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## OWoord wooxaf

Qoila, hier staan we dan! Ges jaar geleden leets deze day nag heel wer weg maar ito moet zeegen dat de lijd is omyeulagen en voordat je het goed en wel beseft. breekt die grote day aan. Geen doctoraat maakije echter niet alleen. Gonder de hults wan heel weel helpende handen, zow it nocil zo ver yeraakt zÿnn. Qandaur dat dit het witgelezen moment is om iedereen, die or de afyelapen jaren woor mij was, te bedanken.

In de cerste plaats ben its heel weel dants verschuldigd aan mijn promotoren: Sfrin en Saco. Hfed erg bedankt dat jullie in mïj yelooften en mï dege kanss hebben geboden. Het is door jullie dat mijn interesse noor de milieubiclagie geweth werd en it de, in de agen van mïn medestudenten, ureemde kearge maakte om mïn thesis binnen het GCMFK le maken. Gen dat beviel mij zo goed dat jullie niet meer van mij af konden geraken ©.
Otrn, op congres gaan mel jou was cen heel leerzame ernaring: "an de FTinse sauna over iets teveel cocktaits drinken tot babbelen op de kamer tot cen kot in de nacht © © Qat hebben we toch weel gelachen! Ooor de mensen die nu denten dat cen congres alleen maar wakantie is: er wordt ook gewerkt hoor! ©ote bedankt om alijed met raad en daad klaar te staan voor mij, om mij te sturen, voor je enthousiasme ats its met nieume resultaten aan je deur stond, voor je gerustsellende waorden (waoral in die laatse maanden) en je posilieve commentaar op mïn papers ... en waarschijnnlijl vergeet its nu nag weel dingen. ©En het CMFPOPMK-werhaal cindigt hier zeher en wast niel. THe dents dat dit verhaal nog heet wat interessante denkipistes apent maar de apualying is uerzeherd (met Serim).
Taco, ap congres gaan met jou was acte heel leutr: nag snel even langs het shoppingcentrum aan het einde wan de congresdag of het alijed lekthere eten bij de Thatiaan. ©Bedankt ook voor de alijed leuke commentaar op mijn resisllaten (als ik dege doorstuurde of lijdens wergaderingen), woor het nalezen wan mijn papers en de ophouwende commentaren. die het verhaal alleen maar beter maakien. ©Bedantit woor alles.

TEen speciale dank je wel is er ook voor mijn collegaatjes wan de oxidatieve stress groep, die alijed woor mij klaar stonden met een leuke babbel en zo weel meer. Toen ik hier begon als doctoraatsstudent. waren Slaven QS. en ik de enige doctoraatsstudenten in de graep. Ondertussen is de samenstelling wan ange graep expanentied gegraeid. In de cerste plaats is er Tony, onze postloc. Tony, het was heel aangenaam om met jou samengewertt te hebben. ODoor je grote kennis, die soms eindeloos lijkt, wist je altijd wed een manier om een probleem op te lassen en mij werder te helpen. ©ok heel erg bedankt woor je opboumende kritiek bij het natezen van mijn papers / thesis. ©Cn natuurlijk zal ik je nooit vergeten als de sympathieke grapjas uan het labo (soms weet ik toch echt niet of je nu meent wat je zegt of niet) en als de persoon die ons op sijn. OPustralisch leerde feesten (wanneer is het valgende feestje?) ©.
OVan EEls, één wan mijn cerste studentjes, die vond dat ik er best schattig wizaly ap de foto op de Ql.Fasselt site en die toen dacht dat ik wel cen leuke begeleider moest zïn - (ik uraag mij af of ze dat nu nog steeds dentat). EEn Afeidi, mijn buurmeisje op het werk, die zelfs zo wer gaat om speciaal voor mij gebakjes te gaan haten bij de batker. Helaas kwam ze de day erna met lege handen op het werk aan omdat haar schoonpapa ze had opgegeten. Toch bedankt hoov Meidi :-). Mleiden, allebei heel erg bedantht voor jullie steun. jullie advies, jullie uriendschap en zo veel meer. The ga jullie noait vergeten en we houden wel contact via mail hé. ©Cls, weel succes met jullie zoontje straks (en nee, its ben niet verantwoordelijt voov die extra zwangerschapstailo's die erbij sün gekomen dook al die snoepjes te komen eten () ) en natuurrijk ben ik één wan de eersten om op bezoek te komen in het ziekenhuis. Fleidi, hou mij ap de hoogte van de broertjes of zursjes wan Ohiktor die ex zeker gaan komen en weel succes met de komende verbouwingen wan jullie huis. The verwacht een witnodiging woor de house warming ©).「En dan is er ook nog mijn bureaugenoatje Marijke. Bedantt woor de leuke tijd in de bureau, woor de leuke babbels en de afteiding. die vooval lijdens het schrijuen wan mijn doctoraat, met momenten zeev welkom was. Oeet succes nog met jullie huisje en ik hom zeker eens kijken als het helemaal af is. ECline. jï ook bedankt woor de leuke momenten in de bureau tijdens je bezoehjes in de zomeruakantie als het GGligeshoten was.

Ofn. Kerim en Hfanne, de laatsle nieuwe aanwinsten van onge groep, het was super plezant om jullie erbij te hebben. Qucha, it was really nice ta have you here. Good lucto when you go bacte to Quruxembourg. ©En aan al de vest van de groep, veel succes nog met jullie doctoraten. Oflargel niet om mij to contacteren als jullie nag met uragen zillen on hou mij ap de hoogte wan de afloop. The zal or zether zün ap jullie verdedigingen!

Qerder wit its hier alle anderen, proffen, posidocs, doctoraatisstudenten, technisch personeel, kortom iedereen die deel witmaakt of heeft mitgemaakt van de ondertussien serieus groot geworden biologiegroop, bedanken. Teder wan jullie heeft de afgelopen jaren wel ergens cen rol van betehenis gespeeld in mijn doctoraableven, al was het maar om cens te informeren hae het wotle met mijn onderzack. Tedereen persoonlijl bedanken you mij weel te ver leiden on dan zou its waarschïnnlijk nag cens handerden pagina's aan dit boelje moten taevaegen, daavom in het algemeen een welgemeend OPPYIK GEE ONEEOI OAI wit it cen aantal mensen toch nog persaonlijl bedanken voor hum aandeel in mijn doctoraat.
Ofrun en Tarine, zonder jullie zou hel labo leeg z̈jn want jullie zorgen werkedijl voor alles wat wij maar nodig trunnen hebben. Jullie helpende handen trwamen alijed van pas en niets was jullie ocit teveel. OBedantel voor jullie geduldige en deskundige willeg over honderd en één dingen on om miy ap weg ve helpen mel de enzymmelingen on metaalbepatingen. Jullie waren ock steeds een grate hult, bij het zaaien en oogsten van mijn Gtrabididstis planijes on maakten er cen echt feest van door de leuke babbels. ODiizend maal bedankt!
Garen Q., mïn ex-collegaatie. Siy introduceerde mï in de wereld uan de real-lime $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{O}$ en speelde cen niel onbelangrijke rol in mijn beslissing om te gaan doctoreven. Pinds je verhuis naar boven, gien we elkaar spijtigg genoeg niet veel meer. OBedankt dat is alijed bïj jou terecht kon woor cen babbel of een portie goed raad. Toske, Mhichelle, Tele en Foke, jullie waren er ock wan het begin bij. OBedankt voor jullie uijd. steun, goede raad on in het algemeen de leuke tijd die we hier samen hebben doorgebrachl. Qeel succes in jullie verdere carriere als prof /posidoo /of andere :-).

## Woord vooraf

Qe ex-collegaatijes die het schip ondertusisen al vertaten hebben. STaven O.. zonder doctoraat was je waarschijnnlijk nooit in mijn leven gehomen want wat heeft een mens nu te zacken in het verre Gaventem (afgegien wan het vliegtuig te nemen am ap uakantie le gaan) ©. The gal un kenze om naar de QlStassell te komen nooit beklagen want het waren toch $f$ leuke jaren samen. Ofief en leed werden er gedeedd en onze tripies naar Forflu en Tlew Gork waven onvergetelijk, al was het maar owu de kampeerfestijnen in wu kamer thuis aan de wooravond van ons vertret. Pinds je het Q1Hfassell schip hebt verlaten, mis is onge voddeluurijes en therapeutische sessies enorm. Fielutkig bestaat er nog zo iets ats mail en is Sflarschot niet het einde van de wereld. Fen dan jün er ock nog allijd de dates van GOP met Oftrne, woor wie OBlyië niet groat genoeg was en die het yelut dan maar ying zochen in ©Berlijn ©.. The zal nooit ons bezaets aan ©Berlijn uergeten. Otet begon al met het buikgriefje van dat ene © KB. lid, van wie ils de naam hier niel ga noemen ©. The uraag mij eigenlijh nog steeds af of hij echt jiet was of gewoon schviti had om tussen drie wrowuen te moeten slapen © ©. 厄Cn dan was er de verschivikielijke koude en patken sneeaw en ijs in ©Berlijn. Fteel mooi allemaal maar its zal de koulde nooit meer vergeten. Geen volyend begackje aan OBerlijn zal zeker in de zomer wallen ©. Slag veel succes daar in © Berlijn. Oflrne, en hapelijl magen we je in de tachomst terng in ©elgië verueltiomen.

Graag wil ik ock alle leden van de jury bedanken voor hum kirilische cualuatie van dit proffochrift on hum opbowwende commentaar. die dit werts alleen maar beter heeft gemaatit. F would like to thants all members of the jurny for their time and contribution to this OPOO thesis: ©Prof. dr. Yan Gadpaert. OProf. dr. Oteribert THirt. OProf. dr. Quves Guisez, ODr. Sany Remans and ODr. Otente Otchat.

Ofet thuisfront, mama en papa, bedankt woor alle kansen die jullie mij gegeven hebben in hel leven, woor het datk boven mïn haoft en de hotelservice van de afyelapen jaren © ©. Binnenkart is het ermee gedaan. (2)at gaan jullie dan doen met al die lege lijd? Qtephanie en Elemn. jullie waren javen lang goed voor mïn dagelijks soap met al jullie kot- en schoalperikelen (wie heeft or dan Thuis, Framilie of Stome and Olway
nodig?) ©. MSaar toegegeven, zonder jullie zou het leven zo weel saaier ż̈n geweest. Cen iedereen komt uitcindelijk wel ap zïn poajes terecht. Phephanie, nag heel veel succes in je leven als dierenarts. ©en Glenn, misschien wordt je nog wel een echt yoede baer ©. Och cen grote dank je wel aan de rest uan de familie, ama en apa, de tantes en nonkels, de nichlies. ©Bedankt dat jullie or allijd voor mij waren en woor jullie interesse in de dingen die woor mij belangrijk ïyn.

MNijn uriendenklicts (Eिuelien on Ollex, Glaudia on STristaf. Mbartijn en QLiesheth. The en $\mathscr{R}$ einhart), wat zau het leven saai z̈̈nn zonder jullie. SOll zien we elkaar ondertussen wel cen heel stute minder dan uroeger toen we nog samen op de schoolbanken zaten. Qerhuizen, nieuwe jobs, nienue interesses en kindjes, or blijft steeds minder lijd over om nog cens af te sprechen ©. Shaar allemaal heel erg bedantl voor de leuke, fijne momenten (house warmings, urijgezellen, trowuffesten, de geboortes wan de kindjes, ...) die we de afyelopen jaren samen belegft hebben. In het bijzonder Fevelien en Flaudia, die mij de laatste maanden wan mïn werto weg slaurden woor anze ladies nights, danti je wel dames vaor jullie steum en interesse. OHet is supertaf om
 cen nieume tradilie geboren. ©en Mharrijn, heel veel sucees met je doctoraatsuerdediging binnen cen paar weken en met jullie kleine spruit die onderweg is.

تEn als allerlaatsle, de misschien wel belangrijhsiste persoon in mijn leven: STriscof, als ite niet was komen doctoreven, had ik je nocit leven kennen. Mag cen pluspunt wan mijn doctoraat ©. Shaar omdat je niet van melig gezever houdt, zal is het hier kort houden © . Fted ery bedankt woor je steun (at was je misschien soms wel iets te wedeisend en hard voor mij) en gerusbsellende worden (als its het niet meer zag zillen) de afyelopen maanden. The zou niel weeen wat it zonder jou gedaan had. ODankje wel!

Kelly, Opdenatiker
Ortaber 2012-09-05

## Summary

Over the past decades, toxic concentrations of metals accumulated in soils by human activities, such as mining and industrial processes. In plants, metals interfere with essential physiological processes, like photosynthesis, transpiration and respiration, resulting in growth retardation and chlorosis. At the cellular level, metal exposure leads to an increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), thereby disturbing the cellular redox status and causing oxidative damage to cellular components. However, ROS can also act as signalling molecules in normal cell metabolism as well as in defence responses against biotic and abiotic stresses. In this way, ROS can interact with different signalling pathways in the cell, one of them being mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades. MAPK signalling pathways relay stress signals from the cell membrane to the nucleus by phosphorylation and activation of transcription factors, resulting in transcriptional control of stress-responsive genes. Although MAPK cascades are associated with copper (Cu) and cadmium (Cd) stress signalling, knowledge about their specific functioning in metal stress responses is rather scarce.

To understand plant responses to toxic concentrations of metals, it is necessary to gain insight in the specific stress signalling pathways operating at the molecular level thereby regulating cellular responses to these metals. Therefore, the main objective of this work was to unravel MAPK cascades controlling metal stress responses. For the metal treatment, two metals ( Cu and Cd ) with distinct characteristics (essential versus non-essential, redox-active versus non-redoxactive) were used.

In the first part of this work (chapter 4), ROS production and the transcriptional induction of known MAPK components were investigated after metal exposure and compared between Cd - or Cu -exposed plants. In Cu -exposed roots, a fast induction of OXI1-MAPK cascades is observed, regulating transcription of proand antioxidative genes. The balance between these pro- and antioxidants regulates the ROS levels, which are increased upon Cu exposure. In addition, ROS produced after exposure to Cu induce lipid peroxidation leading to irreversible membrane damage, a condition that can be further enhanced by Cu -
induced lipoxygenase (LOX) activity. In contrast, Cd exposure of roots leads to a delayed induction of gene regulation via MAPK signalling characterized by no detectable $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production nor lipid peroxidation. Since LOXs are induced by Cd exposure, their involvement in an altered oxylipin signalling is hypothesized. Leaves of Cu-exposed plants showed a fast as well as a delayed (biphasic) induction of the OXI1-MAPK signalling cascade, where the delayed induction may be the result of an altered oxylipin signalling. Previously, a biphasic antioxidant response to Cu was also described in leaves of Cu -exposed bean seedlings (Cuypers et al. 2000). Similar to the roots, Cd exposure of leaves resulted in a delayed induction of MAPK and oxylipin signalling.

In the following parts (chapter 5, 6 and 7 ), the function of the protein kinase OXI1 and the MAPKinase MPK6 in metal-induced oxidative stress was further investigated using oxi1 and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis seedlings. In case of Cd exposure, no function for OXI1 and MPK6 in Cd uptake and translocation could be identified. On the other hand, OXI1 might be involved in Cu uptake and both OXI1 and MPK6 seem to be involved in Cu translocation from roots to shoots. Copper retention in the roots, which is normally seen in wild type plants after Cu exposure, was not observed in oxi1 and mpk6 mutant plants. After Cu exposure, these mutants accumulated higher Cu concentrations in their leaves in comparison to wild type plants, but no clear role could be attributed to phytochelatins (PCs) nor metallothioneins (MTs) in this process. Retention of Cu in the roots leads to oxidative stress in this organ while it protects the leaves from oxidative damage. Therefore, it was difficult to identify a role for OXI1 and MPK6 in controlling the cellular redox status after Cu exposure; changes in the redox balance are rather caused by the alterations in root and shoot Cu concentrations. However, metal-specific signalling cascades could be identified in Cu - or Cd-exposed roots and leaves.

In both Cu - and Cd-exposed roots and leaves, the OXI1-MPK6 signalling cascade was demonstrated to induce different signalling pathways by the activation of metal-specific transcription factors, resulting in different cellular stress responses. In addition, MPK6 was observed to play a role in the miRNA398dependent regulation of $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutase transcript levels after
metal exposure. In leaves, the OXI1-MPK6 pathway was also involved in LOXinduced oxylipin signalling. Both Cu and Cd exposure of Arabidopsis leaves resulted in an OXI1-MPK6 mediated stimulation of LOX2, possibly resulting in alterations at the level of oxylipin, more specifically jasmonic acid, production.

In conclusion, the results obtained in this work are indicative of an essential role for MAPK cascades in metal-induced oxidative stress responses. Depending on the chemical properties of the applied metal, the same MAPK cascade is able to regulate different metal-specific transcription factors resulting in metal-specific stress responses. Knowledge about the molecular mechanisms controlling metal stress responses is essential to understand how plants cope with elevated metal concentrations at the physiological level.

## Samenvatting

De afgelopen decennia hebben er in de bodem toxische concentraties aan metalen geaccumuleerd als gevolg van humane activiteiten, zoals mijnbouw en industrie. In planten interfereren metalen met essentiële fysiologische processen, zoals fotosynthese, transpiratie en respiratie, met dwerggroei en chlorose tot gevolg en aldus een verminderde biomassaproductie. Op cellulair niveau induceert blootstelling aan metalen een verhoogde productie van reactieve zuurstofvormen (ROS), waardoor de cellulaire redox status verstoord wordt en oxidatieve schade aan cellulaire componenten kan ontstaan. Daarnaast hebben ROS ook een belangrijke functie als signaalmolecule zowel in het normale celmetabolisme alsook in verdedigingsresponsen tegen biotische en abiotische stressors. Op deze manier kunnen ROS interageren met verschillende signaaltransductie pathways in de cel, waaronder de 'mitogen-activated protein kinase' (MAPK) cascades. MAPK pathways geleiden stress signalen van het celmembraan naar de nucleus door fosforylatie en activatie van transcriptiefactoren, die de transcriptie van stressresponsieve genen controleren. Alhoewel MAPK-cascades worden geassocieerd met koper (Cu) en cadmium (Cd) geïnduceerde signaaltransductie, is er maar weinig geweten over hun specifieke functie in metaalgeïnduceerde responsen.
Om te kunnen begrijpen hoe planten reageren op toxische metaalconcentraties in hun omgeving, is het noodzakelijk om meer inzicht te krijgen in de specifieke stress signaaltransductie pathways op moleculair niveau die aan de basis liggen van de fysiologische responsen op deze metalen. Daarom was het belangrijkste doel van dit werk om de MAPK-cascades, die de metaalstress responsen controleren, te ontrafelen. Hiervoor werden twee metalen (Cu en Cd) met verschillende eigenschappen (essentieel versus niet-essentieel, redox-actief versus niet redox-actief) gebruikt.

In het eerste deel van dit werk (hoofdstuk 4) werden de ROS-productie en de transcriptionele inductie van gekende MAPK-componenten onderzocht en vergeleken tussen Cd- of Cu-blootgestelde planten. In Cu-blootgestelde wortels werd een snelle inductie van OXI1-MAPK cascades gezien, resulterend in de transcriptionele regulatie van pro- en anti-oxidatieve genen. De balans tussen
deze pro- en anti-oxidanten bepaalt het gehalte aan ROS, dat verhoogd is na blootstelling aan Cu. De Cu-geïnduceerde ROS-productie is verantwoordelijk voor een stijging in de lipidenperoxidatie, die nog verder verhoogd kan worden door de activiteit van lipoxygenasen (LOX). Als gevolg hiervan treedt er membraanschade op. Blootstelling van wortels aan Cd daarentegen leidt tot een vertraagde inductie van genregulatie via MAPK-signaling in de afwezigheid van waterstofperoxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ productie en lipidenperoxidatie. LOXen worden ook geïnduceerd bij blootstelling aan Cd maar zouden hier eerder betrokken zijn in een veranderde oxylipinen signaling. Blaadjes van Cu-blootgestelde planten vertonen een snelle alsook een vertraagde (bifasische) inductie van de OXI1MAPK signaalcascade, waarbij de vertraagde inductie waarschijnlijk het resultaat is van veranderingen in de oxylipinen signaaltransductie. Een bifasische respons in antioxidanten als reactie op blootstelling aan Cu werd reeds eerder beschreven in blaadjes van bonenplanten (Cuypers et al. 2000). Gelijkaardig aan de wortels, resulteerde Cd-blootstelling van de blaadjes in een vertraagde inductie van de MAPK en oxylipinen signaaltransductie.

In de volgende hoofdstukken ( 5,6 en 7 ) werd de functie van het proteïne kinase OXI1 en het MAPKinase MPK6 in metaalgeïnduceerde oxidatieve stress verder onderzocht door gebruik te maken van oxi1 en mpk6 mutante Arabidopsis planten. Na Cd-blootstelling kon er geen rol voor OXI1 en MPK6 in de Cdopname en translocatie aangetoond worden. OXI1 blijkt daarentegen wel betrokken te zijn in de opname van Cu en zowel OXI1 als MPK6 spelen een rol in de translocatie van Cu van de wortel naar het blad. Retentie van Cu in de wortels, zoals voorkomt in wildtype planten na Cu-blootstelling, werd niet opgemerkt in oxi1 en mpk6 mutante planten. Deze mutanten accumuleerden meer Cu in hun blaadjes na Cu-blootstelling in vergelijking tot wildtype planten. Toch kon er geen duidelijke rol worden toegeschreven aan fytochelatinen of metallothioneïnen in dit proces. Retentie van Cu in de wortels veroorzaakt oxidatieve stress in dit orgaan terwijl het de blaadjes beschermt tegen oxidatieve schade. Omdat veranderde Cu-concentraties in wortel en blad kunnen leiden tot veranderingen in de redox balans, was het moeilijk om een specifieke rol voor OXI1 en MPK6 in de controle van de cellulaire redox status na Cublootstelling te vinden. Metaalspecifieke signaaltransductie cascades daaren-
tegen konden wel geïdentificeerd worden in Cu- of Cd-blootgestelde wortels en blaadjes.

In wortels en blaadjes blootgesteld aan Cu of Cd , werd aangetoond dat de OXI1-MPK6-cascade verschillende signaaltransductie pathways kan induceren door metaalspecifieke transcriptiefactoren te activeren, resulterend in verschillende cellulaire stress responsen. Verder speelt MPK6 ook een rol in de miRNA398afhankelijke transcriptie van $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxidedismutase na blootstelling aan metalen. In blaadjes is de OXI1-MPK6-pathway ook betrokken in LOXgeïnduceerde oxylipinen signaling. Zowel Cu - als Cd-blootstelling van Arabidopsis blaadjes resulteerde in een OXI1-MPK6-gemedieerde stimulatie van LOX2, leidend tot veranderingen in de productie van oxylipinen, zoals jasmonaat.

Alles tesamen tonen de resultaten, bekomen in dit werk, aan dat MAPK-cascades een essentiële rol spelen in metaalgeïnduceerde oxidatieve stress responsen. Afhankelijk van de chemische eigenschappen van het toegediende metaal, is een bepaalde MAPK-cascade in staat verschillende metaalspecifieke transcriptiefactoren te reguleren, resulterend in metaalspecifieke stress responsen. Kennis over de moleculaire mechanismen, die metaalstress responsen controleren, is essentieel om te begrijpen hoe planten op fysiologisch niveau omgaan met verhoogde metaalconcentraties.
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## Chapter 1

Introduction

Kelly Opdenakker, Tony Remans, Jaco Vangronsveld, Ann Cuypers (2012). MAPKinases in plant metal stress: regulation and responses in comparison to other biotic and abiotic stresses. International Journal of Molecular sciences, 13, 7828-7853.

### 1.1. Occurrence of cadmium and copper

Cadmium (Cd) and copper ( Cu ) are metallic elements, which have a relatively high density and are potentially toxic. Copper is an essential micronutrient required for normal plant growth and development (Marschner 1995). It can become toxic for organisms when its concentration exceeds the ones normally present in the environment. On the other hand, Cd is a nonessential element, which adversely affects biochemical reactions and physiological processes in plants (Cuypers et al. 2009). Cadmium and Cu are naturally occurring in the environment as a consequence of weathering of sedimentary rocks or are emitted into the atmosphere by eruptions of volcanoes or by forest fires. However, the major causes of the occurrence of toxic Cd and Cu concentrations in the environment are anthropogenic sources like mining and industrial processes. For example, Cu is emitted by the smelting of metals and Cd is produced as a by-product of zinc refining or coal mining. Cadmium and Cu are also released by energy-supplying power stations, such as coal burning, petroleum combustion and nuclear power stations, by combustion of diesel engine and lubricants during transportation of vehicles, and by incineration of municipal wastes. In addition, Cd and Cu are also used in the processing of plastics, textiles, electronic devices, Ni-Cd batteries, wood preservation and paper processing. The metal content of soils can also be increased due to the agricultural use of phosphate fertilizers, metal-containing pesticides, fungicides and sewage sludge, or irrigation with wastewater (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988, Kirkham 2006, Nagajyoti et al. 2010).
Uptake and accumulation of these metals by food and feed crops brings these potentially toxic metals into the food chain for consumption by animals (cattle) and humans (Chary et al. 2008).

### 1.2. Effects of cadmium and copper in humans

Generally, Cd concentrations in ambient air are low, contributing only to a few percent of the total absorbed dose of Cd in the body. However, tobacco leaves accumulate significant amounts of Cd making tobacco smoking an important source of Cd exposure (Järup and Akesson 2009). In the non-smoking population, dietary intake of Cd is the main source of environmental Cd exposure. However, Hogervorst et al. (2007) reported that house dust is a potentially important route of Cd exposure in areas with contaminated soils. Health effects of Cd were already reported in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Persons using Cdcontaining polishing agent displayed acute gastrointestinal symptoms as well as delayed respiratory symptoms (Nordberg 2009). In humans, Cd has a biological half-time of 10-30 years and is efficiently retained in the kidney. As a consequence, Cd is nephrotoxic and can initially cause kidney tubular damage, eventually leading to renal failure after prolonged and/or high exposure (Järup and Akesson 2009). Cadmium can also cause bone damage, which was identified for the first time in Japan shortly after World War II. People eating Cdcontaminated rice, suffered from the so-called Itai-Itai disease, characterized by osteomalacia, osteoporosis and kidney damage (Nordberg 2009). Recently, Nawrot et al. (2010) demonstrated that healthy men, which are occupationally exposed to Cd via the air, showed a lower bone mineral density and a higher urinary calcium excretion leading to a higher risk of developing osteoporosis. In 1993, Cd was classified as a human carcinogen (group I) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (Järup and Akesson 2009). A significant association between exposure to Cd and the risk of developing lung cancer was reported for a population environmentally exposed to Cd released by nonferrous smelters in the past (Nawrot et al. 2006). In addition, Cd is also associated with prostate, renal, endometrial and breast cancer (Järup and Akesson 2009).
In the population, Cu is mostly taken up via the consumption of food and drinking water. Especially drinking water can be a major source of Cu for humans because of the use of Cu plumbing systems. Cu uptake via inhalation or dermal routes is rather negligible. Acute toxicity can result from the ingestion of excess Cu and is characterized by stomach distress causing nausea and vomiting. The effects of chronic Cu toxicity are best studied in Wilson disease,
an autosomic recessive disorder, leading to Cu accumulation in the liver, brain and cornea. Therefore Wilson patients suffer from chronic liver disease accompanied by neurological or psychiatric impairment, kidney malfunction, or ophthalmologic, haematological and skeletal manifestations. Other examples of chronic Cu toxicity are Indian Childhood Cirrhosis and Idiopathic Chronic Toxicosis. These diseases were related to the consumption of food stored or prepared in Cu-containing utensils and caused severe liver damage leading to death. Since the use of Cu-containing utensils was abandoned in 1974, no further cases were observed (de Romaña et al. 2011). Copper is also an essential metal in cell division processes in normal tissues and consequently increased serum Cu levels seem to be associated with prostate, breast, colon, lung and brain cancer. In addition, Cu seems to be involved in neurodegenerative diseases, such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Parkinson disease and Alzheimer disease (Matés et al. 2010).

### 1.3. Effects of cadmium and copper in plants

### 1.3.1. Metal uptake and transport

Plants can take up Cd and Cu from the soil or water through their roots. Uptake directly from the atmosphere via the leaves is rather scarce (Dalcorso et al. 2008). The degree to which plants are able to take up metals depends on their concentration in the soil and their bioavailability. The latter is modulated by several soil characteristics, like the presence of organic matter, pH , redox potential, temperature, concentrations of other elements, the occurrence of plant-associated microorganisms, and the plant species. Apart from the bioavailable fraction of metals in the soil solution, uptake activity and translocation efficiency also determine the plant's metal uptake. The cell wall contains binding places for metals, but the selectivity and affinity are low. The membrane potential, which is negative on the inside of the plasma membrane, provides a strong driving force for the uptake of cations (Sanità di Toppi and Gabbrielli 1999, Benavides et al. 2005). So toxic non-essential metals compete with the transport systems operating in micronutrient uptake, to gain access to the plant cell. In particular, the uptake of Cd ions occurs via the same
transmembrane carriers used to take up $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Zn (PerfusBarbeoch et al. 2002, Clemens 2006).
Passage of the plasma membrane by metals is enhanced by intracellular binding and sequestration. Once inside the cell, metals are bound to chelators and chaperones. Chelators sequester free metal ions in the cytosol or in subcellular compartments, and in this way contribute to metal detoxification. Examples are phytochelatins (PCs), metallothioneins (MTs), organic acids and amino acids (Clemens 2001, Haydon and Cobbett 2007). The major site of metal sequestration in roots seems to be the vacuole. Metal chaperones bind and deliver metal ions to organelles and metal-requiring proteins (Grotz and Guerinot 2006, Puig et al. 2007). The activities of metal-sequestering pathways in root cells are crucial in determining the rate of metal translocation to the aerial parts. Copper ions are retained in the roots, whereas in case of Cd exposure, a certain amount of the Cd taken up by the roots is translocated to the shoots (Benavides et al. 2005).

### 1.3.2. Physiological effects of $\mathbf{C d}$ and $\mathbf{C u}$

The main and visible symptoms of metal toxicity are leaf chlorosis and growth inhibition, caused by the interference of the metal with photosynthesis, mineral nutrition and the water balance (Dalcorso et al. 2008, Cuypers et al. 2009, Yruela 2009).
These metal-induced effects can be caused by a direct interaction of the metal with thiol-, histidyl- and carboxyl-groups of proteins targeting structural, catalytic and transport sites of the cell, thereby inhibiting their function (Figure 1.1.) (Sharma and Dietz 2009). Cadmium can bind strongly to thiol groups of cysteine-rich proteins, like PCs and MTs, leading to chelation of free metal ions but also causing exhaustion of the glutathione (GSH) pool, which is also used for the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Cadmium also shows a high affinity for sulphur and nitrogen donors, interfering with the sulphur and nitrogen metabolism (Dalcorso et al. 2008).

Metals can also inhibit the function of proteins by displacement of essential cations from specific binding sites (Figure 1.1.) (Sharma and Dietz 2009). Examples are the replacement of Ca by Cd in the photosystem II reaction centre, causing inhibition of photosystem II activity, or Cd entering the guard cells
through voltage-dependent Ca channels, mimicking Ca activity and initiating stomatal closure (Dalcorso et al. 2008). Copper can inhibit the photosynthesis by substitution of the central Mg ion in chlorophyll pigments or by reducing the chlorophyll content as a consequence of Cu-induced Fe deficiency (Yruela 2009).


Figure 1.1.: Mechanisms of metal-induced damage in plants (source: Sharma and Dietz 2009). Metals bind to functional groups of proteins or compete for essential cations in the catalytic domain of molecules, thereby altering target protein functions leading to changes in cell metabolism or signalling events inducing acclimation. In addition, redox-active metals, like Cu, generate directly ROS which can cause damage to macromolecules or membranes.

Finally, metals can stimulate the production of ROS leading to oxidative stress (Figure 1.1.) (Sharma and Dietz 2009). Copper is a redox-active metal that can produce ROS directly via Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000). In this way, Cu causes lipid peroxidation, decreases of lipid content and changes in fatty acid composition of membranes. When this happens in the tylakoid membranes, photosystem activity is seriously impaired (Yruela 2009). Cadmium on the other hand, is not redox-active and causes oxidative stress via indirect mechanisms, like interactions with enzymes of the antioxidative defence system (Gratão et al. 2005, Cuypers et al. 2010).

### 1.4. Oxidative stress

At the cellular level, Cd and Cu both have the ability to induce oxidative stress (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Oxidative stress is a disturbance in the cellular redox status in favour of the pro-oxidants and is often seen in stress conditions. However ROS do not act always as negative regulatory molecules in the cell. They are also produced during normal cell metabolism. In these conditions, an excess of ROS will be quenched by the antioxidative defence system of the cell, consisting of enzymes and metabolites (Mittler et al. 2004, Cuypers et al. 2011b).

### 1.4.1. ROS-production

Molecular oxygen $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ can easily be activated to toxic reactive species such as singlet oxygen $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$, superoxide radical $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\bullet \bullet}\right)$, hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and the hydroxyl radical ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Oxygen in its ground state $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is a free radical, having two unpaired electrons with parallel spins. Singlet oxygen is generated by an input of energy that rearranges these electrons in such a way that spin restriction is alleviated. By removal of the spin restriction, the oxidizing ability of oxygen is greatly increased. Singlet oxygen can directly oxidize proteins, DNA and lipids (Edreva 2005, Halliwell 2006).

Oxygen can also be activated by stepwise monovalent reduction. The superoxide radical anion is produced by supplying $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with a single electron. It's a moderately reactive, short-lived ROS that cannot cross biological membranes and is rapidly dismutated to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Addition of another electron to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-{ }^{-}}$gives rise to the peroxide ion $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}\right)$. In biology, the two-electron reduction product of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which is not a free radical because all of its electrons are paired. Because it is small and uncharged, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can easily pass the membrane (Edreva 2005, Halliwell 2006). However, together with $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can give rise to the highly reactive ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{OH}$ via the Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Figure 1.2.) (Kehrer 2000). The hydroxyl radical can irreversibly damage cellular components, like DNA, proteins and other small molecules, and has the ability to initiate lipid peroxidation.

Oxidation of organic substrates may proceed by two possible reactions: addition of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ to an organic molecule or abstraction of a hydrogen atom from it. In the
addition reaction, the ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ is added to an organic substrate forming a hydroxylated product (Arora et al. 2002).

```
\(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{--} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\)
\(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\cdot{ }^{-} \mathrm{OH} \quad\) (Fenton reaction)
```

The net reaction:

$$
\mathrm{O}_{2}^{\bullet-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\cdot{ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{OH} \quad \text { (Haber-Weiss reaction) }
$$

Figure 1.2.: Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions.

In the abstraction reaction, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ oxidizes an organic substrate by forming water and an organic radical (Figure 1.3.: initiation step). This organic radical has a single unpaired electron and can react with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ leading to the formation of a peroxy-radical. The peroxy-radical can abstract hydrogen from another organic molecule leading to the formation of a second organic radical (Figure 1.3.: propagation step) (Arora et al. 2002).

```
\(\mathrm{RH}+{ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad\) Initiation step
\(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}\)
\(\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{RH} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{ROOH} \quad \quad \quad\) Propagation step
\(\mathrm{ROOH}+\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{RO}^{\bullet}\)
\(R^{\bullet}+R^{\bullet} \rightarrow R-R\)
\(R^{\bullet}+\) ROO \(^{\bullet} \rightarrow\) ROOR
\(\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{ROOR}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\)
```

Figure 1.3.: The chain reactions of lipid peroxidation.

This chain reaction is more damaging than any other reaction catalysed by ROS and is in cell membranes best demonstrated by lipid peroxidation of linolenic acid. The lipid peroxides $(\mathrm{ROOH})$ are unstable in the presence of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ or other
reduced metal ions (such as $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$), as they participate in a Fenton reaction leading to the formation of a reactive alkoxy radical (Figure 1.3.: propagation step). This alkoxy radical is as damaging as ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ and can start a cascade of oxidative reactions. In the termination step (Figure 1.3.), products of the previous reactions can react with each other and form fatty acid or peroxide bridged dimers (Arora et al. 2002).

### 1.4.2. Mechanisms of ROS production

In plant cells, ROS can be produced during normal cell metabolism via a number of routes since most cellular compartments have the potential to become a source of ROS (Figure 1.4.) (Bhattacharjee 2005, De Gara et al. 2010). In the chloroplast, $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{--}$is produced in photosystem I (PSI) as a result of electron spilling from reduced ferredoxin to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ during the Mehler reaction and gives rise to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by either spontaneously or superoxide dismutase (SOD)-catalyzed dismutation. The mitochondrial electron transport system is also a potential source of ROS, including $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$. Direct reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ-}$ takes place in the flavoprotein region of NADH dehydrogenase segment of the respiratory chain. Hydrogen peroxide is also generated in the peroxisomes during the oxidation of glycolate in the $C_{2}$ pathway or during the $\beta$-oxidation of fatty acids. Other important sources of ROS in plants are detoxification reactions catalyzed by cytochrome $\mathrm{P}_{450}$ in the cytosol and endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) (Foyer and Noctor 2009). Furthermore, cell wall peroxidases and oxidases are able to produce ROS. These enzymes use $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to catalyze the oxidation of NADH to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$, which in turn reduces $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$. Superoxide consequently dismutates to produce $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Bolwell et al. 2002). Reactive oxygen species are also generated in plants at the plasma membrane level (lipoxygenases) or extracellularly in the apoplast via NADPH oxidases (respiratory burst oxidase homologs) (Torres and Dangl 2005, Skorzynska-Polit et al. 2006).
Figure 1.4.: Localization of ROS generation and scavenging pathways in plant cells (source: Miller et al. 2010). Membrane-bound enzymes are depicted in white, GPX pathways are indicated by dashed lines and PrxR pathways are indicated by dotted lines in the stroma and cytosol. AOX, alternative oxidase; $A P X$, ascorbate peroxidase; CAT, catalase; Chl, chlorophyll; CuZnSOD, $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutase ; CW, cell wall; DHA, dehydroascrobate; DHAR, DHA reductase; $F D$, ferredoxin; FNR, ferredoxin NADPH reductase; GLR, glutaredoxin; ๖əsеұэпра」 әио!чдедпィб GOX, glycolate oxidase; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; GSH, reduced glutathione; GSSG, oxidized glutathione; IM, inner membrane; IMS, IM space; LHC, light-harvesting complex; MDA, monodehydroascorbate; MDAR, MDA reductase; PGP, phosphoglycolate phosphatase; PM, plasma membrane; PrxR, peroxiredoxin; PSI, photosystem I; PSII, photosystem II; RBOH, respiratory burst oxidase homolog; RuBP, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; Rubisco, RuBP carboxylase oxygenase; Trx, thioredoxin.

### 1.4.3. ROS-scavenging

The steady-state level of ROS has to be kept under tight control because an over-accumulation can result in cell death. Therefore, the cell contains an efficient ROS-scavenging system consisting of antioxidative enzymes and metabolites like ascorbate (AsA), glutathione (GSH), a-tocopherol, flavonoids and carotenoids (Figure 1.4.) (Mittler 2002). Within the first category, the SODs constitute the first line of defence against ROS. They are responsible for the scavenging of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*-}$ and are located in all parts of the cell: FeSOD in the chloroplast, MnSOD in the mitochondria and peroxisomes, and $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{ZnSOD}$ in the cytosol, the chloroplast and extracellular space. Hydrogen peroxide produced by this dismutation can be detoxified by catalase (CAT) in the peroxisomes or by ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) located in the cytosol, chloroplasts, mitochondria, apoplast and peroxisomes.

The removal of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by APX uses AsA in the AsA-GSH cycle, which is oxidized to monodehydroascorbate (MDA). Regeneration of MDA to AsA occurs via monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDAR) using $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ as a reducing equivalent. Monodehydroascorbate can also spontaneously dismutate to dehydroascorbate (DHA), which is reduced to AsA by dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) oxidizing GSH at the same moment. Reduction of oxidized GSH (GSSG) is performed by glutathione reductase (GR) using NAD(P)H. Detoxification of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GPX also oxidizes GSH. Beside APX and GPX, other types of peroxidases exist in plants. Among these, peroxiredoxins (PrxR) have an important function alongside $A P X$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ removal in the chloroplast and cytosol. Other peroxidases may be more important in the metabolism of organic peroxides (some thiol-based peroxidases) or oxidation of organic compounds with possibly incidental $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ removal (Mittler et al. 2004, Gratão et al. 2005, Foyer and Noctor 2009, Jozefczak et al. 2012).

### 1.4.4. ROS-regulation

Although ROS can react with biomolecules, which can get irreversibly damaged leading to necrosis and cell death, they can also act as signals in diverse biological processes in plants. In this way, they can influence signal transduction pathways and gene expression, suggesting that cells have evolved strategies to
utilize ROS as signals for controlling various biological programs. Reactive oxygen species are suited to act as signalling molecules since they are small and can diffuse over short distances. Among the different ROS, only $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can cross plant membranes and therefore directly function in cell-to-cell signalling (Mittler et al. 2004, Pitzschke et al. 2006). In plant cells, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ acts as a signal molecule involved in acclimatory signalling triggering tolerance to various abiotic and biotic stresses, like metal stress, ultraviolet radiation, salt stress, drought stress, light stress, temperature stress and in plant-pathogen interactions (Vandenabeele et al. 2004, Kalbina and Strid 2006, Suzuki and Mittler 2006, Torres et al. 2006, Miller et al. 2010, Opdenakker et al. 2012: chapter 4). Hydrogen peroxide has also been shown to act as a key regulator in a broad range of physiological processes, such as senescence, stomatal movement, programmed cell death, and growth and development (Foreman et al. 2003, Bright et al. 2006, De Pinto et al. 2012, Rogers 2012). Downstream signalling events associated with ROS sensing involve Ca and Ca-binding proteins, such as calmodulin; the activation of G-proteins; the activation of phospholipid signalling, which results in the accumulation of phosphatidic acid; and/or activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Mittler et al. 2004, Apel and Hirt 2004). Hydrogen peroxide can modulate the activity and regulation of different components of MAPK cascades, such as protein phosphatases, protein kinases and transcription factors (Miller et al. 2008, Quan et al. 2008).

### 1.5 MAPK signalling

### 1.5.1 MAPKinases: nomenclature and classification

MAPK cascades are important signalling modules that convert signals generated from the receptors/sensors to cellular responses. They are composed of three protein kinase modules: MAPKK kinases (MAPKKK), MAPK kinases (MAPKK or MKK) and MAP kinases (MAPK or MPK). When MAPKKKs, serine/threonine kinases, are activated, they can phosphorylate MAPKKs via serine/threonine residues in the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{X}_{5}-\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T}$ motif. MAPKKs are dual-specificity kinases that activate MAPKs through phosphorylation of both tyrosine and serine/threonine residues in the TXY motif. MAPKs are kinases that phosphorylate a variety of
substrates, including transcription factors, transcription regulators, splicing factors and other protein kinases (Mishra et al. 2006).

