Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Enhanced phycocyanin and protein content of Arthrospira by applying neutral density and red light shading filters: a small-scale pilot experiment Peer-reviewed author version

Kilimtzidi, Evmorfia; Cuellar Bermudez, Sara; Markou, Giorgos; Goiris, Koen; VANDAMME, Dries & Muylaert, Koenraad (2019) Enhanced phycocyanin and protein content of Arthrospira by applying neutral density and red light shading filters: a small-scale pilot experiment. In: JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY, 94 (6), p. 2047-2054.

DOI: 10.1002/jctb.5991 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/28491

Enhanced phycocyanin and protein content of *Arthrospira* by applying neutral density and red light shading filters: a small-scale pilot experiment

Evmorfia Kilimtzidi^{1*}, Sara Cuellar Bermudez¹, Giorgos Markou², Koen Goiris^{3†}, Dries Vandamme⁴, Koenraad Muylaert¹

¹ KU Leuven Campus Kortrijk, Laboratory Aquatic Biology, E. Sabbelaan 53, 8500 Kortrijk, Belgium

² Institute of Technology of Agricultural Products, Hellenic Agricultural Organization-Demeter (ELGO-Demeter), Leof. Sofokli Venizelou 1, Likovrisi, 141 23, Athens, Greece

 ³ KU Leuven Technology Campus Ghent, Laboratory of Enzyme Fermentation and Brewing Technology, Gebroeders De Smetstraat 1, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
 ⁴ Hasselt University Campus Diepenbeek, Institute for Materials Research (IMO-IMOMEC), Laboratory of Applied and Analytical Chemistry, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

*Corresponding author:

E-mail :evmorfia.kilimtzidi@kuleuven.be

Tel: +32485379049

[†] Deceased on June 24 2017

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/jctb.5991

Abstract

BACKGROUND : The cyanobacterium *Arthrospira* contains proteins and the blue pigment phycocyanin that can be used as a natural colorant in the food industry. The aim of this study was to investigate a strategy to increase the yield of phycocyanin and protein from *Arthrospira* cultures by shading the cultures with neutral density and red light filters. Experiments were conducted under three different irradiance conditions, (i) lab tests under relatively low light (LL) intensities (100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), (ii) lab tests under relatively high light (HL) intensities (516 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), and (iii) scaled-up tests under natural (NL) conditions by cultivating *Arthrospira* in raceway-ponds in a greenhouse.

RESULTS : In all cases, shading of cultures with red filters resulted in biomass with increased phycocyanin content achieving a maximum of 134, 71 and 121 mg g⁻¹ under low light, high light intensity and natural conditions, respectively. Under high light intensity and natural conditions, shaded cultures displayed phycocyanin of higher purity and increased protein content, which reached up to 43 and 65%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS : Shading of *Arthrospira* cultures by low-cost polyester red light filters may be an effective way to enhance phycocyanin production and improve its purity in outdoor 'spirulina farms'.

Keywords : *Arthrospira platensis* ; Spirulina ; phycobiliproteins ; colouring foodstuffs ; C-phycocyanin

NOTATION

HL	High light intensity
LL	Low light intensity
NL	Natural conditions
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation

INTRODUCTION

Microalgae (including cyanobacteria) are an attractive biomass feedstock for the production of high-value bio products ¹. One of the most important high-value products derived from microalgae on the market today is the natural blue dye phycocyanin ². Phycocyanin is a phycobiliprotein pigment with a bright blue colour that is used as a natural colourant in various sweets and drinks ³. Demand for phycocyanin has increased in recent years because synthetic colourants are increasingly being replaced by natural colourants in the food industry, mostly due to real or perceived negative health effects of synthetic colourants ⁴. Phycocyanin may even have positive health effects because it possesses antioxidant, anticancer, neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory properties ⁵.

The main commercial source of phycocyanin today is the cyanobacterium *Arthrospira* (commonly known as Spirulina)⁶. Phycocyanin is extracted from dried *Arthrospira* biomass using water-based solvents and further purified and concentrated from these extracts using various methods such as ammonium sulphate precipitation, polyethylene glycol separation, ultrafiltration and/or chromatography ³. Extraction and purification of phycocyanin is a complex process that involves several drying and

separation steps ⁷. As a result, the cost of phycocyanin is determined to a larger extent by the cost of processing than by the cost of the *Arthrospira* biomass feedstock ⁸. Because the energy and chemical demand required for processing is inversely related to the concentration of the product in the biomass feedstock ⁹, the cost of phycocyanin could be reduced by using *Arthrospira* biomass that is enriched in phycocyanin.

Moreover, due to the increasing trend of world demand of food and feed protein, microalgae have been suggested as an important alternative source for proteins ¹⁰. In particular, the biomass of *Arthrospira* is rich in proteins (up to 60-70 %), carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins ^{6,11}. The protein content in the biomass can be altered due to changes in the light intensity and light regimes ¹². More specifically, low light intensities are known to stimulate the protein production in *Arthrospira* biomass ¹². Although the impact of light intensity or spectral composition of the light on the protein content in *Arthrospira* biomass has been investigated, the results reported in literature are conflicting. On the one hand, some studies suggest that the protein content in *Arthrospira* is increased when the light intensities are low ^{13,14}, while others reported that higher light intensities stimulate protein production ¹⁵. Changes in the spectral composition of the light are also known to affect the protein content ¹⁶.

