Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Absorption Tails of Donor:C-60 Blends Provide Insight into Thermally Activated Charge-Transfer Processes and Polaron Relaxation Peer-reviewed author version

VANDEWAL, Koen; Benduhn, Johannes; Schellhammer, Karl Sebastian; VANGERVEN, Tim; Rueckert, Janna E.; PIERSIMONI, Fortunato; Scholz, Reinhard; Zeika, Olaf; Fan, Yeli; Barlow, Stephen; Neher, Dieter; Marder, Seth R.; MANCA, Jean; SPOLTORE, Donato; Cuniberti, Gianaurelio & Ortmann, Frank (2017) Absorption Tails of Donor:C-60 Blends Provide Insight into Thermally Activated Charge-Transfer Processes and Polaron Relaxation. In: JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, 139(4), p. 1699-1704.

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b12857 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/23755

Absorption Tails of Donor:C₆₀ Blends Provide Insight into Thermally Activated Charge-Transfer Processes and Polaron Relaxation

Koen Vandewal^{1,*}, Johannes Benduhn¹, K. Sebastian Schellhammer^{2,3}, Tim Vangerven⁴, Janna. E. Rückert^{2,3}, Fortunato Piersimoni⁵, Reinhard Scholz¹, Olaf Zeika¹, Yeli Fan^{6,†}, Stephen Barlow⁶, Dieter Neher⁵, Seth R. Marder⁶, Jean V. Manca⁷, Donato Spoltore¹, Gianaurelio Cuniberti^{2,3}, and Frank Ortmann^{2,3*}

¹Dresden Integrated Center for Applied Physics and Photonic Materials (IAPP) and Institute for Applied Physics, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062, Dresden, Germany

²Institute for Materials Science, Max Bergmann Center of Biomaterials and Dresden Center for Computational Materials Science, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

³Center for Advancing Electronics (cfaed), Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

⁴Material Physics Division, Institute for Materials Research (IMO-IMOMEC), Hasselt University, Universitaire Campus – Wetenschapspark 1, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

⁵Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

⁶Center for Organic Photonics and Electronics and School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0400, United States

7X-LaB, Hasselt University, Universitaire Campus, Agoralaan 1, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

KEYWORDS: organic electronics, relaxation energy, charge-transfer absorption

ABSTRACT: In disordered organic semiconductors, the transfer of a rather localized charge carrier from one site to another triggers a deformation of the molecular structure, quantified by the intramolecular relaxation energy. A similar structural relaxation occurs upon population of intermolecular charge-transfer (CT) states formed at organic electron donor (D)-acceptor (A) interfaces. Weak CT absorption bands for D-A complexes occur at photon energies below the optical gaps of both the donors and the C_{60} acceptor, as a result of optical transitions from the neutral ground state to the ionic CT state. In this work, we show that temperature-activated intramolecular vibrations of the ground state play a major role in determining the line-shape of such CT absorption bands. This allows us to extract values for the relaxation energy, related to the geometry change from neutral to ionic CT complexes. Experimental values for the relaxation energies of 20 donor: C_{60} CT complexes correlate with values calculated within density functional theory. These results provide an experimental method for determining the polaron relaxation energy in solid-state organic D-A blends and show the importance of a reduced relaxation energy for thermally activated CT processes.

INTRODUCTION

Electron-transfer events are ubiquitous in organic optoelectronic devices such as organic light-emitting diodes, solar cells, and photodetectors. A proper description of these electron-transfer processes has to take into account the soft nature of solid organic semiconductors and the relatively weak intermolecular electronic coupling, which, in turn, generally results in localization of charge carriers, accompanied by a deformation of the molecular structure. The relaxation energy (Λ) is an important parameter because it quantifies these effects in terms of resulting energy gain from the geometry change upon charging of the molecular entity. For instance, for intermolecular electron transfer, the sum of the Λ values of

the reactants defines the reorganization energy of the electron-transfer reaction. Values for Λ are often obtained using simulations based on density functional theory for single chromophores.^{1,2} Experimental validation of Λ values, calculated as such, for thin films of neat or blended organic semiconductors is however rare, but necessary to verify and eventually to improve theoretical models.³