In Arabidopsis, 60 MAPKKKs, 10 MAPKKs and 20 MAPKs have been identified (Table 1.1.). The MAPKKKs form the largest and most heterogeneous group of MAPK components. They can be divided in two large subgroups: the MEKK-type, for which MAPKKK function is provided, and the Raf-like kinases, for which MAPKKK function is not yet known. In Arabidopsis, examples of MEKK-like kinases are ANP1/2/3 and MEKK1. CTR1 and EDR1 belong to the Raf-like kinases (Ichimura et al. 2002).

MAPKKs (or MKKs) are the smallest group, only half as many as there are MAPKs. MAPKKs are probably able to activate multiple MAPKs, which suggests interplay between different signal transduction pathways occurring at this level. Plant MAPKKs are subdivided in four groups (A-D) based on sequence alignment. Group A MAPKKs seem to be involved in multiple abiotic stresses and cell division and are responsive to pathogens. MAPKKs belonging to group $C$ are abiotic stress-responsive and function upstream of group A MAPKs, which play a role in environmental and hormonal responses (Ichimura et al. 2002).

Arabidopsis MAPKs (or MPKs) can be classified into two subtypes: those containing a TEY amino acid motif and those containing a TDY amino acid motif. The TEY subtype consists of three groups: A, B and C. MAPKs of group A are particularly involved in environmental and hormonal responses and examples of this group are MPK3 and MPK6. Group B MAPKs, to which MPK4 belongs, also play a role in environmental stress responses and seem to be involved in cell division. Little is known about group C MAPKs, but they appear to be circadian-rhythm-regulated. The TDY subtype forms group D. These MAPKs have a more extended C-terminal region in relation to groups $A, B$ and $C$. On the other hand, they lack the C-terminal CD DOMAIN found in groups $A, B$ and $C$, which serves as a docking site for MAPKKs, phosphatases and protein substrates. Group D MAPKs are shown to be induced by blast fungus and wounding (Ichimura et al. 2002).
Table 1.1.: Classification of MAPKinases. In the first and second column, the different MAPKinase modules and the amino acid sequences phosphorylated by them are presented. Then MAPKKKs, MAPKKs and MAPKs are classified according to their phosphorylated amino acid sequence and placed in groups A to D based on sequence alignment. In the final 2 columns, examples of MAPKinases belonging to each group as well as the plant responses, in which they are involved, are provided. MAPkinases indicated in bold are discussed in this review.

| CLASSIFICATION OF MAPKINASES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAPKinase | Amino acids phosphorylated by the kinase | Phosphorylated amino acid motif | Group | Examples | Response to/in |
| MAPKKK | serine/threonine | MEKK1-type | A | MEKK1, MEKK2, MEKK3, MEKK4 | redox control - oxidative stress <br> abiotic stresses: drought, salt, mechanical <br> biotic stress: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: salicylic acid |
|  |  |  |  | ANP1, ANP2, ANP3 | redox control - oxidative stress <br> biotic stress: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: auxin <br> cytokinesis |
|  |  | Raf-like | B | EDR1, CTR1 | hormones: ethylene disease resistance signaling |
|  |  |  | c | ATN1, MRK1 | unknown |
| MAPKK | threonine/tyrosine | S/T-xxxxx-S/T | A | MKK1, MKK2, MKK6 | redox control - oxidative stress <br> abiotic stresses: cold, salt, low humidity, mechanical <br> biotic stresses: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: salicylic acid <br> cell division |
|  |  |  | B | мкк3 | oxidative stress <br> abiotic stress: mechanical <br> biotic stress: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: jasmonic acid |

Table 1.1.: Cont.

| CLASSIFICATION OF MAPKINASES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAPKinase | Amino acids phosphorylated by the kinase | Phosphorylated amino acid motif | Group | Examples | Response to/in |
| MAPKK | threonine/tyrosine | S/T-xxxxx-S/T | c | MKK4, MKK5 | redox control - oxidative stress <br> abiotic stresses: salt <br> biotic stresses: bacterial pathogens hormones |
|  |  |  | D | MKK7, MKK8, MKK9, MKK10 | oxidative stress <br> biotic stress: bacterial pathogens |
| MAPK | serine/threonine/tyrosine | TEY | A | MPK3, MPK6, MPK10 | redox control - oxidative stress <br> abiotic stresses: salt, cold <br> biotic stresses: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: jasmonic acid |
|  |  |  | B | MPK4, MPK5, MPK11, MPK12, MPK13 | redox control - oxidative stresses <br> abiotic stresses: cold, salt, low humidity, mechanical hormones; salicylic acid cell division |
|  |  |  | c | MPK1, MPK2, MPK7, MPK14 | oxidative stress <br> abiotic stress: mechanical <br> biotic: bacterial pathogens <br> hormones: jasmonic acid, abscisic acid circadian-rhythm-regulated |
|  |  | TDY | D | MPK8, MPK9,MPK15/16/17/18/19/20 | oxidative stress <br> abiotic stress: mechanical <br> biotic: blast fungus |

The MAPKKKs are the most divergent group of kinases in the MAPK cascade. Therefore different MAPKKKs can initiate similar MAPK cascades, which finally activate the same downstream MAPK. This is one of the mechanisms by which different stimuli converge onto one MAPK. The sharing of a single component by different cascades also leads to interaction between different pathways (Ichimura et al. 2002, Jonak et al. 2002).

### 1.5.2. Regulation of MAPKinases

The outcome of a MAPK activation depends on the duration of its activation. The length of time that a MAPK remains active, depends on the upstream specific regulation mechanisms, of which scaffolding (co-localization) and attenuation through phosphatases are best known. In addition, attention is given to lipid signalling which can initiate MAPK cascades.

### 1.5.2.1. Scaffolding

Specificity of different MAPK cascades functioning within the same cell is conferred by docking domains of scaffold proteins, which anchor different MAPK modules in one complex (Morrison and Davis 2003). MAPK components themselves can also function as scaffolds. An example is the MAPKKK 'Oxidative stress-activated MAP triple-kinase $1^{\prime}$ (OMTK1), discovered in Medicago sativa. OMTK1 is able to activate MMK3 in response to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ but not in case of cell treatment with yeast elicitor or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC). Pull-down analysis between recombinant proteins showed that OMTK1 directly interacts with MKK3 and that both kinases are found together in a protein complex in vivo, suggesting that OMTK1 can determine specificity through its scaffolding function (Nakagami et al. 2004). In Arabidopsis, no scaffold proteins of MAPK components with scaffold function are known to date.

### 1.5.2.2. Phosphatases

Negative regulation of MAPK cascades is performed by MAPK phosphatases, which dephosphorylate threonine and tyrosine residues within the activation motif of MAPKs. Three different forms of phosphatases are identified to date: protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), protein serine-threonine phosphatases (PSTPs) or dual-specificity (Ser/Thr and Tyr) phosphatases (DSPs) (Bartels et al.
2010). In A. thaliana, members of all three classes are linked with MAPK inactivation, but dual-specificity MAPK phosphatases are the most important group because full inactivation of MAPKs requires dephosphorylation of both residues. The Arabidopsis genome encodes five possible candidate dualspecificity MAPK phosphatases (MKP1, MKP2, DsPTP1, PHS1 and IBR5) (Bartels et al. 2010).

MKP1 was first identified by its involvement in genotoxic stress resistance. When 5-day-old seedlings were subjected to UV-C radiation ( $0.5-1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) or $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{I}^{-1}$ methyl methane sulphonate, MKP1 was required for maintaining proper MAPK activity levels. Yeast-two-hybrid assays showed that MKP1 interacts with the stress-activated MAPKs MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6. Interaction of MKP1 with MPK6 was the most pronounced and MKP1 was reported to regulate MPK6 activity in vivo (Ulm et al. 2001, 2002). In addition, a role for MKP1 as a negative regulator of MPK3 and MPK6 activities was suggested in resistance against the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae and tolerance against UV-B and salt stresses (Ulm et al. 2002, Anderson et al. 2011, Besteiro et al. 2011). Lee et al. (2008) demonstrated that MKP1 activity increased after binding to calmodulin, indicating that Ca - and MAPK signalling are connected via the regulation of MKP1. MKPs can act together with other protein phosphatases to control MAPK activity. The protein tyrosine phosphatase 1 (PTP1), which was also shown to interact with MPK6, acts together with MKP1 to repress the biosynthesis of salicylic acid (SA) and camalexin, and pathogenesis-related gene expression, which makes plants more vulnerable to infection with Pseudomonas syringae (Bartels et al. 2009).

Another MKP, MKP2, is also reported to bind and dephosphorylate MPK3 and MPK6 in vitro as well as in vivo (Lee and Ellis 2007, Lumbreras et al. 2010). After acute exposure to 500 ppb ozone, MKP2 acts as a positive regulator of the cellular redox status by repressing the activity of MPK3/6 (Lee and Ellis 2007). In case of plant-pathogen interactions, MKP2 exerts differential and specific functions depending on the invading pathogen and is required for maintaining adequate levels of MPK3/6 activation. The appearance of bacterial wilting symptoms was delayed with one day in mkp2 homozygous knockout plants infected with Ralstonia solanacearum. In contrast, mkp2 knockout plants infected with Botrytis cinerea showed a systemic spread of the fungus
throughout the whole plant after 15 days of inoculation, whereas in wild type plants lesions were local and restricted to the inoculated leaves (Lumbreras et al. 2010).

### 1.5.2.3. Lipid signalling

Besides the regulation of MAPKs by protein phosphatases, MAPK cascades can also be regulated via lipid signalling. Plasma membrane-associated phospholipase D (PLD) enzymes release phosphatidic acid (PA) from phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylglycerol. PA has been implicated as a secondary messenger in many different stress responses, such as the production of ROS (Bargmann and Munnik 2006).
In Arabidopsis, Yu et al. (2010) showed that PLD-derived PA binds to MPK6, leading to its activation during salt stress. Activated MPK6 is responsible for the phosphorylation of the $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{H}$ antiporter SOS1, which reduces Na accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. In Arabidopsis, Anthony et al. (2004) reported that binding of PA to '3-phosphinositide-dependent protein kinase 1' (PDK1) stimulates phosphorylation and activation of the serine/threonine protein kinase 'Oxidativesignal inducible $1^{\prime}$ (OXI1). Activity of OXI1 was induced within 30 min after treatment of Arabidopsis plants with plant growth factors, like auxin and cytokinin, suggesting a role for OXI1 in plant growth and cell division. Rentel et al. (2004) revealed that OXI1 is involved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-dependent activation of MPK3/6 in ROS-dependent processes such as root-hair elongation and basal resistance to the fungal pathogen Peronospora parasitica. In addition, PAstimulated activation of the PDK1/OXI1/MPK6 pathway was shown to promote plant growth in Arabidopsis seedlings after co-cultivation with the endophytic fungus Piriformospora indica (Camehl et al. 2011). Activation of MAPKs by OXI1 is mediated by serine/threonine protein kinases of the Pto-interacting 1 (PTI1) like family or NDP kinase 2 (NDPK2) (Anthony et al. 2006, Forzani et al. 2011, Moon et al. 2003). However, further research is required to unravel the OXI1 MAPK cascade.

### 1.5.3. Role of MAPK cascades in stress response signalling

MAPK cascades are involved in normal cell metabolism like physiological, developmental and hormonal responses (Mishra et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2006,

Pitzschke and Hirt 2009). However multiple studies have shown that MAPK cascades play important roles in plant responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, such as pathogen infection, wounding, low temperature, drought, hyper- and hypo-osmolarity, high salininty, mechanical stress, metals and ROS (Zhang and Klessig 2001, Colcombet and Hirt 2008, Pitzschke and Hirt 2009).

### 1.5.3.1. MAPK cascades are involved in metal stress

Several authors reported the involvement of MAPK signalling in metal stress for different plant species (Table 1.2.). In general, mRNA as well as activity levels are increased quickly after metal exposure, ranging from 5 min to 1 h , and activation of MAPKs is transient. In Arabidopsis, it is proven that MPK3 and MPK6 are activated in response to short-term exposure (less than 1 h) to $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ concentrations as low as $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, via the accumulation of ROS (Liu et al. 2010). However, so far, evidence for the involvement of a complete MAPK cascade pathway in metal stress responses is rather scarce in plants.
Rao et al. (2011) predicted a possible MAPK cascade in rice namely OsMKK4/OSMPK3. Two weeks old rice plants exposed to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ arsenite showed increased transcript levels of OSMPK3 in leaves and roots already after 30 min of exposure. These results were confirmed at the protein level: activity of OsMPK3 was elevated within 3 h . Gene expression levels of OsMKK4 were also elevated in leaves and roots after 3 h exposure to arsenite. In Medicago sativa roots, transient activation of MAPKs (SIMK, SAMK, MKK2 and MMK3) was rapidly induced (less than 10 min ) after exposure to $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, whereas treatment with $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ delayed this profile. In addition, transient expression assays in Arabidopsis protoplasts with HA-tagged SIMK, SAMK, MMK2 and MKK3, and a myc-tagged MAPKK (SIMKK), showed that SIMKK specifically activated SIMK and SAMK after exposure to $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (Jonak et al. 2004). Opdenakker et al. (2012; chapter 4) showed that 24 h exposure of Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings to environmental realistic concentrations of Cu and Cd increased transcript levels of MAPKinases in a time-dependent manner. Already 2 h after exposure to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, gene expression of OXI1, the MAPKKK 'Arabidopsis NPK1like protein kinase $1^{\prime}$ (ANP1) and the MAPKs MPK3 and MPK6 was affected in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis plants. After exposure to $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$, no changes in gene expression of these enzymes were observed before 24 h . These changes in
gene expression seemed to be related to the production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by these metals, either directly and fast by Cu (Fenton-HaberWeiss reactions) or indirectly and delayed by Cd (e.g. via NADPH oxidases).
Activation of ANP1 and OXI1 by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and induction of a phosphorylation cascade involving MPK3 and MPK6 has been reported before in Arabidopsis leaf cells and whole plants (Kovtun et al. 2000, Rentel et al. 2004). Application of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to Arabidopsis protoplasts, increased the activity of ANP1, MPK3 and MPK6 within 10 min. Co-transfection of protoplasts with ANP1 and MPK3 or MPK6 revealed that ANP1 could further enhance the activity of MPK3 and MPK6 after $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treatment (Kovtun et al. 2000). Rentel et al. (2004) showed that gene expression of OXI1 was already enhanced after 30 min in 7-day-old seedlings treated with $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and oxi1 knockout mutants failed to activate MPK3 and MPK6 after treatment with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Additionally, a toxicity test based on primary root elongation showed that oxi1 and mpk6 knock-outs were more tolerant to excess Cu , but not Cd, suggesting that OXI1 and MPK6 play important roles in the observed stress response following Cu exposure (Opdenakker et al., unpublished data).

However, knowledge about the downstream signalling targets of MAPKs is rather scarce under metal stress. Roelofs et al. (2008) compared known signalling pathways induced by metals stress as well as by other abiotic stresses (cold, heat, salt, drought) between soil invertebrates and plants. They showed that all abiotic stresses switched on more than one stress-responsive pathway, seen in the overlap of transcription factors used by each stressor, and they speculated that bZIP, MYB and MYC transcription factors could be downstream targets of MAPK signalling in plant metal stress.

Interplay between the MAPK pathways activated by metal stress and the ones used by other stresses probably exists because ROS generation, which is known to induce MAPK signalling, is common to other abiotic and biotic stress responses (Figure 1.5.).
Table 1.2.: Induction of MAPKinases under metal stress. MAPK cascade modules affected by exposure to metals are categorized based upon plant species and type of kinase. Exposure to metals influences MAPKinase mRNA levels as well as the activity at the protein level.

| METAL-INDUCED MAPKINASES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant | Component of MAPK cascade |  | Metal | Concentration | Exposure Time | Observations | Reference |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | MAPKKK | MEKK1 | Cd | $500 \mu \mathrm{MCdCl}{ }_{2}$ | 1-3h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Suzuki et al. 2001 |
|  | MAPK | MPK3/MPK6 | Cd | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | 10 min | $\uparrow$ activity | Liu et al. 2010 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{MCuSO}_{4} / 5 \mu \mathrm{MCdSO}_{4}$ | 2-24h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Opdenakker et al. 2012 |
| Brassica juncea | MAPK | 46 kDa MAPK | As(III) | $50 \mu \mathrm{Mss}$ (III) | 15 min - 1 h | $\uparrow$ activity | Gupta et al. 2009 |
| Medicago sativa | MAPKK | SIMKK | Cu | $100 \mu \mathrm{MCuCl}{ }_{2}$ | 30 min | induces SAMK and SIMK | Jonak et al. 2004 |
|  | MAPK | SAMK | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl} \mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | $10 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cu}) / 30 \mathrm{~min}-3 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cd})$ | $\uparrow$ activity | Jonak et al. 2004 |
|  |  | SIMK | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{MCuCl} \mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | $5 \mathrm{~min}-6 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cu}) / 10 \mathrm{~min}-6 \mathrm{~h}$ (Cd) | $\uparrow$ activity | Jonak et al. 2004 |
|  |  | MMK2 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl}_{2} / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | $10 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cu}) / 10 \mathrm{~min}-3 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cd})$ | $\uparrow$ activity | Jonak et al. 2004 |
|  |  | Mмк3 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{MCuCl} / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | $10 \mathrm{~min}-\mathrm{in}(\mathrm{Cu}) / 10 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Cd})$ | $\uparrow$ activity | Jonak et al. 2004 |
| Oryza sativa | MAPKK | OsMKK4 | As | $50 \mu \mathrm{Mss}$ (III) | 3-9h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Rao et al. 2011 |
|  | MAPK | OsMSRMK2 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}{ }_{4} / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} / \mathrm{HgClO}_{3}$ | 30 min | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Agrawal et al. 2002 |
|  |  | OsmSRMK3 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} / 4 / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} / \mathrm{HgClO}_{3}$ | $15 \mathrm{~min}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Agrawal et al . 2003 |
|  |  | Oswjumk | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{Hg}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4 / \mathrm{CdCl}_{2} / \mathrm{HgClO}_{3}$ | 15 min-2h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Agrawal et al. 2003 |
|  |  | OsMPK2 | Cd | $400 \mu \mathrm{MCdCl}{ }_{2}$ | 3-12h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Yeh et al. 2004 |
|  |  |  | Cu | $100 \mu \mathrm{MCuCl}{ }_{2}$ | 3-12h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Yeh et al. 2003, Hung et al. 2005 |
|  |  | OsMPK3 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl} 2 / 100 \mu \mathrm{MCdCl}_{2}$ | 1 h | $\uparrow$ activity | Yeh et al. 2007 |
|  |  |  | As | $50 \mu \mathrm{MAs}$ (III) | $30 \mathrm{~min}-9 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\uparrow$ mRNA and activity levels | Rao etal. 2011 |
|  |  | OsMPK4 | As | $50 \mu \mathrm{Mas}$ (III) | $30 \mathrm{~min}-9 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\uparrow$ mRNA and activity levels | Rao et al. 2011 |
|  |  | OsMPK6 | $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Cd}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{MCuCl} / 2 / 100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdCl}{ }_{2}$ | 1 h | $\uparrow$ activity | Yeh et al. 2007 |
|  |  | 40 kDa MAPK | Zn | $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | 15 min - 8h | $\uparrow$ activity | Lin et al. 2005 |
|  |  |  | Pb | $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $30 \mathrm{~min}-8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\uparrow$ activity | Huang and Huang 2008 |
|  |  | 42 kDa MAPK | Zn | $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | 15 min-8h | $\uparrow$ activity | Lin et al. 2005 |
|  |  |  | I | $500 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FeSO} 4$ | 15-30 min | $\uparrow$ activity | Tsai and Huang 2006 |
|  |  |  | Pb | $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $15 \mathrm{~min}-8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\uparrow$ activity | Huang and Huang 2008 |
| Zea mays | MAPK | ZmMPK3 | Cd | $500 \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ | 30 min - 1 h | $\uparrow$ mRNA levels | Wang et al. 2010 |
|  |  | ZmMPK5 | $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ | $250 \mu \mathrm{MCr}(\mathrm{VI})$ | 30 min | $\dagger$ activity | Ding et al. 2009 |

1.5.3.2. Comparison to MAPK pathways involved in other stress responses

In Arabidopsis protoplasts, Kovtun et al. (2000) showed that the MAPKKK ANP1, induced by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, activated the downstream MAPKs MPK3/6. The MAPKKs involved in the activation of MPK3/6 by ANP1 could be MKK4 and MKK5. Ren et al. (2002) reported that transgenic Arabidopsis plants, expressing MKK4 and MKK5 under the control of a steroid-inducible promoter, were able to activate MPK3/6, resulting in cell death. The protein kinase OXI1 is, as already mentioned above, involved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-dependent activation of MPK3/6 (Rentel et al. 2004). Moreover, oxi1 knockout mutants showed defects in ROS-dependent developmental processes such as root-hair elongation, and in ROS-dependent basal resistance to the fungal pathogen Peronospora parasitica. In what way OXI1 activates MPK3 and MPK6 remains to be addressed, although it is suggested that this activation may be modulated by NDPK2 (Moon et al. 2003, Colcombet and Hirt 2008). Exposure of 2-week-old Arabidopsis plants to 4 mM $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ strongly increased gene expression of NDPK2 within 30 min and up to 12 h , suggesting that NDPK2 functions in ROS homeostasis. Furthermore, overexpression of NDPK2 resulted in lower levels of ROS as compared to wild type plants and conferred enhanced tolerance to environmental stresses that induce ROS generation, such as freezing during 1 h or exposure to 50 mM NaCl for 3 weeks. Specific interaction between NDPK2 and MPK3/6 was discovered using yeast two-hybrid and in vitro protein pull-down assays. NDPK2 was also shown to enhance the myelin basic protein phosphorylation activity of MPK3 in vitro (Moon et al. 2003).
Nakagami et al. (2006) reported on another Arabidopsis MAPKKK, MEKK1, which is also regulated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and was found to activate the MAPK MPK4 in response to treatment of Arabidopsis protoplasts with $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ during 5 min . Ten-dayold mekk1 knockout plants showed a deregulated expression of genes involved in cellular redox control, like glutathione S-transferases, NADPH oxidases and ascorbate peroxidases, and accumulated ROS, suggesting that MEKK1 is necessary for normal redox homeostasis of the cell. The MAPK cascade MEKK1-MKK1/MKK2-MPK4 was earlier identified using yeast two-hybrid and complementation analysis of yeast mutants (Ichimura et al. 1998, Mizoguchi et al. 1998). Later, it was shown that MKK1 phosphorylates MPK4 in vitro as well as in vivo and that this cascade is operating in different environmental stresses
such as low temperature, low humidity, hyper-osmolarity and mechanical stress (Ichimura et al. 2000, Huang et al. 2000, Matsuoka et al. 2002).


Figure 1.5.: Overview of the different MAPK cascades known to function in stress responses as well as their regulation and possible downstream outcomes (signalling components involved in metal stress are highlighted in green). Production of ROS is a common phenomenon between different biotic and abiotic stresses. In particular $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can act as an intra- and intercellular signalling molecule activating MAPK cascades. Via lipid signalling or phosphorylation of OXI1, ROS production can be linked to MAPK activation. MAPK signalling pathways induce gene expression of stress-responsive genes through the activation of transcription factors. On one hand, MAPK cascades influence the cellular
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redox status by activating gene expression of antioxidative or pro-oxidative enzymes. In this way, MAPK signalling can attenuate or amplify the initial ROS signal. On the other hand, MAPKs interfere with hormone signalling and biosynthesis leading to activation of downstream stress responses. Inactivation of MAPK pathways occurs through phosphatases, which dephosphorylate MAPKs, resulting in the disruption of the MAPK signal and are therefore important in the regulation of downstream responses.

A microarray study with 14-day-old mekk1, mkk1/2 and mpk4 knockout Arabidopsis plants performed by Pitzschke et al. (2009) showed that the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 cascade is a key regulator of ROS- and SA-initiated stress signalling. However, they also suggest that MEKK1 can activate another pathway, independent of MKK1/2 and MPK4, and that MKK1/2 is not only regulating MPK4, but most likely also other MAPKs like MPK3/6. Asai et al (2002) showed that in protoplasts treated with 100 mM of a bacterial flagellin peptide (flg22), MEKK1 activated MPK3/6 through MKK4/MKK5, leading to the expression of early-defence response genes. Activation of the MEKK1-signalling cascade by flg22 is mediated by receptor-like kinases (RLKs), which are also reported to be regulated by Cd stress (Ding et al. 2011). Recently, MKK4 was also identified to be involved in abiotic salt stress responses, as a regulator of MPK3 activity (Kim et al. 2011). In contrast, studies performed with mekk1 knockout plants instead of the protoplast system used by Asai et al. (2002) reported that 14-day-old mekk1 knockout plants did activate MPK3 and MPK6 activity within 10 min after treatment with flg22, but failed to induce MPK4 activity. These results indicate that probably more alternative pathways exist to activate MPK3/6 in the absence of MEKK1 (Suarez-Rodriguez et al. 2007) MKK2, activated by MEKK1, was observed to directly target MPK4 and MPK6 in cold and salt stress (Teige et al. 2004). Plants overexpressing MKK2 exhibited constitutive MPK4 and MPK6 activity, showing increased freezing and salt tolerance while mkk2 knockout plants were impaired in MPK4 and MPK6 activation, showing hypersensitivity to salt and cold stress. These studies demonstrate that MEKK1 can integrate different stress signals and ensures stress-specific responses by activating different downstream MAPKs.

Recently, another MAPK component, able to integrate different stress signals, was identified. MKK3 acts as upstream activator of MPK7, which induces target genes such as PR1 in the defence response against Pseudomonas syringae. In Arabidopsis protoplasts, transiently expressing MKK3 and MPK7, MKK3-mediated activity of MPK7 was only induced after application of $4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ during 5 or 15
min, whereas treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ flg22 had no effect on the MPK7 activity. These data led to the observation that the MKK3-MPK7 cascade is induced by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-mediated inhibition of the proteasome-dependent degradation of MKK3 (Doczi et al. 2007). In contrast, the MKK3-MPK6 pathway functions in jasmonic acid (JA) signalling. In 2-week-old wild type plants, MPK6 activity was enhanced directly after treatment with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JA. This activity was reduced in mkk3 knockout plants and higher in MKK3 overexpressing plants exposed to JA. In addition, JA-dependent inhibition of root growth and induction of PDF1.2 and VSP2 expression was regulated by MKK3-MPK6 (Takahashi et al. 2007). In case of mechanical stress, MKK3 is together with $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{CaM}$ responsible for the full activation of MPK8, which negatively regulates the expression of RBOHD (NADPH-oxidase). RBOHD plays an important role in ROS generation and the ROS signal provided by RBOHD is involved in the induction of wound-inducible marker genes, such as OXI1 and ZAT12. Therefore, the negative regulation of RBOHD by MPK8 is required for appropriate production of ROS during mechanical stress responses (Takahashi et al. 2011). In addition, Ortiz-Masia et al. (2007) showed a rapid (within 15 min ) activation in 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants upon treatment with $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as well as by other stress signals such as mechanical stress or application of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JA or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ abscisic acid (ABA).

### 1.5.4. MAPK cascades regulate stress responses by activation of gene transcription

### 1.5.4.1. Transcription factors

MAPK cascades have the possibility to regulate gene transcription by activation or repression of transcription factors. Popescu et al. (2009) used high-density Arabidopsis protein microarrays to identify in vitro novel MPK targets. They observed that the largest group of possible MPK targets identified in their screen, represent transcription factors. Coexpression of WRKY and TGA transcription factors with specific MKK/MPK modules showed that these transcription factors are also phosphorylated in vivo.

WRKY proteins bind to W -box DNA elements (containing a TGAC core sequence) and act both as positive and negative regulators of target gene expression.

WRKY family members are subdivided into three groups based on the number of WRKY domains and certain features of the zinc finger-like motifs (for a review, see Eulgem et al. (2000) and references therein). WRKY transcription factors are known to be involved in diverse biotic and abiotic stresses (Figure 1.5.). Shortterm exposure of 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, induced gene expression of WRKY22, WRKY25 and WRKY29 already after 2 h of exposure in leaves and roots. In contrast, after 24 h of exposure to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd only the gene expression of WRKY25 and WRKY29 was affected. These data suggest that these transcription factors play an essential role in regulation of the stress response upon metal exposure (Opdenakker et al. 2012; chapter 4). Involvement of these WRKY transcription factors was also reported in other stress conditions confirming the hypothesis that use of these transcription factors is not specific for metal stress signalling but is common between different biotic and abiotic stress responses. Investigation of transcriptome data generated from ROSrelated microarray experiments, showed that induction of WRKY22 gene expression is also related to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{*-}$ production (Gadjev et al. 2006). More recently, WRKY22 gene expression was reported to be induced by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in leaf senescence (Zhou et al. 2011). Transcript levels of WRKY22 were induced 1 h after application of $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ or by dark treatment already after 1 day. The involvement of WRKY22 in dark-induced leaf senescence was investigated by comparing wrky22 knockout plants and WRKY22 overexpressing plants with wild type plants in relation to cell death, chlorophyll content and expression of senescence-associated genes (Zhou et al. 2011). The flagellin-induced MAPK cascade MEKK1-MKK4/MKK5-MPK3/MPK6 is known to activate WRKY22 and his close homolog WRKY29. They positively regulate gene expression of disease resistance genes to confer resistance to both bacterial and fungal pathogens (Asai et al. 2002).
In vitro and in vivo interaction studies revealed a MPK4 substrate MKS1 (MAP kinase 4 substrate 1), which functions in coupling MPK4 to WRKY25 and WRKY33. In this way, WRKY25/33 function in the regulation of pathogen defence responses by repression of SA-dependent resistance. Indeed, Arabidopsis plants overexpressing WRKY25 showed increased disease symptoms together with an invasive bacterial growth after inoculation with Pseudomonas syringae during 3 and 4 days. This was due to reduced expression of PR1, a
molecular marker for SA-mediated defence signalling (Andreasson et al. 2005, Zheng et al. 2006). In addition, studies pointed out a role for WRKY25 in the modulation of gene transcription during heat and salt stress (Li et al. 2009, Jiang and Deyholos 2009). Transcript levels of WRKY25 were induced within 30 min in Arabidopsis plants exposed to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Five-day-old wrky 25 knockout plants showed an increased inhibition of root elongation when exposed to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , whereas 3-week-old wrky25 knockout displayed greater electrolyte leakage after 4 h exposure to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating the positive role of $W R K Y 25$ in thermotolerance (Li et al. 2009). Treatment of 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants with 150 mM NaCl during 6 and 24 h induced gene expression of WRKY25 as well as WRKY33 strongly. Root growth was stimulated in WRKY25 and WRKY33 overexpressing plants in the presence of 100 mM NaCl , suggesting the involvement of these transcription factors in tolerance against salt stress (Jiang and Deyholos 2009). The zinc finger transcription factor (C2H2-type) ZAT12 is also involved during metal stress responses. As was also observed for the WRKY transcription factors, transcript levels of ZAT12 were elevated after 2 h in roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, whereas ZAT12 expression in $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ exposed roots was not increased before 24 h . In leaves, gene expression of ZAT12 was increased after 24h in both Cu- and Cd-exposed plants (Opdenakker et al. 2012; chapter 4). Besides its involvement in metal stress, ZAT12 was also found to respond at the transcriptional level to other abiotic and biotic stresses. A comparison of microarray profiles of 6-week-old wild type and catalasedeficient ( $20 \%$ residual catalase activity) Arabidopsis plants exposed to high light for at least 3 h, identified ZAT12 as a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-responsive transcription factor (Vandenabeele et al. 2004, Vanderauwera et al. 2005). This observation was confirmed by the results of a microarray study performed on Arabidopsis cell cultures exposed to $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ during 1.5 and 3h (Desikan et al. 2001). Mechanically stressed leaves of 4-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings showed significantly increased transcript levels of ZAT12 after 30 min . This increase in gene expression was still visible after 6 h of stress (Cheong et al. 2002). Activation of ZAT12 transcription was also reported to occur in 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants in response to cold $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, heat $\left(38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, salt $(150 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and drought (75\% relative water content) (Davletova et al. 2005b).
1.5.4.2. Regulation of the cellular redox status by MAPK cascades

Metal stress, as well as other biotic and abiotic stresses, is known to disrupt the cellular redox status by stimulating the production of ROS or affecting the antioxidative defence system of the cell. Signalling via MAPK cascades can influence both sides of the redox balance (Figure 1.5.).
Pitzschke et al. (2009) showed that the MEKK1-MKK1/MMK2-MPK4 pathway negatively controlled the gene expression of WRKY25, WRKY33 and ZAT12. Expression of oxidative stress responsive genes like the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutase CSD1, the catalase CAT2, the NADPH oxidase RBOHI, and certain glutaredoxins and thioredoxins, was also altered in mpk4 knockout plants. This suggests that the MEKK1-MMK1/MMK2-MPK4 pathway regulates ROS homeostasis via the transcription factors WRKY25/33 and ZAT12. Also in case of heat or salt stress, WRKY25 and WRKY33 were reported to influence the gene expression of ROS-responsive genes (Li et al. 2009, Jiang and Deyholos 2009). Exposure of 21-day-old wrky 25 knockout plants to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30,60 and 120 min showed lower transcript levels of the ascorbate peroxidases $A P X 1$ and 2 as compared to wild type plants. These data indicate that WRKY25 can positively regulate the expression of two oxidative stress-responsive genes APX1 and APX2 (Li et al. 2009). Microarray studies on salt-exposed (150 mM, 6h) wrky33 knockout plants revealed glutathione-S-transferases, class III peroxidases and the lipoxygenase LOX1 as possible targets of WRKY33 transcriptional activity (Jiang and Deyholos 2009). Because WRKY25 and WRKY33 share very similar protein structures (Eulgem et al. 2000), it is possible that they are involved in the regulation of the same genes. For example, Li et al. (2011) showed that WRKY25 and WRKY33, together with WRKY26, work coordinately to induce thermotolerance in plants.

Studies with ZAT12 overexpressing as well as knockout plants revealed that ZAT12 is responsible for the induction of oxidative stress-related transcripts, like APX1, CSD1, CSD2, RBOHD, LOX4 and several glutathione S-transferases, while reducing transcript levels of the iron superoxide dismutase FSD1, an L-ascorbate oxidase, several peroxidases and glutaredoxins (Rizhsky et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005a, Davletova et al. 2005b, Vogel et al. 2005). These data suggest that ZAT12 is important in facilitating plants to cope with oxidative stress. ZAT12 was also identified as inducer of WRKY25 during oxidative stress, meaning that

WRKY25 acts downstream of ZAT12 to control its target genes (Rizhsky et al. 2004, Li et al. 2009). Nakagami et al. (2006) suggested a role for the MEKK1MPK4 pathway in the negative regulation of ZAT12 under oxidative stress conditions. Exposure of mekk1 and mpk4 knockout plants to $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ during 1h resulted in increased ZAT12 transcript levels as compared to wild type plants. Gene expression of CAT1, not CAT2 nor CAT3, is regulated by MKK1-mediated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production during different types of abiotic stress, such as drought and salt stress, and is related to ABA-signalling (Xing et al. 2007). In addition, transcript levels of CAT1, not CAT2 nor CAT3, were reduced in 2-week-old mkk1 knockout plants and increased in MKK1 overexpressing plants as compared to wild type plants after 4 h exposure to 300 mM NaCl , drought stress (dehydration of plants to $80 \%$ of their original fresh weight followed by incubation at $100 \%$ relative humidity at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) or 0.1 mM ABA. Furthermore, production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was abolished in mkk1 knockout plants and higher in MKK1 overexpressors treated with 300 mM NaCl , drought or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ABA. MKK1 mediates ABA-induced CAT1 expression via MPK6 (Xing et al. 2008). As shown for mkk1 knockout and MKK1 overexpressing plants, CAT1 transcript levels were reduced in 2-week-old mpk6 knockout plants and elevated in MPK6 overexpressors exposed to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ABA. ABA treatment also inhibited $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ accumulation in mpk6 knockout plants.

### 1.5.4.3. MAPK cascades interfere with hormone signalling

Besides their role in physiological processes, ethylene and JA were originally identified as stress hormones essential for plant defence against a variety of abiotic and biotic stresses, such as ozone, UV radiation, mechanical stress, chemicals, metals, drought, extreme temperatures, insect and pathogen infection (Kendrick and Chang 2008, Wasternack and Kombrink 2010). In the past years, more and more evidence was presented to indicate that MAPK cascades can play a role in ethylene and JA signalling (Figure 1.5.).

### 1.5.4.3.1. Ethylene

MAPkinases have been reported to be involved in ethylene biosynthesis as well as in ethylene signalling. Several data indicate that MPK6 is involved in ethylene biosynthesis. It was reported in twelve-day-old Arabidopsis plants that MPK6, induced by flg22 or mechanical stress, is responsible for the phosphorylation of
two ACC synthases, ACS2 and ACS6. Conversion of S-adenosyl-L-methionine to ACC by ACC synthases is the rate-limiting and major regulatory step in stressinduced ethylene production. Phosphorylation of ACS2 and ACS6 stabilizes the ACS proteins, leading to an elevated ACS activity and consequently an elevated ACC and ethylene production (Liu and Zhang 2004). Also the MKK9-MPK3/6 pathway was shown to function in ethylene biosynthesis. Constitutive expression of MKK9-induced accumulation of ethylene through activation of MPK3/6 and consecutive positive regulation of ACS2 and ACS6 gene expression (Xu et al. 2008).

In contrast, other studies report that MAPKinases are involved in ethylene signal transduction rather than in ethylene biosynthesis. The function of the different components in the ethylene signalling pathway was recently reviewed by Shan et $a l$. (2012) and will be discussed here briefly. Binding of ethylene to its receptor, ETR1 (Ethylene Response 1), releases CTR1 (Constitutive Triple Response 1), which in the absence of ethylene leads to degradation of EIN2 (Ethylene Insensitive 2), inhibiting downstream signal transduction. Therefore, binding of ethylene leads to accumulation of EIN2 activating a transcriptional cascade, initiated by the transcription factor EIN3 (Ethylene Insensitive 3), regulating transcription of ethylene-responsive genes (Shan et al. 2012). The first evidence for the involvement of a MAPK cascade in plant ethylene signalling came with the discovery of the first gene in the ethylene signal transduction pathway, CTR1, a negative regulator of ethylene responses. Indeed, the predicted protein sequence of CTR1 showed similarity to the mammalian Raf kinase, a MAPKKK (Kieber et al. 1993). More recently, Novikova et al. (2000) showed that a protein with similarities to a MAPK was activated by exogenous treatment of 6 -week-old Arabidopsis plants with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ethylene during 1 h . Treatment ( 10 min ) of Arabidopsis wild type plants with 1 mM ACC, the immediate precursor of ethylene in the biosynthesis pathway, identified the activation of MPK6. Mutant screenings showed that this activation of MPK6 by ACC is mediated by ETR1 and CTR1, but not by EIN2 or EIN3. These results place MPK6, as a positive regulator of ethylene responses, downstream of CTR1 and upstream of EIN2 in the ethylene signal transduction pathway (Ouaked et al. 2003). A study by Yoo et al. (2008) reported that the MAPK cascade MKK9MPK3/6 functions downstream of CTR1, is activated upon binding of ethylene to

ETR1 and is able to phosphorylate and stabilize EIN3 leading to transcription of ethylene responsive genes. Yeast-2-hybrid and fluorescence resonance energy transfer identified the in vitro as well in vivo interaction of MPK6 with an ethylene response factor ERF104. The MPK6/ERF104 complex is disrupted by flg22-induced ethylene production, leading to phosphorylation and activation of ERF104. Microarray analysis of ERF104 overexpressing plants identified the ERF104 stimulated targets as pathogenesis related (PDF1.2) or involved in further signal amplification of defence signalling (MKK4, RBOHD, WRKY33) (Bethke et al. 2009).

### 1.5.4.3.2. Jasmonate

Similar to ethylene, MAPKs are indicated to play a role in the biosynthesis of JA as well as in JA signalling. In case of salt stress, transcripts of two genes involved in JA biosynthesis, OPR1 and OPR2, were shown to be more abundant in wrky33 knockout plants, suggesting that MAPK cascades involving WRKY33 can downregulate JA biosynthesis during heat stress (Jiang and Deyholos 2009). Earlier, we mentioned that activation of transcription factors WRKY33 or ZAT12 by MAPK cascades induced gene expression of lipoxygenases, LOX1 and LOX4 respectively. Involvement of lipoxygenases in metal stress was also reported. Transcript levels of LOX2 were significantly induced in leaves of Arabidopsis plants after short term exposure to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cu or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd. In the roots, a metalspecific upregulation of LOX3 and LOX4 was observed after Cu exposure, whereas transcript levels of LOX5 were specifically downregulated. In contrast, LOX1 and LOX6 gene expression was responsive to both Cd and Cu (Remans et al. 2010, Opdenakker et al. 2012: chapter 4). Furthermore, expression of LOX1 and LOX2 is compromised in roots and leaves of non-exposed mpk6 knockout plants (Opdenakker et al., unpublished data). Lipoxygenases catalyze the first step in JA biosynthesis, namely the oxygenation of a-linolenic acid to hydroperoxides (Wasternack and Kombrink 2010). Earlier, LOX2 was identified as a lipoxygenase responsible for initiating JA biosynthesis upon wounding (Bell et al. 1995). Taken together, these data suggest that MAPK cascades can initiate JA biosynthesis via transcriptional control of LOXes.

On the other hand, studies reported that MAPKs would function in JA signal transduction. Leon et al. (2001) stated that reversible protein phosphorylation is
involved in JA signalling. JA-dependent induction of wound-inducible genes was stimulated by treatment of Arabidopsis plants with the protein kinase inhibitor staurosporin while treatment with the protein phosphatase inhibitor okadaic acid repressed this gene expression. These results suggest a negative regulation of the JA downstream pathway by protein kinase cascades. Petersen et al. (2000) showed that mpk4 knockout plants are impaired in expression of PDF1.2 and THI1.2, two JA-responsive genes. Moreover, treatment of 4-week-old mpk4 knockout plants with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methyl-JA for 48 h failed to induce PDF1. 2 or THI1. 2 transcript levels, indicating that MPK4 is involved in JA signalling. In addition, the MKK3-MPK6 pathway functions in JA signalling in Arabidopsis as a negative regulator of the downstream transcription factor MYC2. Treatment of mkk3 and mpk6 knockout as well as MKK3 and MPK6 overexpressing plants with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JA during 12h, showed that the MKK3/MPK6 pathway induced or reduced the transcript levels of MYC2 respectively (Takahashi et al. 2007).

### 1.6. Conclusions

MAPK signalling plays a central role in plant metal stress responses. MAPkinases are activated by ROS production, induced upon metal stress, and convert the perception of metals to intracellular signals to the nucleus, where appropriate responses are initiated. However, MAPK cascades are not specific for a single stress condition. One MAPK cascade can be used by different biotic and abiotic stresses and interplay between different pathways is possible. In metal stress, the function of MAPK cascades is poorly understood while knowledge about metal signalling and more specific their downstream targets is essential for understanding plant responses to metal stress. Therefore, in future research it is important to focus on the functional analysis of MAPkinases in plant metal stress. For this purpose, mutants (knock-outs, overexpressors) of the different MAPKKKs, MAPKKs and MAPKs should be investigated under metal exposure in a single and multipollution context. Interaction between the different MAPKinase modules and the possible transcription factors activated by MAPKs can be identified by the use of functional protein microarrays (Popescu et al. 2009) or phosphoproteomics (van Bentem et al. 2008). Specific genes targeted by these transcription factors in their turn can be resolved using different molecular strategies. Better insight in plant metal stress responses and their regulation

Chapter 1
opens future perspectives to investigate the complexity of signalling modules in plant responses facing a globally changing environment.

