

Image quality in coronary CT angiography: challenges and technical solutions

Peer-reviewed author version

GHEKIERE, Olivier; Salgado, Rodrigo; Buls, Nico; Leiner, Tim; Mancini, Isabelle; Vanhoenacker, Piet; DENDALE, Paul & Nchimi, Alain (2017) Image quality in coronary CT angiography: challenges and technical solutions. In: BRITISH JOURNAL OF RADIOLOGY, 90(1072).

DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20160567

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/24277>

Image quality in Coronary CT Angiography: Challenges and technical solutions

Short title:

Image quality in Coronary CT Angiography

Review article

Olivier Ghekiere^{1,2,3}, MD; Rodrigo Salgado⁴, MD; Nico Buls⁵, PhD, Tim Leiner⁶, MD, PhD;

Isabelle Mancini¹, MSc; Piet Vanhoenacker⁷, MD, PhD; Paul Dendale⁸, MD, PhD; Alain

Nchimi⁹, MD, PhD

(1) Department of Radiology, Centre Hospitalier Chrétien (CHC)

Rue de Hesbaye, 75

B-4000 Liège, Belgium

(2) Department of Radiology, Jessa Ziekenhuis

Stadsomvaart 11

B- 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

(3) Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Hasselt University

Agoralaan, Building A and C

B-3500 Hasselt, Belgium

(4) Department of Radiology, Antwerp University Hospital (UZA)

Wilrijkstraat 10

B- 2650 Edegem, Belgium

(5) Department of Radiology, UZ Brussel

Laarbeeklaan 103

B- 1090 Brussels, Belgium

(6) Department of Radiology, Utrecht University Medical Center

Heidelberglaan 100

NL-3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands

(7) Department of Radiology, OLV ziekenhuis Aalst

Moorselbaan 164

B-9300 Aalst, Belgium

(8) Heart Center Hasselt, Jessa Ziekenhuis

Stadsomvaart, 11

B- 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

(9) GIGA cardiovascular sciences, Liège University (ULg)

Domaine universitaire du Sart Tilman, Rue de l'hôpital, 1

B-4000 Liège, Belgium

BJR UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Address for correspondence

Olivier Ghekiere, MD

Department of Radiology, Centre Hospitalier Chrétien (CHC)

Rue de Hesbaye, 75

B-4000 Liège, Belgium

Phone: +32-4-2248800

Fax: +32-4-2248810

Department of Radiology, Jessa Ziekenhuis

Stadsomvaart 11

B-3500 Hasselt, Belgium

Phone: +32-11-338000

Fax: +32-11-338008

olivierghekiere@gmail.com

1
2
3
4
5 **Abstract**
6

7
8 Multidetector CT angiography has become a widely accepted examination for non-invasive
9
10 evaluation of the heart and coronary arteries. Despite its ongoing success and worldwide clinical
11
12 implementation, it remains an often-challenging procedure in which image quality, and hence
13
14 diagnostic value, is determined by both technical and patient-related factors. Thorough knowledge
15
16 of these factors is important to obtain high quality examinations. In this review, we discuss several
17
18 key elements that may adversely affect coronary CT angiography image quality as well as potential
19
20 measures that can be taken to mitigate their impact. In addition, several recent vendor-specific
21
22 advances and future directions to improve image quality are discussed.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Keywords:** Coronary CT angiography; technical principles; artifacts; image quality; technological
35
36 advances.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **1. Introduction**
5
6

7
8 The high negative predictive value of coronary CT angiography (CTA) makes it a suitable tool for
9
10 excluding significant coronary artery disease (CAD).¹ Coronary CTA is technically complex and
11
12 places a greater emphasis on scanning technologies than any other type of CT examination. Indeed,
13
14 coronary arteries both have small caliber and varying degrees of motion during the cardiac cycle.

15
16
17 ² Image quality can be degraded by many patient- and technique related factors. Image artifacts are
18
19 causes for misinterpretation, making the diagnostic accuracy of Coronary CTA to a great extent
20
21 dependent on their recognition and operator-awareness.^{2, 3} Potential problems related to these
22
23 artifacts include insufficient tissue-contrast, limited spatial and temporal resolution and inadequate
24
25 volume coverage. The aim of this review is to discuss these technical issues, important recent
26
27 vendor-specific solutions as well as future directions.
28
29
30
31

32
33 **2. Image quality in coronary CTA**
34

35
36 The basic principle of Coronary CTA is to acquire a motion-free volumetric dataset through the
37
38 heart during peak coronary artery enhancement. The final image quality and associated radiation
39
40 exposure are determined by both technical and patient-related factors. Image quality is therefore a
41
42 complex entity for which there is no single objective scale. It has been recently established that
43
44 coronary artery size is an important parameter in determining image quality.⁴ While spatial resolution, as
45
46 discussed in the next paragraph, is the only objective method to evaluate image detail, other factors have
47
48 been discussed in the literature. In practice, the final endpoint of all factors influencing coronary CTA image
49
50 quality is their impact on image interpretation, which assumes that all of the following quantitative or
51
52 qualitative variables scaling should be within an “acceptable” range: noise, vascular enhancement and
53
54 coronary motion.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 *Image noise* is one of the principal determinants of image quality, and mainly depends on the number of x-
5
6 ray photons reaching the detector. Image noise is influenced by technical and patient-related parameters
7
8 (e.g. weight and anatomy), regardless of the filters used during the image reconstruction process to achieve
9
10 the desired amount of image sharpness versus noise. Image noise can be measured quantitatively by placing
11
12 a region-of-interest (ROI) in contrast-enhanced structures (e.g. left ventricle cavity or thoracic aorta). The
13
14 then obtained standard deviation of the HU-values within the ROI area is a measure of image noise.
15
16 Background noise can also be considered as the standard deviation of a ROI within the air (e.g. trachea or
17
18 main bronchus).⁵ Although no standard cut-off values of image noise have been reported, some authors
19
20 suggested values of ≤ 30 HU for improved coronary CTA image quality.⁶⁻⁸
21
22
23
24

25 Besides image noise, image interpretability is further influenced by the degree of *vascular enhancement*.
26
27 Previous reports have suggested that a vascular attenuation value of more than 400 HU in the aorta is
28
29 required for coronary CTA image interpretability.⁶⁻¹⁰
30
31
32

33 While vascular enhancement and image noise both are defining parameters in determining image quality,
34
35 in practical terms it is more useful to use the *signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio*. *SNR* is a generic term to indicate
36
37 how much signal versus how much noise a particular image has. Similarly, *contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR)* is
38
39 determined by the differences in CT density values between different materials versus the background noise.
40
41
42

