

A CRITERION FOR THE JACOBSON SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE
GREEN RING OF A FINITE TENSOR CATEGORY

Peer-reviewed author version

WANG, Zhihua; Li, Libin & ZHANG, Yinhua (2018) A CRITERION FOR THE
JACOBSON SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE GREEN RING OF A FINITE TENSOR
CATEGORY. In: GLASGOW MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL, 60(1), p. 253-272.

DOI: 10.1017/S0017089517000246

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/25368>

A CRITERION FOR THE JACOBSON SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE GREEN RING OF A FINITE TENSOR CATEGORY

ZHIHUA WANG, LIBIN LI, AND YINHUO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ of a finite tensor category \mathcal{C} with finitely many indecomposable objects over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . The first part of this paper is through the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} to determine when the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, or the Green algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over a field K is Jacobson semisimple (namely, has zero Jacobson radical). It turns out that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero in K . For the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ itself, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero. The second part of this paper focuses on the case where $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{k}G)$ for a cyclic group G of order p over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic p . In this case, the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is computable and is shown to be $2p^2$. This leads to a complete description of the Jacobson radical of the Green algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over any field K .

1. Introduction

The Green ring of a finite group, or more generally, the Green ring of a tensor category, has attracted much attention when it was realized that the Green ring provides one context for studying the problem of decomposing a tensor product into a direct sum of indecomposables (see e.g. [3, 7, 9, 14, 16, 26]). After J.A. Green [13] first showed that the Green ring has no nonzero nilpotent elements for any cyclic p -group over a field of characteristic p , much subsequent works have centered on the nilpotency problem, that is, whether or not the Green ring possesses nonzero nilpotent elements.

This question has been completely answered for the Green ring of a finite group. It was known that when the base field is of characteristic p , the Green ring of a finite group G contains nonzero nilpotent elements unless the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic or elementary abelian 2-groups (see [4, 13, 27]). For the Green ring of a Hopf algebra, if H is a finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebra of rank one, then all nilpotent elements of the Green

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G70, 16T05, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. finite tensor category, Green ring, Casimir number, Jacobson radical, Frobenius algebra.

ring of H form a principal ideal, which is nothing but the Jacobson radical of the Green ring (see [23, Theorem 5.4] and [24, Theorem 6.3]). The proofs given for those results were heavily computational, and neither explained the properties of nilpotent elements, nor indicated a criterion for detecting them.

Let \mathcal{C} be a finite tensor category with only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable objects over an algebraically closed field. The Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is a Frobenius algebra over the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} with the bilinear form given by dimensions of morphism spaces (Theorem 3.5). The pair of dual bases associated with this bilinear form is the set consisting of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects $[X]$ together with $\delta_{[X]}^*$, an element in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ related to the almost split sequence ending with X (if X is not projective). The Casimir operator of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is the map c from $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ to its center given by

$$c(x) = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} [X]x\delta_{[X]}^*,$$

where $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of all isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} . The intersection of the image of c and \mathbb{Z} is a principal ideal of \mathbb{Z} generated by a non-negative integer, which is called the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} .

The aim of this paper is through the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} to determine whether or not the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, or the Green algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over a field K is Jacobson semisimple (namely, has zero Jacobson radical). It turns out that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero in K (Theorem 3.7). In the special case when the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is exactly the order of G . This recovers the classical Maschke's theorem which states that $\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K = KG$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the order of G is not zero in K . In view of this, Theorem 3.7 can be regarded as a version of Maschke's theorem for the Green ring case.

For the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ itself, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero (Theorem 3.11). If the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is commutative, then the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. As a consequence, Theorem 3.11 gives a characterization of a commutative Green ring without nonzero nilpotent elements. In particular, this characterization works for the Green ring of a finite group of finite representation type.

In general, it is difficult to calculate the Casimir number of a finite tensor category \mathcal{C} . We only focus on the case $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{k}G)$, where G is a cyclic group of order p and \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . By a straightforward computation, we find that the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is $2p^2$. This shows that the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple, which is

a result of J.A. Green [13]. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the characteristic of K is not equal to 2 or p . In the case where K is of characteristic 2 or p , we use the factorization of the Dickson polynomials to describe the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ explicitly.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the definitions of a Frobenius algebra and the Green ring of a finite tensor category. In Section 3, we show that the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Frobenius algebra over \mathbb{Z} if the underlying finite tensor category \mathcal{C} has finitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism. In this case, we introduce the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} and use it to determine when $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, or $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple. In Section 4, by applying the obtained results to the Green ring of a finite group G , we describe the Jacobson radical of the Green algebra of G completely.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout, \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . The tensor product \otimes stands for $\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$. The letters $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$ stand respectively for ring of integers, the field of rational and complex numbers. The symbol \mathbb{F}_p is a finite field consisting of p elements for a prime p .

2.1. Frobenius algebras. The notion of a Frobenius algebra here is defined directly over \mathbb{Z} , although it can be defined more generally over a commutative ring (see e.g. [20]). In the following of this section, R is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra that is free of finite rank over \mathbb{Z} . Denote by R^* the dual \mathbb{Z} -algebra $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Z})$. A bilinear form $(-, -) : R \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called *associative* if $(ab, c) = (a, bc)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$. It is *symmetric* if $(a, b) = (b, a)$; it is *right* (resp. *left*) *nonsingular* if $R \rightarrow R^*$ given by $a \mapsto (-, a)$ (resp. $a \mapsto (a, -)$) is a \mathbb{Z} -module isomorphism. The form is *nonsingular* if it is both left and right nonsingular. The \mathbb{Z} -algebra R is called *Frobenius* if it is equipped with an associative and nonsingular bilinear form, and is symmetric if the form is symmetric.