Important fundamental processes in organic electronic devices depend on Λ . For example, an accurate prediction of the charge-carrier mobility and its thermal activation in amorphous organic thin films depends crucially on Λ , which determines the barrier that charge carriers have to overcome to move to neighboring molecular sites, as described by the Marcus theory and its extensions.^{4,5} Furthermore, structural relaxation at electron donor (D) – electron acceptor (A) interfaces, affects energies of electronic levels and, thus, the photo-induced electron-transfer process, important for the generation of free charges in organic solar cells and photodetectors. In particular, for organic photovoltaic devices with a low driving force for the formation of a charge-transfer (CT) state from a localized D or A state, a high Λ associated with this transfer will result in a decreased free-carrier generation rate.^{6,7}

Photo-generation and recombination of charge carriers at D-A interfaces typically involve CT states.⁸ These intermolecular electronic states lead to additional weak absorption and emission in the spectral region below the optical gaps of both the D and A. Optical excitation spectra of these states are broad, and often featureless.⁸ In analogy to amorphous inorganic semiconductors, the absorption tail of the CT absorption band is sometimes taken as a quantitative measurement

of the corresponding inhomogeneous broadening by the energetic distribution of localized states of the donor material.⁹⁻ ¹¹ However, it is unclear if this approach is valid, since additional absorption tail broadening arises from thermal population of higher energy vibrational levels of the ground-state.¹² At room temperature, the latter effect might dominate.

In this work, we investigate the absorption tails of CT states for a large set of 20 different electron-donating molecules diluted at a molar ratio of 6% in the electron acceptor C_{60} . Within this series, the linewidth of CT absorption varies over a wide range and depends strongly on the donor material used. We show that thermally activated molecular movement is the main effect responsible for the variation in the steepness of the CT absorption tail. Importantly, this effect is quantified by the relaxation energy $\, \varPi_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp} \,$ of the CT state. Experimental values for $\Lambda_{\mathrm{DA}}^{\mathrm{exp}}$ determined from slope fitting correlate with the polaron relaxation energy $arLambda_{
m D}$ of the donor molecule, calculated by using density functional theory. However a reduced relaxation energy $\Lambda'_{\rm D}$, in which high-frequency vibrational modes are omitted, has a closer physical correspondence and fits better to the measured quantity for low- Λ compounds in which there is strong coupling of the CT excitation to a highfrequency mode. Thus, our work introduces a new experimental method to estimate the reduced relaxation energy of the donor molecules in solid-state organic devices.



Figure 1. Chemical structures of the molecules used in this study. Their calculated relaxation energies $\Lambda_{\rm D}$ range from 62 meV to 451 meV and are written within brackets.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We investigate 20 hole-transporting molecular materials, whose chemical structures are given in Figure 1. These materials are co-evaporated in a low (6 mol%) molar ratio with C_{60} , forming 50 nm films. The use of such a low donor content minimize the effects of donor aggregation, simplifying the analysis and theoretical calculations.

All materials are electron donors with a relatively high optical gap, forming CT states at energies below the optical gap of C_{60} (< 1.8 eV). In order to spectrally resolve CT absorption bands, we perform ultra-sensitive measurements of the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum of photovoltaic diodes comprising the diluted donor: C_{60} blends (see method section for more experimental details). Spectra for exemplary donor: C_{60} combination are shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. Normalized EQE spectra of exemplary donor: C_{60} blends. Spectra are normalized in the region of C_{60} absorption around 2.5 eV. CT absorption occurs at photon energies below 1.75 eV, the optical gap of C_{60} . Gaussian fits to the CT absorption tails, according to equation (1), are plotted as dotted lines. C_{60} absorption is indicated as dashed line.

The CT absorption tails for all investigated donor: C_{60} blends have a Gaussian line shape. The spectral position and width of the CT absorption band depend strongly on the donor material used. For example, the CT state of rubrene: C_{60} has a rather steep onset, while the tail of m-MTDATA: C_{60} is much more shallow.

For the donors TAPC, 6T, BDTA-BT and BDTA-DTP) we have investigated the influence of the donor:C60 mixing ratio. We observe only minor effects on the lineshape of the CT absorption bands (see discussion in the SI and Figs. S1 and S2). For 6T, which is known to easily aggregate, we observe a redshift of the CT band upon increasing donor concentrations above 20% (Fig. S1). However, for concentrations below 20%, only minor concentration effects on the lineshape are observed. Therefore, one can be confident that the use of 6 mol% of donor ensures the least influence of aggregation on the relaxation energy.