In current extensive cultivation systems for *Arthrospira* biomass production, culture conditions are not optimized to maximize the phycocyanin or protein content and the concentration of phycocyanin in the biomass is relatively low, from only 27 to maximum 96 mg g⁻¹ in commercial biomass samples ¹¹. It is well-known that cyanobacteria adjust their phycobiliprotein pigment concentration in response to changes in the light intensity and light quality ¹⁷. In general, the changes in the phycobiliproteins are reflected in the number and size of these pigments ^{18,19}. In a study

by Nomsawai (1999), it was reported that the amount of phycobiliproteins was increased when Arthrospira cultures were transferred from high to low light intensities¹⁹. This increase was caused by the change in the rate of biosynthesis of the phycobiliproteins¹⁹. In Arthrospira, the concentration of phycocyanin in the biomass is generally increased when light levels are low in order to increase light harvesting efficiency ^{19,20}. In fact, under low light levels, the total pigment concentration is increased for the cells to absorb more light, whilst under high light levels the excess light cannot be utilized by the cells, and therefore is lost as heat or fluorescence 20 . Cyanobacteria also adjust the phycocyanin concentration to changes in the spectral composition of the light, a phenomenon that is known as chromatic adaptation 21 . Because phycocyanin absorbs light in the red part of the spectrum with a maximum absorbance at 620 nm²², the concentration of phycocyanin should increase under red light conditions. Several studies have reported changes in the phycocyanin concentration in Arthrospira biomass under different light wavelengths generated by LED lights. These experiments, however, have yielded contrasting results: while some studies found the highest phycocyanin concentration under blue light illumination ^{16,23,24}, others found a higher phycocyanin concentration under red light illumination ²⁵. In these studies, phycocyanin concentrations of up to 175 mg g^{-1} have been reported 16,26

While previous studies have shown that coloured LED lights can be used to produce *Arthrospira* biomass that is enriched in phycocyanin, this method cannot be implemented in existing extensive outdoor production facilities due to the high cost of energy required for illumination with artificial lighting ²⁷. Moreover, since under natural conditions the stronger illuminated outer cell layers have a high (80%) dissipation of

light energy as unused thermal energy by activating photoprotective mechanisms (like non-photochemical quenching), resulting in overall low photosynthetic efficiencies ²⁸. Therefore, in some cases it could be beneficial to shade the cultures in order to reduce photoinhibition processes. To these ends, the aim of this study was to test whether similarly high concentrations of phycocyanin can be achieved by shading cultures with light filters, which might be a significantly less expensive way than artificial lighting. Light filters are a low-cost solution that might be easily implemented in existing open pond production facilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Arthrospira strain and culture conditions

The strain *Arthrospira platensis* SAG 21.99 (further referred to as *Arthrospira*) was used in all experiments. The strain was cultured in 1 L bottles in autoclaved Zarrouk's medium as modified by Cogne *et al* ²⁹. Nitrogen concentration in this medium is very high (about 400 mg $NO_3 - N L^{-1}$). As a result, nitrogen stress and the accompanying decline in phycocyanin concentration in the biomass are avoided ⁵.

Experimental set - up

Low light intensity experiment

In the low light intensity experiment (LL), *Arthrospira* was cultured in 1 L bottles that were covered with red and neutral density (grey) light filters ; the non – covered bottles were used as a control. The treatments were inoculated at an initial OD_{750} of 0.06, corresponding to a biomass concentration of 0.055 g L⁻¹. Cultures were stirred using a

magnetic stirrer (200 rpm) and bubbled with 0.2 μ m filtered air. The photoperiod was set at 16:8 h light: dark. Cultures were maintained in a temperature-controlled room at a constant temperature of 22 °C. Light was provided by daylight fluorescent tubes that emit light across the PAR range of the light spectrum (Lumilux HE 840, OSRAM, Germany). The light intensity in the control cultures was 100 μ mol photons m⁻²s⁻¹ at the surface of the bottles.

High light intensity experiment

The experimental set-up of the high light intensity experiment (HL) was similar to the one of the low light intensity experiment. In this experiment, light was supplied by a white cool LED lamp 100 W (Led Flood Light, Brennenstuhl, Germany) that generates white light across the light spectrum. Light intensity at the surface of the control cultures was 516 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹.

Scaled-up tests under natural conditions (NL)

The scaled –up experiment was carried out in a greenhouse at Provinciaal Proefcentrum voor de Groenteteelt (Kruishoutem) in Belgium. The experiment was performed in July, when the average daytime temperatures were above 25 °C, whilst during the night temperatures ranged from 13 to 18 °C. *Arthrospira* was cultured in 32 L raceway pond reactors containing 20 L of culture medium. The length/width ratio of the raceway pond reactors was 1.3 and the water column depth was 20 cm. The treatments were inoculated at an average initial OD₇₅₀ of 0.15 corresponding to a biomass concentration of 0.14 g L^{-1} . Two of the ponds were covered with red and neutral density (grey) light filters and the non – covered pond was used as the control (Fig.1). The cultures were agitated using

paddle wheels, whose speed was adjusted to 30 Hz. The light intensity was measured daily using a quantum sensor (Full spectrum quantum sensor SQ 500, Apogee Instruments, USA). The daytime average light intensity in the surface of the ponds varied from 437 to 1406 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹.

