Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Battery pack recycling: Behaviour change interventions derived from an integrative theory of planned behaviour study Peer-reviewed author version

LIZIN, Sebastien; VAN DAEL, Miet & VAN PASSEL, Steven (2017) Battery pack recycling: Behaviour change interventions derived from an integrative theory of planned behaviour study. In: RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND RECYCLING, 122, p. 66-82.

DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.02.003 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/23126

BATTERY PACK RECYCLING: BEHAVIOUR CHANGE INTERVENTIONS DERIVED FROM AN INTEGRATIVE THEORY OF PLANNED BEHAVIOUR STUDY

4 Sebastien Lizin^{a*}, Miet Van Dael^{a,c}, Steven Van Passel^{a,b}

5 ^a Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

^b Departement Engineering Management, Antwerp University, Prinsstraat 13, 2000 Antwerpen, Belgium

⁷ ^cVITO, Boeretang 200, 2400 Mol, Belgium

Accepted by: Resources, Conservation & Recycling

48 * Corresponding author: dr. Sebastien Lizin, email: <u>sebastien.lizin@uhasselt.be</u>, tel:+3211268696, address:
49 Agoralaan building D 3590 Diepenbeek BELGIUM

51 Abstract

52 Belgium has passed the 45% cap, mandated by the European Union, by achieving a collection rate of over 50% 53 in 2012. Having such a collection rate, Belgium is amongst the frontrunners in battery recycling in Europe. 54 However, despite the efforts, about 40% of used batteries are still not properly collected. Particularly 55 troublesome according to the national producer responsibility organization are the battery packs. In this paper 56 we therefore investigate the drivers and barriers to battery pack drop-off intention perceived by Belgian 57 households using an integrative model based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour. An R² of 0.64 was found, 58 which according to the literature on partial least squares structural equation modelling signals a moderate yet 59 very close to substantial coefficient of determination. We find that on average perceived behavioural control and 60 moral norms have the largest influence on the intention to drop-off used battery packs as quickly as possible. 61 Based on the insights gained, recommendations are made for both behaviour change interventions and future 62 research.

Key words: Pro-environmental behaviour; Recycling; Structural equations modelling; Pro-environmental
 communication

65 Highlights:

- We investigate battery pack specific recycling behaviour
- We test an integrative model using PLS-SEM and assess observed heterogeneity
- We stress the role of perceived behavioural control, moral norms, and awareness of consequences
- Having kids under the age of 12 and an ecological worldview drive heterogeneity the most
- We recommend assessing spill-overs between battery pack and WEEE recycling behaviour

71 **1. Introduction**

72 **1.1. The environmental impact of portable batteries**

We are increasingly mobile, and therefore, so are our electronic devices. Consequently, to feed our increasing 73 74 energy hunger the use of portable batteries has been firmly rising (Li et al., 2013). Typical household batteries 75 such as the AA, AAA, and AAAA-sized batteries may have a negative impact on the environment if they are 76 not properly collected and processed. If such batteries end up in landfills, hazardous metal pollutants such as the 77 toxic heavy metals cadmium, lead, and mercury have the potential to slowly leach into soil, groundwater or 78 surface water (Karnchanawong and Limpiteeprakan, 2009). Recently, however, lithium-based batteries have 79 displaced nickel-cadmium and nickel metal hydride battery types to become the dominant energy supply 80 components in the portable consumer electronics market because of their higher energy density. Yet, these 81 batteries may also be considered hazardous because of the presence of cobalt, copper, nickel, thallium, and silver 82 (Kang et al., 2013).

83

84 Lithium itself has been shown to be less harmful for mankind and its environment (Aral and Vecchio-Sadus, 85 2008). Additionally, the further development of the lithium-based battery technology, which is crucial for the 86 diffusion of renewable energy technologies and electric vehicles, is threatened by scarcity in the metals used 87 (Larcher and Tarascon, 2015). Cobalt is considered a critical metal for the sustainable development of the whole 88 of Europe's economy (European Commission, 2014). Silver and nickel on its turn, though not critical for the 89 entire economy, are considered a potential bottleneck for the continued development of renewable energy 90 technologies (Moss et al., 2011). Finally, lithium, copper and aluminium are plain valuable metals that can be 91 recovered from lithium batteries (Jha et al., 2013, Zeng and Li, 2014). Recycling metals from batteries has been 92 shown to result in natural resource savings compared to virgin production (Dewulf et al., 2010). Consequently, 93 recycling batteries may not only avoid environmental pollution, but also saves natural resources.

94

As a result it is no surprise that the collection of portable batteries, both primary (i.e. non-rechargeable) and secondary (i.e. rechargeable), in Europe is mandated by Directive 2006/66/EC which requires Member States to achieve a collection rate of 25% in 2012 and 45% in 2016 (European Union, 2006). To meet these targets, battery

98 producers and importers, intermediaries, and the final seller are legally obliged to accept used batteries due to 99 the extended producer responsibility (Dubois, 2012). To meet the legal obligation to collect 45% of used 100 batteries by 2016, in Belgium these actors have created Bebat. The latter is the name of the single non-profit 101 organization acting as the national producer responsibility organization and is in charge for collecting, sorting, 102 and recycling of portable batteries. It has over 24,000 free collection points spread across Belgium, resulting in 103 a coverage of about 0.8 collection points per squared kilometre. The separate disposal of used batteries at 104 designated collection points is mandatory in Belgium. However, it is not strictly enforced. Having a longstanding 105 tradition in separate waste collection, Belgium has passed the 45% cap by achieving a collection rate of over 106 50% in 2012 (European Portable Battery Association, 2013).

107

108 Reaching such a collection rate, Belgium is amongst the frontrunners in battery recycling in Europe. However, 109 despite the efforts 24% of used batteries and accumulators were found to be hoarded at home and 10 to 13.5% 110 end up in the waste bin. In absolute terms, an average family was found to have on average 129 batteries in its 111 possession, be it used, new, or in use (Openbare Vlaamse Afvalstoffen Maatschappij, n.d.). This number exceeds 112 the number of batteries that people think to have in-house (Coonen and Peeters, 2014). Whereas the obtained 113 collection rate is worthy of praise, it should be noted that it does not differentiate between types of portable 114 batteries. In Belgium, legislation differentiates between three types of portable batteries, being: (1) (the typical) 115 batteries, (2) button cells, and (3) battery packs (Royal Decree, 2009). According to Bebat battery packs are 116 collected poorly compared to other portable battery types. This is motivated by observing that the battery pack 117 volumes being collected (which include direct collection via collection points and indirect collection from the 118 channels collecting waste electrical and electronic equipment) over the volumes brought onto the market, while 119 correcting the calculation for the expected average lifetime, are smaller than those for other battery types 120 (Coonen and Peeters, 2014). Consequently, people need to be stimulated to return battery packs faster.

121

Battery packs are often rechargeable lithium-based batteries used to power mobile phones, digital cameras, portable game consoles, power tools, and the likes (see Figure 1). Officially, they are defined as *'any set of interconnected batteries forming a unit having a casing which is not intended to be divided or opened by the* *end user*'. A poor level of collection is troublesome as it has been established that recycling batteries may not only avoid environmental pollution, but also increases resource efficiency. For these reasons, our research investigates the predictors of battery pack drop-off intention perceived by Belgian households as we want to be able to infer recommendations that will motivate and facilitate people to start bringing back battery packs to a Bebat collection point more quickly.







- Figure 1. Examples of battery packs: Left: from a mobile phone, Middle: from a power tool, Right: from a laptop
- 133 **1.2. Why do people recycle?**

134 Pro-environmental behaviour refers to behaviours that either harm the environment as little as possible or benefit the environment (Steg and Vlek, 2009). The stimulation of such conduct is necessary as many environmental 135 136 problems (e.g. heavy metal leaching) are rooted in human behaviour, such as not sorting correctly (Vlek and 137 Steg, 2007). Our focus will be on a specific type of pro-environmental behaviour, i.e. recycling, being the act of 138 collecting, sorting, and depositing waste to a suited waste management provider. Whereas it involves 139 economically feasible actions that can greatly benefit the environment in the long run if many people perform 140 the behaviour, it requires considerable individual effort whereas others may freeride. Note that being effortful 141 is related to being composed of several, consequential steps, which each might be habitually performed 142 separately, but still require thought in between each step (Limayem et al., 2007). Such routines have been named 143 semi-automatic (Ajzen, 2002).

144

130

131 132

The difficulty to explain why people do endeavour in such a behaviour is the reason for it being one of the most and longest studied forms of environmentally responsible behaviour (Boldero, 1995, Huffman et al., 2014). We outline three types of research that have studied recycling behaviour. Firstly, research following the psychological research tradition, which signals that the study subject is people-environment interaction. This 149 stream has in a more or less chronological order evolved from (i) studies aiming to profile recyclers such as the 150 research by Vining and Ebreo (1990) and Schultz et al. (1995) and explain willingness to participate in recycling 151 schemes such as the investigations by Saphores et al. (2006), Wang et al. (2011), and Saphores et al. (2012) to 152 (ii) research building socio-psychological models which help to understand socio-psychological influences, 153 captured by latent variables, on people's recycling behaviour. Support for such models has grown ever since 154 Hopper and Nielsen (1991) and Vining and Ebreo (1992) have shown that internal factors are better predictors 155 than socio-demographic variables. Moreover, it has been observed that, even when situational constraints are 156 resolved, all people still don't (fully) participate (Thomas and Sharp, 2013). For the same reason, we expect the 157 amount of battery packs that have been adopted by the respondent to be a lesser predictor than internal variables. 158 Secondly, research adhering to the socio-cultural research tradition, which means that the study subject is 159 society-environment interaction. This line of research has picked up more recently and deals with the question 160 of how environmental problems are caused by social factors and social structures, how environmental problems 161 impact societies, and how they can be solved from a societal perspective (Hannigan, 2006). For an example of 162 a study on pro-environmental behaviour change adopting this perspective, we refer the interested reader to 163 Hargreaves (2011). In their study a behaviour change initiative, driven by appointing volunteers as environment 164 champions in a workplace context, was studied by answering questions resulting from social practice theory 165 using ethnography. Thirdly, there are studies using laboratory or field experiments to explain why people 166 recycle. However, in this case "why" can be best understood as "what interventions induce recycling behaviour 167 (the most)". In the typical experiment, one or more interventions are compared with a control group to determine 168 the effect (size) of the intervention. For the results of a meta-analysis on past field experiments in the pro-169 environmental domain we refer to Osbaldiston and Schott (2011). The authors show that overall the largest 170 effect sizes were found for the interventions based on cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1962), goal setting (Locke 171 and Latham, 2002), social modelling, and prompts, but that different treatments work better for different waste 172 streams and recycling mechanisms. For instance, for central recycling, and hence in principle for battery pack 173 collection, they found instructions and rewards to be most effective among the treatments that have been studied. 174 To the best of our knowledge no quantitative or qualitative review is available that condenses the findings of 175 laboratory pro-environmental behaviour experiments. For a recent example of such a study, the reader is referred

to Zhang et al. (2016). The authors show that enhanced accessibility of recycling facilities would lowerbehavioural costs and encourage people to recycle more mixed waste.

178

179 Our study is situated within the branch of literature analysing pro-environmental behaviour while using a socio-180 psychological model. Most often within the literature on recycling the framework provided by the Theory of 181 Planned Behaviour (TPB) is used to explain or predict what drives recycling (Ramayah et al., 2012). The TPB has generally been favoured over other models because of its structural simplicity and general applicability across 182 183 domains and cultures (Klöckner, 2015). For instance, it has been successfully used to understand a range of proenvironmental behaviours such as sustainable tourism (Han et al., 2010), public transportation use (Heath and 184 185 Gifford, 2002), energy use (Abrahamse and Steg, 2009), water conservation (Lam, 2006), and more. Additionally, on several instances the TPB has proven to outperform other decision-making models belonging to this strand of 186 187 research. For instance Kaiser et al. (2005) and Aguilar-Luzón et al. (2012) showed that the TPB outperforms the 188 Value Belief Norm (VBN) theory, which was first presented by Stern et al. (1999), in predicting recycling 189 behaviour.

190

191 The VBN is a refined version of Schwartz's (1968) norm-activation model (NAM), which asserts that behaviour 192 is displayed when altruistic, moral norms are activated and that their activation depends upon people's awareness 193 of the negative consequences for others and on whether they ascribe responsibility for ameliorating these 194 consequences. Stern et al. (1999) adapted this theory to be suited for pro-environmental behaviour by stating 195 that people will take environmental action when they are aware of the consequences for themselves, other people 196 and non-human species and when they consider themselves to be responsible for these consequences. Yet, as 197 argued by Klöckner and Blöbaum (2010) none of the mentioned, often used models on their own adequately 198 represents the multi-determination of environmental behaviour. For a further review on decision making models 199 that can be applied to pro-environmental behaviour, we refer to Klöckner (2015) and Darnton (2008) for brevity. 200

Pioneering studies that kick-started TPB-based research on recycling are those of Boldero (1995) on newspaper
 recycling and Taylor and Todd (1995) on household waste recycling. In its original conception the degree to

203 which actual behaviour is displayed, is directly related to behavioural intention, being the degree to which a 204 person plans to exert effort to enact the behaviour. On its turn, behavioural intention is formed by the following 205 variables: (i) attitude, (ii) subjective norm, and (iii) perceived behavioural control (Ajzen, 1991). Attitude (ATT) 206 reflects feelings of favourableness or unfavourableness towards the behaviour. Subjective norm (SN) reveals 207 the perception that significant referents desire the individual to perform the behaviour. Perceived behavioural 208 control (PBC) assesses beliefs about the ability of performing the behaviour. The latter was added to the Theory 209 of Reasoned Action (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975) as it was recognized that not all behaviours are under full 210 volitional control. Previous efforts support the predictive power of these three constructs in predicting intention 211 and actual behaviour (Cheung et al., 1999, Armitage and Conner, 2001). Intention and behaviour are expected 212 to be more strongly related when measured at the same level of specificity (Ajzen, 2011) and when intentions 213 are stable (Macey and Brown, 1983). In a review of recycling studies, Schultz et al. (1995) indicated that many 214 studies support this assertion.