43 When image noise and vascular enhancement are adequate, the remaining interpretability factors are
44
45 *motion-related*. These are subjective by nature, evaluated visually as apparent blurring of the coronary
46
47 artery contours and luminal visualization using Likert scales.^{4, 11, 12}
48
49
50

51 **3. Factors affecting image quality**

52
53 Technical parameters mainly include temporal resolution, spatial resolution, contrast resolution
54
55 and radiation dose. It is further essential to synchronize image acquisition with cardiac motion by
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 simultaneous ECG recording. These technical factors are closely linked with each other in terms
5
6 of balancing image quality versus radiation exposure.^{3, 13}
7
8
9

10 *Temporal resolution* is the minimal time necessary to compile all X-ray data that are required to
11
12 calculate or reconstruct one cross sectional CT data set. High temporal resolution is required in
13
14 coronary CTA to ensure motion-free image quality of the fast moving coronary arteries. The most
15
16 relevant parameter with a proportional impact on temporal resolution is the rotation speed of the
17
18 gantry tube.
19
20
21

22
23 *Spatial resolution* defines the ability of a CT scanner to discern high-contrast anatomic details and
24
25 is often specified in terms of line pairs per centimeter obtained from phantom scans. It must be
26
27 considered both in the scan x-y plane (in-plane) as well as in the z-direction (through-plane).
28
29 Technically, the full width half maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function (PSF) expresses
30
31 the performance of CT scanners with regard to spatial resolution. The FWHM defines whether two
32
33 adjacent structures will be represented separately in the images; two structures separated by at least
34
35 one FWHM can in general be distinguished from each other, whereas two structures separated by
36
37 less than one FWHM are bound to merge together in the reconstructed image. As such, the spatial
38
39 resolution depends on the detector properties, but also on the reconstruction filter used, the object
40
41 contrast and image noise. Since this information is not available on images, the voxel size is often
42
43 used as an alternative surrogate marker.¹⁴
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Voxel size depends on pixel size within the axial image and through-plane resolution. It is
51
52 determined by the matrix (e.g. 512 x 512) and the field of view (FOV), whereas through-plane
53
54 resolution depends on the detector aperture width and focal spot size. According to the Nyquist
55
56 frequency equation, the minimal coronary diameter evaluable without sampling error should be at
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 least the double of the voxel size.³ An intrinsic spatial resolution (expressed as FWHM) of about
5
6 0.5–0.7 mm, a voxel size of about 0.5 mm x 0.5 mm x 0.5 mm or smaller are, in general, adequate
7
8 to image most of the coronary arteries.
9

10
11 Fast coverage of the entire heart using the shortest acquisition time helps to avoid breathing-related
12
13 artifacts, which can be achieved by increasing detector size and therefore anatomic coverage per
14
15 rotation. In current multidetector CT (MDCT) scanners, detector arrays (N x T) range from about
16
17 40 mm to 160 mm, where T is the individual slice thickness and N is the number of simultaneously
18
19 acquired slices. When the whole heart is within the anatomic detector coverage per rotation, no
20
21 table movement is necessary. Otherwise, a varying amount of table movements and number of
22
23 heart cycles is necessary to ensure complete cardiac coverage. The associated pitch is defined as
24
25 the ratio of table feed (TF) per rotation to the detector coverage; $p = TF / (N \times T)$, with typical
26
27 values in CCTA ranging from 0.2 to 0.4 with single x-ray tube scanners, and up to 3 with a dual-
28
29 source CT (DSCT).¹³
30
31
32
33
34

35
36
37 *The often used term “Contrast resolution”* refers to the smallest difference in material density that
38
39 can be detected between adjacent objects, it is often described as percentage (%) density difference
40
41 at a defined exposure level.
42
43
44

45
46
47 *Radiation exposure* can be quantitatively assessed by standard technical dose descriptors: the
48
49 volume CT Dose Index (CTDI_{vol}; mGy) and Dose Length Product (DLP; mGy_{cm}). The estimated
50
51 effective dose (E; mSv) represents the biological risk by the whole body dose to a reference human.
52
53

54
55 It is preferably estimated by using a detailed computational organ dose model that accounts for
56
57 selected techniques, anatomical location of the scan and patient size.^{15, 16} For practical reasons, a
58
59 rough estimate can also be provided by using a general DLP to E conversion coefficient, the so-
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 called k-factors that are determined by the anatomical location. The reported use of conversion
5
6 factors that are established for conventional chest CT ($k = 0.014\text{-}0.017 \text{ mSv} \times (\text{mGy} \times \text{cm})^{-1}$) tend
7
8 to severely underestimate the E in coronary CTA, where a value of $k = 0.026 \text{ mSv} \times (\text{mGy} \times \text{cm})^{-1}$
9
10 is more appropriate.¹⁵ The estimation of E remains problematic for partial body exposure, with
11
12 an inherent relative uncertainty of approximately $\pm 40\%$.¹⁷ Therefore, the exact impact of dose
13
14 reducing strategies should be evaluated by using technical dose descriptors. Nevertheless, E
15
16 remains valuable to compare dose between anatomic regions and with other imaging modalities.
17
18 Radiation dose is closely related with image quality, and both are influenced by virtually all patient-
19
20 specific and CT acquisition parameters, including tube current (mA), energy (kilovoltage (kV)),
21
22 gantry rotation speed, scanning length and table speed.¹⁷
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **4. Image quality failure and artifacts**

34
35 Image artifacts usually result from the failure of previously mentioned determinants of image
36
37 quality. Clinically relevant artifacts on CCTA and their potential solutions are summarized in Table
38
39
40 1. The most common artifacts are related to cardiac, pulmonary or bulk body motion, resulting in
41
42 coronary artery *blurring* (Figure 1) and *stairstep artifacts* (Figure 2). Their presence depends on
43
44 the scanner's temporal resolution, but also on the presence of extra-cardiac movement such as
45
46 respiratory or voluntary motion.
47
48
49

50 *Blurring* occurs when the temporal resolution is insufficient to accurately register the moving
51
52 targeted structure (e.g. when data sampling exceeds the diastolic rest period, either due to a high
53
54 heart rate (HR), or due to the selection of an inappropriate reconstruction window). The right
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 coronary artery is most commonly affected due to its higher velocity and range of motion compared
5
6 with other coronary segments.²
7

8
9 *Banding or stairstep artifacts* are section gaps in imaging data due to cardiac phase misregistrations
10
11 between consecutive gantry rotations. The most frequent causes are arrhythmia or HR variation
12
13 during acquisition.
14

