Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

The effect of high dose rate gamma irradiation on the curing of CaO-FexOy-SiO2 slag based inorganic polymers: Mechanical and microstructural analysis Peer-reviewed author version

MAST, Bram; Cambriani, Andrea; Douvalis, Alexios P.; Pontikes, Yiannis; SCHROEYERS, Wouter; VANDOREN, Bram & SCHREURS, Sonja (2020) The effect of high dose rate gamma irradiation on the curing of CaO-FexOy-SiO2 slag based inorganic polymers: Mechanical and microstructural analysis. In: Journal of nuclear materials, 539 (Art N° 152237).

DOI: 10.1016/j.jnucmat.2020.152237 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/31456

1 The effect of high dose rate gamma irradiation on the curing of CaO-FeO_x-SiO₂ slag based

- 2 inorganic polymers: Mechanical and microstructural analysis.
- Bram Mast^{a*}, Andrea Cambriani^b, Alexios P. Douvalis^c, Yiannis Pontikes^d, Wouter Schroeyers^a, Bram Vandoren^e, Sonja
 Schreurs^{a**}
- ^a Hasselt University, CMK, NuTeC, Nuclear Technology Faculty of Engineering Technology, Agoralaan Building H, B 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium
- 8 ^b European Commission, Joint Research Centre, P.O. Box 2340, D-76125 Karlsruhe, Germany
- 9 ^c University of Ioannina, Department of Physics, 45110 Ioannina, Greece
- 10 ^d KU Leuven, Department of Materials Engineering, Kasteelpark Arenberg 44, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium
- ^e Hasselt University, CERG, Faculty of Engineering Technology, Agoralaan Building H, B-3590 Diepenbeek,
 Belgium
- 13 *Main Author, E-mail: <u>bram.mast@uhasselt.be</u>
- 14 "Corresponding Author: sonja.schreurs@uhasselt.be
- 15 Keywords:
- 16 Alkali Activated Materials, Fe-rich inorganic polymers, gamma irradiation, mechanical and 17 microstructural changes, radiation-induced strengthening, radiation-induced iron oxidation
- 18 Declarations of interest: none

19 Abstract

In search for alternative cementitious materials for radioactive waste encapsulation, geopolymers and inorganic polymers (IPs) have received wide attention. Moreover, Fe-rich IPs offer an interesting alternative to high density concretes for use in radiation shielding applications. Materials can however be altered when subjected to ionizing radiation, creating the necessity to evaluate the material's behaviour under irradiation conditions. In this study the effect of high dose rate (8.85 kGy/h) gamma irradiation is investigated on CaO-FeO_x-SiO₂ slag-based IPs. Samples with different curing times (1 h, 24 h and 28 days) prior to the irradiation were irradiated to a dose of 200 kGy using a ⁶⁰Co source.

26 The effect of gamma radiation is observed to be highly dependent on the curing time prior to irradiation. 28 days cured 27 samples are found to be resistant to the irradiation for the dose(rate) and properties tested without any significant change 28 in strength, indentation characteristics, porosity and Fe³⁺ content. The IPs studied show a different behaviour when 29 irradiated immediately after casting or after 24 h of curing. It is therefore thought that the mechanism behind the effect of 30 irradiation is different for the non-hardened samples compared to hardened samples. For the 1 hour cured samples prior 31 to irradiation multiple effects were observed: an increase of the compressive strength by a factor 2.20, a decrease in 32 hardness of the binder by a factor of 0.73, a lower Young's-modulus of the binder by a factor of 0.67, a decrease of creep 33 in time for the binder by a factor of 0.72, a decrease in porosity by a factor of 0.92 and an increase of the Fe³⁺/ΣFe ratio by

34 a factor of 1.95.

36 37





- Iron-rich slag-based IPs were irradiated with a 8.85 kGy/h ⁶⁰Co source.
- The curing time before irradiation affects the material's response.
- We observed strengthening of IPs associated to radiation-induced iron oxidation.

Abbreviations and symbols:

Ac	Contact area
ATR-FTIR	Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier-transform Infrared Spectroscopy
С	Creep modulus
C_{IT}	Normalised indentation creep parameter
Em	Indentation elastic modulus
F _{max}	Maximum indentation load
Н	Hardness
h_0	Initial indentation depth
ha	Contact perimeter of indenter
hc	Penetration depth
<i>h</i> r	Residual impression
h_{\max}	Maximum indentation depth
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IPs	Inorganic Polymers
IRR	Irradiated
IS	Isomeric Shift
<i>L</i> (t)	Creep function
MIP	Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry
OPC	Ordinary Portand Cement
PS	Plasma Slag
PSD	Pore Size Distribution
QS	Quadrupole Splitting
RAA	Relative Absorption Area
REF	Reference (non-irradiated)
RT	Room Temperature
S	Stifness
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
TGA	Thermogravimetrical Analysis

43 **1** Introduction

In search for alternative cementitious materials for radiation shielding and radioactive waste encapsulation, geopolymers and alkali activated materials have received wide attention [1], [2], [3]. These materials are considered promising due to (i) their excellent performance characteristics and (ii) because they can be synthesised from residue materials. Inorganic polymers (IPs) is the class of inorganic binders which can be formed through the alkali activation of a calcium silicate source comparable to the conventional clinkers, an aluminosilicate source, such as kaolinite and fly ash, or a ferrosilicate source, such as slags from the non-ferrous metal industry.