215

216 Despite the fact that such correspondence has not always been respected, the TPB has been criticized for only 217 being able to explain a limited amount of variance in both behavioural intention and behaviour (Conner and 218 Armitage, 1998). By consequence, under the premise of being willing to continue working with the core of the 219 TPB, it is recommended to include additional variables in the model to be able to more adequately explain 220 intentions and behaviour. Doing so leads to an integrative, more comprehensive model. For instance, we have 221 included moral norms to capture the degree to which one feels morally obliged to act in a certain way. Recently 222 it has also been recognized that the role of negative or positive emotions is neglected in pro-environmental 223 behaviour studies stemming from a more general neglect of their role in cognitive psychology and neuroscience 224 throughout the twentieth century. Indeed, the position on the usefulness of emotions has evolved from the 225 position where they were considered as a separate and undesirable part of thought to an integral and adaptive part of cognition that is stored and retrieved in the same way as and alongside with cognitive structures (Vining 226 227 and Ebreo, 2002). However, the evidence is mixed regarding whether emotions mediate other predictors or the 228 other way around (Carrus et al., 2008).

230 It has also been questioned whether TPB is suited to study continuance, i.e. keeping up with the desired 231 behaviour. An initial adoption decision, which is likely to require deliberate thought, is argued to differ from 232 continuance, which is likely to be determined by habit, and thus might require a different subset of antecedents 233 (Limayem et al., 2007). However, Ajzen (2002) contends that routinization of behaviour is consistent with a 234 reasoned action perspective. He says that the TPB does not propose that individuals actually review their 235 behavioural, normative, and control beliefs prior to every enactment of a frequently performed behaviour. 236 Instead, once formed and well-established, they are assumed to be activated automatically and to guide 237 behaviour without the necessity of conscious thought. Hence, reasoning simply implies that conduct is guided 238 by beliefs. Reasoning does not necessarily need to be effortful. Consequently, the fundamental difference in 239 both views is that the habituation perspective asserts that routinized behaviour is under the control of stimulus 240 cues, whereas the reasoned action perspective postulates that such behaviour is guided by automatically 241 activated or spontaneous attitudes and intentions. The result of both views is identical: given the right conditions, 242 routinized behaviour is performed in a largely automatic fashion with minimal conscious thought. In sum, this 243 reflects the different views on the suitability of TPB to explain different types of behaviour on the continuum 244 going from requiring actual effortful thought to behaviour that is fully automatic. Still, models that explicitly 245 take habits into account have empirically been found to provide a better fit. This being said, we would like to 246 remind the reader that section 1.1 serves to illuminate that the problem at hand is one of getting people to start 247 bringing back battery packs faster and less one of motivating them to continue to do so.

248 **1.3.** Portable battery and waste electric and electronic equipment recycling

To the best of our knowledge, only a single, model-based socio-psychological study has specifically targeted recycling behaviour concerning spent portable batteries and it does not differentiate between battery types. Hansmann et al. (2006) found that recycling knowledge, self-organization of recycling, and disagreement with justifications for non-recycling were positively related to self-reported battery recycling behaviour, while the more general attitude towards ecological waste disposal¹ was not directly related to Swiss respondents' selfreported battery recycling behaviour. The Swiss are excellent recyclers as proven by having the highest

¹ Attitude consisted of the following items: (a) the personal importance of ecologically positive waste disposal, (b) the acceptance of personal efforts in order to achieve ecologically positive waste disposal, and (c) the trust in administration and waste disposal companies concerning the appropriate use of the waste fragments that are separately collected

collection rate in Europe and have put in place legislation and a collection system which is very similar to that of Belgium (European Portable Battery Association, 2014). Other studies, such as Tang et al. (2011), at most consider battery recycling as an item in explaining the intention to recycle household waste. Furthermore, we note that little research has considered explaining the intention and enactment of (small) e-waste recycling using the TPB framework. However, batteries and waste electric and electronic equipment (WEEE) are clearly interconnected.

261

262 Le et al. (2013) constitute the exception and show that PBC and SN are stronger predictors of the intention to 263 recycle e-waste than ATT for Vietnamese residents. Also, Ylä-Mella et al. (2015) have reported on the findings 264 from a survey gauging Finnish consumers' awareness and perceptions towards mobile phone recycling and re-265 use. Similar to our case, they found that high awareness of the waste electrical and electronic equipment recovery 266 system and proximity of collection points is inadequate in promoting their return. Mobile phones are an example 267 of an up-to-date product, as defined by Cox et al. (2013). Such products are often discarded before the end of 268 their functional lifetime and subsequently kept at home "as a spare", presumably out of attachment due to the 269 fact that the devices are a representation of their identity and success in life, or because "they did not get round 270 to it" rather than returned. Consequently, enormous resource potential is stored in homes waiting to be given new life, as shown by for example Saphores et al. (2009). A change in storing habits and the provision of 271 272 additional information on who takes back these waste streams is considered needed in turning this evolution 273 around.

274

Our study adds to socio-psychological literature on recycling in two ways. First, we formulate recommendations for national battery producer responsibility organizations based on the insights gained from a integrative, TPBbased framework in order to facilitate behavioural change concerning battery pack collection. Second, we provide recommendations for future research based on insights from literature. The remainder of this paper contains the following sections. First, we discuss the method. In the next section we present the results. In section 4 we discuss these results. Section 5 holds the main findings of our work.

281 **2. Method**

282 2.1. Hypothesis development and model building

283 As was established in the introduction the TPB is the socio-psychological model that has been used most often 284 to explain recycling. Yet, it has not been used to study batteries specifically, let alone the recycling intentions 285 of battery packs. We aim to fill this gap viewing the resource potential that lies dormant. Furthermore, it has 286 been argued that predictors might differ between (i) types of pro-environmental behaviour (Whitmarsh and 287 O'Neill, 2010), (ii) waste management options (Barr, 2007), and (iii) studies focusing on recycling different 288 products (Boldero, 1995). As the TPB only provides information about what relationships are likely of being 289 relevant, empirical case studies provide the basis for their actual significance, sign and magnitude. The results 290 of a literature review² focusing on TPB studies on recycling are displayed in Table 1. It displays the relative 291 magnitude, sign, significance and measurement method of the relationship between the main effects of the three 292 original TPB constructs and behavioural intention.

293

294 It can be concluded that quite generally, the more positive one's attitude, the more social pressure, and the more 295 perceived behavioural control one has, the higher one's intention is towards performing the behaviour. This 296 finding gives rise to hypothesis 1 (H1), 4 (H4), and 6 (H6), as can be seen in Table 2. Furthermore, it can also 297 be realised that generally attitude outweighs the impact of perceived behavioural control which prevails over 298 subjective norms. However, for the publications covering recycling behaviour, which requires travelling to a 299 collection point, the order between attitude and perceived behavioural control is sometimes reversed. This seems 300 to indicate that having to transport materials can create a barrier. Hence, besides product type, differences in 301 predictors may also be caused by the way in which the waste is collected. Finally, the overview shows that most 302 diversity is found in how perceived behavioural control is conceptualised. Attitude is generally measured 303 directly on semantic differentials covering mainly affective judgements towards performing the specific 304 behaviour (Rhodes et al., 2015). Subjective norms are generally measured directly using statements capturing 305 the agreement with injunctive norms towards the specific behaviour upheld by selected peers (Nigbur et al.,

² The following query on the Web of Science, performed on 08/04/2015, resulted in finding 22 qualified peer-reviewed, English journal papers: TS=(("recycling" and "theory of planned behavio*")) AND TI=("recycling")

306 2010). Perceived behavioural control has not only captured control and difficulty, but also situational constraints 307 such as lack of facilities and personal constraints such as a lack of knowledge. Though not mentioned in the 308 table, intention was found to be measured by items measuring the degree to which people "plan", "intend", 309 "will", "want to" execute the desired behaviour. Note that due to our suspicion of hoarding behaviour we introduced a time dimension into the equation. We want people to recycle their battery packs as soon as possible. 310 311 Therefore, intention statements measured the degree to which people intend, plan, and want to drop-off battery 312 packs to a Bebat collection point as soon as possible. For an overview of the way we itemized the measurement 313 models, we refer to Table 3 (section 2.2).

Table 1: Literatu	re review on '	TPB -based	studies	investigating	recycling behaviour
				0 0	2 0

Reference	ATT	SN	PBC	Торіс
Taylor and Todd (1995)	+, 1 (specific attitude) ^d	-,3 (referents) ^d	+,2 (control) ^d	Household waste recycling: sorting at home
Boldero (1995)	-, 1 (benefits, inconvenience, lack of conviction) $^{\rm cm}$	NS (referents) ^{cm}	\mathbf{NS} (control) ^d	Wastepaper recycling: kerbside collection
Cheung et al. (1999)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^{em}	+,2 (referents) ^{cm}	+,3 (control, difficulty) ^{cm}	Wastepaper recycling: not clearly specified
Tonglet et al. (2004)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^d	\mathbf{NS} (referents) ^d	+,2 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge) ^d	Household waste recycling: kerbside collection
Mannetti et al. (2004)	+,3 (specific attitude) ^d	+,3 (referents) ^d	+,1 (difficulty) ^d	Household waste recycling: differentiated collection
Chan (1998)	+, 1 (specific attitude) ^d	+,3 (referents, media) ^d	+,2 (difficulty) ^d	Household waste recycling: waste receptacles
Nigbur et al. (2010)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^{cm}	+,3 (descriptive SN) ^{d}	+,2 (control, difficulty) ^{cm}	Household waste recycling: kerbside collection
Chu and Chiu (2003)	+,2 (specific attitude) ^d	+,3 (referents) ^d	+,1 (control) ^d	Household waste recycling: dump into disposal trucks
Do Valle (2005) ^a	-,3 (specific attitude) ^{cm}	+,2 (referents) ^{cm}	1,+ (control, difficulty) ^d	Household waste recycling: selective collection
Knussen et al. (2004)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^d	NS (referents) ^d	${f NS}$ (opportunity, difficulty) ^d	Household waste recycling: kerbside collection
Chen and Tung (2010)	${f NS}$ (specific attitude) ^d	-,1 (referents) ^d	+,2 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge)d	Household waste recycling: bring to recycling facility
Hansmann et al. (2006) ^{ab}	${f NS}$ (general attitude) ^d	NI	NI	Battery recycling: drop-off at collection points
Ramayah et al. (2012) ^a	+,2 (benefits) ^d	+,1 (referents) ^d	\mathbf{NS} (convenience, \mathbf{cost}) ^d	Household waste recycling: recycling facility
Davis et al. (2006)	\mathbf{NS} (specific attitude) ^d	NS (referents) ^d	$\ensuremath{\textbf{NS}}\xspace$ (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge)^d	Household waste recycling: kerbside collection
Chan and Bishop (2013)	${\rm NI}$ (specific attitude) ^d	+,2 (referents) ^d	+,1 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge) ^d	Household waste recycling: not clearly specified
Tang et al. (2011) ^a	+,3 (specific attitude) ^d	+,2 (referents) ^d	+,1 (self-efficacy, situational factors) ^d	Household waste recycling: bring to collection depot
Aguilar-Luzón et al. (2012)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^{d}	NS (referents) ^{cm}	+,2 (difficulty, control) ^d	Household waste recycling: glass sorting
Botetzagias et al. (2015)	+,2 (specific attitude) ^d	NS (referents) ^d	+,1 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge) ^d	Household waste recycling: drop-off in recycle bins
Wan et al. (2014b)	${f NS}$ (specific attitude) ^d	+,2 (referents) ^d	+,1 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge) ^d	Household waste recycling: bring to recycling facility
White and Hyde (2012)	+,2 (specific attitude) ^d	+,1 (referents) ^d	${f NS}$ (control, difficulty) ^d	Household waste recycling: kerbside recycling
Rhodes et al. (2015)	+,1 (specific attitude) ^d	+,3 (referents) ^d	+,2 (control) ^d	Household waste recycling: bring to recycling depot
Wan et al. (2014a)	${f NS}$ (specific attitude) ^d	+,2 (referents) ^d	+,1 (inconvenience, facilities, knowledge) ^d	Household waste recycling: bring to recycling facility