Furthermore, temperature-dependent measurements of the tail of TAPC:C₆₀ reveal that the width of the CT band decreases when lowering the temperature (see analysis in Fig. S₃ in the SI). This implies that, at room temperature, optical transitions from the ground state to the CT state are assisted by thermally activated vibrations above the ground-state energy, thus determining the low-energy part of the CT absorption band. In this case, the CT absorption tails obey the following relation^{12,13}

$$EQE(E) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\left(E - E_{\rm CT} + \Lambda_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp}\right)^2}{4\Lambda_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp}k_BT}\right)$$
(1)

where $E_{\rm CT}$ is the difference between the ground-state and CT-state energies in their respective equilibrium geometries and $\Lambda_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp}$ is the relaxation energy related to the CT transition. The latter comprises both the relaxation of C₆₀ (energy $\Lambda_{\rm A}$) and the relaxation of the donor (energy $\Lambda_{\rm D}$), while the simplifying assumption of equal Λ values for charged and neutral states enters the derivation of Eq. (1). Potentially, there may be additional contribution from relaxation of the surrounding environment upon the introduction of a CT dipole field into the system. As donor molecules are diluted, the environment is mostly C₆₀ and, therefore, rather invariant for all donor:C₆₀ samples studied.

Fitting the room temperature spectra with equation (1) yields experimental values Λ_{DA}^{exp} for all donor: C_{6o} combinations, spanning a large range from 80 meV for rubrene to 475 meV for BDTA-BT (tabulated values in SI). For comparison, we calculate relaxation energies for holes on single donor molecules Λ_D^{calc} , using density functional theory at the B3LYP/6-311G**-level of theory based on neutral and charged molecular geometries (see methods section for details).^{1,2} Values Λ_D^{calc} for each molecule can be found in figure 1.



Figure 3. Comparison between experimentally obtained relaxation energy of the donor- C_{60} CT state Λ_{DA}^{exp} and the calculated relaxation energy of the donor Λ_{D}^{calc} . The dashed line represents equation (2) with Δ = 95 meV. Experimental error bars indicate uncertainty of the Gaussian fit procedure (see SI for details).

A central result of our study is contained in figure 3, which shows a clear correlation between experimentally obtained $\Lambda_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp}$ and calculated $\Lambda_{\rm D}^{\rm calc}$ values. This result suggests that the large variation in width of the CT absorption band, over the range of investigated donor molecules, is primarily determined by differences in their internal relaxation energy Λ_D .

Therefore, the calculated relaxation energy relates to the experimentally determined relaxation energy according to

$$\Lambda_{\rm D}^{\rm calc} = \Lambda_{\rm DA}^{\rm exp} - \Delta \,. \tag{2}$$

To further quantify this result we determine the offset between Λ_{DA}^{exp} and Λ_{D}^{calc} in figure 3 by a linear fit, and obtain $\Delta =$ 95 meV, which allows establishing an empirical relationship among all studied donor materials. Even without detailed knowledge about the origin of Δ , this finding thus allows for a quite accurate prediction of the linewidth of CT absorption by simple calculations of Λ_{D}^{calc} based on structural relaxation. The quality of the fit with equation (2) is given by a root-meansquare deviation of 54 meV.

If a higher accuracy is desired, a better understanding of the scattering of the results and of the origin of the offset is required. Moreover, by virtue of the analogy of thermally activated CT absorption in the tails and thermally activated electronic transport, deeper analysis of the results will lead to conclusions concerning the use of the relaxation energy for carrier-transport simulations. Both issues are addressed below.

We first note that the origin of Δ is partly related to the relaxation energy of $C_{6\sigma}$ (between $C_{6\sigma}$ anion geometry and its neutral equilibrium geometry) but may also contain contributions of the relaxation energy of the dielectric environment or static disorder. All these contributions sum up to Δ , while each one is positive and expected to be significantly larger than zero. Hence, one expects $\Delta \geq \Lambda_A = 109 \text{ meV}.^{16}$ However, as is clear from Figure 3, there are a few materials for which Δ is less than 50 meV or even negative, which at first sight seems unphysical. These materials comprise stiff, small molecules such as the donors TPDP ($\Delta = 21 \text{ meV}$), P4-Ph-DIP ($\Delta =$ 41 meV), PB-Bodipy ($\Delta = 42 \text{ meV}$), and rubrene ($\Delta = -9 \text{ meV}$).

