produits semi-direct  $E \times g$ , C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 287 (1978), 195-197.
- [RV] R. Rentschler and M. Vergne, Sur le semi-centre du corps enveloppant d'une algèbre de Lie, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 6 (1973), 389-405.