## Chapter 2

## Objectives

Cadmium ( Cd ) and copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$ are naturally occurring in the environment. However, human activities elevated the concentration of these metals in the soil to toxic levels. Plants are able to accumulate these metals, spreading them into the food chain for animal and human consumption. Furthermore, this leads to the loss of food crops but also the loss of agricultural soils. Exposure of plants to toxic concentrations of Cd and Cu leads to well-studied physiological defects, such as growth retardation, disruption of photosynthetic processes and alterations in transpiration and respiration. Over the past years, more and more attention has been given to the cellular effects of metal exposure. Cadmium and Cu are known to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the cellular level, which results in alterations in the cellular redox status in favour of the prooxidants, a condition also known as oxidative stress. Although ROS production in plant metal stress is described as harmful for the plant, ROS have also a positive role as signalling molecules in normal cell metabolism. Then, the question rises to what extent ROS production can be beneficial in the plant response to metal stress. Indeed ROS, and especially $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, are known to regulate the functioning of signalling pathways, like MAPKinases cascades. In normal plant processes, such as plant growth and development, as well as in different biotic and abiotic stress conditions, MAPK cascades are known to be activated by ROS to regulate the transcription of target genes involved in normal cell growth or in defence responses against these biotic and abiotic stresses. However, knowledge about the functioning of MAPK cascades in plant metal stress responses is rather scarce, but nevertheless essential for understanding plant responses to metal stress.

The main objective of this work was to study the specific function of MAPKinases, reported to be involved in other abiotic stresses, under metal stress. Specific emphasis was put on the question to what extent MAPKinases are regulating the oxidative stress response under Cd and Cu stress. And secondly, whether ROS signalling via MAPK cascades can lead to a better metal tolerance after long-term metal exposure.

To reach these objectives three main experimental topics were followed:

1. In the first part (chapter 4), kinetic measurements were conducted to examine the early ROS production within the first day after Cd or Cu exposure. This was related with measurements of transcript levels of components from MAPK cascades and transcription factors, known to be regulated by ROS, to investigate ROS signalling after metal exposure.
2. The results of part 1 indicated a possible important role for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ inducible protein kinase OXI1 (oxidative-signal inducible 1) in metalinduced signalling. The function of OXI1 in Cu-induced oxidative stress was investigated in chapter 5 using knockout mutants of OXI1 in the Columbia background.
3. In the last part, the role of the MAPKinase MPK6, a downstream target of OXI1, was investigated in the metal-induced oxidative stress after Cu (chapter 6) and Cd (chapter 7) exposure. Special attention was given to transcription factors and pro- and antioxidative enzymes, which can be transcriptionally regulated via MPK6 after metal exposure.

## Chapter 3

## Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Plant material and treatment

Arabidopsis thaliana wild type, oxi1 and mpk6 mutant plants (ecotype Columbia0 ) were used. Details about the mutants, such as the corresponding T-DNA insertion line and primers used for genotyping are given in Table 3.1.
The Arabidopsis plants were grown on hydroponics as described previously (Smeets et al. 2008b) except that purified sand was used as a substrate instead of rockwool. After three weeks, the plants were exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}{ }_{4}$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 or 24 hours. At harvest, leaves (entire rosette) and roots were removed, weighed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 3.1.: Details about the used T-DNA knockout mutants.

|  | oxi1 | mpk6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Gabi_355H08 | SALK_073907 |
| Mutation | T-DNA insertion line | T-DNA insertion line |
| Background | Columbia | Columbia |
| Genotype | homozygous | homozygous |
| Genotyping |  |  |
| Forward primer | CTACAAATCTAGCTCCAAGAACGC | CTCTGGCTCATCGCTTATGTC |
| Reverse primer | GACCCTTGATTTCCTCAACGTTG | ATCTATGTTGGCGTTTGCAAC |
| T-DNA primer | GABI T-DNA border primer | LBb1.3 |
|  | CCCATTTGGACGTGAATGTAGACAC <br> $\rightarrow$ to be used with the forward primer <br> for detection of the mutant allele | ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC <br> $\rightarrow$ to be used with the reverse primer <br> for detection of the mutant allele |

For root growth analysis, plants were grown on agar medium in vertically staked $12 \times 12$ cm Petri dishes (Dubrovsky and Forde 2012, Remans et al. 2012). For the root growth analysis of oxi1 (chapter 5), the growth medium used was according to Remans et al. (2006) and consisted of $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KNO} 3,0.5 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ MES (2-[morpholino] ethanesulphonic acid) (pH 5.7-5.8 adjusted with KOH), 50 mM NaFeEDTA, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}, 12 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MnCl} 2,1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnCl}{ }_{2}$, and $0.03 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$. The growth medium used for root growth analysis of mpk6 (Chapter 6) was based on a 50 -fold dilution of Gamborg's B5 medium (Zhang and Forde 1998) and contained $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KNO}_{3}, 0.02 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}, 0.02 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,0.022 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{MO}_{4}$, $0.94 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MnSO}_{4}, 0.02 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ MES (pH 5.7-5.8), $90 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{KI}, 0.97$ $\mathrm{nM} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}, 0.14 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}, 2 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{CuSO} 4,20.6 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}, 2.06 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{CoSO} 4$ and $3.6 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$. For germination, sucrose ( $5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) was added to the medium. Medium for treatment plates was supplemented with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ to become the following concentration range for the oxi1 mutants: 0-20-25-30-35-40-45-50-60 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ and for the mpk6 mutants: $0-1-2-5-10-15-20 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$. In the root growth experiment using oxi1 knockouts, higher amounts of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ were applied to the growth medium because the concentrations of the essential nutrients used in this medium were higher. Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized in a coneshape folded filterpaper (Whatmann nr. 542, closed with a paper clip) for 1 min in a $0.1 \%$ sodium hypochlorite solution (diluted in $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from Sigma Aldrich Sodium hypochlorite solution 10-13\%) containing Tween 80 (1 drop per 100 mL ), followed by 4 washes (15s) with a small amount of sterile water and 4 washes of 5 min with a larger volume of sterile water and finally drying in a laminar air flow. Sterilized seeds were planted with a sterile toothpick in $12 \mathrm{~cm} x$ 12 cm transparent Petri dish on 40 ml of solid ( $1 \%$ agar) germination medium. After storing for 2 d at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark, plates were incubated vertically in a growth chamber at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $12 \mathrm{~h} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ light/dark regime and a light intensity of $165 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$. After one week, a homogenous set of plants growing on the surface of the agar were transferred to treatment plates containing different concentrations of Cu ( 5 plants per plate) and were incubated for another week. Primary root tip position was marked every 24 h on the outside of the plates, and plates were scanned after 1 week using a conventional flatbed scanner (CanonScan 4400F). Root growth was analyzed using the Optimas image analysis software (MediaCybernetics, Silver Spring, MD).

### 3.2. Element analysis

Leaf and root samples were taken for the determination of an elemental profile. Roots were washed for 10 min in ice-cold $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and twice with ice-cold distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to exchange surface-bound Cu ; leaves were rinsed with distilled
water. Subsequently, plant samples were dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, weighed, and digested in $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ( $70-71 \%$ ) using a heat block. The concentrations of $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{S}$ and P were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). Blanks (only $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ) and a standard sample [NIST Spinach (1570a)] were analyzed for reference purposes.

### 3.3. Hydrogen peroxide measurement

Approximately 150 mg frozen $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) leaf or root sample (in 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes) was ground thoroughly using two stainless steel beads (2 mm diameter) in each sample and the Retsch Mixer Mill MM2000. The ground tissue was homogenized in $750 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ and incubated on ice for 5 min . After centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 10000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), a pH between 8 and 8.5 was obtained in $410 \mu \mathrm{l}$ supernatant by adding $490 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The pH adjusted supernatant was centrifuged during $2 \min (3000 \mathrm{~g})$ and incubated on ice. The hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ in the supernatant was bound to and eluted from poly-prep chromatography columns filled with AG1-X8 Resin (Bio-Rad Laboratories).
The concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in leaves and roots was then measured using the Amplex Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carisbad/California, USA). This is a sensitive, one-step fluorometric assay that uses 10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine to detect $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in biological samples. Fifty $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the eluate was measured together with a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ standard curve (also bound to and eluted from the poly-prep prefilled chromatography columns) ranging from 0 to $80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (as reference for leave samples) or 0 to 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (as reference for root samples). Fluorescence of the red-fluorescent oxidation product, resorufin, was measured at 590 nm after excitation at 530 nm using the FLUOstar OMEGA (BMG LABTECH, Isogen Life Sciences, De Meern, The Netherlands). The level of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was calculated according to the standard curve and corrected for the fresh weight and the dilution made during the procedure.

### 3.4. Determination of lipid peroxidation

The extent of lipid peroxidation in leaves and roots was estimated by measuring the concentration of thiobarbituric acid (TBA)-reactive compounds spectro-
photometrically. Samples (100 mg) were homogenized in $2 \mathrm{ml} 0.1 \%$ trichloroacetic acid (TCA) buffer and after centrifugation, $2 \mathrm{ml} 0.5 \%$ TBA buffer was added to the supernatant. Subsequently, the samples were incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min and rapidly cooled down on ice. After centrifugation (10 $\mathrm{min}, 20000 \mathrm{~g})$, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 532 nm and at 600 nm for the aspecific absorbance. The content of TBArm in leaves and roots was calculated according to the law of Lambert-Beer ( $\varepsilon=155 \mathrm{mM}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and corrected for the aspecific absorbance, the fresh weight used and the dilutions made during the produce.

### 3.5. Determination of glutathione content

Concentrations of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione were analyzed according to the spectrofotometric microplate reader method described by Queval and Noctor (2007). Frozen leaf or root tissue ( 150 mg ) was ground thoroughly in liquid nitrogen, homogenized in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.2 M HCl and centrifuged during $15 \mathrm{~min}\left(16000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Then a pH between 4 and 5 was obtained by adding $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( pH 5.6 ) and ca. $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.2 M NaOH to $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ supernatant. Measurement of GSH and GSSG is based on the glutathione reductase (GR)-dependent reduction of 5,5-dithiobis(2-nitro-benzoic acid) (DTNB), monitored at 412 nm . When using the pH adjusted supernatant from above, the method measures the total amount of glutathione in the sample that is GSH plus GSSG. For measuring GSSG, $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the pH adjusted supernatant was incubated with $1.3 \mu \mathrm{l} \quad 2$-vinyl-pyridine (2-VP), which precipitates all GSH present in the sample, during 30 min at room temperature. After centrifugation ( $15 \mathrm{~min}, 16000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to precipitate $2-\mathrm{VP}$, the supernatant was transferred to clean tubes and centrifuged again. To measure total glutathione, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant was added in triplicate to a 96-well plate containing $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA buffer ( pH 7.5 ), $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 mM NADPH, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 12 mM DTNB and $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction was started by the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{GR}$ and after 20 s of automatic mixing by shaking, the rate of DTNB reduction was monitored during 5 min. Sample concentrations of total glutathione were calculated relative to a standard curve ranging from 0 to 1 nmol GSH, measured in duplicate in the same plate, and were corrected for GSH-independent reduction of DTNB by subtraction of the mean value of
duplicate blank assays ( 0 nmol GSH). GSSG was measured by the same principle after incubation with 2-VP to complex GSH. $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the final supernatant was measured in triplicate as described above, together with GSSG standards also subjected to incubation with 2 -VP and ranging from 0 to 200 pmol. Concentrations of GSSG were calculated as for total glutathione. Finally, the standard curve corrected GSH and GSSG concentrations were corrected for the fresh weight and amounts of 0.2 M NaOH used, as well as for the dilutions made during the procedure.

### 3.6. Determination of enzyme activities

Frozen leaf ( 200 mg ) or root ( 100 mg ) tissue was homogenized in 2 ml ice-cold 0.1 M Tris- HCl buffer ( pH 7.8 ) containing 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiotreitol and $4 \%$ insoluble polyvinylpyrrolidone. The homogenate was squeezed through a nylon mesh and after centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 20000 \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), enzyme activities were measured spectrophotometrically in the supernatant at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15 .1 .1) was measured at 550 nm by following the inhibition of cytochrome C mediated neutralization of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\bullet-}$ generating system consists of xanthine oxidase and xanthine. In the blanc cuvet, cytochrome c will be reduced by the formed superoxide radicals. Addition of the plant extract results in a disproportionation of the superoxide radicals and hence an inhibition of the reduction of cytochrome $c$, which is a measure to define SOD capacity. The amount of SOD required to inhibit the rate of reduction of cytochrome c by $50 \%$ is defined as 1 unit of activity. For this reaction, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of leaf ( $1 / 20$ diluted) or root ( $1 / 5$ diluted) extract was added to $590 \mu \mathrm{l} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ( pH 7.8 ), $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.5 mM xanthine and $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ cytochrome C. The reaction was started by the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ xanthine oxidase (XOD).
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ removal by cell wall bound and other peroxidases (POD) was analysed by measuring the oxidation of the chemical substrates syringaldazine and guaiacol. The activity of SPOD (EC 1.11.1.7) was determined at 530 nm in $33 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of supernatant incubated with $850 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris buffer (pH 7.5), $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $17 \mu \mathrm{l}$ syringaldazine. GPOD (EC 1.11.1.7) activity was measured at 436 nm by the addition of $50 \mu$ leaf or root ( $1 / 5$ diluted) supernatant and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 18 mM guaiacol to $750 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ( pH 7.0 ) and $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2}$.

Removal of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can also occur by catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) and was determined at 240 nm by adding $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ leaf or $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ root extract to respectively 780 or $730 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ( pH 7.0 ) and $170 \mu \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
The activity of glutathione reductase (GR, EC 1.6.4.2) was determined by the reduction of GSSG at 340 nm . Therefore, $150 \mu$ leaf or root extract was added to $815 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- 1 mM EDTA buffer ( pH 8.0 ), $17.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ GSSG and $17.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ NADPH.
Activities of all enzymes, except for SOD, were calculated according to the law of Lambert-Beer ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{SPOD}}=11.6 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{GPOD}}=25.5 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{GR}}=6.22 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $\varepsilon{ }_{\text {CAT }}=40 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and corrected for the fresh weight used and the dilutions made during the procedure.

### 3.7. Gene expression analysis

Frozen ( $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) plant tissue (less than 100 mg ) in 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes was ground thoroughly under frozen conditions using two stainless steel beads ( 2 mm diameter) in each sample and the Retsch Mixer Mill MM2000. RNA was extracted from the disrupted tissue using the miRVANA Total RNA Isolation or the RNAqueous Small Scale Phenol-Free Total RNA Isolation procedure (Ambion). The RNA concentration and purity were measured spectrophotometrically using the NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Isogen Life Sciences). The integrity of the RNA was checked with the Agilent2100 BioAnalyzer and RNA 6000 NanoChips (Agilent Technologies). Contaminating gDNA was removed by incubating $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the RNA sample in gDNA wipeout buffer at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min (QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit, Qiagen) or in TURBO DNase at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min (TURBO DNA-free kit, Ambion). First strand cDNA synthesis was performed using a combination of oligo(dT)primers and random hexamers according to the manufacturer's instructions using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) or the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Subsequently, the cDNA sample was diluted 10 -fold in $1 / 10$ TE-buffer ( 1 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 8.0). Quantitative PCR was performed with the 7500 Fast real-time PCR cycler (Applied Biosystems) and SYBR green chemistry. PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$, containing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cDNA sample, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Fast SYBR green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and 300 nM of each primer. Primer sequences
for reference genes were according to Remans et al. (2008). Other primers were designed using Primer Express v2.0 (Applied Biosystems). Specificity of the primers was checked in silico using TAIR BLAST (www.arabidopsis.org) and after qPCR by verifying the occurrence of single peaks on the melting curve. The amplification efficiencies of all primer sets were investigated by a 2 -fold serial dilution over 6 dilution points and were approved when they were greater than 80\%. Genes and primer sequences for RT-qPCR are listed in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2.: Primer sequences of reference genes and genes of interest.

| Locus | Gene | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference genes |  |  |  |
| At2g28390 | SAND family protein | AACTCTATGCAGCATTTGATCCACT | TGATTGCATATCTTTATCGCCATC |
| At4g26410 | expressed | GAGCTGAAGTGGCTTCCATGAC | GGTCCGACATACCCATGATCC |
| At4g34270 | TIP41-like | GTGAAAACTGTTGGAGAGAAGCAA | TCAACTGGATACCCTTTCGCA |
| At5g08290 | mitosis protein YSL8 | TTACTGTTTCGGTTGTTCTCCATTT | CACTGAATCATGTTCGAAGCAAGT |
| At5g15710 | F-box protein | TTTCGGCTGAGAGGTTCGAGT | GATTCCAAGACGTAAAGCAGATCAA |
| At5g25760 | UBC | CTGCGACTCAGGGAATCTTCTAA | TTGTGCCATTGAATTGAACCC |
| At5g55840 | PPR gene | AAGACAGTGAAGGTGCAACCTTACT | AGTTTTTGAGTTGTATTTGTCAGAGAAAG |
| At5g60390 | Ef1a | TGAGCACGCTCTTCTTGCTTTCA | GGTGGTGGCATCCATCTTGTTACA |
| Other genes |  |  |  |
| At1g55020 | LOX1 | TTGGCTAAGGCTTTTGTCGG | GTGGCAATCACAAACGGTTC |
| At3g45140 | LOX2 | TTTGCTCGCCAGACACTTG | GGGATCACCATAAACGGCC |
| At5g51060 | RBOHC | TCACCAGAGACTGGCACAATAAA | GATGCTCGACCTGAATGCTC |
| At5g47910 | RBOHD | AACTCTCCGCTGATtCCAACG | TGGTCAGCGAAGTCTTTAGATTCCT |
| At1g08830 | CSD1 | TCCATGCAGACCCTGATGAC | CCTGGAGACCAATGATGCC |
|  | hnCSD1 | ACTGTTGGAGATGATGGTATGCCT | GAGAGAGTAGCGAAATTTGATGCAA |
| At2g28190 | CSD2 | GAGCCTTTGTGGTTCACGAG | CACACCACATGCCAATCTCC |
|  | hnCSD2 | TCACTATGACTTAGGCTGCGATTG | GATGGTCCGAATTTGCGATTAA |
| At4g25100 | FSD1 | CTCCCAATGCTGTGAATCCC | TGGTCTTCGGTTCTGGAAGTC |
| At3g10920 | MSD1 | ATGTTTGGGAGCACGCCTAC | AACCTCGCTTGCATATTTCCA |
| At1g20630 | CAT1 | AAGTGCTTCATCGGGAAGGA | CTTCAACAAAACGCTTCACGA |
| At4g35090 | CAT2 | AACTCCTCCATGACCGTTGGA | TCCGTTCCCTGTCGAAATTG |
| At1g20620 | CAT3 | TCTCCAACAACATCTCTTCCCTCA | GTGAAATTAGCAACCTTCTCGATCA |
| At1g07890 | APX1 | TGCCACAAGGATAGGTCTGG | CCTTCCTTCTCTCCGCTCAA |
| At1g09000 | ANP1 | AAGAGAGGACACTGCTCGTGG | TTGCGTCTGTTGCTCTTGAAG |
| At3g25250 | OXI1 | CGATTATTGTCCGGGACAGA | CTAATACAAGCTCCGCCGC |
| At3g45640 | MPK3 | GACGTTTGACCCCAACAGAA | TGGCTTTTGACAGATTGGCTC |
| At2g43790 | MPK6 | TAAGTTCCCGACAGTGCATCC | GATGGGCCAATGCGTCTAA |
| At4g01250 | WRKY22 | AAACCCATCAAAGGTTCACCA | GGGTCGGATCTATTTCGCTC |
| At2g30250 | WRKY25 | GAAAGATCCGCAGCAGACG | TCCCAATAATTTCACGAGCG |
| At4g23550 | WRKY29 | CATGGGCGTGGCGTAAATA | TTGTTTTCTTGCCAAACACCC |
| At5g59820 | ZAT12 | GTGCGAGTCACAAGAAGCCTAACA | GCGACGACGTTTTCACCTTCTTCA |
| At5g44420 | PDF1. 2 | TTTGCTGCTTTCGACGCAC | GCATGCATTACTGTTTCCGCA |
| At2g03445 | pri-MIR398a | AGAAGAAGAGAAGAACAACAGGAGGTG | ATTAGTAAGGTGAAAAAATGG |
| At5g14545 | pri-MIR398b | AGTAATCAACGGCTGTAATGACGCTAC | TGACCTGAGAACACATGAAAACGAGAG |
| At5g14565 | pri-MIR398c | TCGAAACTCAAACTGTAACAGTCC | ATTTGGTAAATGAATAGAAGCCACGGGCCACG |
| At5g44070 | PCS1 | TGGTGTTGAATGCTCTTTCTATCG | GGTtCGCAGCAATCCAACAT |
| At1g07600 | MT1a | AACTGTGGATGTGGCTCCTC | CAGTTACAGTTTGACCCACAGC |
| At1g07610 | MT1c | GCATGGTCTCAAACCAAGGA | TACGCAACACAATGCCAAGT |
| At3g09390 | MT2a | ACCCTGACTTGGGATTCTCC | GCGTTGTTACTCTCCCCTGA |
| At5g02380 | MT2b | ACTCTTGTCCTCGGTGTTGC | TTGCACTTGCAGTCAGATCC |
| At3g15353 | MT3 | TCGACATCGTCGAGACTCAG | CACTTGCAATTTGCGTTGTT |

Relative quantities were calculated as $2^{-\Delta \mathrm{Cq}}$ and normalized to a normalisation factor based on the geometric mean of the expression level of multiple reference genes. The choice of reference genes used, was based on GeNorm (v3.4) and Normfinder (v0.953) reevaluation of the reference genes selected by Remans et al. (2008) for Cd and Cu exposure after 24h. The reference genes used, as well as the method of RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis used in each experiment is summarized in Table 3.3.

### 3.8. Clustering analysis of the gene expression data

Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed to identify coordinately regulated genes in time (during a specific treatment) using the GenEx Software. This analysis was based on the "Ward's algorithm", an anova based clustering analysis. The distances are calculated based on the centroid linkage and expressed as a Euclidian distance measurement.

### 3.9. Statistical analysis

The datasets were analyzed using the two-way ANOVA GLM procedure in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). When time-course measurements were performed, the two-way ANOVA was used to calculate statistical significance within each time point, since we did not compare the different time points with each other. The Tukey adjustment for multiple comparisons was applied to obtain corrected P-values. Normal distribution of the data was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Transformation $\left(\log (x), \sqrt{x}, e^{x}\right.$, $1 / x$ ) of the data was applied when necessary to approximate normality. Homoscedasticity of the data was evaluated by a residue plot.
Table 3.3: Summary of the method of RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis as well as the reference genes used in each experiment.

| Experiment | Chapter | RNA isolation | cDNA synthesis | Reference genes leaves | Reference genes roots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu/Cd kinetics | 4 | miRVANA Total RNA Isolation kit | QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit | Cu | Cu |
|  |  |  |  | At4g26410 | At2g28390 |
|  |  |  |  | At4g34270 | At4g34270 |
|  |  |  |  | At5925760 | At4926410 |
|  |  |  |  | At2g28390 | At5925760 |
|  |  |  |  | At5955840 | At5908290 |
|  |  |  |  | At5908290 |  |
|  |  |  |  | At5915710 |  |
|  |  |  |  | cd | cd |
|  |  |  |  | At2g28390 | At2g28390 |
|  |  |  |  | At5915710 | At5915710 |
|  |  |  |  | At5908290 | At5908290 |
| oxi1/Cu | 5 | mirvand Total RNA Isolation kit | QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit | At5008290 | At5908290 |
|  |  |  |  | At5915710 | At5915710 |
|  |  |  |  |  | At5925760 |
|  |  |  |  |  | At4934270 |
|  |  |  |  |  | At4g26410 |
| mpk6/Cu | 6 | RNAqueous Small Scale Phenol-Free | TURBO DNA-free kit | Hydroponics | Hydroponics |
|  |  | Total RNA Isolation kit | High-Capacity CDNA Reverse Transcription Kit | At2g28390 | At2g28390 |
|  |  |  |  | At5915710 | At5g15710 |
|  |  |  |  | At5960390 | At5960390 |
|  |  |  |  | VAPs |  |
|  |  |  |  | At2g28390 |  |
|  |  |  |  | At5915710 |  |
|  |  |  |  | At3g10920 |  |
| mpk6/Cd | 7 | RNAqueous Small Scale Phenol-Free | TURBO DNA-free kit | At5915710 | At5915710 |
|  |  | Total RNA Isolation kit | High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit | At5908290 | At5908290 |
|  |  |  |  | At2g28390 | At2g28390 |
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#### Abstract

Metals, like cadmium (Cd) and copper (Cu), have the ability to induce the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the cellular level. It is widely known that these ROS can cause irreversible damage to cellular components, like DNA, proteins and lipids. On the other hand, ROS can also act as signalling molecules and in this way they play an essential role in many normal physiological processes, but also in defence responses against stress. Reactive oxygen species signalling in plants uses mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways leading to the transcriptional control of target genes involved in the scavenging or production of ROS. Here, oxidative signalling induced by exposure to excess Cd or Cu was investigated in relation to anti-oxidative defence responses to these metals. Three-week old Arabidopsis thaliana plants were exposed to environmentally realistic concentrations of Cu and Cd and immediate responses were measured at the level of hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ content, lipid peroxidation and transcript levels of genes involved in ROS homeostasis and signalling. Our findings show immediate (after 2 h exposure) effects in the roots following Cu exposure, whereas effects in the leaves were generally more delayed. Effects of Cd exposure in leaves and roots were observed only after 24h exposure. On one hand, exposure of roots to Cu leads via activation of NADPH oxidases and Fenton reactions to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production that can induce MAPK and consequently oxylipin signalling to control the cellular redox status. On the other hand, conversion of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the more damaging hydroxyl radical by Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions can initiate lipid peroxidation leading to membrane damage. In roots exposed to elevated Cd concentrations only oxidative signalling was initiated, possibly via NADPH oxidase - mediated ROS production. In leaves, time-dependent activation of MAPK and oxylipin signalling was seen after exposure to both metals, Cu or Cd , independent of changes in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content.


### 4.1. Introduction

Toxic metals, like cadmium (Cd) and copper (Cu), are known to induce stress effects in plants (DalCorso et al. 2008, Yruela 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Cadmium is a non-essential element for plants and therefore toxic, even at low concentrations. In contrast, Cu is an essential trace element for the plant but can also become toxic for plants when locally present at increased concentrations, for example in polluted environments.

At the cellular level, both Cd and Cu may induce oxidative stress (Cuypers et al. 2009, Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Oxidative stress is a disturbance of the cellular redox status in favour of the pro-oxidants and is often occurring in stress conditions (Mittler 2002). Copper is a redox-active metal that can produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) directly via Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000). Cadmium on the other hand, is not redox-active and causes oxidative stress via indirect mechanisms, like interactions with enzymes of the antioxidative defence system (Das et al. 1997, Cuypers et al. 2009). Even though excessive ROS production is linked to stress conditions, controlled levels of ROS are also essential for normal cell metabolism, which requires fine-tuning of subcellular ROS levels by the antioxidative defence system of the cell, consisting of enzymes and metabolites (Mittler et al. 2004, Halliwell 2006). For example, hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ acts as a signalling molecule in mediating defence responses against biotic and abiotic stresses (Torres and Dangl 2005) but has also a role during normal cell growth and development (Foreman et al. 2003). As a signalling molecule, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can modulate the activities of many components in cell signalling, such as protein phosphatases, protein kinases and transcription factors (Miller et al. 2008, Quan et al. 2008). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is involved in the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK) Arabidopsis NPK1-like protein kinase 1 (ANP1) and the serine/threonine kinase Oxidative signal-inducible 1 (OXI1) (Suzuki et al. 1999, Kovtun et al. 2000, Rentel et al. 2004). Both kinases induce a phosphorylation cascade involving two stress-responsive mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) MPK3 and MPK6 (Kovtun et al. 2000, Rentel et al. 2004). MAPK cascades have the possibility to regulate gene transcription by activation or repression of transcription factors (Colcombet and Hirt 2008). From MPK3 and MPK6, it is known that activation of these MAPKs in Arabidopsis leads to induction of the transcription factors

WRKY22 and WRKY29 (Asai et al. 2002). WRKY proteins bind to W-box DNA elements (containing a TGAC core sequence) found in the promoters of many defence-related genes (Pandey and Somssich 2009). WRKY25 is another transcription factor of the WRKY family and studies point out a role for WRKY25 in the modulation of gene transcription during heat stress or in plant defence against pathogenic bacteria like Pseudomonas syringae (Zheng et al. 2007, Li et al. 2009). The zinc-finger protein ZAT12 is a transcription factor in Arabidopsis that in response to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ results in the enhanced expression of oxidative stressand light stress-responsive transcripts, one of them being Ascorbate peroxidase 1 (APX1). Therefore it can be suggested that ZAT12 plays a central role in reactive oxygen and abiotic stress signalling in Arabidopsis (Davletova et al. 2005a,b).

Several authors reported the involvement of MAPK signalling in case of metal stress. In Medicago sativa roots as well as in rice roots, the orthologues of Arabidopsis MPK3 and MPK6, SAMK/SIMK and OsMPK3/MPK6 respectively, were activated after exposure to excess Cd or Cu ions (Jonak et al. 2004, Yeh et al. 2007). They proposed that Cd and Cu both induce MAPKs via ROS generation, but make use of distinct signalling pathways depending on the type of ROS generated. In addition, Wang et al. (2010) demonstrated in Zea mays that ZmMPK3 transcript levels are induced after exposure to high concentrations $(500 \mu \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$. In Arabidopsis, it was proven that MPK3 and MPK6 are activated in response to short-term exposure (less than 1 h ) to $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ concentrations as low as $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, via the accumulation of ROS (Liu et al. 2010). However, little is known about the exact signalling pathways and the downstream targets of these pathways operating in plants under metal stress.
Since Cu and Cd are known to induce ROS production and the above-mentioned MAPK cascades can be activated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, we hypothesize that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and MAPK cascades are also induced by plant exposure to Cu and Cd and that they are involved in the subsequent stress. Therefore, the aim of this study was (1) to examine the responses of known MAPK cascades under metal stress and (2) to relate their behaviour to the redox status of the cell. The effects of a short-term exposure (24h) to sublethal Cd and Cu concentrations on the redox status of the cell in Arabidopsis thaliana were described earlier (Smeets et al. 2009; Cuypers
et al. 2011a). Therefore a kinetic experimental set-up was conducted immediately after the onset of the exposure.

### 4.2. Results

### 4.2.1. Exposure of Arabidopsis to excess Cu affects root weight

Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings were grown on hydroponics for three weeks and subsequently exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO} 4$ during 2, 4, 6 or 24 h . Plants exposed for 24 h to Cu showed a significantly lower root fresh weight than control plants, as well as a decreased rosette weight, although not statistically significant (Figure 4.1.). When the roots were dried for a couple of days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the dry weight was analyzed, root weight of control and Cu-exposed plants showed no differences (data not shown). In contrast, exposure of $A$. thaliana to Cd did not cause any changes in leaf or root weight.


Figure 4.1.: Leaf and root weight, relative to the nonexposed plants (=100\%), of 3week old Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ or $5 \mu M \mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 24 h . Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 20 biologically independent replicates (significance level: ***: $p<0.001$ ).

### 4.2.2. Exposure of Arabidopsis to excess Cu increases $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels and induces lipid peroxidation

No significant differences in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content were found between leaves of control and Cu - or Cd -exposed plants, although an increasing trend in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content was observed in the leaves after 24 h exposure to Cu or Cd (data not shown). However, in roots of Cu-exposed plants, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels seem to be enhanced after already 2 h of exposure (Figure 4.2.A). In Cd-exposed roots, no significant differences in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels were seen, although an increasing trend could be
observed after 24 h exposure to Cd (Figure 4.2.A). When the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ contents over all time points were considered in global, the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ contents of Cu -exposed plants were significantly higher than the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ contents of non-exposed ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.0001 ) or Cd-exposed roots ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0017$ ) (Figure 4.2.A).

Lipid peroxidation was determined in leaves and roots of Cu - and Cd -exposed plants by determining thiobarbituric acid reactive metabolites (TBArm). In leaves of Cu - and Cd-exposed plants, no differences in lipid peroxidation were detected (data not shown). In contrast, a significant increase in TBArm was observed in roots after already 2 h exposure to Cu (Figure 4.2.B). This lipid peroxidation reached its maximum after 4 h and then stabilized at this level for the remaining experimental period. In roots of Cd-exposed Arabidopsis seedlings, a transient but not statistically significant increase in lipid peroxidation was observed at 6h exposure. For the remaining of the experimental period, TBArm levels were equal at the control level (Figure 4.2.B).


Figure 4.2.: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration $(A)$ and lipid peroxidation $(B)$, relative to the nonexposed plants $(=100 \%)$, in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{MCdSO} 4$ during $0,2,4,6$ and 24 h . Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance level: ***: $p<0.001$ ).

### 4.2.3. Expression of oxidative stress and signalling-related genes in Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to excess Cu or Cd

Transcript levels of ROS-producing enzymes (lipoxygenases, NADPH oxidases), antioxidative defence enzymes (superoxide dismutases, ascorbate peroxidase, catalases) and signalling components (MAPkinases, transcription factors) were determined in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis seedlings under control conditions (Table 4.1.) or exposed to Cu or Cd (Table 4.2. and 4.3.). In general, analysis of
gene expression of non-exposed plants in time revealed significant circadian changes in gene expression, especially in the leaves. Significant responses were already observed from 2 h in roots of Cu -exposed plants, whereas in leaves of Cu-exposed plants, delayed responses were observed. In roots and leaves of Cdexposed plants significant responses were observed only after 24 h .
4.2.3.1. Exposure of Arabidopsis to excess Cu or Cd changes gene expression of ROS-producing enzymes in a time-dependent manner

In roots of Cu-exposed plants (Table 4.2.), gene expression of the lipoxygenase 1 (LOX1) was significantly increased after 4 h , increased 30 -fold after 6 h and remained elevated after 24 h . Also exposure to Cd resulted in an almost 10 -fold increase of LOX1 expression, but in contrast to the fast response to Cu , in case of Cd a response was observed only after 24h. The NADPH oxidases RBOHC and RBOHD in roots showed significantly increased gene expressions at 2, 4 and 6 h after starting exposure to Cu . However, after 24 h exposure to Cu , the RBOHC expression was reduced and was even significantly lower as compared to control plants. In contrast, after 24 h , gene expression of $R B O H D$ was still 10 times higher in Cu-exposed plants. In roots of Cd-exposed plants, a significant induction of gene expression was only observed after 24 h exposure for RBOHD. In leaves of Cu-exposed plants, expression of the lipoxygenase 2 (LOX2) gene was initially significantly reduced after exposure but a significant increase was observed from 6 h on (Table 4.3.). An increase in LOX2 expression was also observed in Cd-exposed plants but this was only seen after 24 h . With regard to the NADPH oxidases, only RBOHD expression in leaves was significantly increased after 6 and 24 h exposure to Cu , whereas both RBOHC and RBOHD were significantly upregulated after 24 h exposure to Cd .
Table 4.1.: Transcript levels of ROS-producing, antioxidative and signalling enzymes, and transcription factors relative to the nonexposed plants at time point $0(=1)$, in roots and leaves of 3 -week-old non-exposed A. thaliana plants. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of

at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance levels: downregulation: $|\mathrm{p}<0.05| \mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulation: | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | .

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEAVES |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | 0 h | 2 h | 4 h | 6 h | 24 h | 0 h | 2 h | 4 h | 6 h | 24 h |  |  |  |  |
| LOX1/2 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.09 \pm 0.06$ | $1.14 \pm 0.16$ | $1.19 \pm 0.16$ | $1.10 \pm 0.19$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $3.59 \pm 0.58$ | $6.76 \pm 1.76$ | $4.41 \pm 0.82$ | $0.96 \pm 0.08$ |  |  |  |  |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.76 \pm 0.03$ | $0.57 \pm 0.06$ | $0.74 \pm 0.10$ | $0.98 \pm 0.09$ | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | $0.99 \pm 0.29$ | $0.49 \pm 0.11$ | $0.88 \pm 0.31$ | $0.89 \pm 0.09$ |  |  |  |  |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.84 \pm 0.09$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $0.92 \pm 0.19$ | $1.22 \pm 0.17$ | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | $0.62 \pm 0.04$ | $0.51 \pm 0.05$ | $0.78 \pm 0.06$ |  |  |  |  |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $0.99 \pm 0.05$ | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.30 \pm 0.04$ | $1.25 \pm 0.10$ | $1.22 \pm 0.05$ | $0.78 \pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $0.82 \pm 0.02$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $0.94 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.99 \pm 0.10$ | $0.94 \pm 0.09$ | $1.10 \pm 0.14$ |  |  |  |  |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.13 \pm 0.13$ | $1.49 \pm 0.29$ | $1.52 \pm 0.30$ | $2.56 \pm 0.42$ | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.50 \pm 0.05$ | $0.47 \pm 0.06$ | $0.37 \pm 0.05$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ |  |  |  |  |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.99 \pm 0.03$ | $0.80 \pm 0.06$ | $0.86 \pm 0.07$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.03 \pm 0.06$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $1.16 \pm 0.09$ |  |  |  |  |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.24 \pm 0.11$ | $1.67 \pm 0.07$ | $2.37 \pm 0.27$ | $0.86 \pm 0.08$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.65 \pm 0.14$ | $4.15 \pm 0.37$ | $4.87 \pm 0.35$ | $0.92 \pm 0.02$ |  |  |  |  |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.91 \pm 0.05$ | $0.73 \pm 0.10$ | $0.82 \pm 0.12$ | $0.75 \pm 0.09$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.03$ | $0.17 \pm 0.01$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.93 \pm 0.04$ |  |  |  |  |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.23 \pm 0.13$ | $1.40 \pm 0.16$ | $1.92 \pm 0.43$ | $1.04 \pm 0.21$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $2.14 \pm 0.13$ | $5.38 \pm 0.44$ | $7.22 \pm 0.36$ | $0.89 \pm 0.03$ |  |  |  |  |
| ANP1 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.11 \pm 0.05$ | $0.84 \pm 0.02$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $0.81 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.30 \pm 0.07$ | $1.17 \pm 0.07$ | $1.37 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ |  |  |  |  |
| OXI1 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.74 \pm 0.04$ | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ | $0.53 \pm 0.07$ | $0.74 \pm 0.05$ | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $0.53 \pm 0.06$ | $0.40 \pm 0.05$ | $0.47 \pm 0.07$ | $0.83 \pm 0.06$ |  |  |  |  |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.99 \pm 0.11$ | $1.15 \pm 0.08$ | $1.23 \pm 0.11$ | $1.07 \pm 0.11$ | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $0.81 \pm 0.08$ | $0.85 \pm 0.05$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ |  |  |  |  |
| MPK6 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.05$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $0.98 \pm 0.05$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.94 \pm 0.04$ | $0.89 \pm 0.03$ | $0.86 \pm 0.02$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ | $0.77 \pm 0.10$ | $0.97 \pm 0.12$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $0.52 \pm 0.04$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $0.87 \pm 0.04$ |  |  |  |  |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.19 \pm 0.08$ | $1.26 \pm 0.07$ | $1.37 \pm 0.05$ | $1.32 \pm 0.14$ | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ | $1.61 \pm 0.12$ | $1.52 \pm 0.15$ | $1.77 \pm 0.26$ | $0.83 \pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| WRKY29 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $1.34 \pm 0.09$ | $1.64 \pm 0.13$ | $1.59 \pm 0.26$ | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ | $2.16 \pm 0.16$ | $2.32 \pm 0.18$ | $2.38 \pm 0.12$ | $0.92 \pm 0.10$ |  |  |  |  |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $0.78 \pm 0.11$ | $0.41 \pm 0.07$ | $0.46 \pm 0.11$ | $0.72 \pm 0.14$ | $1.00 \pm 0.28$ | $0.51 \pm 0.10$ | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ | $0.26 \pm 0.06$ | $0.72 \pm 0.33$ |  |  |  |  |

4.2.3.2. Exposure of Arabidopsis to excess Cu or Cd induces time-dependent and metal-specific changes in gene expression of antioxidative enzymes When studying the gene transcripts of the antioxidative enzymes in roots (Table 4.2.), different responses to Cu and Cd were observed. Expression of the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutases CSD1 and CSD2 was already elevated after 2h exposure to Cu . In contrast, gene expression of both, CSD1 and CSD2, was diminished at 24 h in Cd-exposed plants. The gene expression of the Fe superoxide dismutase FSD1 showed also different responses after exposure to Cu or Cd ; Cu exposure reduced the FSD1 expression from 6h exposure onwards, leaving almost no expression at 24 h , while Cd caused increased FSD1 transcript levels after 24 h exposure. Gene expression of the ascorbate peroxidase APX1 was induced at early time points after Cu exposure whereas Cd -exposed roots showed no differences in APX1 transcript levels. When exposed to Cu , gene expression of catalase isozymes is differently regulated. Expression of CAT1 is upregulated after Cu exposure, whereas CAT2 and CAT3 expression is inhibited. In case of Cd exposure, only CAT1 showed a significant increase at 24 h .

In leaves (Table 4.3.), expression of CSD1 and CSD2 was significantly upregulated after 6 and 24 h exposure to Cu . Gene expression of FSD1 was significantly reduced after 6 and 24 h . In Cd-exposed plants, no significant differences in expression of these genes were observed. Gene expression of APX1 was not altered by exposure to Cu or Cd. With regard to the catalases, CAT3 showed an increased expression after 24 h exposure to Cu . In leaves of plants exposed to Cd for 24h, CAT2 transcript levels were reduced, while expression of CAT3 was increased.
4.2.3.3. Exposure of Arabidopsis to excess Cu or Cd induces time-dependent alterations in gene expression of enzymes involved in ROS signalling
In order to gather more information concerning the signalling involved in stress responses in A. thaliana after metal stress, the gene expression of certain MAPK cascade components and transcription factors was measured.

In roots (Table 4.2.) of Cu-exposed Arabidopsis seedlings, gene expression of the protein kinase OXI1, MAPkinase MPK3, and transcription factors WRKY22, WRKY25, WRKY29 and ZAT12 showed a significant upregulation from the first measurement (2h) after the start of the exposure. After 24 h , gene expression
levels were lower than the values observed during the first hours after the start of the exposure, but remained elevated in comparison to control plants. A transient increase in MAPkinase MPK6 transcript level was observed after 6h Cu exposure. ANP1 expression showed initially a reduction but was elevated after 6 and 24 h exposure to Cu . Cadmium exposure led to a significant increase in gene expression of all kinase and transcription factor genes measured, except for WRKY22, and in contrast to Cu exposure this was observed only after 24 h .