In order to further elucidate on this discrepancy, it is instructive to have a closer look to the experimentally obtained CT emission spectrum of rubrene: C_{60} (figure 4(a)) exemplary for these low Λ_D^{calc} material systems. As opposed to CT emission for materials with a large $\Lambda_{DA}^{exp} > 200$ meV, the CT emission spectrum of rubrene: C_{6o} shows vibronic structure with several phonon replicas spaced at 160 meV. These phonon satellites indicate a quantum character of a single phonon mode or a group of modes and are similar to the satellites in gasphase singlet absorption spectra for rubrene.¹⁴ In π -conjugated molecules the spacing of about 160 meV stems from ring breathing modes that strongly couple to the electronic levels.¹⁵ The phonon replicas have a Gaussian line shape, and in analogy to the analysis of the absorption spectra, the high-energy tail of the CT emission spectrum can be fitted with a Gaussian, allowing an alternative extraction of Λ_{DA}^{exp} (see also SI). Values extracted from CT absorption (80 meV) and emission (88 meV) tails for rubrene: C_{6o} are similar. Absorption and emission spectra generally yield similar Λ_{DA}^{exp} values.⁸



Figure 4. (a) CT emission spectrum of rubrene: C_{6o} . (b) Mode-resolved contributions to the relaxation energy of exemplary donor materials. The cumulated relaxation energies $\Lambda(\omega)$ are calculated (see main text) and normalized to their maximum values at high frequencies. (c) Highest occupied molecular orbitals of donor molecules contained in (b).

From the clear resolution of the replica structure in CT emission for rubrene: C_{60} , we conclude that the width of the absorption and emission tails is dominated by low-frequency modes, while the high-frequency modes determine the spacing between the different peaks of the CT emission (and absorption) spectrum.¹² In order to calculate the relaxation energy responsible for the broadening of the CT absorption tail, one should thus restrict the calculation of A_D to the low-frequency modes, as only those show significant temperature-dependence of their population at room temperature.

To distinguish these contributions, we calculate the moderesolved relaxation energies Λ_j (mode index *j*), using the procedure described in reference (2). Many low-frequency and high-frequency modes have finite Λ_j . A straight-forward comparison is possible when summing these spectral contributions in cumulated relaxation energies defined as $\Lambda(\omega) = \sum_{\omega_j < \omega} \Lambda_j$. For large ω , one obtains the sum of all spectral contributions, which yields a value very similar to the relaxation energy obtained from direct relaxation calculations (see Methods section). Figure 4(b) presents the normalized $\Lambda(\omega)$ of some representative molecules whose molecular orbitals are shown in figure 4(c).

We identify two different types of behavior: molecules with frontier orbitals extending to the flexible substituents exhibit strong contributions from low-energy modes, as evidenced by a steep low-frequency rise in $\Lambda(\omega)$ for BDTA-DTP and DMFL-NPD. In contrast, molecules such as rubrene and TPDP show

dominant contributions for high-frequency modes. For such modes, it can be expected that they exhibit quantum features at room temperature since they satisfy the condition $\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_{\rm P}T} \gg 1$. While this condition does not provide a clear frequency cutoff, our analysis shows that in cases where the molecules are relatively stiff, strong electron-phonon coupling dominates the spectral region above 125 meV, which we choose as a practical cutoff. In order to quantify this effect, we define an effective high-frequency mode which integrates the contribution of such modes to an effective relaxation energy $\Lambda_{\rm D}^{\rm qm} =$ $\sum_{\hbar\omega_j>125 \text{ meV}} \Lambda_j$. This effective mode (replacing the group of high-frequency modes and keeping their total relaxation energy) is a very convenient concept in the following discussion. Being a non-classical mode, its contribution to the linewidth is not covered by equation (1). In contrast, by virtue of level quantization related to this mode, it eventually leads to a more discrete vibrational density of states as well as more pronounced phonon-replica structure and less thermal broadening in the spectra.