15
16 *Beam hardening* is caused by an increase in mean photon energy of the X-ray beam when it passes
17
18 through a cross-section with heterogeneous density. The lower energy photons of the
19
20 polychromatic beam are primarily absorbed, resulting into a higher mean energy of the beam when
21
22 it reaches the detector. It results in dark bands throughout the image, the so-called *streak artifacts*.
23
24 In practice, these artifacts are typically generated by highly attenuating structures or interfaces such
25
26 as high iodine concentration (e.g. in the superior vena cava), surgical clips and metallic implants
27
28 (pacemaker, stent struts).
29
30
31

32
33
34 *In Blooming artifacts*, high attenuating objects appear larger than they are. This results from
35
36 multiple factors, including inadequate photon energy and partial volume effect; the latter caused
37
38 by insufficient temporal and spatial resolution particularly in the z-direction.¹³ Coronary stents, and
39
40 to some extent vascular calcifications, are of special importance for attenuation-related effects as
41
42 they generate both *streak- and blooming artifacts*, causing inappropriate vessel lumen visibility or
43
44 in-stent visualization due to motion, partial volume effect, and beam hardening (Figure 3).
45
46
47

48
49 Blooming artifact magnitude and stent lumen visibility largely depend on stent size, strut thickness,
50
51 material and mesh design.¹⁸ Magnesium stents are far more favourable for Coronary CTA than
52
53 tantalum-coated stents with up to 90% in-stent visibility, depending on stent size.¹⁹
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 *Helical artifacts* with their typical *windmill-like* appearance are seen in moving objects during
5
6 spiral acquisition, or in cases with ECG synchronization failure. The table movement during the
7
8 acquisition results in projections from slightly different parts of the object. In coronary artery
9
10 segments that are obliquely oriented along the z-axis, this spiral interpolation process results in
11
12 hypodense areas surrounding the vessels (Figure 4).
13
14

15
16
17 Finally, image artifacts can also theoretically be caused by *low-attenuating* objects such as air
18
19 bubbles introduced in the right heart or pulmonary artery during contrast material administration
20
21 or in the mediastinum after surgery.^{2, 3} In practice, the hypodense zones surrounding these air
22
23 bubbles do not alter coronary visibility.
24
25
26

27 28 29 **5. Image quality improvement strategies**

30
31
32 All the major CT scanner vendors have devised their own proprietary solutions for approaching
33
34 the key artifacts and technical limitations discussed above (Figure 5 and Table 2).
35
36

37
38 Besides the discussed technical parameters, a successful coronary CTA also depends on proper
39
40 patient selection and preparation (Figure 6). Furthermore, as with every CT angiography
41
42 examination, a strong and homogenous arterial enhancement of the coronary arteries is required.
43
44 Important parameters to consider here include contrast agent volume, iodine concentration,
45
46 injection speed and bolus duration, which should be adjusted to the body habitus of the patient (e.g.
47
48 body mass index (BMI)).²⁰ Intraluminal contrast attenuation, a determinant of image quality, is
49
50 also influenced by other technical parameters ²¹, as e.g. it has been showed that lowering the
51
52 kilovoltage from 120 to 100 kV not only reduces radiation exposure, but results also in a significant
53
54 increase (27-36%) of the vascular attenuation at the same injection rate. This is due to the higher
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 attenuation of iodine at lower energy.^{22, 23} However, image noise is also increased in the range of
5
6 16-81 %^{22, 24}, which allows further decrease of the tube energy to 80 kV only in children and
7
8 adults with low BMI.^{21, 25} A more extensive discussion falls outside the scope of this review.
9

10 11 12 *Patient selection and preparation* 13

14
15 Patients with heavily calcified coronary arteries are subject to a higher rate of false-positive
16
17 examinations, owing mainly to streak and blooming artifacts generated by these high-density
18
19 structures.^{26, 27} Even with 64-slice and newer CT systems, the sensitivity and specificity of coronary
20
21 CTA for significant stenosis remains high in the presence of severe calcifications.²⁸ Because
22
23 motion further intensifies calcium-related artifacts²⁶, patients should be screened for compliance
24
25 to breathhold and HR stability. Depending on the temporal resolution of the scanner, patients with
26
27 irregular HR or HR above a certain limit (typically 65 beats per minute (bpm)) are pre-medicated
28
29 with beta-blockers in some facilities, both to improve image quality and reduce radiation exposure.
30
31 However, efficacy of beta-blocker administration to consequently achieve the targeted HR remains
32
33 subject of discussion.²⁹ In patients with relative or absolute contraindications to beta-blocker
34
35 administration, calcium-channel blockers and ivabradine are known alternatives.³⁰
36
37 Finally, the administration of 0.4 mg sublingual nitroglycerin prior to coronary CTA increases the
38
39 coronary diameter for improved image quality, especially for smaller branches.³¹
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 *Hardware solutions* 50

51
52 Significant advances have taken place during the last decade for the main scanner hardware
53
54 component, the *gantry*, containing the tube(s) and detectors. All vendors have placed a critical
55
56 emphasis on the improvement of the gantry rotation speed and (varying across vendors) the
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 increase of number of detectors, as such improving the data acquisition.^{12,32} Scan duration should
5
6 be as short as possible to limit motion artifacts of the fast moving coronary arteries.
7
8

9
10 In coronary CTA, partial reconstruction algorithms allow image reconstruction from data acquired
11
12 within a half gantry rotation; the so-called half-scan.³ This may be confusing, since the X-ray fan
13
14 beam angle of the CT detectors (approximately 50°) plus a transition angle for smooth data
15
16 weighting should be added to the 180° rotation, resulting in a minimal rotation of approximately
17
18 260° for image reconstruction.¹³ The influence of the fan angle increases if the positioning of the
19
20 heart deviates from the center of the scan field, resulting in lower temporal resolution. The maximal
21
22 tube rotation speed of current scanners is within the range of 250-350 ms (Table 2). Additional
23
24 hardware-based improvements of temporal resolution have been made possible with DSCT. DSCT
25
26 are constructed with two X-ray tubes at an angle of approximately 90°, and two corresponding
27
28 detector rows within a single gantry, resulting in a two-fold increased temporal resolution in
29
30 comparison to single source scanners.
31
32
33
34
35

36
37
38 Scanner tubes have benefited from flying focal spot technologies, along with improved power and
39
40 cooling capacities. Emphasis on *detector* properties has led to increased sensitivity to photons,
41
42 along with reduced collimation and reduced refractory period between detected pulses. Since
43
44 spatial resolution of MDCT is influenced by collimation and focal spot size¹³, it has especially
45
46 improved since the introduction of submillimeter (0.5-0.625 mm) detector size elements. Recent
47
48 detector technologies, along with tube energy rapid switching capacity and dual-source in some
49
50 vendors, have made dual-energy scanning possible which allows assessment of the x-ray
51
52 absorption spectra from a broad range of monoenergetic exposure. Regarding image quality, dual-
53
54 energy acquisitions allow the reconstruction of the anatomy at both a (virtual) low and high energy
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 (keV)³³, which may be helpful to mitigate streak artifacts or assess tissue iodine concentration.

