Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Motor fatigability after low-intensity hand grip exercises in persons with multiple sclerosis Peer-reviewed author version

SEVERIJNS, Deborah; Lemmens, Mieke; THOELEN, Ronald & FEYS, Peter (2016) Motor fatigability after low-intensity hand grip exercises in persons with multiple sclerosis. In: MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS AND RELATED DISORDERS, 10, p. 7-13.

DOI: 10.1016/j.msard.2016.08.007 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/23247

Motor fatigability after low-intensity hand grip exercises in persons with multiple sclerosis.

Authors: Deborah Severijns^{1*}, Mieke Lemmens², Ronald Thoelen ^{3,4}, Peter Feys¹

¹ REVAL Rehabilitation Research Center - BIOMED – Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences - Hasselt University, Agoralaan Gebouw A, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

² Rehabilitation and MS centre Overpelt, Boemerangsstraat 2, 3900 Overpelt

³ Institute for Materials Research, Hasselt University, Wetenschapspark 1, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

⁴ Division IMOMEC, IMEC vzw, Wetenschapspark 1, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

*Corresponding author: Deborah Severijns

E-mail address: deborah.severijns@uhasselt.be

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

3 During maximal, sustained contractions, persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS) show higher motor 4 fatigability in comparison with healthy persons. It is not known if motor fatigability is also different 5 between PwMS and healthy persons during low-intensity exercises. Thus, the aim of this study was to 6 determine the difference in hand grip fatigability between healthy persons and PwMS for both hands

7 during low-intensity hand grip exercises.

8 Methods

9 19 PwMS and 19 healthy controls performed 18 minutes of hand grip exercises at a maximum of 25%
10 of the maximal voluntary strength, with an electronic hand dynamometer. Perceived fatigability,
11 maximal hand grip strength and muscle activity (electromyography) of the wrist flexors and extensors

12 were recorded in between these exercises for the dominant and non-dominant hand.

13 Results and discussion

14 There was a significant decrease in maximal hand grip strength after exercising in both groups and for 15 both hands, mainly situated in the first 6 minutes. In contrast to what was hypothesized, PwMS did not 16 show more decline in strength than healthy controls, neither in the dominant nor the non-dominant 17 hand. There was no group difference in the increase of the perceived fatigability in the dominant hand. 18 However, for the non-dominant hand, the perceived fatigability after exercising increased more in 19 PwMS than in healthy controls. Additionally, there was no relation between fatigue indices, as 20 assessed with short maximal contractions and the strength decline after low-intensity repetitive 21 exercises.

22

23 Keywords: hand strength, fatigability, multiple sclerosis, motor fatigue

24

26 1. Introduction

27 Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a neurodegenerative disease, causing symptoms such as muscle weakness 28 and fatigue, (Kister et al., 2013) with a large impact on daily life (Fernandez-Munoz et al., 2015). 29 Fatigue can be described as " a subjective lack of physical and/or mental energy is perceived by the 30 individual or caregiver to interfere with usual and desired activities (Multiple Sclerosis Council for 31 Clinical Practice Guidelines, 1998). Fatigability, on the other hand, is "the magnitude or rate of change 32 in a performance criterion relative to a reference value over a given time of task performance or 33 measure of mechanical output" (Kluger et al., 2013). The change in perception of fatigue after 34 exercising is called perceived fatigability (Enoka and Duchateau, 2016).

Persons with MS (PwMS) experience problems in daily life, for example with grasping or lifting an object, due to upper limb dysfunction (Lamers et al., 2013) . The capacity to fulfil activities in daily living (ADL) is related to maximal hand grip strength (Chen et al., 2007) . It could thus be hypothesized that PwMS will experience even more problems in their ADL if their maximal hand grip strength would decrease further due to pathological motor fatigability.

40 Indices based on sustained or repetitive maximal contractions (Schwid et al., 1999; Severijns et al., 41 2015) are mostly described to assess motor fatigability. The assessment with these indices showed 42 that PwMS have more motor fatigability (Djaldetti et al., 1996; Severijns et al., 2015). It is not clear if 43 these indices, based on short maximal isometric strength, are representative for the fatigability after 44 ADL. In daily life, one usually uses repetitive submaximal contractions at a low intensity (Ivengar et al., 45 2009) and the development of motor fatigability is specific to the demands of the task (Enoka, 1995). 46 There is, however, only limited information available in PwMS on motor fatigability during low-intensity 47 contractions in the upper limb. One study showed that the motor fatigability, measured with a decline 48 in strength after repetitive contractions at 40% of the maximal strength, is not higher in PwMS 49 (Thickbroom et al., 2006), in contrast to the motor fatigability, as assessed with maximal contractions. 50 In contrast, in the lower limbs, submaximal intermittent exercises are able to elicit more strength 51 decline (Thickbroom et al., 2008), which might be related to the different degree of impairment in lower 52 vs. upper limbs (Schwid et al., 1999).