Table 4.2.: Transcript levels of ROS-producing, antioxidative and signalling enzymes, and transcription factors relative to the non-exposed plants $(=1)$ at their respective time points, in roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance levels: downregulation: \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.001$; <br>
\hline

 upregulation: 

\hline $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2h |  | 4h |  | 6h |  | 24h |  |
| Gene | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd |
| LOX1 | $1.79 \pm 0.07$ | $0.84 \pm 0.14$ | $21.4 \pm 2.03$ | $0.79 \pm 0.09$ | $33.7 \pm 4.30$ | $1.51 \pm 0.35$ | $38.8 \pm 4.49$ | $9.13 \pm 2.05$ |
| RBOHC | $2.22 \pm 0.22$ | $0.91 \pm 0.05$ | $5.73 \pm 0.47$ | $1.13 \pm 0.05$ | $3.87 \pm 0.40$ | $1.14 \pm 0.13$ | $0.46 \pm 0.03$ | $0.94 \pm 0.08$ |
| RBOHD | $9.26 \pm 0.93$ | $1.29 \pm 0.21$ | $12.2 \pm 1.02$ | $1.10 \pm 0.12$ | $11.5 \pm 2.28$ | $1.24 \pm 0.30$ | $10.2 \pm 0.77$ | $2.27 \pm 0.28$ |
| CSD1 | $1.67 \pm 0.06$ | $0.98 \pm 0.06$ | $2.02 \pm 0.14$ | $1.10 \pm 0.05$ | $2.17 \pm 0.09$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $2.90 \pm 0.22$ | $0.47 \pm 0.04$ |
| CSD2 | $1.21 \pm 0.05$ | $1.09 \pm 0.02$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.96 \pm 0.09$ | $1.22 \pm 0.01$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $2.15 \pm 0.23$ | $0.43 \pm 0.04$ |
| FSD1 | $0.92 \pm 0.20$ | $1.19 \pm 0.22$ | $0.83 \pm 0.12$ | $0.88 \pm 0.10$ | $0.32 \pm 0.06$ | $1.30 \pm 0.29$ | $0.012 \pm 0.005$ | $4.24 \pm 0.33$ |
| APX1 | $1.28 \pm 0.07$ | $0.92 \pm 0.02$ | $1.73 \pm 0.10$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $1.41 \pm 0.14$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT1 | $1.53 \pm 0.18$ | $1.08 \pm 0.04$ | $2.57 \pm 0.05$ | $1.10 \pm 0.07$ | $3.74 \pm 0.49$ | $1.16 \pm 0.14$ | $16.6 \pm 1.99$ | $3.43 \pm 0.54$ |
| CAT2 | $0.33 \pm 0.03$ | $1.02 \pm 0.10$ | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | $0.43 \pm 0.02$ | $0.81 \pm 0.05$ | $0.34 \pm 0.07$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT3 | $0.32 \pm 0.06$ | $1.75 \pm 0.15$ | $0.41 \pm 0.04$ | $1.23 \pm 0.17$ | $0.60 \pm 0.06$ | $1.08 \pm 0.16$ | $1.42 \pm 0.27$ | $1.65 \pm 0.21$ |
| ANP1 | $0.63 \pm 0.03$ | $1.12 \pm 0.06$ | $1.34 \pm 0.09$ | $1.34 \pm 0.07$ | $1.86 \pm 0.12$ | $1.19 \pm 0.07$ | $2.01 \pm 0.19$ | $1.32 \pm 0.06$ |
| OXI1 | $36.5 \pm 2.61$ | $0.92 \pm 0.17$ | $54.3 \pm 4.37$ | $1.08 \pm 0.04$ | $42.6 \pm 6.90$ | $1.09 \pm 0.20$ | $15.8 \pm 0.78$ | $3.36 \pm 0.42$ |
| MPK3 | $6.78 \pm 0.73$ | $1.23 \pm 0.15$ | $3.39 \pm 0.21$ | $1.17 \pm 0.11$ | $2.22 \pm 0.08$ | $1.38 \pm 0.17$ | $2.78 \pm 0.29$ | $2.56 \pm 0.18$ |
| MPK6 | $1.23 \pm 0.06$ | $0.89 \pm 0.06$ | $1.22 \pm 0.06$ | $1.04 \pm 0.05$ | $1.50 \pm 0.15$ | $1.07 \pm 0.03$ | $1.33 \pm 0.04$ | $1.17 \pm 0.03$ |
| WRKY22 | $2.32 \pm 0.28$ | $1.06 \pm 0.09$ | $2.17 \pm 0.08$ | $0.83 \pm 0.07$ | $2.47 \pm 0.27$ | $0.99 \pm 0.07$ | $2.14 \pm 0.38$ | $0.80 \pm 0.03$ |
| WRKY25 | $5.11 \pm 0.49$ | $0.93 \pm 0.08$ | $4.83 \pm 0.32$ | $1.18 \pm 0.08$ | $4.30 \pm 0.24$ | $1.30 \pm 0.15$ | $2.40 \pm 0.13$ | $1.87 \pm 0.19$ |
| WRKY29 | $3.06 \pm 0.31$ | $0.79 \pm 0.09$ | $3.06 \pm 0.17$ | $0.99 \pm 0.13$ | $3.61 \pm 0.15$ | $1.16 \pm 0.14$ | $2.14 \pm 0.28$ | $2.01 \pm 0.09$ |
| ZAT12 | $370 \pm 51$ | $0.75 \pm 0.13$ | $948 \pm 203$ | $1.25 \pm 0.19$ | $1447 \pm 201$ | $1.24 \pm 0.25$ | $88 \pm 11$ | $10.2 \pm 3.01$ |
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Table 4.3.: Transcript levels of ROS-producing, antioxidative and signalling enzymes, and transcription factors relative to the non-exposed plants ( $=1$ ) at their respective time points, in leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{MCuSO} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance levels: downregulation: $\quad$\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ <br>
\hline

 upregulation: 

$\mathrm{p}<0.05$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ \& $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).
\end{tabular}

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2h |  | 4h |  | 6h |  | 24h |  |
| Gene | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd | Cu | Cd |
| LOX2 | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $0.88 \pm 0.02$ | $0.52 \pm 0.15$ | $1.12 \pm 0.06$ | $2.83 \pm 0.28$ | $1.07 \pm 0.09$ | $9.89 \pm 1.16$ | $3.55 \pm 0.70$ |
| RBOHC | $0.59 \pm 0.30$ | $1.12 \pm 0.43$ | $0.82 \pm 0.41$ | $0.57 \pm 0.21$ | $0.42 \pm 0.12$ | $0.64 \pm 0.14$ | $1.84 \pm 0.95$ | $9.58 \pm 2.81$ |
| RBOHD | $1.18 \pm 0.09$ | $0.87 \pm 0.04$ | $1.01 \pm 0.27$ | $1.09 \pm 0.04$ | $2.08 \pm 0.32$ | $1.17 \pm 0.12$ | $3.27 \pm 0.46$ | $1.77 \pm 0.07$ |
| CSD1 | $1.03 \pm 0.05$ | $0.89 \pm 0.06$ | $0.81 \pm 0.11$ | $1.06 \pm 0.14$ | $1.44 \pm 0.12$ | $0.87 \pm 0.12$ | $4.38 \pm 0.16$ | $0.81 \pm 0.16$ |
| CSD2 | $1.10 \pm 0.11$ | $0.88 \pm 0.02$ | $0.76 \pm 0.12$ | $1.12 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.10$ | $1.07 \pm 0.09$ | $1.73 \pm 0.04$ | $3.55 \pm 0.70$ |
| FSD1 | $0.69 \pm 0.13$ | $1.25 \pm 0.21$ | $0.76 \pm 0.13$ | $0.80 \pm 0.21$ | $0.42 \pm 0.06$ | $1.48 \pm 0.35$ | $0.023 \pm 0.003$ | $1.03 \pm 0.18$ |
| APX1 | $0.93 \pm 0.10$ | $0.97 \pm 0.05$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $1.02 \pm 0.09$ | $0.94 \pm 0.14$ | $1.18 \pm 0.03$ | $1.13 \pm 0.07$ |
| CAT1 | $0.78 \pm 0.09$ | $1.23 \pm 0.06$ | $0.95 \pm 0.05$ | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $0.84 \pm 0.06$ | $1.10 \pm 0.10$ | $0.89 \pm 0.05$ | $1.30 \pm 0.13$ |
| CAT2 | $0.87 \pm 0.06$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $0.74 \pm 0.05$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $0.70 \pm 0.04$ | $1.03 \pm 0.06$ | $0.81 \pm 0.06$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT3 | $0.68 \pm 0.07$ | $1.14 \pm 0.05$ | $0.80 \pm 0.08$ | $1.02 \pm 0.03$ | $1.22 \pm 0.12$ | $1.43 \pm 0.30$ | $2.80 \pm 0.35$ | $1.48 \pm 0.21$ |
| ANP1 | $0.83 \pm 0.09$ | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ | $1.01 \pm 0.06$ | $1.04 \pm 0.05$ | $0.98 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.32 \pm 0.05$ | $1.41 \pm 0.08$ |
| OXI1 | $3.12 \pm 0.81$ | $0.78 \pm 0.10$ | $1.21 \pm 0.55$ | $0.92 \pm 0.16$ | $1.79 \pm 0.38$ | $0.63 \pm 0.07$ | $3.46 \pm 0.67$ | $21.3 \pm 2.83$ |
| MPK3 | $2.22 \pm 0.36$ | $1.02 \pm 0.06$ | $1.51 \pm 0.13$ | $1.12 \pm 0.10$ | $1.46 \pm 0.08$ | $1.29 \pm 0.06$ | $2.37 \pm 0.14$ | $2.68 \pm 0.42$ |
| MPK6 | $0.93 \pm 0.08$ | $0.92 \pm 0.04$ | $0.85 \pm 0.10$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $1.08 \pm 0.11$ | $1.07 \pm 0.01$ | $1.32 \pm 0.12$ | $1.27 \pm 0.12$ |
| WRKY22 | $3.08 \pm 0.36$ | $0.89 \pm 0.05$ | $0.53 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $0.83 \pm 0.04$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ |
| WRKY25 | $2.17 \pm 0.42$ | $1.08 \pm 0.10$ | $1.18 \pm 0.14$ | $1.04 \pm 0.16$ | $1.41 \pm 0.19$ | $1.30 \pm 0.03$ | $2.25 \pm 0.17$ | $5.72 \pm 0.61$ |
| WRKY29 | $1.08 \pm 0.19$ | $0.83 \pm 0.03$ | $0.74 \pm 0.07$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ | $0.63 \pm 0.07$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $0.68 \pm 0.09$ | $1.14 \pm 0.04$ |
| ZAT12 | $0.49 \pm 0.33$ | $0.42 \pm 0.05$ | $1.25 \pm 0.69$ | $0.95 \pm 0.31$ | $1.52 \pm 0.32$ | $1.99 \pm 0.64$ | $4.47 \pm 1.11$ | $18.3 \pm 3.64$ |

In leaves (Table 4.3.), the expression of some signalling genes showed a biphasic response in plants exposed to Cu . The OXI1 expression was elevated after 2 h of exposure, returned to the control level after 4 h and increased again after 24 h . With regard to the downstream MAPKinases, MPK3 showed a significant increase after 2 and 24h exposure to Cu similarly to OXI1, whereas gene expression of MPK6 did not change after exposure to Cu . Gene expression of the transcription factor WRKY25 was also elevated after 2 and 24 h exposure to Cu . An immediate, but transient rise in the transcript level of WRKY22 was noticed in Cu-exposed plants, whereas a decreasing trend of WRKY29 expression was observed at 4,6 and 24 h exposure to Cu . After Cd exposure, the OXI1
expression was significantly increased after 24 h concomitant with an increased gene expression of MPK3 and MPK6. Whereas no induction of WRKY22/29 was observed in Cd-exposed plants, WRKY25 and ZAT12 showed a significant induction in gene expression after 24 h .

Gene clustering analysis using GenEx Software, which searches for similar expression patterns or more specific coregulation of genes, was performed on the gene expression results of Cu - and Cd -exposed roots and leaves (Figure 4.3.). Genes placed close together in a cluster, are predicted to have a similar gene expression pattern. A predicted cluster, seen in Cu - and Cd -exposed roots as well as in Cd-exposed leaves, was the cluster between OXI1, ZAT12 and RBOHD. In Cu-exposed leaves, OXI1 formed a cluster with only RBOHD while ZAT12 seems to be clustered to $R B O H C$. Other clusters that are worth mentioning are the CSD1/CSD2 and the WRKY25/MPK3 clusters seen in Cu- and Cd-exposed roots and leaves.
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Figure 4.3.: Gene expression clusters predicting possible coregulation of genes in respectively Cu and Cd -exposed leaves ( $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{- C}$ ) and roots (B-D). Red, green and blue boxes indicate respectively pro-oxidative, antioxidative or signalling genes.

## 4. Discussion

Exposure of plants to toxic concentrations of Cd and Cu leads to physiological defects like disturbances in growth (Cuypers et al. 2009). In our experiment, the immediate effect of Cu on growth caused already after 24 h an almost $50 \%$ difference in root fresh weight as compared to control plants (Figure 4.1.). However, dry root weight didn't differ between control and Cu-exposed plants. Therefore, the reduction in root fresh weight after Cu exposure seems to be caused by water loss from the root rather than by alterations in root growth processes. In contrast, 24 h exposure to Cd did not have any effect on leaf or root weight (Figure 4.1.). These responses are in accordance with earlier results on Arabidopsis thaliana (Smeets et al. 2008a, 2009).
At the cellular level, exposure of plants to elevated Cu and Cd concentrations induces oxidative stress (Smeets et al. 2005, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Metalinduced ROS production can be caused by Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000) or by activation of ROS-producing enzymes, like NADPH oxidases (Figure 4.4.) (Olmos et al. 2003, Garnier et al. 2006, Heyno et al. 2008). ROS
can then activate MAPK cascades, like OXI1-MPK3/6 (Figure 4.4.). MAPK cascades are responsible for the regulation of transcription factors (WRKY22/25/29, ZAT12), which can modulate antioxidative responses of the plant to metal stress (Figure 4.4.). In this study, responses of known signalling components were examined after disturbance of the redox balance by shortterm exposure to low concentrations of Cu and Cd , using real-time PCR. Here, it is important to mention that leaf transcript levels of non-exposed Arabidopsis plants varied significantly in time for almost all genes investigated in this study (Table 4.1.). This knowledge is particularly useful when interpreting results of gene expression analysis. Consequently, a so-called up- or downregulation in gene expression after metal exposure can be either due to time-dependent variations in gene expression, rather than to metal-induced changes. Therefore, it is essential to investigate control samples alongside samples from exposed plants at all time points, and to express the results of metal-exposed plants relative to the gene expression levels in non-exposed plants at the same time point.

The disturbance of the cellular redox balance after Cu exposure is reflected by the increased $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels in the roots (Figure 4.2.A). This corresponds to the immediate Cu -induced upregulation of the gene expression of ROS-producing NADPH oxidases (RBOHC and D), while at the same time gene expression of the different CAT-isoforms after Cu exposure seems to be balanced (upregulation of CAT1, downregulation of CAT2/3) (Table 4.2). In addition, Cuypers et al. (2011a) showed that the activity of catalase is not changed in roots of $A$. thaliana after 24 h exposure to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$. Consequently, the produced $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ cannot be neutralized by catalases and is available for Haber-Weiss and Fenton reactions. In this way $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ formed by the dismutation of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$, produced by NADPH oxidases (Torres and Dang 2005), can be converted into the more reactive hydroxyl radical causing membrane damage, that is reflected in the immediate lipid peroxidation (Figure 4.2.B) occurring in Cu-exposed roots (Figure 4.4.). In leaves of Arabidopsis plants exposed to either Cu or Cd , no significant changes in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content were found, although an increasing trend was seen for both metals after 24 h exposure. The difference in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ response between Cd - or Cu - exposed roots was earlier seen in roots of alfalfa seedlings exposed to Cd or Hg (Ortega-Villasante et al. 2007), and suggests that redox-
active metals trigger ROS production faster than non redox-active. However in other plant species, increases of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content in roots were seen at 48 h after the start of Cu exposure in Lemna minor (Panda 2008) and already 6h after the onset of Cd exposure in Pinus sylvestris (Schützendübel et al. 2001). It is clear that in order to make comparisons between plant responses to different metals, similar experimental conditions should be used. In leaves of Arabidopsis and other plant species exposed to Cu and Cd , increases in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content have also been observed (Arabidopsis: Maksymiec and Krupa (2006); Pisum sativum: Rodriguez-Serrano et al. (2009); Plagomnium cuspidatum: Wu et al. (2009)). However, the concentrations of Cd and Cu and/or the exposure times used in these studies were much higher/longer in comparison to our study.
Whereas lipid peroxidation might be a direct consequence of Cu -induced ROS production, lipoxygenases can also initiate this process (Figure 4.4.). Lipoxygenases are enzymes that catalyze oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids into lipid hydroperoxides, which are involved in responses to different stresses (Skorzynska-Polit et al. 2006). In our study, this is reflected in the elevated LOX1 and LOX2 gene expression after Cu exposure (Table 4.2. and 4.3). Cd-exposed plants did not show any changes in lipid peroxidation, although lipoxygenase transcript levels were upregulated after 24 h Cd exposure. Earlier work in our group reported an increase in lipid peroxidation in roots of Arabidopsis seedlings after 24h exposure to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). The changes in lipoxygenase gene expression that did not lead to measurable membrane damage may be involved in altered oxylipin signalling after exposure of plants to Cd or excess Cu (Remans et al. 2010). To cope with these disturbances in cellular redox state, the antioxidant defence system was induced in the roots of Arabidopsis seedlings immediately after Cu exposure (Table 4.2.), whereas responses were only observed after 24 h in case of Cd exposure. This can be explained by the different redox behaviour of both metals, with a delay in indirect induction of ROS by Cd compared to direct ROS production by Cu . To activate gene expression of ROS-producing or antioxidative enzymes, signalling via MAPkinases or other signalling compounds within the plant cell is needed (Figure 4.4.) (Mittler et al. 2004). For this purpose, gene expression of protein kinases (OXI1, ANP1, MPK3, MPK6) and transcription factors (WRKY22, WRKY25, WRKY29, ZAT12), known to play a role in abiotic
stress responses (Suzuki et al. 1999, Kovtun et al. 2000, Asai et al. 2002, Rentel et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005a,b, Zheng et al. 2007, Li et al. 2009), was measured in Arabidopsis plants after exposure to Cu and Cd .


Figure 4.4.: Overview of ROS signalling in metal stress. In Cu -exposed roots, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can be produced via an induction of NADPH oxidases or Fenton reactions. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can act as a signalling molecule, thereby activating the OXI1-MAPK cascade leading to gene regulation of pro- and antioxidative enzymes. The balance between these pro-and antioxidants and the specific signalling cascades induced determine the outcome of this pathway. On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can give rise to harmful hydroxyl radicals ( $\mathrm{OH}^{\circ}$ ), which induce lipid peroxidation of cell membranes, leading to irreversible membrane damage, a condition that can be enhanced by the Cu-induced lipoxygenase (LOX) activity. Cd exposure of roots leads only after 24 h exposure to an induction of NADPH oxidases (RBOHD) and consequently a slight increase in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production followed by the delayed induction of gene regulation via MAPK signalling. Although Cd exposure induces lipoxygenases, no lipid peroxidation is seen suggesting an interaction between lipoxygenases and MAPK cascades via an altered oxylipin signalling. In the leaves of Cu - and Cd -exposed plants, signalling via OXI1-MAPK cascades and LOX is induced in a time-dependent manner. Cu is responsible for a fast (2h) as well as a delayed (24h) induction of the OXI1-cascade with the delayed induction possibly induced by oxylipin signalling.

In roots, expression of most of these genes is rapidly upregulated after the start of exposure to Cu . Once again, in case of Cd, changes in gene expression were not detected before 24 h of exposure. The highest responses in gene expression of Arabidopsis roots after Cu exposure were observed for OXI1 and ZAT12. Gene clustering analysis predicted a clustering between OXI1 and ZAT12 in roots of Cu -exposed plants (Figure 4.3.). The similar regulation of gene expression of OXI1 and ZAT12 could be explained by the fact that both proteins are activated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ produced during oxidative stress and probably their gene expression could be regulated in the same way (Rentel et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005b). Indeed in our study, Cu-induced expression of OXI1 and ZAT12 seems to correspond to an increase in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-production. According to the gene clustering analysis, OXI1 and ZAT12 also clustered together with RBOHD in Cu - and Cdexposed roots and even in Cd-exposed leaves. A clustering of OXI1 and RBOHD was also seen in leaves of Cu-exposed plants (Figure 4.4.). So expression of these genes seems also to be coordinately regulated during metal stress responses in A. thaliana. Also in case of plant-pathogen interactions, a relation between RBOHD and OXI1 has been suggested (Petersen et al. 2009). Davletova et al. (2005a) suggested that MAPK-dependent regulation of NAPDH oxidase (RBOHD) expression might be involved in amplification of the ROS signal; Miller et al. (2009) indeed showed that RBOHD mediates systemic signalling in response to abiotic stress. Therefore, increased RBOHD expression may be important in signalling metal stress from roots to leaves. The production of ROS by NADPH oxidases and more specifically RBOHD would drive the expression of OXI1. Takahashi et al. (2011) were able to demonstrate a
relationship between the RBOHD regulated OXI1 and ZAT12 expression and MAPK signalling. In the case of wounding, they showed that the MAPK-cascade MKK3-MPK8 negatively regulates the gene expression of OXI1 and ZAT12 via negative regulation of $R B O H D$. Also important to mention is the expression of WRKY22, which is upregulated after exposure to Cu , but unchanged after exposure to Cd. Little is known about this transcription factor, except that, like other WRKY transcription factors, it binds TGAC core sequences in the promoters of many defence-related genes (Pandey and Somssich 2009) and that it is induced by MPK3 and MPK6 (Asai et al. 2002). Investigation of the promoters of the gene cluster RBOHD/OXI1/ZAT12 for cis-acting elements using the PLACE and PlantCARE databases (Prestridge 1991, Higo et al. 1999, Lescot et al 2002), revealed that the WRKY-binding site was detected very often in these promoters, suggesting a possible role for WRKY transcription factors in the regulation of RBOHD, OXI1 and ZAT12 genes. In addition to that, our results suggest that WRKY22 is a metal-specific transcription factor as it is involved in Cu responses, but not in Cd responses.

Possible targets of gene regulation by MAPK cascades are genes involved in maintaining the cellular redox status (Figure 4.4.). Gene expression of the catalase isozymes CAT1, CAT2 and CAT3 is differently regulated in roots after Cu exposure (Table 4.2.). The CAT1 expression is upregulated after Cu exposure, whereas the gene expression of CAT2 and CAT3 is downregulated. Xing et al. $(2007,2008)$ showed that stress-induced gene expression of the Arabidopsis catalase family consists of two major signalling pathways; one AtMEK1/MPK6and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-mediated pathway leading to CAT1 expression and another unknown pathway leading to CAT2 and CAT3 expression. Possibly, increased CAT1 gene expression in roots after Cu exposure is due to the induction of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mediated pathway. Furthermore, also APX1 transcript levels are elevated immediately after Cu exposure. According to Davletova et al. (2005a,b), enhanced expression of APX1 is induced by the transcription factor ZAT12 in response to ROS production. Concerning the very low gene expression of ZAT12 under non-exposed conditions and the strong increase after Cu exposure, it can be suggested that ZAT12 is a stress-responsive transcription factor, which via APX1 plays a central role in oxidative stress responses induced by Cu. Moreover, expression of the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutase genes CSD1 and CSD2 was
induced in roots after exposure to Cu , whereas their gene expression was inhibited after Cd exposure (Table 4.2.). Sunkar et al. $(2006,2007)$ revealed a mechanism of posttranscriptional gene regulation via miRNA398-mediated mRNA degradation and translational repression for CSD1 and CSD2. Like Cuypers et al. (2011a), they demonstrated that short-term exposure to Cu led to decreased miRNA398 expression levels, which caused an accumulation of CSD1 and CSD2 transcripts. Cuypers et al. (2011a) also showed that the opposite was seen for Cd-exposed plants. Furthermore, Yamasaki et al. (2009) reported that SPL7 is essential for the response of miRNA398 to Cu . Under Cu deficiency, SPL7 is able to bind to GTAC motifs in the promoter of miRNA398 thereby activating their transcription and allowing them to reduce the expression of CSD transcripts. In the case of Cu excess, a decrease of miRNA398 and an induction of CSD transcript levels was observed. A possible involvement of SPL7 might be also responsible for the different regulation of FSD1 after Cu and Cd exposure in roots (Table 4.2.) (Nagae et al. 2008, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Although CSD1 and 2 after Cu exposure showed similar expression patterns as the MAPkinase genes and transcription factors, no connection between MAPkinase signalling and gene regulation of superoxide dismutases is known to date. Genes involved in the antioxidative defence of the cell could be regulated by MAPK cascades, and MAPK cascades could also regulate the gene expression of pro-oxidative enzymes, which in turn are involved in amplification of the ROS signal in the cell (Figure 4.4.). Examples are the elevated transcript levels of LOX1/2 and RBOHC/D after Cu and Cd exposure. Petersen et al. (2009) described a relation between RBOHD and OXI1 expression in case of plantpathogen interactions and RBOHD is involved in amplification of the ROS signal in response to abiotic stress (Miller et al. 2009).

In conclusion, Cd and Cu cause different responses in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. Copper altered $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels, lipid peroxidation and gene expression of enzymes involved in ROS production, antioxidative defence and signalling, already after 2 h of exposure to environmental realistic concentrations. Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to Cd showed changes in these parameters only after 24 h exposure. The fast effects may be related to the redox-active properties of Cu , which enable direct ROS production causing the immediate
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onset of antioxidative responses as well as damage to cellular molecules and structures. In contrast, the delayed response to Cd may be due to indirect ROS production, which first requires interaction with the functional groups of enzymes and/or substitution of their metal cofactor to inhibit their function. Furthermore, we found metal specific regulation of pro-oxidative genes (e.g. $R B O H C$ ), antioxidative genes (e.g. superoxide dismutase genes) and transcription factors (WRKY22). This suggests that Cd and Cu induce specific ROS and MAPK signalling cascades due to different chemical properties and/or perception of these metals.

## Chapter 5

The protein kinase OXI1 mediates Cu-induced plant responses in

## Arabidopsis thaliana
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#### Abstract

In this study, we investigated the role of Oxidative signal-inducible 1 (OXI1) in the oxidative stress response in Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to excess of the redox-active element copper (Cu). OXI1 is a serine/threonine protein kinase, known to activate the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) MPK3 and MPK6 in response to reactive oxygen species (ROS). MAPKs are able to regulate transcription of target genes by the phosphorylation and subsequent activation of transcription factors. Our findings show that OXI1 plays a role in the regulation of Cu uptake and translocation in Cu-exposed Arabidopsis plants. In accordance with the retention of the redox-active Cu in the roots, more membrane damage was observed in roots of Cu-exposed wild type plants. Furthermore, a strong decrease in transcript levels of the jasmonate (JA) responsive PDF1.2 gene was observed in leaves of control and Cu-exposed plants demonstrating the involvement of OXI1 in Cu-induced oxylipin signalling. After long-term Cu exposure, these OXI1-regulated stress responses can result in wild type plants that are more susceptible to excess Cu than oxi1 knockout plants.


### 5.1. Introduction

Copper (Cu) is an essential trace element for the plant. However, in case of exposure to increased concentrations, Cu can become toxic and cause stress effects in plants (Cuypers et al. 2009, Yruela 2009). Because Cu is a redoxactive element, it is able to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) directly via Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000) and therefore can induce oxidative stress at the cellular level (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Although the rate of ROS production is elevated during stress conditions, low levels of ROS are essential for normal cell metabolism. This requires fine-tuning of subcellular ROS levels by the antioxidative defence system of the cell, consisting of enzymes and metabolites (Mittler et al. 2004, Halliwell 2006). As signalling molecules, ROS play a key role in processes mediating defence against biotic and abiotic stresses (Torres and Dangl 2005) but also during normal cell growth and development (Foreman et al. 2003). For example, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can modulate the activities of many components in cell signalling, such as protein phosphatases, protein kinases and transcription factors (Miller et al 2008, Quan et al. 2008). One of them is the serine/threonine kinase Oxidative signal-inducible 1 (OXI1), also known as AGC2-1 because OXI1 is a member of the AGC protein kinase family. OXI1 was first identified as a downstream signalling component of the 3-phosphinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1) in phosphatidic acid (PA) signalling (Anthony et al. 2004). Later, a function as protein kinase required for oxidative burst-mediated signalling involved in root hair growth and basal resistance to Peronospora parasitica infection, was discovered (Rentel et al. 2004). Petersen et al. (2009) proposed that the expression and activation of OXI1, in case of oxidative burst-mediated signalling, is driven by ROS produced through NADPH oxidases and confers tolerance to Pseudomonas syringae infection. Together, OXI1 and its downstream target, the serine/threonine kinase PTI1-2, are capable of integrating lipid-derived and ROS stress signals (Anthony et al. 2006). Anthony et al. (2006) also demonstrated that the expression of ROS promoters was increased after activation of PTI1-2 by PA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the fungal elicitor xylanase and flagellin. This may be possible via the involvement of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) cascades since MAPK cascades can regulate gene transcription by activation or repression of transcription factors (Colcombet and Hirt 2008).

Rentel et al. (2004) reported that OXI1 is required for the full activation of the MAPKs, MPK3 and MPK6. Recently, Camehl et al. (2011) showed that Piriformospora indica promotes plant growth in Arabidopsis via PA-stimulated activation of the PDK1/OXI1/MPK6 pathway. At the same time, Forzani et al. (2011) found that, in case of oxidative stress, wounding or cellulose treatment, OXI1 can activate MPK6 via the action of PTI1-4, another member of the PTI1like family.
Although the role of the OXI1 kinase is clearly described in biotic stresses, involvement of OXI1 in abiotic stresses and especially metal stress is less well understood. In earlier work we showed a fast (2h) induction of OXI1 and the downstream kinase MPK3 after Cu exposure at the gene expression level (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). After Cd exposure, the same but delayed response was observed. Further, Smeets et al. (personal communication) suggest a major role for OXI1 in Cu-induced oxidative (defence) signalling since they reported a decrease in transcript levels of MAPKs, other regulatory proteins and antioxidative enzymes in roots of Cu -exposed oxi1 mutant Arabidopsis thaliana plants (Ws ecotype).
Based on the findings that (1) OXI1 is activated by ROS and (2) its gene expression was induced under metal stress conditions, it was hypothesized that OXI1 is involved in mediating Cu stress responses. Therefore in this study, the role of OXI1 in Cu-induced stress signalling was further examined using OXI1 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana (Columbia-0 ecotype) seedlings grown in hydroponics as defined in earlier studies (Opdenakker et al. 2012a: chapter 4, Cuypers et al. 2011, Smeets et al. 2009). First, it was examined if the Cu content of leaves and roots was altered in oxi1 mutant seedlings. Secondly, it was investigated whether OXI1 induces alterations in the cellular redox state or in oxidative stress signalling. Finally, it was tested if OXI1-mediated changes in Cu stress responses are involved in Cu sensitivity after long-term Cu exposure.

### 5.2. Results

### 5.2.1. OXI1 induces a Cu-specific phenotype

Three-week-old seedlings of Arabidopsis thaliana, grown on hydroponics, were exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during a short period (24h) and leaf and root fresh
weight were compared between wild type and oxi1 mutant plants (Figure 5.1.). In the leaves, exposure to Cu reduced rosette weight in wild types significantly after 24 h but had no effect on leaves of oxi1 plants. Root weight was significantly decreased in wild type ( $7.95 \pm 0.47$ vs $19.68 \pm 1.52 \mathrm{mg}$ ) as well as in oxi1 plants ( $12.70 \pm 1.28$ vs $24.70 \pm 2.71 \mathrm{mg}$ ) after 24 h exposure to Cu , although root weight was less inhibited in oxi1 plants ( $48 \%$ vs $60 \%$ in wild type plants).


Figure 5.1.: Leaf and root weight (mg plant ${ }^{-1}$ ) of 3-weekold Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ during 24h ( $\square=$ Col-O non-exposed, $\square=$ Col-O exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 non-exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 exposed). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 20$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: **: $p<0.05$, ***: $p$ < 0.01; interaction effect: a: $p$ < 0.01). WT: wild type.

### 5.2.2. OXI1 prevents translocation of Cu from root to shoot

To investigate if the OXI1-mediated Cu-specific phenotype is the result of alterations in the Cu content of leaves and roots, Cu concentrations of leaves and roots were analyzed in both wild type and oxi1 mutant plants (Figure 5.2.A and 5.2.B). Leaves of wild type plants showed no difference in Cu content after 24 h exposure to Cu while the leaves of oxi1 plants contained significantly more Cu after exposure than control plants (Figure 5.2.A). In roots, the Cu content was significantly increased in both wild type and oxi1 plants after Cu exposure (Figure 5.2.B), although the concentration of Cu was higher in wild type seedlings ( $1911.6 \pm 391.2$ vs $18.7 \pm 4.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kgDW}^{-1}$ ) as compared to oxi1 mutant plants ( $914.2 \pm 69.8$ vs $12.5 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kgDW}^{-1}$ ). When the root-to-shoot translocation (shoot/root ratio) was calculated for wild type and oxi1 plants, it appeared that Cu-exposed wild type plants ( $0.43 \pm 0.02 \%$ ) had a significant lower root-to-shoot translocation (p-value < 0.0001) than Cu-exposed oxi1 mutants ( $1.31 \pm 0.05 \%$ ).

Expressions of genes involved in synthesis of metallothioneins (MTs) and phytochelatins (PC synthases) were determined to examine if the mutation of OXI1 influenced transcript levels of these metal-sequestering low molecular weight polypeptides that could be responsible for the different Cu translocation observed in oxi1 plants (Figure 5.2.C and D; Supplemental Tables 5.1. and 5.2.).


Figure 5.2.: ( $\boldsymbol{A}$ ) and (B): Cu-content ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kgDW}^{-1}$ ) of respectively leaves and roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type (WT) and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 24h (white bars = non-exposed plants; grey bars = exposed plants). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biological independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: ***: $p<0.01$; genotype effect: a: $p<0.01$. (C) and (D): Transcript levels of respectively MT1a and MT1c in leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24h ( $\square=$ wild type nonexposed, $\square=$ wild type exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 non-exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 exposed). Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants ( $=1$ ). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: **: $p<$ $0.05 ;^{* * *}: p<0.01$; interaction effect: a: $p<0.01$ ).

MT1a expression in the leaves of oxi1 mutant plants was transiently reduced after 2, 4 and 6 h Cu exposure as compared to oxi1 control plants, which was not observed in wild type plants (Figure 5.2.C). In wild type plants, MT1a transcript
levels were decreased after 24 h exposure to Cu while the expression of oxi1 plants returned to control levels. Whereas MT1a transcript levels showed a diurnal increase in leaves of control plants (Figure 5.2.C), gene expression of the other isoform metallothionein 1, MT1c, was downregulated during the day in the leaves of both genotypes (Figure 5.2.D). In addition, MT1c gene transcript levels further decreased in oxi1 mutant seedlings already after 2 h Cu exposure (Figure 5.2.D). Wild type plants showed only a decrease in MT1c expression levels after 24 h exposure to Cu . Transcript levels of PCS1 in the leaves were elevated after 2 h Cu exposure in oxi1 plants while it was increased after 6 h Cu exposure in wild type plants (Supplemental Table 5.1.). In the roots, no differential gene expression was observed between wild type and oxi1 mutant plants for PCS1 or MT genes (Supplemental Table 5.2.).

### 5.2.3. OXI1 is involved in ROS-induced membrane damage

Since Cu is a redox-active element, alterations in Cu content of leaves and roots may be correlated to an altered ROS production. Therefore, lipid peroxidation, as a measure of ROS-induced cellular damage, was determined in leaves and roots of wild type and oxi1 mutant seedlings after exposure to Cu . In leaves of wild type as well as oxi1 plants, no significant differences in lipid peroxidation were detected after exposure to Cu (data not shown).


Figure 5.3.: Lipid peroxidation (nmol $g F W^{-1}$ ) in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during $0,2,4,6$ and $24 h$ (grey dashed line $=$ wild type non-exposed, grey line $=$ wild type exposed, black dashed line $=$ oxi1 nonexposed, black line $=$ oxi1 exposed). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 7$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: *: $p<0.1$; **: $p<$ 0.05; ***: $p<0.01$ ).

In roots of wild type plants, the concentration of TBA reactive metabolites (TBArm) was already significantly higher after 4 h of Cu exposure and further increased until 24 h exposure to Cu (Figure 5.3.). In contrast, lipid peroxidation 70
in roots of oxi1 mutant plants showed no significant increase before 6 h of Cu exposure (Figure 5.3.). When the lipid peroxidation over all time points was considered together for respectively wild type and oxi1 mutant seedlings, the observed increase in TBArm content of exposed oxi1 roots was lower than for roots of wild type plants $(p=0.0510)$.

### 5.2.4. OXI1 regulates transcription of oxidative stress and signal transduction related genes

Because OXI1 is a signalling molecule, known to be involved in responses to oxidative stress and able to induce MAPK cascades, it was investigated if OXI1 can induce alterations in the gene expression of pro- or antioxidative enzymes. Therefore transcript levels of ROS-producing enzymes (lipoxygenases, NADPH oxidases), antioxidative defence enzymes (superoxide dismutases, ascorbate peroxidase, catalases) and signalling components (MAPkinases, transcription factors) were determined in leaves and roots of Arabidopsis wild type and oxi1 seedlings after exposure to Cu . An overview of all genes measured and their responses to Cu is provided in Supplemental Tables 5.1. (leaves) and 5.2. (roots). In the text below, only differences caused by the mutation of OXI1 are discussed (Figures 5.4. and 5.5.).

In leaves of Arabidopsis seedlings, different responses in gene expression between wild type and oxi1 plants were observed for two antioxidative enzymes. When gene expressions for both genotypes are observed under non-exposed conditions, it can be seen that the expression of the Fe superoxide dismutase 1 gene (FSD1) differs between wild type and oxi1 knockout plants under the influence of light (significant genotype difference after 4 and 6h) (Figure 5.4.). Nevertheless, in oxi1 mutant plants exposed to Cu , transcript levels of FSD1 were already significantly reduced at 4 and 6 h after the start of exposure, whereas in wild type plants these transcript levels were only significantly decreased after 24 h exposure to Cu (Figure 5.4.). Gene expression of the antioxidative enzyme catalase 1 (CAT1) also showed differences between nonexposed wild types and oxi1 mutants, with increased values in the oxi1 mutant plants during the day period (Figure 5.4.). Consequently, CAT1 expression was downregulated in oxi1 seedlings after 4 and 6 h exposure to Cu while no changes were detected in wild type plants. Transcript levels of the pro-oxidative enzyme lipoxygenase 2 (LOX2) were differently affected by Cu exposure in wild type as
compared to oxi1 mutant plants (Figure 5.4.). While the LOX2 expression of wild type plants is significantly upregulated from 2 h Cu exposure on, the LOX2 transcript levels of oxi1 seedlings increased only after 24 h of exposure. Transcript levels of signalling components were also different between genotypes. Gene expression of MPK3 was significantly induced in oxi1 mutant plants from 2 h Cu exposure on (Figure 5.4.). In contrast, MPK3 expression of Cu-exposed wild type plants did not increase significantly before 24 h of exposure. On the other hand, gene expression of the zinc-finger transcription factor ZAT12 was already enhanced after 2 h Cu exposure in wild type plants (Figure 5.4.), whereas in oxi1 plants, no changes in ZAT12 expression were observed. Finally, oxi1 seedlings showed under control conditions remarkably reduced expression levels of the jasmonic acid inducible gene PDF1.2 as compared to wild type plants (Figure 5.4.). However after 24h, wild type as well as oxi1 mutant plants responded to Cu exposure with an increased PDF1.2 expression but PDF1.2 levels of oxi1 mutants did not reach the levels observed in wild type plants.

In roots, expression of FSD1 is significantly reduced in both wild type and oxi1 plants after 24 h Cu exposure (Figure 5.5.). In contrast to wild type plants, FSD1 transcript levels of Cu exposed oxi1 plants were already significantly decreased after 6 h by $50 \%$. A transient but significant decrease in gene expression was observed for the catalase CAT3 in oxi1 seedlings after 4 and 6 h exposure to Cu , together with a stimulated diurnal increase in its transcript levels under control conditions (Figure 5.5.). Concerning the signalling components, genotype differences were observed for ANP1 (Figure 5.5.). Transcript levels of the protein kinase ANP1 were significantly elevated in wild type plants after 24 h Cu exposure, while ANP1 expression of oxi1 plants remained at control levels. As shown in the leaves, expression levels of PDF1.2 are lower in oxi1 seedlings under control conditions as compared to wild type plants (Figure 5.5.). However, after 2 h of Cu exposure, PDF1.2 levels increased tremendously in oxi1 plants while levels of wild type plants did not change. After 4 h , transcript levels of PDF1. 2 increased also in Cu-exposed wild type plants. After 24 h Cu exposure, PDF1.2 expression was still elevated in wild type as well as oxi1 plants but PDF1.2 levels in wild type plants were significantly higher than in oxi1 mutant plants.


Figure 5.4.: Transcript levels of FSD1, CAT1, LOX2, MPK3, ZAT12 and PDF1. 2 in leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type (WT) and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24 h ( $\square=$ wild type non-exposed, $\square=$ wild type exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 non-exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 exposed). Gene expression was calculated relative to the nonexposed wildtype plants (=1). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: *: $p<0.1$; **: $p<0.05$; ***: $p<0.01$; genotype and interaction effect: b: $p<0.05$; $a$ : $p<0.01$ ). Grey line and grey dashed line represent the circadian rhythm in respectively wild type and oxi1 mutant plants.
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Figure 5.5.: Transcript levels of FSD1, CAT3, ANP1 and PDF1.2 in roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type (WT) and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and $24 h$ ( $\square=$ wild type non-exposed, $\square=$ wild type exposed, $\square=$ oxi1 nonexposed, $\square=$ oxi1 exposed). Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants $(=1)$. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: ${ }^{* *}$ : $p<0.05$; ***: $p<0.01$; genotype effect: $a$ : $p<$ 0.01).

### 5.2.5. OXI1 is involved in Cu sensitivity

To investigate if the OXI1-induced Cu responses can influence the Cu sensitivity after long-term Cu exposure, Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings were grown on vertical agar plates for 1 week and subsequently exposed to different Cu concentrations during 1 week. No significant difference in primary root growth was found between non-exposed wild type and oxi1 plants ( $5.16 \pm 0.19$ vs 4.45 $\pm 0.19 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Primary root growth of wild type as well as oxi1 mutant plants decreased gradually with increasing Cu concentration but no difference between the two genotypes was observed up to $45 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ (Figure 5.6.). After 1 week exposure to $45 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, primary root growth of wild type plants was reduced with almost $70 \%$, in contrast to oxi1 mutant plants which showed only a $25 \%$ reduction in primary root growth. A similar difference was seen after exposure to
$50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$. After exposure to $60 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, primary root growth was completely inhibited in both genotypes.