Plasma slag (PS) from urban solid waste gasification can be considered as an industrial by-product 51 containing a Fe-rich glass fraction which can be valorised through polymer cement/block production [4]. A 52 53 number of publications can be found in literature using PS of different compositions as a precursor material 54 for IPs [5]-[10]. The chemistry of the slag however influences the properties of the final IP, and thus fluctuations should be limited [11]. Machiels et al. (2016) [7] compared the compositional data of different 55 56 freshly produced ashes to predict its compositional variation related to the source material, geographical origin and applied pre-concentration method. It was found that most of the samples had a chemistry close 57 58 to ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBFS) and Ca-rich fly ash. Future work however has to focus on 59 the identification of an optimal precursor chemistry which allows the slag producers to harmonise the composition of the non-ferrous metallurgy slags [11]. 60

61 Fe-rich IPs offer an interesting alternative to high density concretes for use in radiation shielding 62 applications, from an economical point of view, since the slags necessary to produce IPs are cheaper than 63 the minerals used in high density concretes [12]. IPs are also interesting candidates for the conditioning of 64 certain radioactive waste streams due to (i) the absence of portlandite, (ii) the low water content and (iii) 65 the high alkalinity [3]. (i) Portlandite has weak immobilization capacities. Moreover, it is unwanted for the 66 immobilization of reactive metals since NaF cannot be added in the presence of portlandite to decrease the 67 metallic corrosion and corresponding dihydrogen production. (ii) A low water content is desired to limit the 68 radiolytic hydrogen yield, since radiolytic dehydration is one of the most important effects which can cause 69 damage in cementitious materials. Moreover, radiolytic dihydrogen formation should be limited to avoid 70 internal pressure build up. For IPs, however, this is expected to be of less concern, since literature indicates 71 that the apparent H_2 yield for IPs is below that of ordinary Portland cement-based samples [3]. The H_2 72 yield is though affected by the presence of salts and ionic species in the pore water. Since salts as $Ca(OH)_2$ can interact with radiolytic H_2O_2 , they can increase the hydrogen gas production [13]. As more H_2O_2 is consumed, less is available for the oxidation of hydrogen to water [13]. Ionic species and nitrate salts on the other hand can decrease the H_2 yield as they interact with hydrated electrons and hydrogen atoms and thus avoid recombination to H_2 . Moreover, this effect can increase when the ion concentration in the pore solution increases as dehydration progresses. (iii) High alkalinity is desired since high pH insolubilizes many metals and radioelements such as ¹³⁷Cs and protects safety barriers from corrosion. [3]

79 Materials used for radioactive waste encapsulation are subjected to different dose rates, with a maximum 80 immediately after waste conditioning/emplacement and a decrease over time as a result of radioactive 81 decay. The dose rate highly depends on the type of waste and the position of the material in the conditioning 82 matrix. According to the Belgian waste management system, the gamma dose rate for waste conditioning 83 can vary from a minimum of 5 mGy/h at contact dose for the low-level wastes to a maximum of 23 Gy/h 84 close to the radioactive source for vitrified high-level waste [14]-[16]. Peak gamma dose rates for high-85 level waste disposal varying from 35 mGy/h to 10 Gy/h have been reported by Bennet et al. (2008) [17]. 86 Much higher dose rates are applicable in nuclear reactors at the concrete interface. A flux of $\pm 3.10^9$ rad/s 87 $(\approx 1.10^{11} \text{ Gy/h})$ has been reported for a three-loop pressurised water reactor [18], [19].

