BHPA 2020 abstract submission form

Preceding information page

I. Author information

Name	Yalvac
First Name	Burak
Institution Name	Universiteit Hasselt
Institution Address	Wetenschapspark 27, 3590 Diepenbeek
E-mail Address	burak.yalvac@uhasselt.be

Y

II. Abstract information

Preferred type of presentation	
Oral	Y
High level poster	N
Please select one or more categories	
Radiotherapy	Y
Radiology	Ν
Nuclear Medicine	Ν
Medical Imaging	Ν
Dosimetry and Radiobiology	Ν
Professional Matters	Ν
Other	Ν
Please list up keywords	
Beldart, SBRT, VMAT, dose	
verification, EPR dosimetry, film	
dosimetry, mailed auditing	

Eligible for young physicist award (less than 28 years old or less than 5 years' experience)

BELdART-SBRT: a national mailed audit program for Lung SBRT

B. Yalvac¹, N. Reulens¹, B. Reniers¹

¹Universiteit Hasselt, CMK, NuTeC, Diepenbeek, Belgium.

Introduction

NuTeC is developing a mailed audit program for lung SBRT using a combination of radiochromic film and alanine/EPR dosimetry. The project is supported by the Belgian College of Radiation Oncology and FOD healthcare.

Material and methods

The audit program features the IMRT Virtual Water Dose Verification Phantom (Standard Imaging Inc., Middleton, Wi, USA) containing a set of lung inserts and a bone equivalent plug for the spine. Films can be placed in the coronal orientation. The lung inserts are modified with a 3D printed PLA box with 20% infill containing an imprint derived from a patient contour and filled with a silicone mixture simulating tumour tissue. The small box also contains a hole for an alanine pellet in the centre of the contour. The phantom contains film/alanine detectors through the centre of the tumour. The film detectors are large enough to encompass different tissues (e.g. tumour, lung, soft tissue). Another film piece is placed on top of the lungs, which is an interface region. End-To-End (E2E) tests were performed with different TPS' to observe the audit procedure. The films were evaluated with gamma analysis [1] using the 5%/1mm criteria with global normalization and 10 % threshold.

Results

Table 1 and Table 2 show the alanine and film results respectively. In two cases, the measured dose was > 3% than calculated. The film results show that the deviations are observed at the interface regions (predominantly at the border of the tumour), which is expected. For the test using the Monte Carlo algorithm, the passing rate is very low for the film placed on top of the lungs. The placement of the film at the interface region seems to allow testing the handling of the TPS' of large non-uniformities.

Algorithm	D _{TPS} (Gy)	D _{measured} (Gy)	Unc. D _{measured} (%)	δ (%)
ccc	20.475	21.175	0.827	3.306
Monte Carlo	20.641	21.708	0.826	4.915
Convolution-Superposition	18.157	18.298	0.839	0.771
ссс	20.602	20.951	0.706	1.662
AAA	22.272	22.436	0.832	0.730

Table 1: alanine results of the pellet placed in the centre of the tumour tissue.

Table 2: Film results using gamma analysis with 5%/1mm criteria.

	Gamma evaluation passing rate (5%/1mm TH = 10%)		
	Above lungs	Through tumour	
CCC	99.92	98.71	
Monte Carlo	71.6	97.16	
Convolution-superposition	99.93	98.09	
CCC	99.83	99.25	
ΑΑΑ	99.38	97.02	

Conclusion

The tests show promising results for the SBRT audits in evaluating the dosimetric accuracy. The audit program is very close to being launched.

Acknowledgements and potential conflicts of interest

We would like to thank the Cancer Plan, FOD Healthcare and the Belgian College of Radiation Oncology for the financial and scientific support. Besides, we would like to thank the members from the steering committee and the physicists from LOC and Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc for their time and support.

No conflict of interest.

References

[1] Low, William, Harms, Mutic and Purdy, A technique for the quantitative evaluation of dose distributions, Med. Phys. 25(5), March 1998.