5
6 Increased detector's coverage to 8- and 16-cm per gantry rotation using 256- and 320-row detector
7
8 scanners respectively, currently enables heart coverage with minimal or even no table movement.

9
10 Image acquisition time is shortened, requiring a minimal number of heartbeats (ideally one) and a
11
12 shorter breath-hold, with less susceptibility to the occurrence of arrhythmias or ectopic beats. An
13
14 alternative approach for obtaining single heart beat coverage is by using fast table movement (high-
15
16 pitch) spiral acquisition protocols, currently only possible with DSCT systems.³⁴
17
18
19
20
21

22 *Software solutions*

23
24 One of the specific challenges of Coronary CTA is correct ECG *synchronization of the data*
25
26 *acquisition to the HR of the patient*. ECG synchronization can be either prospective or
27
28 retrospective. With retrospective ECG-gated techniques, scanning is performed throughout the
29
30 entire cardiac cycle. After all data has been obtained, a user-defined reconstruction of the desired
31
32 segments of the cardiac cycle is performed, corresponding to the optimal phase of the coronary
33
34 arteries with least coronary motion. Conversely, in prospective ECG-triggering, scanning is only
35
36 performed during a pre-defined segment of the cardiac cycle; typically at end-diastole in lower and
37
38 stable heart rates.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Temporal resolution is further influenced by the choice between *mono- and multisegment*
46
47 *reconstruction* (Figure 7). The mono-segment reconstruction mode is typically applied in HR < 65-
48
49 75 bpm, the upper limit depending on the effective temporal resolution (gantry rotation time) of
50
51 the available equipment. When used in higher HR, the delivered temporal resolution is insufficient
52
53 to provide high quality images. In such instances, multisegment reconstruction is typically used to
54
55 improve the temporal resolution. In multisegment acquisitions, several partial scans at a given
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 position are acquired, multiplying the temporal resolution by a factor equal to the number of
5
6 segments. Contrary to monosegment reconstructions, at least two heartbeats are needed, which can
7
8 be acquired prospectively or retrospectively. Multisegment data acquisition can be applied in a
9
10 prospective triggering mode, if the detector array is large enough to cover a substantial part of the
11
12 cardiac volume per rotation. In retrospective ECG-gated acquisitions, multisegment
13
14 reconstructions require a smaller pitch for similar heart rates compared to monosegment
15
16 reconstructions to provide sufficient data redundancy with regard to the actual HR.^{3, 35}
17
18 Disadvantages of multisegment reconstructions include the requirement that all segments' imaging
19
20 should be done within exactly the same phase of the cardiac cycle, and spatially adjacent segments
21
22 have to be imaged in the same cardiac phase to build-up smooth half-scan intervals. Unfortunately,
23
24 variations in consecutive cardiac cycles tend to increasingly lower image quality using
25
26 multisegment reconstruction as compared to monosegment. Moreover, in retrospective gated
27
28 acquisitions there is a complex relationship between the cardiac cycle duration (heart rate), the
29
30 rotation speed and multisegment reconstruction.^{36, 37}
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Other recently introduced *motion-reducing software algorithms* include automated boundary
40
41 detection and algorithms using information from adjacent cardiac phases within a single cardiac
42
43 cycle to characterize vessel motion (Figure 8). As such, actual vessel position is determined at the
44
45 target phase and adaptively compensated to reduce unexpected motion.³⁸
46
47
48
49

50 Strategies to tackle *arrhythmia* depend on the type of acquisition: (i) for retrospective spiral
51
52 acquisitions, arrhythmia-induced artifacts can be countered with retrospectively editing of the
53
54 reconstruction phases based on the continuous ECG registration during the acquisition; (ii) for
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 prospective and high-pitch spiral scans, the acquisition is automatically suspended and delayed to
5
6 a following heartbeat when HR changes are detected.³⁷
7
8
9

10 The in-plane *spatial resolution* of CT theoretically approximates the 0.1 and 0.2 mm spatial
11 resolution of intravascular ultrasound and catheter angiography respectively. However, in clinical
12 practice, the in-plane spatial resolution is limited to approximately 0.5 mm by the use of smoothing
13 convolution reconstruction algorithms. Although such a spatial resolution is sufficient for the
14 assessment of significant coronary artery stenosis in vessels of 1.5 mm or more in diameter, it may
15 remain inadequate to assess stent patency and to confidently grade coronary stenosis in severely
16 calcified arteries.^{39, 40}
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 In order to achieve a spatial resolution comparable to catheter angiography, theoretically a 16-fold
28 increase of the radiation dose would be needed since an increase of spatial resolution proportionally
29 increases image noise in standard filtered back projection (FBP) reconstructions.¹³ Recently
30 implemented noise-reduction reconstruction algorithms, the so-called iterative reconstruction, in
31 combination with dedicated sharp reconstruction algorithms theoretically allows decoupling of
32 spatial resolution and image noise for better image quality and improved diagnostic performance
33 for the evaluation of in-stent lumen (Figure 9) and coronary calcifications by reducing partial
34 volume effects.^{41, 42}
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **6. Radiation exposure saving and tradeoffs**

48 Initially, coronary CTA was typically associated with radiation doses amongst the highest in
49 medical imaging, with reported effective doses ranging up to 16-32 mSv.⁴³ Today, radiation
50 exposure has been drastically reduced by technical advances and the implementation of dose
51 efficient strategies while maintaining high quality images.⁴⁴ Standard dose reduction strategies are:
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 (i) dynamic tube current modulation by cardiac phase and tissue density, (ii) tube voltage reduction,
5
6 and (iii) dynamic collimation in helical acquisition. One study reported a dose reduction of 68%
7
8 by shifting from retrospective to prospective ECG modulation in patients with a low and stable HR
9
10 (mean 56 bpm) and a 53% dose reduction by switching the tube voltage from 120 kV to 100 kV.⁴⁵

11
12
13
14 ⁴⁶ The limitations of tube voltage reduction are increased image noise levels and tube heating. It
15
16 should therefore be primarily considered in non-obese patients (BMI < 30 kg/m²). Dynamic
17
18 collimation helps to reduce undesired exposure due to z-axis overbeaming, which is particularly
19
20 prominent with helical scanning (24% dose saving).⁴⁷ More recent strategies to reduce dose are the
21
22 above-discussed high pitch DSCT acquisition, wide coverage scanners and iterative reconstruction.
23
24 Compared to standard FBP, hybrid iterative reconstruction refers to an algorithm using
25
26 mathematical and statistical modeling to reduce image noise while trying to preserve high
27
28 resolution images by performing repeated backward “iterative” reconstruction cycles, resulting in
29
30 a reduction of exposure without increase of noise.^{42, 48} Although all manufacturers now employ at
31
32 least one hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithm technology, the implementation and
33
34 performance of these systems can vary significantly.^{42, 49} Further newer systems using full-iterative
35
36 reconstruction are progressively been implemented. Obviously, largest dose reductions can be
37
38 achieved by a combination of previously described strategies.^{12, 34}