- The detrimental effects of gamma radiation on OPC-based matrices have been studied extensively [20]-88 [27]. However, less studies can be found examining the effect of gamma radiation on IPs [28]-[36]. Most 89 90 of these studies focus on metakaolin-based geopolymers. In literature, radiation-induced strengthening is 91 reported for metakaolin Na-geopolymers by Lambertin et al. (2013) [31] and for iron-rich IPs by Mast et 92 al. (2019) [35]. A possible explanation for this strengthening could be a change in the pore size distribution 93 [35]-[37]. The increased strength as a result of irradiation can also be related to the growth of carbonates 94 e.g. CaCO₃ or Na₂CO₃ in the microcracks and pores [24], [38]. Or, in the case of iron-rich IPs, the strength 95 increase can be related to the radiolytic effect on the different oxidation states of iron, which also 96 determines the strength of non-irradiated IPs [8], [10].
- 97 In literature, also altered creep properties of cementitious materials as a result of gamma irradiation are 98 reported [27]. Hilloulin et al. (2018) [27] used microindentations with a maximum load of 2000 mN to 99 characterise the creep properties of the individual phases of fully hardened mortar samples after irradiation. 100 They found a significant increase (+ 17%) in cement paste creep modulus after an exposure of 257 kGy at 101 510 Gy/h [27]. The creep modulus increase is corresponding to a slight increase in indentation hardness. 102 The indentation modulus was not significantly affected. No results have yet been found in literature 103 describing the effect of gamma irradiation on the micromechanical properties of IPs.

104 This research focusses on a general description of the effects of gamma irradiation on inorganic polymers, 105 proposing a mechanism to describe the strength increase for irradiated samples [35]. The effect of high 106 dose rate (8.85 kGy/h) gamma irradiation is investigated on iron-rich CaO-FeO_x-SiO₂ slag-based IPs. A 107 high dose rate was applied to increase the irradiation effects and to mimic irradiation effects at the larger 108 timescale. The macro- and micromechanical properties are studied as well as the microstructural 109 characteristics. Compressive strength, indentation modulus, hardness, creep, pore size distribution, water 110 content, carbonate content, and iron oxidation state were evaluated for the irradiated samples and 111 compared to non-irradiated samples. The mix design used in this study should be optimised when focussing 112 on a specific application such as nuclear waste management.

113 2 Material and methods

114

2.1. Inorganic polymer

A synthetic plasma slag (Figure 1) with chemical composition as given in Table 1 was produced using bottom ash, iron ore, limestone, and sand to represent a slag often used in non-ferrous metallurgy [7]. The melt was quenched using pressurised water jets and a water tank to obtain a vitrified material with high amount of amorphous phase (> 98 wt.%). The methodology for producing the synthetic slag is described in more detail in Machiels et al. (2016) [7].

The quenched glass was milled using a ball mill until a Blaine value of $(2.68 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ according to EN 196-6 [39] before alkali activation. The milled slag, hereafter referred to as precursor, had a density of $(3.094 \pm 0.009) \text{ g/cm}^3$ measured using the Quantachrome Multipycnometer MVP-6DC and a particle size distribution of $D_{10} = (3.87 \pm 0.06) \mu \text{m}$, $D_{50} = (22.3 \pm 0.7) \mu \text{m}$ and $D_{90} = (68 \pm 2) \mu \text{m}$. The chemical composition of the precursor was determined using X-ray fluorescence analysis (Bruker S8 TIGER). High amounts of SiO₂, FeO, CaO and Al₂O₃ were detected, as can be observed in Table 1.



Figure 1: Photograph of non-milled plasma slag.

Table 1. Chemical composition of synthetic plasma slag (PS) according to XRF. Fe_xO_y is expressed as 92% FeO and 8% Fe₂O₃.

wt.%	SiO ₂	Fe _x O _y	CaO	Al ₂ O ₃	MgO	TiO ₂	K ₂ O	Other
PS	29.2	28.2	26.7	13.4	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.4

130

165

The IP pastes were produced by mixing the precursor with a sodium silicate activation solution. The 131 132 activation solution was a mixture of sodium silicate solution (molar ratio $SiO_2/Na_2O = 3.3$ and 65 wt.% 133 water, supplied by ABCR GmbH), sodium hydroxide pellets (grade 98.8%, supplied by VWR international) and distilled water. An activation solution with molar ratios of $SiQ_2/Na_2Q = 1.6$ and $H_2Q/Na_2Q = 20.0$, was 134 used. 69.54 g of Na_2SiO_3 solution, 8.05 g of NaOH pellets and 22.41 g of distilled water were mixed for 135 136 100 g of activation solution. The precursor was mixed with the solution in a solid to liquid ratio of 2.6 g/ml. The binder recipe has been selected as the authors focussed on a design with enough binder phase such 137 138 as to allow the characterisation of the individual phases via nanoindentation. The binder recipe was also 139 adjusted to have limited reactivity to avoid microcracking, since this would both affect the performance 140 and the nanoindentation results. Moreover, a higher amount of binder phase was preferred to make the impact of the irradiation more pronounced. Irradiation effects in the binder were expected to be of higher 141 142 importance to the material properties than effects in the unreacted particles.