53 To assess motor fatigability clinically, it was recommended to record maximal strength before and after 54 an exercise protocol (Dobkin, 2008) . In line with this advice, maximal hand grip strength assessments 55 before and after submaximal exercises have been found to be a reliable parameter in healthy persons 56 to document hand grip endurance(Reuter et al., 2011). The influence of a low intensity exercise 57 protocol on the maximal hand grip strength, as an outcome for motor fatigability in PwMS, has not 58 been studied so far. Previously, it was reported that there was no difference in motor fatigability 59 between the dominant and non-dominant hand during maximal sustained isometric contractions in 60 PwMS (Severijns et al., 2015), but it is not known if this is also the case during low-intensity exercises. 61 Besides maximal strength measures, also electromyography (EMG) parameters are used to indirectly 62 document the presence of motor fatigability in the muscle groups under investigation. EMG assessment of forearm muscles has already been used in healthy subjects to detect signs of muscle 63 64 fatigue, underlying motor fatigability, both in wrist flexors and wrist extensors after a gripping task 65 (Hagg and Milerad, 1997). There is, however, no report on the use of EMG parameters during a low-66 intensity hand grip exercise in PwMS.

Therefore we designed a study to determine, both in dominant and non-dominant hands 1) whether PwMS have different motor fatigability from healthy controls (HC), where the hypothesis is that PwMS have more motor fatigability and thus show a larger decline in hand grip strength and 2) whether there is a relation between the short fatigue indices, based on maximal contractions and the strength decline after low-intensity exercises.

73 2. Methods

74 2.1 Participants

75 PwMS with a clinical diagnosis of MS according to the McDonald criteria without any exacerbation one 76 month before testing were recruited in the Rehabilitation and MS center Overpelt, Belgium without any 77 specific inclusion criteria for the type of MS, disability level or level of hand function. Age and gender-78 matched control subjects were recruited within acquaintances of the researchers. Participants who 79 were unable to understand the test instructions or complained of pain in the hands hampering 80 participation in the experiment were excluded. Each participant gave an informed consent before 81 participation in the study. The study was approved by the Ethical committees of the University Hospitals Leuven, Hasselt University and the rehabilitation and MS center Overpelt. 82

83 2.2 Apparatus

Both the hand grip strength testing and the hand grip exercises were performed with the digital E-link hand dynamometer (E-link, Biometrics Ltd, Newport, UK), with the handle placed in the second position (Trampisch et al., 2012). The hand grip strength was recorded with arms adducted in the shoulders, elbows flexed at 90°, wrist positioned in the midrange of pronation and supination and neutral wrist posture, according to the recommendations of the American Society of Hand Therapists (ASHT) (Fess, 1982).

90 To record muscle activity, a wireless electromyography (EMG) system was used. Bipolar and pre-91 amplified surface electrodes with a fixed distance of 1.0 cm (Trigno wireless system, Delsys Inc., 92 Natick, MA, USA) were used. sEMG was sampled at 2000 Hz, with a bandwidth of 20-450Hz. The 93 common mode rejection rate was >80dB. Delsys EMG Works software, version 4.0.2 (Delsys, Inc., 94 Natick, MA, USA) was used for signal acquisition. Data-analysis was done with custom-made software 95 (using Labview, National Instruments Cooperation, Austin, TX).

96 **2.3** Experimental design and protocol

97 The participants were tested on three days. On the first day, arm function was assessed, the subjects98 filled out questionnaires and baseline maximal hand grip strength and fatigue indices were recorded.

99 First, the skin over the muscle bellies under investigation was shaved and wiped with alcohol. EMG 100 electrodes were placed on the m. extensor carpi radialis longus and brevis and on m. flexor carpi 101 radialis (Cram et al., 1998). Hereafter, two maximal hand grips of five seconds were recorded. Then, 102 the participants sustained a 30-seconds maximal hand grip. After two minutes of rest, the participants 103 performed 30 repetitive hand grip contractions. To familiarize the participants with the protocol of the 104 next test days, four times two maximal hand grip contractions of five seconds were done with the 105 dominant hand and the non-dominant hand at T0, T1, T2 and T3, with 10 minutes of rest in-between 106 tests.

107 On the second and third test-day, the protocol was repeated. However, instead of a rest period in 108 between T0, T1, T2, and T3, the participants performed instrumented hand grip exercises with the E-109 link activity modules (E-link, Biometrics Ltd, Newport, UK), with three different virtual exercises. The 110 exercise intensity was set at a maximum of 25% of the maximal hand grip strength, determined at T0

111 on the first testing day. The first exercise was a tracking exercise, where the patients had to follow an 112 object on the computer screen by increasing or decreasing the isometric hand grip strength from 15-113 25% MVC. The second exercise was a repetitive squeezing exercise with a frequency of 0.5 Hz, 114 where an avatar moved to the bottom of the screen if no strength was delivered and to the top of the 115 screen if the 25% MVC was reached. With the third exercise, the subjects controlled the movement of 116 a basket on the screen, where the right side of the computer screen was reached when squeezing at 117 25% of the MVC. The three exercises took 2 minutes each, giving a total exercise duration of 6 118 minutes per bout. In total, after three bouts, participants therefore exercised 18 minutes. The dominant 119 hand (DH) exercised on day two, the non-dominant hand (NDH) exercised on day three. On day two 120 and three, an additional test moment, T4 was added, to see if 10 minutes of rest influenced the 121 maximal hand grip strength.

122 **2.4** Descriptive outcome measures

123 Age, gender, weight and height were recorded on day one. The score on the Expanded Disability 124 Status Scale (EDSS)(Kurtzke, 1983) was obtained from the treating neurologist. To describe arm 125 function, the Motricity index (Collin and Wade, 1990) and the Box and block test (Mathiowetz et al., 126 1985) were used. Spasticity in the wrist flexors and extensors was assessed with the Modified 127 Ashworth scale.(Bohannon and Smith, 1987) The Modified Fatigue Impact Scale (MFIS) assessed the 128 perceived impact of fatigue on daily life functioning(Fisk et al., 1994). The Neurological fatigue index 129 for Multiple Sclerosis (NFI-MS)(Mills et al., 2010), was used to document the severity of fatigue in the 130 PwMS.