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Despite the fact that nowadays the effective dose in Coronary CTA can approach the level of annual
background radiation under certain conditions, the female breast tissue dose remains a concern and
is reported 10-30 times higher⁵⁰ compared to the achievable dose of 2.0 mGy in standard
mammography for standard breast thickness.⁵¹

Besides implementing the earlier described dose efficient scan modes, further breast dose reduction
can be achieved by selective in-plane bismuth shielding. Although controversy still exists regarding

1
2
3
4 its use due to the deleterious impact on image noise, studies do report 40-50% breast dose savings
5
6 .⁵² Moreover, a recent study reported a degradation of the image quality and no effect on the amount
7
8 of DNA damage using breast shielding during coronary CTA in women.⁵³
9

10 11 12 **7. Image quality and future directions** 13 14

15
16 Further improvements in scanner's hardware, software and image processing are promising for
17
18 better image quality and/or less radiation dose (Figure 9).
19
20

21
22 Coronary motion will be further reduced by intelligent motion correction algorithms, faster
23
24 transfer systems and multisource scanning^{38, 54}, which implementation, alone or in combination is
25
26 currently limited by the computational demand in image reconstruction power.
27
28

29
30 Conversely, advances in spectral imaging have paved the way towards photon-counting, a
31
32 technology in which the whole photonic spectrum is analyzed.⁵⁵ However, it is currently not
33
34 clinically implemented as the limited count rate, energy integrating detection, increased detector
35
36 pixel crosstalk and electronic noise are major limitations of this technology.⁵⁶ Eventually, photon-
37
38 counting is expected to improve soft-tissue discrimination, to reduce the radiation dose and to
39
40 provide higher spatial resolution.⁵⁷ Compared to dual-energy, photon-counting coronary CTA will
41
42 provide more detailed information about myocardial and coronary plaque components by analyzing
43
44 differences in contrast agent concentration and/or spectral attenuation.
45
46
47

48
49 In addition, improvement of spatial resolution is expected from ongoing development of detector
50
51 technology, which could provide a reduction of the slice collimation without significant increase
52
53 of the radiation exposure. This can currently only be achieved at the cost of increasing noise.⁵⁸
54
55
56

57
58 In contrast to hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithms, full-iterative algorithms introduce model-
59
60

1
2
3
4 based forward projection reconstruction analyses, resulting in a further decoupling between the
5
6 image noise and radiation dose, especially in the low-dose ranges (CTDIvol 2-4 mGy). More than
7
8 50% noise suppression is reported compared to the standard filtered back projection. Disadvantages
9
10 of full-iterative reconstruction algorithms are the computational demands, resulting in substantially
11
12 longer image reconstruction time.^{59 60-63}
13
14

15 16 17 **8. Conclusion**

18
19 The recent vendor specific advances have resulted into dramatic improvement of scanning
20
21 coverage, spatial, temporal and contrast resolution. Easier acquisition, post-processing and better
22
23 diagnostic confidence are expected from the ongoing image quality improvement. In parallel,
24
25 patient safety has been improved by dose-reduction strategies and recent achievements indicate
26
27 that even further dose reductions are to be expected in the near future. There are similarities, but
28
29 also marked differences between main CT constructors' technologies. It is therefore questionable
30
31 how far a combination of the main strengths from each constructor into one single "perfect" scanner
32
33 would not represent a huge step. Meanwhile, the current state-of-the art scanners have already
34
35 shifted the perception of Coronary CTA being a harmful technique towards a dose-efficient
36
37 technique that is associated with only minor radiation exposure, paving the way for newer
38
39 indications such as coronary and cardiac tissue composition imaging.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

1. Raff GL. Interpreting the evidence: how accurate is coronary computed tomography angiography? *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2007;1:73-7.
2. Kroft LJ, de Roos A, Geleijns J. Artifacts in ECG-synchronized MDCT coronary angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007;189:581-91.
3. Mahesh M, Cody DD. Physics of cardiac imaging with multiple-row detector CT. *Radiographics.* 2007;27:1495-509.
4. Ghekiere O, Nchimi A, Djekic J, El Hachemi M, Mancini I, Hansen D, et al. Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography: Patient-related factors determining image quality using a second-generation 320-slice CT scanner. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;221:970-6.
5. Toth T. *Radiation Dose from Multidetector CT.* 2 ed: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
6. Tatsugami F, Higaki T, Nakamura Y, Yamagami T, Date S, Fujioka C, et al. A new technique for noise reduction at coronary CT angiography with multi-phase data-averaging and non-rigid image registration. *Eur Radiol.* 2015;25:41-8.
7. Leschka S, Stolzmann P, Schmid FT, Scheffel H, Stinn B, Marincek B, et al. Low kilovoltage cardiac dual-source CT: attenuation, noise, and radiation dose. *Eur Radiol.* 2008;18:1809-17.
8. Tatsugami F, Matsuki M, Nakai G, Inada Y, Kanazawa S, Takeda Y, et al. The effect of adaptive iterative dose reduction on image quality in 320-detector row CT coronary angiography. *Br J Radiol.* 2012;85:e378-82.
9. Achenbach S, Paul JF, Laurent F, Becker HC, Rengo M, Caudron J, et al. Comparative assessment of image quality for coronary CT angiography with iobitridol and two contrast agents with higher iodine concentrations: iopromide and iomeprol. A multicentre randomized double-blind trial. *Eur Radiol.* 2016;10.1007/s00330-016-4437-9.
10. Kim EY, Yeh DW, Choe YH, Lee WJ, Lim HK. Image quality and attenuation values of multidetector CT coronary angiography using high iodine-concentration contrast material: a comparison of the use of iopromide 370 and iomeprol 400. *Acta Radiol.* 2010;51:982-9.
11. Odedra D, Blobel J, Alhumayyd S, Durand M, Jimenez-Juan L, Paul N. Image noise-based dose adaptation in dynamic volume CT of the heart: dose and image quality optimisation in comparison with BMI-based dose adaptation. *Eur Radiol.* 2014;24:86-94.
12. Chen MY, Shanbhag SM, Arai AE. Submillisievert median radiation dose for coronary angiography with a second-generation 320-detector row CT scanner in 107 consecutive patients. *Radiology.* 2013;267:76-85.
13. Flohr TG, Raupach R, Bruder H. Cardiac CT: how much can temporal resolution, spatial resolution, and volume coverage be improved? *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2009;3:143-52.
14. Geleijns J. Physics Background and Radiation Exposure. In: Dewey M, editor. *Cardiac CT.* Second Edition ed. Heidelberg, Germany 2013. p. 57-70.
15. Huda W, Tipnis S, Sterzik A, Schoepf UJ. Computing effective dose in cardiac CT. *Phys Med Biol.* 2010;55:3675-84.
16. Deak P, van Straten M, Shrimpton PC, Zankl M, Kalender WA. Validation of a Monte Carlo tool for patient-specific dose simulations in multi-slice computed tomography. *Eur Radiol.* 2008;18:759-72.
17. Martin CJ. Effective dose: how should it be applied to medical exposures? *Br J Radiol.* 2007;80:639-47.
18. Maintz D, Seifarth H, Raupach R, Flohr T, Rink M, Sommer T, et al. 64-slice multidetector coronary CT angiography: in vitro evaluation of 68 different stents. *Eur Radiol.* 2006;16:818-26.
19. Maintz D, Burg MC, Seifarth H, Bunck AC, Ozgun M, Fischbach R, et al. Update on multidetector coronary CT angiography of coronary stents: in vitro evaluation of 29 different stent types with dual-source CT. *Eur Radiol.* 2009;19:42-9.
20. Bae KT. Intravenous contrast medium administration and scan timing at CT: considerations and approaches. *Radiology.* 2010;256:32-61.