Legend: NS = not significant; NI= not included; + = positive relationship; - = negative relationship; 1,2,3 = order of importance amongst ATT, SN, and PBC with 1 being more important than 3; () = how the measurement is operationalized; ^a Misses the intention-behaviour relationship and hence investigates the predictors of self-reported behaviour; ^d stands for direct measurement; ^{cm} stands for composite measurement; ^b Should have been excluded due to the non-compliance with the standard TPB framework, but was kept due to its importance regarding the topic

319 As recommended, additional variables are included in our model to be able to more adequately explain 320 intentions. Hence, an integrative model is estimated (Bamberg and Möser, 2007). Firstly, past behaviour has 321 often been hypothesized to affect recycling intention and behaviour, resulting in mixed evidence. Boldero (1995) 322 failed to establish a significant relationship between past behaviour, measured by a self-report indicating whether 323 the majority of newspapers (quantity) was recycled in the past (yes/no), and intention to recycle newspapers. On 324 the other hand Cheung et al. (1999) found a positive relationship between past behaviour, indicated by the 325 percentage of time (frequency) they performed the target behaviour within the 1-month period prior to the study, 326 and intention. The same relationship applies for Terry et al. (1999), White and Hyde (2012) who used a quantity-327 based measurement of past behaviour. Tonglet et al. (2004) also concur, but use both questions on quantity and 328 frequency to form paste behaviour. Consequently, hypothesis 2 (H2) says we expect a positive relationship 329 between past behaviour and intention. Still, it should be noted that, while past behaviour has in some cases -330 which might reflect the influence of the operationalization- been found to be a powerful predictor of intention 331 and future behaviour (e.g. see Conner and Armitage (1998)), it does not add to the theoretical understanding of 332 what is driving that behaviour. It merely shows there is stability across time (Ajzen, 1991). In our study we have 333 assumed that there might be a spill-over from the past recycling behaviour of other battery types on the intention 334 to recycle battery packs in the future. Dispute has also arisen concerning whether past behaviour directly affects 335 future actual behaviour or whether it is mediated by intention. This debate is interwoven with the habit issue 336 discussed earlier (see section 1.2). If pro-environmental behaviour is thought to reasoned, then the frequency of 337 prior behaviour should have only an indirect link to later behaviour, i.e. its effect should be mediated by 338 intention. However, when added to the model, past behaviour is often found to significantly improve the 339 prediction of later behaviour over and above the effects of intentions. Consequently, the behaviour might not be 340 completely reasoned after all, but in part under the control of certain stimuli (Bamberg et al., 2003), which might 341 reflect its semi-automatic nature.

342

Secondly, going into the debate evoked by the issues habits create for TPB models, Knussen et al. (2004) picked up on the use of past behaviour, operationalized by measuring its frequency, as a proxy for a habit. The reason for doing so was twofold. Reason number one was the disbelief in the frequency of past behaviour being a good 346 proxy on its own for the strength of a habit. Reason number two was the finding that the attitude-intention 347 relationship was stronger -and not weaker, which would actually match the findings of Ouellette and Wood 348 (1998)- for those who had recycled most of their recyclable waste, compared to those who had recycled little or 349 none of their recyclable waste. The latter found in a meta-analysis of TPB studies that if behaviour is classified 350 as habitual it decreases the strength of the attitude-intention relationship and increases the strength of the past 351 behaviour-intention relationship. Therefore, Knussen et al. (2004) reckoned that those who were not recycling 352 were those displaying habitual behaviour, which gave rise to the conception of a "lack of habit" construct. 353 Consequently, they aimed at verifying whether: (i) the attitude-intention relationship was weaker for those with 354 high scores on the lack of habit variable than for those with low lack of habit scores and (ii) the past behavior-355 intention relationship was stronger for those with high lack of habit scores than for those with low lack of habit 356 scores. In other words, they expected the intention of those without a recycling habit to be strongly related to 357 past behavior and weakly related to attitudes. We will verify these hypotheses for a recycling practice which 358 requires the person to bring the waste to a collection point. The lack of habit construct is considered appealing 359 for our study as from the low collection levels of battery packs, it can be deduced that most Belgians have 360 adopted the custom of not bringing back their battery packs (to a Bebat collection point), but in spite might have 361 adopted a more prevailing alternative habit. Accordingly, the construct "lack of habit" is hypothesized to 362 moderate the relationship between attitude and intention and between past behaviour and intention (Ouellette 363 and Wood, 1998, Knussen et al., 2004). This will be tested in hypothesis 7 and 8 (H7 and H8).

364

366 Table 2: Hypotheses

Nr	Hypothesis	Expected
		sign
H1	The more positive one's attitude, the higher the intention of dropping off used,	+
	removable battery packs at a Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	
H2	The more one has recycled electronic waste streams in the past, the higher the intention	+
	of dropping off used, removable battery packs at a Bebat collection point as soon as	
	possible.	
H3	The more one feels morally obliged to recycle battery packs, the higher the intention	+
	of dropping off used, removable battery packs at a Bebat collection point as soon as	
	possible.	
H4	The more one perceives recycling battery packs as a socially desirable action by peers,	+
	the higher the intention of dropping off used, removable battery packs at a Bebat	
	collection point as soon as possible.	
H5	The more one perceives positive <u>consequences</u> of recycling battery packs as being	+
	present, the higher the intention of dropping off used, removable battery packs at a	
	Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	
H6	The more one perceived to be in <u>able to</u> carry out battery pack recycling, the higher	+
	the intention of dropping off used, removable battery packs at a Bebat collection point	
	as soon as possible.	
H7	The lack of a habit of dropping off battery packs at a Bebat collection point moderates	-
	the influence of <u>attitude</u> on the intention of dropping off used, removable battery packs	
	at a Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	
H8	The <u>lack of a habit</u> of dropping off battery packs at a Bebat collection point <u>moderates</u>	+
	the influence of <u>past behaviour</u> on the intention of dropping off used, removable battery	
	packs at a Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	
H9	The more people think that Bebat is highly <u>effective</u> in stimulating people to recycle	-
	battery packs, the lower the influence of being aware of the positive <u>consequences</u> of	
	recycling battery packs on the intention of dropping off used, removable battery packs	
	at a Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	
H10	The more people think that Bebat is highly <u>effective</u> in stimulating people to recycle	-
	battery packs, the lower the influence of <u>subjective norms</u> on the intention of dropping	
	off used, removable battery packs at a Bebat collection point as soon as possible.	

368 Thirdly, moral or personal norms have often been added to the TPB. Tonglet et al. (2004) hypothesized moral 369 norms, measured by 7 7-point rating scales containing items such as "it would be wrong of me not to recycle 370 waste", had a direct effect on intention to recycle household. No significant relationship was found. On the other 371 hand, Nigbur et al. (2010) showed that personal norms, measured by 5 items adapted from Hopper and Nielsen 372 (1991), had a positive direct effect on the intention to participate in a kerbside recycling program. Chu and Chiu 373 (2003), Chen and Tung (2010), Chan and Bishop (2013), and Botetzagias et al. (2015) corroborate such findings. 374 Hence, personal moral norms are expected to have a positive relationship with the intention to bring back battery 375 packs (H3).

376

Fourthly, inspired by Schwartz's model of altruistic behaviour (Schwartz, 1970), the awareness of consequences 377 378 has been added to a TPB model. Tonglet et al. (2004) unexpectedly found a negative relationship between 379 consequences as distinct from community concern and outcomes, measured using 7-point rating scales using 380 statements after Davies et al. (2002) capturing both personal and social benefits, and intention. The authors argue 381 to capture the subjective knowledge-based (cognitive/instrumental) component of attitude. The anticipated 382 positive relationship has been confirmed by Davis et al. (2006), Chen and Tung (2010), and Wan et al. (2014a, 383 2014b). Accordingly, hypothesis 5 (H5) says we expect a positive relationship between the awareness of 384 consequences and intention.

385

386 Finally, the effectiveness of the recycling scheme and by consequence its organizer has been hypothesized to 387 effect the intention to recycle. Such exploration goes back to Boldero (1995) who argued that the program's 388 perceived inadequateness can be used to justify non-participation. The latter author, using a single 5-point rating 389 scale ranging from a very bad to a very good evaluation, established a positive relationship between program 390 evaluation and intention. Later, it has been picked up by Wan et al. (2014a, 2014b). Here, perceived policy 391 effectiveness (PPE) captured an individual's favourable or unfavourable evaluation on the clarity, adequacy and 392 facilitation of policy measures. It was measured using 5 7-point rating scales using statements such as "The government provides clear guidelines on recycling". They found that PPE not only has a direct effect on 393

- intention, but also that it negatively moderates subjective norms and the awareness of consequences (H9). An
- 395 overview of the structural model and related hypothesis can be found in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The structural equations model

397 2.2. Questionnaire design, measurement, and sampling

398 To gather the necessary data an online survey was designed in both Dutch and French. The survey consisted of 399 an opening page, which introduced the topic and five survey sections. In the first section the respondents were 400 profiled based on socio-demographic characteristics. In a second section the respondents were carefully 401 explained what the desired behaviour entails. It was defined as: "dropping off spent, removable battery packs to 402 a Bebat collection point as soon as possible". To assure full understanding, it was verified whether the provided 403 definitions of 'spent' and 'removable' were memorized by the respondents. Spent signals that either the device 404 or battery pack does not function properly anymore, or that the device has been replaced by a newer one. As we 405 also strongly expected that respondents were unfamiliar with the word "battery packs", it was defined and 406 examples of battery packs were presented. In case respondents did not reveal full understanding of the desired 407 behaviour, the definitions provided earlier were repeated, before being able to continue.

408

409 In a third section the respondents were asked to fill in several 7-point semantic differentials or rating scales, see 410 Table 3. Italic statements are changes to existing scales. The obtained scores give rise to the indicator variables 411 (the rectangular shapes in Figure 2) that measure the latent variables under revision (the circles in Figure 2). 412 Besides determining the measurement indicators, we also need to define the relationship between the latent 413 variables and their indicators. Formative indicators are multidimensional in nature, whereas reflective indicators 414 are unidimensional. In our study, the latent variables 'Past behaviour', 'Consequences', 'Perceived behavioural 415 control', 'Perceived policy effectiveness", and 'Lack of habit' are measured on a formative scale, whereas the 416 latent variables 'Attitude', 'Subjective norm', 'Moral norm', and Intention' are defined as being measured on a 417 reflective scale. Using a test, based on principal component analysis of the correlation matrix, detailed in Sahmer 418 et al. (2006) it could be verified that the reflective indicators are indeed unidimensional. An overview of the 419 characteristics of reflective and formative latent variables is provided by Jarvis et al. (2003). It is important to 420 correctly define the relationship between the latent variables and its indicators in order to avoid biased parameter 421 and standard error estimates for the structural model and inflated type II errors (MacKenzie et al., 2005).

In a fourth section, respondents' objective knowledge on recycling batteries was verified. Here, respondents objective knowledge was tested regarding legal requirements, what they can bring to a Bebat collection point, where they can find Bebat collection points, and in what devices they can find removable battery packs. In the fifth and final section, respondents' pro-ecological worldview was assessed based on the scale developed by Dunlap et al. (2000).