Light filters

To evaluate the influence of light filters on optical density, quantum yield, phycocyanin purity and productivity, as well as biomass productivity and composition, control cultures receiving the full light intensity were compared between cultures covered with red and neutral density light filters. Experiments (LL and HL) were carried out in duplicates in 1 L glass bottles that were wrapped with polyester light filters; noncovered bottles were used as a control.

Light filters made from polyester were purchased from Lee (UK). The neutral density filter (LEE 209) is a grey filter that modifies the light intensity equally across the light spectrum. The red light filter (LEE 287) partially blocks the transmittance of blue light. The spectra of the light transmitted by the filters were measured using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S UV-Vis, Thermo-Scientific, USA) (Supplementary data ; Fig.S2). The average blue (400 to 450 nm) and red (550 to 650 nm) light transmitted by the red filter was 3 and 53, respectively, while by the grey filter this was 39 and 42, respectively. The total PAR irradiance (400 to 700 nm), the average blue and red irradiance in the control treatments and treatments with red and neutral density filters were measured using a spectroradiometer for the LL, HL and NL experiments (STS radiometer, OceanOptics, USA) (Table 1, Supplementary data ; Fig.S3). The total PAR in the control treatments was higher for the NL than for the HL experiment, and

PAR in the HL experiment was in turn higher than the LL experiment. Because the light spectrum of the two artificial light sources used in the experiments deviates from the solar irradiance spectrum, the ratio of blue over red light intensity was higher in NL conditions than in artificial light conditions (HL and LL). The red light filter resulted in a decrease in the blue to red light ratio in all experiments, but this decrease was stronger in the LL and NL experiment than in the HL experiment. The blue to red light ratio transmitted by the grey filter was slightly higher than in control conditions in experiments with artificial light (LL and HL) and slightly lower than control conditions in the natural light experiment (NL).

Biomass analyses

In all experiments, biomass in the cultures was monitored spectrophotometrically as optical density at 750 nm (OD₇₅₀) (Genesys 10S UV-Vis, Thermo-Scientific, USA) ³⁰. Spectrophotometric biomass estimates were calibrated using gravimetric dry weight analysis (g L⁻¹ DW = 0.918 x OD₇₅₀, $r^2 = 0.99$, n = 10). The maximum quantum yield (Fv/Fm) was measured using a Pulse Amplitude Modulated fluorometer (AquaPen AP100, Photon Systems Instruments, Czech Republic). The maximum quantum yield of photosystem II is a parameter that shows the stress in photosynthetic organisms ³¹. Fm indicates the maximal fluorescence, Fo the minimum fluorescence and Fv (Fm-Fo) the variable. Before the measurements, the cells were dark – adapted for 30 min ³¹.

At the end of each experiment, on day 10, a subsample was collected for gravimetric analysis of the dry weight biomass concentration. Therefore, a known volume of culture was filtered on a pre-weighed GF/C filter followed by drying at 110 °C for 24 h. The final dry weight biomass concentration was considered as the biomass

production of the culture. The remaining suspension was harvested using a 20 µm pore size nylon mesh, washed with distilled water and immediately stored at -80°C. The frozen *Arthrospira* biomass was freeze-dried and phycocyanin, proteins, carbohydrates, chlorophyll a and carotenoids were measured in the freeze-dried biomass. Proteins were determined by the Lowry assay ³² and carbohydrates using the phenol–sulphuric acid method according to Dubois with glucose as standard ³³. Carotenoids and total chlorophyll in the biomass were measured according to the method by Lichtenthaler ³⁴.

Analytical determination of phycocyanin concentration in the biomass

The dry biomass was diluted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution pH 6. Subsequently, the solution was mixed and incubated in a warm water bath at 30°C for 16 hours. After incubation, the solution was centrifuged (20 min, 10 °C, 3400 x g) and the supernatant containing the phycocyanin was collected. At this point, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 620, 650 and 280 nm. Phycocyanin (c-phycocyanin) concentration was calculated as described by the method of Yoshikawa and Belay ³⁵: phycocyanin (mg L⁻¹) = 0.162 x OD₆₂₀ – 0.098 x OD₆₅₀

where OD_{620} and OD_{650} are the optical absorption at the wavelengths of 620 and 650 nm, respectively. The purity of phycocyanin (c-phycocyanin) was estimated from the ratio OD_{620}/OD_{280} ³⁶.