21. Leiner T, Abbara S. Contrast injection protocols: it is time to get creative! *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2015;9:28-30.
22. Blankstein R, Bolen MA, Pale R, Murphy MK, Shah AB, Bezerra HG, et al. Use of 100 kV versus 120 kV in cardiac dual source computed tomography: effect on radiation dose and image quality. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2011;27:579-86.
23. Gill MK, Vijayananthan A, Kumar G, Jayarani K, Ng KH, Sun Z. Use of 100 kV versus 120 kV in computed tomography pulmonary angiography in the detection of pulmonary embolism: effect on radiation dose and image quality. *Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery.* 2015;5:524-33.
24. Ippolito D, Talei Franzesi C, Fior D, Bonaffini PA, Minutolo O, Sironi S. Low kV settings CT angiography (CTA) with low dose contrast medium volume protocol in the assessment of thoracic and abdominal aorta disease: a feasibility study. *Br J Radiol.* 2015;88:20140140.
25. Oda S, Utsunomiya D, Yuki H, Kai N, Hatemura M, Funama Y, et al. Low contrast and radiation dose coronary CT angiography using a 320-row system and a refined contrast injection and timing method. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2015;9:19-27.
26. Vavere AL, Arbab-Zadeh A, Rochitte CE, Dewey M, Niinuma H, Gottlieb I, et al. Coronary artery stenoses: accuracy of 64-detector row CT angiography in segments with mild, moderate, or severe calcification--a subanalysis of the CORE-64 trial. *Radiology.* 2011;261:100-8.
27. Abdulla J, Pedersen KS, Budoff M, Kofoed KF. Influence of coronary calcification on the diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2012;28:943-53.
28. den Dekker MA, de Smet K, de Bock GH, Tio RA, Oudkerk M, Vliegenthart R. Diagnostic performance of coronary CT angiography for stenosis detection according to calcium score: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol.* 2012;22:2688-98.
29. Shapiro MD, Pena AJ, Nichols JH, Worrell S, Bamberg F, Dannemann N, et al. Efficacy of pre-scan beta-blockade and impact of heart rate on image quality in patients undergoing coronary multidetector computed tomography angiography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2008;66:37-41.
30. Celik O, Atasoy MM, Erturk M, Yalcin AA, Aksu HU, Diker M, et al. Comparison of different strategies of ivabradine premedication for heart rate reduction before coronary computed tomography angiography. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2014;8:77-82.
31. Takx RA, Sucha D, Park J, Leiner T, Hoffmann U. Sublingual Nitroglycerin Administration in Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography: a Systematic Review. *Eur Radiol.* 2015;10.1007/s00330-015-3791-3.
32. Muenzel D, Noel PB, Dorn F, Dobritz M, Rummeny EJ, Huber A. Step and shoot coronary CT angiography using 256-slice CT: effect of heart rate and heart rate variability on image quality. *Eur Radiol.* 2011;21:2277-84.
33. Johnson TR. Dual-energy CT: general principles. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2012;199:S3-8.
34. Schuhbaeck A, Achenbach S, Layritz C, Eisentopf J, Hecker F, Pflederer T, et al. Image quality of ultra-low radiation exposure coronary CT angiography with an effective dose <0.1 mSv using high-pitch spiral acquisition and raw data-based iterative reconstruction. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:597-606.
35. Tomizawa N, Komatsu S, Akahane M, Torigoe R, Kiryu S, Ohtomo K. Relationship between beat to beat coronary artery motion and image quality in prospectively ECG-gated two heart beat 320-detector row coronary CT angiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2012;28:139-46.
36. Hein PA, May J, Rogalla P, Butler C, Hamm B, Lembcke A. Feasibility of contrast material volume reduction in coronary artery imaging using 320-slice volume CT. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20:1337-43.
37. Lesser JR, Flygenring BJ, Knickelbine T, Longe T, Schwartz RS. Practical approaches to overcoming artifacts in coronary CT angiography. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2009;3:4-15.
38. Leipsic J, Labounty TM, Hague CJ, Mancini GB, O'Brien JM, Wood DA, et al. Effect of a novel vendor-specific motion-correction algorithm on image quality and diagnostic accuracy in persons undergoing coronary CT angiography without rate-control medications. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2012;6:164-71.