428 Table 3: Measurement of latent variables

Latent variable	Tag	Indicator (mean – standard deviation)	Reference			
Attitude	Useless It is (useless-useful) to (6.23 - 1.50) Unsafe It is (unsafe-safe) to (6.20 - 1.51) Irresponsible It is (irresponsible-responsible) to (6.22 - 1.51)					
(semantic differentials, reflective)	Unsafe	It is (unsafe-safe) to (6.20 - 1.51)	(2004)			
	Irresponsible	It is (irresponsible-responsible) to (6.22 - 1.51)				
	Not sensible	It is (not sensible-sensible) to (6.22 - 1.53)				
	Not rewarding	It is (not rewarding-rewarding) to (5.80 - 1.56)				
	Bad	It is (bad-good) to (6.24 - 1.53)				
Past behaviour	Batteries	How often do you recycle the typical non-rechargeable batteries (6.16 - 1.32)	Own work,			
(rating scales: never- always, formative)	Rec. Batt.	How often do you recycle rechargeable batteries (5.66 - 1.75)	inspired by			
Button cells		How often do you recycle button cells (5.61 - 1.72)	Cheung et al.			
	Accupacks	How often do you recycle battery packs (5.31 - 1.85)	(1999)			
Moral norms Wrong It wou		It would be wrong of me not to (5.80 - 1.48)	Tonglet et al.			
(rating scales: totally disagree-totally agree	Guilty	I would feel guilty if I did not (5.50 - 1.63)	(2004)			
reflective)	Principles	It goes against my principles not to (5.61 - 1.63)				
	Everyone	Everyone should share the responsibility to (6.12 - 1.24)				
Subjective norms	Fa-desirable	My family thinks it is desirable to (5.53 - 1.68)	Taylor and Tode			
(rating scales: totally agree	Fa-approve	My family would approve of me (5.93 - 1.33)	(1995) and			
reflective)	Fr-desirable	My friends think it is desirable to (5.24 - 1.62)	Tonglet et al. (2004)			
Fr-approve		My friends would approve of me (5.68 - 1.40)	(2004)			
Awareness of	Savings	I save money by (4.15 - 1.85)	Tonglet et al.			
consequences	Fut. gen.	I create a better environment for future generations by (6.20 - 1.18)	(2004)			
(rating scales: totally disagree-totally agree,	Environment	I protect the environment by (6.35 - 1.09)				
formative)	Waste	I reduce the amount of waste by (6.05 - 1.30)				
	Accidents	I reduce the likelihood of accidents in my house by (5.22 - 1.63)				
	Example	I am an example for my kids by (6.17 - 1.24)				
Perceived	Amount	How much control do you have over (very few-a lot) (5.15 - 1.89)	Aguilar-Luzón et			
behavioural	Frequency	How many events out of your control could prevent you from (very few-a lot) (4.48 - 1.81)	al. (2012) and			
control (semantic differentials and	Ability	If I want to, I can easily (totally disagree-totally agree) (5.76 - 1.36)	Nigbur et al.			
rating scales, formative)	Ease	It is (very hard-very easy) to (5.65 - 1.48)	(2010)			
Lack of habit (rating scales: totally	Other coll. point	I bring back electronic devices including battery pack, to the waste electric and electronic equipment recycling point (3.73 - 1.97)	Own work,			
disagree-totally agree, formative)	Back-up	I save the device as a spare before I (4.51 - 1.80)	Knussen et al.			
,	Resell	I try to salvage some economic value from my device before I (3.73 - 1.97)	(2004)			
	Efficiency	The organization of battery pack collection could be more efficient (3.85 - 1.87)				
	Forget	I often forget to even if battery packs have been removed from the devices (3.08 - 1.90)				
Perceived policy	How	Bebat offers clear guidelines on (5.31 - 1.47)	Wan et al.			
effectiveness	Why	Bebat clearly shows the benefits of (5.38 - 1.45)	(2014a, 2014b)			
(rating scales: totally disagree-totally agree,	Push	Bebat stimulate me to (5.23 - 1.52)				
formative)	Facilitate	Bebat offers sufficient facilities in order for me to (5.20 - 1.53)				
Intention	Plan	I plan to (5.93 - 1.26)	Cheung et al.			
(rating scales: totally	Intend	I intend to (5.99 - 1.25)	(1999) and Chu			
reflective)	Want	I want to (5.87 - 1.28)	and Chiu (2003)			

429 The data was collected by a market research company. An online survey was taken from a panel of Belgian 430 respondents during the 11/2014-01/2015 period. In total 1638 respondents aged between 18 and 64 participated 431 in the survey. The primary sampling goal was to collect data that would subsequently allow investigating 432 whether heterogeneity was an issue. We hypothesized that heterogeneity could be caused by the following self-433 reports: (1) whether the majority of battery packs was brought back to a Bebat collection point in the past 434 (yes/no), (2) whether the living area is a rural or urban environment, and (3) what lifestage the respondent is in 435 (young adult; family -12; family +12; medior; senior). As guidelines dictate that the minimum sample size is 436 obtained by multiplying the maximum amount of arrowheads pointing at a latent variable times ten, 80 437 respondents are required per subgroup in our study (Barclay et al., 1995). Moreover, minimum sample size 438 requirements based on power analysis also indicate that a sample of 1638 is sufficiently large. For example, 439 given an α of 0.05 we need at least 174 respondents to achieve a statistical power of 80% for detecting R² values 440 of at least 0.10 (and the number decreases as higher R^2 can be detected) (Hair et al., 2016). An overview of the 441 obtained subgroup sample sizes is given in Table 4. Note that every categorization using only a single observed 442 characteristic exceeds these requirements.

443

444 The descriptive statistics for the full sample (n=1638) can be found in Table 5. We can see that the sample is 445 representative concerning gender, but is slightly dominated by older, more highly educated people having less 446 kids compared to the Belgian population. The life stage variables were defined as follows: (1) "young adults" 447 are people under 45 being single or in a relationship without kids (living at home); (2) "family -12" are families of which their oldest child has not reached the age of 12; (3) "family +12" are families of which their oldest 448 449 child has passed the age of 12; (4) "mediors" are people falling in the age group of 45-60 being single or in a 450 relationship without kids (living at home); (5) "seniors" are people having reached the age of 61 or older being 451 single or in a relationship without kids (living at home). This categorization was maintained for reasons of 452 consistency with prior research.

Ba	ttery pack	= No	Battery pack = Yes			
Living area				Livin	g area	
Lifestage	City	Rural	Lifestage	City	Rural	
Young adult	98	85	Young adult	69	73	
Family -12	73	87	Family -12	54	67	
Family +12	102	87	Family +12	100	94	
Medior	86	88	Medior	93	91	
Senior	50	62	Senior	89	90	

454 Table 4: Subgroup sample sizes (# respondents)

457 Table 5: Descriptive statistics

Descriptive	Category	Proportion	Population ^f
Primary language	Dutch-speaking	57.39%	NA
	French-speaking	42.61%	NA
Region ^a	Flanders	59.77%	57.18%
	Walloon	32.30%	31.92%
	Brussels capital	7.94%	10.90%
Age ^a	18-24	13.61%	15.12%
	25-34	17.09%	12.79%
	35-44	15.32%	23.75%
	45-54	17.70%	25.73%
	55-64	36.26%	22.61%
Gender ^a	Male	50.18%	50.18%
	Female	49.82%	49.82%
Family size ^b	1	18.99%	16.70%
	2	41.64%	32.90%
	3	17.64%	20.50%
	4	13.92%	18.50%
	5	5.62%	7.40%
	>5	2.20%	4.00%
Life stage	Young adult	19.84%	NA
	Family -12	17.16%	NA
	Family +12	23.38%	NA
	Medior	21.86%	NA
	Senior	17.77%	NA
Education ^c	Primary and lower secondary	17.58%	29.50%
	Upper secondary	37.06%	37.80%
	Tertiary	45.36%	32.60%
Monthly net family	0-1499	20.52%	NA
income ^d	1500-2499	25.09%	NA
	2500-3499	19.78%	NA
	3500-4499	11.48%	NA
	4500-6000	3.24%	NA
	>6000	0.92%	NA
	Missing	18.97%	NA
Living area ^e	City	49.69%	NA
	Rural	50.31%	NA
Battery pack	Majority yes	49.94%	NA
	Majority no	50.06%	NA

458a population data from Statbel (http://statbel.fgov.be/nl/statistieken/cijfers/) counted on 01/01/2015 for 18-64 years; b population data459obtained from Generation and Gender Program Belgium (http://www.ggps.be/); c population data from Eurostat460(http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database) counted on 01/01/2014 for 15-64 years; d the average net-adjusted monthly (yearly/12)461income in € (using a 0.95\$/€ conversion rate) is about €2485 according to the 2015 OECD Economic Survey of Belgium462(http://www.oecdbetterlifeindex.org/countries/belgium/); e based on classification used by market research company, which is dependent463of both the Eurostat and OECD classification; f NA = Not available for comparison..

464 **2.3. Estimation**

Using structural equations modelling (SEM) the underlying relationships between latent variables, measured 465 indirectly by indicator variables can be assessed. The term "structural equations model" generally refers to a 466 combination of a "measurement model" that defines latent variables being measured by one or more observed 467 468 indicator variables, and a "structural model" that links the latent variables together. The two parts of a structural 469 equation model are linked together by a system of simultaneous regression equations. Within SEM one of two 470 approaches can be chosen depending on the objectives of the research. Covariance based SEM is used to confirm 471 or reject theories, whereas partial least squares structural equations modelling (PLS-SEM) is used when theory 472 is less developed.

473

474 In this research, PLS-SEM is chosen, because no former socio-psychological study has been executed for our 475 case and context. Additionally, PLS-SEM offers the following advantages: (1) it can handle formative, 476 reflective, and single-item measurement scales, (2) it makes virtually no assumptions about the distribution of 477 the data, (3) it does not require large sample sizes, (4) it allows for estimating higher order models, and (5) it 478 works better for complex models, i.e. when the focus is on the interrelationships among a large set of factors 479 and in case of many manifest variables (Chin and Newsted, 1999, Chin, 2010). PLS-SEM is an ordinary least 480 squares (OLS) regression based method. The estimation procedure estimates the structural path coefficients that 481 maximize the R² values of the target endogenous latent variables while accounting for measurement error. The 482 effects of the perceived policy effectiveness of Bebat on the awareness of consequences and subjective norms 483 and of a lack of habit on attitudes and past behaviour and attitude were investigated by means of the two-stage 484 approach. Besides continuous moderators, PLS-SEM also allows testing for differences between identical 485 models for different subsamples divided using a categorical variable (Hair et al., 2016). Hence, the goal of this 486 research is not only to find out the latent drivers and barriers to battery pack drop-off intention, but also to reveal 487 if and where heterogeneity in relationships is present. All SEM estimations are performed using the software 488 program SmartPLS 2.0.

489 **3. Results**

490 **3.1. PLS-SEM estimation results**

491 Before being able to present the estimation results, the measurement models need to be evaluated. The full 492 results of this evaluation can for brevity be found in Appendix A. For the reflective measurement models, all 493 relevant criteria were met. For the formative measurement models it was found that the savings and efficiency 494 indicator of the consequences and lack of habit construct do not meaningfully contribute and hence were 495 dropped. Before proceeding with presenting the results of the regressions, we also demonstrate that the 496 estimation is not biased due to multicollinearity. In order to verify whether this could be an issue, the tolerance 497 and variance inflation factors (VIFs) are calculated as they do take indirect correlation into account. Tolerance 498 levels below 0.2 or VIFs over 5 are considered to be indicative of multicollinearity (Hair et al., 2016). Using 499 the latent variable scores, resulting from the previously validated measurement models, as input for a linear 500 regression, we get the results shown in Table 6. Based on these results we conclude it is safe to proceed. The 501 results of the estimation are shown in Figure 3. Path coefficients between round brackets are negative values.

502 Table 6: Checking for multicollinearity

Latent variable	VIF	Tolerance
Attitude	1.40	0.72
Subjective norms	2.46	0.41
Perceived behavioural control	1.76	0.57
Awareness of consequences	2.28	0.44
Moral norms	1.73	0.58
Past behaviour	1.55	0.65

503

The main focus in PLS-SEM analysis is on the predictive power in terms of variance explained, as well as on 504 505 the significance of all path coefficients, while assuming that the model is correctly specified due to its grounding 506 in theory. The structural model's predictive accuracy is evaluated using the R² values of the endogenous 507 construct (i.e. intention), whereas its predictive relevance can be computed with Stone-Geisser's Q² which 508 assesses the predictive relevance. According to Chin (1998) R² values of 0.67, 0.33 and 0.19 can be considered 509 as respectively substantial, moderate and weak for social studies. Hence, the R² value of about 0.64 found by 510 our study is considered to be moderate yet close to substantial. To test the R²'s significance, a bootstrap 511 confidence interval is calculated by using the equation described in Tenenhaus et al. (2005). The R² 90%

512 bootstrap confidence interval amounts to [0.39,0.74]. To assess the hypotheses accompanying the structural 513 model's path coefficients, again a bootstrapping procedure with 5000 draws is used to obtain their standard 514 errors. From Figure 3 we can see that all direct effects are significant and have the anticipated sign, except for the relationship between attitude and intention. Hence, hypotheses H2 to H6 could be confirmed. Moreover, in 515 516 diminishing order perceived behavioural control and moral norms are found to have the largest direct effect. 517 The moderating effects of lack of habit and of perceived policy effectiveness on consequences are not 518 significant, hence we disprove H7, H8 and H9. A negative moderating effect of perceived policy effectiveness 519 on subjective norms was found, hence we can confirm H10. Additionally, it was found that the direct effects of 520 lack of habit (-) and perceived policy effectiveness (+) perform as expected. A blindfolding procedure was used to assess the predictive relevance, of the structural model. The Q^2 value for intention amounts to +0.54 which 521 522 signals that the model has predictive relevance for intention (Geisser, 1974). Finally, f² and q² effect sizes, which 523 signal the importance of a single latent variable on the R^2 and Q^2 of an endogenous construct respectively, were 524 found to be lacking (<0.02) or weak ([0.02-0.15]). An overview of the findings is provided in Table 7.

Hypothesis	5 H1	H2	Н3	H4	Н5	H6	H7	H8	Н9	H10
Expectation	ı +	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Findings	NS	+	+	+	+	+	NS	NS	NS	-

*NS = not significant; sample size: n=1638



526 527

Figure 3: PLS-SEM estimation results

528 **3.2 Evaluation of observed heterogeneity**

A multi-group analysis (MGA) was used to assess the impact of observed (categorical) variables, such as 529 530 lifestage, living area, and past drop-off behaviour, on the estimated path coefficients. Observed heterogeneity 531 exists when significant differences are found between path coefficients when dividing the dataset into subgroups 532 based on observed features. Seeing that PLS-SEM does not make any distributional assumptions, a non-533 parametric approach is used to test for differences between the strengths of the relationships amongst subgroups (Henseler, 2012). Such an analysis is meant to reveal the pitfalls of relying solely on the full sample's average 534 535 results, which are presented in Figure 3. In Table 8 we show the results of the MGAs when dividing the dataset in subgroups based on a single feature. The p-values express the probability that the second subgroup has a 536 537 larger population parameter than the first subgroup. Hence, if the path coefficient is positive, a p-value smaller 538 than 0.10 signals that the first subgroup has the largest impact, whereas a value larger than 0.90 indicates the

opposite. In case the path coefficient is negative, a p-value smaller than 0.10 signals that the first subgroup has
the smallest absolute impact, whereas a value larger than 0.90 indicates the opposite.