If R has two \mathbb{Z} -bases $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ satisfying $(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, then $\{x_i, y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is called a pair of *dual bases* of R . Accordingly, any $a \in R$ can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad a = \sum_{i=1}^n (a, y_i) x_i \quad \text{or} \quad a = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i, a) y_i.$$

The *Casimir operator* of R (see e.g. [20, Section 3.1]) is the map c from R to its center $Z(R)$ defined by

$$c(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a x_i \quad \text{for } a \in R.$$

The map c is independent of the choice of dual bases $\{x_i, y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, because the dual bases $\{x_i, y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ only depends on the bilinear form $(-, -)$ [20, Section 1.2.2]. The element $c(1)$ is called the *Casimir element* of R , it depends on $(-, -)$ only up to a central unit [20, Section 1.2.5]. The image $\text{Im } c$ of c is an ideal of $Z(R)$, called the *Casimir ideal* of R . It does not depend on the choice of the bilinear form [20, Section 3.2]. The intersection of $\text{Im } c$ and \mathbb{Z} is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} , so is a principal ideal generated by a non-negative integer. We call this integer the *Casimir number* of R . Obviously, the Casimir number of R does not depend on the choice of the bilinear form on R .

Any \mathbb{Z} -algebra morphism $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called an *augmentation* of R . Suppose that the Frobenius \mathbb{Z} -algebra R has an augmentation ε . Then any element t of R satisfying $at = \varepsilon(a)t$ for all $a \in R$ is called a *left integral* of R . Similarly, if $ta = \varepsilon(a)t$ for all $a \in R$, then t is a *right integral* of R . All left integrals of R with respect to ε form a \mathbb{Z} -module of rank one generated by $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(x_i)y_i$. Similarly, all right integrals of R with respect to ε form a \mathbb{Z} -module of rank one generated by $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(y_i)x_i$ [20, Section 4.1]. If the set of left integrals of R coincides with the set of right ones, then R is called *unimodular*.

2.2. Almost split sequences. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field. An *abelian category* over \mathbb{k} is an additive category whose morphism spaces are finite dimensional, every object has finite length, and every morphism has a kernel and a cokernel. An abelian category is said to be *finite* if it has only finitely many simple objects X_i for $i \in I$, and each simple object X_i has a projective cover P_i for any $i \in I$. By a *finite tensor category* we will mean a finite abelian rigid tensor category over \mathbb{k} in which the neutral object $\mathbf{1}$ is simple (see e.g. [11] for the full definition).

Let \mathcal{C} be a finite tensor category over \mathbb{k} . Recall from [22, Section 2.2] that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} is called a *source morphism* if f is not a section such that every non-section morphism $X \rightarrow M$ factors through f and every factorization $f = h \circ f$ implies that h an automorphism of Y . Dually, A morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{C} is called a *sink morphism* if g is not a retraction such that every non-retraction morphism $M \rightarrow Z$ factors through g and every factorization $g = g \circ h$ implies that h an automorphism of Y . A source morphism (resp. a sink morphism), if it exists, is unique up to isomorphism. The notion has been introduced originally by Auslander and Reiten under the name minimal left almost split morphism (resp. minimal right almost split morphism) (see e.g. [1]). A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} is called an *almost split sequence* if f is a source morphism and g is a sink morphism.

It is known that any finite tensor category \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the representation category of some finite dimensional weak quasi-Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} [12, Proposition 2.7]. The existence of such an equivalence provides that

every indecomposable non-projective object in \mathcal{C} is always the ending term of an almost split sequence in \mathcal{C} . More precisely, if F defines an equivalence between \mathcal{C} and $\text{Rep}(H)$ for some finite dimensional weak quasi-Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{k} , then for any indecomposable non-projective object Z in \mathcal{C} , $F(Z)$ is also an indecomposable non-projective representation in $\text{Rep}(H)$. Since $\text{Rep}(H)$ has an almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$ ending with $F(Z)$ and the functor F is an equivalence as abelian categories, the above sequence is an image of a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$, which can be easily verified to be an almost split sequence in \mathcal{C} . Such an almost split sequence in \mathcal{C} is unique up to isomorphism. Indeed, an almost split sequence (if it exists) in any additive category is always unique up to isomorphism for the starting term as well as for the ending term (see Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 in [19]).

2.3. Green rings. Let $F(\mathcal{C})$ be the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes of objects in the finite tensor category \mathcal{C} . The abelian group $F(\mathcal{C})$ admits a ring structure with the multiplication given by the tensor product $[X][Y] = [X \otimes Y]$. The *Green ring* $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is defined to be the quotient ring of $F(\mathcal{C})$ modulo the relations $[X \oplus Y] = [X] + [Y]$ for all objects X and Y in \mathcal{C} . The Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is an associative ring with the identity represented by the neutral object $\mathbf{1}$. The set $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of all isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. If \mathcal{C} has a braiding, then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a commutative ring. The reader is referred to [6, 10, 17, 18] for a similar notion of the Green ring of a Hopf algebra.

The *Grothendieck ring* $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the quotient ring of $F(\mathcal{C})$ modulo all short exact sequences in \mathcal{C} , i.e., $[Y] = [X] + [Z]$ holds in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ if $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact. The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ possesses a basis $\{[X_i] \mid i \in I\}$ given by isomorphism classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} . The Green ring and the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} are connected by the following natural ring epimorphism:

$$(2.2) \quad \phi : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \phi([X]) = \sum_{i \in I} [X : X_i][X_i],$$

where $[X : X_i]$ is the multiplicity of X_i in the Jordan-Hölder series of X . Thus, $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) / \ker \phi$. If \mathcal{C} is semisimple, then ϕ is the identity map.

For any indecomposable object Z in \mathcal{C} , if Z is not projective, there exists a unique almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} with the ending term Z , we follow the notation given in [1, Section 4, ChVI] and denote by $\delta_{[Z]}$ the element $[X] - [Y] + [Z]$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$; if Z is projective, we write $\delta_{[Z]} = [Z] - [\text{rad}Z]$, where $\text{rad}Z$ is the radical of Z .

The left dual X^* of any object X induces a left dual operator on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ given by $[X]^* = [X^*]$. This operator is an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ since $(X \otimes Y)^* \cong Y^* \otimes X^*$. The inverse of this operator under composition is the

right dual operator $^*[X] = [^*X]$ induced by the right dual *X of X , since the left and right duals of X satisfy $^*(X^*) \cong X \cong (^*X)^*$ for any object X in \mathcal{C} .