Furthermore, to assess motor fatigability during maximal contractions, two fatigue indices were calculated, the static fatigue index (SFI) (Surakka et al., 2004) and the dynamic fatigue index (DFI) (Schwid et al., 1999). The SFI is the ratio between the area under the strength curve and the hypothetical area, when no strength decline would occur during 30 seconds maximal grip (Severijns et al., 2015). The DFI, based on 30 intermittent maximal hand grip contractions, is the ratio between the average of the first and the last three contractions, and expressed as a percentage. The rhythm for the 30 intermittent contractions was set at 0.5 Hz, with verbal cueing (contract/release).

138 2.5 Experimental outcomes

The maximal hand grip strength in kilogram (MVC) was the maximum of two maximal hand grip contractions on T0, T1, T2, T3 and T4. A visual analogue scale (VAS) assessed the perceived fatigability on the same moments as the maximal hand grip contractions, with the question: "How fatigued is your arm at this moment?", and this on a scale from 0-10. The median frequency (MF) and the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the EMG of the wrist flexors and wrist extensors were calculated during a period of one second around the maximal peak in the EMG signal, sampled during maximal hand grip contractions.

146 **2.6** Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS JMP Pro 11.2.0 (2013, SAS Institute inc.), with a significance level at 5%. The baseline MVC, static and dynamic fatigue indices were compared between the HC and PwMS with an unpaired student t-test or a Fisher's exact test for nominal variables.

The experimental outcome measures (MVC, VAS and EMG outcomes) were analyzed with a linear mixed model. Time, referring to test moment (T0-T3) and group (HC vs. PwMS) and the group*time interaction effect were included as fixed effects. A random subject effect was added to the model. To investigate the recovery after 10 minutes of rest, the same model was used, for T3 and T4. To investigate the potential impact of muscle weakness on motor fatigability during low-intensity hand grip exercises, a subgroup analysis was done in the PwMS. A mixed model was used to analyze the possible different patterns in the decline of maximal strength over time between hands with normal strength and weak hands (group*time effect), according to age and gender matched norm values (Werle et al., 2009).

Further, a correlation analysis was performed between the SFI and the decline in strength after 18 minutes of exercise, to investigate if there is a relation between fatigability during maximal contractions and low-intensity repetitive contractions. To this end, a Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated between the delta score for the maximal hand grip strength between T0 and T3 and the SFI for both the dominant and non-dominant hand.

166

167 3. Results

19 PwMS and 19 HC completed the protocol. An overview of the descriptive outcome measures can 168 169 be found in Table 1.Six PwMS had no clinical impairment of the upper limbs. 4 PwMS did not score 170 below norms for hand grip strength, but had minor problems in one or two upper limbs, shown by a 171 motricity index (MI) below 100 (n=3) and/or the presence of mild tremor (n=2). Four PwMS had a 172 bilateral impairment of the upper limbs, with a weak hand grip (Werle et al., 2009) and a MI below 100. 173 2 PwMS scored bilaterally below norms for hand grip strength only. 2 PwMS had unilateral impairment 174 (NDH) with a weak hand grip, low MI and mild signs of spasticity. One PwMS had a unilateral 175 impairment (NDH) with moderate tremor and dysmetria.

The maximal hand grip strength was lower in the PwMS, compared to the HC, both for the dominant and the non-dominant hands (DH: p<0.01; NDH: p=0.01). The SFI was significantly higher in the PwMS compared to the HC for both hands (DH: p<0.001 and NDH: p<0.01). The DFI was only higher in PwMS in the non-dominant hand (p<0.05).

Table 1. Descriptive outcome measures			
Parameter	Healthy controls	Persons with multiple sclerosis	p-value
Age (yrs.)	56±13	56±12	NS
Gender (F/M)	13/6	13/6	na
Weight (kg)	72.7±17.4	69.8±10.2	NS
Height (cm)	169±9	168±7	NS
Disease duration (yrs.)	NA	15.2±14.3	na
Type of MS (RR/SP/PP)	NA	8/8/3	na
EDSS score (median, range)	NA	5 (1.5-7.5)	na
Handedness (R/L)	17/2	19/0	NS
MFIS (0-84)	12±9.3	42.6±16.6	<0.001
NFI-MS summary scale(0-30)	3.4±3.2	10.5±2.1	<0.001
Motricity index DH (0-100)	99±2	94±10	0.03
Motricity index NDH(0-100)	98±4	89±12	0.0066
Modified Ashworth Score (median summary score, range)	NA	0 , 0-1	na
Box and block test DH (# blocks)	65±9	49±11	<0.001
Box and block test NDH (# blocks)	65±8	47±14	<0.001
Maximal hand grip strength (Kg) DH	35±10.1	25.7±9.8	0.0062
Maximal hand grip strength (Kg) NDH	32.5±10.2	22.9±9.4	0.0094
Static fatigue index (%) DH	29.5±10.0	41.6±10.1	0.0007
Static fatigue index (%) NDH	30.7±10.9	41.7±9.4	0.002
Dynamic fatigue index (%) DH	22.2±9.9	26.3±11.4	NS
Dynamic fatigue index (%) NDH	24.8±9.2	33.3±10.8	0.0167

NS: Not significant; na: not applicable; RR: relapsing remitting MS; SP: secondary progressive MS, PP: primary progressive MS; DH: dominant hand; NDH: non-dominant hand

180

181 3.1 Fatigability due to low-intensity exercises in the dominant hand

The strength at which the PwMS exercised was 6.42±2.4 kg. For the healthy controls, this was 8.8±2.6
 kg. The experimental outcomes are shown in Table 2 and are represented schematically in Figure 1,

184 panel A and B.