541

542 From Table 8 the following conclusions can be derived. First, there are only 2 groups without significant 543 differences, being city-rural and young adult-family+12. The latter may be due to ambiguity in answering the 544 profiling questions and resulting sorting, causing young adults to be sorted in the family + 12 and vice versa. 545 Second, differences are most common in the susceptibility towards subjective norms, the lack of habit, moral 546 norms, and awareness of consequences. Third, the characteristics causing most heterogeneity are: the pro-547 ecological worldview and the lifestage the respondent is in. Especially, respondents in a family with the oldest 548 kid under the age of 12 are heterogeneous. Fourth, only the lower educated respondents display a positive 549 relationship between attitude and intention, whereas the other display an insignificant relationship. Fifth, 550 subjective norms have a stronger impact on intention for people bringing back less than half of their battery 551 packs they have available for recycling to a Bebat collection point, for Dutch-speaking people, and for young 552 adults and families with the oldest child over 12 compared to families with kids younger than 12. Sixth, lack of 553 habit has a stronger impact on intention for people bringing back less than half of their battery packs they have 554 available for recycling to a Bebat collection point, for people with a low pro-ecological worldview, and for 555 mediors compared to young adults, families with the oldest child older than 12, and families with kids younger 556 than 12. Seventh, moral norms have a stronger impact on intention for people having a high pro-ecological 557 worldview, for females, and for young adults, families with the oldest child aged above 12, and seniors compared 558 to families with kids younger than 12. Eighth, consequences have a stronger impact on intention for families 559 with kids younger than 12 compared to all other lifestage categories. Ninth, the influence of perceived 560 behavioural control on intention is larger for mediors than for seniors. This heterogeneity shows the importance 561 of segmentation prior to behavioural change interventions as it has been argued that interventions should be 562 tailored to the target group to avoid resistance (Klöckner, 2015).

563 Table 8: MGA test results

Observed variable	Subgroup ^a	Size	Significant difference	Sign ^b	p-value
Battery pack	Minority	818	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.004
	Majority	820	Lack of habit -> Intention	NS/-	0.015
Education	Low High	895 743	Attitude -> Intention	+/NS	0.049
Ecological world view	Low	835	Moral norm -> Intention	+/+	0.991
-	High	803	Past behaviour -> Intention	+/+	0.082
			Lack of habit -> Intention	-/NS	0.965
Gender	Female Male	822 816	Moral norm -> Intention	+/+	0.090
Language	Dutch	940	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.025
	French	698	Past behaviour -> Intention	+/+	0.092
Living area	City Rural	814 824	/	/	/
Lifestage	Young adult	325	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.081
Enestage	Family -12	281	Moral norm -> Intention	+/+	0.042
			Consequences -> Intention	+/+	0.982
Lifestage	Young adult Family +12	325 383	/	/	/
Lifestage	Young adult	325	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.066
8.	Medior	358	Lack of habit -> Intention	NS/-	0.019
Lifestage	Young adult Senior	325 291	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.014
Lifestage	Family -12	281	Subjective norm -> Intention	NS/+	0.926
Lifestage	Family $+12$	383	Moral norm -> Intention	+/+	0.904
	2		Consequences -> Intention	+/+	0.044
Lifestage	Family -12	281	Consequences -> Intention	+/+	0.037
Linesinge	Medior	358	Lack of habit -> Intention	NS/-	0.075
Lifestage	Family 12	281	Moral norm -> Intention	+/+	0.965
Lifestage	Senior	201	Consequences -> Intention	+/+	0.025
T : 6	Earrila + 12	202	Subjection some a latention		0.050
Lifestage	ramity +12 Medior	383 358	Lack of habit -> Intention	+/INS NS/	0.059
	Wedior	558		115/-	0.010
Lifestage	Family +12 Senior	383 291	Subjective norm -> Intention	+/NS	0.007
Lifestage	Medior	358	PBC -> Intention	+/+	0.090
	Senior	291	Lack of habit -> Intention	-/NS	0.952

 a The first subgroup being the one first mentioned when reading from top to bottom; b NS = not significant.

566 4. Discussion

567 **4.1. Reflection on the findings**

568 In this study we have verified the drivers and barriers to battery pack drop-off intention using an integrative 569 model based on the TPB. Seeing the R² our results support the use of such frameworks in understanding battery 570 pack recycling intention for cases and contexts similar to ours. Yet, we expected attitude towards the specific 571 pro-environmental behaviour to be a significant factor in driving battery pack recycling intention. However, our 572 study points to the opposite conclusion, which can be considered surprising seeing the large empirical evidence 573 on its role in predicting intentions. Chan and Bishop (2013), however, have previously found that moral norms 574 and attitude, operationalized in the same way as in our study, exhibit convergent validity which signals that the 575 constructs are not distinct, even if the indicators "bad" and "not responsible" are removed. Consequently, in 576 accordance with Chen and Tung (2010) and Wan et al. (2014b), the additional constructs, awareness of 577 consequences and moral norms, take over the predictive power from attitude seeing that in a basic TPB model 578 attitude has the expected positive relationship with intention.

579

580 For past behaviour we have independently confirmed the findings of Knussen et al. (2004) to also be valid for 581 battery pack recycling intention. Like for kerbside collection, the frequency of past behaviour (+) and lack of 582 habit (-) made significant independent contributions to the intention to recycle. Consequently, past behaviour 583 alone, operationalized using frequency measurements, does not confer habit. More recently, it became evident 584 that there are three primary antecedents to habit development being: (i) frequent repetition of the behaviour in 585 question, (ii) the extent of satisfaction with the outcomes of the repeated behaviour, and (iii) relatively stable 586 contexts (Limayem et al., 2007). Unlike Knussen et al. (2004) we do not obtain evidence of significant 587 moderation by lack of habit on the relationship between attitude and intention, most likely due to the above. 588 Like Knussen et al. (2004) we do not find support for significant moderation by lack of habit on the relationship 589 between past behaviour and intention, which is most likely signalling that the consistency between past 590 behaviour and intention was not more marked for those presumed to have a strong alternative habit, than for 591 those having the desired habit. The latter is supported by the fact that past behaviour is not identified as a latent 592 variable with much heterogeneity.

593

594 Perceived behavioural control, moral norms and awareness of consequences were found to be significant factors 595 in explaining intention, hence reconfirming the results found by Wan et al. (2014b) and Chen and Tung (2010). 596 From the wider survey, however, some evidence was found supporting that perceived behavioural control is not 597 a good proxy for actual behavioural control. Whereas people think to be quite able to bring back battery packs, 598 they were found much less competent in identifying the devices containing them. Consequently, its effect on 599 actual behaviour might be questionable (Carrington et al., 2010). Also, we did not add a mediating effect of 600 moral norms on subjective norms. However, Nigbur et al. (2010) and have shown such an effect to be significant. 601 Subjective (injunctive) norms were found to be least important in explaining intention. This is not surprising 602 seeing that there is ample evidence showing that social pressure can become internalized over time Botetzagias 603 et al. (2015). Furthermore, recycling battery packs is not a visible type of behaviour so there is few incentive to 604 uphold such norms. Indeed, it has been argued that for social norms full impact to be revealed one should 605 investigate both injunctive (i.e. what people approve) and descriptive (i.e. what people actually do in a given 606 context) norms (Cialdini et al., 1990). For perceived policy effectiveness, we could not find statistical evidence 607 of a moderating role on the awareness of consequences (Wan et al., 2014b). This signals that promotional 608 campaigns in Belgium should not stop reminding people of the avoided costs and benefits of recycling batteries 609 in spite of the good reputation Bebat maintains. On the other hand, we could establish a moderating role on 610 subjective norms. This points out that for those with a weak perceived policy effectiveness a stronger, positive 611 relationship exists between subjective norms and intention.

612

Besides offering the average results based on the full sample of 1638 respondents, we also performed a multigroup analysis (MGA) to assess the impact of observed demographical variables and pro-ecological worldview. Such an analysis is useful as empirical evidence on the effect of demographics is inconclusive (Arbués and Villanúa, 2016) and it allows nuancing the full sample's average results by serving as a means to create target groups that can be addressed using the same communication channel(s) and message(s). A downside of MGA is that it presumes measurement invariance, i.e. we suppose that the subgroups do not require a different measurement model. However, ways to test this assumption empirically have yet to be further developed(Henseler et al., 2016).

621

622 From the wider survey it was also found that on average respondents: (i) report to bring 7 to 8 used portable 623 batteries to a collection point 4-5 times per year, (ii) do not plan on changing this frequency, and (iii) agree the 624 least with the statement saying that they often forget to take battery packs to a collection point. Consequently, 625 we feel Bebat is facing the situation where people recycle batteries on a low frequency basis and do not perceive any (easy) opportunity to bring back more of them. This lack in perceived opportunity is in contrast to reality. 626 627 Past research showed that people store used battery packs longer than they do other types of batteries. At the 628 root of the difference in recycling rates between types of batteries we presume, lies that that (Belgian) people 629 are more attached to higher-end electronics devices, which are more likely to contain battery packs. Such devices 630 are typical examples of up-to-date products. Consequently, people are more hesitant to recycle such devices and 631 their batteries (Jacoby et al., 1977) perhaps caused by anticipated feelings of regret (Tsiros and Mittal, 2000) 632 which then over time evolves into forgetting the devices are there. For instance, in a follow-up qualitative study 633 respondents noted not to have parted from a mobile phone because it contained pictures of good times they once 634 had. If they do part from their devices, our respondents preferred to look for other interesting options, such as 635 reselling or returning them for rebates, or to gift it to someone or donate it to a charity, which is similar to 636 American behaviour (Staples, 2014).

637 **4.2. Recommendations for national producer responsibility organisations**

638 From our findings the following recommendations can be drawn to reinforce the desired behaviour. National 639 producer responsibility organisations are advised to (continue) stress(ing) the added value of dropping-off even 640 a single battery (pack) in information campaigns. Also, if financially feasible, they are advised to reward loyalty 641 for instance by organising collection races, preferably asking for some form of prior commitment to actually 642 perform the behaviour (Burn and Oskamp, 1986). For instance, schools or companies could register to 643 participate in a local collection race of which the results are made public. Such activities help to prevent bad 644 habit formation or to relapse into them. The desired behaviour can be (re)activated by making consumers aware of the consequences (or need) of assuming their responsibility of recycling all batteries. We do not advocate 645

raising awareness on recycling being a legal requirement in the top-performing countries as it might crowd out intrinsic motivation (Frey, 1994). Without any type of personal or public awareness, no norms can be activated whose defiance challenge both the ideal self-image and the ideal concept others have of me, which induces selfdiscrepancy (Higgins, 1987) and stimulates people to do what is right instead of what is economically rational.

650

651 Having touched ethics, it is also worth mentioning that a message intended to guilt someone into recycling in 652 the future is expected to be less lasting than announcements that induce feelings of pride (Bissing-Olson et al., 653 2016). Hence, the message to persuade people to start recycling battery packs and to motivate others that have 654 already started, should be framed in a positive and understandable manner. For more detail on how to design 655 effective behaviour change interventions we refer to Bator and Cialdini (2000) for a thorough overview of 656 general insights. Essential is that changing behaviour does not stop at making sure the message is well-received, 657 it also involves making sure it is retrievable and that people are kept committed to the message. For literature 658 investigating battery recycling slogans' most effective content we refer to Hansmann et al. (2009). In brief, they 659 found that a factual slogan is more effective than a humorous one.

660

661 Increasing only the awareness of consequences is insufficient. Just because one provides ample reasons of why 662 to adopt a new behaviour or continue the desired behaviour, does not mean one does not simply forget over time 663 or is able or willing to. To avoid forgetting we recommend to use additional, more visible cues than the currently 664 widely used battery collection bags. For instance national producer responsibility organisations could team up with apps for making grocery shopping lists to remind them of their recycling intention when they add new 665 batteries or electric or electronic equipment containing battery packs to their shopping lists. The creation of a 666 667 proper habit then still needs to be facilitated in order to avoid the feeling of learned helplessness and hence to 668 generate satisfaction. Satisfactory experiences are key in developing new habitual behaviour (Aarts et al., 1997). 669 Moreover, increasing people's feeling of competence and ease may contribute to an increase in the level of 670 satisfaction which is experienced as behaviour is performed (Ronis et al., 1989). However, the battery collection 671 process differs in difficulty across battery types and consequently so will the perceived (and actual) behavioural 672 control. Note that, in the case of portable batteries, the difference in difficulty in Belgium is not caused by how 673 the system is organized as all portable batteries can be brought to the same Bebat drop-off point. One reason we 674 suspect to be an issue is that people are unable to tell the difference between a removable battery pack and a 675 built-in one. To remedy this barrier, people have to learn how to tell the difference. Only showing them how to 676 do it in a commercial or on a website is expected to be less effective in the long run. A joint collection point 677 having an instructor for separating wireless electrical and electronical waste and batteries could provide in a 678 practical solution to help people by providing them with instructions while they do it. In a second stage 679 collection campaigns targeting battery pack collection in specific target groups can be initiated to stimulate 680 knowledge transfer further and to make learning a fun, social activity. It has been shown that people might 681 induce themselves to carry out a mundane task by creating ways to perceive the task as more interesting and fun 682 (Sansone and Harackiewicz, 1996). Intuitively, in the context of household chores a hedonic goal-frame is less 683 expected. Indeed, our results confirm that in this context a normative goal-frame is most likely to be dominant 684 without intervention (Miao and Wei, 2013).