- 1
2
3
4 39. Hou Y, Ma Y, Fan W, Wang Y, Yu M, Vembar M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of low-dose 256-slice
5 multi-detector coronary CT angiography using iterative reconstruction in patients with suspected coronary
6 artery disease. *Eur Radiol.* 2014;24:3-11.
7
8 40. Gebhard C, Fiechter M, Fuchs TA, Stehli J, Muller E, Stahli BE, et al. Coronary artery stents:
9 influence of adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction on image quality using 64-HDCT. *Eur Heart J*
10 *Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2013;14:969-77.
11 41. Ebersberger U, Tricarico F, Schoepf UJ, Blanke P, Spears JR, Rowe GW, et al. CT evaluation of
12 coronary artery stents with iterative image reconstruction: improvements in image quality and potential for
13 radiation dose reduction. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:125-32.
14 42. Willemink MJ, de Jong PA, Leiner T, de Heer LM, Nievelstein RA, Budde RP, et al. Iterative
15 reconstruction techniques for computed tomography Part 1: technical principles. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:1623-
16 31.
17 43. Mettler FA, Jr., Huda W, Yoshizumi TT, Mahesh M. Effective doses in radiology and diagnostic
18 nuclear medicine: a catalog. *Radiology.* 2008;248:254-63.
19 44. Cademartiri F, Maffei E, Arcadi T, Catalano O, Midiri M. CT coronary angiography at an ultra-low
20 radiation dose (<0.1 mSv): feasible and viable in times of constraint on healthcare costs. *Eur Radiol.*
21 2013;23:607-13.
22 45. Bischoff B, Hein F, Meyer T, Hadamitzky M, Martinoff S, Schomig A, et al. Impact of a reduced
23 tube voltage on CT angiography and radiation dose: results of the PROTECTION I study. *JACC Cardiovasc*
24 *Imaging.* 2009;2:940-6.
25 46. Bischoff B, Hein F, Meyer T, Krebs M, Hadamitzky M, Martinoff S, et al. Comparison of sequential
26 and helical scanning for radiation dose and image quality: results of the Prospective Multicenter Study on
27 Radiation Dose Estimates of Cardiac CT Angiography (PROTECTION) I Study. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*
28 2010;194:1495-9.
29 47. Walker MJ OM, Desai MY, Halliburton SS, Flamm SD. New radiation dose saving technologies
30 for 256-slice cardiac computed tomography angiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2009;25:189-99.
31 48. Wang R, Schoepf UJ, Wu R, Reddy RP, Zhang C, Yu W, et al. Image quality and radiation dose of
32 low dose coronary CT angiography in obese patients: sinogram affirmed iterative reconstruction versus
33 filtered back projection. *Eur J Radiol.* 2012;81:3141-5.
34 49. Mieville FA, Gudinchet F, Brunelle F, Bochud FO, Verdun FR. Iterative reconstruction methods in
35 two different MDCT scanners: physical metrics and 4-alternative forced-choice detectability experiments--
36 a phantom approach. *Phys Med.* 2013;29:99-110.
37 50. Einstein AJ, Elliston CD, Arai AE, Chen MY, Mather R, Pearson GD, et al. Radiation dose from
38 single-heartbeat coronary CT angiography performed with a 320-detector row volume scanner. *Radiology.*
39 2010;254:698-706.
40 51. Perry N. BM, Wolf C., Törnberg S., Holland R., von Karsa L. European guidelines for quality
41 assurance in breast cancer screening and diagnosis. Fourth edition ed. Luxembourg, Luxembourg 2013.
42 52. Abadi S, Mehrez H, Ursani A, Parker M, Paul N. Direct quantification of breast dose during
43 coronary CT angiography and evaluation of dose reduction strategies. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*
44 2011;196:W152-8.
45 53. Cheezum MK, Redon CE, Burrell AS, Kaviratne AS, Bindeman J, Maeda D, et al. Effects of Breast
46 Shielding during Heart Imaging on DNA Double-Strand-Break Levels: A Prospective Randomized
47 Controlled Trial. *Radiology.* 2016;281:62-71.
48 54. Wang G, Yu H, Ye Y. A scheme for multisource interior tomography. *Med Phys.* 2009;36:3575-
49 81.
50 55. de Vries A, Roessl E, Kneepkens E, Thran A, Brendel B, Martens G, et al. Quantitative spectral K-
51 edge imaging in preclinical photon-counting x-ray computed tomography. *Invest Radiol.* 2015;50:297-304.
52 56. Yu Z, Leng S, Jorgensen SM, Li Z, Gutjahr R, Chen B, et al. Evaluation of conventional imaging
53 performance in a research whole-body CT system with a photon-counting detector array. *Phys Med Biol.*
54 2016;61:1572-95.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 57. Boussel L, Coulon P, Thran A, Roessl E, Martens G, Sigovan M, et al. Photon counting spectral CT
5 component analysis of coronary artery atherosclerotic plaque samples. *Br J Radiol.* 2014;87:20130798.
6 58. Pelc NJ. Recent and future directions in CT imaging. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2014;42:260-8.
7 59. Nishiyama Y, Tada K, Mori H, Maruyama M, Katsube T, Yamamoto N, et al. Effect of the forward-
8 projected model-based iterative reconstruction solution algorithm on image quality and radiation dose in
9 pediatric cardiac computed tomography. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2016;10.1007/s00247-016-3676-x.
10 60. Sucha D, Willemink MJ, de Jong PA, Schilham AM, Leiner T, Symersky P, et al. The impact of a
11 new model-based iterative reconstruction algorithm on prosthetic heart valve related artifacts at reduced
12 radiation dose MDCT. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2014;30:785-93.
13 61. Stehli J, Fuchs TA, Bull S, Clerc OF, Possner M, Buechel RR, et al. Accuracy of coronary CT
14 angiography using a submillisievert fraction of radiation exposure: comparison with invasive coronary
15 angiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:772-80.
16 62. Wang W, Ionita C, Huang Y, Qu B, Panse A, Jain A, et al. Region-of-Interest Micro-Angiographic
17 Fluoroscope Detector Used in Aneurysm and Artery Stenosis Diagnoses and Treatment. *Proc SPIE Int Soc*
18 *Opt Eng.* 2012;8313.
19 63. den Harder AM, Willemink MJ, de Jong PA, Schilham AM, Rajiah P, Takx RA, et al. New horizons
20 in cardiac CT. *Clin Radiol.* 2016;S0009-9260(16)00044-1 [pii] 10.1016/j.crad.2016.01.022.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Main coronary CTA artifacts causes and solutions.