PwMS and healthy controls.						
		то	T1	T2	Т3	T4
MVC dominant hand (Kg)	HC	33.9±8.8	27.9±6.9	27.1±8.1	27.1±7.8	29.4±7.9
	MS	26.0±9.6	23.4±9.6	21.4±10.0	21.6±9.9	23.1±9.6
%MVC of the dominant hand (in % of the initial MVC)	HC	96.4±9.9	79.2±11.6	76.2±10.5	77.5±15.9	83.4±7.9
	MS	100.5±13.3	89.7±11.9	81.6±17.9	81.6±15.0	87.8±12.7
VAS dominant hand	HC	1.6±1.8	2.3±2.0	3.3±2.2	3.5±2.3	3.0±2.2
	MS	1.8±1.6	4.2±2.5	4.6±2.9	6.1±3	4.9±2.5
MVC non-dominant hand (Kg)	HC	30.6±10.5	29±10.6	26.5±9.5	25.6±9.8	27.9±9.9
	MS	22.5±9.3	19.4±7.9	18.6±8.5	18.1±8.1	21.2±10.1
%MVC of the non- dominant hand (in	HC	93.6±9.2	88.4±19.6	80.2±11.9	77.3±12.8	84.9±9.9
% of the initial MVC)	MS	96.4±15.7	82.3±18.9	80.3±23.9	77.3±16.4	87.9±20.1
VAS non-dominant hand	HC	0.8±1.3	1.9±1.8	2.8±2.2	3.5±2.6	2.2±1.8
	MS	1.4±1.3	3.1±2.1	3.3±2.2	4.4±2.4	3.9±2.4

Table 2. Strength and perceived fatigability in the upper limb in means and standard deviations for PwMS and healthy controls

VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; MVC: maximal hand grip strength; %MVC is the relative strength, compared to the baseline maximal strength, assessed on day 1; T0-4: test moments, where T1-3 are assessed after 6 minutes of exercise and T4 is assessed after 10 minutes of rest.

186

187 After 18 minutes of hand grip exercises, both groups showed a decline in maximal hand grip strength, 188 with a significant group (p<0.05), time (<0.0001) and group*time (p<0.05) interaction effect. The post 189 hoc tests showed that there was a difference between T0, and T1-2-3 for both PwMS and healthy 190 controls. There was no significant difference between the other time moments, which indicates that the 191 strength decline was mainly situated in the first bout of exercises (T0 vs. T1) and remained stable 192 afterwards. The absolute strength decline was larger in the control group, compared to the PwMS. Both groups showed a similar recovery pattern, since there was no significant group*time interaction 193 194 effect. The hand grip strength recovered incompletely after 10 minutes of rest. The percentage of 195 strength after 10 minutes of rest (T4) compared to baseline (T0) is 86.9±7.8% for the healthy control 196 group and 89.3 ± 16.2% for the PWMS. The subjective feelings of fatigue increased after exercising 197 (p<0.0001) in both groups. The EMG of the forearm extensors and flexors during the maximal 198 contractions, showed a decline in RMS value over time (both: p=<0.0001), without any group or 199 interaction effect. The median frequency of the extensors and flexors showed an increase after 200 exercising, with p<0.0001. As shown by the post hoc tests, this increase was mainly situated in the 201 first 6 minutes, since T0 was significantly different from the consequent test moments in both groups 202 (T0 vs T1, 2 and 3) and for both muscles. Average values for all EMG parameters are shown in Table 203 3.

Although there was a baseline strength difference between weak hands and hands with normal strength in the PwMS (Werle et al., 2009), the subgroups did not respond differently after exercising with their dominant hands, shown by a non-significant group*time interaction effect (p=0.8422). As

207	such, PwMS with weak dominant hands did not show a different pattern in the decline of the MVC over
208	time.