Recall from [12, Section 2.4] that for each object X of \mathcal{C} one can define its *Frobenius-Perron dimension* $\text{FPdim}(X)$, which is the largest positive eigenvalue of the matrix of the left or the right multiplication by X . Since the Frobenius-Perron dimension is additive on exact sequences and multiplicative, the assignment $[X] \mapsto \text{FPdim}(X)$ extends to an algebra morphism $\text{FPdim} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{FPdim}([X_i]) > 0$ for any $i \in I$. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_i, X_j) = 1$ if $i = j$, and 0 otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{FPdim}([X]) &= \sum_{j \in I} \text{FPdim}([X_j])[X : X_j] \\
 (2.3) \quad &= \sum_{i, j \in I} \text{FPdim}([X_i])[X : X_j] \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_i, X_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}([X_i]) \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_i, X) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The *regular object* of \mathcal{C} is the element

$$R_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}(X_i)[P_i] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$$

which satisfies $R_{\mathcal{C}}[X_i] = [X_i]R_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{FPdim}(X_i)R_{\mathcal{C}}$ for any $i \in I$ (see [11, Definition 6.1.6]). Moreover, we have $[X]R_{\mathcal{C}} = R_{\mathcal{C}}[X] = \text{FPdim}(X)R_{\mathcal{C}}$ for any object X in \mathcal{C} since any exact sequence in \mathcal{C} splits after tensoring with a projective object. The *Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C}* is defined to be

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(R_{\mathcal{C}}) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}([X_i])\text{FPdim}([P_i]).$$

3. The Frobenius properties of Green rings

As shown in [3, 25], an approach to study the Green ring of a finite group or the Green ring of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is through the bilinear form defined by dimensions of morphism spaces. In this section, we follow the same approach and define a similar bilinear form on the Green ring of a finite tensor category.

3.1. Bilinear forms. Since there is an equivalence between a finite tensor category \mathcal{C} and $\text{Rep}(H)$ for some finite dimensional weak quasi-Hopf algebra H , many of the technical results of this section can be quoted from Section VI.4 of [1]. However, we shall reprove them along the same lines for reader's convenience. We first need the following lemma (see e.g. [2, Lemma 2.1.6]).

Lemma 3.1. *For all objects X, Y, Z in \mathcal{C} , we have the following canonical isomorphisms functorial in X, Y and Z :*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z \otimes Y^*)$.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y \otimes Z) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^* \otimes X, Z)$.

For all objects X and Y in \mathcal{C} , we define

$$([X], [Y]) := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^*).$$

Then $(-, -)$ extends to a \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 3.2. *The bilinear form $(-, -)$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) $([Y]^*, [X]) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. In particular, $([X], *[Y]) = ([Y]^*, [X])$ and $([X], [Y]) = ([Y]^{**}, [X])$.
- (2) $([X][Y], [Z]) = ([X], [Y][Z])$.

Proof. (1) Using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes X, Y) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes X^*) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^* \otimes \mathbf{1}, X^*) = ([Y]^*, [X]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $([X], *[Y]) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = ([Y]^*, [X])$ and $([X], [Y]) = ([X], *[Y]^*) = ([Y]^{**}, [X])$.

(2) The associativity of the form also follows from Lemma 3.1, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} ([X][Y], [Z]) &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y, Z^*) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z^* \otimes Y^*) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, (Y \otimes Z)^*) = ([X], [Y][Z]). \end{aligned}$$

□

For any $[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$, denote by $\delta_{[X]}^*$ the image of $\delta_{[X]}$ under the left dual operator $*$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. We have the following result which is similar to Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 of [1], see also [25, Lemma 3.2(1),(2),(3)].

Proposition 3.3. *The following hold in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$:*

- (1) For all indecomposable objects Z and M in \mathcal{C} , we have $(\delta_{[Z]}^*, [M]) = 1$ if $Z \cong M$, and 0 if $Z \not\cong M$.
- (2) For any $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, we have $x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (\delta_{[X]}^*, x)[X]$.
- (3) The set $\{\delta_{[X]}^* \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ or $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent.
- (4) The form $(-, -)$ is non-degenerate in the sense that $(y, x) = 0$ (resp. $(x, y) = 0$) for all $y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ implies that $x = 0$.

Proof. (1) If Z is not projective, there exists an almost split sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$$

ending with Z such that g is a sink morphism. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -)$ to this sequence, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, f)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g)} \text{ImHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g) \rightarrow 0$$

Denote by $\text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z)$ the set of all morphisms from M to Z which are not isomorphisms. We claim that $\text{ImHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g) = \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z)$. Indeed, for any $\alpha \in \text{ImHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g)$, there exists some $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Y)$ such that $\alpha = g \circ \beta$. In this case, α is not an isomorphism since g is not a retraction. Conversely, for any $\alpha \in \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z)$, α is not a retraction. Since g is a sink morphism, there exists some $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Y)$ such that $\alpha = g \circ \beta$. Thus, $\alpha \in \text{ImHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g)$. Now $\text{ImHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, g) = \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[Z]}^*, [M]) &= ([X]^*, [M]) - ([Y]^*, [M]) + ([Z]^*, [M]) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Y) + \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & M \cong Z; \\ 0, & M \not\cong Z. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If Z is projective and $M \not\cong Z$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \text{rad}Z)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[Z]}^*, [M]) &= ([Z]^*, [M]) - ([\text{rad}Z]^*, [M]) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, Z) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \text{rad}Z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If Z is projective and $M \cong Z$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, \text{rad}Z) = \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Z)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[Z]}^*, [Z]) &= ([Z]^*, [Z]) - ([\text{rad}Z]^*, [Z]) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Z) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, \text{rad}Z) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Z) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Z) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Part (2) and Part (3) follow from Part (1).

(4) If $(y, x) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, then $(\delta_{[X]}^*, x) = 0$ for all $[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$. It follows from Part (2) that $x = 0$. If $(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, then $x = 0$ since $(x, *y) = (y^*, x)$ by Proposition 3.2 (1). \square

We have the following result which is similar to Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 of [1], see also [25, lemma 3.2 (4),(5)].

