# Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Incorporating Cs and Sr into blast furnace slag inorganic polymers and their effect on matrix properties Peer-reviewed author version

VANDEVENNE, Niels; Ion Iacobescu, Remus; Pontikes, Yiannis; CARLEER, Robert; THIJSSEN, Elsy; GIJBELS, Katrijn; SCHREURS, Sonja & SCHROEYERS, Wouter (2018) Incorporating Cs and Sr into blast furnace slag inorganic polymers and their effect on matrix properties. In: JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MATERIALS, 503, p. 1-12.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jnucmat.2018.02.023 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/25994

1	Incorporating Cs and Sr into blast furnace slag inorganic polymers
2	and their effect on matrix properties
3	Niels Vandevenne <sup>a</sup> , Remus Ion Iacobescu <sup>b</sup> , Yiannis Pontikes <sup>b</sup> , Robert Carleer <sup>c</sup> , Elsy Thijssen <sup>c</sup> , Katrijn Gijbels <sup>a</sup> ,
4	Sonja Schreurs <sup>a</sup> , Wouter Schroeyers <sup>a,*</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> Hasselt University, CMK, Nuclear Technological Centre (NuTeC), Faculty of Engineering Technology, Agoralaan,
6	Gebouw H, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium
7	<sup>b</sup> KU Leuven, Department of Materials Engineering, Kasteelpark Arenberg 44, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
8	<sup>c</sup> Hasselt University, CMK, Research Group of Applied and Analytical Chemistry, Agoralaan, Gebouw D, 3590
9	Diepenbeek, Belgium
10	
11	
12	
13	* Corresponding author: Prof. dr. Wouter Schroeyers, e-mail address:
14	wouter.schroeyers@uhasselt.be
15	

#### 16 Abstract

17 Minimizing harmful effects to the environment in waste-management practices requires continuous 18 innovation. This is especially important in the field of radioactive waste management. Alternatives to 19 the commonly used ordinary Portland cement matrices are being increasingly studied for improved 20 immobilisation purposes. The development of inorganic polymers (IP) from industrial residues has 21 been successfully studied for the immobilisation of caesium (Cs<sup>+</sup>) and strontium (Sr<sup>2+</sup>). However, 22 knowledge of the effect of these introduced elements on the IP-matrix is scarce, especially 23 considering that studied effects are dependent on the IP-precursor characteristics and the form in 24 which the Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> are introduced. In this study, IPs containing varying amounts of CsNO<sub>3</sub> and 25  $Sr(NO_3)_2$  were developed to study the effect of the introduced elements on the IP-characteristics. IP-26 samples were developed from ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) and 6 M NaOH activating solution. Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> were added to account for 0.5, 1 and 2 wt% of the total IP-mass. 27 28 Throughout the entire study, Cs<sup>+</sup>-addition showed no significant effects on the studied parameters. 29 Calorimetric results showed that Sr<sup>2+</sup> severely affects reaction kinetics, consuming hydroxide ions necessary for the alkali activation reaction. Sr<sup>2+</sup>-addition also caused a severe decrease in 30 31 compressive strength, increased calcium leaching, and decreased sodium and hydroxide leaching. 32 Micro-chemical analyses showed that Cs<sup>+</sup> is almost fully incorporated in the formed IP-matrix, while 33  $Sr^{2+}$  mainly precipitates as  $Sr(OH)_2$  in concentrated regions throughout the IP-structure. The findings 34 presented in this paper give insights on the effect of contaminant elements on the immobilizing 35 matrix.

#### 36 Keywords

37 Inorganic polymer, waste immobilisation, slag, caesium, strontium, alkali activation

#### 38 1 Introduction

To address health and environmental risks associated with long-term storage of radioactive waste 39 40 (RAW), researchers have been looking for better performing alternatives to the ordinary Portland 41 cement (OPC) matrices vastly used by the nuclear industry for RAW-immobilisation; these 42 alternatives include calcium-sulfoaluminate-cement, calcium-aluminate-cement, and 43 geopolymer/inorganic polymer-type matrices (based on metakaolin and sodium silicate) [1]. Immobilising hazardous cations like caesium-137 ( $^{137}Cs^+$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  = 30.05 a) and strontium-90 ( $^{90}Sr^{2+}$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  = 44 45 28.80 a) is key in handling RAW, especially concerning nuclear power plants [2,3], since these 46 radionuclides are most often present in cooling water of nuclear reactors [4]. The main solidification 47 mechanism for cationic species in OPC-based binders, which is based on precipitation of the 48 corresponding hydroxides due to the highly alkaline pore solution, is not valid for Cs<sup>+</sup> causing a low 49 retention and high diffusion towards the biosphere [5]. According to Wieland et al. (2008), Sr<sup>2+-</sup> uptake in OPC occurs mainly as partially hydrated species, binding Sr<sup>2+</sup> to calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-50 51 S-H) phases [6]. They concluded that the Sr<sup>2+</sup>-binding is mainly a reversible process, with only a small part of the Sr<sup>2+</sup> being bound in the cement-structure, and that Sr<sup>2+</sup> is bound to surface sites of C-S-H 52 53 via bridging oxygen atoms through ion exchange interactions with Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> on silanol-groups of the C-S-H-phases (in line with an earlier study of Tits et al. (2006) [7]) [6]. 54

55 A promising option for a more effective immobilisation matrix is the use of inorganic polymers (IPs). 56 IPs generally demonstrate a higher fire/acid resistance in comparison to OPC and an increasing 57 number of studies is dedicated to the suitability of IPs for RAW-immobilisation, using a variety of industrial wastes as precursors for the IPs [2,4,8–17]. However, introduced species can have a large 58 impact on the properties of the immobilisation matrix, and this effect has been studied to a much 59 60 lesser extent [18,19]. Also, the conclusions of these studies are not easily generalized due to high 61 variability in precursor composition, and the differences between high-Ca and low-Ca precursors 62 regarding the IP microstructure and strength development. Provis et al. (2008) studied the effect of