209

210 Table 3. EMG parameters from wrist extensors and flexors in means and standard deviations for persons with MS (PwMS) and healthy controls (HC). 211 Root Mean Square (Microvolts) 212 213 wrist extensors Т0 T2 Т3 Τ4 T1 214 HC DH 129.11±47.83 113.2±46.90 103.6±41.71 104.24±40.71 118.85±41.89 PwMS DH 88.04±61.74 215 118.13±84.97 85.11±57.86 84.23+51.35 89.72±76.81 80.86±78.11 HC NDH 101.57±88.41 86.11±101.19 79.58±82.85 94.76±111.02 **PwMS** 216 NDH 99.60±53.44 86.39±43.93 76.92±32.27 91.74±47.16 83.02±44.91 wrist flexors 217 T0 T1 T2 ΤЗ Τ4 218 HC DH 123.93±68.68 103.88±61.77 96.18±50.91 101.54±53.20 117.19±64.05 PwMS DH 91.24±59.79 77.22±44.64 78.24±47.67 77.56±50.21 81.52±51.14 219 HC NDH 55.91±38.80 50.55±40.19 51.69±44.52 55.57±53.64 53.30±43.44 **PwMS** 220 NDH 90.14±57.06 79.58±38.45 72.80±36.45 75.99±39.71 80.49±38.86 Median Frequency (Hz) 221 wrist extensors 222 Т0 ТЗ Т4 T1 T2 HC DH 94.63±13.59 110.75±17.30 107.88±21.21 107.12±17.67 102.30 ± 20.87 223 109.78±17.11 PwMS DH 113.52±19.61 114.67±16.69 116.90±23.46 113.12±22.62 HC NDH 92.07±27.51 102.62±22.72 102.90±24.99 100.34±25.04 99.31±21.51 224 **PwMS** NDH 89.39±20.76 102.32±20.25 102.82±25.37 100.49 ± 22.20 95.14±18.28 225 wrist flexors ТЗ Т4 T0 T1 T2 226 HC DH 72.54±14.51 81.54±16.32 81.81±17.36 82.98±18.26 81.80±18.80 227 PwMS DH 83.20±24.87 87.38±25.16 91.86±21.95 92.73±26.42 87.42±23.00 HC NDH 86.13±24.25 90.11±26.56 84.83±22.47 89.77±20.73 81.79±19.72 228 PwMS NDH 70.74±17.82 77.92±15.25 80.27±22.90 77.96±13.15 76.87±15.71 DH: dominant hand; NDH: non-dominant hand; T0-4: test moments, where T1-3 is assessed after 6 minutes of

229

DH: dominant hand; NDH: non-dominant hand; T0-4: test mo exercise and T4 is assessed after 10 minutes of rest.

230

231 3.2 Fatigability due to low-intensity exercises in the non-dominant hand

232 The maximal strength at which the PwMS exercised was 5.8±2.3 kg. For the healthy controls, this was 233 8.2±2.5 kg. Average values for strength and perceived fatigability can be found in table 2 and are 234 schematically shown in Figure 1, panel C and D. After 18 minutes of exercises, there was a similar 235 significant decline in both groups (time effect, p<0.0001). There was a significant recovery of hand grip 236 strength in both groups after rest (time effect, p<0.05). The hand grip strength of the healthy controls 237 recovered to 91.06±11.16%, of the PwMS to 91.7±17.8 % of the initial hand grip strength (T0). The 238 subjective feelings of fatigue in the arm increased over time (p<0.001), where PwMS perceived more 239 fatigue over time (group*time interaction: p<0.01). After rest, the feelings of fatigue recovered, with the 240 same pattern in healthy controls and PwMS. The EMG amplitude (RMS) of the extensors decreased

over time (p<0.0001), and the MDF of the extensors increased over time (p<0.0001). For the flexors,
 there was no significant time, nor group effect.

Also for the non-dominant hands, a subgroup analysis was performed, to analyze the differences in strength decline over time between weak hands and hands without muscle weakness (Werle et al., 2009). In accordance with the dominant hands, no significant interaction effect was found (group*time effect: p=0.0873), thus weak hands did not show more decline in strength after exercising at 25% of the MVC.

248



249

Figure 1. The strength and perceived fatigability of the dominant and non-dominant hand, shown as means and standard errors. The dashed lines represent a rest period of 10 minutes. Panel A and B show that the decline in strength and increase in perceived fatigability shows the same patterns in PwMS and healthy controls for the dominant hand. Panel C and D show that, although the decline in strength has the same pattern in PwMS and healthy controls, the PwMS experience more perceived fatigability in the non-dominant hand. Kg: kilogram; T0-T4: test 0 to 4.

256

3.3 Correlations between fatigue indices, based on maximal contractions and the strength decline after
 low-intensity exercises.

There was no significant correlation between the fatigue indices, based on sustained maximal or intermittent contractions (SFI and DFI) and the delta scores of the strength decline after low-intensity exercises, in healthy controls and for the dominant hand of the PwMS. In the non-dominant hand of the PwMS, there was a significant positive relation between the SFI and the decline in strength after exercising, where a higher SFI correlated with less strength loss during low-intensity exercises (r=0.53, p<0.05).

265

266 4. Discussion

267 In the present study, we investigated fatigability during 18 minutes of hand grip exercises at maximal 268 25% of the MVC in PwMS and we compared this with HC. In contrast to what was hypothesized, 269 based on previous results during maximal sustained exercises, PwMS did not show more motor 270 fatigability during low-intensity repetitive exercises, based on the decline in maximal strength and EMG 271 parameters. We only found a group difference for the perceived fatigability in the non-dominant hand. 272 PwMS were, however, different from HC, for fatigability during maximal sustained contractions (SFI). PwMS with a wide range of overall disability levels were included and about half of our patients 273 274 showed reduced hand grip strength in either one or both arms compared to normative data. This 275 confirms the previously reported high prevalence in hand impairment in PwMS in the MS population 276 (Bertoni et al., 2015) where even in PwMS without obvious clinical involvement, hand grip strength is 277 lower than in healthy controls (Iriarte and de Castro, 1998).