Proposition 3.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The set $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is finite.*
- (2) *The set $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$.*

- (3) *The set $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } X \text{ not projective}\}$ forms a basis of $\ker \phi$, where ϕ is the map given in (2.2).*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For any $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, we have $x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (\delta_{[X]}^*, x)[X]$ by Proposition 3.3 (2). If $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is a finite set, then $y = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (x, [X])\delta_{[X]}^*$ is a finite sum and hence an element in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. In this case, $(y, [X]) = (x, [X])$ for all $[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 (4) that $x = y$, and hence x is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of the set $\{\delta_{[X]}^* \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$. We conclude that $\{\delta_{[X]}^* \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$, or equivalently, $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ since it is linearly independent by Proposition 3.3 (3).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $\{\delta_{[X]}^* \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, it is also a basis of the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ over \mathbb{C} . Suppose the regular object of \mathcal{C} has the form $R_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} \delta_{[X]}^*$, where the number of nonzero summands is finite. To verify that $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is a finite set, it is enough to show that $\lambda_{[X]} \neq 0$ for any $[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, on the one hand,

$$(R_{\mathcal{C}}, [X]) = \left(\sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} \delta_{[X]}^*, [X] \right) = \lambda_{[X]}.$$

On the other hand, since $R_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}(X_i)[P_i]$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$(R_{\mathcal{C}}, [X]) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}(X_i) \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_i, X^*) = \text{FPdim}(X^*) > 0.$$

Thus, $\lambda_{[X]} > 0$ for any $[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) We only need to show that $\ker \phi$ is spanned by $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } X \text{ not projective}\}$ since this set is already linearly independent. For any $x \in \ker \phi$, suppose that $x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} \delta_{[X]}$. Note that $\phi(\delta_{[X]}) = 0$ if X is not projective; and $\phi(\delta_{[P_i]}) = [X_i]$ for any $i \in I$. It follows that $0 = \phi(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{[P_i]} [X_i]$, and hence $\lambda_{[P_i]} = 0$ for any $i \in I$, as desired.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) For any $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, we only need to show that x is spanned by the set $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ since it is linearly independent. Suppose that $x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} [X]$. Consider the element $x_0 = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} [X : X_i] \delta_{[P_i]}$. Since $\phi(x) = \phi(x_0)$, we have $x - x_0 \in \ker \phi$ which is spanned by the set $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } X \text{ not projective}\}$. Thus, x is spanned by the set $\{\delta_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$. \square

We summarize the main result of this section as follows:

Theorem 3.5. *If \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category with only finitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism, then the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Frobenius \mathbb{Z} -algebra.*

Proof. Since $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is finite, the set $\{\delta_{[X]}^* \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ by Proposition 3.4 (2). Consider the map from $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ to the dual \mathbb{Z} -algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^*$ given by $x \mapsto (x, -)$ or $x \mapsto (-, x)$. This map is injective by Proposition 3.3 (4) and surjective by Proposition 3.3 (1). Thus, the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is equipped with an associative and nonsingular bilinear form $(-, -)$ with a pair of dual bases $\{\delta_{[X]}^*, [X] \mid [X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})\}$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Frobenius \mathbb{Z} -algebra. \square

Remark 3.6. *If \mathcal{C} is a fusion category, then $\text{ind}(\mathcal{C}) = \{[X_i] \mid i \in I\}$, the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C} and $\delta_{[X_i]}^* = [X_i]^*$ for any $i \in I$. In this case, the set $\{[X_i]^*, [X_i] \mid i \in I\}$ forms a pair of dual bases of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the form $(-, -)$ and the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ coincides with the Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, which is a fusion ring in the sense of [11, Definition 3.1.7].*

Let \mathcal{C} be a finite tensor category with only finitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism. The regular object $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} is an integral of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the augmentation FPdim . Indeed, the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ is a Frobenius algebra over \mathbb{C} , and any $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ can be written as

$$(3.1) \quad x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (\delta_{[X]}^*, x)[X] \quad \text{or} \quad x = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (x, [X])\delta_{[X]}^*.$$

By Section 2.1, a left integral with respect to FPdim is up to a scalar the following element

$$\sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}([X])\delta_{[X]}^* = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} (R_{\mathcal{C}}, [X])\delta_{[X]}^* = R_{\mathcal{C}},$$

and a right integral with respect to FPdim is up to a scalar the element

$$\sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(\delta_{[X]}^*)[X] = \sum_{i \in I} \text{FPdim}(X_i)[P_i] = R_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Thus, the left and right integral spaces coincide and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ is unimodular under the augmentation FPdim .

3.2. The Jacobson semisimplicity of Green rings. In this subsection \mathcal{C} is always a finite tensor category with only finitely many indecomposable objects over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . In this case, the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is a Frobenius algebra over \mathbb{Z} , and all notions given in Section 2.1 make sense for $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. More precisely, the Casimir operator of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is the map c from $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ to its center $Z(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ given by

$$c(x) = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} [X]x\delta_{[X]}^* \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The Casimir element of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is $c(1) = \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} [X] \delta_{[X]}^*$. In particular,

$$\text{FPdim}(c(1)) = \text{FPdim}(R_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is defined to be the Casimir number of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, namely, the non-negative integer m satisfying $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{Im } c = (m)$. This number is an invariant of \mathcal{C} under tensor equivalence because it does not depend on the choice of the bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. From this number one is able to determine when $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, or the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over a field K is Jacobson semisimple.

Theorem 3.7. *The Green algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ over a field K is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero in K .*

Proof. If $K = \mathbb{F}_p$, then the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is separable (namely, Jacobson semisimple) if and only if $(p) \not\subseteq \text{Im } c \cap \mathbb{Z}$ [20, Proposition 6]. If $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is separable if and only if $\text{Im } c = Z(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ by Higman's theorem [15, Theorem 1], or equivalently, $c(x) = 1$ for some $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. This is equivalent to saying that $c(mx) = m$, where m is a positive integer such that $mx \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. This is precisely $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{Im } c \neq 0$. For a general field K , since \mathbb{Q} (resp \mathbb{F}_p) is a perfect field, any field extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ (resp $\mathbb{F}_p \subseteq K$) is separable. This implies that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$) is Jacobson semisimple. We have completed the proof. \square

If $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{Im } c = (m)$, then there exists some $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $c(x) = m$. Applying FPdim to this equality, we have

$$(3.2) \quad m = \text{FPdim}(c(x)) = \text{FPdim}(x) \text{FPdim} c(1) = \text{FPdim}(x) \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}).$$

This means that the Casimir number m of \mathcal{C} is in a sense divisible by $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, we have the following result which is a generalization of [20, Proposition 22(a)].