685

For this approach to work the collection campaign needs to last long enough to allow unfreezing the old, unwanted and reinforcing or freezing the new, desired habit. These latter steps are important as information campaigns may stimulate a behavioral change, but tend to devote too little effort to feedback to support behavior repetition and the desired pro-environmental habit establishment (Dahlstrand and Biel, 1997). Respondents also indicated that a logo on the device would prove to be useful cue in stimulating the drop-off of battery packs. A stable context, which requires identical or similar situational cues, is alongside with satisfaction and frequent repetition conducive to habit development (Limayem et al., 2007).

693

We end with a word of caution as experimental studies would be required to validate these recommendations for our context. Such experimental studies are moreover important given that the costs of infomercials and collection campaigns vary significantly because they are a function of their length, quality, and coverage.

697 **4.3. Limitations and routes for further research**

698 The main limitation of our results is that they are based on self-reported intention statements, which are 699 correlated with findings about self-reported behaviour. However, the latter do not necessarily have a high correlation with observed, actual behaviour. The strength of the relationship between actual and self-reported behaviour has been found to depend on the product under study, but typically one overestimates the degree to which one displays the desired behaviour when self-reporting (Huffman et al., 2014). Hence, further study based on objective measurements of actual behaviour of a representative sample is needed to verify whether our findings hold in such a context. These measurements would then allow to empirically verify whether a (lack of) habit significantly moderates the relationship between intention and actual behaviour and hence limits the predictive power of intentions on actual behaviour.

707

708 Based on the insights gained, we feel it would also be interesting to investigate the role of emotions, control, 709 (lack of) habits and self-identity within the frame of the interlinkage between WEEE and battery packs using a 710 multilevel structural equations model such as the one presented in Klöckner and Oppedal (2011) once before 711 and once after interventions have taken place. This would allow to empirically quantify the existence and impact 712 on spill-over effects in a context where recycling is normalized if the study were to take place in Belgium again. 713 Previously, it has been argued that, due to normalization, positive spill-overs to other pro-environmental 714 behaviours are less likely to occur, unless such conduct results from a pro-environmental identity (Thomas and 715 Sharp, 2013). Still, the findings of Reams et al. (1996) who found that a positive effect might be limited to 716 closely related behaviour, cause us to expect a positive spill-over. Nevertheless, such an effect is not guaranteed. 717 Thøgersen (1999) found evidence of negative spill-over between pro-environmental behaviours. Most likely this 718 is because people chose to act pro-environmentally in the domain where the costs are lowest, which is also 719 known as limited behaviour (Gifford, 2011). In order to explain these mixed findings Truelove et al. (2014) 720 developed a unifying theoretical framework which could be tested in such a follow-up study.

721

An interesting starting point is provided by Triandis' (1977) theory of interpersonal behaviour (TIB) and Klöckner and Blöbaum's (2010) comprehensive action determination model. Triandis' theory, although similar to TPB in that intention is a direct antecedent of actual behaviour, recognised the key role played by (i) social factors, which include norms, roles, and the self-concept, and emotions in forming intentions, (ii) the mediating influence of habits on actual behaviour, and (iii) the moderating influence by facilitating conditions on the

influence of both intention and habits on actual behaviour. Consequently, actual behaviour is considered to be 727 728 predicted by intention, habits, and situational constraints, whereas intention is formed by rational, social and 729 affective antecedents. In a study by Bamberg and Schmidt (2003) it was shown that the TIB outperforms the 730 TPB in terms of explanatory power of self-reported car use. Similarly, Klöckner and Blöbaum's comprehensive 731 action determination model (CADM) incorporates intentional, normative, situational, and habitual influences on 732 environmentally friendly behaviour based on the assumptions made respectively in the TPB, the norm activation 733 model (NAM), the ipsative theory of behaviour (Tanner, 1999), and the definition of the concept of habit. A test 734 of the comprehensive model showed that the CADM explained the greatest degree of variation as compared 735 with the TPB and the NAM.

736

737 There is, however, a potential downside to the quest for socio-psychological models which explain more 738 variation in the dependent variable(s). As more and more antecedents are added to such models there are 739 diminishing increases in their predictive capacity while the increasing complexity renders them less easily 740 amenable to practical application. If so, then perhaps one should consider turning to other methods. For instance, 741 one could employ a method that operationalizes structuration theory (Giddens, 1984). The latter theory takes the 742 middle ground in the debate on whether behaviour is driven by agency or by structure. Nevertheless, such an 743 alternative has the potential downside of not being easily generalized across contexts. Alternatively, one could 744 perform an experimental study. Although it has been said to evoke socially desirable responses due to the fact 745 that respondents are aware of being in an experiment, the relative switch in behaviour displayed in experiments 746 has been found to be consistent (Ariely et al., 2003).

747

Lastly, we admit that the proposed recommendations are mainly based on the average results and largely neglect the information provided by the multi-group analysis (MGA). This merely signals that our recommendations are more suited for mass media communication. We leave it to future studies to distinguish target groups that can be addressed using the same communication channel(s) and message(s).

752 **5. Conclusion**

753 Our results support the use of integrative, Theory of Planned based frameworks in understanding battery pack 754 recycling intention, certainly for cases in which an actual, specific, desired habit has yet to be developed. Based 755 on the size of the path coefficients we find that on average perceived behavioural control, moral norm, and the 756 awareness consequences have the largest influence on the intention to drop-off battery packs as quickly as 757 possible. Hence, national producer responsibility organisations are advised to (i) keep up with or start 758 informational and promotional activities to familiarize people with the fact that this type of portable batteries is 759 being collected by them and to decrease the (perceived) difficulty and banality of recycling battery packs in 760 order to unfreeze the current lack of habit and (ii) to raise awareness on the need for and consequences of 761 recycling battery packs in order to activate the ascription of responsibility and accordingly moral norms. Still, it 762 should be taken into consideration that these findings and the derived recommendations are based on self-763 reported intention statements. Further study, using more comprehensive, integrative models which also 764 incorporate objective measurements of actual behaviour of a representative sample, is needed to verify whether 765 our findings hold in such a context. We recommend such a study to simultaneously investigate the presence of 766 positive spill-overs or effect on spill-over of interventions using multilevel structural equation modelling.

767 Acknowledgments

The corresponding author wishes to thank the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) for granting him a postdoctoral grant [grant number: 12G5415N]. Furthermore, the second author wishes to express her gratitude for funding her to the SUMMA policy platform. Furthermore, we wish to express our sincere gratitude to Peter Coonen and Nele Peeters of Bebat for their time and willingness to share information with us.

772 **References**

- Aarts, H., Paulussen, T. and Schaalma, H. (1997). "Physical exercise habit: on the conceptualization and formation of habitual health behaviours." <u>Health education research</u> 12(3): 363-374.
- Abrahamse, W. and Steg, L. (2009). "How do socio-demographic and psychological factors relate to households' direct and indirect energy use and savings?" Journal of Economic Psychology **30**(5): 711-720.
- 777 Aguilar-Luzón, M. d. C., García-Martínez, J. M. Á., Calvo-Salguero, A. and Salinas, J. M. (2012). "Comparative
- 778 Study Between the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Value–Belief–Norm Model Regarding the
- Environment, on Spanish Housewives' Recycling Behavior." Journal of Applied Social Psychology 42(11):
 2797-2833.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). "The theory of planned behavior." <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>
 50(2): 179-211.
- Ajzen, I. (2002). "Residual effects of past on later behavior: Habituation and reasoned action perspectives." Personality and social psychology review 6(2): 107-122.
- Ajzen, I. (2011). Is attitude research incompatible with the compatibility principle? Most underappreciated: 50
- prominent social psychologists talk about hidden gems R. M. Arkin. New York, Oxford university press: p151 154.
- Aral, H. and Vecchio-Sadus, A. (2008). "Toxicity of lithium to humans and the environment—A literature
 review." <u>Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety</u> 70(3): 349-356.
- Arbués, F. and Villanúa, I. (2016). "Determinants of behavior toward selective collection of batteries in Spain.
 A bivariate probit model." <u>Resources, Conservation and Recycling 106</u>: 1-8.
- Ariely, D., Loewenstein, G. and Prelec, D. (2003). ""Coherent Arbitrariness": Stable Demand Curves Without
 Stable Preferences." <u>The Quarterly Journal of Economics</u> 118(1): 73-106.
- Armitage, C. J. and Conner, M. (2001). "Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-analytic review."
 British journal of social psychology 40(4): 471-499.
- Bamberg, S., Ajzen, I. and Schmidt, P. (2003). "Choice of travel mode in the theory of planned behavior: The roles of past behavior, habit, and reasoned action." <u>Basic and applied social psychology</u> 25(3): 175-187.
- Bamberg, S. and Möser, G. (2007). "Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A new meta-analysis
 of psycho-social determinants of pro-environmental behaviour." Journal of Environmental Psychology 27(1):
- 800 14-25.
 801 Bamberg, S. and Schmidt, P. (2003). "Incentives, Morality, Or Habit? Predicting Students' Car Use for
 802 University Routes With the Models of Ajzen, Schwartz, and Triandis." Environment and Behavior 35(2): 264-
- 803 285.
- 804 Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995). "The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal 805 modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration." Technology studies **2**(2): 285-309.
- Barr, S. (2007). "Factors Influencing Environmental Attitudes and Behaviors: A U.K. Case Study of Household
 Waste Management." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> **39**(4): 435-473.
- Bator, R. and Cialdini, R. (2000). "The application of persuasion theory to the development of effective proenvironmental public service announcements." Journal of Social Issues **56**(3): 527-542.
- Bissing-Olson, M. J., Fielding, K. S. and Iyer, A. (2016). "Experiences of pride, not guilt, predict proenvironmental behavior when pro-environmental descriptive norms are more positive." Journal of Environmental Reveloces 45: 145-152
- 812 <u>Environmental Psychology</u> 45: 145-153.
 813 Boldero, J. (1995). "The prediction of household recycling of newspapers The role of attitudes, intentions, and
- 814 situational factors." Journal of Applied Social Psychology **25**(5): 440-462.
- 815 Botetzagias, I., Dima, A.-F. and Malesios, C. (2015). "Extending the Theory of Planned Behavior in the context
- 816 of recycling: The role of moral norms and of demographic predictors." <u>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</u>
- **95**: 58-67.
- 818 Burn, S. M. and Oskamp, S. (1986). "Increasing community recycling with persuasive communication and 819 public commitment." Journal of Applied Social Psychology **16**(1): 29-41.
- 820 Carrington, M. J., Neville, B. A. and Whitwell, G. J. (2010). "Why Ethical Consumers Don't Walk Their Talk:
- Towards a Framework for Understanding the Gap Between the Ethical Purchase Intentions and Actual Buying
- 822 Behaviour of Ethically Minded Consumers." Journal of Business Ethics **97**(1): 139-158.