278 To determine motor fatigability, we compared maximal contractions, before and after consecutive 279 exercise bouts of six minutes. This approach has been used before in healthy subjects (Reuter et al., 280 2011). The significant, although small, strength decline in both healthy controls and PwMS indicates 281 that a short period of low-intensity hand grip exercises can elicit fatigability in both the dominant and 282 non-dominant hand. PwMS did not show more loss of strength during low-intensity exercises, which is 283 in accordance with Thickbroom et al., who reported no difference in force loss between healthy 284 controls and PwMS after repetitive contractions of the first dorsal interosseous muscle at 40% of the 285 MVC for 20 minutes (Thickbroom et al., 2006). For the dominant hand, we even found that the decline 286 in maximal muscle strength in PwMS was significantly smaller than in healthy controls. Since healthy 287 controls exercised with higher absolute hand grip strength, given their higher baseline strength, they 288 might have had more blood flow occlusion in the muscles of the forearm and therefore more peripheral 289 muscle fatigue. This was already stated as an explanation for the difference in endurance between 290 women and men when both groups exercise at the same relative intensity, but men exercise at a 291 greater absolute force(Gonzales and Scheuermann, 2007). The different strength decline between 292 groups disappeared, however, when the absolute values in kilograms were converted to percentages 293 relative to the first MVC. The decrease in maximal strength was mainly situated in the first minutes, 294 where after the strength levelled off, which might be explained by the fact that there is a plateau phase 295 after certain duration of exercises, as was already shown in repetitive maximal isokinetic exercises of 296 the knee (Larsson et al., 2003). The rate of recovery of maximal muscle strength after 10 minutes of 297 rest was the same in HC and PwMS. This confirms previous results after maximal hand grip exercises 298 (Ickmans et al., 2014; Iriarte and de Castro, 1998), showing that recovery capacity of muscle strength 299 in PwMS is not different from HC.

All participants experienced an increased fatigability in the arm during the low-intensity exercises. Previously, PwMS scored the subjective rate of effort higher than controls during a repetitive finger abduction task (Thickbroom et al., 2006). This was only confirmed in the non-dominant hand, where the perceived fatigability increased more in PwMS compared to HC. This might be due to disuse of the non-dominant hand in PwMS (Lamers et al., 2013), which could alter the muscle fiber composition (Kent-Braun et al., 1997; Wens et al., 2014) and possibly the neural correlates of this hand, or by the
 fact that the non-dominant hand is the most affected hand in several PwMS.

307 EMG outcomes were included to detect if the strength decline is caused by muscle fatigue. The RMS, 308 as a measure for the amplitude and the median frequency are most often reported to document 309 muscle fatigue(De Luca, 1984). In the present study, EMG was sampled during the maximal hand grip 310 contractions, performed in between the exercises. Instead of finding the typical pattern of a decrease 311 in median frequency over time, EMG median frequency of the wrist flexors and extensors increased 312 from the first to the second measurement, where after no changes are seen. These unexpected 313 findings might be explained by the fact that muscle warm-up can alter the frequency of the surface 314 EMG, as already shown during cycling and running exercises, where an initial increase is seen when 315 the activity is not preceded by other exercises (Stewart et al., 2003). To eliminate this, future research 316 with EMG measures could include a warm-up period. Alternatively, it might be that type 1 fibers, that 317 are recruited during low-intensity exercises and which twitch at a lower frequency, are fatigued after 6 318 minutes, leading to more recruitment of type 2 fibers during the maximal contractions, twitching at 319 higher frequencies during the following maximal contractions (Goswami et al., 2001). These findings 320 add to the debate on the use of the mean frequency during low-intensity dynamic exercises, where 321 previous reports have concluded that this parameter can increase, decrease or stay stable throughout 322 dynamic exercises (Gerdle et al., 2000). The question remains whether there would have been a 323 decrease when participants exercised more than 18 minutes or when exercises would have been 324 performed at higher workload.

325 PwMS had, however, more fatigability when assessed with fatigue indices, based on sustained 326 maximal contractions, which confirms previous results (Schwid et al., 1999; Severijns et al., 2015). 327 The group differences during maximal thus high-intensity contractions, but not after low-intensity 328 exercises, might be explained by the fact that during maximal fatiguing contractions, different central 329 mechanisms are used in PwMS compared to healthy controls(White et al., 2009). It might be that 330 PwMS are able to compensate for the reduced neural reserve due to white matter damage during low-331 intensity exercises, but not during high intensity exercises. Further, it could be related to the fact that 332 other muscle fibers are used. During low-intensity exercises, most likely Type I fibers are recruited, 333 which are fatigue resistant. During maximal contractions, Type II fibers are recruited, with less 334 resistance to fatigue. This confirms that fatigability is task dependent (Enoka, 1995) and that the 335 detection of increased levels of fatigability in PwMS is probably also intensity dependent. This task 336 specificity is also shown by the fact that there were no significant correlations between the fatigue 337 indices (based on maximal contractions) and the delta scores of the MVC after exercising at low 338 intensity.

339 In conclusion, we did not find more strength loss during low-intensity repetitive exercises of the hand in 340 PwMS, compared to HC. The increase in rating of perceived fatigability was not different between 341 groups for the dominant hand, but it was for the non-dominant hand, which might be caused by less 342 neural reserve for the non-dominant side in PwMS. During 18 minutes of exercise, PwMS can keep up 343 performance as good as healthy controls; therefore, prolonged training with low-intensity exercises is not expected to cause severe fatigability. This is important when, for example, using task-oriented 344 345 training (Bonzano et al., 2014) by repeating several sets of light weight repetitions. However, further 346 research is necessary to determine the most optimal exercise intensity to avoid excessive fatigability 347 but improve functionality and possibly improve neural plasticity.