Corollary 3.8. *If $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$ for a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H of finite representation type, then*

- (1) *The dimension of H divides the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} .*
- (2) *If a prime p divides the dimension of H , then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is not Jacobson semisimple for any field K of characteristic p .*

Proof. (1) If $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$ for a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H , then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(H)$ and $\text{FPdim}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(X)$ for any object X in \mathcal{C} (see [11, Proposition 6.1.14]). In this case, Part (1) follows directly from (3.2).

(2) It follows from Part (1) and Theorem 3.7. \square

Remark 3.9. *Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{C} the discrete tensor category associated to G . Namely, the set of objects of \mathcal{C} is G , the tensor functor is*

$g \otimes h = gh$ for $g, h \in G$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(g, h) = \text{id}_g$ if $g = h$, and \emptyset if $g \neq h$. The Green ring of \mathcal{C} is the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, and $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{Im } c = (m)$, where m is the order of G . It follows from Theorem 3.7 that $\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K = KG$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if m is not zero in K . This is exactly the well-known Maschke's theorem. From this point of view, Theorem 3.7 can be viewed as the Green ring version of Maschke's theorem.

An interesting result is that the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} can also be used to determine when the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple. To see this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ be the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ and $p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ the ideal of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ generated by a prime p .*

- (1) *We have $(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})))^n \subseteq p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ for some integer n .*
- (2) *If $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{Im } c = (m)$ and $p \nmid m$, then $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. (1) The ring isomorphism $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ shows that the quotient $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is a finite ring. So the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is nilpotent [21, Proposition IV.7]. The canonical ring epimorphism $\pi : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ yields that $\pi(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))) \subseteq J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$, so $\pi(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})))$ is nilpotent. Thus, there exists a positive integer n such that $(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})))^n$ is contained in the kernel of π , namely, $(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})))^n \subseteq p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$.

(2) If the prime p satisfies $p \nmid m$, then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is Jacobson semisimple by Theorem 3.7. In this case, $\pi(J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))) \subseteq J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})/p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) = 0$. This implies that $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *The Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero.*

Proof. Assume that the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is zero. Consider the finite dimensional algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ over \mathbb{Q} . We first show that the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is zero. For any $x \in J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$, there exists a nonzero integer n such that $nx \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \cap J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. For any $y, z \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, we have $y(nx)z \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \cap J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. Since $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ is nilpotent, $1 - y(nx)z$ is invertible in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. This means that $nx \in J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) = 0$, and hence $x = 0$. Now $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is Jacobson semisimple, it follows from Theorem 3.7 that the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero in \mathbb{Q} , so it is a nonzero integer. Conversely, if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is $m \neq 0$, then the set Ω consisting of all primes p such that $p \nmid m$ is an infinite set. For any $x \in J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$, we may write $x = d \sum_{[X] \in \text{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \lambda_{[X]} [X]$, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all integer coefficients $\lambda_{[X]}$ are coprime. By Lemma 3.10 (2) we have $J(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq p\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ for all $p \in \Omega$. It follows that $p \mid d$ for all $p \in \Omega$. Thus, $d = 0$, and hence $x = 0$. \square

If the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is commutative, then the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. As a consequence, Theorem 3.11 gives a characterization of a commutative Green ring without nonzero nilpotent elements. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is a representation category of a finite group of finite representation type, then the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is commutative. In this case, the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ has no nonzero nilpotent elements if and only if the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is not zero.

4. Applications to the Green ring of a finite group

In this section we shall determine the Casimir number of a representation category of a finite group, and then use it to describe the Jacobson radical of the Green algebra over a field K .

4.1. The Green ring. In the following p is an odd prime, \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , and G is a cyclic group of order p . The group algebra $\mathbb{k}G$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[X]$ modulo the ideal $(X^p - 1)$ generated by $X^p - 1$ or $(X - 1)^p$:

$$\mathbb{k}G \cong \mathbb{k}[X]/(X^p - 1) \cong \mathbb{k}[X]/(X - 1)^p,$$

where the latter is a commutative Nakayama local algebra over \mathbb{k} . Let $M_i = \mathbb{k}[X]/(X - 1)^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_p\}$ is a complete set of indecomposable $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules up to isomorphism [1, Section 4, ChV]. Here, each M_i is self-dual since M_i is the unique indecomposable module of dimension i . Note that M_1 is the trivial $\mathbb{k}G$ -module.

We follow from [1, Section 4, ChV] and present almost split sequences of $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules as follows. The almost split sequence ending with the trivial module M_1 is

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

and the almost split sequence ending with M_i is

$$0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \oplus M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } 1 < i < p.$$

Note that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_2 \otimes M_i \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow 0$$

is also an almost split sequence ending with M_i for $1 \leq i < p$ (see [1, Theorem 4.7]). The uniqueness of an almost split sequence shows that $M_2 \otimes M_i \cong M_{i+1} \oplus M_{i-1}$ for $1 < i < p$. We also have $M_2 \otimes M_p \cong 2M_p$. This leads to the product $[M_2][M_i] = [M_{i-1}] + [M_{i+1}]$ for $1 < i < p$, and $[M_2][M_p] = 2[M_p]$ in the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ for $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{k}G)$. The product $[M_i][M_j]$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ can be described as follows.

Lemma 4.1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, we have*

$$(1) \text{ If } i + j \leq p, \text{ then } [M_i][M_j] = \sum_{t=0}^{\min\{i,j\}-1} [M_{i+j-1-2t}].$$

(2) If $i+j \geq p+1$, then $[M_i][M_j] = (i+j-p)[M_p] + \sum_{t=i+j-p}^{\min\{i,j\}-1} [M_{i+j-1-2t}]$.