Statistical analysis

Differences in biomass productivity, biomass composition, phycocyanin concentration, purity and productivity in the cultures were compared between the different treatments using one-way ANOVA. To check for pairwise differences between the treatments, a Tukey's post-hoc test was used. A p-level of 0.05 was considered to be significant. Statistical analyses were performed with the R software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of light filters on biomass productivity

Fig.2 illustrates the kinetics of optical density (an indirect way to determine the biomass concentration in the medium) and the final biomass productivity of the different treatments. In the LL runs, shading of cultures with light filters resulted in a decline in the optical density when compared to the control cultures. This was expected since the total irradiance used (100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) was well below the light saturation level (around 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for *Arthrospira*³⁷), and therefore any reduction of the light intensity would result in the decline in productivity. In the HL intensity runs, the optical density and the final biomass productivity of the cultures did not differ significantly (p = 0.67)between the treatments (HL, 516 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). In this experiment the irradiance was higher than the saturation level and, therefore, a reduction in light intensity through shading with filters does not negatively affect biomass growth. This is due to the fact that at light intensities that surpass light saturation, the excess light energy that is absorbed from the photosynthetic machinery cannot be metabolically utilized and is dissipated and lost either as thermal energy or as fluorescence. At much higher light intensities photoinhibition could occur, a phenomenon that typically occurs under natural conditions and lowers photosynthetic efficiency ³⁸.

However, in the experiments conducted under natural conditions (NL runs) shading of cultures caused a decrease in optical density and biomass productivity,

despite the fact that light intensity was higher than in the HL experiment (437 -1406 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Despite the fact that light intensity at the surface of the reactors was higher, the average light intensity in the reactor as experienced by microalgae can be much lower due to self-shading of the cultures by microalgal cells ^{39,40}. Reactors used in laboratory experiments were of cylindrical shape with 9 cm diameter and irradiation from one side (it was assumed that irradiation at the non-illuminated side was 25% of that at the illuminated side), while ponds had 20 cm depth and only irradiation from the surface. Based on the Beer–Lambert law, the geometry of the experimental vessels and the extinction coefficient derived from the biomass concentration, we estimated the average light intensity in each series of experiments (supplementary data ; Fig.S4). From these estimates it is clear that the average irradiance experienced by microalgae in the ponds is lower than for the HL experiments and is actually more similar to the LL experiment.

Maximum quantum yield of primary photochemistry, which is the ratio of variable fluorescence to the maximum fluorescence (F_V/F_m) is frequently used as an indicator of the performance of photosystem II, a major component of the photosynthetic machinery ⁴¹. In **Fig.3** the maximum quantum yield of primary photochemistry (QY) of the various experimental treatments is illustrated. Runs of LL and NL did not display any differences between the controls and the shaded cultures, reflecting that in all these cases *Arthrospira* was not stressed due to high light levels. In contrast, in the runs with HL, the shaded cultures had higher QY than the control, revealing that under these conditions the unshaded *Arthrospira* was negatively affected by the high light intensity while shading of cells reduced the stress associated to high light intensities. These results are in line with the observations of biomass productivity

where it was observed that HL runs, even illuminated with lower light intensities than in NL runs, (ponds) cells were under higher light stress, and thus any shading of the cultures lead to the mitigation of light stress. In open ponds operated under natural conditions, even cells are subjected in photoinhibitory light levels, during agitation, cells are propelled towards the dark layers of the ponds (depths more than 5 cm), where Photosystem II (PSII) activity recovers rapidly in the dark ⁴². Ponds have generally longer dark periods than photobioreactors leading thus higher levels of recovery ⁴³. This conclusion is supported as well from the results on the quantum yields of primary photochemistry.

Effect of light filters on phycocyanin concentration and productivity

In all conditions (LL, HL and NL) shading of cultures with red light filters caused an increase in phycocyanin concentration (**Fig.4**). In particular, in LL at day 10 phycocyanin reached a maximum of 134 mg g⁻¹ and 122 mg g⁻¹ in the red and grey light filters, respectively, whilst the content of the control was 110 mg g⁻¹. Under HL conditions the differences were much larger, giving 71, 58 and 23 mg g⁻¹ at day 10 for red, grey and control runs, respectively. Same trend of phycocyanin content was observed as well in NL runs, where at day 10, red filter gave the highest phycocyanin content (121 mg g⁻¹), followed by grey (113 mg g⁻¹) and the control (99 mg g⁻¹). Between the different light conditions, the highest phycocyanin content was obtained under LL, followed by NL, while HL gave significantly lower values. These results are in agreement with previous observations that both a reduction in the light quantity ^{13,24,44} or change on light quality (light spectrum towards red) ^{24,25,45} can induce an increase in the phycocyanin concentration in *Arthrospira* biomass. This observation can be

explained by the capacity of chromatic adaptation of many cyanobacteria, including *Arthrospira*, in which cyanobacteria adjust their pigment composition in response to light alterations ²¹. Phycocyanin absorbs light in wavelengths where chlorophyll a poorly absorbs, and light energy absorbed by phycocyanin is transferred to chlorophyll a in photosystem II to enhance the photosynthetic capacity of *Arthrospira* ²². The chromatic adaptation is reflected by the increase in phycocyanin concentration when the cultures were shaded with red light filters. Red coloured filters yielded the highest phycocyanin concentrations in both laboratory conditions and in the greenhouse under natural conditions. As concerns the neutral density filter treatments, the increase in phycocyanin content can only be the result of changes in the light quantity, as the spectral composition of the light was not modified.