Figure 5.6.: Root growth (\%) of 2-week old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type (white bars) and oxi1 mutant (grey bars) seedlings grown on vertical agar plates and exposed to different concentrations $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 1 week. Root growth was calculated relative to the non-exposed plants (= 100\%). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 25$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: $* * *: p<0.01$ ).

### 5.3. Discussion

Earlier research (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4) pointed out a role for OXI1 in the fast induction of Cu-mediated oxidative signalling. Therefore in this study, the function of OXI1 in Cu-induced oxidative stress responses was examined in more detail using oxi1 knockout mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana (Columbia ecotype).

Exposure to Cu induced different phenotypic changes in wild type and oxi1 knockout Arabidopsis seedlings. In contrast to oxi1 mutants, wild type plants showed a significant reduction in rosette fresh weight after 24 h Cu exposure whereas the Cu content of Cu -exposed oxi1 leaves was significantly increased in contrast to wild type seedlings (Figure 5.1. and 5.2.A). In roots, the greater inhibition of root fresh weight in Cu-exposed wild type seedlings as compared to oxi1 knockouts corresponded with the higher amount of Cu in their roots (Figure 5.1. and 5.2.B). Rentel et al. (2004) reported that OXI1 is expressed in high levels in root hair cells of Arabidopsis (ecotype Columbia) plants, indicating that OXI1 is involved in normal root hair development. Since root hairs play an important role in the uptake of nutrients by increasing the root surface area (Datta et al. 2011), it can be hypothesized that OXI1 is involved in the regulation of Cu homeostasis ("restricted" uptake and translocation to above ground parts and/or exclusion) in the roots.

Since oxi1 mutant seedlings showed alterations in leaf and root Cu content as compared to the wild types, the role of OXI1 in Cu chelation as well as in the induction of oxidative stress was investigated in leaves and roots of wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to Cu .

## No clear role for OXII in Cu chelation could be identified

Once Cu is taken up by the root cells, the first line of defence against Cu toxicity is binding of free Cu ions to metal chelators and chaperones. This prevents the damage of biomolecules by the redox-active properties of Cu . Chelators sequester free metal ions in the cytosol or in subcellular compartments, and in this way contribute to metal detoxification (Clemens 2001, Haydon and Cobbett 2007). Metal chaperones bind and deliver metal ions to organelles and metalrequiring proteins (Grotz and Guerinot 2006, Puig et al. 2007). Murphy and Taiz (1995) tested the Cu tolerance of 10 Arabidopsis ecotypes and showed that Cu tolerance was closely correlated with the expression level of a 2-type metallothionein (MT2) gene. Other authors reported that MT gene expression is strongly induced upon Cu treatment (Zhou and Goldsbrough 1994, Hsieh et al 1995, Roosens et al. 2004, Guo et al. 2008). In contrast, the role of PCs in Cu detoxification is not clearly identified. Cu was reported to be a strong activator of PC biosynthesis and Cu was already found to form complexes with PCs
(Cobbett and Goldsbrough 2002). Therefore, gene expression of MTs and PC synthases was measured in wild type and oxi1 mutant plants after exposure to Cu .
Only in the leaves, differences between wild type and oxi1 mutant plants were seen in the gene expression of metallothioneins, more specific MT1a and MT1c. Both genes were downregulated faster in oxi1 mutant plants than in wild type plants (Figure 5.2.C and D). Thus, OXI1 can be responsible for the control of steady state mRNA levels of MT1 in leaves of Arabidopsis, but this downregulation cannot explain the higher Cu accumulation in the leaves of oxi1 mutant plants.

## Interplay between OXII and Cu at the cellular level: damage versus signalling

At the cellular level, elevated concentrations of Cu are known to induce oxidative stress in plants (Smeets et al. 2005, Cuypers et al. 2009). OXI1 is a signalling molecule, able to induce MAPK cascades in ROS-mediated processes (Rentel et al. 2004, Anthony et al. 2006, Petersen et al. 2009, Forzani et al. 2011). Therefore, it was examined whether OXI1 is involved in oxidative stress signalling and regulation of the cellular redox state of Arabidopsis plants exposed to Cu .

First, it was investigated whether OXI1 controls the gene expression of downstream signalling targets, which we identified in previous work as being regulated in the same way as OXI1 upon Cu exposure (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). Concerning the downstream kinases MPK3 and 6, which are also defined as targets of OXI1 (Rentel et al. 2004), we found only evidence for a regulation of MPK3 by OXI1 under Cu stress. In leaves of oxi1 knockout plants, MPK3 gene expression was induced earlier after Cu exposure as compared to wild type seedlings (Figure 5.4.), suggesting that a bypass via other signalling components can take place. With regard to the transcription factors, only ZAT12 transcript levels in the leaves were affected by the oxi1 mutation (Figure 5.4.), suggesting that OXI1 is responsible for the immediate induction of ZAT12 expression after Cu exposure. In the roots, gene expression of the protein kinase ANP1 was affected in oxi1 mutant plants after Cu exposure (Figure 5.5.). ANP1 is, like OXI1, a MAP3K able to induce a phosphorylation cascade involving

MPK3 and MPK6 (Kovtun et al. 2000). In wild type plants, ANP1 transcript levels are increased after 24 h exposure to Cu while this induction was aborted in oxi1 mutant plants (Figure 5.5.) suggesting that regulation of ANP1 expression after Cu exposure is under the control of OXI1.

Secondly, the effect of the OXI1 mutation on the cellular redox state was investigated. We previously reported that even though Cu was not translocated to the leaves in wild type plants, changes in gene expression of pro- and antioxidative genes in the leaves were evident of root-to-shoot signalling (Remans et al. 2010, Cuypers et al. 2011). However, here we show that the involvement of OXI1 in this root-to-shoot signalling cannot easily be revealed: since the OXI1 mutation causes a higher translocation of Cu to the leaves, it cannot be distinguished whether changes in gene expression were due to a direct effect of the OXI1 mutation, or due to a secondary effect of higher Cu contents in the leaves.

Transcript levels of antioxidative enzymes in leaves (FSD1, CAT1) and roots (FSD1, CAT3) of oxi1 seedlings were different as compared to wild types under Cu stress (Figures 5.4. and 5.5.). A downregulation of the transcript levels was seen earlier in oxi1 mutants than in wild type plants. In leaves, this was due to a higher FSD1 and CAT1 expression in non-exposed oxi1 plants as compared to non-exposed wild types (Figure 5.4.). This suggests that OXI1 plays a role in light-regulated transcription of FSD1 and CAT1 under non-exposed conditions but it is not involved in transcriptional control of these genes after Cu exposure. In the roots, a similar effect was noticed for the gene expression levels of FSD1 and CAT3 (Figure 5.5.). According to these findings, light-driven regulation of the antioxidative system of the cell is clearly regulated by a.o. OXI1.
A decrease in the levels of antioxidants can disturb the cellular redox state thereby inducing oxidative stress, which can result in oxidative signalling on one hand or oxidative damage to cellular components on the other hand. As a parameter of oxidative damage, the amount of lipid peroxidation was determined. In leaves of both wild type and oxi1 plants, no differences in lipid peroxidation were observed. In contrast, roots of oxi1 mutants showed lower levels of TBArm (Figure 5.3.), indicative of a lower level of membrane damage, than wild type plants. This can be linked to a higher Cu amount in wild type roots, which in turn leads to higher ROS production and hence membrane
damage in wild type plants. In leaves however, membrane integrity is maintained regardless of a higher Cu content in oxi1 mutants.

Lipid peroxidation can be mediated by Cu-induced ROS production but can also be initiated by lipoxygenases that also take part in lipid signalling. These are enzymes that catalyze the oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids into lipid hydroperoxides, which are involved in responses to different stresses (Skorzynska-Polit et al. 2006). These lipid hydroperoxides can be further metabolized by different pathways and the resulting oxygenated derivatives are collectively called oxylipins (Andreou et al. 2009). In our study, gene expression of LOX2 was determined in leaves as it was the most abundantly expressed isoform (Remans et al. 2010). Furthermore, it initiates jasmonate (JA) biosynthesis, which is also known to be regulated by MAPKinase cascades (Opdenakker et al. 2012b; chapter 1). In leaves of wild type plants, OXI1 is involved in the early upregulation of LOX2 gene expression (Figure 5.4.), which suggests its involvement in altered oxylipin signalling after exposure of plants to excess Cu (Mithöfer et al. 2004, Montillet et al. 2004, Remans et al. 2010).

In particular JA, one of the oxylipins produced after lipoxygenase action, is known to be involved in the response of Arabidopsis to toxic metals, including Cu (Xiang and Oliver 1998, Maksymiec and Krupa 2006, Maksymiec et al. 2007), and LOX2 has been attributed 13-LOX activity at the origin of JA biosynthesis upon wounding (Bell et al. 1995). One of the best known targets of JA signalling is the plant defensin PDF1.2, whose mRNA accumulation can be positively or negatively regulated by JA (Wasternack 2007, Zarei et al. 2011). In our study, mRNA levels of PDF1.2 were strongly reduced in leaves of oxi1 mutant plants under non-exposed conditions (Figure 5.4.) indicating that OXI1 plays a role in the transcriptional control of PDF1.2. Even after 24 h Cu exposure, PDF1.2 transcript levels were lower in oxi1 mutant seedlings as compared to wild type plants (Figure 5.4.) showing that OXI1 is also important in the regulation of PDF1.2 after Cu exposure. From these data we can conclude that in Arabidopsis leaves OXI1 is at least partially responsible for the induction of oxylipin signalling via regulation of LOX2.

From these data, it is evident that OXI1 is important in the regulation of Cu stress responses in Arabidopsis thaliana after short-term Cu exposure. Whether
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these OXI1-mediated plant responses to Cu can lead to a better Cu acclimation and/or tolerance after long-term exposure was investigated using root growth assays on vertical agar plates. This experimental set-up was earlier used by Schiavon et al. (2007) to analyze variations in Cu sensitivity between different Arabidopsis thaliana accessions (Columbia, Landsberg erecta, Wassilewskija) Using this primary root growth inhibition in vertical agar plates, we report that oxi1 mutants are less sensitive to excess Cu exposure than wild type plants (Figure 5.6.).

Based on our findings, we suggest that alterations in OXI1-mediated Cu uptake and translocation on one hand and signal transduction on the other hand, disturb specific plant responses and hence plant sensitivity to Cu .

Supplemental Table 5.1.: Transcript levels in leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu M$ $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24h. Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants ( $=1$ ). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulation: $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 4h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | oxi1 | wild type |  | oxi1 |  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX2 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.10$ | $3.96 \pm 1.11$ | $9.48 \pm 1.64$ | $4.41 \pm 1.73$ | $1.41 \pm 0.18$ | $5.58 \pm 1.74$ | $10.4 \pm 2.41$ | $6.77 \pm 2.44$ | $6.07 \pm 1.34$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.25$ | $0.38 \pm 0.06$ | $2.01 \pm 0.71$ | $5.61 \pm 1.95$ | $0.46 \pm 0.14$ | $0.28 \pm 0.09$ | $3.36 \pm 1.86$ | $5.22 \pm 1.59$ | $0.33 \pm 0.11$ | $1.85 \pm 0.94$ |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.95 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.89 \pm 0.12$ | $0.88 \pm 0.11$ | $1.29 \pm 0.07$ | $0.88 \pm 0.18$ | $1.50 \pm 0.23$ | $0.88 \pm 0.11$ | $1.38 \pm 0.20$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.84 \pm 0.03$ | $1.21 \pm 0.14$ | $1.12 \pm 0.18$ | $0.84 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.06$ | $1.12 \pm 0.17$ | $1.14 \pm 0.19$ | $1.09 \pm 0.05$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.74 \pm 0.07$ | $0.83 \pm 0.08$ | $0.93 \pm 0.16$ | $0.52 \pm 0.07$ | $0.72 \pm 0.09$ | $0.53 \pm 0.07$ | $0.62 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $0.46 \pm 0.05$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $1.31 \pm 0.10$ | $0.63 \pm 0.17$ | $0.67 \pm 0.15$ | $0.91 \pm 0.24$ | $0.93 \pm 0.21$ | $0.62 \pm 0.08$ | $0.63 \pm 0.07$ | $0.96 \pm 0.10$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.14 \pm 0.06$ | $2.15 \pm 0.27$ | $1.70 \pm 0.18$ | $1.86 \pm 0.23$ | $1.99 \pm 0.26$ | $2.80 \pm 0.38$ | $3.64 \pm 0.26$ | $4.17 \pm 0.26$ | $3.13 \pm 0.25$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.99 \pm 0.05$ | $0.49 \pm 0.04$ | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | $0.43 \pm 0.03$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $0.15 \pm 0.01$ | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.79 \pm 0.06$ | $4.20 \pm 0.55$ | $4.04 \pm 0.31$ | $3.73 \pm 0.58$ | $3.90 \pm 0.46$ | $6.38 \pm 0.68$ | $8.29 \pm 0.23$ | $8.93 \pm 1.05$ | $9.79 \pm 1.03$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.06 \pm 0.07$ | $1.41 \pm 0.07$ | $1.44 \pm 0.15$ | $1.37 \pm 0.19$ | $1.10 \pm 0.16$ | $0.75 \pm 0.07$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $1.22 \pm 0.14$ | $0.91 \pm 0.09$ |
| ANP1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.13 \pm 0.09$ | $1.12 \pm 0.09$ | $1.08 \pm 0.09$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.09 \pm 0.05$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.96 \pm 0.05$ | $1.11 \pm 0.05$ | $0.90 \pm 0.02$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.80 \pm 0.03$ | $0.92 \pm 0.15$ | $1.26 \pm 0.17$ | $0.69 \pm 0.04$ | $1.99 \pm 0.34$ | $1.02 \pm 0.17$ | $1.35 \pm 0.15$ | $0.79 \pm 0.06$ | $1.54 \pm 0.18$ |
| MPK6 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.01 \pm 0.02$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $1.01 \pm 0.06$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $1.11 \pm 0.07$ | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.97 \pm 0.05$ | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $1.68 \pm 0.28$ | $0.68 \pm 0.07$ | $1.79 \pm 0.27$ | $0.76 \pm 0.09$ | $0.67 \pm 0.11$ | $0.69 \pm 0.04$ | $0.48 \pm 0.10$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.84 \pm 0.05$ | $1.11 \pm 0.16$ | $3.02 \pm 0.56$ | $1.06 \pm 0.18$ | $3.04 \pm 0.25$ | $1.81 \pm 0.17$ | $4.25 \pm 0.57$ | $1.40 \pm 0.19$ | $3.06 \pm 0.34$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.23$ | $0.78 \pm 0.27$ | $0.63 \pm 0.22$ | $4.54 \pm 1.56$ | $0.60 \pm 0.21$ | $0.67 \pm 0.13$ | $0.60 \pm 0.25$ | $2.79 \pm 1.17$ | $0.76 \pm 0.27$ | $0.75 \pm 0.25$ |
| PDF1.2 | $1.00 \pm 0.43$ | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $2.05 \pm 0.78$ | $1.73 \pm 0.74$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $1.62 \pm 0.69$ | $0.66 \pm 0.23$ | $0.33 \pm 0.11$ | $0.59 \pm 0.19$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.02 \pm 0.08$ | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | $1.78 \pm 0.45$ | $1.05 \pm 0.07$ | $2.71 \pm 0.09$ | $1.28 \pm 0.20$ | $1.40 \pm 0.15$ | $1.16 \pm 0.03$ | $1.52 \pm 0.10$ |
| MT1a | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $1.12 \pm 0.06$ | $0.65 \pm 0.20$ | $1.07 \pm 0.08$ | $1.49 \pm 0.08$ | $0.94 \pm 0.04$ | $1.14 \pm 0.08$ | $1.03 \pm 0.11$ | $1.31 \pm 0.05$ | $1.09 \pm 0.02$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.10 \pm 0.05$ | $1.21 \pm 0.09$ | $1.04 \pm 0.09$ | $1.23 \pm 0.05$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05$ | $0.69 \pm 0.07$ | $0.59 \pm 0.09$ | $0.68 \pm 0.03$ | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.35 \pm 0.13$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ | $0.76 \pm 0.04$ | $0.92 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.83 \pm 0.11$ | $0.96 \pm 0.09$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $1.01 \pm 0.08$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.14 \pm 0.05$ | $1.30 \pm 0.08$ | $1.02 \pm 0.04$ | $1.15 \pm 0.06$ | $1.08 \pm 0.09$ | $0.95 \pm 0.11$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $1.04 \pm 0.06$ | $0.86 \pm 0.04$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.04 \pm 0.03$ | $0.89 \pm 0.09$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $0.99 \pm 0.05$ | $0.98 \pm 0.10$ | $0.85 \pm 0.08$ | $0.84 \pm 0.10$ | $0.98 \pm 0.05$ | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ |

Supplemental Table 5.1.: Continued.

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | 6h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  |
|  | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX2 | $2.50 \pm 0.33$ | $8.74 \pm 2.16$ | $4.90 \pm 1.62$ | $7.43 \pm 0.61$ | $1.07 \pm 0.14$ | $4.77 \pm 0.46$ | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $3.96 \pm 0.48$ |
| RBOHC | $1.04 \pm 0.42$ | $9.25 \pm 4.70$ | $0.40 \pm 0.14$ | $0.80 \pm 0.51$ | $1.26 \pm 0.59$ | $1.28 \pm 0.73$ | $0.17 \pm 0.03$ | $0.42 \pm 0.18$ |
| RBOHD | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $1.73 \pm 0.24$ | $0.66 \pm 0.06$ | $1.18 \pm 0.05$ | $1.36 \pm 0.03$ | $2.50 \pm 0.21$ | $1.09 \pm 0.03$ | $2.06 \pm 0.26$ |
| CSD1 | $1.19 \pm 0.08$ | $1.04 \pm 0.08$ | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | $0.83 \pm 0.06$ | $1.14 \pm 0.06$ | $1.55 \pm 0.13$ | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.69 \pm 0.04$ |
| CSD2 | $0.66 \pm 0.12$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $0.52 \pm 0.05$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04$ | $0.99 \pm 0.11$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $0.84 \pm 0.09$ | $0.57 \pm 0.03$ |
| FSD1 | $0.39 \pm 0.05$ | $0.28 \pm 0.06$ | $1.12 \pm 0.14$ | $0.39 \pm 0.09$ | $1.00 \pm 0.26$ | $0.09 \pm 0.02$ | $1.33 \pm 0.46$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ |
| CAT1 | $3.41 \pm 0.19$ | $3.44 \pm 0.19$ | $4.79 \pm 0.12$ | $4.04 \pm 0.19$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $0.80 \pm 0.03$ | $0.95 \pm 0.06$ | $0.82 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT2 | $0.09 \pm 0.01$ | $0.09 \pm 0.01$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $1.04 \pm 0.08$ | $0.58 \pm 0.06$ | $1.03 \pm 0.08$ | $0.66 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT3 | $9.51 \pm 0.23$ | $13.8 \pm 2.57$ | $9.12 \pm 1.28$ | $7.54 \pm 1.14$ | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $3.13 \pm 0.32$ | $0.85 \pm 0.16$ | $2.32 \pm 0.36$ |
| APX1 | $0.84 \pm 0.10$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $1.08 \pm 0.03$ | $1.13 \pm 0.08$ | $1.44 \pm 0.18$ | $1.37 \pm 0.13$ | $1.29 \pm 0.16$ | $1.56 \pm 0.15$ |
| ANP1 | $1.13 \pm 0.09$ | $1.18 \pm 0.14$ | $1.10 \pm 0.08$ | $1.18 \pm 0.03$ | $1.21 \pm 0.11$ | $0.85 \pm 0.02$ | $1.09 \pm 0.10$ | $0.88 \pm 0.08$ |
| MPK3 | $0.97 \pm 0.04$ | $1.32 \pm 0.23$ | $0.82 \pm 0.10$ | $1.37 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $2.40 \pm 0.21$ | $0.90 \pm 0.08$ | $2.23 \pm 0.17$ |
| MPK6 | $1.03 \pm 0.03$ | $1.02 \pm 0.03$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.94 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $0.97 \pm 0.11$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ |
| WRKY22 | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05$ | $0.17 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.20$ | $3.13 \pm 0.64$ | $0.63 \pm 0.06$ | $3.48 \pm 0.47$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.91 \pm 0.20$ | $1.20 \pm 0.42$ | $1.28 \pm 0.07$ | $0.30 \pm 0.08$ | $0.82 \pm 0.12$ | $1.85 \pm 0.25$ | $0.61 \pm 0.06$ | $2.93 \pm 0.62$ |
| ZAT12 | $0.41 \pm 0.13$ | $1.76 \pm 0.81$ | $0.77 \pm 0.27$ | $0.48 \pm 0.11$ | $0.83 \pm 0.18$ | $0.80 \pm 0.11$ | $0.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.85 \pm 0.16$ |
| PDF1.2 | $0.25 \pm 0.11$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16$ | $0.19 \pm 0.05$ | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.91 \pm 0.41$ | $9.66 \pm 2.23$ | $0.13 \pm 0.04$ | $3.58 \pm 1.09$ |
| PCS1 | $1.48 \pm 0.04$ | $2.16 \pm 0.22$ | $1.78 \pm 0.20$ | $1.82 \pm 0.10$ | $1.09 \pm 0.07$ | $1.35 \pm 0.10$ | $1.17 \pm 0.07$ | $1.34 \pm 0.08$ |
| MT1a | $1.95 \pm 0.12$ | $1.41 \pm 0.24$ | $2.37 \pm 0.17$ | $1.59 \pm 0.17$ | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | $0.65 \pm 0.04$ | $0.83 \pm 0.04$ | $0.92 \pm 0.04$ |
| MT1c | $0.39 \pm 0.05$ | $0.39 \pm 0.07$ | $0.45 \pm 0.01$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ | $1.11 \pm 0.09$ | $0.20 \pm 0.04$ | $1.18 \pm 0.11$ | $0.31 \pm 0.04$ |
| MT2a | $1.55 \pm 0.23$ | $2.80 \pm 0.52$ | $2.38 \pm 0.12$ | $3.38 \pm 0.29$ | $1.67 \pm 0.07$ | $3.89 \pm 0.18$ | $1.60 \pm 0.20$ | $3.68 \pm 0.18$ |
| MT2b | $0.81 \pm 0.04$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.90 \pm 0.01$ | $1.33 \pm 0.17$ | $1.27 \pm 0.05$ | $1.18 \pm 0.14$ | $1.44 \pm 0.13$ |
| MT3 | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ | $1.04 \pm 0.07$ | $0.96 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.09 \pm 0.08$ | $1.74 \pm 0.11$ | $1.14 \pm 0.06$ | $1.74 \pm 0.14$ |

Supplemental Table 5.2.: Transcript levels in roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 mutant plants exposed to $2 \mu M$ $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 2, 4, 6 and 24h. Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants (= 1). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulation: $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 4h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | oxi1 | wild type |  | oxi1 |  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.11 \pm 0.07$ | $1.12 \pm 0.10$ | $6.84 \pm 0.76$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $6.31 \pm 0.72$ | $1.01 \pm 0.12$ | $65.5 \pm 6.09$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $57.7 \pm 6.54$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.28 \pm 0.02$ | $0.68 \pm 0.03$ | $1.26 \pm 0.09$ | $1.02 \pm 0.07$ | $1.57 \pm 0.09$ | $0.72 \pm 0.09$ | $2.19 \pm 0.18$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $2.26 \pm 0.20$ |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.92 \pm 0.05$ | $0.77 \pm 0.23$ | $13.1 \pm 0.91$ | $0.89 \pm 0.15$ | $11.1 \pm 0.90$ | $0.66 \pm 0.06$ | $12.5 \pm 2.37$ | $0.64 \pm 0.04$ | $9.49 \pm 2.48$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.06 \pm 0.03$ | $1.03 \pm 0.03$ | $1.40 \pm 0.05$ | $1.17 \pm 0.04$ | $1.47 \pm 0.01$ | $1.10 \pm 0.07$ | $1.64 \pm 0.13$ | $0.95 \pm 0.05$ | $1.84 \pm 0.05$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.97 \pm 0.03$ | $0.93 \pm 0.02$ | $0.97 \pm 0.02$ | $0.94 \pm 0.04$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $0.84 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.04$ | $0.75 \pm 0.02$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.11$ | $1.19 \pm 0.19$ | $0.72 \pm 0.13$ | $1.00 \pm 0.20$ | $1.05 \pm 0.14$ | $1.25 \pm 0.18$ | $0.83 \pm 0.11$ | $0.90 \pm 0.08$ | $1.13 \pm 0.14$ | $0.97 \pm 0.14$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ | $0.77 \pm 0.16$ | $1.61 \pm 0.30$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $1.45 \pm 0.08$ | $1.41 \pm 0.14$ | $4.02 \pm 0.74$ | $1.49 \pm 0.11$ | $4.06 \pm 0.61$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $0.92 \pm 0.06$ | $0.43 \pm 0.04$ | $1.10 \pm 0.05$ | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ | $0.84 \pm 0.08$ | $0.37 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $1.34 \pm 0.12$ | $1.07 \pm 0.16$ | $1.36 \pm 0.11$ | $1.11 \pm 0.10$ | $1.62 \pm 0.19$ | $1.23 \pm 0.17$ | $2.35 \pm 0.25$ | $1.02 \pm 0.15$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.89 \pm 0.04$ | $0.93 \pm 0.02$ | $1.36 \pm 0.02$ | $1.01 \pm 0.05$ | $1.59 \pm 0.06$ | $0.83 \pm 0.09$ | $1.46 \pm 0.12$ | $0.81 \pm 0.01$ | $1.50 \pm 0.05$ |
| ANP1 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.04 \pm 0.01$ | $0.82 \pm 0.09$ | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ | $0.96 \pm 0.02$ | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $0.76 \pm 0.02$ | $1.06 \pm 0.07$ | $0.81 \pm 0.01$ | $0.96 \pm 0.11$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.95 \pm 0.04$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $10.0 \pm 1.06$ | 0.88土0.05 | $10.8 \pm 0.70$ | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $5.80 \pm 0.37$ | $0.86 \pm 0.04$ | $5.39 \pm 0.44$ |
| MPK6 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.95 \pm 0.02$ | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $1.15 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $1.20 \pm 0.03$ | $0.93 \pm 0.02$ | $1.52 \pm 0.04$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $1.48 \pm 0.07$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.06 \pm 0.04$ | $0.90 \pm 0.09$ | $3.66 \pm 0.23$ | $1.08 \pm 0.11$ | $3.55 \pm 0.22$ | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ | $3.80 \pm 0.31$ | $1.04 \pm 0.10$ | $3.66 \pm 0.12$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.23 \pm 0.06$ | $1.07 \pm 0.08$ | $6.94 \pm 0.38$ | $1.36 \pm 0.06$ | $7.90 \pm 0.42$ | $1.39 \pm 0.04$ | $10.2 \pm 0.42$ | $1.40 \pm 0.09$ | $10.6 \pm 0.52$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.26$ | $0.67 \pm 0.10$ | $0.29 \pm 0.03$ | $204 \pm 33.6$ | $0.48 \pm 0.03$ | $189 \pm 12.2$ | $0.27 \pm 0.06$ | $419 \pm 37.7$ | $0.25 \pm 0.04$ | $545 \pm 83.7$ |
| PDF1.2 | $1.00 \pm 0.66$ | $4.12 \pm 2.53$ | $127 \pm 64.4$ | $135 \pm 44.0$ | $0.10 \pm 0.09$ | $101 \pm 36.2$ | $47.9 \pm 17.5$ | $7188 \pm 2116$ | $11.6 \pm 5.36$ | $3296 \pm 912$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.71 \pm 0.07$ | $4.19 \pm 0.58$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $6.13 \pm 0.49$ | $0.83 \pm 0.07$ | $3.05 \pm 0.36$ | $0.99 \pm 0.09$ | $3.13 \pm 0.35$ |
| MT1a | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $1.09 \pm 0.08$ | $0.69 \pm 0.23$ | $1.16 \pm 0.29$ | $0.80 \pm 0.08$ | $1.22 \pm 0.10$ | $0.85 \pm 0.20$ | $3.83 \pm 0.44$ | $0.75 \pm 0.07$ | $2.96 \pm 0.16$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $1.20 \pm 0.14$ | $0.81 \pm 0.08$ | $0.61 \pm 0.11$ | $1.06 \pm 0.05$ | $0.94 \pm 0.06$ | $0.88 \pm 0.09$ | $0.51 \pm 0.08$ | $0.99 \pm 0.07$ | $0.53 \pm 0.02$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $1.37 \pm 0.35$ | $0.79 \pm 0.09$ | $0.70 \pm 0.01$ | 0.65 $\pm 0.04$ | $0.67 \pm 0.05$ | $1.68 \pm 0.41$ | $2.84 \pm 1.02$ | $3.36 \pm 0.67$ | $2.49 \pm 0.40$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $1.22 \pm 0.14$ | $1.22 \pm 0.05$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $1.19 \pm 0.03$ | $0.86 \pm 0.04$ | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | $0.94 \pm 0.01$ | $1.15 \pm 0.16$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $1.28 \pm 0.04$ | $1.13 \pm 0.12$ | $1.49 \pm 0.07$ | $1.37 \pm 0.02$ | $1.34 \pm 0.11$ | $0.45 \pm 0.09$ | $1.49 \pm 0.13$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ |

Supplemental Table 5.2.: Continued.

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | 6h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  | wild type |  | oxi1 |  |
|  | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX1 | $1.34 \pm 0.18$ | $93.5 \pm 10.9$ | $1.24 \pm 0.15$ | $99.5 \pm 2.74$ | $2.24 \pm 0.18$ | $101 \pm 10.2$ | $1.79 \pm 0.20$ | $111 \pm 9.73$ |
| RBOHC | $0.78 \pm 0.09$ | $1.56 \pm 0.15$ | $1.01 \pm 0.05$ | $1.77 \pm 0.13$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $0.28 \pm 0.02$ | $1.07 \pm 0.03$ | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ |
| RBOHD | $0.69 \pm 0.02$ | $11.0 \pm 2.33$ | $0.66 \pm 0.13$ | $10.7 \pm 1.41$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $11.3 \pm 1.11$ | $0.75 \pm 0.09$ | $10.2 \pm 1.40$ |
| CSD1 | $1.11 \pm 0.09$ | $2.22 \pm 0.14$ | $1.02 \pm 0.02$ | $2.16 \pm 0.02$ | $1.28 \pm 0.06$ | $2.86 \pm 0.11$ | $1.20 \pm 0.06$ | $2.73 \pm 0.15$ |
| CSD2 | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $0.77 \pm 0.01$ | $0.79 \pm 0.02$ | $1.09 \pm 0.03$ | $1.36 \pm 0.07$ | $0.97 \pm 0.02$ | $1.20 \pm 0.06$ |
| FSD1 | $0.56 \pm 0.07$ | $0.75 \pm 0.09$ | $1.43 \pm 0.29$ | $0.71 \pm 0.07$ | $0.89 \pm 0.10$ | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ | $1.52 \pm 0.22$ | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ |
| CAT1 | $1.21 \pm 0.13$ | $3.89 \pm 0.50$ | $1.56 \pm 0.10$ | $3.68 \pm 0.67$ | $0.30 \pm 0.03$ | $4.60 \pm 1.06$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | $4.14 \pm 0.41$ |
| CAT2 | $0.67 \pm 0.10$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ | $1.02 \pm 0.06$ | $0.17 \pm 0.03$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ |
| CAT3 | $1.79 \pm 0.27$ | $1.30 \pm 0.20$ | $2.17 \pm 0.25$ | $1.11 \pm 0.16$ | $1.43 \pm 0.04$ | $1.15 \pm 0.21$ | $1.03 \pm 0.15$ | $1.47 \pm 0.37$ |
| APX1 | $0.77 \pm 0.07$ | $1.19 \pm 0.13$ | $0.76 \pm 0.01$ | $1.42 \pm 0.03$ | $0.92 \pm 0.05$ | $1.43 \pm 0.12$ | $0.92 \pm 0.05$ | $1.21 \pm 0.06$ |
| ANP1 | $0.88 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.18$ | $0.75 \pm 0.01$ | $0.98 \pm 0.05$ | $0.87 \pm 0.07$ | $1.36 \pm 0.04$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $1.04 \pm 0.15$ |
| MPK3 | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $3.92 \pm 0.45$ | $0.83 \pm 0.05$ | $3.90 \pm 0.14$ | $0.83 \pm 0.04$ | $2.72 \pm 0.31$ | $0.69 \pm 0.03$ | $2.48 \pm 0.12$ |
| MPK6 | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $1.32 \pm 0.07$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $1.32 \pm 0.03$ | $0.96 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.04$ | $0.85 \pm 0.01$ | $0.86 \pm 0.04$ |
| WRKY22 | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | $2.47 \pm 0.26$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $2.45 \pm 0.07$ | $0.96 \pm 0.11$ | $3.65 \pm 0.17$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $3.62 \pm 0.28$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | $11.2 \pm 0.74$ | $1.56 \pm 0.06$ | $9.94 \pm 0.21$ | $1.04 \pm 0.05$ | $6.33 \pm 0.48$ | $1.16 \pm 0.05$ | $5.51 \pm 0.31$ |
| ZAT12 | $0.49 \pm 0.08$ | $156 \pm 58.9$ | $0.22 \pm 0.10$ | $92.4 \pm 46.1$ | $0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $10.0 \pm 7.11$ | $0.01 \pm 0.01$ | $2.76 \pm 2.19$ |
| PDF1.2 | $22.5 \pm 13.8$ | $29454 \pm 6893$ | $18.8 \pm 12.0$ | $27483 \pm 3592$ | $58.6 \pm 9.88$ | $46270 \pm 4312$ | $33.5 \pm 9.08$ | $15460 \pm 3787$ |
| PCS1 | $0.82 \pm 0.07$ | $1.98 \pm 0.15$ | $0.95 \pm 0.01$ | $2.29 \pm 0.20$ | $0.95 \pm 0.05$ | $1.18 \pm 0.15$ | $0.85 \pm 0.07$ | $1.28 \pm 0.14$ |
| MT1a | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ | $5.40 \pm 1.39$ | $0.81 \pm 0.14$ | $5.19 \pm 0.45$ | $0.66 \pm 0.05$ | $51.8 \pm 7.10$ | $0.43 \pm 0.06$ | $63.7 \pm 7.96$ |
| MT1c | $0.92 \pm 0.11$ | $0.33 \pm 0.08$ | $0.83 \pm 0.06$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04$ | $1.64 \pm 0.09$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $1.51 \pm 0.13$ | $0.12 \pm 0.02$ |
| MT2a | $2.10 \pm 0.39$ | $2.14 \pm 0.26$ | $7.48 \pm 2.85$ | $3.81 \pm 1.30$ | $0.97 \pm 0.35$ | $4.30 \pm 1.68$ | $1.64 \pm 0.61$ | $7.08 \pm 1.86$ |
| MT2b | $0.84 \pm 0.05$ | $1.13 \pm 0.11$ | $0.77 \pm 0.03$ | $1.08 \pm 0.06$ | $1.07 \pm 0.06$ | $2.61 \pm 0.17$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $2.98 \pm 0.39$ |
| MT3 | $1.35 \pm 0.16$ | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ | $1.27 \pm 0.18$ | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ | $1.48 \pm 0.10$ | $1.61 \pm 0.16$ | $1.34 \pm 0.14$ | $1.72 \pm 0.40$ |
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#### Abstract

Copper ( Cu ), locally present in the environment in elevated concentrations, induces oxidative stress in plants. Although reactive oxygen species (ROS) can cause irreversible damage to cellular components, they can also act as signalling molecules in the cell. ROS signalling in plants controls the transcription of target genes involved in ROS scavenging or production by the use of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. Here, we describe the role of the MAPKinase MPK6 in Cu-induced oxidative stress. Although mpk6 knockout seedlings were observed to be more Cu tolerant than wild type plants, their roots experienced more oxidative stress after Cu exposure. It is hypothesized that these oxidative stress signals are used for root-to-shoot signalling, inducing acclimation responses to Cu exposure. In leaves of Cu -exposed mpk6 mutants, it was demonstrated that they accumulated significantly higher amounts of Cu as compared to leaves of wild type plants, resulting in elevated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels. However, no oxidative damage was detected suggesting that the higher ROS concentrations are used for oxidative signalling. In addition, MPK6 was observed to regulate the transcription of the pro-oxidative enzymes, RBOHC and RBOHD, and the antioxidative enzymes, CSD1, CSD2, CAT1 and CAT3, via the WRKY transcription factors, WRKY22 and WRKY25, or SPL7- and miRNA398-mediated processes.


### 6.1. Introduction

Elevated concentrations of copper ( Cu ) are locally present in the environment as a consequence of mining and industrial processes or the agricultural use of pesticides and fungicides (Kirkham 2006, Chary et al. 2008, Ruttens et al. 2011). Although Cu is an essential trace element for the plant, it becomes toxic and induces stress effects in plants at elevated concentrations. The main visible symptoms of metal toxicity are leaf chlorosis and growth inhibition (Cuypers et al. 2009, Yruela 2009). At the cellular level, metals can disturb the cellular redox status, leading to an overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which induce oxidative stress (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Because Cu is a redox-active metal, it has the ability to produce ROS directly via Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000). Although the rate of ROS production is elevated during stress conditions, low levels of ROS are essential for normal cell metabolism. This requires a tight regulation of subcellular ROS levels by the antioxidative defence system of the cell (Mittler et al. 2004, Cuypers et al. 2011b).
As signalling molecules, ROS play a key role in processes mediating defence against biotic and abiotic stresses (Torres and Dangl 2005) but also during normal cell growth and development (Foreman et al. 2003). Downstream signalling events associated with ROS sensing involve activation of mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Mittler et al. 2004, Apel and Hirt 2004, Opdenakker et al. 2012b: chapter 1).
Stimulation of MAPK cascades, and more specifically MPK6, by ROS is reported in different studies (Kovtun et al. 2000, Rentel et al. 2004). Activation of MPK6 is also associated with Cu stress in plants. In Medicago sativa roots as well as in rice roots, the orthologues of Arabidopsis MPK6, SIMK and OsMPK6, were activated after exposure to excess Cu ions (Jonak et al. 2004, Yeh et al. 2007). Opdenakker et al. (2012a; chapter 4) showed that 24 h exposure of Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings to environmental realistic concentrations of $\mathrm{Cu}(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ induced transcript levels of MPK6 in roots of Arabidopsis plants.
The function of MAPKinases, like MPK6, is to regulate the activity of transcription factors, leading to transcriptional control of target genes. For example, MPK6 activated by a flagellin induced MAPK cascade (MEKK1-MKK4/MKK5-MPK6) is known to activate the WRKY transcription factors, WRKY22 and WRKY29 (Asai et
al. 2002). Furthermore, short-term exposure of three-week-old Arabidopsis plants to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ induced gene expression of WRKY22, WRKY25, WRKY29 and ZAT12 in leaves and roots. These data suggest that these transcription factors play an essential role in regulation of the stress response upon metal exposure (Opdenakker et al. 2012a, chapter 4). Several enzymes, involved in controlling the redox status of the cell, are reported to be regulated at the transcriptional level by WRKY25 and ZAT12. Examples are the ascorbate peroxidases APX1 and $A P X 2$, the superoxide dismutases CSD1, CSD2 and FSD1, and the pro-oxidative NADPH oxidase RBOHD and lipoxygenase LOX4 (Rhizhsky et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005, Vogel et al. 2005, Li et al. 2009).
From the above-mentioned literature, it is known that Cu stress induces gene expression of MPK6 and enhances its activity in plants. On the other hand it has been shown that (1) exposure of plants to increased concentrations of Cu induces ROS production, eventually leading to oxidative stress in the plant cells, and (2) MPK6 is activated by ROS and can regulate gene expression of pro- and antioxidative enzymes via activation of WRKY transcription factors. Based on these findings, we hypothesize that MPK6 is also activated by the Cu-mediated ROS production in Arabidopsis and that it plays a central role in the regulation of the cellular redox status after Cu exposure. Therefore, we exposed Arabidopsis wild type as well as mpk6 knockout plants to a sublethal Cu concentration and investigated the effect of the loss of MPK6 on the cellular redox status at different biological organisation levels.

### 6.2. Results

### 6.2.1. mpk6 knockout mutants are more tolerant to Cu stress

In an initial experiment, the Cu sensitivity of mpk6 knockout plants was tested by analysing root growth. Therefore, Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings, grown on vertical agar plates for 1 week, were exposed to different Cu concentrations during 1 week (Figure 6.1.). Primary root growth showed no significant difference between non-exposed wild type and mpk6 mutant plants ( $8.29 \pm 0.20$ vs $9.24 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). After exposure to Cu , primary root growth of wild type and mpk6 seedlings decreased gradually with increasing Cu concentration ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.0001 for the decrease in root growth after exposure to each Cu
concentration). However, primary root growth of mpk6 mutant plants was less affected by Cu exposure. After exposure to 2 , 5 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ during 1 week, primary root length of wild type plants was reduced by almost 50\%. In contrast, mpk6 mutants showed a reduction of only $20 \%$, indicating a significant higher primary root growth than wild type plants after exposure to 2 , 5 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$. Exposure to $15 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ inhibited the primary root growth strongly in both genotypes but still root growth of mpk6 seedlings was significantly higher than in wild type plants. After exposure to $20 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$, primary root growth was completely abolished in wild type as well as in mpk6 mutant plants.


Figure 6.1.: Root growth (\%) of 2-week old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type (white bars) and mpk6 knockout (grey bars) seedlings grown on vertical agar plates and exposed to different concentrations $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 1 week. Root growth was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants (= 100\%). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 25$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: ${ }^{* * *: ~} p<0.01$ ).

Three-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings, grown in hydroponics and exposed to 2 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ during 2 or 24 h , showed a decreasing trend in leaf and root weight in both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after 24 h (data not shown). However, no differences between the two genotypes were observed.

### 6.2.2. MPK6 affects the Cu content of leaves

Because wild type Arabidopsis plants were more sensitive to Cu exposure than mpk6 knockout plants, the concentration of Cu as well as of other essential elements was determined in roots and leaves of 3-week-old hydroponically grown Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cu during 24h (Table 6.1.). In roots, no differences were observed in Cu content between non-exposed wild type and mpk6 mutant plants. After 24 h exposure to Cu , both genotypes showed similarly elevated Cu concentrations in their roots. In leaves, mpk6
mutants showed, in contrast to wild types, a significantly increased Cu concentration in the leaves after exposure to Cu .