Proof. This can be proved by induction on $i+j$, or see [23, Proposition 4.2] for a similar result. \square

Let $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]$ be a polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} with variables X_2, \dots, X_p and I the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]$ generated by

$$X_2^2 - X_3 - 1, X_2X_3 - X_4 - X_2, \dots, X_2X_{p-1} - X_p - X_{p-2}, X_2X_p - 2X_p.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I$$

whose isomorphism is given by $[M_i] \mapsto \overline{X_i}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, p$ (see [1, Proposition 4.11]). Actually, the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} with one variable modulo a relation. To see this, we recall the Dickson polynomials of the second kind defined recursively as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad E_0(X) = 1, E_1(X) = X, \text{ and } E_{i+1}(X) = XE_i(X) - E_{i-1}(X) \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Then $E_n(X)$ can be written explicitly as

$$E_n(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-i}{i} (-1)^i X^{n-2i}$$

for $n \geq 0$ (see e.g. [8, Eq.(1.2)]).

Proposition 4.2. *We have $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$.*

Proof. Consider the following ring epimorphism ϕ from $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ given by $g(X_2, \dots, X_p) \mapsto \overline{g(E_1(X), \dots, E_{p-1}(X))}$. By (4.1) we have $\phi(I) = 0$. This induces a ring epimorphism $\overline{\phi}$ from $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I$ to $\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X)) \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \overline{\phi} & \\ \mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I, & & \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical ring epimorphism. Define another ring morphism φ from $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I$ by $\varphi(f(X)) = \overline{f(X_2)}$. By induction on i one is able to check that $\overline{E_{i-1}(X_2)} = \overline{X_i}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, p$ hold in $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I$. Thus, φ is surjective. In particular,

$$\varphi((X-2)E_{p-1}(X)) = \overline{(X_2-2)E_{p-1}(X_2)} = \overline{(X_2-2)X_p} = 0.$$

Hence φ induces a ring epimorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ from $\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ to $\mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[X] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{Z}[X_2, \dots, X_p]/I \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\varphi} & \\ \mathbb{Z}[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X)) & & \end{array}$$

Now it is straightforward to check that $\bar{\phi} \circ \bar{\varphi} = id$ and $\bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\phi} = id$, as desired. \square

The almost split sequences of $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules are useful to calculate the dimensions of morphism spaces, although it is not closely related to the topic of this section. According to the notion $\delta_{[M]}$ in Section 2.3, we have

$$\delta_{[M_i]} = \begin{cases} 2 - [M_2], & i = 1; \\ 2[M_i] - [M_{i+1}] - [M_{i-1}], & 1 < i < p; \\ [M_p] - [M_{p-1}], & i = p. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $\delta_{[M_i]} = \delta_{[M_1]}[M_i]$ for $1 < i < p$ and $\delta_{[M_1]}[M_p] = 0$. This gives the following relation between the bases $\{\delta_{[M_i]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ and $\{[M_i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{[M_1]} \\ \delta_{[M_2]} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{[M_{p-1}]} \\ \delta_{[M_p]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [M_1] \\ [M_2] \\ \vdots \\ [M_{p-1}] \\ [M_p] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & p-1 & p-1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & p-1 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

whose (i, j) -entry is $\min\{i, j\}$. By (3.1) we have

$$[M_i] = \sum_{j=1}^p ([M_i], [M_j]) \delta_{[M_j]}^* = \sum_{j=1}^p \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}G}(M_i, M_j) \delta_{[M_j]}$$

since the dual operator $*$ on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is the identity map. It follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}G}(M_i, M_j) = \min\{i, j\}$.

4.2. The Casimir number. The Casimir operator c of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is

$$c(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p [M_i] x \delta_{[M_i]}^* = \sum_{i=1}^p [M_i] x \delta_{[M_i]} = xc(1) \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$$

since $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is commutative. To determine the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} , we need to describe the Casimir element $c(1)$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 4.3. *The Casimir element $c(1) = [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) [M_i]$.*

Proof. Firstly, it is straightforward to check (by Lemma 4.1) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [M_i]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - i \right) [M_{2i-1}] = \sum_{\frac{p+1}{2}}^{p-1} [M_i]^2.$$

Using this equality we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^p [M_i] \delta_{[M_i]} = \delta_{[M_1]} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [M_i]^2 + [M_p] ([M_p] - [M_{p-1}]) \\ &= [M_p] + \delta_{[M_1]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [M_i]^2 + \sum_{\frac{p+1}{2}}^{p-1} [M_i]^2 \right) = [M_p] + 2\delta_{[M_1]} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [M_i]^2 \\ &= [M_p] + 2\delta_{[M_1]} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - i \right) [M_{2i-1}] \\ &= [M_p] + 2(2 - [M_2]) \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - i \right) [M_{2i-1}] \\ &= [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - i \right) (2[M_{2i-1}] - [M_{2i}] - [M_{2i-2}]) \\ &= [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) [M_i]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Note that $\{[M_t], \delta_{[M_t]} \mid t = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$ forms a pair of dual bases of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$. For any $x \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, $c(x)$ has the form $c(x) = \sum_{t=1}^p (\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) [M_t]$ by (3.1). Thus, the coefficient of $[M_t]$ in the linear expression of $c(x)$ is $(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x))$. In the following, we need to compute $(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x))$ for $1 \leq t \leq p$.