63 caesium and strontium salts (nitrates, sulphates and hydroxides) on metakaolin-based IPs and found that insoluble SrCO<sub>3</sub> formed in all Sr<sup>2+</sup>-containing samples [18]. They also found that the excess of 64 65 nitrates precipitates as NaNO<sub>3</sub> and that addition of CsNO<sub>3</sub> caused a clear delaying effect on geopolymer binder formation, being significant even at 0.10 or 0.50 wt% CsNO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, they 66 67 reported a disruption of the pore structure formation, caused by the presence of ions in the pore 68 solution (particularly the bulky NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>); these anions are repelled by the negatively charged 69 aluminosilicate framework preventing condensation reactions from taking place [18]. This 70 obstruction of gel-hardening was also observed by Komnitsas et al. (2013), who found that the 71 compressive strength of their samples decreased by the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, since these 72 consume part of the alkali activator cations, hindering the geopolymerization reactions [20]. Provis et al. (2008) discussed that Sr<sup>2+</sup> added as a nitrate will probably behave similarly to Ca<sup>2+</sup> during 73 74 geopolymer formation [18]. They noticed a dramatic decrease in the resistivity of the geopolymer 75 binder and attributed this effect to the presence of the nitrate ion, causing a combination of 76 increased pore size and connectivity, and subsequent mobility of the nitrate ions through the 77 solution-filled pores [18]. They didn't observe this effect as strongly in the CsNO<sub>3</sub>-containing samples and attributed this to the lower nitrate concentration [18]. According to Kuenzel et al. (2015), Sr<sup>2+</sup> 78 79 uptake in geopolymer activated by a Na<sup>+</sup>-activating solution is limited to 0.4 mol Sr<sup>2+</sup> per mol of 80 aluminium, and an excess of  $Sr^{2+}$  is immobilised by precipitation as hydroxide or carbonate phases 81 [4]. Peng et al. (2016) found that the addition of strontium to metakaolin-zeolite geopolymers caused 82 a hysteresis effect [19]. They attributed the longer setting time to three possible causes: (1) 83 precipitation of Sr<sup>2+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> or [SiO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>, passivating the surface of the precursors and slowing down dissolution; (2) reaction of  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $OH^{-}$  or  $[SiO_4]^{4-}$  resulting in a decrease in the number of hydroxide-84 85 ions and silicon-oxygen tetrahedrons; (3) the introduced ions lower the diffusion rate of all ions 86 present in the system as a function of charge attraction and repulsion, and so postponing the geopolymer gel formation [19]. They also reported that Sr<sup>2+</sup> takes part in the polymerization reaction 87 and that the Sr<sup>2+</sup>-ion can replace several Na<sup>+</sup>-ions for charge balancing purposes [19]. 88

89 Fewer studies exist on the use of alkali-activated ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) for 90 immobilising caesium and strontium in comparison with e.g. alkali-activated metakaolin. According to Gong and White (2016), the primary reaction product in GGBFS-based IPs is a C-(N)-A-S-H gel 91 (calcium-sodium-aluminium-silicate-hydrate), resembling a highly disordered C-S-H [21]. The 92 reported use of IPs based on GGBFS for immobilising Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> is scarce [2,9]. Qian et al. (2001) 93 prepared GGBFS IPs containing 0.5 wt% Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> and found them to immobilize Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> better 94 95 than an OPC matrix [9]. A similar result was reported by Guangren et al. (2002) [2]. Some authors 96 have added GGBFS as an additive in IPs based on different precursors [14,15,22]. However, the effect 97 of caesium- and strontium-addition on the IP-characteristics is not thoroughly investigated. To fill this 98 existing knowledge gap, IPs based solely on GGBFS and a NaOH activating solution are developed for 99 the immobilisation of Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup>. This paper discusses the effect of the introduced species on IP 100 reaction kinetics and physical and mechanical properties.

## 101 2 Materials and methods

102 Commercially available GGBFS was used as a precursor for the IPs. The slag was first dried at 110 °C 103 to constant weight and then milled for 6 h (Attritor ball mill type 1S, Wiener & Co.). The density of 104 the slag was measured 2.91 ± 0.01 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Quantachrome Multipycnometer MVP-6DC). The fineness 105 of the resulting GGBFS powder was measured according to EN 196-6 [23] and found to be 5900 ± 100 106 cm<sup>2</sup>/g. The chemical composition of the GGBFS was determined by means of X-ray fluorescence 107 spectroscopy and is shown in **Table 1** (results expressed as oxide, except for Cl).

108

**Table 1:** GGBFS oxide and chloride composition.

Compound	wt%
CaO	42.4
SiO <sub>2</sub>	33.5
$AI_2O_3$	11.3
MgO	8.5
SO₃	1.9
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.7

Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.4
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.4
$Fe_2O_3$	0.4
MnO	0.2
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.1
SrO	0.1
Cl	0.1

110	IP-pastes were produced by mixing the prepared GGBFS-powder with a 6 mol/L NaOH activating
111	solution at a liquid-over-solid ratio (L/S) of 0.37, found to be the optimum in an earlier study [24].
112	The activating solution was prepared from NaOH-pellets (Fischer Scientific, 98.44 % pure) and type II
113	distilled water. $Cs^+$ and $Sr^{2+}$ were added as nitrates (CsNO <sub>3</sub> , Alfa Aesar 99.8%; Sr(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , Emsure
114	99.0%) to account for 0, 0.5, 1 and 2 wt% of the final IP-mass (solid precursor + activating solution +
115	added nitrates). These amounts were fixed based on literature and earlier experiments (among
116	which our earlier study [24]). The mixtures were cast in 20 x 20 x 80 mm <sup>3</sup> moulds and covered with
117	plastic foil to prevent dehydration. The hardened samples were demoulded after 1 day, placed in
118	plastic containers, and allowed to further cure at 21 $\pm$ 2 °C for a total curing time of 28 days. The mix
119	designs are given in Table 2.

# Table 2: Inorganic polymer mix design (wt%).

.01
.01
.01
.01
.0 .0 .0

IP_Sr_1	71.24 ± 0.01	26.36 ± 0.01	-	0.99 ± 0.01	$1.41 \pm 0.01$
IP_Sr_2	69.48 ± 0.02	25.71 ± 0.01	-	1.99 ± 0.01	2.82 ± 0.01

122

123 All IPs were characterised for heat release and physical and mechanical properties. Additionally, 124 morphological and micro-chemical analyses were performed at 28 days of curing. Leaching tests took 125 place at 48 days of curing. To study the effect of Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> addition on the heat released during the alkali activation process, a calorimetric study was performed under isothermal conditions (TAMIII 126 127 Thermal Activity Monitor, TA Instruments). A weighed amount of dry precursor was placed in a vial, 128 while a weighed amount of activating solution was brought into two syringes and placed on top of 129 the vial. This combination was then inserted into the calorimeter. After a few hours, when the 130 calorimeter obtained a steady background signal, the activating solution was injected into the vial, 131 and mixed with the precursor. In this way, the heat release was monitored from the very start of the 132 reaction.

133 To study morphology and micro-chemistry, a 28-day cured sample of each composition was cut with 134 a low-speed diamond coated circular saw to 20 x 20 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup>. This sample was then embedded in 135 resin, polished, and carbon-coated. The micro-chemical analysis was performed with a Jeol 136 Hyperprobe field emission gun electron probe micro-analyser (EPMA, JEOL JXA-8530F) equipped with 137 five wavelength dispersive spectrometers (WDS). In addition to a point-based chemical analysis, the 138 distribution of caesium and strontium was mapped for each of the samples. The EPMA was operated 139 at 15 kV and a probe current of 15 nA. For quantitative elemental point analysis, the standards 140 obsidian (for SiO<sub>2</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), celestite (for SrO), and apatite (for CaO) were used, except for 141 caesium, where a factory default standard was used instead, due to the unavailability of a known 142 standard. Mappings for caesium were collected with a dwell time of 40 ms per pixel in an area of 750 x 575 pixels (pixel size 0.4 μm), and for strontium with a dwell time of 10 ms per pixel in an area of
1300 x 1000 pixels (pixel size 0.8 μm). The mapped surface area for caesium and strontium was 300 x
230 μm and 1040 x 800 μm, respectively.