348 Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the participants in this study and the master students (Jasper Grevendonck, Eline Swinnen and Falke Bogaerts) for their kind assistance with the data collection. Further, the authors thank Veronik Truyens and Prof. Van Wijmeersch for facilitating patient recruitment in the MS and Rehabilitation Centre Overpelt. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

355 References

356

- 357 Bertoni, R., Lamers, I., Chen, C.C., Feys, P., Cattaneo, D., 2015. Unilateral and bilateral upper limb dysfunction 358 at body functions, activity and participation levels in people with multiple sclerosis. Mult. Scler. 21(12), 1566-
- 359 1574.
- 360 Bohannon, R.W., Smith, M.B., 1987. Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity.
- 361 Phys. Ther. 67(2), 206-207.
- 362 Bonzano, L., Tacchino, A., Brichetto, G., Roccatagliata, L., Dessypris, A., Feraco, P., Lopes De Carvalho, M.L.,

363 Battaglia, M.A., Mancardi, G.L., Bove, M., 2014. Upper limb motor rehabilitation impacts white matter microstructure in multiple sclerosis. Neuroimage 90, 107-116. 364

- 365 Chen, C.C., Kasven, N., Karpatkin, H.I., Sylvester, A., 2007. Hand strength and perceived manual ability among 366 patients with multiple sclerosis. Arch.Phys.Med.Rehabil. 88(6), 794-797.
- 367 Collin, C., Wade, D., 1990. Assessing motor impairment after stroke: a pilot reliability study.
- 368 J.Neurol.Neurosurg.Psychiatry 53(7), 576-579.
- 369 Cram, J.R., Kasman, G.S., Holtz, J., 1998. Introduction to surface electromyography. Aspen Publishers,
- 370 Gaithersburg, Md.
- 371 De Luca, C.J., 1984. Myoelectrical manifestations of localized muscular fatigue in humans. Crit. Rev. Biomed. 372 Eng. 11(4), 251-279.
- 373 Djaldetti, R., Ziv, I., Achiron, A., Melamed, E., 1996. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis compared with chronic fatigue 374 syndrome: A quantitative assessment. Neurology 46(3), 632-635.
- 375 Dobkin, B.H., 2008. Fatigue versus activity-dependent fatigability in patients with central or peripheral motor 376 impairments. Neurorehabil. Neural Repair 22(2), 105-110.
- 377 378 Enoka, R.M., 1995. Mechanisms of muscle fatigue: Central factors and task dependency. J
- Electromyogr.Kinesiol. 5(3), 141-149.
- 379 Enoka, R.M., Duchateau, J., 2016. Translating Fatigue to Human Performance. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.
- 380 Fernandez-Munoz, J.J., Moron-Verdasco, A., Cigaran-Mendez, M., Munoz-Hellin, E., Perez-de-Heredia-Torres,
- 381 M., Fernandez-de-Las-Penas, C., 2015. Disability, quality of life, personality, cognitive and psychological
- 382 variables associated with fatigue in patients with multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol. Scand. 132(2), 118-124. 383 Fess, E., 1982. The effects of Jaymar dynamometer handle position and test protocol on normal grip strength.
- 384 J. Hand Surg. Am. 7(3), 308-309.
- 385 Fisk, J.D., Pontefract, A., Ritvo, P.G., Archibald, C.J., Murray, T.J., 1994. The impact of fatigue on patients with 386 multiple sclerosis. Can.J.Neurol.Sci. 21(1), 9-14.
- 387 Gerdle, B., Larsson, B., Karlsson, S., 2000. Criterion validation of surface EMG variables as fatigue indicators 388 using peak torque: a study of repetitive maximum isokinetic knee extensions. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 10(4), 389 225-232.
- 390 Gonzales, J.U., Scheuermann, B.W., 2007. Absence of gender differences in the fatigability of the forearm 391 muscles during intermittent isometric handgrip exercise. J. Sports Sci. Med. 6(1), 98-105.
- 392 Goswami, A., Sadhukhan, A.K., Gupta, S., 2001. EMG characteristics and fibre composition: study on rectus 393 femoris of sprinters and long distance runners. Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 45(4), 497-501.
- 394 Hagg, G.M., Milerad, E., 1997. Forearm extensor and flexor muscle exertion during simulated gripping work --395 an electromyographic study. Clin. Biomech. (Bristol, Avon) 12(1), 39-43.
- Ickmans, K., Simoens, F., Nijs, J., Kos, D., Cras, P., Willekens, B., Meeus, M., 2014. Recovery of peripheral 396 397 muscle function from fatiguing exercise and daily physical activity level in patients with multiple sclerosis: a 398 case-control study. Clinical neurology and neurosurgery 122, 97-105.
- 399 Iriarte, J., de Castro, P., 1998. Correlation between symptom fatigue and muscular fatigue in multiple sclerosis. 400 European journal of neurology : the official journal of the European Federation of Neurological Societies 5(6), 401 579-585.
- 402 Iyengar, V., Santos, M.J., Ko, M., Aruin, A.S., 2009. Grip force control in individuals with multiple sclerosis. 403 Neurorehabil.Neural Repair. 23(8), 855-861.
- 404 Kent-Braun, J.A., Ng, A.V., Castro, M., Weiner, M.W., Gelinas, D., Dudley, G.A., Miller, R.G., 1997. Strength,
- 405 skeletal muscle composition, and enzyme activity in multiple sclerosis. J Appl Physiol (1985) 83(6), 1998-2004.
- 406 Kister, I., Bacon, T.E., Chamot, E., Salter, A.R., Cutter, G.R., Kalina, J.T., Herbert, J., 2013. Natural history of 407 multiple sclerosis symptoms. Int J MS Care 15(3), 146-158.
- 408 Kluger, B.M., Krupp, L.B., Enoka, R.M., 2013. Fatigue and fatigability in neurologic illnesses: proposal for a 409 unified taxonomy. Neurology 80(4), 409-416.
- 410 Kurtzke, J.F., 1983. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale 411 (EDSS). Neurology. 33(11), 1444-1452.