Table 6.1.: Element concentrations ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kgDW}^{-1}$ ) in leaves and roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings grown on hydroponics and exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 24h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance levels: ${ }^{* * *}$ : $p<0.01, * *: p<0.05$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Element | Control |  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M C u}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M C u}$ |  |  |
| Cu | 27 | $\pm$ | 2 | 1220 | $\pm$ | $79^{* * *}$ | 22 | $\pm$ | 1 | 1418 | $\pm$ | $110^{* * *}$ |
| Zn | 442 | $\pm$ | 52 | 395 | $\pm$ | 65 | 336 | $\pm$ |  | 277 | $\pm$ | 14 |
| K | 60662 | $\pm$ | 1372 | 37266 | $\pm$ | 5650** | 63568 | $\pm$ | 1947 | 36495 | $\pm$ | 7889** |
| Ca | 2203 | $\pm$ |  | 5241 | $\pm$ | $1109^{* * *}$ | 2137 | $\pm$ | 218 | 4134 | $\pm$ | 696** |
| Fe | 695 | $\pm$ | 89 | 1136 | $\pm$ | 223 | 757 | $\pm$ |  | 1086 | $\pm$ | 168 |
| Mg | 2446 | $\pm$ |  | 1622 | $\pm$ | $127 * *$ | 2731 | $\pm$ | 175 | 1583 | $\pm$ | 201*** |
| Mn | 734 | $\pm$ |  | 387 | $\pm$ | 149 | 543 | $\pm$ | 31 | 263 | $\pm$ | 78 |
| S | 15225 | $\pm$ |  | 12114 | $\pm$ | 876 | 15071 | $\pm$ | 225 | 11641 | $\pm$ | 1298 |
| P | 14263 | $\pm$ | 202 | 12123 | $\pm$ | $378 * *$ | 14676 | $\pm$ | 358 | 11920 | $\pm$ | 139*** |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Element | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  |
| Cu | 11 | $\pm$ | 1 |  | $\pm$ | 1 |  | $\pm$ | 1 | 15 | $\pm$ | $1^{* * *}$ |
| Zn |  | $\pm$ | 4 | 81 | $\pm$ | 13 |  | $\pm$ | 3 | 56 | $\pm$ | 7 |
| K | 28620 | $\pm$ | 1162 | 31132 | $\pm$ | 501 | 26024 | $\pm$ |  | 26199 | $\pm$ | 1427 |
| Ca | 40223 | $\pm$ | 1435 | 38196 | $\pm$ | 1804 | 41298 | $\pm$ | 1756 | 38701 | $\pm$ | 1644 |
| Fe |  | $\pm$ |  |  | $\pm$ | 14 | 67 | $\pm$ | 2 | 76 | $\pm$ | 5 |
| Mg | 6191 | $\pm$ |  | 6420 | $\pm$ | 290 | 6511 | $\pm$ | 160 | 6461 | $\pm$ | 217 |
| Mn | 117 | $\pm$ | 5 | 104 | $\pm$ | 9 | 121 | $\pm$ | 6 | 99 | $\pm$ | 4 |
| S | 8082 | $\pm$ |  | 8819 | $\pm$ | 633 | 8682 | $\pm$ | 123 | 8883 | $\pm$ | 684 |
| P | 9584 | $\pm$ | 288 | 9490 | $\pm$ | 106 | 9681 | $\pm$ | 222 | 9017 | $\pm$ | 291 |

Whereas no differences were observed for other elements in leaves under Cu stress, the amount of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and P decreased in roots, while Ca concentrations increased by Cu exposure in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants (Table 6.1.).

Because leaves of mpk6 knockout plants display an increased amount of Cu after exposure, which was not seen in wild type plants, expression levels of $\mathrm{Cu}-$ binding ligands, metallothioneins (MTs), and phytochelatin (PC) synthase, were analyzed in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed Arabidopsis plants. In the roots (Table 6.2.), gene expression of MT1a and MT1c was differently regulated in wild type plants after Cu exposure. In wild type plants, transcript levels of MT1a were already significantly elevated after 2 h Cu exposure and increased 9 -fold after 24 h exposure to Cu . The opposite was observed for the expression of MT1c with a significant decrease after 2 h Cu exposure and an almost complete inhibition after 24 h Cu exposure. In mpk6 knockouts, no significant differences for these genes were observed. In both genotypes, PCS1 and MT2a were significantly induced after 2 h and 24 h exposure to Cu respectively.

In leaves (Table 6.2.), no genotypic differences were noticed and the gene expression of both PCS1 and MT2a was induced after 24h of Cu-exposure. When exposed during 1 week, MT1c transcript levels were elevated from $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ on in the mpk6 knockout mutant (Table 6.3.).

### 6.2.3. MPK6 has an effect on the cellular redox status under Cu stress

As MPK6 affects the Cu tolerance of Arabidopsis plants, we investigated the role of MPK6 in controlling the cellular redox status after Cu exposure. The cellular redox state was analysed by determining (1) ROS production, (2) oxidative damage to cell membranes indicated by lipid peroxidation, (3) the reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) levels of glutathione, (4) the activities of antioxidative enzymes and (5) the transcript levels of pro- as well as antioxidative enzymes.
ROS production was estimated by the measurement of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout plants. Roots of Cu-exposed wild type plants accumulated significantly higher $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels after 24 h Cu exposure than non-exposed plants (Figure 6.2.A). In mpk6 mutants, no change of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content was observed in roots after exposure to Cu . In leaves, no significant differences in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content were found between control and $\mathrm{Cu}-$ exposed wild type seedlings (Figure 6.2.B). In contrast, leaves of mpk6 mutant plants showed a significant increase in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration after 24 h exposure to Cu .
Table 6．2．：Transcript levels in roots and leaves of 3 －week－old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants grown on hydroponics and exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ during 0,2 or 24 h ．Gene expression was calculated relative to the non－exposed wild type plants genotype on each time point：downregulation： $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ；upregulation： $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ）．

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{Mcu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.09 \pm 0.11$ | $1.18 \pm 0.06$ | $6.94 \pm 0.21$ | $1.17 \pm 0.05$ | $6.89 \pm 0.57$ | $1.11 \pm 0.03$ | $1.58 \pm 0.14$ | $1.21 \pm 0.06$ | $1.51 \pm 0.09$ |
| MTıa | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $0.71 \pm 0.20$ | 0．93 $\pm 0.05$ | $2.86 \pm 0.54$ | $1.29 \pm 0.25$ | $2.28 \pm 0.29$ | $0.90 \pm 0.08$ | $8.50 \pm 3.44$ | $1.00 \pm 0.16$ | $1.69 \pm 0.25$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.47 \pm 0.11$ | $1.05 \pm 0.17$ | $0.44 \pm 0.07$ | 0．85 $\pm 0.16$ | 0．55 $\pm 0.11$ | $1.21 \pm 0.12$ | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ | $0.78 \pm 0.11$ | 0．51 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ．11 |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.22$ | $1.47 \pm 0.11$ | $4.18 \pm 0.30$ | $3.51 \pm 0.70$ | $3.72 \pm 0.96$ | $1.92 \pm 0.18$ | $1.88 \pm 0.26$ | 15．0土1．93 | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $9.68 \pm 1.07$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.11$ | $1.34 \pm 0.14$ | $1.30 \pm 0.20$ | 0．72 $\pm 0.06$ | 1．01 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ．12 | $1.18 \pm 0.20$ | $1.72 \pm 0.20$ | $0.62 \pm 0.02$ | $1.31 \pm 0.05$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.39 \pm 0.11$ | $1.44 \pm 0.20$ | $1.11 \pm 0.25$ | 0．86 $\pm 0.12$ | $0.61 \pm 0.05$ | $1.29 \pm 0.20$ | $1.14 \pm 0.09$ | 0．80 0.06 | $0.89 \pm 0.12$ |


| Leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{Mcu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $1.13 \pm 0.11$ | $1.20 \pm 0.08$ | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | 1．40 $\pm 0.15$ | $1.43 \pm 0.11$ | $1.47 \pm 0.11$ | 3．56 $\pm 0.31$ | $1.33 \pm 0.17$ | 3．89 $\pm 0.41$ |
| mtia | $1.00 \pm 0.23$ | $0.61 \pm 0.07$ | $1.04 \pm 0.14$ | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | $0.85 \pm 0.14$ | $0.84 \pm 0.15$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $0.88 \pm 0.18$ | $0.88 \pm 0.28$ | $0.59 \pm 0.08$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.22$ | $1.17 \pm 0.18$ | $1.14 \pm 0.17$ | $0.52 \pm 0.05$ | 1．04 $\pm 0.11$ | $0.65 \pm 0.09$ | $1.42 \pm 0.18$ | $0.65 \pm 0.14$ | $1.20 \pm 0.20$ | 0．70 $\pm 0.22$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | $0.95 \pm 0.01$ | 0．57 $\pm 0.04$ | 0．52 $\pm .11$ | 0．52 $\pm 0.06$ | 0．56 0.03 | $1.29 \pm 0.12$ | $2.53 \pm 0.19$ | 1．07 0.11 | $2.20 \pm 0.30$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $1.14 \pm 0.12$ | 1．29士0．11 | 1．09 $\pm 0.05$ | $1.27 \pm 0.04$ | $1.19 \pm 0.04$ | $1.15 \pm 0.06$ | $1.35 \pm 0.14$ | 0．94土0．05 | 0．96 $\pm 0.15$ |
| мт3 | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | 1．31 11.09 | $1.41 \pm 0.01$ | $1.11 \pm 0.28$ | $1.69 \pm 0.03$ | $1.74 \pm 0.11$ | $1.67 \pm 0.09$ | $2.16 \pm 0.34$ | $1.33 \pm 0.17$ | $1.77 \pm 0.18$ |

Table 6.3.: Transcript levels in leaves of 2-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to different concentrations of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 1 week. Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants (=1). Values are

 downregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulated: | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Control |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{MCu}$ |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{MCu}$ |  | $15 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.97 \pm 0.19$ | $1.28 \pm 0.11$ | $1.53 \pm 0.06$ | $1.88 \pm 0.04$ | $1.61 \pm 0.14$ | $2.49 \pm 0.44$ | $1.64 \pm 0.07$ | $1.65 \pm 0.18$ |
| MT1a | $1.00 \pm 0.15$ | $0.33 \pm 0.01$ | $0.67 \pm 0.05$ | $0.35 \pm 0.01$ | $0.60 \pm 0.01$ | $0.54 \pm 0.06$ | $0.63 \pm 0.06$ | $0.67 \pm 0.09$ | $0.54 \pm 0.07$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.37 \pm 0.01$ | $0.56 \pm 0.05$ | $0.50 \pm 0.11$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $1.08 \pm 0.09$ | $1.01 \pm 0.26$ | $1.16 \pm 0.12$ | $0.79 \pm 0.11$ | $1.24 \pm 0.19$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $1.49 \pm 0.35$ | $1.46 \pm 0.24$ | $1.48 \pm 0.17$ | $1.31 \pm 0.10$ | $1.50 \pm 0.14$ | $1.75 \pm 0.18$ | $1.18 \pm 0.11$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.24$ | $0.78 \pm 0.12$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $0.60 \pm 0.02$ | $0.58 \pm 0.04$ | $0.67 \pm 0.04$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $0.60 \pm 0.04$ | $0.28 \pm 0.02$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $0.89 \pm 0.06$ | $0.92 \pm 0.11$ | $0.89 \pm 0.06$ | $0.76 \pm 0.01$ | $0.84 \pm 0.01$ | $0.74 \pm 0.08$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $0.30 \pm 0.01$ | $0.34 \pm 0.04$ |

As a parameter for the amount of oxidative damage, lipid peroxidation was estimated in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed plants by determining thiobarbituric acid reactive metabolites (TBArm). In roots of both genotypes (Figure 6.2.C), significantly elevated levels of TBArm were observed after 24 h exposure to Cu . Although increased TBArm levels were also observed in leaves of wild type plants, leaves of mpk6 knockout plants showed no differences in TBArm after Cu exposure (Figure 6.2.D).


Figure 6.2.: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content ( $\mathrm{nmol} \mathrm{gFW}{ }^{-1}$ ) and lipid peroxidation ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{gFW}^{-1}$ ) in roots (A-C) and leaves (B-D) of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants grown on hydroponics and exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 0,2 and 24 h ( $\square=$ wild type non-exposed, $\square=$ wild type exposed, $\square=$ mpk6 non-exposed, $\square=$ mpk6 exposed). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: **: $p<$ 0.05; ***: $p<0.01$; genotype*treatment interaction effect: $a$ : $p<0.01$ ).

Levels of GSH and GSSG were investigated in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed Arabidopsis plants (Table 6.4.). Already after 2 h of Cu exposure, the amount of GSH was significantly reduced in roots of wild type and mpk6 mutant plants. In contrast to wild type seedlings, the concentration of GSSG was also significantly
increased in Cu-exposed mpk6 knockouts leading to an increased GSSG/GSH ratio. The higher increase in the amount of GSSG in Cu-exposed mpk6 seedlings compared to Cu-exposed wild type plants is due to a significantly lower GSSG concentration in non-exposed mpk6 plants at 2 h after the start of the day. In roots of both wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings exposed to Cu during 24h, the amount of GSH was decreased while the concentration of GSSG was increased, resulting in a significant elevation of the GSSG/GSH ratio. In leaves of Cu-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout plants, no significant changes in the cellular redox status were observed. As seen in the roots, the GSSG concentration in non-exposed mpk6 mutants was lower than in non-exposed wild type plants, which results in a lower GSSG/GSH ratio under control conditions. Nevertheless this was apparent before the start of the day, but this difference disappeared after the onset of light.
Activities of several enzymes involved in antioxidative defence were also examined in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis seedlings after Cu exposure (Table 6.5.). The activities of the superoxide dismutases (SOD) were differently affected in roots of wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings. The SOD activity was significantly induced in mpk6 mutants after 2 h exposure to Cu . In contrast, SOD levels of wild type plants were not altered after Cu exposure. Guaiacol peroxidase (GPOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione reductase (GR) activity levels were enhanced in the same way in both wild types and mpk6 knockouts, with increased activities at 24 h after Cu exposure. In the leaves, the activities of peroxidases, determined as SPOD and GPOD, and CAT were significantly increased in leaves of wild type plants after 24 h exposure to Cu , whereas no changes in the activity of these enzymes were observed in mpk6 knockout plants.

Transcript levels of pro- and antioxidative enzymes were measured in roots (Table 6.6.) and leaves (Table 6.7.) of wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants. Concerning the pro-oxidative side of the redox balance, gene expression of the lipoxygenases LOX1 and LOX2 showed no differences between wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings. Transcript levels of LOX1 and LOX2 were elevated in a similar way in wild type and mpk6 mutant plants after 2 and 24 h of Cu exposure respectively (Table 6.6. and 6.7.).

MPK6 mediates Cu-specific oxidative signalling
Table 6.4.: Concentration ( $\mathrm{nmol} g F W^{-1}$ ) of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione in leaves and roots of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants grown on hydroponics and exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO} 4$ during 0,2 and 24h (GSSG levels were expressed in GSH equivalents). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 4$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: ***: $p<0.01$; genotype effect: $b: p$ < 0.05; a: p 0.01).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Metabolite | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  |
| Oh | GSH + GSSG | 150.5 | $\pm$ | 14.5 |  |  |  | 148.5 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GSH | 147.1 | $\pm$ | 15.0 |  |  |  | 144.6 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG | 3.4 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 3.9 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.024 |  | 0.008 |  |  |  | 0.027 | $\pm$ | 0.009 |  |  |  |
| 2h | GSH + GSSG | 168.6 | $\pm$ | 11.4 | 62.0 | $\pm$ | $10.5^{* * *}$ | 150.4 | $\pm$ | 4.6 | 56.9 | $\pm$ | $2.4 * * *$ |
|  | GSH | 149.7 | $\pm$ | 8.6 | 55.9 | $\pm$ | $16.5^{* * *}$ | 149.6 | $\pm$ |  | 25.6 | $\pm$ | $4.5{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSSG | 18.9 | $\pm$ | 7.3 | 16.8 | $\pm$ |  | 0.8 | $\pm$ | $0.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27.4 | $\pm$ | $1.3{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.163 | $\pm$ | 0.039 | 0.214 | $\pm$ | 0.080 | 0.006 | $\pm$ | $0.002{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.49 | $\pm$ | 0.56** |
| 24h | GSH + GSSG | 148.7 | $\pm$ | 9.8 | 45.9 | $\pm$ | $4.8{ }^{* * *}$ | 150.8 | $\pm$ | 5.7 | 46.6 | $\pm$ | $1.0{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSH | 134.7 | $\pm$ | 13.7 | 11.7 | $\pm$ | $1.5{ }^{* * *}$ | 148.2 | $\pm$ |  | 16.4 | $\pm$ | $3.2{ }^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSSG | 13.9 | $\pm$ | 6.5 | 30.5 | $\pm$ |  | 2.6 | $\pm$ | 0.6 | 34.8 | $\pm$ | $6.0^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.117 | $\pm$ | 0.058 | 3.04 | $\pm$ | $0.41^{* * *}$ | 0.014 | $\pm$ | 0.002 | 3.09 | $\pm$ | $0.58{ }^{* *}$ |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Metabolite | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |  |
| Oh | GSH + GSSG | 379.6 | $\pm$ | 58.2 |  |  |  | 341.3 | $\pm$ | 51.8 |  |  |  |
|  | GSH | 336.6 | $\pm$ | 58.4 |  |  |  | 316.8 | $\pm$ | 34.9 |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG | 43.0 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 23.8 | $\pm$ | $3.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.138 | $\pm$ | 0.021 |  |  |  | 0.090 | $\pm$ | 0.018 |  |  |  |
| 2h | GSH + GSSG | 464.9 | $\pm$ | 41.8 | 440.5 | $\pm$ | 61.5 | 404.1 | $\pm$ | 29.5 | 377.6 | $\pm$ | 23.3 |
|  | GSH | 391.9 | $\pm$ | 21.3 | 386.6 | $\pm$ | 49.7 | 365.6 | $\pm$ | 30.7 | 343.4 | $\pm$ | 22.9 |
|  | GSSG | 50.7 | $\pm$ | 10.4 | 53.8 | $\pm$ | 11.9 | 47.2 | $\pm$ | 4.0 | 34.2 | $\pm$ | 3.6 |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.134 | $\pm$ | 0.032 | 0.135 | $\pm$ | 0.013 | 0.129 | $\pm$ | 0.005 | 0.101 | $\pm$ | 0.012 |
| 24h | GSH + GSSG | 277.3 | $\pm$ | 10.3 | 398.9 | $\pm$ | 49.2 | 329.0 | $\pm$ | 35.6 | 380.5 | $\pm$ | 42.3 |
|  | GSH | 244.6 | $\pm$ | 25.3 | 331.2 | $\pm$ | 43.2 | 304.5 | $\pm$ | 35.9 | 344.2 | $\pm$ | 46.9 |
|  | GSSG | 56.9 | $\pm$ | 0.3 | 67.8 | $\pm$ | 8.1 | 24.4 | $\pm$ | $4.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 36.4 | $\pm$ | 8.7 |
|  | GSSG /GSH | 0.260 | $\pm$ | 0.014 | 0.208 | $\pm$ | 0.018 | 0.084 | $\pm$ | $0.015^{\text {a }}$ | 0.119 | $\pm$ | 0.039 |

Chapter 6
Table 6.5.: Enzyme activities ( $U \mathrm{gFW}{ }^{-1}$ for SOD, mU gFW ${ }^{-1}$ for all other enzymes) in roots and leaves of 3 -week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants grown on hydroponics and exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{MCuSO}_{4}$ during 0,2 and 24 h . Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: ${ }^{* *}$ : $p<0.05 ; * * *: p<0.01$; genotype*treatment interaction effect: a: $p<0.01$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
| Time | Enzyme | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M C u}$ |
| Oh | SOD | $555 \pm 58$ |  | $468 \pm 66$ |  |
|  | SPOD | $10336 \pm 483$ |  | $4988 \pm 243$ |  |
|  | GPOD | $11752 \pm 1328$ |  | $7632 \pm 846$ |  |
|  | GR | $309 \pm 32$ |  | $232 \pm 28$ |  |
|  | CAT | $71 \pm 2$ |  | $65 \pm 2$ |  |
| 2h | SOD | $325 \pm 2$ | $368 \pm 13$ | $322 \pm 16$ | $491 \pm 64^{* *}$ |
|  | SPOD | $7337 \pm 682$ | $7863 \pm 371$ | $5946 \pm 303$ | $8033 \pm 339$ |
|  | GPOD | $8751 \pm 837$ | $9733 \pm 417$ | $6935 \pm 506$ | $9171 \pm 940$ |
|  | GR | $265 \pm 16$ | $301 \pm 16$ | $229 \pm 16$ | $298 \pm 31$ |
|  | CAT | $59 \pm 5$ | $74 \pm 4$ | $58 \pm 5$ | $73 \pm 4$ |
| 24h | SOD | $512 \pm 65$ | $506 \pm 18$ | $542 \pm 68$ | $578 \pm 29$ |
|  | SPOD | $9556 \pm 732$ | $11293 \pm 779$ | $8725 \pm 494$ | $11063 \pm 814$ |
|  | GPOD | $10123 \pm 430$ | $21964 \pm 731^{* * *}$ | $8975 \pm 475$ | $20913 \pm 2091^{* * *}$ |
|  | GR | $244 \pm 5$ | $376 \pm 28^{* *}$ | $262 \pm 11$ | $377 \pm 41^{* *}$ |
|  | CAT | $59 \pm 3$ | $84 \pm 5^{* * *}$ | $62 \pm 4$ | $76 \pm 5$ |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
| Time | Enzyme | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{MCu}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M C u}$ |
| Oh | SOD | $1316 \pm 131$ |  | $366 \pm 120$ |  |
|  | SPOD | $932 \pm 156$ |  | $425 \pm 39$ |  |
|  | GPOD | $432 \pm 38$ |  | $259 \pm 36$ |  |
|  | GR | $460 \pm 62$ |  | $781 \pm 45$ |  |
|  | CAT | $149 \pm 8$ |  | $160 \pm 9$ |  |
| 2h | SOD | $1251 \pm 166$ | $1122 \pm 176$ | $1380 \pm 207$ | $1323 \pm 135$ |
|  | SPOD | $1875 \pm 83$ | $1616 \pm 21$ | $1354 \pm 116$ | $1668 \pm 97$ |
|  | GPOD | $610 \pm 49$ | $589 \pm 26$ | $765 \pm 62$ | $762 \pm 39$ |
|  | GR | $841 \pm 37$ | $971 \pm 45$ | $901 \pm 106$ | $929 \pm 90$ |
|  | CAT | $224 \pm 38$ | $194 \pm 11$ | $157 \pm 13$ | $240 \pm 36$ |
| 24h | SOD | $1490 \pm 71$ | $1441 \pm 226$ | $1114 \pm 106$ | $1312 \pm 89$ |
|  | SPOD | $1764 \pm 120$ | $2992 \pm 242^{* * *}$ | $1407 \pm 125$ | $1696 \pm 104^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | GPOD | $716 \pm 45$ | $976 \pm 90^{* *}$ | $829 \pm 38$ | $862 \pm 14$ |
|  | GR | $961 \pm 40$ | $1035 \pm 40$ | $939 \pm 44$ | $1008 \pm 30$ |
|  | CAT | $217 \pm 15$ | $342 \pm 36^{* * *}$ | $185 \pm 18$ | $256 \pm 10$ |

In roots of Cu-exposed plants, expression of the NADPH oxidases RBOHC and RBOHD was regulated differently in wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings (Table 6.6.). Transcript levels of RBOHC were elevated 2 -fold in wild type plants after 2 h exposure to Cu . In contrast, mpk6 mutant plants showed no changes in RBOHC expression after Cu exposure. Gene expression of RBOHD was significantly increased in both wild type and mpk6 plants after 2 h Cu exposure. After 24 h exposure to Cu , transcript levels of RBOHD were still elevated in wild type plants, whereas mpk6 knockout plants showed no significant changes in gene expression.
Transcript levels of enzymes involved in the antioxidative defence of the cell were also measured in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings. In the roots, genotype-dependent differences in gene expression were observed for the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutases (CSD1 and CSD2) and the catalases (CAT1 and CAT3) (Table 6.6.). In wild type plants, transcript levels of CSD1 were already significantly elevated after 2 h Cu exposure. After 24 h exposure to Cu , gene expression of CSD1 was also significantly increased in mpk6 mutant seedlings but was lower than the gene expression level of wild type plants. In contrast, expression of CSD2 was induced in mpk6 plants after 24 h Cu exposure, whereas wild type plants showed no significant changes in CSD2 levels. Regarding the catalases, gene expression of CAT1 was significantly elevated in both wild types and mpk6 knockouts after 2 h exposure to Cu . After 24 h , transcript levels of CAT1 were still high in wild type plants, whereas in mpk6 mutants they were similar to the levels of nonexposed seedlings. In contrast, transcript levels of CAT3 were induced after 24 h exposure to Cu in mpk6 mutant plants, while CAT3 expression was not changed in wild type plants. The leaves showed no significant differences in expression of these genes between both genotypes after exposure to Cu with an overall decrease of CSD2 after 24h (Table 6.7.).

When Arabidopsis plants were exposed to Cu during 1 week (Table 6.8.), a strong increase in CSD1 and CSD2 transcript levels, concomitant with a strong decrease in FSD1 transcript levels were observed in leaves of non-exposed mpk6 knockouts as compared to wild type plants. In contrast, CSD1 and CSD2 expression was significantly increased in wild type seedlings after 1 week Cu exposure whereas no changes in gene expression of CSD1 and CSD2 were seen
in Cu-exposed mpk6 mutants as compared to their control conditions. Upon Cu exposure, FSD1 transcript levels further decreased in leaves of both genotypes. In addition, transcript levels of CAT2 were significantly decreased in leaves of non-exposed mpk6 knockout plants. However, after 1 week Cu exposure, CAT2 transcripts were significantly reduced in Cu -exposed wild types.

### 6.2.4. MPK6 affects transcript levels via regulation of MAPKinase signalling as well as via miRNA's

Because MPK6 is a signalling molecule able to phosphorylate transcription factors, gene expression of the transcription factors WRKY22/25/29 and ZAT12 was determined in roots and leaves of Cu-exposed mpk6 knockout plants and compared to wild type plants. Expression levels of MPK3, a MAPK belonging to the same group as MPK6 and with the same function, were measured after Cu exposure. In the roots, transcript levels of MPK3 were significantly increased in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants after 2 h exposure to Cu (Table 6.6.). In contrast, after 24 h Cu exposure expression of MPK3 was still elevated in wild type plants, while mpk6 knockout plants showed no difference in gene expression. After exposure to Cu , the leaves showed similar gene expression patterns for MPK3 in wild types and mpk6 knockouts, with a general increase after 24 h (Table 6.7.). However, after 1 week exposure to different Cu concentrations, leaves showed the same response as was seen in the roots; transcript levels of MPK3 were significantly induced in leaves of Cu-exposed wild type seedlings whereas no changes in MPK3 expression were observed in mpk6 mutant plants (Table 6.8.). The roots showed a genotype-dependent regulation of WRKY22 and WRKY25 (Table 6.6.) under Cu exposure. Transcript levels of WRKY22 were 4 times higher in 2 h Cu-exposed wild type seedlings as compared to the non-exposed seedlings. In contrast, mpk6 knockout plants showed no significant increase in gene expression of WRKY22. Although wild type and mpk6 knockout plants showed a similar increase in gene expression of WRKY25 after 2 h exposure to Cu , transcript levels of $W R K Y 25$ were regulated differently after 24 h exposure to Cu . In wild type plants, expression of WRKY25 was significantly elevated after 24 h Cu exposure, whereas mpk6 mutants showed no significant change in transcript levels of WRKY25. In addition, WRKY29 and ZAT12 showed the same response in gene expression after exposure to Cu in wild type as well
as in mpk6 mutant seedlings. In the leaves (Table 6.7.), no genotype specific responses were observed. The WRKY22/25/29 and ZAT12 transcription factors showed similar gene expression responses after exposure to Cu in wild type and mpk6 plants, as compared to their respective controls.

Gene expression of CSD1/2 is known to be regulated by miRNA398. Since differences in root transcript levels of CSD1/2 were observed between Cuexposed wild type and mpk6 knockouts, a possible regulation of miRNA398 by MPK6 was investigated. Therefore, gene expressions of primary transcripts of CSD1/2 and miRNA398 were determined. The primary transcript of CSD1 (hnCSD1) showed a similar expression pattern as CSD1 in roots of both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants (Table 6.6.). Expression of the primary transcript of CSD2 (hnCSD2) was not altered in wild type or mpk6 mutant seedlings after Cu exposure. In addition, primary transcript levels of the CSDregulating miRNA398b/c (pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c) were significantly reduced in wild type plants after 2 and 24 h exposure to Cu , whereas no changes in pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c were seen in mpk6 knockout plants (Table 6.6.).

The gene expression regulation of CSDs by MPK6, observed in roots of $24 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{Cu}-$ exposed plants, was also found in leaves of Arabidopsis plants exposed to Cu during 1 week with a general increased transcript level (Table 6.8.). Although gene expressions of CSD1 and CSD2 were significantly higher in non-exposed mpk6 plants as compared to wild type plants, transcript levels of CSD1 and CSD2 were strongly and significantly induced in leaves of wild type plants after exposure to all Cu concentrations, whereas CSD1 and CSD2 transcripts showed no increase in mpk6 knockout seedlings after Cu exposure. In contrast, expression of the primary transcripts of CSD1 and CSD2 did not change after Cu exposure in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants and was the same in both non-exposed genotypes. Gene expression of pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c in non-exposed mpk6 seedlings was significantly reduced in contrast to the nonexposed wild type seedlings. After Cu exposure, expression of pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c transcripts was completely downregulated in wild type plants, comparable to the control levels in mpk6 mutants, for which the expression was only slightly reduced as compared to control conditions. Transcript levels of priMIR398a showed the reverse expression pattern.
Table 6.6.: Transcript levels in roots of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants grown on hydroponics and
 downregulation: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulation: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mathrm{p}<0.001$

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.69 \pm 0.11$ | $0.95 \pm 0.17$ | $6.58 \pm 1.92$ | $1.15 \pm 0.21$ | $6.83 \pm 1.90$ | $1.04 \pm 0.18$ | $53.2 \pm 21.6$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $13.4 \pm 5.21$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.09 \pm 0.11$ | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | $1.39 \pm 0.07$ | $1.28 \pm 0.10$ | $1.36 \pm 0.13$ | $0.79 \pm 0.01$ | $0.59 \pm 0.09$ | $1.08 \pm 0.12$ | $0.85 \pm 0.16$ |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $0.81 \pm 0.11$ | $0.54 \pm 0.02$ | $5.01 \pm 0.54$ | $0.46 \pm 0.03$ | $3.85 \pm 0.85$ | $0.62 \pm 0.07$ | $2.53 \pm 0.59$ | $0.60 \pm 0.09$ | $1.87 \pm 0.39$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.09 \pm 0.14$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $1.42 \pm 0.04$ | $0.95 \pm 0.05$ | $1.17 \pm 0.12$ | $0.83 \pm 0.05$ | $1.70 \pm 0.21$ | $0.77 \pm 0.08$ | $1.37 \pm 0.18$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ | $1.18 \pm 0.27$ | $0.88 \pm 0.05$ | $1.11 \pm 0.12$ | $0.80 \pm 0.05$ | $0.88 \pm 0.10$ | $1.01 \pm 0.12$ | $1.34 \pm 0.13$ | $0.74 \pm 0.06$ | $1.40 \pm 0.25$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.15$ | $2.49 \pm 1.31$ | $3.16 \pm 0.41$ | $1.05 \pm 0.56$ | $1.57 \pm 0.09$ | $1.84 \pm 0.72$ | $4.95 \pm 1.64$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01$ | $1.49 \pm 0.88$ | $0.09 \pm 0.04$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.11$ | $1.24 \pm 0.11$ | $1.04 \pm 0.03$ | $1.49 \pm 0.17$ | $1.17 \pm 0.08$ | $1.43 \pm 0.19$ | $0.95 \pm 0.06$ | $1.31 \pm 0.08$ | $1.06 \pm 0.12$ | $1.30 \pm 0.10$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.88 \pm 0.08$ | $1.86 \pm 0.25$ | $4.91 \pm 0.04$ | $1.41 \pm 0.08$ | $3.34 \pm 0.20$ | $1.12 \pm 0.11$ | $5.19 \pm 1.49$ | $0.98 \pm 0.11$ | $2.06 \pm 0.62$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.07 \pm 0.07$ | $1.14 \pm 0.06$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $1.41 \pm 0.13$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ | $1.31 \pm 0.04$ | $0.95 \pm 0.14$ | $1.53 \pm 0.06$ | $1.10 \pm 0.06$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.08 \pm 0.11$ | $1.56 \pm 0.16$ | $1.71 \pm 0.06$ | $1.42 \pm 0.02$ | $1.27 \pm 0.16$ | $1.48 \pm 0.03$ | $1.47 \pm 0.06$ | $1.15 \pm 0.15$ | $2.07 \pm 0.10$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.79 \pm 0.11$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $8.85 \pm 1.09$ | $1.02 \pm 0.07$ | $6.98 \pm 1.18$ | $1.14 \pm 0.03$ | $2.45 \pm 0.32$ | $1.36 \pm 0.13$ | $1.70 \pm 0.26$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.82 \pm 0.11$ | $0.52 \pm 0.07$ | $1.91 \pm 0.33$ | $0.91 \pm 0.20$ | $1.63 \pm 0.28$ | $0.56 \pm 0.01$ | $0.63 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.09$ | $0.69 \pm 0.07$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.25 \pm 0.11$ | $1.16 \pm 0.10$ | $7.77 \pm 0.82$ | $1.66 \pm 0.16$ | $7.43 \pm 1.09$ | $1.19 \pm 0.08$ | $4.39 \pm 0.87$ | $1.46 \pm 0.34$ | $3.12 \pm 0.20$ |
| WRKY29 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.07 \pm 0.11$ | $1.49 \pm 0.35$ | $7.96 \pm 0.37$ | $2.55 \pm 0.41$ | $8.48 \pm 0.52$ | $1.16 \pm 0.15$ | $7.10 \pm 0.81$ | $1.62 \pm 0.10$ | $5.20 \pm 0.66$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.29$ | $0.51 \pm 0.11$ | $0.57 \pm 0.11$ | $234 \pm 60$ | $1.13 \pm 0.25$ | $207 \pm 67$ | $1.31 \pm 0.25$ | $52.3 \pm 22.2$ | $1.18 \pm 0.29$ | $19.2 \pm 3.70$ |
| hnCSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $0.80 \pm 0.11$ | $0.87 \pm 0.05$ | $2.40 \pm 0.38$ | $0.95 \pm 0.06$ | $2.10 \pm 0.29$ | $0.95 \pm 0.02$ | $1.76 \pm 0.27$ | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $1.30 \pm 0.16$ |
| hnCSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $1.05 \pm 0.11$ | $1.23 \pm 0.07$ | $0.83 \pm 0.13$ | $1.08 \pm 0.05$ | $0.87 \pm 0.08$ | $1.19 \pm 0.10$ | $1.92 \pm 0.31$ | $1.02 \pm 0.09$ | $1.44 \pm 0.15$ |
| pri-MIR398a | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $1.07 \pm 0.11$ | $1.27 \pm 0.25$ | $21.6 \pm 2.59$ | $1.35 \pm 0.37$ | $61.5 \pm 18.2$ | $2.25 \pm 0.12$ | $11.2 \pm 2.24$ | $2.06 \pm 0.76$ | $2.93 \pm 0.45$ |
| pri-MIR398b | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.16 \pm 0.11$ | $1.43 \pm 0.18$ | $0.70 \pm 0.13$ | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $0.60 \pm 0.05$ | $1.65 \pm 0.33$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ | $1.25 \pm 0.35$ | $0.73 \pm 0.09$ |
| pri-MIR398c | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $1.11 \pm 0.11$ | $1.61 \pm 0.20$ | $0.71 \pm 0.13$ | $0.94 \pm 0.04$ | $0.65 \pm 0.07$ | $1.67 \pm 0.29$ | $0.47 \pm 0.01$ | $1.17 \pm 0.30$ | $0.67 \pm 0.09$ |

Table 6.7.: Transcript levels in leaves of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants grown on hydroponics and
 downregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $2 \boldsymbol{\mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ | Control | $\mathbf{2 \mu M ~ C u}$ |
| LOX2 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $2.53 \pm 0.75$ | $1.26 \pm 0.30$ | $1.33 \pm 0.05$ | $0.80 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $2.90 \pm 0.54$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ | $2.31 \pm 0.21$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.94 \pm 0.02$ | $1.09 \pm 0.11$ | $0.85 \pm 0.14$ | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $0.85 \pm 0.01$ | $0.82 \pm 0.08$ | $1.71 \pm 0.16$ | $0.78 \pm 0.03$ | $1.68 \pm 0.33$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $1.07 \pm 0.08$ | $1.19 \pm 0.12$ | $0.98 \pm 0.14$ | $1.20 \pm 0.12$ | $1.26 \pm 0.08$ | $1.28 \pm 0.05$ | $1.54 \pm 0.03$ | $1.04 \pm 0.12$ | $1.42 \pm 0.18$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $1.61 \pm 0.21$ | $1.60 \pm 0.23$ | $1.18 \pm 0.23$ | $1.64 \pm 0.12$ | $1.74 \pm 0.16$ | $1.56 \pm 0.09$ | $1.06 \pm 0.08$ | $1.63 \pm 0.04$ | $0.93 \pm 0.10$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.60$ | $1.25 \pm 0.63$ | $0.58 \pm 0.18$ | $0.14 \pm 0.06$ | $0.45 \pm 0.04$ | $0.28 \pm 0.13$ | $0.27 \pm 0.05$ | $0.12 \pm 0.05$ | $0.20 \pm 0.05$ | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $1.41 \pm 0.10$ | $1.51 \pm 0.19$ | $1.22 \pm 0.05$ | $1.18 \pm 0.25$ | $1.12 \pm 0.03$ | $1.92 \pm 0.10$ | $1.85 \pm 0.05$ | $1.26 \pm 0.01$ | $1.23 \pm 0.16$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.20$ | $1.15 \pm 0.10$ | $4.52 \pm 0.69$ | $3.17 \pm 0.67$ | $3.95 \pm 0.82$ | $3.15 \pm 0.19$ | $2.36 \pm 0.13$ | $1.74 \pm 0.22$ | $1.50 \pm 0.37$ | $1.49 \pm 0.10$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $1.26 \pm 0.09$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.05$ | $0.48 \pm 0.05$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.08$ | $1.06 \pm 0.08$ | $1.08 \pm 0.06$ | $1.23 \pm 0.09$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.22 \pm 0.17$ | $3.69 \pm 0.46$ | $2.68 \pm 0.10$ | $2.99 \pm 0.47$ | $3.52 \pm 0.33$ | $1.81 \pm 0.28$ | $2.59 \pm 0.52$ | $1.49 \pm 0.29$ | $2.09 \pm 0.34$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.98 \pm 0.10$ | $1.03 \pm 0.07$ | $1.06 \pm 0.11$ | $1.09 \pm 0.14$ | $1.21 \pm 0.07$ | $1.02 \pm 0.16$ | $3.06 \pm 0.16$ | $1.25 \pm 0.16$ | $4.81 \pm 0.73$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.20$ | $1.15 \pm 0.10$ | $0.88 \pm 0.08$ | $0.53 \pm 0.06$ | $0.98 \pm 0.12$ | $0.48 \pm 0.06$ | $0.91 \pm 0.18$ | $0.97 \pm 0.06$ | $1.22 \pm 0.08$ | $1.11 \pm 0.06$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $1.63 \pm 0.44$ | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | $2.45 \pm 0.39$ | $1.92 \pm 0.30$ | $2.19 \pm 0.17$ | $5.09 \pm 0.42$ | $1.03 \pm 0.14$ | $8.61 \pm 0.84$ |
| WRKY29 | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | $1.38 \pm 0.12$ | $1.97 \pm 0.12$ | $1.23 \pm 0.15$ | $2.48 \pm 0.46$ | $1.23 \pm 0.12$ | $0.99 \pm 0.21$ | $0.86 \pm 0.05$ | $1.20 \pm 0.08$ | $0.97 \pm 0.15$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.24$ | $2.05 \pm 0.57$ | $3.33 \pm 1.52$ | $1.20 \pm 0.22$ | $1.30 \pm 0.34$ | $1.49 \pm 0.77$ | $7.50 \pm 5.18$ | $2.67 \pm 1.12$ | $1.06 \pm 0.45$ | $6.64 \pm 2.52$ |
| hnCSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $1.23 \pm 0.07$ | $0.97 \pm 0.17$ | $1.07 \pm 0.08$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $0.99 \pm 0.14$ | $1.34 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.53 \pm 0.03$ |
| hnCSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.08 \pm 0.05$ | $0.86 \pm 0.07$ | $0.69 \pm 0.19$ | $0.67 \pm 0.11$ | $0.63 \pm 0.02$ | $0.81 \pm 0.06$ | $0.69 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.02$ | $0.48 \pm 0.04$ |
| pri-MIR398a | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $1.35 \pm 0.22$ | $1.11 \pm 0.22$ | $1.87 \pm 0.03$ | $1.53 \pm 0.23$ | $3.17 \pm 1.28$ | $1.90 \pm 0.29$ | $6.42 \pm 1.36$ | $1.58 \pm 0.23$ | $5.67 \pm 0.95$ |
| pri-MIR 398 b | $1.00 \pm 0.28$ | $1.06 \pm 0.46$ | $0.77 \pm 0.10$ | $0.41 \pm 0.01$ | $0.47 \pm 0.09$ | $0.50 \pm 0.08$ | $1.52 \pm 0.30$ | $0.41 \pm 0.09$ | $1.37 \pm 0.14$ | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ |
| pri-MIR398c | $1.00 \pm 0.31$ | $1.16 \pm 0.53$ | $0.76 \pm 0.10$ | $0.30 \pm 0.01$ | $0.47 \pm 0.05$ | $0.42 \pm 0.08$ | $1.50 \pm 0.26$ | $0.51 \pm 0.18$ | $1.37 \pm 0.19$ | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ |

Table 6.8.: Transcript levels in leaves of 2-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to different concentrations $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ during 1 week. Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants ( $=1$ ). Values are mean $\pm$
S.E. of at least 4 biologically independent replicates (significance levels of changes relative to the non-exposed genotype): downregulated: $\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline p<0.01 & p<0.001 ; & \text { upregulated: } \\ \hline p<0.01 \mid p<0.001\end{array}\right)$.

