Evaluation of adsorption of various analytes in cerebrospinal fluid **Delphine Verbeke**

Master IW biochemie

Introduction

For **drug development**, the concentration of the compounds need to be measured in fluids e.g. plasma, urine, **cerebrospinal fluid (CSF**). In urine and CSF the analysis of compounds is difficult because of the risk of **adsorption** to sampling materials. For urine an additive can be added to avoid this. Because of patient safety reasons this is not allowed for CSF sampling. In addition, the concentration in CSF is often to low what makes analysing extra difficult.

Aim

In this investigation, the impact of various materials on adsorption of the compounds during sampling and storage was evaluated. The relation between adsorption to sampling materials and the physiochemical properties of the **compounds** was evaluated to see if any predictions can be made about the amount of adsorption. Also the possibility to set up a generic procedure of materials with less than **15% of adsorption** was evaluated. A hypothesis is that compounds with a high log P will adsorb more to non-polar materials. For the most important materials, the composition is given:











Methods

The analysis will be done by HPLC-MS/MS, all compounds are together in a mix



Compounds

•

•

•



Paliperidone





3 Janssen compounds:









1: container with (artificial) CSF + known concentration of compound in max 2% solvent a,b,c,d= aliquots

Figure 3: Test principle for pipet tips

Results

Table 1:Adsorption of the analytes in the different materials, qualitative (0= no adsorption, 5= >15% adsorption)

Compounds		Materials															Sum best			
	log P	рКа	Nee	edle	Collection tubes		Pipet tips							Storage tubes						N1, C1, P1,
			N1	N2	C1	C2	P1	P2	Р3	Ρ4	P5	P6	Ρ7	S1	S2	S 3	S4	S5	S6	P3 and S1
Paliperidone	1,07	4,41	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Compound A	1,27	19,4	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	5	4	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	2
Compound B	2,98	11,02	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
Quetiapine	2,99	5,87	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Compound C	4,86	12,85	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	3	3	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
Aripiprazole	5,31	4,29	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	5	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	3	3	4

N1= Pecan needle, N2= Spinocath, C1= Falcon tube, C2= Sarstedt tube, P1= Sarstedt pipet, P2= repeating pipet, P3= Gilson pos. displacement pipet, P4= Eppendorf Pos. diplacement pipet, P5= Eppendorf air column pipet, P6= Low retention, P7= Low binding, S1= Fluidx, S2= Micronic, S3=2 ml Sarstedt tubes, S4=0,5 ml Sarstedt tubes, S5= lo bind DNA Eppendorf, S6= lo bind protein Eppendorf; All values are for 1 transfer.

Discussion

The amount of **adsorption** in the sample stages is not that much. With the **pipet** tips used in the lab the most adsoprtion is detected. The Sarstedt pipet is a pipet used in clinics to aliquot the samples. When the adsoprtion in the whole procedure is calculated with the best materials, the adsorption remains within the **15% limit** for the chosen compounds.

Conclusion

From the results it was concluded that the choice of material really can help to limit adsorption. However, it is not possible to completely avoid adsorption for all compounds. It was also clear that log P is not the only physicochemical parameter to predict adsorption. To predict adsorption and setting up an generic procedure more research is needed.

Promotoren / Copromotoren:

Hans Stieltjes MSc Dr. Ir. Kristel Sniegowski Ing Tinne Huybrechts