146 The flexural  $(f_{cf})$  and compressive strength  $(f_c)$  of the IP-pastes were measured at 1, 8 and 28 days.

147 Of each composition, and at each time of sampling, three samples were used for the flexural

strength. The sample dimensions were 20 x 20 x 80 mm<sup>3</sup>. For the compressive strength, six

149 repetitions were performed using cubic samples of 20 x 20 x 20 mm<sup>3</sup>.

150 Determination of water absorption  $(E_{\nu})$  (vacuum method), apparent porosity (P), apparent relative

density (*T*), and bulk density (*B*) were performed following international standard ISO 10545-3 [25].

152 Results showed that the  $E_v$  and P were very low (< 1 %), and were not influenced by addition of

strontium or caesium. T and B were both measured as  $2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

154 The capacity for immobilising Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup>, and the release of the structural elements were 155 determined by means of a dynamic diffusion test based on the standards ASTM C1220-98 [26] and 156 EN/TS 15863:2015 [27]. IP-subsamples of 20 x 20 x 25 mm<sup>3</sup> were cut from a larger 48-day-cured 157 sample by means of dry cutting (to avoid premature wash-out). These samples were then cleaned 158 using a dry brush, measured for dimensions and weight, and submerged in 400 ml of Milli-Q water 159 while fixed in the centre of the water volume. Both container and sample holder were made of 160 polypropylene. The containers were tightly closed and placed in an oven at 90 ± 2 °C for 7 days. At 1 161 h, 24 h, and 7 d after the start of the leaching experiment, the entire eluate volume was refreshed 162 and aliquots were taken for further characterization. At each sampling time, 10.0 ml of the eluate 163 was filtered over a 0.2 µm syringe filter and acidified immediately after sampling to a concentration 164 of 1 % HNO<sub>3</sub> (MERCK Suprapur 65 %). The concentration of water-soluble Cs<sup>+</sup> was measured by ICP-165 MS (Perkin Elmer NexION 350S), while the concentrations of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Si<sup>4+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and Na<sup>+</sup> were 166 measured by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer type Optima 8300). The leaching experiment was performed in 167 twofold for each of the IP-compositions. The results from the ICP-OES and ICP-MS measurements are

corrected for dilution and sample surface area. One blanc test per repetition was conducted
simultaneously, using 400 ml of Milli-Q water in the exact same conditions, excluding the presence of
a sample. The experimental results are expressed as the amount of the element released at sampling
time (*t*), divided by the surface area of the sample (*A*). The cumulative release of each constituent
can be calculated as:

$$R_{i} = \frac{\sum_{0}^{n} [(C_{i,n} - B_{i,n}) \cdot V]}{A}$$
(1)

173 With

- 174  $R_i$  = cumulative release of element *i* (g/m<sup>2</sup>)
- 175  $C_{i,n}$  = concentration of element *i* in the filtered aliquot *n* (g/ml)
- 176  $B_{i,n}$  = concentration of element *i* in the filtered blanc aliquot *n* (g/ml)
- 177 *V* = initial volume of eluate in bottle containing sample matrix (ml)
- 178 A = surface of sample (m<sup>2</sup>)

179 Each sample was weighed before and after the leaching experiment. The loss of mass is similar for all 180 samples (between 2 % and 6 %) and does not seem to be affected by the addition of caesium or 181 strontium. At each sampling time, a portion of the eluate was used for measuring pH (calibrated 182 electrode HI1043B, Hanna Instruments) and conductivity (Schott Geräte CG 858, calibrated with 0.1 183 M KCl), while another portion was used for titration purposes, to determine the amount of  $^-$ 184 hydrogen-consuming species released during the leaching process. Titration was performed in 185 threefold using an automated burette (Metrohm Basic Titrino 794) with 0.1 M HCl. The HCl-solution 186 was set with  $Na_2CO_3$  dried at 110 °C as the primary standard.

## 187 3 Results and discussion

188 **Figure 1** shows a visual difference between strontium- and caesium-containing samples. The

189 photographs are taken after 8 days of curing. The white spots observed in the strontium-containing

samples are most likely crystals of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> (see later section 3.1).



Figure 1. Visual difference between samples containing strontium or caesium. Samples from left to
 right: IP\_0, IP\_Cs\_0.5, IP\_Cs\_1, IP\_Cs\_2, IP\_Sr\_0.5, IP\_Sr\_1, IP\_Sr\_2.

### **194** *3.1 Calorimetry*

195 The isothermal calorimetry results are given in Figure 2, showing the evolution of the heat released 196 during the alkali activation process of the GGBFS-precursor, and the effect of caesium and strontium 197 additions. The first stage of the reaction is a very fast and exothermic process, reaching a maximum heat flow of about 32 J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (per gram of solid precursor) for IP\_0. This maximum is reached about 198 199 50 min after adding the activating solution. The initial heat release is due to sorption of the activating 200 solution on the precursor surface (weathering) and the dissolution of the solid aluminosilicate. After 201 this, a lower but continuous heat release is measured due to ongoing polymerisation reactions [28]. 202 The results from Figure 2 indicate that addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> has little to no effect on the heat released 203 during the alkali activation process. Only a small variation in the maximum heat flow can be observed (peak measurement of 32.2 J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for IP\_0 and 29.9 J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for IP\_Cs\_2), while no time delay of the 204 maximum takes place. Addition of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, however, does show a clear effect on the heat flow during the 205 activation process. When increasing the amount of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, an increasingly distinct peak appears before 206 207 the initial weathering takes place (e.g. the peak of 25.36 J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for IP\_Sr\_1). This initial peak can be 208 attributed to the formation of  $Sr(OH)_2$  immediately after adding the activating solution to the dry 209 precursor (with  $Sr(NO_3)_2$  mixed in). This reaction consumes a portion of the hydroxide ions, 210 preventing them to participate in the alkali activation process. This effect would be manifested in a lower heat flow and a horizontal shift (time delay) of the peak (e.g. 21.34 J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for IP\_Sr\_1) to the 211 212 right. These slower dissolution kinetics are in line with the observed effect of strontium on the 213 setting time of GGBFS IPs reported in an earlier study [24], where it was found that the setting time 214 of a GGBFS-IP-paste is severely delayed by addition of Sr<sup>2+</sup>. The less profound activation reaction for

215 IP\_Sr\_1 and IP\_Sr\_2 (measured as lower heat release, Figure 2) also leads to a decreased

compressive strength (see section 3.3, Figure 5). During the mixing and casting procedure of the IP-

samples, the addition of strontium also led to a more viscous mixture.