- 823 Carrus, G., Passafaro, P. and Bonnes, M. (2008). "Emotions, habits and rational choices in ecological
- behaviours: The case of recycling and use of public transportation." Journal of Environmental Psychology 28(1):
 51-62.
- Chan, K. (1998). "Mass communication and pro-environmental behaviour: waste recycling in Hong Kong."
 Journal of Environmental Management 52(4): 317-325.
- Chan, L. and Bishop, B. (2013). "A moral basis for recycling: Extending the theory of planned behaviour."
 Lournal of Environmental Payabology 36(0): 06–102
- 829 Journal of Environmental Psychology **36**(0): 96-102.
- Chen, M. F. and Tung, P. J. (2010). "The Moderating Effect of Perceived Lack of Facilities on Consumers'
 Recycling Intentions." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> 42(6): 824-844.
- 832 Cheung, S. F., Chan, D. K.-S. and Wong, Z. S.-Y. (1999). "Reexamining the Theory of Planned Behavior in
- Understanding Wastepaper Recycling." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> **31**(5): 587-612.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling." <u>Modern methods for</u>
 <u>business research</u> 295(2): 295-336.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. <u>Handbook of partial least squares</u>, Springer:
 655-690.
- Chin, W. W. and Newsted, P. R. (1999). "Structural equation modeling analysis with small samples using partial
 least squares." <u>Statistical strategies for small sample research</u> 1(1): 307-341.
- Chu, P. Y. and Chiu, J. F. (2003). "Factors influencing household waste recycling behavior: Test of an integrated
 model." Journal of Applied Social Psychology 33(3): 604-626.
- 842 Cialdini, R. B., Reno, R. R. and Kallgren, C. A. (1990). "A focus theory of normative conduct: recycling the
- concept of norms to reduce littering in public places." Journal of personality and social psychology 58(6): 1015.
 Conner, M. and Armitage, C. J. (1998). "Extending the Theory of Planned Behavior: A Review and Avenues
- for Further Research." Journal of Applied Social Psychology **28**(15): 1429-1464.
- Coonen, P. and Peeters, N. (2014). "Bebat's prior research experience on the human-battery interrelationship."
 01/04/2014.
- Cox, J., Griffith, S., Giorgi, S. and King, G. (2013). "Consumer understanding of product lifetimes." <u>Resources</u>,
 <u>Conservation and Recycling</u> **79**: 21-29.
- Bahlstrand, U. and Biel, A. (1997). "Pro-Environmental Habits: Propensity Levels in Behavioral Change."
 Journal of applied social psychology 27(7): 588-601.
- Darnton, A. (2008). "GSR Behaviour Change Knowledge Review-Reference Report: An overview of behaviour change models and their uses.". <u>Social Science in Government</u>: p1-83.
- Bavies, J., Foxall, G. R. and Pallister, J. (2002). "Beyond the intention-behaviour mythology an integrated
 model of recycling." <u>Marketing theory</u> 2(1): 29-113.
- Davis, G., Phillips, P. S., Read, A. D. and Iida, Y. (2006). "Demonstrating the need for the development of
- 857 internal research capacity: Understanding recycling participation using the Theory of Planned Behaviour in
 858 West Oxfordshire, UK." Resources, Conservation and Recycling 46(2): 115-127.
- Dewulf, J., Van der Vorst, G., Denturck, K., Van Langenhove, H., Ghyoot, W., Tytgat, J. and Vandeputte, K.
- (2010). "Recycling rechargeable lithium ion batteries: Critical analysis of natural resource savings." <u>Resources</u>,
 Conservation and Recycling 54(4): 229-234.
- Bo Valle, P. O. (2005). "Combining behavioral theories to predict recycling involvement." <u>Environment and</u>
 <u>Behavior</u> 37(3): 364-396.
- Dubois, M. (2012). "Extended producer responsibility for consumer waste: the gap between economic theory and implementation." <u>Waste Management & Research</u> **30**(9): 36-42.
- 866 Dunlap, R. E., Van Liere, K. D., Mertig, A. G. and Jones, R. E. (2000). "New trends in measuring environmental
- attitudes: measuring endorsement of the new ecological paradigm: a revised NEP scale." Journal of social issues
 56(3): 425-442.
- 869 European Commission (2014). "Critical raw materials for the EU: Report of the ad-hoc working group on 870 defining critical raw materials." Brussels: 41.
- 871 European Portable Battery Association (2013). "The collection of waste portable batteries in Europe in view of
- the achievability of the collection targets set by Batteries Directive 2006/66/EC." p1-234.
- 873 European Portable Battery Association (2014). "The collection of waste portable batteries in Europe in view of
- the achievability of the collection targets set by Batteries Directive 2006/66/EC." p1-246.

- European Union (2006). "Directive 2006/66/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 September
- 2006 on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators and repealing Directive 91/157/EEC."
 Strasbourg: 1-14.
- Festinger, L. (1962). "Cognitive dissonance." <u>Scientific American</u> **207**(4): 93-102.
- Fishbein, M. and Ajzen, I. (1975). <u>Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: an introduction to theory and</u> research. Reading, MA, Addison-Wesley.
- Frey, B. S. (1994). "How intrinsic motivation is crowded in and out." Rationality and Society 6(3): 334-352.
- Geisser, S. (1974). "A predictive approach to the random effect model." <u>Biometrika</u> **61**(1): 101-107.
- Giddens, A. (1984). <u>The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration</u>. Berkeley and Los
 Angeles, University of California Press.
- 685 Gifford, R. (2011). "The dragons of inaction: Psychological barriers that limit climate change mitigation and adaptation." <u>American Psychologist</u> **66**(4): 290.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016). <u>A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural</u>
 <u>Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)</u>, SAGE Publications.
- Han, H., Hsu, L.-T. J. and Sheu, C. (2010). "Application of the theory of planned behavior to green hotel choice:
- 890 Testing the effect of environmental friendly activities." <u>Tourism management</u> **31**(3): 325-334.
- 891 Hannigan, J. (2006). Environmental Sociology. London and New York, Routledge.
- Hansmann, R., Bernasconi, P., Smieszek, T., Loukopoulos, P. and Scholz, R. W. (2006). "Justifications and self-organization as determinants of recycling behavior: The case of used batteries." <u>Resources, Conservation</u> and Recycling 47(2): 133-159.
- Hansmann, R., Loukopoulos, P. and Scholz, R. W. (2009). "Characteristics of effective battery recycling
 slogans: A Swiss field study." <u>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</u> 53(4): 218-230.
- Hargreaves, T. (2011). "Practice-ing behaviour change: Applying social practice theory to pro-environmental
 behaviour change." Journal of Consumer Culture 11(1): 79-99.
- Heath, Y. and Gifford, R. (2002). "Extending the theory of planned behavior: predicting the use of public transportation." Journal of Applied Social Psychology **32**(10): 2154-2189.
- 901 Henseler, J. (2012). PLS-MGA: A Non-Parametric Approach to Partial Least Squares-based Multi-Group
- Analysis. <u>Challenges at the Interface of Data Analysis, Computer Science, and Optimization</u>. W. A. Gaul, A.
 Geyer-Schulz, L. Schmidt-Thieme and J. Kunze, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 495-501.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2016). "Testing Measurement Invariance of Composites Using
 Partial Least Squares." <u>International Marketing Review</u>: forthcoming.
- Higgins, T. (1987). "Self-discrepancy: a theory relating self and affect." <u>Psychological review</u> **94**(3): 319.
- Hopper, J. R. and Nielsen, J. M. (1991). "Recycling as Altruistic Behavior: Normative and Behavioral Strategies
 to Expand Participation in a Community Recycling Program." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> 23(2): 195-220.
- Huffman, A. H., Van Der Werff, B. R., Henning, J. B. and Watrous-Rodriguez, K. (2014). "When do recycling
 attitudes predict recycling? An investigation of self-reported versus observed behavior." Journal of
 Environmental Psychology 38(0): 262-270.
- Jacoby, J., Berning, C. K. and Dietvorst, T. F. (1977). "What about disposition?" <u>The Journal of Marketing</u>
 41(2): 22-28.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B. and Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). "A critical review of construct indicators and
- measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research." Journal of consumer research 30(2):
 199-218.
- Jha, M. K., Kumari, A., Jha, A. K., Kumar, V., Hait, J. and Pandey, B. D. (2013). "Recovery of lithium and
 cobalt from waste lithium ion batteries of mobile phone." <u>Waste Management</u> 33(9): 1890-1897.
- 919 Kaiser, F. G., Hübner, G. and Bogner, F. X. (2005). "Contrasting the Theory of Planned Behavior With the
- 920 Value-Belief-Norm Model in Explaining Conservation Behavior." Journal of Applied Social Psychology
 921 35(10): 2150-2170.
- 922 Kang, D. H. P., Chen, M. and Ogunseitan, O. A. (2013). "Potential Environmental and Human Health Impacts
- of Rechargeable Lithium Batteries in Electronic Waste." <u>Environmental Science & Technology</u> 47(10): 5495 5503.
- Karnchanawong, S. and Limpiteeprakan, P. (2009). "Evaluation of heavy metal leaching from spent household
 batteries disposed in municipal solid waste." <u>Waste Management</u> 29(2): 550-558.
- Klöckner, C. A. (2015). <u>The Psychology of Pro-Environmental Communication: Beyond Standard Information</u>
 Strategies, Palgrave Macmillan UK.

- 929 Klöckner, C. A. and Blöbaum, A. (2010). "A comprehensive action determination model: Toward a broader
- understanding of ecological behaviour using the example of travel mode choice." Journal of Environmental 930 931 Psychology **30**(4): 574-586.
- 932 Klöckner, C. A. and Oppedal, I. O. (2011). "General vs. domain specific recycling behaviour-Applying a
- 933 multilevel comprehensive action determination model to recycling in Norwegian student homes." Resources, 934 Conservation and Recycling 55(4): 463-471.
- 935 Knussen, C., Yule, F., MacKenzie, J. and Wells, M. (2004). "An analysis of intentions to recycle household
- 936 waste: The roles of past behaviour, perceived habit, and perceived lack of facilities." Journal of Environmental 937 Psychology **24**(2): 237-246.
- 938 Lam, S. P. (2006). "Predicting intention to save water: Theory of planned behavior, response efficacy, 939 vulnerability, and perceived efficiency of alternative solutions." Journal of Applied Social Psychology **36**(11): 940 2803-2824.
- 941 Larcher, D. and Tarascon, J. (2015). "Towards greener and more sustainable batteries for electrical energy storage." <u>Nature chemi</u>stry 7(1): 19-29. 942
- 943 Le, H.-L., Yamasue, E., Okumura, H. and Ishihara, K. N. (2013). Analysis of Intentions to Recycle Electronic
- 944 Waste (E-Waste) Using the Theory of Planned Behavior: A Case Study in Urban Areas of Vietnam. Zero-
- 945 Carbon Energy Kyoto 2012: Special Edition of the Joint Symposium "Energy Science in the Age of Global Warming" of the Kyoto University Global COE Program and the JGSEE/CEE-KMUTT. T. Yao. Tokyo, 946 947 Springer Japan: 73-79.
- 948 Li, L., Dunn, J. B., Zhang, X. X., Gaines, L., Chen, R. J., Wu, F. and Amine, K. (2013). "Recovery of metals 949 from spent lithium-ion batteries with organic acids as leaching reagents and environmental assessment." Journal
- 950 of Power Sources 233: 180-189.
- 951 Limayem, M., Hirt, S. G. and Cheung, C. M. (2007). "How habit limits the predictive power of intention: The 952 case of information systems continuance." Mis Quarterly: 705-737.
- 953 Locke, E. A. and Latham, G. P. (2002). "Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: 954 A 35-year odyssey." American psychologist 57(9): 705.
- 955 Macey, S. M. and Brown, M. A. (1983). "Residential Energy Conservation The Role of Past Experience in Repetitive Household Behavior." Environment and Behavior 15(2): 123-141. 956
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. and Jarvis, C. B. (2005). "The problem of measurement model 957 958 misspecification in behavioral and organizational research and some recommended solutions." Journal of 959 Applied Psychology **90**(4): 710.
- Mannetti, L., Pierro, A. and Livi, S. (2004). "Recycling: Planned and self-expressive behaviour." Journal of 960 961 Environmental Psychology 24(2): 227-236.
- Miao, L. and Wei, W. (2013). "Consumers' pro-environmental behavior and the underlying motivations: A 962 comparison between household and hotel settings." International Journal of Hospitality Management 32: 102-963 964 112.
- Moss, R. L., Tzimas, E., Kara, H., Willis, P. and Kooroshy, J. (2011). "Critical metals in strategic energy 965
- 966 technologies." Scientific and Technical Research series. Luxemburg, Publications Office of the European 967 Union: p162.
- Nigbur, D., Lyons, E. and Uzzell, D. (2010). "Attitudes, norms, identity and environmental behaviour: Using 968 969 an expanded theory of planned behaviour to predict participation in a kerbside recycling programme." British
- 970 Journal of Social Psychology 49(2): 259-284.
- 971 Openbare Vlaamse Afvalstoffen Maatschappij. (n.d.). "Batterijen: Wist u dat?" Accessed November 21/2014, 972 from http://www.ovam.be/batterijen.
- 973 Osbaldiston, R. and Schott, J. P. (2011). "Environmental sustainability and behavioral science: Meta-analysis of proenvironmental behavior experiments." Environment and Behavior: 0013916511402673. 974
- 975 Ouellette, J. A. and Wood, W. (1998). "Habit and intention in everyday life: the multiple processes by which past behavior predicts future behavior." Psychological bulletin 124(1): 54. 976
- 977 Ramayah, T., Lee, J. W. C. and Lim, S. (2012). "Sustaining the environment through recycling: An empirical 978 study." Journal of Environmental Management 102: 141-147.
- 979 Reams, M. A., Geaghan, J. P. and Gendron, R. C. (1996). "The Link between Recycling and Litter A Field
- 980 Study." Environment and Behavior 28(1): 92-110.