Under LL and NL conditions, although in the cultures covered with light filters the biomass productivity was significantly lower compared to the controls, the increase of phycocyanin content led to a similar phycocyanin productivity of the cultures (p = 0.16). The use of light filters to enhance phycocyanin production is clearly a more effective strategy when the average light intensity to which *Arthrospira* cells are exposed is high, as is evident from the strong increase in phycocyanin concentration in the shaded cultures in the HL experiment. When light intensity is high, shading the cultures by light filters also does not result in a decrease in biomass productivity.

Effect of light filters on phycocyanin purity

Fig. 5 displays the phycocyanin purity of the different treatments during the experimental time course. In the LL runs, the purity of phycocyanin did not differ significantly between the light filter treatments and the control. Under HL conditions,

phycocyanin purity declined from days 1-3 in all cultures and then from days 3-8 increased for the light filter treatments, whilst it decreased for the control treatment. Similarly, in the NL runs phycocyanin purity of the control culture was lower than in the cultures covered with light filters throughout the time course of the experiment. In general, similarly to phycocyanin concentration, phycocyanin purity is higher in the LL runs, followed by NL, and then by HL runs that showed the lowest phycocyanin purity in all treatments. Additionally, under HL and NL runs, the phycocyanin extract from the control biomass displayed lower purity than the extracts of the red and grey light filters biomass. As a result, the quality of the phycocyanin extract is higher when light filters are used as opposed to the control that might lead to lower cost of processing (compound extraction) and higher price.

Effect of light filters on the biomass composition

The effect of shading of the cultures of *Arthrospira* with coloured filters on the biomass composition is shown in **Table 2**. Regarding proteins, shading of cultures under HL condition led to an increase in protein content giving 42%, 43% for the red and grey filters, respectively against 31% of the control. Similarly, in the NL runs, the protein content increased from 53% in the control culture to 58% in the red and 65% in the grey light filters' cultures. So far, there are several studies suggesting that the protein content is subject to changes in the light intensity and/or spectral composition of the light ^{13,15,46,47}. In the study by Dejsungkranont *et al.*, ¹³ the proteins in *Arthrospira* biomass were decreased from 23% to 15% with increasing light intensities (from 101 to 203 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). These results are in agreement with the present study. In contrast, Ogbonda *et al.*, found that proteins in *Arthrospira* increased with increase in light

intensity ¹⁵. However, in this study, the light intensities used were much lower than the ones used in the present study, comparing artificial light ranging from 7.5 to 15 μ mol m⁻² s ⁻¹ to sunlight.

Regarding carbohydrates, under LL conditions, carbohydrate content was not affected by shading the cultures. By contrast, under HL conditions, shading the cultures with light filters led to a significant reduction in carbohydrates content compared to the control culture (Table 2). This is in general in line with previous studies, which demonstrate that higher light intensities result in higher carbohydrate content ^{48,49}. In a study by Lee *et al.*, the maximum carbohydrate content (11%) was obtained under high light intensities (192 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹)⁵⁰. Similarly, De Philipps *et al.*, observed an increase in the carbohydrate content from 7-10 % to 34% in Arthrospira maxima when light levels were increased ⁵¹. In the HL runs, the chlorophyll content was significantly higher in the cultures shaded with light filters, giving 1.2 % for the red and grey filters and only 0.6 % for the control, which corresponds to a 50% increase in chlorophyll content. These results come in line with previous studies ⁵², where it was shown that a reduction in chlorophyll content of several micro algal species was observed upon increase in the light intensity ⁵³ or by changing the light wavelengths ⁵⁴. Carotenoids did not show any significant differences in none of the experiments, indicating that they are not influenced by differences in light intensity.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrates that shading of *Arthrospira* cultures with light filters, although it might decrease biomass productivity, causes an increase in protein and phycocyanin content. Regarding phycocyanin, shaded cultures displayed an enhanced

phycocyanin purity and productivity under high light intensity and natural conditions. Especially red coloured filters gave the best results when light intensities were the highest investigated in laboratory conditions or when *Arthrospira* was cultivated in a greenhouse under natural conditions. Hence, shading *Arthrospira* cultures with red light filters could be a feasible strategy for improving protein and phycocyanin content and purity of the produced biomass.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Research Foundation Flanders (FWO, grant numbers: 1S16118N and 12D8917N) and EU Interreg V Vlaanderen-Nederland project 'De Blauwe Keten' for funding this research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mata TM, Martins AA and Caetano NS, Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: A review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* **14**:217–232 (2010).
- Eriksen NT, Research Trends in the Dominating Microalgal Pigments, βcarotene, Astaxanthin, and Phycocyanin Used in Feed, in Foods, and in Health Applications. *J Nutr Food Sci* 06:1–6 (2016).
- Sekar S and Chandramohan M, Phycobiliproteins as a commodity: Trends in applied research, patents and commercialization. *J Appl Phycol* 20:113–136 (2008).
- Rahman DY, Sarian FD, Van Wijk A, Martinez-Garcia M and Van der Maarel MJEC, Thermostable phycocyanin from the red microalga *Cyanidioschyzon*

Accepted Articl

merolae, a new natural blue food colorant. J Appl Phycol 29:1233–1239 (2017).