Lemma 4.4. *If $x = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j [M_j]$, then $(\delta_{[M_p]}, c(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (2i-1) \lambda_{2i-1}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(x) &= c(1)x = ([M_p] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) [M_i]) \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j [M_j] \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j [M_i] [M_j] \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i+j=p+1}^{2p} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i)(i+j-p) \lambda_j [M_p] + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mu_i [M_i],
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.1 (2) with some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\delta_{[M_p]}, c(x)) &= \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j + 2 \sum_{i+j=p+1}^{2p} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i)(i+j-p) \lambda_j \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=p+1-j}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i)(i+j-p) \lambda_j \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{k-j} (j-k) k \lambda_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^k (j-k) k = \begin{cases} 0, & 2 \nmid j; \\ -\frac{j}{2}, & 2 \mid j. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$(\delta_{[M_p]}, c(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^p j \lambda_j - \sum_{2 \mid j, j=1}^p j \lambda_j = \sum_{2 \nmid j, j=1}^p j \lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (2i-1) \lambda_{2i-1}.$$

We have completed the proof. \square

To describe $(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x))$ for $1 \leq t \leq p-1$, we need some preparations. The left multiplication by $[M_t]$ with respect to the basis $\{[M_1], [M_2], \dots, [M_p]\}$ corresponds to a matrix \mathbf{M}_t . That is,

$$[M_t] \begin{pmatrix} [M_1] \\ [M_2] \\ \vdots \\ [M_p] \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_t \begin{pmatrix} [M_1] \\ [M_2] \\ \vdots \\ [M_p] \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we denote by $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}$ the square matrix of order p with (i,j) -entry 1, and 0 otherwise, then \mathbf{M}_t can be written explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}_t &= \mathbf{E}_{1,t} + \mathbf{E}_{2,t+1} + \mathbf{E}_{3,t+2} + \cdots + \mathbf{E}_{p-t,p-1} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E}_{2,t-1} + \mathbf{E}_{3,t} + \mathbf{E}_{4,t+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{E}_{p-t+1,p-2} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E}_{3,t-2} + \mathbf{E}_{4,t-1} + \mathbf{E}_{5,t} + \cdots + \mathbf{E}_{p-t+2,p-3} \\
(4.2) \quad &\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E}_{t,1} + \mathbf{E}_{t+1,2} + \mathbf{E}_{t+2,3} + \cdots + \mathbf{E}_{p-1,p-t} \\
&\quad + t\mathbf{E}_{p,p} + (t-1)\mathbf{E}_{p-1,p} + (t-2)\mathbf{E}_{p-2,p} + \cdots + \mathbf{E}_{p-t+1,p} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-t} \mathbf{E}_{s+r-1,t+r-s} + \sum_{s=1}^t (t+1-s)\mathbf{E}_{p-s+1,p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *If $x = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j [M_j]$, then*

$$(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) = 2(p-t) \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{t+i} i \lambda_i + 2t \sum_{i=t+1}^{p-1} (-1)^{t+i} (p-i) \lambda_i$$

for $1 \leq t \leq p-1$.

Proof. Let $[M_i][M_j] = \sum_{t=1}^p N_{ij}^t [M_t]$ for $N_{ij}^t \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $1 \leq i, j, t \leq p-1$, the associativity of the form $(-, -)$ over $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ together with the commutativity of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{ij}^t &= (\delta_{[M_t]}, [M_i][M_j]) = (\delta_{[M_1]}[M_t], [M_i][M_j]) \\
(4.3) \quad &= (\delta_{[M_1]}[M_j], [M_t][M_i]) = (\delta_{[M_j]}, [M_t][M_i]) \\
&= N_{ti}^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(x) &= c(1)x = ([M_p] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) [M_i]) \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j [M_j] \\
&= \mu_1 [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j [M_i][M_j] \quad (\text{for some } \mu_1 \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
&= \mu_1 [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j \sum_{t=1}^p N_{ij}^t [M_t] \\
&= \mu_2 [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i,j,t=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j N_{ij}^t [M_t] \quad (\text{for some } \mu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
&= \mu_2 [M_p] + 2 \sum_{i,j,t=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j N_{ti}^j [M_t] \quad \text{by (4.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j N_{ti}^j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq p-1.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_t$ be the submatrix of \mathbf{M}_t obtained by deleting the p -th column and row. By (4.2) we have $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-t} \mathbf{E}_{s+r-1, t+r-s}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) &= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (p-i) \lambda_j N_{ti}^j \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_t \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ -(p-2) \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{p-2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \sum_{s=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-t} \mathbf{E}_{s+r-1, t+r-s} \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ -(p-2) \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{p-2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2 \sum_{s=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-t} (-1)^{t+r-s-1} (p-t-r+s) \lambda_{s+r-1} \\ &= 2(p-t) \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{t+i} i \lambda_i + 2t \sum_{i=t+1}^{p-1} (-1)^{t+i} (p-i) \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

The Casimir number of \mathcal{C} can be presented as follows:

Theorem 4.6. *The Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is $2p^2$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j [M_j]$. Then $c(x) = \sum_{t=1}^p (\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) [M_t]$. If $c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $(\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) = 0$ for $t = 2, 3, \dots, p$. However,

$$(4.4) \quad (\delta_{[M_t]}, c(x)) = 2(p-t) \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{t+i} i \lambda_i + 2t \sum_{i=t+1}^{p-1} (-1)^{t+i} (p-i) \lambda_i$$

for $t = 2, 3, \dots, p-1$ (see Lemma 4.5), and

$$(\delta_{[M_p]}, c(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (2i-1) \lambda_{2i-1}$$

(see Lemma 4.4). This gives a system of equations with variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$. Consider the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\delta_{[M_{p-1}]}, c(x)) = 0 \\ (\delta_{[M_{p-2}]}, c(x)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Using (4.4) it is not hard to see that $\lambda_{p-1} = 0$. Similarly, the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (\delta_{[M_{p-2}]}, c(x)) = 0 \\ (\delta_{[M_{p-3}]}, c(x)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

together with $\lambda_{p-1} = 0$ shows that $\lambda_{p-2} = 0$. Repeating this argument we obtain that $\lambda_{p-1} = \lambda_{p-2} = \dots = \lambda_3 = 0$. Now the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (\delta_{[M_2]}, c(x)) = 0 \\ (\delta_{[M_p]}, c(x)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = 0 \\ \lambda_1 + p\lambda_p = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\lambda_1 = 2p\mu$, $\lambda_2 = p\mu$, $\lambda_p = -2\mu$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[M_1]}, c(x)) &= 2(p-1)\lambda_1 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} (-1)^{1+i} (p-i)\lambda_i \\ &= 2(p-1)\lambda_1 - 2(p-2)\lambda_2 \\ &= 2p^2\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\text{Im } c \cap \mathbb{Z} = (2p^2)$. □

4.3. The Jacobson radical. Since the Casimir number of \mathcal{C} is $2p^2 \neq 0$, the Green ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ is Jacobson semisimple. This is exactly a result of J.A. Green [13]. For the Green algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$, it follows from Theorem 3.7 that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ is Jacobson semisimple if and only if the characteristic of K is not equal to 2 or p . In the following, we use the factorization of the Dickson polynomials to determine the generators of the Jacobson radicals of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$, or equivalently, $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ (see Proposition 5.2) in the cases where K is of characteristic 2 or p .