It is assumed that strontium hydroxide is formed immediately when Sr<sup>2+</sup> comes into contact with the activating solution. Equation (2) assumes that the sodium- and nitrate-ions remain dissociated, while equation (3) assumes that the sodium and nitrate will precipitate to form NaNO<sub>3</sub>. The crystallization of NaNO<sub>3</sub> from the pore solution has been reported by Provis et al. (2008) [18] and Blackford et al. (2007) [12] (both metakaolin-based IPs) when adding Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, although not immediately during mixing, in contrast to the Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> formation.

 $Sr(NO_3)_2 + 2 Na^+ + 2 OH^- => Sr(OH)_2 + 2 Na^+ + 2 NO_3^-$  (2)

$$Sr(NO_3)_2 + 2 Na^+ + 2 OH^- => Sr(OH)_2 + 2 NaNO_3$$
 (3)

224

225 Since the formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> has been reported to take place during a later drying stage [18], it 226 would thus not cause an immediate energy release during initial mixing. In Figure 2, however, for sample IP\_Cs\_2 a small "shoulder" in the curve is observed; since the amount of nitrates present in 227 228 this sample is quite high, this could be due to formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> or the prevention of condensation 229 reactions by the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions as reported by [18,20]. The consumption of hydroxide ions by the 230 introduced strontium reduces the amount available for the activation reaction, which is also 231 reflected in the decreased OH<sup>-</sup>-leaching in the strontium-containing samples (later section 3.4.4, 232 Figure 12). The white spots observed in the strontium-containing samples (see Figure 1) are most 233 likely regions of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub>, which precipitate as a fine white crystalline solid.



Figure 2: Effect of adding  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Cs^+$  on the heat released per gram of solid precursor (J h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) during alkali activation of GGBFS.

## 238 3.2 Micro-chemical analysis

239 The results from the WDS micro-chemical analyses on the IP-samples are shown in Table 3. It is 240 important to note that the amounts given in **Table 3** are weight-percentages of the IP-matrix formed by the activation process, excluding the undissolved precursor particles (hereafter referred to as 241 242 *matrix*), and not of the total IP-mass (hereafter referred to as *IP<sub>m</sub>*). The fractions of introduced 243 strontium and caesium that are incorporated into the matrix, taking into account the ratio of formed 244 matrix over undissolved precursor, are determined by the following approach: using *Particle (Pores)* 245 and Cracks Analysis System (PCAS) software, a number of images were separately analysed to 246 average the surface ratios of matrix over undissolved precursor, which was found to be about 65/35.

Since this ratio is obtained using different images of randomly cut samples, it can also be assumed valid as a volume over volume ratio. Using this ratio, the density of the matrix phase is found to be 1.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, calculated using equation (4). The matrix phase, and the calculated density include possible pores and cracks. But since the open porosity is very low (see section 2), this influence is expected to be limited. The measured amounts of caesium and strontium (**Table 3**) in the matrix are converted to their respective fractions in the total IP-sample using equation (5).

$$\rho_M = \frac{\rho_{IP_m} - 0.35 * \rho_{GGBFS}}{0.65} \tag{4}$$

$$wt\%_{IP_m} = \frac{wt\%_M * 0.65 * \rho_M}{\rho_{IP_m}}$$
(5)

253

254 With 255 = density of the matrix  $(g/cm^3)$  $\rho_M$ 256 = density of the total inorganic polymer sample (2.1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, see section 2)  $\rho_{IP_m}$ 257 = density of the GGBFS precursor  $(2.91 \text{ g/cm}^3, \text{ see section } 2)$  $\rho_{GGBFS}$ = weight-percentage of Cs<sup>+</sup> or Sr<sup>2+</sup> in the total inorganic polymer sample 258  $wt\%_{IP_m}$  $wt\%_M$ = weight-percentage of  $Cs^+$  or  $Sr^{2+}$  in the matrix phase (as tabulated in **Table 3**) 259 260

261 For each measured  $wt\%_M$ , the calculated  $wt\%_{IP_m}$  is given in **Table 4**, together with the fractions of the introduced caesium and strontium that are incorporated in the matrix ( $wt\%_{IP_m}$  divided by 0.5, 1 262 or 2 wt%, multiplied by 100 %). Because of the uncertainty in the 65/35 ratio, the values in Table 4 263 264 are given as estimates. From these values it can be deduced that for caesium, about all of the 265 introduced Cs<sup>+</sup> is incorporated into the IP-matrix. These findings are in line with the study of 266 Blackford et al. (2007), who reported that Cs<sup>+</sup> is fully incorporated at the nm-scale into the 267 amorphous geopolymer phase [12]. For Sr<sup>2+</sup>, the amounts shown in **Table 4** are corrected for the 268 initial strontium-content measured in IP\_0; the tabulated wt% are thus the amounts of Sr<sup>2+</sup>

incorporated in the IP-matrix in addition to the inherent strontium from the precursor. From these
results it can be concluded that in every step of strontium addition (0.5, 1, and 2 wt%), about one
sixth is incorporated into the IP-matrix. Results from **Table 3** indicate that addition of strontium or
caesium has no effect on the amounts of silicon, aluminium and sodium in the matrix. However, a
small effect on the amount of calcium is observed. Adding caesium seems to cause a slight decrease
of the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-content, possibly caused by Cs<sup>+</sup> replacing Ca<sup>2+</sup>, since Ca<sup>2+</sup> can act as a charge balancing
ion [29].

**Table 3:** Results of micro-chemical analysis using EPMA (calculated from oxides).

Element (wt%)	IP_0	IP_Sr_0.5	IP_Sr_1	IP_Sr_2
Si <sup>4+</sup>	13 ± 1	13 ± 1	12.6 ± 0.6	13 ± 1
Al <sup>3+</sup>	5.3 ± 0.3	6 ± 1	5.5 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.6
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	16.2 ± 0.9	17 ± 2	17 ± 1	17 ± 2
Na⁺	2.0 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 0.4
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	0.20 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.01	0.47 ± 0.09	0.9 ± 0.1
Cs⁺	-	-	-	-
		i		
Element (wt%)		IP_Cs_0.5	IP_Cs_1	IP_Cs_2
Element (wt%) Si <sup>4+</sup>		IP_Cs_0.5	IP_Cs_1 13 ± 1	IP_Cs_2 12.5 ± 0.9
Element (wt%) Si <sup>4+</sup> Al <sup>3+</sup>		IP_Cs_0.5 13 ± 1 6.2 ± 0.6	IP_Cs_1 13 ± 1 5.8 ± 0.7	<b>IP_Cs_2</b> 12.5 ± 0.9 5.7 ± 0.8
Element (wt%) Si <sup>4+</sup> Al <sup>3+</sup> Ca <sup>2+</sup>		IP_Cs_0.5 13 ± 1 6.2 ± 0.6 12 ± 2	IP_Cs_1 13 ± 1 5.8 ± 0.7 13 ± 1	<b>IP_Cs_2</b> 12.5 ± 0.9 5.7 ± 0.8 12.8 ± 0.7
Element (wt%) Si <sup>4+</sup> Al <sup>3+</sup> Ca <sup>2+</sup> Na <sup>+</sup>		IP_Cs_0.5 13 ± 1 6.2 ± 0.6 12 ± 2 3.6 ± 0.4	IP_Cs_1 13 ± 1 5.8 ± 0.7 13 ± 1 2.5 ± 0.8	<b>IP_Cs_2</b> 12.5 ± 0.9 5.7 ± 0.8 12.8 ± 0.7 2.9 ± 0.4
Element (wt%) Si <sup>4+</sup> Al <sup>3+</sup> Ca <sup>2+</sup> Na <sup>+</sup> Sr <sup>2+</sup>		IP_Cs_0.5 13 ± 1 6.2 ± 0.6 12 ± 2 3.6 ± 0.4 0.19 ± 0.02	IP_Cs_1 13 ± 1 5.8 ± 0.7 13 ± 1 2.5 ± 0.8 0.19 ± 0.03	IP_Cs_2         12.5       ±       0.9         5.7       ±       0.8         12.8       ±       0.7         2.9       ±       0.4         0.19       ±       0.02