- 5. Eriksen NT, Production of phycocyanin A pigment with applications in biology, biotechnology, foods and medicine. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **80**:1–14 (2008).
- Spolaore P, Joannis-Cassan C, Duran E and Isambert A, Commercial applications of microalgae. *J Biosci Bioeng* 101:87–96 (2006).
- Manirafasha E, Ndikubwimana T, Zeng X, Lu Y and Jing K, Phycobiliprotein: Potential microalgae derived pharmaceutical and biological reagent. *Biochem Eng J* 109:282–296 (2016).
- Patil G, Chethana S, Sridevi AS and Raghavarao KSMS, Method to obtain Cphycocyanin of high purity. *J Chromatogr A* 1127:76–81 (2006).
- Chisti Y, Pneumatically Agitated Bioreactors in Industrial and Environmental Bioprocessing: Hydrodynamics, Hydraulics, and Transport Phenomena. *Appl Mech Rev* 51:33–112 (1998).
- Becker EW, Micro-algae as a source of protein. *Biotechnol Adv* 25:207–210 (2007).
- Campanella L, Crescentini G and Avino P, Chemical composition and nutritional evaluation of some natural and commercial food products based on *Spirulina*. *Analusis* 27:533–540 (1999).
- Soni RA, Sudhakar K and Rana RS, *Spirulina* From growth to nutritional product: A review. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 69:157–171 (2017).
- Dejsungkranont M, Chisti Y and Sirisansaneeyakul S, Simultaneous production of C-phycocyanin and extracellular polymeric substances by photoautotrophic cultures of *Arthrospira platensis*. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* **92**:2709–2718 (2017).

- Chaiklahan R, Khonsarn N, Chirasuwan N, Ruengjitchatchawalya M, Bunnag B and Tanticharoen M, Response of *Spirulina platensis* C1 to High Temperature and High Light Intensity. *Kasetsart J - Nat Sci* **41**:123-129 (2007).
- 15. Ogbonda KH, Aminigo RE and Abu GO, Influence of aeriation and lighting on biomass production and protein biosynthesis in a *Spirulina sp.* isolated from an oil-polluted brackish water marsh in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *J Biotechnol* 6 :2596–2600 (2007).
- Markou G, Effect of various colors of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) on the biomass composition of *Arthrospira platensis* cultivated in semi-continuous mode. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 172:2758–2768 (2014).
- Grossman AR, Bhaya D and He Q, Tracking the Light Environment by
 Cyanobacteria and the Dynamic Nature of Light Harvesting. *J Biol Chem* 276 :11449–11452 (2001).
- Glazer AN, Phycobilisome: a macromolecular complex for light energy transfer.
 Biochim Biophys Acta 768:29-51 (1981).
- Nomsawai P, Tandeau De Marsac N, Thomas JC, Tanticharoen M and Cheevadhanarak S, Light Regulation of Phycobilisome Structure and Gene Expression in *Spirulina platensis* C1 (*Arthrospira sp.* PCC 9438). *Plant Cell Physiol* 40:1194–1202 (1999).
- Xue S, Su Z and Cong W, Growth of *Spirulina platensis* enhanced under intermittent illumination. *J Biotechnol* 151:271–277 (2011).
- Bennett A and Bogorad L, Complementary Chromatic Adaptation in a Filamentous Blue-Green Alga. J Cell Biol 58:419-435 (1973).
- 22. MacColl R, Cyanobacterial phycobilisomes. J Struct Biol 124:311–334 (1998).

- Accepted Article
- Akimoto S, Yokono M, Hamada F, Teshigahara A, Aikawa S and Kondo A, Adaptation of light-harvesting systems of *Arthrospira platensis* to light conditions, probed by time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenerg* 1817:1483–1489 (2012).
- Chen HB, Wu JY, Wang CF, Fu CC, Shieh CJ, Chen CI *et al.*, Modeling on chlorophyll a and phycocyanin production by *Spirulina platensis* under various light-emitting diodes. *Biochem Eng J* 53:52–56 (2010).
- 25. Yim SK, Ki DW, Doo HS, Kim H and Kwon TH, Internally illuminated photobioreactor using a novel type of light-emitting diode (LED) bar for cultivation of *Arthrospira platensis*. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng* 21:767–776 (2016).
- Patel A, Mishra S, Pawar R and Ghosh PK, Purification and characterization of C-Phycocyanin from cyanobacterial species of marine and freshwater habitat. *Protein Expr Purif* 40:248–255 (2005).
- Ooms MD, Dinh CT, Sargent EH and Sinton D, Photon management for augmented photosynthesis. *Nat Commun* 7:1–13 (2016).
- Goldman JC, Outdoor Algal Mass Cultures II. Photosynthetic Yield Limitations. *Water Res* 13:119–136 (1979).
- Cogne G, Lehmann B, Dussap CG and Gros JB, Uptake of macrominerals and trace elements by the cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis* (*Arthrospira platensis* PCC 8005) under photoautotrophic conditions: Culture medium optimization. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 81:588–593 (2003).
- 30. Griffiths MJ, Garcin C, Van Hille RP and Harrison STL, Interference by pigment in the estimation of microalgal biomass concentration by optical density. *J*

Accepted Articl

Microbiol Methods 85:119–123 (2011).