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{MCu}$ |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  | $15 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ |  |
| Gene | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 | wild type | mpk6 |
| LOX2 | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | $1.15 \pm 0.55$ | $1.18 \pm 0.03$ | $4.63 \pm 1.12$ | $1.65 \pm 0.15$ | $2.76 \pm 0.17$ | $2.45 \pm 0.07$ | $3.01 \pm 0.23$ | $1.61 \pm 0.34$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.43$ | $1.24 \pm 0.17$ | $2.26 \pm 0.37$ | $1.35 \pm 0.02$ | $1.92 \pm 0.44$ | $1.62 \pm 0.05$ | $1.15 \pm 0.29$ | $1.90 \pm 0.07$ | $1.14 \pm 0.15$ | $0.96 \pm 0.18$ |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $1.02 \pm 0.07$ | $1.35 \pm 0.12$ | $1.22 \pm 0.06$ | $1.45 \pm 0.14$ | $1.47 \pm 0.02$ | $1.25 \pm 0.17$ | $1.39 \pm 0.10$ | $0.91 \pm 0.09$ | $1.09 \pm 0.03$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.28$ | $12.9 \pm 0.90$ | $13.9 \pm 1.02$ | 17.5 $\pm 1.41$ | $16.6 \pm 1.39$ | $20.9 \pm 3.03$ | $17.8 \pm 1.50$ | $14.1 \pm 1.08$ | $11.3 \pm 1.12$ | $7.12 \pm 0.49$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.30$ | $8.92 \pm 1.13$ | $12.8 \pm 1.89$ | $13.1 \pm 1.40$ | $14.3 \pm 1.51$ | $23.6 \pm 6.47$ | $20.4 \pm 3.00$ | 18.7土3.29 | $12.4 \pm 1.10$ | $10.2 \pm 0.63$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $3.76 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.61 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.25 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.52 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.99 \times 10^{-5}$ | $10.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.31 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.51 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.16$ | $1.98 \pm 0.11$ | $0.99 \pm 0.04$ | $1.85 \pm 0.13$ | $0.73 \pm 0.01$ | $1.68 \pm 0.21$ | $0.54 \pm 0.05$ | $1.18 \pm 0.08$ | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.23$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $0.86 \pm 0.11$ | $0.77 \pm 0.12$ | $1.01 \pm 0.04$ | $0.62 \pm 0.02$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $0.45 \pm 0.08$ | $0.33 \pm 0.03$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.62 \pm 0.07$ | $0.44 \pm 0.07$ | $0.78 \pm 0.09$ | $0.53 \pm 0.02$ | $0.75 \pm 0.07$ | $0.59 \pm 0.04$ | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $0.32 \pm 0.05$ | $0.73 \pm 0.02$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ | $1.33 \pm 0.08$ | $1.55 \pm 0.33$ | $1.19 \pm 0.13$ | $1.27 \pm 0.13$ | $1.06 \pm 0.19$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $1.14 \pm 0.18$ | $0.31 \pm 0.03$ | $0.55 \pm 0.07$ |
| OXI1 | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $0.33 \pm 0.05$ | $0.92 \pm 0.18$ | $0.50 \pm 0.09$ | $1.88 \pm 0.18$ | $0.55 \pm 0.07$ | $2.00 \pm 0.34$ | $0.87 \pm 0.14$ | $4.07 \pm 0.20$ | $1.96 \pm 0.18$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.48 \pm 0.09$ | $2.08 \pm 0.04$ | $1.60 \pm 0.07$ | $1.99 \pm 0.21$ | $2.14 \pm 0.26$ | $1.84 \pm 0.23$ | $1.80 \pm 0.19$ | $1.95 \pm 0.20$ | $1.65 \pm 0.14$ |
| hnCSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.77 \pm 0.01$ | $1.22 \pm 0.04$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $1.25 \pm 0.11$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.95 \pm 0.11$ | $1.23 \pm 0.08$ | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ | $0.69 \pm 0.05$ |
| hnCSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.24$ | $0.76 \pm 0.02$ | $2.10 \pm 0.01$ | $1.40 \pm 0.25$ | $1.96 \pm 0.16$ | $1.35 \pm 0.33$ | $1.93 \pm 0.15$ | $1.44 \pm 0.04$ | $1.56 \pm 0.19$ | $1.14 \pm 0.10$ |
| pri-MIR398a | $1.00 \pm 0.42$ | $47.6 \pm 3.98$ | $48.4 \pm 16.1$ | $63.1 \pm 20.4$ | $30.9 \pm 6.13$ | $78.5 \pm 14.7$ | $93.0 \pm 27.1$ | $80.2 \pm 10.5$ | $86.3 \pm 13.4$ | $96.5 \pm 15.8$ |
| pri-MIR398b | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $8.05 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.02 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.33 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.63 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.67 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.26 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.45 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| pri-MIR398c | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $4.76 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.58 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.48 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.58 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.23 \times 10^{-3}$ |

### 6.3. Discussion

In the present study, mpk6 mutants are more Cu tolerant than wild type plants, based on primary root growth analysis (Figure 6.1.). Nevertheless, after short Cu exposure (24h) in a hydroponics set-up, no differences in fresh weight were observed between both genotypes. As Cu-induced oxidative stress is a basic mechanism by which Cu induces toxicity symptoms in plants (Cuypers et al. 2011b), it was investigated whether this may be underlying the Cu tolerance in mpk6 mutants. For this purpose, 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings were exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}$ during 2 and 24 h . First, the concentrations of Cu as well as of other essential elements were determined in leaves and roots of 24 h Cu -exposed plants (Table 6.1.). In the roots no difference in Cu content between non-exposed wild type and non-exposed mpk6 mutant plants was observed. Moreover, after Cu exposure, the roots of both genotypes contained similar amounts of Cu . In contrast, leaves of mpk6 plants showed a significant increase of Cu concentration in their leaves after Cu exposure, which was not observed in wild type plants. Nevertheless, higher accumulation and consequently storage of Cu in the leaves cannot account for the higher Cu tolerance seen in root growth of mpk6 mutants exposed to Cu during 1 week (Figure 6.1.).
Once Cu is taken up by the root cells, it is bound to chelators, such as PCs, MTs, organic acids or amino acids, which sequester free metal ions in the cytosol or in subcellular compartments (Clemens 2001, Haydon and Cobbett 2007). They can also be bound to chaperones, which deliver metal ions to organelles and metalrequiring proteins (Grotz and Guerinot 2006, Puig et al. 2007). Different authors reported that MT gene expression is strongly induced upon Cu exposure (Zhou and Goldsbrough 1994, Hsieh et al. 1995, Roosens et al. 2004, Guo et al. 2008), whereas the role of PCs in Cu detoxification is not unambiguously demonstrated (Cobbett and Goldsbrough 2002). Because MPK6 regulates downstream gene expression, we examined if MPK6 is involved in expression of genes involved in chelation of excess Cu. Therefore, gene expressions of MT and PC synthases (PCS) were determined in wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after exposure to Cu . In the roots, expression of MT1a increased in wild type plants after exposure to Cu, while MT1c expression was reduced (Table 6.2.). Of the different isoforms of metallothioneins, MT1a and MT1c are the most abundantly expressed in the
roots (Guo et al. 2003). Consequently, their contrasting gene regulation may compensate each other's function. In addition, transcript levels of PCS1 were elevated in roots of both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants after 2 h Cu exposure (Table 6.2.), indicating a role for PCs in the chelation of excess Cu . This was also demonstrated by the decrease of GSH levels observed in Cuexposed roots (Table 6.4.) in both genotypes. The use of GSH for chelation purposes can result in a depletion of GSH stores, thereby affecting the antioxidative properties of GSH resulting in oxidative stress (Seth et al. 2012).

It's well described that Cu-exposure affects the cellular redox state in different plant species (Cuypers et al. 2000, 2005, Smeets et al. 2009). To obtain a general picture of the cellular redox status in wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after Cu exposure, the amount of ROS production, the degree of membrane damage and the activity and transcript level of pro- and antioxidative enzymes, were estimated in roots and leaves of wild type and mpk6 knockout plants. In roots, the production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was increased in wild type plants after 24 h Cu exposure (Figure 6.2.A). In addition to its direct metal-catalysed production, this increase in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content can be explained by the production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by NADPH oxidases. These enzymes produce superoxide anions, which form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ after dismutation (Torres and Dangl 2005). Indeed, transcript levels of RBOHC and RBOHD were elevated in wild type plants after 2 and 24 h Cu exposure (Table 6.6.). As a result, enzyme activities of CAT and GPOD were elevated to neutralize the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ produced by the Cu exposure (Table 6.5.). The increase in CAT activity was accompanied by an increase in CAT1 gene expression (Table 6.6.). Whereas $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is not a free radical, it can be converted to the more damaging hydroxyl radical ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$ ) by means of the Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions (Kehrer 2000). The hydroxyl radical can react with a variety of cellular components like DNA, proteins and other small molecules. Lipid peroxidation, as a measure of cellular damage caused by the produced ROS, was increased in roots of Cu-exposed wild types and mpk6 mutants after 24h (Figure 6.2.C). Therefore, a lower root oxidative damage cannot account for the increased Cu tolerance observed for primary root growth. Moreover, already after 2 hCu exposure, GSSG levels, which showed a sharp decrease under nonexposed conditions in comparison to wild type plants, were significantly elevated
in mpk6 mutant roots indicative of a more oxidized redox status compared to Cu-exposed wild type roots (Table 6.4.). A more oxidized cellular redox environment (GSSG/GSH ratio) was also observed in roots of Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to 2 or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cu during 24h (Cuypers et al. 2011a). In our study this was also observed for both genotypes after 24 h and an increased activity of GR (Table 6.5.) could not reduce GSSG efficiently back to GSH as compared to control conditions. The finding that roots of mpk6 knockout seedlings develop oxidative stress faster than wild type plants seems to contrast with the increased Cu tolerance earlier observed in mpk6 mutants (Figure 6.1.). Then, the question arises to the function of this early oxidative stress signals. Is it used for root-to-shoot signalling, thereby inducing acclimation responses to long-term metal exposure? However, more research on oxidative stress parameters is needed between 2 and 24 h Cu exposure as well as long-term exposure, to investigate the role of MPK6 in controlling the cellular redox status, with specific emphasis on the regulation of GSSG levels by MPK6 and the circadian rhythm.

Because MPK6 is a signalling molecule, able to regulate the expression of target genes via phosphorylation and activation of transcription factors, the gene expression of certain transcription factors as well as enzymes involved in the pro- or antioxidative machinery of the cell was determined. In the roots, transcript levels of the MPK6 homolog MPK3 were enhanced in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants after 2 h exposure to Cu (Table 6.6.). After 24 h exposure to increased Cu concentrations, MPK3 expression was not longer increased in mpk6 mutant seedlings in contrast to wild type plants. Exactly the same regulation was observed for the gene expression of the transcription factor WRKY25 (Table 6.6.). These observations suggest that after 24 h of Cu exposure, MPK6 is responsible for keeping MPK3 and WRKY25 transcript levels high. Previously, it was shown that in roots, MPK3 and WRKY25 are coregulated upon Cu exposure and that this forms a larger cluster with MPK6 (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). Furthermore, expression of WRKY22 was induced in wild type plants after 2 h exposure to Cu but showed no change in transcript levels in mpk6 mutant plants (Table 6.6.). So, Cu-dependent upregulation of WRKY22 expression in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana is most likely mediated by MPK6. Involvement of WRKY22 was earlier described in other stresses (Asai et al.
2002) as well as in metal stress, where it is suggested to be a metal-specific transcription factor as it was involved in Cu responses, but not in Cd responses (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). Moreover, a coregulation of WRKY22 and RBOHC in Arabidopsis seedlings kinetically exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{MCu}$, was observed that is also confirmed in the present study. Although WRKY22 and WRKY29 were thought to function in the same stress responses because they share very similar protein structures (Eulgem et al. 2000), WRKY29 gene expression was regulated differently and independently of MPK6 in case of metal stress. Via this MPK6-specific regulation of transcription factors, MPK6 can specifically regulate target genes involved in the production or scavenging of ROS in the cell after Cu exposure.
Besides activation of gene expression, also posttranscriptional regulation is important in the steady state level of gene transcripts. As such, gene expression of CSDs in Arabidopsis is known to be regulated by miRNA398. Sunkar et al. ( 2006,2007 ) revealed a mechanism of posttranscriptional gene regulation in which mRNA of CSD1 and CSD2 is degraded by miRNA398b/c, leading to repression of the translation of CSD1 and CSD2 transcripts. Cuypers et al. (2011a) demonstrated that exposure of Arabidopsis seedlings to environmentally realistic Cu concentrations during 24 h also decreased levels of mature miRNA398b/c, which in turn led to induced CSD1 and CSD2 transcript levels. Furthermore, Yamasaki et al. (2009) reported that the transcription factor SPL7 is essential for the response of miRNA398 to Cu . Because we showed that CSD1 and CSD2 transcription in roots of Arabidopsis seems to be regulated by MPK6, we investigated if this MPK6-mediated gene expression of CSDs involves miRNAs. Therefore, we measured gene expression of the primary transcripts of CSD1, CSD2 and the three gene family members of miRNA398. In roots of wild type plants, expression of CSD1 and its primary transcript hnCSD1 is upregulated after 2 and 24 h Cu exposure, while gene expression of priMIR398b and pri-MIR398c was downregulated (Table 6.6.). In contrast, hnCSD1 expression was elevated in mpk6 knockout plants after 2 h Cu exposure, but CSD1 transcript levels were not increased. This means that CSD1 transcripts are degraded by miRNA398 and are not compensated by an increased transcription activity. Indeed, expression of pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c was not downregulated after Cu exposure in mpk6 mutants. These data suggest that the

SPL7 and miRNA398 regulated expression of CSD1 seen after Cu exposure is mediated by MPK6.

The Cu content of Cu -exposed mpk6 mutant leaves was twice as high as in the leaves of non-exposed mpk6 knockout seedlings. Although the amount of Cu did not significantly differ between leaves of wild type and mpk6 mutant plants after 24h Cu exposure, no increase in lipid peroxidation was observed in the leaves of mpk6 mutants as compared to wild type plants. This indicates that mpk6 mutants experience less oxidative damage upon Cu exposure in the leaves. To further unravel this difference, oxidative stress parameters were investigated in the leaves. Mpk6 mutant seedlings showed an accumulation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in their leaves after exposure to Cu (Figure 6.2.B), but no membrane damage, suggesting oxidative signalling. On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels in Cu -exposed wild type seedlings did not change, but oxidative damage occurred. Also activities of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ neutralizing enzymes were enhanced in wild type plants indicating a clear stress effect (Table 6.5.).

Although Cu exposure of the leaves resulted in altered gene expression levels of both signalling components and pro- or antioxidative enzymes (Table 6.7.), no involvement of MPK6 was identified after short-term (2 or 24h) Cu exposure. However, after longer exposure times (1 week), MPK6 was observed to specifically regulate transcript levels of the MAPKinase MPK3, the pro-oxidative lipoxygenase LOX2 and the antioxidative defence enzymes CAT2, CSD1 and CSD2 (Table 6.8.). As earlier demonstrated for the roots, the MPK6-dependent induction of CSD1/2 expression in Cu-exposed leaves was mediated by miRNA398. In wild type leaves, transcript levels of pri-MIR398b/c were completely reduced after Cu exposure leading to a strong increase in CSD1/2 gene expression (Table 6.8.). In contrast, mpk6 knockout seedlings showed already under non-exposed conditions low levels of pri-MIR398b/c and high amounts of CSD1/2 transcripts, which were not drastically changed after Cu exposure. All together, we demonstrated that SPL7- and miRNA398-mediated regulation of CSD transcript levels can be regulated by MPK6 in Arabidopsis plants after Cu exposure.

In conclusion, we showed that MPK6 influences the Cu accumulation in the leaves in Cu-exposed Arabidopsis plants. In addition, after Cu exposure, roots of $m p k 6$ knockout seedlings seem to experience oxidative stress earlier than observed for wild type roots. It is hypothesized that this early oxidative burst is used for root-to-shoot signalling, inducing acclimation responses to Cu exposure. In accordance, leaves of mpk6 mutants did not suffer lipid peroxidation after Cu exposure in contrast to wild type plants that experienced oxidative membrane damage. In addition, downstream regulation of MPK6 was affected in roots after Cu exposure, revealing transcription factors WRKY22 and WRKY25 as downstream targets of MPK6 under Cu stress. Furthermore, previously discovered clusters (MPK3/WRKY25-MPK6 and WRKY22/RBOHC) were emphasized. Whereas in leaves after short term exposure no differences were observed, after prolonged Cu exposure, MPK6 seems to be involved in the SPL7and miRNA398- mediated regulation of CSD1/2 transcripts, as was earlier demonstrated in roots of Cu-exposed Arabidopsis thaliana.

## Chapter 7

The protein kinase MPK6 interferes with the GSH redox status and mediates Cd-induced oxidative stress in Arabidopsis thaliana

Kelly Opdenakker, Tony Remans, Heribert Hirt, Jaco Vangronsveld, Ann Cuypers (2012). The protein kinase MPK6 interferes with the GSH redox status and mediates Cdinduced oxidative stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. In preparation.


#### Abstract

The mitogen-activated protein kinase MPK6 is a signalling molecule able to regulate transcription of target genes via the phosphorylation of transcription factors. Activation of MPK6 is mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) and is associated with Cd toxicity. In this study, the involvement of MPK6 in Cdinduced oxidative stress was investigated by comparing oxidative stressmediated parameters between Cd-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis seedlings. Although mpk6 mutants displayed lower concentrations of GSSG under non-exposed conditions, GSH was in both genotypes used for chelation of Cd and in the antioxidative defence. As a consequence of the lower GSSG levels, mpk6 mutant plants seem to recover much more slowly from the depletion of their GSH pool. Use of GSH for metal chelation can temporary reduce the antioxidative capacity of GSH, causing oxidative stress at the cellular level. The induced oxidative stress is mainly situated at the transcriptional level. After exposure to Cd, MPK6-induced transcription of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD in roots of Arabidopsis plants via the transcription factors WRKY25 and ZAT12. In Cd-exposed leaves, MPK6 regulates transcript levels of the transcription factor $W R K Y 22$ and the $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Zn}$ superoxide dismutases CSD1/2.


### 7.1. Introduction

Cadmium (Cd) can occur in the environment as a consequence of natural sources, mining and industrial processes or the agricultural use of phosphate fertilizers (Vangronsveld et al. 1995, Kirkham 2006, Chary et al. 2008). Cadmium is a non-essential element for plants and therefore toxic, even at low concentrations. In plants, Cd disrupts physiological processes, resulting in leaf chlorosis and growth inhibition (DalCorso et al. 2008, Cuypers et al. 2009). At the cellular level, Cd induces oxidative stress, which is a disturbance of the cellular redox status in favour of the pro-oxidants (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a). The produced reactive oxygen species (ROS) are not always harmful for the cell, they can also act as signalling molecules by transducing signals from a receptor at the plasma membrane to the nucleus. In this way, ROS are involved in the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, which play a role in the transcriptional regulation of target genes (Mittler et al. 2004, Apel and Hirt 2004).
One of the most studied Arabidopsis MAPKinases today is MPK6. MPK6 is a MAPKinase belonging to group A (Ichimura et al. 2002) involved in environmental stress (cold, salt, bacterial pathogens) and hormonal responses (ethylene, jasmonic acid) (reviewed in Opdenakker et al. 2012b; chapter 1). Activation of MPK6 can be mediated by ROS (Kovtun et al. 2000, Rentel et al. 2004) and is also implicated in Cd toxicity. Liu et al. (2010) demonstrated that the activity of MPK6 was induced by ROS after short-term exposure (less than 1h) to low $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ concentrations ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), initiated by ROS accumulation. In addition, Opdenakker et al. (2012a; chapter 4) observed that transcript levels of MPK6 were increased in roots of Arabidopsis plants exposed to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO} 4$ during 24 h . Also in Medicago sativa roots as well as in rice roots, the orthologues of Arabidopsis MPK6, SIMK and OsMPK6, were activated after exposure to excess Cd ions (Jonak et al. 2004, Yeh et al. 2007).
MPK6 regulates the expression of target genes via the activation or repression of transcription factors. For example, MPK6 activated by flagellin signalling-induced expression of defence genes via the WRKY transcription factors, WRKY22 and WRKY29 (Asai et al. 2002). Transcript levels of WRKY25, WRKY29 and ZAT12 were elevated in leaves and roots of Cd-exposed Arabidopsis plants, suggesting that these transcription factors play an important role in regulation of the stress response upon Cd exposure (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4).

WRKY's and ZAT12 transcription factors influence the gene expression of enzymes involved in the control of the cellular redox status. Li et al. (2009) reported that WRKY25 is involved in the transcriptional regulation of the antioxidative ascorbate peroxidases $A P X 1$ and $A P X 2$ under heat stress. In studies with ZAT12 overexpressing as well as knockout plants, it was observed that ZAT12 is involved in the regulation of antioxidative as well as pro-oxidative enzymes, such as superoxide dismutases (CSD1, CSD2, FSD1), peroxidases (APX1), NADPH oxidases (RBOHD) and lipoxygenases (LOX4) (Rhizhsky et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005a-b, Vogel et al. 2005).
Shortly summarized, MPK6 is activated by Cd, possibly via the production of ROS, and is able to regulate the gene expression of pro- and antioxidative enzymes via activation of transcription factors. Based on these two findings, we hypothesize that MPK6 is involved in the regulation of the cellular redox status in Cd-exposed Arabidopsis thaliana plants. To investigate this, we exposed Arabidopsis wild type as well as mpk6 knockout plants to a sublethal Cd concentration and investigated the effect of the loss of MPK6 on different levels of the cellular redox status.

### 7.2. Results

### 7.2.1. MPK6 does not alter element concentrations after Cd exposure

The concentration of Cd and essential elements was determined in roots and leaves of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis seedlings after 24h exposure to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd (Table 7.1.). Roots of Cd-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis seedlings showed a significant increase in Cd content. Although the increase in Cd levels seemed to be higher in wild type plants than in mpk6 mutants, no significant genotype*treatment interaction effect was observed. Concentrations of other essential elements were not affected in the roots by Cd exposure. In leaves, the amount of Cd was significantly elevated after Cd exposure in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants. Due to the accumulation of Cd in the leaves, Cu levels were significantly decreased after Cd exposure in mpk6 knockout seedlings in contrast to wild type plants. In addition,
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Cd exposure affected the concentration of Mn in the leaves, which was significantly decreased in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants.

Table 7.1.: Element concentrations ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kgDW}^{-1}$ ) in roots and leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings exposed to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO} 4$ during 24 h . Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 5 biologically independent replicates (significance levels: treatment effect: ***: $p<0.01,{ }^{* *}$ : $p<0.05$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wild type |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Element | Control |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  |
| Cd | ND |  | $1309 \pm 300^{* * *}$ |  | ND |  |  | 789 | $\pm$ | $168{ }^{* * *}$ |
| Cu |  | $\pm 2$ |  | 6 | 22 | $\pm$ |  | 28 | $\pm$ | 1 |
| Zn | 442 | 52 | 511 | 112 | 336 | $\pm$ |  | 300 | $\pm$ | 45 |
| K | 60662 | + 1372 | 55268 | 5906 | 63568 | $\pm$ | 1947 | 59408 | $\pm$ | 4584 |
| Ca | 2203 | $\pm 210$ | 2626 |  | 2137 | $\pm$ | 218 | 1919 | $\pm$ | 78 |
| Fe | 695 | $\pm 89$ | 826 | 43 | 757 | $\pm$ | 38 | 684 | $\pm$ | 24 |
| Mg | 2446 | $\pm 147$ | 2563 | 125 | 2731 | $\pm$ | 175 | 2524 | $\pm$ | 127 |
| Mn | 734 | $\pm 105$ | 781 |  | 543 | $\pm$ | 31 | 659 | $\pm$ | 91 |
| S | 15225 | $\pm 201$ | 14613 | 766 | 15071 | $\pm$ | 225 | 16067 | $\pm$ | 1166 |
| P | 14263 | $\pm 202$ | 14757 | 359 | 14676 | $\pm$ | 358 | 14613 | $\pm$ | 701 |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Element | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  |
| Cd | ND |  |  | 267 | $\pm$ | $75^{* * *}$ | ND |  |  | 298 | $\pm$ | $57^{* * *}$ |
| Cu |  | $\pm$ | 1 | 8.0 | $\pm$ |  | 7.7 | $\pm$ | 0.3 | 6.2 | $\pm$ | 0.3 *** |
| Zn |  | $\pm$ |  | 73 | $\pm$ | 10 | 62 | $\pm$ | 3 | 56 | $\pm$ | 5 |
| K | 28620 | $\pm$ | 1162 | 28763 | $\pm$ | 1253 | 26024 | $\pm$ | 769 | 27707 | $\pm$ | 1175 |
| Ca | 40223 | $\pm$ | 1435 | 38347 | $\pm$ | 1043 | 41298 | $\pm$ | 1756 | 41522 | $\pm$ | 2113 |
| Fe |  | $\pm$ |  | 69 | $\pm$ | 4 | 67 | $\pm$ | 2 | 63 | $\pm$ | 1 |
| Mg | 6191 | $\pm$ |  | 6180 | $\pm$ | 338 | 6511 | $\pm$ | 160 | 6633 | $\pm$ | 257 |
| Mn | 117 | $\pm$ | 5 | 80 | $\pm$ |  | 121 | $\pm$ | 6 | 86 | $\pm$ | 8** |
| S | 8082 | $\pm$ | 134 | 9246 | $\pm$ | 322 | 8682 | $\pm$ | 123 | 9622 | $\pm$ | 248 |
| P | 9584 | $\pm$ | 288 | 8811 | $\pm$ | 713 | 9681 | $\pm$ | 222 | 9215 | $\pm$ | 420 | Elevated Cd concentrations can damage cellular components in the plant. Therefore, chelation and sequestration of Cd ions by phytochelatins (PCs) and metallothioneins (MTs) is an important mechanism for detoxification of Cd . Consequently, gene expression of MTs and PC synthases (PCS) was evaluated in roots and leaves of Cd-exposed wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings. In roots (Table 7.2.), transcript levels of MT1a and MT1c were respectively up- or downregulated in wild type seedlings after 24 h exposure to Cd . In contrast, MT1a and MT1c expression was not altered in mpk6 knockout plants after Cd exposure. In addition, MT2a gene expression was significantly elevated in mpk6 mutants after 2 and 24 h Cd exposure whereas wild type plants showed only an increasing trend in MT2a levels after 24h exposure to Cd. Additionally, transcript levels of MT3 were significantly reduced in wild type plants after 24 h exposure to Cd, but were not changed in mpk6 knockouts. In leaves, no differences in gene expression were seen between wild type and mpk6 knockout plants (Table 7.2.). Transcript levels of phytochelatin synthase (PCS1) and metallothionein 2 (MT2a) were significantly elevated after 24 h exposure to Cd in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants.

### 7.2.2. MPK6 and the cellular redox status after Cd exposure

Exposure to Cd initiates oxidative stress in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis plants and activity as well as transcript levels of MPK6 were elevated after Cd exposure (Cuypers et al. 2011a, Liu et al. 2010). Therefore, we investigated if MPK6 is involved in controlling the cellular redox status after Cd exposure. This was analyzed by measuring the (1) ROS production, (2) oxidative damage to cell membranes, (3) the content of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione and (4) activities and transcript levels of antioxidative enzymes.
Table 7.2.: Transcript levels in roots and leaves of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $5 \mu M$ $\mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 0,2 or 24 h . Gene expression was calculated relative to the non-exposed wild type plants ( $=1$ ). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 4 biologically independent replicates (significance levels of changes relative to the non-exposed genotype on each time point: downregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$; upregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mid \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.23 \pm 0.06$ | $1.26 \pm 0.07$ | $1.90 \pm 0.33$ | $1.45 \pm 0.07$ | $1.22 \pm 0.13$ | $1.33 \pm 0.12$ | $1.93 \pm 0.23$ | $1.41 \pm 0.01$ | $1.88 \pm 0.14$ |
| MT1a | $1.00 \pm 0.15$ | $1.03 \pm 0.12$ | $0.95 \pm 0.16$ | $0.84 \pm 0.13$ | $1.07 \pm 0.17$ | $0.91 \pm 0.13$ | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | $1.41 \pm 0.08$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $1.09 \pm 0.04$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | $1.02 \pm 0.09$ | $1.20 \pm 0.25$ | $0.78 \pm 0.18$ | $0.84 \pm 0.01$ | $0.89 \pm 0.08$ | $2.30 \pm 0.34$ | $0.70 \pm 0.09$ | $1.49 \pm 0.11$ | $1.13 \pm 0.12$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.25$ | $2.17 \pm 0.39$ | $0.36 \pm 0.03$ | $0.47 \pm 0.08$ | $0.60 \pm 0.09$ | $1.56 \pm 0.35$ | $1.18 \pm 0.20$ | $1.84 \pm 0.08$ | $1.30 \pm 0.48$ | $2.63 \pm 0.10$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.60 \pm 0.06$ | $0.97 \pm 0.09$ | $1.03 \pm 0.11$ | $0.72 \pm 0.02$ | $0.80 \pm 0.07$ | $1.31 \pm 0.10$ | $1.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $0.84 \pm 0.14$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.25$ | $0.89 \pm 0.09$ | $1.04 \pm 0.23$ | $0.96 \pm 0.17$ | $0.76 \pm 0.04$ | $1.05 \pm 0.19$ | $1.40 \pm 0.15$ | $0.81 \pm 0.15$ | $0.87 \pm 0.07$ | $0.72 \pm 0.11$ |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |
| PCS1 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.12 \pm 0.10$ | $1.41 \pm 0.08$ | $1.79 \pm 0.13$ | $1.66 \pm 0.17$ | $1.57 \pm 0.12$ | $1.46 \pm 0.13$ | $4.35 \pm 0.90$ | $1.45 \pm 0.17$ | $4.00 \pm 0.58$ |
| MT1a | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.83 \pm 0.08$ | $0.66 \pm 0.04$ | $0.74 \pm 0.09$ | $0.75 \pm 0.01$ | $0.79 \pm 0.07$ | $0.59 \pm 0.05$ | $0.59 \pm 0.04$ | $0.69 \pm 0.03$ | $0.70 \pm 0.02$ |
| MT1c | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $0.78 \pm 0.07$ | $1.50 \pm 0.03$ | $1.38 \pm 0.06$ | $1.46 \pm 0.11$ | $1.27 \pm 0.08$ | $1.20 \pm 0.10$ | $0.88 \pm 0.14$ | $1.09 \pm 0.04$ | $0.99 \pm 0.08$ |
| MT2a | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.90 \pm 0.07$ | $1.03 \pm 0.08$ | $0.96 \pm 0.05$ | $0.96 \pm 0.05$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $1.15 \pm 0.07$ | $2.47 \pm 0.28$ | $1.01 \pm 0.08$ | $2.16 \pm 0.27$ |
| MT2b | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.86 \pm 0.08$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.10$ | $1.03 \pm 0.08$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ |
| MT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.88 \pm 0.06$ | $1.15 \pm 0.06$ | $1.04 \pm 0.12$ | $1.27 \pm 0.08$ | $1.10 \pm 0.08$ | $1.29 \pm 0.17$ | $1.31 \pm 0.18$ | $1.02 \pm 0.08$ | $0.97 \pm 0.07$ |

In roots as well as in leaves, no changes in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content were detected after Cd exposure in wild type or mpk6 mutant plants (Figure 7.1.A and B). The amount of membrane damage, induced by the production of ROS after Cd exposure, was measured by the analysis of thiobarbituric acid reactive metabolites (TBArm). In roots, TBArm levels of non-exposed mpk6 mutant plants were significantly lower than in non-exposed wild types (Figure 7.1.C). After exposure to Cd, wild type plants showed a slightly increasing trend in lipid peroxidation. In contrast, lipid peroxidation was reduced in Cd-exposed mpk6 knockout plants after 24h, leading to a significant genotype*treatment interaction effect ( $p<0.0001$ ). In leaves, no significant differences in lipid peroxidation were observed after exposure to Cd (Figure 7.1.D).


Figure 7.1.: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ content ( $\mathrm{nmol} \mathrm{gFW}^{-1}$ ) and lipid peroxidation ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{gFW}^{-1}$ ) in roots (A-C) and leaves (B-D) of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants exposed to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ during 0,2 and 24 h ( $\square=$ wild type non-exposed, $\square=$ wild type exposed, $\square=m p k 6$ non-exposed, $\square=m p k 6$ exposed). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: ***: $p<0.01$; **: $p<0.05$ ).

To measure the cellular redox state, the amount of reduced and oxidized glutathione was analyzed in Cd-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings (Table 7.3.). Roots of wild type plants showed significantly reduced levels of GSH and GSSG after 2 h Cd exposure, resulting in reduced levels of total glutathione and a sustained GSSG/GSH ratio. After 24 h exposure to Cd, GSH and GSSG concentrations were increased in wild type plants and similar to the levels in control plants. Mpk6 mutant seedlings also showed after 2 and 24 h Cd exposure a significant reduction in GSH and total glutathione levels in roots, but in contrast, GSSG content remained unaltered resulting in an increased GSSG/GSH ratio. In leaves, non-exposed mpk6 mutant plants showed a significantly lower concentration of GSSG at the onset of the day leading to a reduced GSSG/GSH ratio as compared to wild type plants. After 24 h exposure to Cd, the GSSG content and consequently the GSSG/GSH ratio in the leaves were significantly reduced in wild type plants. In leaves of mpk6 mutants, concentrations of GSSG and the GSSG/GSH ratio were also decreased after 24h exposure to Cd , although not statistically significant.

Activities of enzymes involved in the antioxidative defence were measured in roots and leaves of wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings exposed to Cd (Table 7.4.). In roots, the activity of glutathione reductase (GR) and catalase (CAT) was induced in wild type seedlings after 24 h Cd exposure, whereas in mpk6 mutants only the CAT activity was increased. In leaves, the activity of the superoxide dismutase (SOD) was significantly elevated in mpk6 mutants after 2 h exposure to Cd whereas no changes in SOD activity were seen in wild type plants. After 24 h exposure to Cd , the activity of GR was significantly reduced in both wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings.
Transcript levels of pro- and antioxidative enzymes were also measured in wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings after exposure to Cd. Concerning the prooxidative lipoxygenases and NADPH oxidases, gene expression of RBOHD was significantly increased in the roots of wild type plants, not in mpk6 mutant plants, after 24 h exposure to Cd (Table 7.5.). No significant differences were observed for the transcript levels of the other pro-oxidative genes. In the leaves, expression of LOX2 and RBOHD was increased in response to Cd exposure in both wild type and mpk6 mutant plants (Table 7.6.).

MPK6 interferes with the GSH status and mediates Cd-induced oxidative stress
Table 7.3.: Concentration ( $\mathrm{nmol} \mathrm{gFW}{ }^{-1}$ ) of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione in roots and leaves of 3 -week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants exposed to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ during 0,2 and 24 h (GSSG levels were expressed in GSH equivalents). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 4$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: ${ }^{* * *}: p<0.01 ;{ }^{* *}$ : $p<0.05$; genotype or genotype*treatment interaction effect: $a$ : $p<0.01$; $b: p<0.05$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Metabolite | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  |
| Oh | GSH + GSSG | 150.5 | $\pm$ | 14.5 |  |  |  | 148.5 | $\pm$ | 2.4 |  |  |  |
|  | GSH | 147.1 | $\pm$ | 15.0 |  |  |  | 144.6 | $\pm$ | 1.7 |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG | 3.4 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 3.9 | $\pm$ | 1.3 |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.024 |  | 0.008 |  |  |  | 0.027 | $\pm$ | 0.009 |  |  |  |
| 2h | $\mathbf{G S H}+\mathbf{G S S G}$ | 168.6 | $\pm$ | 11.4 | 55.9 | $\pm$ | $2.7 * *$ | 150.4 | $\pm$ | 4.6 | 62.4 | $\pm$ | $3,8^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSH | 149.7 | $\pm$ | 8.6 | 53.9 | $\pm$ | $2.5 * *$ | 149.6 | $\pm$ | 4.8 | 60.2 | $\pm$ | $3.5 * * *$ |
|  | GSSG | 18.9 | $\pm$ | 7.3 | 2.0 | $\pm$ | $0.5{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.8 | $\pm$ | $0.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.2 | $\pm$ | 0.4 |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.163 | $\pm$ | 0.039 | 0.037 | $\pm$ | 0.008 | 0.006 | $\pm$ | 0,002 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.035 | $\pm$ | 0,007*** |
| 24h | GSH + GSSG | 148.7 | $\pm$ | 9.8 | 106.6 | $\pm$ |  | 150.8 | $\pm$ | 5.7 | 99.6 | $\pm$ | $8.1{ }^{* *}$ |
|  | GSH | 134.7 | $\pm$ | 13.7 | 97.8 | $\pm$ | 9.5 | 148.2 | $\pm$ | 5.7 | 91.6 | $\pm$ | $6.5^{* * *}$ |
|  | GSSG | 13.9 | $\pm$ | 6.5 | 8.8 | $\pm$ |  | 2.6 | $\pm$ | 0.6 | 8.1 | $\pm$ | 2.0 |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.117 | $\pm$ | 0.058 | 0.092 | $\pm$ | 0.011 | 0.014 | $\pm$ | 0.002 | 0.086 | $\pm$ | 0.018** |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Metabolite | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  |
| Oh | $\mathbf{G S H}+\mathbf{G S S G}$ | 379.6 | $\pm$ | 58.2 |  |  |  | 341.3 | $\pm$ | 51.8 |  |  |  |
|  | GSH | 336.6 | $\pm$ | 58.4 |  |  |  | 316.8 | $\pm$ | 34.9 |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG | 43.0 | $\pm$ | 1.6 |  |  |  | 23.8 | $\pm$ | $3.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.138 | $\pm$ | 0.021 |  |  |  | 0.090 | $\pm$ | 0.018 |  |  |  |
| 2h | GSH + GSSG | 464.9 | $\pm$ | 41.8 | 358.4 | $\pm$ | 47.5 | 404.1 | $\pm$ | 29.5 | 391.5 | $\pm$ | 50.8 |
|  | GSH | 391.9 | $\pm$ | 21.3 | 411.6 | $\pm$ | 87.8 | 365.6 | $\pm$ | 30.7 | 356.3 | $\pm$ | 57.6 |
|  | GSSG | 50.7 | $\pm$ | 10.4 | 35.6 | $\pm$ | 10.0 | 47.2 | $\pm$ | 4.0 | 35.2 | $\pm$ | 9.9 |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.134 | $\pm$ | 0.032 | 0.082 | $\pm$ | 0.020 | 0.129 | $\pm$ | 0.005 | 0.117 | $\pm$ | 0.043 |
| 24h | GSH + GSSG | 277.3 | $\pm$ | 10.3 | 389.1 | $\pm$ |  | 329.0 | $\pm$ | 35.6 | 312.7 | $\pm$ | 26.7 |
|  | GSH | 244.6 | $\pm$ | 25.3 | 360.0 | $\pm$ | 12.3 | 304.5 | $\pm$ | 35.9 | 290.3 | $\pm$ | 22.7 |
|  | GSSG | 56.9 | $\pm$ | 0.3 | 28.1 | $\pm$ | $2.3{ }^{* *}$ | 24.4 | $\pm$ | $4.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11.8 | $\pm$ | 3.3 |
|  | GSSG/GSH | 0.260 | $\pm$ | 0.014 | 0.082 | $\pm$ | 0.010*** | 0.084 | $\pm$ | $0.015^{\text {a }}$ | 0.039 | $\pm$ | 0.008 |

Chapter 7
Table 7.4.: Enzyme activities ( $U$ gFW ${ }^{-1}$ for $S O D, m U g F W^{-1}$ for all other enzymes) in roots and leaves of 3-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and mpk6 knockout plants exposed to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO} 4$ during 0,2 and 24h. Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of $\pm 5$ biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: $* * *: p<0.01$; $* *: p<0.05$; genotype or genotype*treatment interaction effect: a: $p<0.01$; $b: p<0.05)$.

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Enzyme | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  |
| Oh | SOD | 3069 |  |  |  |  |  | 3435 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | SPOD | 7619 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 6176 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GPOD | 24625 | $\pm$ | 2608 |  |  |  | 24617 | $\pm$ | 277 |  |  |  |
|  | GR | 498 | $\pm$ | 49 |  |  |  | 592 | $\pm$ | 62 |  |  |  |
|  | CAT | 67 | $\pm$ | 5 |  |  |  | 58 | $\pm$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2h | SOD | 2726 | $\pm$ | 303 | 2602 | $\pm$ |  | 1807 | $\pm$ | $273{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2669 | $\pm$ |  |
|  | SPOD | 7177 | $\pm$ | 907 | 4958 | $\pm$ | 469 | 4661 | $\pm$ | 536 | 5841 | $\pm$ | 503 |
|  | GPOD | 21925 | $\pm$ | 1175 | 23954 | $\pm$ | 1695 | 19785 | $\pm$ | 1320 | 20072 | $\pm$ | 1158 |
|  | GR | 504 | $\pm$ | 25 | 695 | $\pm$ |  | 516 | $\pm$ | 54 | 525 | $\pm$ | 48 |
|  | CAT | 65 | $\pm$ | 9 | 70 | $\pm$ | 3 | 58 | $\pm$ | 1 | 60 | $\pm$ | 1 |
| 24h | SOD | 2083 | $\pm$ | 295 | 2700 | $\pm$ | 125 | 1667 | $\pm$ | 114 | 2103 | $\pm$ | 93 |
|  | SPOD | 8061 | $\pm$ | 1160 | 11029 | $\pm$ | 414 | 7038 | $\pm$ | 961 | 5845 | $\pm$ | $1000^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | GPOD | 21205 | $\pm$ | 1669 | 21106 | $\pm$ | 1378 | 14839 | $\pm$ | 456 | 15549 | $\pm$ | 1023 |
|  | GR | 530 | $\pm$ | 12 | 774 | $\pm$ | $26^{* *}$ | 504 | $\pm$ | 24 | 597 | $\pm$ | 54 |
|  | CAT | 69 | $\pm$ | 4 | 116 | $\pm$ | $4^{* * *}$ | 61 | $\pm$ | 6 | 79 | $\pm$ | $3^{* *, a}$ |


| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wild type |  |  |  |  |  | mpk6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Enzyme | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  | Control |  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{MCd}$ |  |  |
| Oh | SOD | 410 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 701 | $\pm$ | 249 |  |  |  |
|  | SPOD | 2475 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 2793 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GPOD | 1413 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 1306 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | GR | 960 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | 1567 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAT | 474 | $\pm$ | 18 |  |  |  | 704 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2h | SOD | 1012 | $\pm$ |  | 1261 |  |  | 872 | $\pm$ |  | 1589 | $\pm$ | $50^{* * *}$ |
|  | SPOD | 2988 | $\pm$ |  | 2559 | $\pm$ |  | 2708 | $\pm$ | 110 | 2645 | $\pm$ | 104 |
|  | GPOD | 1384 | $\pm$ |  | 1318 | $\pm$ |  | 1242 | $\pm$ |  | 1422 | $\pm$ |  |
|  | GR | 1486 | $\pm$ | 67 | 1419 | $\pm$ |  | 1463 | $\pm$ |  | 1330 | $\pm$ | 68 |
|  | CAT | 799 | $\pm$ | 60 | 818 | $\pm$ |  | 905 | $\pm$ |  | 917 | $\pm$ | 31 |
| 24h | SOD | 1151 | $\pm$ |  | 1138 | $\pm$ |  | 1197 | $\pm$ |  | 1537 | $\pm$ |  |
|  | SPOD | 3388 | $\pm$ |  | 3602 | $\pm$ |  | 3167 | $\pm$ | 151 | 3512 | $\pm$ | 297 |
|  | GPOD | 1315 | $\pm$ | 63 | 1587 | $\pm$ | 23 | 1470 | $\pm$ | 36 | 1780 | $\pm$ | 90 |
|  | GR | 1348 | $\pm$ | 29 | 875 | $\pm$ | $59^{* * *}$ | 1440 | $\pm$ | 101 | 1019 | $\pm$ | $31^{* * *}$ |
|  | CAT | 762 | $\pm$ | 25 | 786 | $\pm$ | 4 | 848 | $\pm$ | 6 | 853 | $\pm$ | 28 |

With regard to the antioxidative enzymes, transcript levels of FSD1 were elevated after 24 h exposure to Cd in roots of wild type and mpk6 mutant plants (Table 7.5.). In the leaves, transcript levels of CAT2 were significantly reduced in both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after 24 h exposure to Cd (Table 7.6.). In addition, gene expression of CSD2 was significantly downregulated in leaves of wild type plants after 24 h Cd exposure, whereas mpk6 mutant seedlings showed a decreasing trend in CSD2 transcript levels.
Because gene expression of CSDs is known to be regulated by miRNA398, primary transcripts of CSD1 (hnCSD1), CSD2 (hnCSD2) and the different isoforms of miRNA398 (pri-MIR398a, pri-MIR398b, pri-MIR398c) were measured. The roots of wild type as well as mpk6 mutant seedlings showed no change in hnCSD1 or hnCSD2 transcript levels after Cd exposure (Table 7.5.). Gene expression of pri-MIR398a, pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c was significantly increased after 2 or 24 h Cd exposure respectively in both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants. In leaves, an overall increase in transcript levels of priMIR398a, pri-MIR398b and pri-MIR398c was observed after 24 h exposure to Cd (Table 7.6.).