- 412 Lamers, I., Kerkhofs, L., Raats, J., Kos, D., Van Wijmeersch, B., Feys, P., 2013. Perceived and actual arm
- 413 performance in multiple sclerosis: relationship with clinical tests according to hand dominance. Mult. Scler. 414 19(10), 1341-1348.
- Larsson, B., Karlsson, S., Eriksson, M., Gerdle, B., 2003. Test-retest reliability of EMG and peak torque during repetitive maximum concentric knee extensions. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 13(3), 281-287.
- 417 Mathiowetz, V., Volland, G., Kashman, N., Weber, K., 1985. Adult norms for the Box and Block Test of manual 418 dexterity. Am. J. Occup. Ther. 39(6), 386-391.
- 419 Mills, R.J., Young, C.A., Pallant, J.F., Tennant, A., 2010. Development of a patient reported outcome scale for
- fatigue in multiple sclerosis: The Neurological Fatigue Index (NFI-MS). Health Qual Life Outcomes 8, 22-31.
 Multiple Sclerosis Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines, 1998. Fatigue and Multiple Sclerosis: evidence-based
- 421 Multiple Scierosis Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines, 1998. Faligue and Multiple Scierosis: evidence-based
 422 management strategies for fatigue in Multiple Scierosis. Paralyzed Veterans of America.
- 423 Reuter, S.E., Massy-Westropp, N., Evans, A.M., 2011. Reliability and validity of indices of hand-grip strength 424 and endurance. Aust.Occup.Ther.J. 58(2), 82-87.
- Schwid, S.R., Thornton, C.A., Pandya, S., Manzur, K.L., Sanjak, M., Petrie, M.D., McDermott, M.P., Goodman,
 A.D., 1999. Quantitative assessment of motor fatigue and strength in MS. Neurology 53(4), 743-750.
- 427 Severijns, D., Lamers, I., Kerkhofs, L., Feys, P., 2015. Hand grip fatigability in persons with multiple sclerosis
- according to hand dominance and disease progression. Journal of rehabilitation medicine 47(2), 154-160.
 Stewart, D., Macaluso, A., De Vito, G., 2003. The effect of an active warm-up on surface EMG and muscle
 performance in healthy humans. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 20(6), 500–512.
- 430 performance in healthy humans. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 89(6), 509-513.
- Surakka, J., Romberg, A., Ruutiainen, J., Virtanen, A., Aunola, S., Maentaka, K., 2004. Assessment of muscle
 strength and motor fatigue with a knee dynamometer in subjects with multiple sclerosis: a new fatigue index.
 Clinical rehabilitation 18(6), 652-659.
- 434 Thickbroom, G.W., Sacco, P., Faulkner, D.L., Kermode, A.G., Mastaglia, F.L., 2008. Enhanced corticomotor
- excitability with dynamic fatiguing exercise of the lower limb in multiple sclerosis. Journal of neurology 255(7),1001-1005.
- 437 Thickbroom, G.W., Sacco, P., Kermode, A.G., Archer, S.A., Byrnes, M.L., Guilfoyle, A., Mastaglia, F.L., 2006.
- 438 Central motor drive and perception of effort during fatigue in multiple sclerosis. Journal of neurology 253(8),
 439 1048-1053.
- Trampisch, U.S., Franke, J., Jedamzik, N., Hinrichs, T., Platen, P., 2012. Optimal Jamar dynamometer handle
 position to assess maximal isometric hand grip strength in epidemiological studies. J.Hand Surg.Am. 37(11),
 2368-2373.
- 443 Wens, I., Dalgas, U., Vandenabeele, F., Krekels, M., Grevendonk, L., Eijnde, B.O., 2014. Multiple sclerosis
- 444 affects skeletal muscle characteristics. PLoS One 9(9), e108158.
- Werle, S., Goldhahn, J., Drerup, S., Simmen, B.R., Sprott, H., Herren, D.B., 2009. Age- and gender-specific
 normative data of grip and pinch strength in a healthy adult Swiss population. J.Hand Surg.Eur.Vol. 34(1), 76-
- 446 normative data or grip and pinch strength in a nealthy adult Swiss population. J.Hand Surg.Eur.Vol. 34(1), 76-447 84.
- 448 White, A.T., Lee, J.N., Light, A.R., Light, K.C., 2009. Brain activation in multiple sclerosis: a BOLD fMRI study of 449 the effects of fatiguing hand exercise. Multiple Sclerosis 15(5), 580-586.