- 31. Parkhill JP, Maillet G and Cullen JJ, Fluorescence-based maximal quantum yield for PSII as a diagnostic of nutrient stress. *J Phycol* **37**:517–529 (2001).
- 32. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL and Randall BJ, Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem* **193**:265–275 (1951).
- Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA and Smith F, Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal Chem* 28:350– 356 (1956).
- Lichtenthaler HK, Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes, in *Methods in Enzymology*, ed by Packer L and Douce R. Elsevier, California, pp. 350–382 (1987).
- 35. Yoshikawa N and Belay A, Single-laboratory validation of a method for the determination of c-Phycocyanin and Allophycocyanin in Spirulina (*Arthrospira*) supplements and raw materials by spectrophotometry. *J AOAC Int* **91**:524–529 (2008).
- Herrera A, Boussiba S and Napoleone V, Recovery of c-phycocyanin from the cyanobacterium *Spirulina maxima*. *Appl Phycol* 1:325–331 (1989).
- 37. Lu C and Vonshak A, Effects of salinity stress on photosystem II function in cyanobacterial *Spirulina platensis* cells. *Physiol Plant* **114**:405–413 (2002).
- Lu C and Vonshak A, Photoinhibition in outdoor *Spirulina platensis* cultures assessed by polyphasic chlorophyll fluorescence transients. *J Appl Phycol* 11 :355–359 (1999).
- 39. Chen CY, Yeh KL, Aisyah R, Lee DJ and Chang JS, Cultivation, photobioreactor design and harvesting of microalgae for biodiesel production: A critical review.

Accepted Articl

Bioresour Technol 102:71-81 (2011).

- 40. Magro FG, Margarites AC, Reinehr CO, Gonçalves GC, Rodigheri G, Costa JAV *et al., Spirulina platensis* biomass composition is influenced by the light availability and harvest phase in raceway ponds. *Environ Technol* **39**: 1868-1877 (2018).
- 41. Masojídek J, Vonshak A and Torzillo G, Chlorophyll Fluorescence Applications in Microalgal Mass Cultures, in *Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Aquatic Sciences: Methods and Applications*, ed by Suggett D, Prášil O and Borowitzka M.
 Springer, Dordrecht, pp 277 - 292 (2010).
- Shyam R, Raghavendra AS and Sane PV, Role of dark respiration in photoinhibition of photosynthesis and its reactivation in the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans*. *Physiol Plant* 88:446–452 (1993).
- 43. Demory D, Combe C, Hartmann P, Talec A, Pruvost E, Hamouda R *et al.*, How do microalgae perceive light in a high-rate pond? Towards more realistic Lagrangian experiments. *R Soc Open Sci* 5 :180523 (2018). http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180523
- 44. Wang CY, Fu CC and Liu YC, Effects of using light-emitting diodes on the cultivation of *Spirulina platensis*. *Biochem Eng J* **37**:21–25 (2007).
- 45. Lima GM, Teixeira PCN, Teixeira CMLL, Filócomo D and Lage CLS, Influence of spectral light quality on the pigment concentrations and biomass productivity of *Arthrospira platensis*. *Algal Res* **31**:157–166 (2018).
- 46. Coward T, Fuentes-Grünewald C, Silkina A, Oatley-Radcliffe DL, Llewellyn G and Lovitt RW, Utilising light-emitting diodes of specific narrow wavelengths for the optimization and co-production of multiple high-value compounds in

Porphyridium purpureum. Bioresour Technol 221:607–615 (2016).

- 47. Seyfabadi J, Ramezanpour Z and Khoeyi ZA, Protein, fatty acid, and pigment content of *Chlorella vulgaris* under different light regimes. *J Appl Phycol* 23 :721–726 (2011).
- Markou G, Angelidaki I and Georgakakis D, Microalgal carbohydrates: An overview of the factors influencing carbohydrates production, and of main bioconversion technologies for production of biofuels. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 96:631–645 (2012).
- 49. Olguín EJ, Galicia S, Angulo-Guerrero O and Hernández E, The effect of low light flux and nitrogen deficiency on the chemical composition of *Spirulina sp.* (*Arthrospira*) grown on digested pig waste. *Bioresour Technol* 77:19–24 (2001).
- Lee MC, Chen YC and Peng TC, Two-stage culture method for optimized polysaccharide production in *Spirulina platensis*. J Sci Food Agric 92:1562–1569 (2012).
- 51. De Philippis R, Sili C and Vincenzini M, Glycogen and poly- β-hydroxybutyrate synthesis in *Spirulina maxima*. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**:1623-1628 (1992).
- 52. He Q, Yang H, Wu L and Hu C, Effect of light intensity on physiological changes, carbon allocation and neutral lipid accumulation in oleaginous microalgae. *Bioresour Technol* 191:219–228 (2015).
- 53. Jespersen L, Strømdahl LD, Olsen K and Skibsted LH, Heat and light stability of three natural blue colorants for use in confectionery and beverages. *Eur Food Res Technol* 220:261–266 (2005).
- 54. Mohsenpour SF, Richards B and Willoughby N, Spectral conversion of light for enhanced microalgae growth rates and photosynthetic pigment production.