Table 4. (a) Weight-percentages of caesium and strontium incorporated in the matrix phase,

recalculated for the entire IP-mass, and corrected for initial strontium content. (b) Percentages of

282

introduced caesium and strontium that are incorporated into the matrix.

(a) wt% <sub>IP<sub>M,cor</sub></sub>	IP_Sr_0.5	IP_Sr_1	IP_Sr_2	IP_Cs_0.5	IP_Cs_1	IP_Cs_2
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	0.08	0.14	0.36	-	-	-
Cs⁺	-	-	-	0.68	0.93	1.86
(b) Fraction						
incorporated						
into matrix (%)						
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	17	14	18	-	-	-
Cs⁺	-	-	-	100*	93	93

\* This value is rounded down since the calculated value is 137 % (probably due to statistical variation in the concentrations at the measurement points)

283

284 Figure 3 and Figure 4 show mappings of these same samples to study the distribution of caesium and 285 strontium throughout the microstructure. Mapping of the Sr<sup>2+</sup>-containing samples is done at a larger 286 scale than for the Cs<sup>+</sup>-samples because this allows a clear view of precipitation sites for Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub>, while 287 the smaller scale for the Cs-samples allows a clearer view of the incorporation of Cs<sup>+</sup> in the binder. 288 For the samples containing added strontium, precipitation sites are clearly visible where large 289 amounts of strontium are concentrated. From Figure 3, sample IP\_Sr\_0.5, it can be observed that a 290 small amount of strontium is distributed evenly throughout the microstructure. Figure 3 also shows large pores where the Sr<sup>2+</sup> seems to be concentrated. Figure 4 shows that Cs<sup>+</sup> is incorporated 291 292 homogeneously throughout the binder-phase. This difference in incorporation is also reflected in the 293 leaching results (see further, section 3.4), where Cs<sup>+</sup> leaches out more easily since it is distributed 294 evenly throughout the structure (and thus being more available at the sample surface), while Sr<sup>2+</sup> is 295 more physically encapsulated (and thus less available at the sample surface).



Figure 3: EPMA mappings of IP\_Sr\_0.5, IP\_Sr\_1 and IP\_Sr\_2. The level-scale of IP\_Sr\_0.5 is smaller for improved visibility of the distribution of strontium throughout the matrix. The chemical composition of the samples is given in **Table 3**.



Figure 4: EPMA mappings of IP\_Cs\_0.5, IP\_Cs\_1 and IP\_Cs\_2. The chemical composition of the
 samples is given in Table 3.

- **302** *3.3 Compressive and flexural strength*
- 303 The compressive strength data shown in Figure 5 indicate that addition of  $CsNO_3$  does not
- 304 significantly affect the strength development. Addition of Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, however, does show a
- deleterious effect on the compressive strength. After 1 day and 8 days of curing, the compressive
- 306 strength of IP\_Sr\_2 is only about 45 % of the values for IP\_0. This difference is reduced with
- 307 increased curing time. At 28 days of curing, IP\_Sr\_2 (19 ± 5 MPa) reaches about 60 % of the

308 compressive strength of IP\_0 (31 ± 7 MPa). This negative effect on the compressive strength is 309 probably caused by the less profound alkali activation reaction due to the formation of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> and 310 NaNO<sub>3</sub>, causing a less concentrated activating solution and resulting in slower dissolution kinetics (as 311 discussed in section 3.1, and observed in an earlier study [24] as a longer setting time). The 312 occurrence of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> precipitation spots and accompanying pores (see Figure 1 and Figure 3) can 313 also cause weak spots in the IP-structure. Provis et al. (2008) found that, in a metakaolin-based 314 system, the addition of CsNO<sub>3</sub> caused a clear delaying effect on geopolymer gel formation, being 315 significant even at 0.10 or 0.50 wt% CsNO<sub>3</sub>, and attributed this to the presence of  $NO_3^{-}$  [18]. Since no 316 negative effect is observed in the caesium-containing samples in this study, the decrease in 317 compressive strength for the strontium-containing samples cannot be attributed to the presence of 318 nitrates, but rather the presence of Sr<sup>2+</sup>. A similar conclusion can also be drawn from an earlier study 319 [24] where it was found that adding up to 2 wt% Cs<sup>+</sup> (in the form of nitrates) only showed a small 320 effect on the final setting time (delay of up to 40 %), while strontium-addition retarded final setting 321 severely (delay of 140 % at 0.5 wt% Sr<sup>2+</sup>). For the flexural strength of the samples, the standard 322 deviations are much too high to distinguish clearly between the results. Only at 1 day and 8 days of 323 curing, there is a small discernible difference between IP\_0 (1d: 9 ± 1 MPa; 8d: 12 ± 1) and IP\_Sr\_2 (1d: 7 ± 1 MPa; 8d: 9 ± 2 MPa). At 28 days of curing, no significant difference was observed. 324





## **328** *3.4 Leaching of structural and added elements*

By use of a dynamic accelerated leaching test, the amount of Cs<sup>+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, and structural elements released has been studied. For the release of caesium and strontium, only results are shown from the samples where the respective elements were added, together with IP\_0. Overall, the measurement points lie very close together.

**333** *3.4.1 Release of Cs*<sup>+</sup>



increases proportionally with the amount of Cs<sup>+</sup> introduced in the IP-matrix. There is no obvious

- change in leaching behaviour when adding more Cs<sup>+</sup>, only an increase in the total amount released.
- 337 When taking into account the amount of Cs<sup>+</sup> introduced into each sample, the mass of the sample,
- and the total amount of Cs<sup>+</sup> leached out, the percentage of introduced Cs<sup>+</sup> that was released can be
- determined. For IP\_Cs\_0.5, IP\_Cs\_1, and IP\_Cs\_2 this is respectively 63 %, 66 %, and 62 %. This

340 finding is in line with the proportional caesium-incorporation in the IP-binder, discussed in paragraph

341 3.2.