- 981 Rhodes, R. E., Beauchamp, M. R., Conner, M., de Bruijn, G.-J., Kaushal, N. and Latimer-Cheung, A. (2015).
- "Prediction of Depot-Based Specialty Recycling Behavior Using an Extended Theory of Planned Behavior."
 <u>Environment and Behavior</u> 47(9): 1001-1023.
- 84 Ronis, D. L., Yates, J. F. and Kirscht, J. P. (1989). Attitudes, decisions, and habits as determinants of repeated
- behavior. <u>Attitude structure and function</u>. A. R. Pratkanis, S. J. Breckler and A. J. Greenwald. Hilldale, NJ,
 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: 213-239.
- Royal Decree (2009). "Koninklijk besluit inzake het op de markt brengen en de informatie voor de
 eindgebruikers van batterijen en accu's, en tot opheffing van het koninklijk besluit van 17 maart 1997 inzake
 batterijen en accu's die gevaarlijke stoffen bevatten." Belgisch Staatsblad: 26020-26024.
- 990 Sahmer, K., Hanafi, M. and Qannari, M. (2006). Assessing Unidimensionality within PLS Path Modeling
- 991 Framework. From Data and Information Analysis to Knowledge Engineering: Proceedings of the 29th Annual
- 992 <u>Conference of the Gesellschaft für Klassifikation e.V. University of Magdeburg, March 9–11, 2005.</u> M.
- Spiliopoulou, R. Kruse, C. Borgelt, A. Nürnberger and W. Gaul. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg: 222-229.
- 995 Sansone, C. and Harackiewicz, J. M. (1996). I don't feel like it": The function of interest in self-regulation.
- 996 <u>Striving and feeling: Interactions among goals, affect, and self-regulation</u>. L. Martin and A. Tesser. Hillsdale,
 997 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: 203-228.
- Saphores, J.-D. M., Nixon, H., Ogunseitan, O. A. and Shapiro, A. A. (2009). "How much e-waste is there in US
 basements and attics? Results from a national survey." Journal of Environmental Management **90**(11): 33223331.
- 1001 Saphores, J.-D. M., Ogunseitan, O. A. and Shapiro, A. A. (2012). "Willingness to engage in a pro-environmental
- behavior: An analysis of e-waste recycling based on a national survey of U.S. households." <u>Resources</u>,
 <u>Conservation and Recycling</u> 60(0): 49-63.
- Saphores, J. D. M., Nixon, H., Ogunseitan, O. A. and Shapiro, A. A. (2006). "Household willingness to recycle
 electronic waste An application to California." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> 38(2): 183-208.
- 1006 Schultz, P. W., Oskamp, S. and Mainieri, T. (1995). "Who recycles and when? A review of personal and situational factors." Journal of environmental psychology **15**(2): 105-121.
- 1008 Schwartz, S. H. (1968). "Words, deeds and the perception of consequences and responsibility in action 1009 situations." Journal of personality and social psychology **10**(3): 232.
- 1010 Schwartz, S. H. (1970). "Elicitation of moral obligation and self-sacrificing behavior: an experimental study of 1011 volunteering to be a bone marrow donor." Journal of personality and social psychology **15**(4): 283.
- 1012 Staples (2014). "Old Gadgets, New Clutter: Americans Hoard Electronics Instead of Recycling Them."
- 1013 Steg, L. and Vlek, C. (2009). "Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research 1014 agenda." Journal of Environmental Psychology **29**(3): 309-317.
- 1015 Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T. D., Guagnano, G. A. and Kalof, L. (1999). "A value-belief-norm theory of 1016 support for social movements: The case of environmentalism." <u>Human ecology review</u> **6**(2): 81-97.
- 1017 Tang, Z., Chen, X. and Luo, J. (2011). "Determining Socio-Psychological Drivers for Rural Household
- 1018 Recycling Behavior in Developing Countries: A Case Study From Wugan, Hunan, China." <u>Environment and</u>
 1019 <u>Behavior</u> 43(6): 848-877.
- Tanner, C. (1999). "Constraints on environmental behaviour." Journal of environmental psychology 19(2): 145 157.
- 1022 Taylor, S. and Todd, P. (1995). "An integrated model of waste management behavior a test of household 1023 recycling and composting intentions." <u>Environment and behavior</u> **27**(5): 603-630.
- Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V. E., Chatelin, Y.-M. and Lauro, C. (2005). "PLS path modeling." <u>Computational</u>
 <u>statistics & data analysis</u> 48(1): 159-205.
- Terry, D. J., Hogg, M. A. and White, K. M. (1999). "The theory of planned behaviour: Self-identity, social identity and group norms." <u>British Journal of Social Psychology</u> 38(3): 225-244.
- Thøgersen, J. (1999). "Spillover processes in the development of a sustainable consumption pattern." Journal of
 Economic Psychology 20(1): 53-81.
- 1030 Thomas, C. and Sharp, V. (2013). "Understanding the normalisation of recycling behaviour and its implications
- 1031 for other pro-environmental behaviours: A review of social norms and recycling." <u>Resources, Conservation and</u>
- 1032 <u>Recycling</u> **79**: 11-20.

- 1033 Tonglet, M., Phillips, P. S. and Read, A. D. (2004). "Using the Theory of Planned Behaviour to investigate the
- 1034 determinants of recycling behaviour: a case study from Brixworth, UK." <u>Resources, Conservation and</u> 1035 Recycling **41**(3): 191-214.
- 1036 Triandis, H. C. (1977). Interpersonal behavior, Brooks/Cole Pub. Co.
- 1037 Truelove, H. B., Carrico, A. R., Weber, E. U., Raimi, K. T. and Vandenbergh, M. P. (2014). "Positive and
- negative spillover of pro-environmental behavior: An integrative review and theoretical framework." <u>Global</u>
 Environmental Change 29: 127-138.
- 1040 Tsiros, M. and Mittal, V. (2000). "Regret: A model of its antecedents and consequences in consumer decision
- 1040 Tstros, M. and Mittal, V. (2000). Regret: A model of its antecedents and consequences in consumer decision 1041 making." Journal of Consumer Research 26(4): 401-417.
- 1042 Vining, J. and Ebreo, A. (1990). "What makes a recycler? A comparison of recyclers and nonrecyclers."
 1043 Environment and behavior 22(1): 55-73.
- 1044 Vining, J. and Ebreo, A. (1992). "Predicting Recycling Behavior from Global and Specific Environmental
 1045 Attitudes and Changes in Recycling Opportunities." Journal of Applied Social Psychology 22(20): 1580-1607.
- 1046 Vining, J. and Ebreo, A. (2002). Emerging theoretical and methodological perspective on conservation
- behaviour. <u>Handbook of Environmental Psychology</u> R. Bechtel and A. Churchman. New York, Wiley: 541 558.
- 1049 Vlek, C. and Steg, L. (2007). "Human Behavior and Environmental Sustainability: Problems, Driving Forces,
 1050 and Research Topics." Journal of Social Issues 63(1): 1-19.
- Wan, C., Shen, G. Q. and Yu, A. (2014a). "The moderating effect of perceived policy effectiveness on recycling
 intention." Journal of Environmental Psychology 37: 55-60.
- Wan, C., Shen, G. Q. and Yu, A. (2014b). "The role of perceived effectiveness of policy measures in predicting recycling behaviour in Hong Kong." <u>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</u> **83**: 141-151.
- Wang, Z. H., Zhang, B., Yin, J. H. and Zhang, X. (2011). "Willingness and behavior towards e-waste recycling
 for residents in Beijing city, China." Journal of Cleaner Production 19(9-10): 977-984.
- White, K. M. and Hyde, M. K. (2012). "The Role of Self-Perceptions in the Prediction of Household Recycling
 Behavior in Australia." <u>Environment and Behavior</u> 44(6): 785-799.
- 1059 Whitmarsh, L. and O'Neill, S. (2010). "Green identity, green living? The role of pro-environmental self-identity
- 1060 in determining consistency across diverse pro-environmental behaviours." Journal of Environmental 1061 Psychology **30**(3): 305-314.
- 1062 Ylä-Mella, J., Keiski, R. L. and Pongrácz, E. (2015). "Electronic waste recovery in Finland: Consumers' 1063 perceptions towards recycling and re-use of mobile phones." Waste Management **45**: 374-384.
- Zeng, X. and Li, J. (2014). "Spent rechargeable lithium batteries in e-waste: composition and its implications."
 Frontiers of Environtal Science and Engineering 8(5): 792-796.
- 1066 Zhang, S., Zhang, M., Yu, X. and Ren, H. (2016). "What keeps Chinese from recycling: Accessibility of 1067 recycling facilities and the behavior." Resources, Conservation and Recycling **109**: 176-186.
- 1068

1070 Appendices

1072 Appendix A: Evaluation of the reflective and formative measurement models

1073 When evaluating reflective measurement models, several aspects have to be tested. The indicator reliability 1074 specifies the part of an indicator's variance that can be explained by the underlying latent variable. At least 50% 1075 of an indicator's variance should be explained by the latent variable (i.e. loading above 0.70). For the construct 1076 reliability the composite reliability is used. Cronbach's alpha could also be used, but this measure is sensitive to 1077 the number of items in the scale and is more conservative. Values for the composite reliability above 0.60 are 1078 acceptable for exploratory research. The convergent validity measures the extent to which a measure correlates 1079 positively with alternative measures of the same construct. Both the outer loadings and average variance 1080 extracted (AVE) can be used to test this. The outer loadings should be higher than 0.70. The AVE is calculated 1081 as the sum of the squared loadings divided by the number of indicators. An AVE of less than 0.5 is considered 1082 insufficient, because more variance is due to error variance than to indicator variance. Finally, the discriminant validity represents the extent to which a construct is distinct from other constructs. The cross loadings may not 1083 1084 exceed the indicators' outer loadings and the Fornell-Larcker criterion has to be met. The latter compares the 1085 square root of the AVE values with the latent variable correlations (Hair et al., 2016). It can be concluded that 1086 all criteria are met. An overview of the results of the reflective measurement models is provided in the Table 1087 A1.

Latent variable	Indicator	Loadings	Indicator reliability	Composite reliability	AVE	Discriminant validity
Attitude	Useless	0.852	0.726	0.942	0.729	yes
	Unsafe	0.855	0.731			
	Irresponsible	0.883	0.780			
	Not sensible	0.884	0.781			
	Not rewarding	0.770	0.593			
	Bad	0.874	0.764			
Moral norm	Wrong	0.891	0.794	0.933	0.776	yes
	Guilty	0.892	0.796			
	Principles	0.863	0.745			
	Everyone	0.879	0.773			
Subjective norm	Fa-desirable	0.825	0.680	0.908	0.711	yes
	Fa-approve	0.871	0.759			
	Fr-desirable	0.801	0.642			
	Fr-approve	0.874	0.764			
Intention	Planned	0.948	0.898	0.958	0.885	yes
	Probable	0.941	0.886			
	Desire	0.933	0.870			

1088 Table A1: Estimation results and psychometric properties of reflective measurement models

1090 Formative latent variables require a different evaluation of the measurement model as indicators are not 1091 supposed to be correlated. For formative measures we assessed the indicator reliability. Indicator reliability is 1092 examined by verifying whether high correlations exists between indicators. The variance inflation factor (VIF) 1093 is used to check whether multicollinearity poses a problem. The VIF did not exceed a value of 10. Using a 1094 bootstrapping procedure with 5000 draws it is also evaluated which indicators are significant and relevant. The 1095 null hypothesis, stating that an outer weight equals zero (i.e. has no significant effect), is rejected when the 1096 interval does not include zero. When it seems that indicators are not significant, these are further investigated. 1097 In case the outer loadings of these indicators are high (above 0.5), it was opted to keep the indicator in the model. 1098 The results of the overall formative measurement models are provided in 0. Based on the results, it is decided to 1099 keep all indicators in the measurement model, except for the savings indicator and efficiency indicator. In order 1100 to check for convergent validity it is suggested to use a general question, which might be considered reflective, 1101 related to each of the formative constructs in order to evaluate formative measurement model's validity. 1102 However, no question is taken into account in our survey as the questionnaire is already perceived as being quite 1103 long. As a consequence, the convergent validity of the formative constructs was not evaluated.

Latent variable	Indicator	Outer weights (outer loadings)	Significance level (* .10 ** .05 ***.01)	Confidence interval (10%)
Past behaviour	Norm. batt.	0.580 (0.905)	***	[0.493;0.667]
	Rec. batt.	0.152 (0.732)	***	[0.072;0.232]
	Button cells	0.062 (0.733)	NS	[-0.023;0.147]
	Accupacks	0.396 (0.804)	***	[0.313;0.479]
Consequences	Saving	0.010 (0.296)	NS	[-0.043;0.063]
	Well-being	0.321 (0.925)	***	[0.196;0.446]
	Environment	0.305 (0.917)	***	[0.174;0.436]
	Waste	0.166 (0.795)	***	[0.079;0.253]
	Accident	0.164 (0.597)	***	[0.092;0.236]
	Example	0.228 (0.839)	***	[0.121;0.335]
Perceived	Amount	0.136 (0.461)	***	[0.079;0.193]
behavioural control	Frequency	0.053 (0.875)	*	[0.004;0.102]
	Ability	0.529 (0.868)	***	[0.446;0.612]
	Ease	0.537 (0.319)	***	[0.458;0.616]
Lack of habit	Other coll. Point	-0.390 (0.648)	***	[-0.472;-0.308]
	Back-up	0.269 (0.648)	***	[0.170;0.368]
	Resell	-0.108 (0.193)	**	[-0.191;-0.025]
	Efficiency	0.091 (0.408)	NS	[-0.004;0.186]
	Forget	0.760 (0.889)	***	[0.664;0.856]
Perceived policy	How	0.223 (0.891)	**	[0.074;0.372]
effectiveness	Why	0.244 (0.867)	***	[0.117;0.372]
	Push	0.355 (0.894)	***	[0.237;0.473]
	Facilitate	0.319 (0.853)	***	[0.223;0.415]

1105 Table A2: Results bootstrapping procedure formative measurement scales