| Artifacts | Problem | Cause | Solution |
|----------------------|---|---|---|
| Blurring | Motion | - Heart rate > acquisition speed | - Heart rate control (β - or |
| | | - Respiration during acquisition | Calcium channel blockade, ivabradine) |
| | | - Inappropriate cardiac cycle phase reconstruction | - Breath-hold instructions |
| | | | - Optimal cardiac cycle phase reconstruction |
| | | | - Use multisegment acquisition/reconstruction |
| | | | - Intelligent boundary detection |
| Stairstep or banding | - Motion | - Heart rate variation | - Heart rate control (β - or |
| | - Cardiac cycle phase misregistration | (tachycardia/arrhythmia) | Calcium channel blockade, ivabradine) |
| | | - ECG signal failure | |
| | | - Respiration during acquisition | - Optimal cardiac cycle phase reconstruction |
| | | | - ECG editing |
| | | | - Pre-scan ECG quality check |
| | | | - Breath-hold instructions |
| Streak | Dark bands through objects adjacent to high-attenuating | - Metallic implants, surgical clips and coronary stents | - Avoid undiluted contrast (use saline bolus flush) |
| | | - Vessel filled with high iodine concentration | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
| | structures (beam hardening effect) | | -Use a high kilovoltage monoenergetic x-ray beam (dual-energy CT) |
| Blooming | High-attenuating objects appear larger than they are | - Coronary calcifications - Metallic implants, clips and coronary stents | - Use high spatial resolution reconstruction algorithms + iterative reconstruction (to decrease the noise) - Use the smallest available focal spot -Use a high kilovoltage monoenergetic x-ray beam (dual-energy CT) |
| Windmill | Highly attenuating structures are surrounded by low attenuating rims, and low attenuating structures appear larger and have a “fan-like” appearance | - Moving structures during acquisition - Heart rate > temporal resolution > spiral acquisition pitch | - Prefer sequential acquisition - Heart rate control (β- or Calcium channel blockade, ivabradine) - Optimize spiral scanning pitch |
| Low-attenuating | Air bubbles | - Air within the contrast material bolus - Surgery | Check intravenous line before contrast injection |

Table 2: Top four vendors recent technological advances in coronary CTA

| | Vendor | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Item | General Electric | Philips | Siemens | Toshiba |
| Arrhythmia | - Adaptative gating | - Auto-arrhythmia | -Adaptive Cardio | -Arrhythmia detection |
| | - ECG R-peak | detection | Sequence | - ECG R-peak Editor |
| | Editor | - ECG R-peak Editor | - ECG R-peak Editor | |
| Gantry rotation speed | 280 ms | 270 ms | 250 ms | 275 ms |
| Maximum z-axis coverage/rotation (sequential) | 16 cm | 8 cm | 7,68 cm | 16 cm |
| Number of X-ray tubes | 1 | 1 | 1 or 2 | 1 |
| Current detector technology | Gemstone Clarity | NanoPanel prism (IQon) | Stellar ^{Infinity} | PURE ^{Vision} |
| Hybrid noise reduction software acronym | ASIR (Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction) | iDose4 (i-dose iterative reconstruction) | IRIS (Iterative Reconstructions in Image Space) | AIDR (Adaptive Iterative Dose Reduction) |
| | | | SAFIRE (Sinogram Affirmed Iterative Reconstruction) | AIDR 3D (Adaptive Iterative Dose Reduction 3D) |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

ADMIRE (Advanced
Modeled Iterative
reconstruction)

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Full noise reduction software acronym | MBIR or Veo (Model-Based Iterative reconstruction) | IMR (Iterative Model-Based reconstruction) | FIRST (Forward Projected Model- based Iterative Reconstruction SoluTion |
|---|---|--|---|

1
2
3
4 **Figure legends**
5

6 **Figure 1:** Long-axis curvilinear reformation of the right coronary artery on a prospective ECG-
7 triggered Coronary CTA scan shows cardiac motion-related blurring artifact of the second segment
8 (A, circle), due to high HR, as shown on the simultaneously recorded ECG (B, average HR = 75
9 bpm).
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17
18 **Figure 2:** Long-axis curvilinear reformation of the right coronary artery on a retrospective ECG-
19 gated Coronary CTA shows staircase artifacts (A, arrows) due to cardiac arrhythmia, as shown on
20 the simultaneously recorded ECG (B).
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 **Figure 3:** High-attenuating Coronary CTA artifacts on long-axis curvilinear reformation images
28 of the left anterior descending artery: (A) is a 65-year-old male with history of multiple coronary
29 stentings. Two stents are visible, with the proximal one being highly attenuating (arrows) and
30 preventing lumen visualization, while the distal stent exhibits a better luminal visibility
31 (arrowhead). (B) is a 69-year-old male with diabetes mellitus. Extensive calcifications prevent
32 luminal assessment (arrows).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Figure 4:** Coronal (A) and axial (B) reformats of Coronary CTA shows low density artifacts
43 (arrows) around the contrast-filled right coronary artery, due to inadequate spiral pitch with regard
44 to HR.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 **Figure 5:** Major changes in Coronary CTA technology over the first decade of the 21th century.
52

53
54 While the number of detectors and the coverage has been increased more than 10-fold, the gantry
55 rotation time has been decreased by more than a half.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Figure 6:** Step-by-step strategies to optimize image quality and radiation dose with coronary CTA

5
6
7
8 Different actions can be implemented to produce sizable effects towards image quality and
9
10 radiation dose optimization with current coronary CTA technology. The gray boxes highlight the
11
12 role of expected technological advances.
13

14
15
16 Coronary CTA = coronary computed tomography angiography; HR= heart rate; BMI = body mass
17
18 index; BP = Blood pressure; kV = Kilovoltage; mA =Milliampere; IR = iterative reconstruction
19

20
21
22 **Figure 7:** Coronary CTA in a 41-year-old male with a HR of 92 bpm. Excellent image quality
23
24 (arrows in A) of the right coronary artery is obtained on the long-axis curvilinear and orthogonal
25
26 reformations after multi-segment reconstruction of whole-heart data acquired over two cardiac
27
28 cycles (arrows in B). The reconstruction of a single-segment data (arrow in D), resulted into a lower
29
30 temporal resolution and caused a poorer image quality (arrows in C).
31
32

33
34
35 **Figure 8:** Long and short axis multiplanar reformats of the right coronary artery on a prospective
36
37 ECG-triggered acquisition with average HR of 67 bpm without (A and B) and with a temporal
38
39 resolution improvement algorithm (C and D), allowing minimization of the cardiac motion-related
40
41 blurring on the right coronary artery and assessment of the stent patency (white arrows in A and
42
43 C).
44
45


46
47
48 **Figure 9:** Coronary CTA in a 55-year-old male with history of left main trunk, left anterior
49
50 descending and circumflex artery stenting. Two different reconstructions algorithms (Standard in
51
52 A and sharper in B) are performed using iterative reconstruction techniques and the smallest field
53
54 of view (8cm). With the sharper reconstruction algorithm, higher image noise is seen as compared
55
56 to the standard reconstruction, but more details of the proximal circumflex artery severe in-stent
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


restenosis are seen (white arrow in B), with a better correlation with catheter coronary angiography
(white arrow in C).


BJR UNCORRECTED PROOFS








Phase: 70.0% to 80.0% ; increment: 10.0
Cine SSCIN
Created: juil. 02, 2012 10:09:00 AM
HR Stats: MIN: 74 AVG: 75 MAX: 79
















Figure 5





BJK

DFS

Figure 6







BJR UN

PROOFS