343

**Figure 6:** Cumulative release of Cs<sup>+</sup> during a 7-day dynamic leaching test.

## **344** 3.4.2 Release of $Sr^{2+}$

345 A small amount of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, present in the GGBFS, leaches out from the base sample IP\_0 (about 35 mg/m<sup>2</sup> after 7 days). When adding increasing amounts of  $Sr^{2+}$ , a proportional increase in  $Sr^{2+}$ -release 346 is not immediately observed (see Figure 7). The measurement results are also less precise than the 347 348 results for the other elements. This is most likely due to the fact that a large part of the  $Sr^{2+}$  is 349 precipitated in the IP-structure as Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> (as discussed in sections 3.1 and 3.2). This causes a 350 distribution of precipitation sites (see Figure 1). Due to the casting process and cutting of the 351 samples into smaller subsamples, a variation occurs in the amount of precipitated Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> spots on or 352 near the surface of the samples. This causes a variation in the amount of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> available for immediate dissolution from these precipitation spots. The amounts of introduced Sr<sup>2+</sup> that were 353 released, are 3 %, 2 %, and 4 % for IP\_Sr\_0.5, IP\_Sr\_1, and IP\_Sr\_2 respectively. 354







**Figure 7:** Cumulative release of Sr<sup>2+</sup> during a 7-day dynamic leaching test.

## **357** *3.4.3 Release of structural elements*

Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the cumulative release of Al<sup>3+</sup>, Si<sup>4+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> respectively. Al<sup>3+</sup>-release 358 359 of about 2000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for IP\_0, IP\_Cs\_0.5, IP\_Cs\_1 and IP\_Cs\_2 is observed. Addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> to the 360 base IP does not seem to affect the leaching of Al<sup>3+</sup> from the IP-matrix. Addition of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, however, does show a decrease in the amount of Al<sup>3+</sup> released during leaching, dropping to a value of about 361 362 1400 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for IP\_Sr\_2. The reason for this is unclear. Figure 8 shows cumulative Si<sup>4+</sup>-release of about 1750 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for all samples. Addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> or Sr<sup>2+</sup> does not seem to have any effect on the 363 amount of Si<sup>4+</sup> released. Cumulative Ca<sup>2+</sup>-release of about 5000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> is reached for IP\_0, IP\_Cs\_0.5, 364 IP Cs 1 and IP Cs 2. Addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> to the base IP does not seem to affect the leaching of Ca<sup>2+</sup> from 365 the IP-matrix. Addition of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, however, does show a slight increase in the amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup> released 366 367 during leaching, rising to a value of about 6500 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. A possible explanation for this is that the added Sr<sup>2+</sup> can replace Ca<sup>2+</sup> as a charge-balancing ion, since Sr<sup>2+</sup> can behave similarly to Ca<sup>2+</sup> during IP 368 formation [18]. Also, since high-calcium GGBFS precursors give rise to calcium-(aluminium)-silicate-369 hydrate (C-(A)-S-H) formation [21], it could be that Sr<sup>2+</sup> replaces Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the C-S-H, giving rise to a C-370 (Sr)-A-S-H phase. This is in line with the findings of Wieland et al. (2008) and Tits et al. (2006), where 371

strontium-uptake in cementitious materials occurred through ion exchange with Ca<sup>2+</sup> on silanol-372 groups of the C-S-H-phases [6,7]. Both options would result in a structure where Ca<sup>2+</sup> is less strongly 373 374 bound in the microstructure. This can also be seen in section 3.2, Table 3 where the amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup> measured in the IP-matrix decreases slightly when adding more Sr<sup>2+</sup>. Figure 9 shows cumulative Na<sup>+</sup>-375 release of about 1.5 x 10<sup>5</sup> mg/m<sup>2</sup> for IP\_0 and IP\_Cs\_2. Addition of Sr<sup>2+</sup> seems to cause a significant 376 377 decrease in the amount of Na<sup>+</sup> released during leaching, dropping to a value of about 7.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> 378 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for IP\_Sr\_2, which is half of the Na<sup>+</sup>-release from IP\_0. This could be caused by the formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> as discussed in section 3.1, and further elaborated in the next section (3.4.4). The 379 380 formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> seems to be a plausible cause, since Kuenzel et al. (2015) observed an increase 381 in Na<sup>+</sup>-leaching when adding strontium in the form of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> to their IP-mixture (and thus having no 382 nitrates in their samples) [4]. Since the EPMA measurements (see section 3.2, Table 3) do not 383 indicate a difference in Na<sup>+</sup> content in the IP-matrix, it can be deduced that the formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> 384 occurs after 28 days (EPMA was performed on 28-day-cured samples, while leaching was performed 385 on 48-day-cured samples). Since the samples for the leaching test were cut and transported in 386 ambient conditions, it is also likely that some drying occurred, increasing the formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> (as 387 mentioned by Provis et al. (2008) that NaNO<sub>3</sub> crystallization from the pore solution could occur 388 during drying of the sample [18]). Addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> to the base IP does not seem to affect the leaching 389 of Na<sup>+</sup> from the IP-matrix. This indicates that adding CsNO<sub>3</sub> does not cause the formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub>. 390 The latter was also reported by Blackford et al. (2007), who observed no formation of NaNO<sub>3</sub> when 391 adding CsNO<sub>3</sub>, while Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> addition did cause NaNO<sub>3</sub> crystallization [12].





393

**Figure 8:** Cumulative release of Si<sup>4+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> during a 7-day dynamic leaching test.



395

Figure 9: Cumulative release of Na<sup>+</sup> during a 7-day dynamic leaching test.