### 7.2.3. Transcript levels of the MAPKinase MPK3 and the transcription factors WRKY22, WRKY25 and ZAT12 are regulated by MPK6 after Cd exposure

Because MPK6 is a signalling molecule, able to phosphorylate transcription factors, gene expression of the transcription factors WRKY22/25/29 and ZAT12 was measured in roots and leaves of wild type and mpk6 knockout plants exposed to Cd. Transcript levels of MPK3, a MAPK homolog of MPK6 sharing the same function, were also analyzed.

Roots (Table 7.5.) of wild type plants showed a significant increase in MPK3 expression after 2 and 24 h Cd exposure whereas no changes in MPK3 transcript levels were seen in mpk6 knockout plants. Gene expression of WRKY22 was significantly reduced in roots of mpk6 mutant plants after 24 h exposure to Cd , while no changes were seen in wild type seedlings. In contrast, expression of WRKY25 was elevated in roots of 24 h Cd-exposed wild type plants, whereas no changes in gene expression were seen in the Cd-exposed mpk6 mutants.
Table 7.5.: Transcript levels in roots of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $5 \mu M \mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$
 upregulated: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).

| ROOTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |
| LOX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $0.76 \pm 0.08$ | $1.55 \pm 0.14$ | $1.24 \pm 0.05$ | $1.36 \pm 0.06$ | $1.17 \pm 0.11$ | $2.47 \pm 0.38$ | $5.04 \pm 1.17$ | $1.77 \pm 0.19$ | $2.93 \pm 0.72$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.08 \pm 0.07$ | $0.92 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.14 \pm 0.03$ | $1.10 \pm 0.08$ | $1.18 \pm 0.12$ | $1.25 \pm 0.12$ | $1.52 \pm 0.03$ | $1.54 \pm 0.06$ |
| RBOHD | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ | $0.61 \pm 0.05$ | $0.91 \pm 0.16$ | $1.44 \pm 0.34$ | $0.54 \pm 0.04$ | $0.97 \pm 0.36$ | $1.19 \pm 0.01$ | $2.09 \pm 0.08$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $1.27 \pm 0.19$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.09$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11$ | $1.01 \pm 0.05$ | $1.04 \pm 0.04$ | $0.95 \pm 0.04$ | $1.01 \pm 0.04$ | $0.96 \pm 0.06$ | $0.78 \pm 0.14$ | $0.91 \pm 0.06$ | $0.66 \pm 0.10$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $0.83 \pm 0.06$ | $0.88 \pm 0.04$ | $0.91 \pm 0.06$ | $0.90 \pm 0.08$ | 0.93 $\pm 0.09$ | $1.16 \pm 0.13$ | $0.92 \pm 0.14$ | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ | $0.73 \pm 0.09$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.29$ | $1.38 \pm 0.31$ | $2.70 \pm 0.77$ | $1.90 \pm 0.04$ | $2.38 \pm 0.45$ | $0.89 \pm 0.44$ | $2.42 \pm 0.70$ | $22.3 \pm 7.32$ | $2.88 \pm 0.25$ | $16.0 \pm 5.06$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ | $1.05 \pm 0.05$ | $1.14 \pm 0.02$ | $1.12 \pm 0.05$ | $1.14 \pm 0.02$ | $1.01 \pm 0.10$ | $0.87 \pm 0.04$ | $1.02 \pm 0.02$ | $0.80 \pm 0.05$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $0.77 \pm 0.04$ | $0.81 \pm 0.04$ | $0.76 \pm 0.04$ | $0.90 \pm 0.11$ | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | $0.82 \pm 0.04$ | $0.83 \pm 0.05$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.22 \pm 0.08$ | $1.07 \pm 0.19$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $1.12 \pm 0.08$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $1.40 \pm 0.08$ | $1.01 \pm 0.15$ | $1.42 \pm 0.11$ | $1.31 \pm 0.12$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.11$ | $1.02 \pm 0.07$ | $0.89 \pm 0.10$ | $0.98 \pm 0.10$ | $0.75 \pm 0.12$ | $0.76 \pm 0.04$ | $1.13 \pm 0.15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $1.01 \pm 0.12$ | $0.77 \pm 0.12$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.98 \pm 0.08$ | $0.95 \pm 0.08$ | $1.86 \pm 0.37$ | $1.05 \pm 0.11$ | $0.89 \pm 0.08$ | $1.27 \pm 0.07$ | $2.32 \pm 0.06$ | $1.40 \pm 0.06$ | $1.71 \pm 0.15$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.23$ | $1.42 \pm 0.32$ | $1.21 \pm 0.14$ | $1.12 \pm 0.20$ | $1.10 \pm 0.09$ | $0.87 \pm 0.14$ | $1.48 \pm 0.21$ | $0.70 \pm 0.08$ | $2.24 \pm 0.35$ | $0.78 \pm 0.03$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $1.32 \pm 0.17$ | $1.26 \pm 0.14$ | $1.05 \pm 0.20$ | $1.48 \pm 0.14$ | $1.67 \pm 0.20$ | $1.23 \pm 0.09$ | $2.71 \pm 0.19$ | $1.74 \pm 0.15$ | $2.26 \pm 0.38$ |
| WRKY29 | $1.00 \pm 0.18$ | $1.61 \pm 0.16$ | $1.35 \pm 0.12$ | $1.83 \pm 0.28$ | $1.64 \pm 0.22$ | $2.21 \pm 0.48$ | $1.38 \pm 0.20$ | $1.89 \pm 0.15$ | $1.69 \pm 0.14$ | $1.77 \pm 0.23$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.20$ | $0.78 \pm 0.06$ | $0.16 \pm 0.05$ | $2.21 \pm 0.90$ | $0.43 \pm 0.13$ | $0.65 \pm 0.37$ | $0.48 \pm 0.10$ | $6.29 \pm 0.63$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $3.43 \pm 1.44$ |
| hnCSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $0.86 \pm 0.09$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$ | $1.21 \pm 0.13$ | $0.90 \pm 0.04$ | $0.98 \pm 0.11$ | $0.97 \pm 0.08$ | $1.04 \pm 0.03$ | $0.95 \pm 0.05$ | $1.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| hnCSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.07$ | $0.80 \pm 0.07$ | $0.94 \pm 0.11$ | $0.85 \pm 0.05$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05$ | $1.12 \pm 0.05$ | $1.05 \pm 0.12$ | $0.77 \pm 0.04$ | $0.93 \pm 0.05$ |
| pri-MIR398a | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.93 \pm 0.10$ | $0.84 \pm 0.03$ | $6.99 \pm 0.97$ | $0.92 \pm 0.13$ | $9.35 \pm 0.36$ | $1.03 \pm 0.11$ | $1.40 \pm 0.20$ | $0.91 \pm 0.10$ | $1.26 \pm 0.15$ |
| pri-MIR398b | $1.00 \pm 0.14$ | $0.82 \pm 0.11$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $0.86 \pm 0.09$ | $0.88 \pm 0.11$ | $0.69 \pm 0.04$ | $1.08 \pm 0.22$ | $7.04 \pm 1.90$ | $1.00 \pm 0.15$ | $8.13 \pm 2.15$ |
| pri-MIR398c | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ | $0.81 \pm 0.08$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $0.93 \pm 0.12$ | $0.93 \pm 0.10$ | $0.68 \pm 0.09$ | $0.99 \pm 0.15$ | $7.70 \pm 2.10$ | $0.88 \pm 0.16$ | $9.51 \pm 2.84$ |

Table 7.6.: Transcript levels in leaves of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana plants exposed to $5 \mu M C l S O_{4}$
 upregulation: $\mathrm{p}<0.01 \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ).

| LEAVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Oh |  | 2h |  |  |  | 24h |  |  |  |
|  | wild type | mpk6 | wild type |  | mpk6 |  | wild type |  | mpk6 |  |
|  |  |  | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ | Control | $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ |
| LOX2 | $1.00 \pm 0.13$ | $0.81 \pm 0.05$ | $4.87 \pm 0.28$ | $5.94 \pm 0.50$ | $4.80 \pm 0.57$ | $4.28 \pm 0.29$ | $1.06 \pm 0.06$ | $1.78 \pm 0.09$ | $1.26 \pm 0.07$ | $2.04 \pm 0.12$ |
| RBOHC | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.04 \pm 0.06$ | $1.02 \pm 0.03$ | $1.18 \pm 0.05$ | $1.07 \pm 0.08$ | $1.05 \pm 0.04$ | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ | $1.78 \pm 0.23$ | $1.11 \pm 0.03$ | $2.16 \pm 0.46$ |
| CSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.17$ | $0.94 \pm 0.08$ | $0.80 \pm 0.18$ | $1.05 \pm 0.25$ | $0.76 \pm 0.08$ | $1.04 \pm 0.15$ | $1.12 \pm 0.18$ | $0.52 \pm 0.13$ | $1.02 \pm 0.06$ | $0.65 \pm 0.13$ |
| CSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.28$ | $1.06 \pm 0.13$ | $0.55 \pm 0.07$ | $0.86 \pm 0.23$ | $0.87 \pm 0.20$ | $1.12 \pm 0.19$ | $1.35 \pm 0.01$ | $0.54 \pm 0.20$ | $0.99 \pm 0.20$ | $0.73 \pm 0.16$ |
| FSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.50$ | $0.17 \pm 0.04$ | $1.50 \pm 0.60$ | $1.17 \pm 0.56$ | $1.96 \pm 0.54$ | $0.96 \pm 0.55$ | $0.18 \pm 0.02$ | $1.05 \pm 0.41$ | $1.18 \pm 0.57$ | $1.34 \pm 0.67$ |
| APX1 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.05 \pm 0.09$ | $1.66 \pm 0.16$ | $1.79 \pm 0.02$ | $2.24 \pm 0.13$ | $1.74 \pm 0.15$ | $1.16 \pm 0.07$ | $1.29 \pm 0.15$ | $1.43 \pm 0.09$ | $1.39 \pm 0.06$ |
| CAT1 | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.90 \pm 0.09$ | $0.80 \pm 0.11$ | $0.97 \pm 0.10$ | $1.19 \pm 0.11$ | $0.95 \pm 0.13$ | $0.88 \pm 0.08$ | $1.05 \pm 0.14$ | $0.94 \pm 0.05$ | $1.24 \pm 0.10$ |
| CAT2 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.04 \pm 0.11$ | $0.67 \pm 0.06$ | $0.75 \pm 0.09$ | $0.84 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.02$ | $1.13 \pm 0.09$ | $0.88 \pm 0.01$ | $1.29 \pm 0.03$ | $0.77 \pm 0.04$ |
| CAT3 | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.09 \pm 0.11$ | $1.78 \pm 0.23$ | $1.76 \pm 0.14$ | $2.39 \pm 0.28$ | $2.32 \pm 0.32$ | $1.11 \pm 0.10$ | $1.51 \pm 0.22$ | $1.36 \pm 0.08$ | $1.28 \pm 0.11$ |
| MPK3 | $1.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.07 \pm 0.12$ | $1.27 \pm 0.07$ | $1.76 \pm 0.08$ | $1.70 \pm 0.26$ | $1.47 \pm 0.08$ | $1.39 \pm 0.17$ | $4.85 \pm 0.80$ | $1.39 \pm 0.14$ | $3.78 \pm 0.45$ |
| WRKY22 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $1.06 \pm 0.10$ | $0.58 \pm 0.05$ | $0.63 \pm 0.03$ | $0.77 \pm 0.06$ | $0.85 \pm 0.07$ | $0.97 \pm 0.07$ | $0.50 \pm 0.01$ | $1.04 \pm 0.06$ | $1.04 \pm 0.14$ |
| WRKY25 | $1.00 \pm 0.05$ | $1.14 \pm 0.12$ | $1.51 \pm 0.32$ | $2.01 \pm 0.16$ | $2.31 \pm 0.39$ | $1.26 \pm 0.04$ | $1.69 \pm 0.26$ | $17.1 \pm 5.09$ | $1.55 \pm 0.19$ | $12.8 \pm 2.28$ |
| WRKY29 | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $1.07 \pm 0.07$ | $1.29 \pm 0.10$ | $1.49 \pm 0.18$ | $2.52 \pm 0.15$ | $2.80 \pm 0.09$ | $1.05 \pm 0.12$ | $0.71 \pm 0.09$ | $1.48 \pm 0.14$ | $1.39 \pm 0.08$ |
| ZAT12 | $1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $1.74 \pm 0.20$ | $0.36 \pm 0.10$ | $1.28 \pm 0.74$ | $0.64 \pm 0.46$ | $0.30 \pm 0.04$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $6.70 \pm 1.70$ | $0.55 \pm 0.04$ | $4.88 \pm 0.86$ |
| hnCSD1 | $1.00 \pm 0.01$ | $1.22 \pm 0.09$ | $1.34 \pm 0.05$ | $1.58 \pm 0.09$ | $1.41 \pm 0.09$ | $1.22 \pm 0.05$ | $1.16 \pm 0.03$ | $1.49 \pm 0.07$ | $1.28 \pm 0.05$ | $1.20 \pm 0.01$ |
| hnCSD2 | $1.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.88 \pm 0.11$ | $0.53 \pm 0.01$ | $0.60 \pm 0.04$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $0.54 \pm 0.04$ | $0.60 \pm 0.08$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $0.67 \pm 0.04$ | $0.44 \pm 0.07$ |
| pri-MIR398a | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.64 \pm 0.05$ | $0.96 \pm 0.15$ | $0.83 \pm 0.03$ | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ | $1.02 \pm 0.03$ | $4.90 \pm 0.97$ | $1.05 \pm 0.18$ | $10.2 \pm 1.64$ |
| pri-MIR398b | $1.00 \pm 0.34$ | $0.85 \pm 0.39$ | $2.06 \pm 0.67$ | $0.85 \pm 0.11$ | $2.64 \pm 0.53$ | $1.60 \pm 0.82$ | $2.00 \pm 0.71$ | $9.56 \pm 2.54$ | $3.11 \pm 1.10$ | $6.32 \pm 2.04$ |
| pri-MIR398c | $1.00 \pm 0.31$ | $0.42 \pm 0.12$ | $2.74 \pm 1.01$ | $1.05 \pm 0.11$ | $3.82 \pm 0.27$ | $1.78 \pm 0.95$ | $1.29 \pm 0.32$ | $11.3 \pm 3.21$ | $2.26 \pm 0.43$ | $8.43 \pm 1.08$ |

Transcript levels of ZAT12 were elevated in roots of wild type plants after 2 h Cd exposure, which continued after 24 h . A delayed increase in gene expression of ZAT12 was observed in mpk6 knockout seedlings only after 24 h exposure to Cd. In the leaves (Table 7.6.), transcript levels of the transcription factor WRKY22 were significantly reduced in wild type plants after 24 h Cd exposure. In contrast, no changes in WRKY22 expression were seen in Cd-exposed mpk6 mutant plants. Expression of the transcription factor ZAT12 was higher in non-exposed mpk6 mutant seedlings than in non-exposed wild type plants. However, ZAT12 transcript levels were elevated in both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after 24 h Cd exposure. In addition, gene expression of MPK3 was upregulated in both genotypes after 24 h exposure to Cd .

### 7.3. Discussion

In earlier studies, it was shown that exposure of Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings to environmental realistic Cd concentrations induces oxidative stress (Smeets et al. 2009, Cuypers et al. 2011a) and that induction of MAPK gene expression suggested a role for MAPK cascades in the Cd stress response (Chapter 4; Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). In this chapter, the role of MPK6 in Cdinduced oxidative stress was investigated after exposure of 3-week-old wild type and mpk6 knockout Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings to $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}$ during 0, 2 and 24 h , via the evaluation of oxidative stress related parameters.

Although roots of mpk6 mutant plants seem to take up less Cd, Cd accumulation is not statistically different after 24 h Cd exposure (Table 7.1.). Once inside the cell, Cd ions are bound and sequestrated by metal chelators, like PCs and MTs, to prevent damage induced by free Cd ions (Hall 2002, Clemens 2006). Whereas no immediate changes in transcript levels of PCS1 and most MTs were noticed, MT2a transcript levels elevated after 2 h Cd exposure in mpk6 knockout plants (Table 7.2.). MT2a is described to function as a metal chaperone for the delivery of essential metals to organelles and metal-requiring proteins (Guo et al. 2003, 2008) indicating that nutrient balance, although total amounts were similar, might be affected.
Glutathione also functions as a metal chelator in plant cells. It can directly bind and sequester free Cd ions in the cytosol or subcellular compartments or it can be used in the synthesis of PCs. The sharp decrease in total GSH levels after 2 h

MPK6 interferes with the GSH status and mediates Cd-induced oxidative stress of exposure suggests that in Cd-exposed roots, GSH is immediately being consumed for the binding and sequestration of the free Cd or in the synthesis of PCs (Jozefczak, personal communication). Besides its function in metal chelation, GSH also acts in ROS scavenging by neutralizing ROS via donation of an electron from its thiol group. By doing so, GSH itself becomes oxidized. GSSG can be reduced back to GSH by the enzyme glutathione reductase (GR), which uses NADPH to reduce GSSG (reviewed in Jozefczak et al. 2012). In wild type plants, after 2 h Cd exposure, GSH is mainly used for chelation purposes as also GSSG levels dropped and the cellular redox state was maintained. The drop in total and reduced GSH was also observed at 2 h Cd exposure in mpk6 mutants, also pointing towards a direct involvement of GSH in this mutant. Strikingly, GSSG levels in the mpk6 mutant were lower already in control conditions at this time point, leading to similar levels of GSSG after $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd exposure and hence an increased cellular redox state. After 24 h the GSH levels recovered, possibly due to de novo synthesis, but in the mutants they were still significantly lower than in wild type plants (Table 7.3.). The increased levels of GR activity in wildtype plants after Cd exposure also led to the maintenance of GSSG levels, whereas the unaltered GR activity in the mpk6 mutant combined with the $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd-induced stress led to increased GSSG levels. So in both genotypes at 24h Cd exposure, GSH is necessary for both antioxidative defence and metal chelation, but the mpk6 mutants seems to recover more slowly from the decrease in GSH seen after 2 h . Temporary or sustained depletion of GSH can influence the antioxidative capacity and consequently cause oxidative stress (Seth et al. 2012).

Regarding the above-mentioned decrease in GSH, both genotypes might experience oxidative stress after Cd exposure. However, no changes in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels were detected in roots of both wild type and mpk6 knockout plants after Cd exposure (Figure 7.1.A). This can be due to the increase in catalase activity after 24 h exposure to Cd (Table 7.4.), which neutralizes $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the cell (Mhamdi et al. 2010). In accordance with this absence of increased $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels, lipid peroxidation was also not altered in roots of Cd-exposed wild type plants (Figure 7.1.C) and even reduced after Cd exposure in mpk6 mutant seedlings. This indicates that both genotypes can cope with the Cd-imposed stress, but
based on our results, the role of MPK6 in the regulation of the cellular redox status in roots of Arabidopsis plants requires further investigation.

In contrast to Cd-induced ROS production and oxidative injury in roots of Arabidopsis plants, which is a secondary effect not detected before 24 h Cd exposure (Opdenakker et al. 2012a: chapter 4, Cuypers et al. 2011a), signalling pathways leading to changes in pro- and anti-oxidative gene expression may be triggered earlier. Therefore, the involvement of MPK6 in Cd-induced signalling pathways was investigated. Our data suggest that MPK6 positively regulates transcript levels of the closely related MAPKinase MPK3 and the transcription factors WRKY25 and ZAT12 in Arabidopsis roots after exposure to Cd (Table 7.5.). The MPK6 mediated upregulations have not been reported before under Cd exposure. WRKY25 is known to regulate the expression of $A P X 1$ and $A P X 2$ in heat stress (Li et al. 2009). ZAT12 is involved in the transcriptional control of pro- and antioxidative enzymes, like APX1, CSD1, CSD2, FSD1, RBOHD and LOX4 (Rhizhsky et al. 2004, Davletova et al. 2005a,b, Vogel et al. 2005). Indeed, gene expression of $R B O H D$ in the roots was induced in wild type plants, but not in mpk6 mutants (Table 7.5.). Based on the fact that (1) ZAT12 transcript levels in the roots were increased by MPK6 after 2 h Cd exposure and (2) ZAT12 is reported to control gene regulation of $R B O H D$ under oxidative stress conditions, we hypothesize that MPK6-mediated gene expression of RBOHD in Cd-exposed roots occurs via ZAT12. However, a delayed upregulation of the ZAT12 transcript levels was also observed in mpk6 knockouts after 24 h Cd exposure, indicating that ZAT12 can also be regulated via a MPK6independent pathway in the absence of MPK6. Possibly, the closely related homolog of MPK6, MPK3, can be responsible for this bypass since transcript levels of MPK3 were increased by MPK6 after Cd exposure.

In the leaves, Cd accumulated to similar levels in both wild type and mpk6 mutants after exposure to Cd (Table 7.1.), indicating that MPK6 has no effect on the translocation of Cd from root to shoot in Arabidopsis plants. Whether Cd ions transported to the leaves were complexed with PCs upon arrival, was investigated in Cd-exposed wild type and mpk6 knockout plants at the transcript level. PCS1 expression was upregulated similarly in both genotypes. In contrast to the roots where a sharp decline was observed after Cd exposure, no alterations in GSH concentrations in leaves were observed. Phytochelatin production in leaves was previously observed in Arabidopsis plants exposed during 1 week to 1 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Cd (Semane et al. 2007). Although the total amount of GSH diminished slightly, GSH biosynthesis genes were induced. In our 24 h experimental set-up, GSH biosynthesis is possibly maintained and in addition can provide substrates for PC synthesis. Upon Cd exposure, Mn levels decreased significantly, and a lower leaf Cu content was observed in mpk6 knockout seedlings (Table 7.1). Manganese is an essential micronutrient for plants which can function as a cofactor in enzymes, like in Mn-containing superoxide dismutase protecting cells from the damaging effects of ROS (Hänsch and Mendel 2009).
Whereas GSH has a crucial role in Cd chelation and sequestration (Seth et al. 2012, Jozefczak et al. 2012), it makes up an essential part of the cellular redox state. Interestingly, the GSSG level was lower under control conditions in mpk6 mutants as compared to wild type plants, which disappeared during the day (Table 7.3.). In agreement with observations in the roots, further investigation is required to unravel the role of MPK6 in the GSH redox state in combination with a circadian rhythm. Nevertheless, after Cd exposure, the GSH metabolism was similarly affected in both genotypes (Tables 7.3 and 7.4.). It was previously shown that the GSH metabolism is crucial in the regulation of antioxidative enzymes during Cd stress (Cuypers et al. 2011a). As MPK6 affects the GSSG levels, the role of MPK6 in the control of the cellular redox status in Cd-exposed leaves as well as ROS production and possible oxidative damage were investigated. Neither increased $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels, nor lipid peroxidation were observed in leaves of both genotypes under Cd exposure (Figure 7.1.). The absence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production and lipid peroxidation in Arabidopsis leaves after short term Cd exposure was earlier reported by Opdenakker et al. (2012a; chapter 4). They postulated that the low Cd levels in the leaves initially do not cause cellular damage but rather induce signalling responses to cope with the existing stress. Therefore, transcript levels of signalling components as well as pro- and antioxidative enzymes were analyzed and compared between wild type and mpk6 knockout seedlings to identify MPK6 dependent regulation under Cd stress. Although expression of the transcription factors WRKY25 and ZAT12 as well as of downstream targets (RBOHD, LOX2, CAT2) was increased in Cd-
exposed leaves, their gene regulation seems to be not mediated by MPK6 as was observed in the roots. This indicates that transcript levels of WRKY25, ZAT12 and downstream signalling targets in leaves exposed to Cd can be regulated by other MPK6-independent signalling pathways. However, gene expression of WRKY22 was demonstrated to be under the control of MPK6 in leaves of Cdexposed Arabidopsis plants (Table 7.6.). Asai et al. (2002) demonstrated earlier that WRKY22 is activated by MPK6 in flagellin signalling in Arabidopsis. Concerning the downstream targets of these signalling pathways, transcript levels of CSD2 were decreased in leaves of Cd-exposed wild type plants (Table 7.6.), whereas no changes were detected in mpk6 knockouts, suggesting that MPK6 is involved in controlling the gene expression of CSD2
Gene expression of CSD1/2 is regulated by miRNA398. Under non-stressed conditions, miRNA398 is expressed at moderate levels keeping CSD1/2 expression at normal levels. However, miRNA398 is downregulated under oxidative stress and upregulated under Cu deprivation leading to respectively high or low transcript levels of CSD1/2 (Sunkar et al. 2012). Cuypers et al. (2011a) demonstrated that exposure of Arabidopsis seedlings to environmentally realistic Cd concentrations ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CdSO}_{4}$ ) during 24 h increased levels of mature miRNA398b/c, which in turn led to reduced CSD1 and CSD2 transcript levels. It was found here that the upregulation of the pri-MIR398b transcripts was less strong in mpk6 mutants than in wild types, and this may correlate with a lack of decrease in CSD2 mRNA levels in the mutant, suggesting a role for MPK6 in the miRNA398-mediated downregulation of CSD2. MPK6 was earlier reported to be involved in gene regulation of CSDs via miRNA398 in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis after exposure to Cu (chapter 6).

In conclusion, it was demonstrated that GSSG levels were lower in roots and leaves of non-exposed mpk6 mutants in comparison to wild type plants and they were regulated by the circadian rhythm. However, after Cd exposure the same response in GSH and GSSG levels was observed in both wild type and mpk6 mutants, indicating a role for GSH in metal chelation as well as in the antioxidative defence. Nevertheless, mpk6 knockouts recovered more slowly with regard to GSH levels after Cd exposure. So, the role of MPK6 in the GSH metabolism clearly deserves further attention. In addition, after studying the
cellular redox status at the biochemical level, no clear role for MPK6 in the Cdinduced oxidative stress response in roots and leaves was observed. This can be explained by the fact that Cd is not a redox-active metal and stimulates ROS production via indirect mechanisms leading to a delayed signalling response seen after 24 h . Consequently, oxidative stress and associated cellular damage are only initiated after 24 h Cd exposure but may be more pronounced after longer exposure times (Opdenakker et al. 2012a; chapter 4). Therefore chronic Cd exposure experiments are needed to unravel the role of MPK6 in Cd-induced responses. Concerning the signalling responses after Cd exposure, clear differences between roots and leaves were observed. In the roots, Cd-induced MPK6 regulated transcript levels of the transcription factors WRKY25 and ZAT12. A possible target of ZAT12, the NADPH oxidase RBOHD, seemed also to be regulated by MPK6 at the transcriptional level after Cd exposure, resulting in an increased ROS production in Cd-exposed Arabidopsis roots. In leaves, we observed that MPK6 in Cd-exposed leaves is responsible for the transcriptional control of WRKY22 and that MPK6 may be involved in miRNA398b/c-mediated downregulation of CSD2 mRNA levels, which indeed could be at the origin of oxidative stress beyond 24 h Cd exposure.

## Chapter 8

General discussion, conclusion and perspectives

### 8.1. Introduction

Metals, like cadmium (Cd) and copper (Cu), are toxic for organisms when they are present in the environment in too high concentrations. In plants, exposure to Cd and Cu results in growth retardation and disrupts physiological processes, such as photosynthesis and respiration. At the cellular level, Cd and Cu disturb the cellular redox balance by favouring the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). On the one hand, ROS are harmful to the cell by damaging cellular components, like DNA, proteins and lipids. On the other hand, ROS can also act as signalling molecules mediating many normal physiological processes as well as defence responses against stress. Reactive oxygen species signalling in plants involves mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, which regulate transcript levels of target genes via phosphorylation of transcription factors. Signalling via MAPKs is also associated with Cd and Cu stress.

Since knowledge about stress signalling at the cellular level is necessary to understand plant responses to metals, the main objective of this study was to investigate the role of MAPK cascades in metal stress signalling and in the establishment of cellular responses to these metals. Therefore, two metals (Cd and Cu ) with distinct physico-chemical characteristics were applied to the plants. Copper is an essential element necessary for normal plant function, while Cd is non-essential and is already toxic to plants in low concentrations. In addition, Cu is redox-active, inducing ROS production directly because of its chemical properties whereas the non-redox-active Cd causes elevated ROS levels via indirect mechanisms. In the first part of this work (chapter 4), time course measurements were undertaken shortly after the Cd or Cu exposure to gain insight in the metal-dependent ROS production in roots and leaves of Arabidopsis plants. Via the transcriptional analysis of ROS-inducible MAPK cascade components in these Cd- and Cu-exposed plants, possible metalinduced signalling candidates were identified. In the following parts, the specific role of these MAPK signalling candidates, more specifically OXI1 (chapter 5) and MPK6 (chapter 6 and 7), was examined in Cd - or Cu -induced oxidative stress using oxi1 and mpk6 knockout plants.

### 8.2. Translocation or complexation of metals is mediated by OXI1 and MPK6 in Cu- or Cd- exposed Arabidopsis thaliana

Exposure to Cu or Cd is toxic to Arabidopsis plants, even when environmental realistic concentrations were applied to the plants. This was reflected at the morphological and biochemical level in alterations of growth parameters, like the reduction in root weight after Cu exposure, or the oxidative stress induced in Cu - and Cd-exposed roots and leaves.

A first line of defence against the toxic effects of Cu and Cd in the cell, is the complexation and sequestration of the metal ions by phytochelatins (PCs) and metallothioneins (MTs)(Clemens 2006, Verbruggen et al. 2009). Signalling components, like the protein kinase OXI1 and the MAPKinase MPK6, were observed to have an influence on this complexation and sequestrations of metals. However, this was insufficient to explain the increased tolerance for Cu excess of both oxi1 and mpk6 knockouts as compared to wild type plants. OXI1 seems to be responsible for Cu homeostasis in roots of wild type plants, possibly due to a better root hair development that is previously described to be OXI1mediated (Anthony et al. 2004, Rentel et al. 2004)(chapter 5). In mpk6 knockout plants, similar Cu concentrations were observed in the roots, but a significant accumulation of Cu was detected in leaves of mpk6 knockout plants after exposure to Cu (chapter 6). Retention of Cu in the roots of wild type plants protects the leaves from the damaging effects of Cu but renders the roots more sensitive to Cu-induced oxidative stress. However, the role of MPK6 in Cu stress responses requires further attention as also elevated oxidative stress was observed in mpk6 knockout roots and wild type leaves. In case of Cd exposure MPK6 has no clear effect on the translocation of Cd from the root to the shoot but Cu translocation was diminished in Cd-exposed wild type plants. Complexation of Cd with glutathione (GSH) or PCs in Cd-exposed roots may occur immediately, based on the sharp decline of overall GSH contents (chapter 7). Recently, a link between protein phosphorylation by MAPKs and the synthesis of PCs was suggested after Cd exposure (Lima et al. 2012). However, the use of GSH for the sequestration of Cd induced a temporary depletion of GSH, which is also used as a scavenger of ROS leading to an induction of oxidative stress.


Figure 8.1.: Overview of oxidative signalling pathways in Cu - or Cd-exposed Arabidopsis leaves and roots. Blue lines represent the fast (filled lines) and delayed (dashed lines) Cuspecific signalling pathways whereas red lines indicate Cd-dependent signalling cascades. Green arrows represent root-to-shoot signal transduction.

### 8.3. The role of MAPK signalling in Cu - and Cd -induced oxidative stress in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana

At the cellular level, Cu exposure of the roots resulted in an elevated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration. Cu-mediated production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can occur directly by Fenton reactions or indirectly by the activity of the NADPH oxidases RBOHC and RBOHD, whose transcript levels were rapidly elevated after Cu exposure (chapter 4). The NADPH oxidase-dependent production of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can be used by Fenton and Haberweiss reactions for the formation of the more reactive ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OH}$, which initiates lipid peroxidation directly after Cu exposure resulting in membrane damage. Lipid peroxidation can also be initiated by the action of lipoxygenases, enzymes, which catalyze the oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids into lipid hydroperoxides (chapter 4). These lipid hydroperoxides can be further metabolized by different pathways resulting in the formation of oxylipins (Andreou et al. 2009), which also show signalling functions (Mithöfer et al. 2004). Exposure of roots to Cd caused a delayed ROS production supporting the idea that oxidative injury by Cd is a secondary effect. An induction of NADPH oxidases (RBOHD) was only observed after 24 h . Although Cd exposure stimulated gene expression of lipoxygenases, no lipid peroxidation was detected suggesting that the lipoxygenases cause alterations in oxylipin signalling (chapter 4) (Figure 8.1.).

Besides its role as harmfull ROS initiating cellular damage, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can also act as a signalling molecule inducing MAPK cascades which regulate transcription of enzymes involved in pro- and antioxidative processes. After Cu exposure, a fast induction of the MAPK signalling pathway was observed (already 2 h after Cu exposure), whereas Cd exposure showed a delayed induction of MAPK components after 24 h (chapter 4). OXI1 was described in different studies as a ROS sensor, a protein kinase that is activated by ROS, and relays stress signals to the nucleus via activation of MAPKinases, such as MPK3 and MPK6 (Rentel et al. 2004, Petersen et al. 2009). After Cu exposure, a fast induction of OXI1 transcript levels occurred pointing to a central role for OXI1 in Cu-mediated stress responses (chapter 4). Therefore, the signalling function of OXI1 under Cu stress was investigated by the use of oxi1 knockout plants (chapter 5). OXI1 was found to regulate gene expression of ANP1, a MAPKKK that is also involved
in the activation of MPK3 and MPK6 (Kovtun et al. 2000). A role for OXI1 in the regulation of transcript levels of MPK3/6 was not detected, possibly because ANP1 is bypassing this function of OXI1. Consequently, further downstream responses of the signalling cascade were examined by using mpk6 knockout seedlings (chapter 6 and 7). In Cu-exposed as well as in Cd-exposed roots, MPK6 regulated the transcription of its MAPK homolog MPK3 meaning that both MPK6 and MPK3 are needed for full stress signalling after metal exposure. After Cu exposure, MPK6 induced gene expression of the transcription factors WRKY22 and WRKY25, whereas Cd-dependent activation of MPK6 resulted in enhanced transcript levels of WRKY25 and ZAT12. This indicates that different metals can induce the same MAPK signalling pathway, which consequently activates different transcription factors initiating metal-dependent responses. Via these transcription factors, MPK6 resulted in the Cu-dependent transcription of the NADPH oxidases $R B O H C / D$ and the antioxidative enzymes CSD1/2 and CAT1/3. In addition, MPK6-induced CSD1 gene expression in Cu-exposed roots was observed to be regulated via MPK6-mediated downregulation of miRNA398 (Figure 8.1.).
After exposure to Cd, only gene expression of RBOHD was regulated by MPK6. Induction of RBOHC and RBOHD stimulates the pro-oxidative side of the redox balance, leading to more ROS production, whereas the transcriptional regulation of the antioxidative enzymes results in removal of the potential damaging ROS from the cell (Figure 8.1.).

### 8.4. The role of MAPK signalling in Cu - and Cd -induced oxidative stress in leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana

Because of the retention of Cu in the roots of wild type plants, no elevation in Cu content of the leaves was seen. Consequently, an increase in ROS production and lipid peroxidation is possibly observed after more prolonged exposure to Cu . In case of Cd exposure, only low amounts of the Cd taken up by the roots were transported to the leaves. However, in comparison to leaf concentrations of essential micronutrients, like Cu and Zn , the Cd content in the leaves is relatively high. Although Cu and Cd levels of the leaves are lower as observed in the roots, leaf transcript levels of the OXI1 signalling cascade were rapidly induced after Cu exposure and only after 24 h in Cd -exposed plants (chapter 4),
indicating the existence of root-to-shoot signalling (Remans et al. 2010, Cuypers et al. 2011a). Although transcript levels of pro- and antioxidative enzymes are regulated by Cu exposure in leaves, no specific involvement of OXI1 and MPK6 in this regulation were identified except for LOX2 (chapter 5 and 6). Jasmonate, an oxylipin that is synthesized starting from LOX2 activity, is known to play a role in Cu stress responses (Xiang and Oliver 1998, Maksymiec and Krupa 2006, Maksymiec et al. 2007). The plant defensin PDF1.2, a target of jasmonate signalling, was observed to be regulated by OXI1 in Cu-exposed leaves, indicating that OXI1 initiates oxylipin signalling in leaves of Arabidopsis plants exposed to Cu (chapter 5). The altered oxylipin signalling in Cu -exposed leaves can be the trigger for a second, delayed stimulation of the OXI1 signalling cascade resulting in changes of pro- and antioxidative genes. Indeed, leaves exposed to Cu for a longer time (1 week) displayed a MPK6-mediated regulation of LOX2 and the antioxidative enzymes CSD1, CSD2, FSD1 and CAT2. Like already mentioned for Cu-exposed roots, MPK6 was involved in the downregulation of pri-MIR398 in leaves exposed to Cu during 1 week, allowing CSD1 and CSD2 transcript levels to increase after Cu exposure (Figure 8.1.).
In Cd-exposed leaves, a delayed activation of MAPK signalling pathways was also observed, preferentially stimulating the pro-oxidative side of the redox balance (RBOHC/D, LOX2). Consequently, LOX2 can interfere with the oxylipin signalling in leaves after Cd exposure. Involvement of MPK6 in this MAPK signalling cascade was demonstrated by the regulation of WRKY22, CSD1 and CSD2, whose transcript levels were downregulated in leaves of Cd-exposed wild type plants whereas no changes were seen in mpk6 knockouts after Cd exposure. The MPK6-mediated downregulation of CSD1/2 after Cd exposure did not involve miRNA398, since transcript levels of pri-MIR398 were elevated after Cd exposure in both wild type and mpk6 mutant seedlings. However, it is possible that the MPK6-dependent reduction of WRKY22 plays a role in the MPK6 mediated decrease of CSD1/2 expression levels (Figure 8.1.).

### 8.5. Conclusion and future perspectives

In conclusion, MAPK signalling is important in the establishment of correct stress responses after metal exposure. In case of Cu exposure, a fast induction of these signalling cascades regulating pro- and antioxidative processes in the
roots was observed whereas a delayed induction was detected after Cd exposure. In contrast to the fact that excess Cu uptake is restricted to the roots and only relatively small amounts of Cd are transported to the leaves, MAPK signalling cascades are activated in the leaves after metal exposure indicating root-to-shoot signalling. Although metals with different physico-chemical characteristics (redox-active versus non-redox-active, essential versus nonessential) are able to induce the same MAPK cascades, the outcomes of these signalling pathways are metal-dependent by the use of metal-specific transcription factors. This was also demonstrated by the fact that knockouts of OXI1 and MPK6 were more tolerant to Cu than wild type plants while this tolerance was not detected after exposure to Cd . The Cu tolerance of oxi1 mutants seemed to be related to an altered Cu uptake, whereas this needs further investigation in mpk6 mutants (Figure 8.2.).


Figure 8.2.: Cu content (mg $k g D W^{-1}$ ) of leaves of 2-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and oxi1 knockout seedlings exposed to different Cu concentrations during 1 week (white bars = wild type plants, grey bars = oxi1 mutant plants). Values are mean $\pm$ S.E. of at least 4 biologically independent replicates (significance level: treatment effect: **: $p<0.05$, ***: $p<0.001$; genotype* treatment interaction effect: $b$ : $p<0.05$ ).

For future research, it is interesting to investigate the specific mechanisms responsible for the translocation of Cu from the root to the shoot in oxi1 mutants. Indeed, a faster root-to-shoot translocation and the storage of the sequestered metals in the leaves are two of the three characteristics distinguishing hyperaccumulators from non-hyperaccumulating plants. Hyperaccumulation of metals is mostly caused by a different regulation and expression of genes encoding transmembrane transporters found in both kinds of plants (Rascio and Navari-Izzo 2011). Based on the results of this work, a role
for OXI1 in the regulation of these metal-accumulating processes can be postulated.

Metal uptake and distribution to different plant parts can also be influenced by the plant ecotype. In Arabidopsis thaliana, Landsberg erecta and Wassilewskija accessions are more tolerant to Cu exposure than the Columbia ecotype, although they are accumulating more Cu in their roots and leaves (Schiavon et al. 2007). This can be explained by a different tissue-specific partitioning of elements in the shoot between different ecotypes (Waters and Grusak 2008). In addition, Murphy and Taiz (1995) demonstrated that differences in Cu tolerance between distinct Arabidopsis ecotypes was closely correlated with alterations in the expression level of a 2-type metallothionein (MT2) gene.
Understanding plant processes controlling the uptake/exclusion, partitioning, root-to-shoot transfer, storage and detoxification of elements as well as knowledge about stress tolerance mechanisms is essential for safe and healthy food production or in contrast, the cleanup of metal-contaminated soils. Plants accumulating high concentrations of metals in their aerial, harvestable parts are of particular interest for phytoextraction of metal-contaminated soils, leading to long-term cleanup of polluted soils (Bhargava et al. 2012).

In humans, dietary intake of non-essential metals causes serious chronic health effects. To improve food safety, it is important to search for food crops with a reduced capacity to accumulate metals in edible parts. In contrast, to cope with dietary deficiencies of essential elements, selection for crop cultivars that absorb sufficient amounts of these elements from the soil and accumulate these in edible plant parts (biofortification) can improve human health. In addition, increasing the plant content of substances stimulating the absorption of essential mineral elements by the gut or reducing the amount of antinutrients (oxalate, polyphenolics, phytate) which interfere with their absorption, can help to improve human dietary uptake of essential elements. Examples of this kind of substances are ascorbic acid, beta-carotene and cysteine-rich polypeptides, which also posses antioxidative features (Mench et al. 2009, Singh et al. 2011). Since polluted soils are most of the time contaminated with more than one metal, it is also interesting to include other metals, like Zn for instance, in this research and to investigate the role of multipollution.
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