As a result, this effective quantum mode has to be removed from the calculation of the relaxation energy responsible for broadening the low-energy tail of the CT absorption. The remaining relaxation energy is thus smaller and denoted *reduced relaxation energy* henceforth ($\Lambda_D^{(calc)} = \Lambda_D^{calc} - \Lambda_D^{qm}$). We obtain large corrections for TPDP ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 105 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 38 \text{ meV}$), P4-Ph-DIP ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 48 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 14 \text{ meV}$), PB-Bodipy ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 48 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 43 \text{ meV}$), and rubrene ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 51 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 38 \text{ meV}$). Characteristic for these molecules are their small size and/or rigid nature, which favors the occurrence of quantum modes and hence absorption tails that are narrower than expected based on theoretical values of Λ_D^{calc} . In contrast, for the less stiff larger molecules with orbital contributions at flexible substituents such as DMFL-NPD ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 31 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 147 \text{ meV}$) and BDTA-DTP ($\Lambda_D^{qm} = 29 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_D^{(calc)} = 422 \text{ meV}$), the corrections for the reduced relaxation energy are relatively small. For consistency we apply the same procedure for C₆₀ and obtain ($\Lambda_A^{qm} = 71 \text{ meV}, \Lambda_A^{(calc)} = 38 \text{ meV}$) based on literature data.⁴⁶



Figure 5. Correlation of the reduced donor-acceptor relaxation energy $\Lambda_{DA}^{\prime calc}$ (the sum of the reduced relaxation energies of D and A, see main text) and experimental data Λ_{DA}^{exp} . The solid line indicates that the lower limit of Λ_{DA}^{exp} is determined by the calculated reduced quantity.

In figure 5, the reduced relaxation energies of the CT pair $\Lambda_{DA}^{(calc}$ are compared to the measured relaxation energies Λ_{DA}^{exp} .

The removal of modes with a quantum character leads to a better fit of low- Λ materials to the overall trend of data points. It also resolves the unphysical result of negative Δ . Indeed, the solid line in figure 5 shows that Λ'_{DA}^{calc} is a lower bound for Λ^{exp}_{DA} . We believe that in most cases the remaining offset between the two quantities is most likely due to the relaxation energy of the dielectric environment and inhomogeneous broadening, which might contribute to larger experimental relaxation, whose detailed contributions are the subject of future work. Finally, we note that the two data points in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 at around $\Lambda^{exp}_{DA} = 400$ meV stem from m-MTDATA and 2-TNATA and their deviation from the linear relation is likely due to an over-delocalization of the positive charge in the DFT calculation for these particular molecules, resulting in an underestimation of calculated relaxation energies, as discussed in the supplementary information.

The observation in Fig. 5 that $\Lambda_{DA}^{\prime calc} \leq \Lambda_{DA}^{exp}$ holds for all donors, whereas it was frequently violated for the full relaxation energies Λ_{DA}^{calc} , indicates another implication of our work which is important to the low- Λ materials. These materials in particular are interesting from the viewpoint of high-mobility charge transport. The observation of an upper experimental limit for the thermally relevant relaxation energy Λ' in such organic materials, which is significantly smaller than the full molecular value Λ (compare Tab. S1), implies that for charge transport the same reduced relaxation energy is the main responsible for thermal activation effects.

Indeed, Marcus theory describes the strong influence of the relaxation energy on transport via thermal activation of initial configurations for electron-transfer events. In the present work, the same temperature activation is directly measured in CT-EQE spectroscopy and we have observed that quantum modes have to be subtracted because their zero-point vibrational ground state does not contribute to thermal activation behavior, thus setting an upper limit for the appropriately reduced Λ '. Furthermore, this finding implies that only theoretical approaches such as quantum-based electron-transfer approach or polaron-based transport approaches,5 which can describe this behavior, should be used for transport simulations in such materials, while the use of the popular classical Marcus hopping rate appears inappropriate and is therefore discouraged. The results in Tab. S1 show that small and/or rigid molecules including C60 receive a strong quantum correction to the relaxation energy (between 48% - 77%). While this correction is smaller for large-A compounds, we expect the same strong effect on the reduced relaxation energies of similar high-mobility materials.

CONCLUSION

First, our work shows that in the studied donor- C_{60} blends, low-frequency thermally activated intramolecular vibrations of donor molecules are the most important contributor to the CT absorption lineshape at low photon energies, i.e. the lineshape can be reasonably well described in terms of a Marcustype expression and that static disorder does not play a major role. The magnitude of the relaxation energy of the CT state, extracted from the CT absorption tail, correlates with the calculated polaron relaxation energy of the single donor molecule. While this finding can be used as a first empirical law for predicting the CT absorption line shape, an improved accuracy, relevant for stiff molecules with a small apparent experimental relaxation energy, is achieved by treating high-frequency modes quantum mechanically, leading to the introduction of a *reduced relaxation energy* Λ_D' . CT absorption (and emission) spectra can thus be used as a direct experimental measure of Λ_D' for donors in solid state, an important molecular parameter, e.g. for charge transport and may thus be instrumental for improving theoretical models.