**396** *3.4.4 Characterizing eluate* 

Figure 10 shows the measured pH-values of the eluate at different sampling times (1 h, 24 h, and 168 h after start leaching). These results show that the addition of caesium to the base IP-mixture does not have a significant effect on the pH of the eluate. Adding strontium, however, does show an influence on the pH of the eluate, decreasing gradually with increasing amounts of strontium (from pH 11.9 for IP\_0 to pH 11.6 for IP\_Sr\_2). This decrease in pH is to be expected when Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> is formed, trapping part of the introduced hydroxides in the IP-structure, and thus preventing them from leaching out. Regarding the conductivity of the eluate (Figure 11), the addition of caesium to 404 the base IP-mixture again doesn't show any significant change, while a clear change is observed 405 when adding strontium. When adding 2 wt% of strontium to the base IP-mixture, a drop to about 406 half is observed in the conductivity of the eluate (at 24 h and 168 h after the start of the leaching 407 test). Taking into account the measured ions in the eluate (section 3.4), this change in conductivity 408 seems most likely to be the cause of the lower Na<sup>+</sup>-leaching in the strontium-containing samples. 409 However, since the conductivity is also influenced by other charged species, the amount of 410 hydrogen-consuming ions released in the eluate is also determined by use of automatic titration. 411 Figure 12 shows the results of the titration tests. During titration, 3 equivalence points were 412 measured (around pH 10, pH 8.5, and pH 5). The positions of these equivalence points did not 413 depend on the sampling time of the leaching test (1 h, 24 h, 168 h). The presence of these three 414 equivalence points indicates that other hydrogen-consuming species (besides hydroxides) are 415 present in the eluate. When comparing the results in Figure 12 from the base IP-mixture (IP\_0) and 416 the caesium containing samples (IP\_Cs\_0.5, IP\_Cs\_1, and IP\_Cs\_2), no clear difference is observed in 417 the amount of H<sup>+</sup>-ions necessary to reach equivalence, and thus in the release of hydrogen-418 consuming species during leaching. The results from the strontium-containing samples (IP\_Sr\_0.5, 419 IP\_Sr\_1, and IP\_Sr\_2), however, do show an effect on the amount of H<sup>+</sup>-ions necessary for 420 equivalence. After 1 h of leaching a small difference is already visible for IP\_Sr\_1 and IP\_Sr\_2. At the 421 24 h and 168 h sampling times, this difference has increased, indicating that the eluate from e.g. 422 IP\_Sr\_2 contains about half the amount of hydrogen-consuming species compared to the eluate of 423 IP\_0. The number of hydroxide-ions released, calculated from the measured pH-values, is  $37 \pm 2\%$  of 424 the amount of total hydrogen-consuming species (see Figure 12) for all samples over the total 425 leaching period, leaving about 60 % for other unidentified hydrogen-consuming species. 426 Calculated from the measured pH-values, the eluates of IP\_Sr\_0.5, IP\_Sr\_1 and IP\_Sr\_2 contain 427 respectively about 17 %, 40 % and 50 % less hydroxide-ions then the eluate of IP\_0. The trend 428 observed when adding increasing amounts of strontium to the base mixture is similar for the

429 measured Na<sup>+</sup>-concentration, conductivity, and OH<sup>-</sup>-concentration. Results for all three parameters 430 seem to drop slightly when adding 0.5 wt% strontium, while dropping by about 35-40 % and 50 % 431 when adding 1 and 2 wt% respectively. From this, it can be concluded that the amounts of OH<sup>-</sup> and 432 Na<sup>+</sup> released during leaching are closely linked, and affected similarly when adding strontium. A first possible explanation for the lower release of both OH<sup>-</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> when adding increasing amounts of 433 strontium can be that strontium hydroxide is formed immediately when Sr<sup>2+</sup> comes into contact with 434 the activating solution (see section 3.1). Since the positive Sr<sup>2+</sup>-ions are consumed by the hydroxide-435 436 ions, the remaining NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-anions could cause the Na<sup>+</sup>-cations to be more strongly retained due to 437 ionic interaction or NaNO<sub>3</sub> precipitation. The possible interactions between strontium, sodium and hydroxide are shown as equations (2) and (3). 438





440

Figure 10: pH-values of eluates at different sampling times.





Figure 12: Cumulative amounts of H<sup>+</sup>-ions added to neutralize the eluate (covering three equivalence points of pH  $\approx$  10, pH  $\approx$  8.5, and pH  $\approx$  5).

## 446 4 Conclusion

447	In this paper, the effect of adding Sr <sup>2+</sup> and Cs <sup>+</sup> to a GGBFS-based IP was discussed. Sr <sup>2+</sup> and Cs <sup>+</sup> were
448	added, as nitrates, to a base IP-mixture to account for 0.5, 1, and 2 wt% of the final IP-mass.
449	Calorimetric results indicate that Cs <sup>+</sup> did not show any significant effect on the early reaction kinetics.
450	$\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ , however, severely affected the reaction kinetics by forming $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_2$ immediately after
451	introducing the activating solution to the dry precursor. This consumed part of the available
452	hydroxides resulting in a reduced dissolution of the precursor and thus a reduced polymerization
453	reaction. This is also visible in the lower compressive and flexural strength. The micro-chemical
454	analysis shows that almost all of the introduced Cs <sup>+</sup> is incorporated in the IP-matrix, while only about
455	one-sixth of the introduced Sr <sup>2+</sup> is incorporated into the matrix phase. It was also observed that
456	adding caesium caused a decrease in the Ca <sup>2+</sup> -content in the IP-matrix. Water absorption

457 experiments show that water absorption and apparent porosity of the samples is very low, and not 458 significantly affected by the addition of caesium or strontium. From the leaching experiments, results 459 show that Cs<sup>+</sup>-leaching is proportional to the amount of Cs<sup>+</sup> added. For all caesium-containing samples, the final amount of Cs<sup>+</sup> released were all around 65 % of the introduced amount. The total 460 461  $Sr^{2+}$ -release was around 3 % of the introduced amount for each strontium-containing sample. Strontium-addition resulted in an increased Ca<sup>2+</sup>-leaching while causing a significant decrease in the 462 463 amount of Na<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> leached. In general, the addition of Cs<sup>+</sup> did not show any significant effect on 464 the studied parameters. Adding Sr<sup>2+</sup>, however, caused an important decrease in the number of 465 hydroxides available for the dissolution of the precursor resulting in a lower overall strength. For IP\_Sr\_0.5, the 1-day and 28-day compressive strength show no significant decrease compared to 466 467 IP 0. Since the addition of 0.5 wt%  $Sr^{2+}$  results in a delayed setting time of 140 % in addition to the 468 base sample[24][24][24][24], the application of strontium as a retarder in inorganic polymer 469 development seems very promising. In future work, optimisation of the IP-composition for improved Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> immobilisation will be studied. 470

#### 471 Acknowledgements

472 The authors would like to thank the research group of Applied and Analytical Chemistry (TANC) of

- 473 Hasselt University for the ICP-OES and ICP-MS measurements. The authors would also like to thank
- 474 the research group of Physical Chemistry and Polymer Science of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB),
- 475 and in particular Prof. dr. ir. Hubert Rahier and ir. Antigoni Katsiki, for the calorimetric

476 measurements.

#### 477 References

478 [1] International Atomic Energy Agency, The behaviours of cementitious materials in long term
479 storage and disposal of radioactive waste : results of a coordinated research project, Vienna,
480 2013.