Proposition 4.7. *If the characteristic of K is p , then the Jacobson radical of $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is a principal ideal generated by $\overline{X^2 - 4}$.*

Proof. We have the decomposition $E_{p-1}(X) = (X-2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(X+2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ in $K[X]$ [8, Theorem 3.1 (2)]. Thus, the polynomial $(X-2)E_{p-1}(X)$ has only two distinct prime factors $X-2$ and $X+2$. Since $K[X]$ is a principal ideal domain and every nonzero prime ideal is maximal, the Jacobson radical of

$K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is a principal ideal generated by $\overline{(X-2)(X+2)}$, which is the product of distinct prime factors of $(X-2)E_{p-1}(X)$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *If the characteristic of K is 2, then the Jacobson radical of $K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X))$ is a principal ideal generated by*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{p-1-i}{i} (-1)^i \overline{X^{\frac{p+1}{2}-i}}.$$

Proof. Since the characteristic of K is 2, we have the following isomorphism:

$$(4.5) \quad K[X]/((X-2)E_{p-1}(X)) \cong K[X]/(XE_{p-1}(X)).$$

The Dickson polynomial $E_{p-1}(X)$ in $K[X]$ can be written as

$$E_{p-1}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{p-1-i}{i} (-1)^i X^{p-1-2i} = (f(X))^2,$$

where

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{p-1-i}{i} (-1)^i X^{\frac{p-1}{2}-i}$$

and it has no multiple factors in $K[X]$ [5, Theorem 6]. It follows that the Jacobson radical of $K[X]/(XE_{p-1}(X))$ is a principal ideal generated by $\overline{Xf(X)}$. We have completed the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras* (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. **36**, Cambridge, 1994).
- [2] B. Bakalov and A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors* (Vol. **21**, Providence: AMS, 2001).
- [3] D. J. Benson, The Green ring of a finite group, *J. Algebra* **87** (1984), 290-331.
- [4] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, Nilpotent elements in the Green ring, *J. Algebra* **104** (1986), 329-350.
- [5] M. Bhargava and M. E. Zieve, Factoring Dickson polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* **5**(2) (1999), 103-111.
- [6] H. Chen, The Green ring of Drinfeld double $D(H_4)$, *Algebras and Representation Theory* **17** (5) (2014), 1457-1483.
- [7] H. Chen, F. V. Oystaeyen and Y. Zhang, The Green rings of Taft algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), 765-775.
- [8] W. S. Chou, The factorization of Dickson polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* **3** (1997), 84-96.
- [9] E. Darpö and M. Herschend, On the representation ring of the polynomial algebra over perfect field, *Math. Z.* **265** (2011), 605-615.
- [10] M. Domokos and T. H. Lenagan, Representation rings of quantum groups, *J. Algebra* **282** (2004), 103-128.
- [11] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. **205**, AMS, 2015).

- [12] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, Finite tensor categories, *Mosc. Math. J* **4**(3) (2004), 627-654.
- [13] J. A. Green, A transfer theorem for modular representations, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 73-84.
- [14] J. A. Green, The modular representation algebra of a finite group, *Ill. J. Math.* **6**(4) (1962), 607-619.
- [15] D. G. Higman, On orders in separable algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* **7** (1955), 509-515.
- [16] H. Huang, F. V. Oystaeyen, Y. Yang, and Y. Zhang, The Green rings of pointed tensor categories of finite type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 333-342.
- [17] Y. Li and N. Hu, The Green rings of the 2-rank Taft algebra and its two relatives twisted, *J. Algebra* **410** (2014), 1-35.
- [18] L. Li and Y. Zhang, The Green rings of the generalized Taft Hopf algebras, *Contemp. Math.* **585** (2013), 275-288.
- [19] S. Liu, Auslander-Reiten theory in a Krull-Schmidt category, *The São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **4**(3) (2010), 425-472.
- [20] M. Lorenz, Some applications of Frobenius algebras to Hopf algebras, *Contemp. Math.* **537** (2011), 269-289.
- [21] B. R. McDonald, *Finite rings with identity* (Vol. **28**, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, 1974).
- [22] C. M. Ringel, *Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **1099**, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1984).
- [23] Z. Wang, L. Li and Y. Zhang, Green rings of pointed rank one Hopf algebras of nilpotent type, *Algebras and Representation Theory* **17** (6) (2014), 1901-1924.
- [24] Z. Wang, L. Li and Y. Zhang, Green rings of pointed rank one Hopf algebras of non-nilpotent type, *J. Algebra* **449** (2016), 108-137.
- [25] Z. Wang, L. Li and Y. Zhang, Bilinear forms on the Green rings of finite dimensional Hopf algebras, arXiv:1512.05621.
- [26] S. J. Witherspoon, The representation ring of the quantum double of a finite group, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 305-329.
- [27] J. Zemanek, Nilpotent elements in representation rings, *J. Algebra* **19** (1971), 453-469.

Z. WANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, CHINA
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TAIZHOU UNIVERSITY, TAIZHOU 225300,
 CHINA

E-mail address: mailzhihua@126.com

L. LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, CHINA

E-mail address: lbli@yzu.edu.cn

Y. ZHANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HASSELT, UNIVERSI-
 TAIRE CAMPUS, 3590 DIEPEENBEEK, BELGIUM

E-mail address: yinhuo.zhang@uhasselt.be