The use of sensitive techniques to measure absorption tails in organic materials is becoming increasingly popular to extract a disorder parameter related to charge transport.^{17,18} In this respect, our work emphasizes the importance of taking into account the impact of intramolecular vibrations and relaxation of polarons and excitons on the total energetic disorder of the organic semiconductor with high relevance for the generation and recombination of free charges in photodetectors and organic solar cells.

METHODS

Device preparation: The photovoltaic devices are thermally evaporated at ultra-high vacuum (base pressure < 10⁷ mbar) onto a glass substrate with a pre-structured ITO contact (Thin Film Devices, USA). 2 nm of MoO₃ are deposited to adjust the ITO work function to form an Ohmic hole contact. The "diluted donor" active layer comprises 50 nm of C₆₀ (CreaPhys GmbH, Germany) doped with 6 mol% of each donor molecule (for more details see Table 1 in SI). Afterwards, 8 nm of Bathophenanthroline (BPhen, abcr GmbH, Germany), used as electron contact, is evaporated and finished with 100 nm of Al. The device is defined by the geometrical overlap of the bottom and the top contact with an active area of 6.44 mm². To avoid exposure to ambient conditions, the organic part of the device is covered by a small glass substrate, glued on top.

Sensitive EQE measurements: The light of a quartz halogen lamp (50 W) is chopped at 140 Hz and coupled into a monochromator (Cornerstone 260 1/m, Newport). The resulting monochromatic light is focused onto the solar cell, its current at short-circuit conditions is fed to a current pre-amplifier before it is analyzed with a lock-in amplifier (7280 DSP, Signal Recovery, Oak Ridge, USA). In order to achieve a spectrum spanning over 5-7 decades, the time constant of the lockin amplifier was chosen to be 1 s and the amplification of the pre-amplifier was increased to resolve low photocurrents. The EQE is determined by dividing the photocurrent of the organic solar cell by the flux of incoming photons, which was obtained with a calibrated silicon (Si) and/or indium-galliumarsenide (InGaAs) photodiode.

Electroluminescence measurements were obtained with an Andor SR393i-B spectrometer equipped with a cooled Si and InGaAs detector array (DU420A-BR-DD, DU491A-1.7). The spectral response of the setup was calibrated with a reference lamp (Oriel 63355). The emission spectrum of the organic solar cell was recorded at different injection currents with respect to voltages which were lower than or at least similar to the open-circuit voltage of the device at 1 sun illumination.

Theoretical calculations: All calculations were performed within density functional theory using the Gaussiano9 software package in combination with the B3LYP hybrid functional and the 6-311G^{**} basis set.¹⁹⁻²³ Relaxation energies of the donor molecules are obtained from the adiabatic potential energy surface of the neutral state according to $\Lambda_D^{\text{calc}} = E(q_+) - E(q_0)$, with $E(q_+)$ the energy of the neutral molecule in the relaxed geometry of the cationic state and $E(q_0)$ the ground-state energy.¹

In mode-resolved calculations, each normal mode *j* contributes to the relaxation process according to a dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant g_j with $\Lambda_D^{\text{calc}} = \sum_j \Lambda_j = \sum_j g_j^2 \hbar \omega_j$. The coupling constants g_j are extracted from an expansion of the relaxed neutral structure by the normal mode vectors followed by an evaluation of the linear variation in HOMO energy according to reference (2). Here, we apply a scaling factor of 0.967 for the frequencies of the vibrational modes $\hbar \omega_j$, but we maintain the relaxation energies Λ_j by increasing the coupling constants g_j by a factor of $\sqrt{1/0.967}$.²⁴

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Contains a list of the investigated donor materials and their corresponding relaxation energies, temperature dependent EQE measurements, an analysis of the CT Emission Spectrum of rubrene:C₆₀, and further details of the computations This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

- * <u>koen.vandewal@iapp.de</u>
- * frank.ortmann@tu-dresden.de

Present Addresses

+Current address: School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, Jiangsu, P.R. China