481 [2] Q. Guangren, L. Yuxiang, Y. Facheng, S. Rongming, Improvement of metakaolin on radioactive

- 482 Sr and Cs immobilization of alkali-activated slag matrix, J. Hazard. Mater. 92 (2002) 289–300.
  483 doi:10.1016/S0304-3894(02)00022-5.
- 484 [3] M. Ochs, D. Mallants, L. Wang, Radionuclide and Metal Sorption on Cement and Concrete,
  485 Springer International Publishing, 2016. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-23651-3.
- 486 [4] C. Kuenzel, J.F. Cisneros, T.P. Neville, L. Vandeperre, S.J.R. Simons, J. Bensted, C.R.
  487 Cheeseman, Encapsulation of Cs/Sr contaminated clinoptilolite geopolymers produced from
  488 metakaolin, J. Nucl. Mater. 466 (2015) 94–99.
- 489 [5] S. Goni, A. Guerrero, M.P. Lorenzo, Efficiency of fly ash belite cement and zeolite matrices for
  490 immobilizing cesium, J. Hazard. Mater. 137 (2006) 1608–1617.
  491 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.04.059.
- 492 [6] E. Wieland, J. Tits, D. Kunz, R. Dähn, Strontium Uptake By Cementitious Materials, Environ. Sci.
  493 Technol. 42 (2008) 403–409.
- 494[7]J. Tits, E. Wieland, C.J. Müller, C. Landesman, M.H. Bradbury, Strontium binding by calcium495silicate hydrates, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 300 (2006) 78–87. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2006.03.043.
- 496 [8] M.Y. Khalil, E. Merz, Immobilization of intermediate-level wastes in geopolymers, J. Nucl.
  497 Mater. 211 (1994) 141–148. doi:10.1016/0022-3115(94)90364-6.
- 498 [9] G. Qian, D.D. Sun, J.H. Tay, New aluminium-rich alkali slag matrix with clay minerals for
  499 immobilizing simulated radioactive Sr and Cs waste, J. Nucl. Mater. 299 (2001) 199–204.
  500 doi:10.1016/S0022-3115(01)00700-0.
- 501 [10] A. Fernandez-Jimenez, D.E. MacPhee, E.E. Lachowski, A. Palomo, Immobilization of cesium in
  502 alkaline activated fly ash matrix, J. Nucl. Mater. 346 (2005) 185–193.
  503 doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2005.06.006.
- 504 [11] C. Shi, a. Fernández-Jiménez, Stabilization/solidification of hazardous and radioactive wastes
  505 with alkali-activated cements, J. Hazard. Mater. 137 (2006) 1656–1663.
  506 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.05.008.
- 507 [12] M.G. Blackford, J. V. Hanna, K.J. Pike, E.R. Vance, D.S. Perera, Transmission electron
   508 microscopy and nuclear magnetic resonance studies of geopolymers for radioactive waste
   509 immobilization, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 90 (2007) 1193–1199. doi:10.1111/j.1551 510 2916.2007.01532.x.
- 511 [13] Q. Li, Z. Sun, D. Tao, Y. Xu, P. Li, H. Cui, J. Zhai, Immobilization of simulated radionuclide
  512 133Cs+ by fly ash-based geopolymer, J. Hazard. Mater. 262 (2013) 325–331.
  513 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.049.
- 514 [14] J.G. Jang, S.M. Park, H.K. Lee, Physical barrier effect of geopolymeric waste form on diffusivity
  515 of cesium and strontium, J. Hazard. Mater. 318 (2016) 339–346.
  516 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.07.003.
- 517 [15] J. Wang, J.X. Wang, Q. Zhang, Y.X. Li, Immobilization of simulated low and intermediate level
  518 waste in alkali-activated slag-fly ash-metakaolin hydroceramics, Nucl. Eng. Des. 300 (2016)
  519 67–73. doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2016.01.011.
- [16] Z. Li, T. Ohnuki, K. Ikeda, Development of Paper Sludge Ash-Based Geopolymer and
   Application to Treatment of Hazardous Water Contaminated with Radioisotopes, Materials
   (Basel). 9 (2016) 633. doi:10.3390/ma9080633.
- 523[17]Z. Xu, Z. Jiang, D. Wu, X. Peng, Y. Xu, N. Li, Y. Qi, P. Li, Immobilization of strontium-loaded524zeolite A by metakaolin based-geopolymer, Ceram. Int. 43 (2017) 4434–4439.

- 525 doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.12.092.
- 526 [18] J.L. Provis, P.A. Walls, J.S.J. van Deventer, Geopolymerisation kinetics. 3. Effects of Cs and Sr 527 salts, Chem. Eng. Sci. 63 (2008) 4480–4489. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2008.06.008.
- 528 [19] X. Peng, Y. Xu, Z. Xu, D. Wu, D. Li, Effect of Simulated Radionuclide Strontium on
  529 Geopolymerization Process, Procedia Environ. Sci. 31 (2016) 325–329.
  530 doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2016.02.043.
- 531 [20] K. Komnitsas, D. Zaharaki, G. Bartzas, Effect of sulphate and nitrate anions on heavy metal
  532 immobilisation in ferronickel slag geopolymers, Appl. Clay Sci. 73 (2013) 103–109.
  533 doi:10.1016/j.clay.2012.09.018.
- 534 [21] K. Gong, C.E. White, Impact of chemical variability of ground granulated blast-furnace slag on
  535 the phase formation in alkali-activated slag pastes, Cem. Concr. Res. 89 (2016) 310–319.
  536 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.09.003.
- 537[22]J. Jang, S. Park, H. Lee, Cesium and Strontium Retentions Governed by Aluminosilicate Gel in538Alkali-Activated Cements, Materials (Basel). 10 (2017). doi:10.3390/ma10040447.
- 539 [23] European Committee for Standardization, EN 196-6:2010 Methods of testing cement Part
  540 6: Determination of fineness, 2010.
- [24] N. Vandevenne, R.I. Iacobescu, Y. Pontikes, K. Gijbels, S. Schreurs, W. Schroeyers, THE EFFECT
   OF Cs AND Sr ON THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BLAST FURNACE SLAG INORGANIC
   POLYMER FOR RADIOACTIVE WASTE IMMOBILISATION, in: 5th Int. Slag Valoris. Symp., 2017:
   pp. 401–404.
- ISO, International Standard ISO 10545-3:1995 Determination of water absorption, apparent
   porosity, apparent relative density and bulk density, 1997.
- 547 [26] ASTM, C 1220 98 Standard Test Method for Static Leaching of Monolithic Waste Forms for
   548 Disposal of Radioactive Waste, (1998).
- 549[27]European Committee for Standardization, CEN/TS 15863:2015: Characterisation of waste -550Leaching behaviour test for basic characterisation Dynamic monolithic leaching test with551periodic leachant renewal, under fixed test conditions, 2015.
- 552 [28] E. Najafi Kani, A. Allahverdi, J.L. Provis, Calorimetric study of geopolymer binders based on 553 natural pozzolan, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. (2016) 1–10. doi:10.1007/s10973-016-5850-7.
- 554[29]J.G.S. van Jaarsveld, J.S.J. van Deventer, L. Lorenzen, Potential use of geopolymeric materials555to immobilize toxic metals: Part I. Theory and applications, Miner. Eng. 10 (1997) 659–669.556http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875(97)00046-0.