Bioresour Technol 125:75-81 (2012).



Tables captions

Table 1. Overview of the light intensity and light spectrum in the control, red and grey filter treatments in the experiments with low light (LL), high light (HL) and natural light (NL). The light spectrum was measured with a spectroradiometer. The PAR irradiance transmitted by the filters and received at the surface of the bottles/ponds, as well as the average blue (400 to 450 nm) and red (550 to 650 nm) light received compared to the control cultures (as measured with a spectroradiometer) are given for each treatment and each experiment. The PAR irradiance in the ponds is the average irradiance received in the surface of the ponds during daylight hours over the course of the experiment, while the spectrum was measured during clear sky conditions.

Table 2. The biomass composition of *Arthrospira* between the different treatments

 under low light and high light intensities, as well as under natural conditions is

 presented.

Table 1.

Experiments	Control	Red filter	Grey filte
LL experiment			
PAR (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	100	40	30
Red light	5.5	3.3	2.0
Blue light	1.4	0.07	0.60
Ratio blue : red light	0.25	0.02	0.30
HL experiment			
PAR (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	516	206	155
Red light	24.6	11.0	7.4
Blue light	8.0	1.1	2.8
Ratio blue : red light	0.32	0.10	0.38
NL experiment			
PAR (μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1072	429	322
Red light	401	230	173
Blue light	222	5	59
Ratio blue : red light	0.55	0.02	0.34

Table 2.

	Experiments
\mathbf{O}	
	LL exp.
	Control
	Red
	Grey
	HL exp.
	Control
	Red
	Grey
\mathbf{O}	NL exp.
+	Control
	Red
\mathbf{O}	Grey
\mathbf{O}	*Moone - standard davi
\mathbf{O}	differences between the
V	

periments	Proteins (%)	Carbohydrates	Chlorophyll (%)	Carotenoids (%)
		(%)		
LL exp.				
Control	$58.3\pm3.8^{\rm a}$	8.4 ± 1.3^{a}	2.1 ± 0.3^{a}	0.50 ± 0.05^{a}
Red	62.4 ± 4.0^a	$9.3\pm0.7^{\rm a}$	$2.3\pm0.05^{\ a}$	$0.48\pm0.01~^a$
Grey	$59.4\pm6.5^{\rm a}$	$10.2 \pm 1.7^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.2\pm0.09^{\text{ a}}$	$0.48\pm0.01~^a$
HL exp.				
Control	30.7 ± 1.4^{c}	31.8 ± 1.1^{c}	0.6 ± 0.05^{c}	0.31 ± 0.02^{b}
Red	41.7 ± 5.0^{b}	18.7 ± 4.6^{b}	1.2 ± 0.22^{b}	0.36 ± 0.04^{b}
Grey	42.7 ± 5.4^{b}	$19.5\pm19.5^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1.2\pm0.19^{\text{ b}}$	0.38 ± 0.05 ^b
NL exp.				
Control	53.2	5.1	1.9	0.44
Red	57.7	5.6	1.7	0.38
Grey	65.2	4.8	2.1	0.44

*Means \pm standard deviations. Different letters in the same column indicate significant statistical differences between the different treatments at a 95% confidence level (p = 0.05).



Fig.1 The experimental set-up of the raceway pond reactors in the greenhouse. *Arthrospira* was cultivated under natural conditions in the ponds covered with red and grey light filters and the non-covered pond was used as a control.

254x142mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 2 Optical density (A-C) in the LL, HL and NL experiments, respectively. The light filter treatments were compared to the control treatment for a period of 10 days (control : •; red : •; grey : •). Differences in biomass productivity (g L⁻¹ d⁻¹) between the light filters and the control treatment were compared at the last day of the experiment (D : LL, E: HL, F : NL). Statistically significant differences between the treatments in graphs D and E are indicated by different letters (p = 0.05)

Fig. 2 was created using SigmaPlot 11.



Fig. 3 Quantum yield (A-C) in the LL, HL and NL experiments, respectively, where the light filter treatments were compared to the control treatment at the last day of the experiment.

Fig.3 was created in SigmaPlot 11.





Fig.4 Phycocyanin concentration (mg g⁻¹) (A-C) in the LL, HL and NL experiments, respectively. The light filter treatments were compared to the control treatment for a period of 10 days (control : • ; red : • ; grey : •). Differences in phycocyanin productivity (mg l⁻¹ d⁻¹) (D-F) between the light filters and the control treatment (D : LL, E: HL, F : NL) were compared at the last day of the experiment. Statistically significant differences between the treatments in graphs D and E are indicated by different letters (p = 0.05).

Fig.4 was originally created in SigmaPlot 11.



Fig.5 Phycocyanin purity (A-C) in the LL, HL and NL experiments, respectively. The light filter treatments were compared to the control treatment for a period of 10 days (control : ● ; red : ▲ ; grey : ■).

Fig.5 was created using SigmaPlot 11.