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) through the InnoProfille project "Organische p-i-n Bauelemente 2.2". F.O. would like to thank the German Research Foundation (DFG) for financial support (Grant No. OR 349/1). This work was partly supported by the DFG within the Cluster of Excellence "Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden." F.P. and D.N. acknowledge funding by the DFG via the SFB 951 "HIOS". T.V. acknowledges the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT) for funding his Ph.D. The work at Georgia Tech was supported by the Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research Award No. Noo014-14-1-0580 (CAOP MURI), and through a State-Sponsored Scholarship for Graduate Students to Y.F. from the China Scholarship Council. We acknowledge the Center for Information Services and High Performance Computing (ZIH) at TU Dresden for computational resources. We thank Prof. Bäuerle from University of Ulm for the supply of DH4T and DH6T and Markus Hummert for P4-Ph4-DIP and PB-Bodipy.

REFERENCES

(1) Coropceanu V.; Cornil J.; da Silva Filho D. A.; Olivier Y.; Silbey R.; Brédas J. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 926.

(2) Ortmann F.; Radke K. S.; Günther A.; Kasemann D.; Leo K.; Cuniberti G. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2015**, *25*, 1933.

(3) Kera S.; Ueno N. J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 2015, 204, 2.

(4) Marcus R. A. Rev. Mod. Phys. **1993**, 65, 599; Marcus R. A.; Sutin N. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Rev. Bioenerg. **1985**, 811, 265.

(5) Holstein, T. *Ann. Phys.* **1959**, **8**, 343; Levich, V. G. Adv. Electrochem. Electrochem. Eng. **1966**, 4, 249; Kestner, N. R., Logan J., Jortner J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1974**, 78, 2148.

(6) Coffey D. C.; Larson B. W.; Hains A. W.; Whitaker J. B.; Kopidakis N.; Boltalina O. V.; Strauss S. H.; Rumbles G. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 8916.

(7) Ward A. J.; Ruseckas A.; Kareem M. M.; Ebenhoch B.; Serrano L. A.; Al-Eid M.; Fitzpatrick B.; Rotello V. M.; Cooke G.; Samuel I. D. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 2496.

(8) Vandewal K. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2016, 67, 113.

(9) Street R.A.; Song K. W.; Northrup J. E.; Cowan S. J. *Phys. Rev. B* 2011, 83, 165207.

(10) Street R.A.; Krakaris A.; Cowan S. R. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 4608.

(11) Samiee M.; Joshi P.; Aidarkhanov D.; Dalal V. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *1*05, 133511.

(12) Gould I. R.; Noukakis D.; Gomez-Jahn L.; Young R. H.; Goodman J. L.; Farid S. Chem. Phys. **1993**, *176*, 439.

(13) Marcus R. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 3078.

(14) Heinemeyer U.; Scholz R.; Gisslén L.; Alonso M. I.; Ossó J.

O.; Garriga M.; Hinderhofer A.; Kytka M.; Kowarik S.; Gerlach A.; Schreiber F. *Phys. Rev. B.* **2008**, *78*, 085210.

(15) Gisslén L.; Scholz R. Phys. Rev. B. 2009, 80, 115309.

(16) Faber C.; Janssen J. L.; Côté M.; Runge E.; Blase X. *Phys. Rev. B* 2011, 84, 155104.

(17) Kronemeijer A.J.; Pecunia V.; Venkateshvaran D.; Nikolka M; Sadhanala A.; Moriarty J.; Szumilo M.; Sirringhaus H.; *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 728.

(18) Venkateshvaran D.; Nikolka M.; Sadhanala A.; Lemaur V.; Zelazny M.; Kepa M.; Hurhangee M.; Kronemeijer A. J.; Pecunia V.; Nasrallah I.; Romanov I.; Broch K.; McCulloch I.; Emin D.; Olivier Y.; Cornil J.; Beljonne D.; Sirringhaus H. *Nature* **2014**, 515, 384.

(19) Gaussian 09, Revision D.o1, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

(20) Becke A. D. Phys. Rev. A **1988**, 38, 3098.

(21) Becke A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1372.

(22) Becke A. D. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648.

(23) Krishnan R.; Binkley J. S.; Seeger R.; Pople J. A. J. Chem.

Phys. 1980, 72, 650.

(24) Scott A. P.; Radom L. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 16502.

Graphic entry for the Table of Contents