The paste was cast in a small cubic (25^3 mm^3) or cylindrical (d = 30 mm, h = 40 mm) mould and vibrated during 3 minutes at 50 Hz using the Controls 55-C0159/L vibrating table. The samples were cured at a temperature of (28.8 ± 0.5) °C and a relative humidity of (54 ± 10) % in an environmental chamber for 1 hour, 24 hours or 28 days prior to irradiation. These time intervals are chosen based on the different reaction stages:

- 1 h: minimum time after casing necessary to load the samples in the irradiation cell;
- 24 h: right after the main reaction peak;
- 28 d: stable and fully cured sample.

After 28 d of curing, samples with a bulk density of about 2.5 g/cm³ were obtained with a microstructure as in Figure 2 (i). Some microcracks as a result of drying shrinkage can be observed. Dimensional changes are reported in a previous study of Mast et al. (2019) [35]. For the non-irradiated samples, volumetric changes up to -5% were observed. As large deformations resulting from shrinkage are undesired for nuclear waste management applications, dimensional stability should be optimised by adapting the synthesis parameters such as precursors' reactivity and curing conditions [40].

157 Since the final setting time is about 6 hours, the 1 hour hardened samples were still very viscous at the 158 start of the irradiation, while the others were solidified. The IP.1s were irradiated during the dissolution 159 and polymerisation stage, while for the IP.24s the irradiation started when then main reactions (dissolution, 160 reorganisation, gelation and polymerisation) were already finished. A stable and fully cured sample is only obtained after a sufficient curing time. Therefore, also IP.28s were included in the experimental design. 161 162 The different curing times reflect different material applications in radioactive waste management. For 163 certain barriers, prefabricated and fully hardened materials are preferred, while for others, the material is 164 poured close to the radioactive source(s) causing irradiation during hardening.

2.2. Irradiation set-up

166 Irradiation was performed at the SCK·CEN BR2 research reactor facility [41]–[43]. The underwater gamma 167 irradiation facility BRIGITTE (Big Radius Installation under Gamma Irradiation for Tailoring and Testing

168 Experiments) was used [41]. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of the gamma source used in the

irradiation test. The irradiation container was surrounded by ten ⁶⁰Co sources. The irradiation container was a stainless-steel double-wall (3.5 mm wall thickness) barrel with a 20 cm internal diameter. Temperature evolution of an IP sample was recorded using a thermocouple which was placed in the centre of a sample via a 5 mm diameter drilled hole. At the start of the irradiation, a temperature of 25.4 °C was registered. The temperature however quickly raised to 30.1 °C after 1.5 hour and reached a plateau after 4 hours at 33.6 °C. The irradiation was performed in stagnant atmosphere with the sample container immersed in a water basin of about 25 °C.

Irradiation facility

Irradiation time

Max dose rate

Time before irradiation

Source

Decay

Energy

176

Table 2. Characteristics of irradiation set-up.

⁶⁰Co

1.173 MeV,

1.332 MeV

8.85 kGy/h

1 h, 24 h or 28 d

22.5 h

Brigitte position B at SCK·CEN MOL

 $^{60}Co \rightarrow ^{60}Ni + e^{-} + v_e +$

177 178 The dose-rate for the irradiation was calculated using a dose rate map based on measurements with Harwell 179 Amber 3042 Dosimeters [44]. To perform the dose-rate mapping, the dosimeters were placed on the central 180 axis of the container. The dose-rate variation on horizontal planes in the container without samples is ± 10%. Samples were placed at the position with maximum dose rate of 8.85 kGy/h for 22.5 hours resulting 182 in a total dose of 200 kGy.

Samples were named according to their time before irradiation. The ID's are listed in Table 3. Irradiated samples were compared to reference samples for which the same procedures were applied as for the irradiated samples, except for the irradiation itself. No reaction stoppage procedure was applied. The reference samples were kept at the same ambient conditions as the irradiation samples during and after irradiation. It is not recommended to compare sample results to other than their reference since manual operations in the production method of different batches might slightly deviate.

189

	•	
	Reference samples	Irradiated samples
1 hour hardened	IP.1.REF	IP.1.IR
24 hours hardened	IP.24.REF	IP.24.IR
28 day hardened	IP.28.REF	IP.28.IR

Table 3. Sample ID's.

190

192

198

191 **2.3. Evaluation of irradiation effects**

2.3.1. Macro-mechanical behaviour

Compressive strengths tests were performed to evaluate the mechanical properties of the samples measuring ([25 x 25] x 20) mm³. The tests were executed in triplicate. Uniaxial tests were performed according to NBN EN 12390-3 [45] using a Instron 5985 with a compression speed of 1.0 mm/min. The compressive strength in MPa was calculated as the maximum load at fracture in Newtons divided by the contact area of the auxiliary plates in mm².

2.3.2. <u>Micro-mechanical behaviour: nanoindentation</u>

Nanoindentation was used to determine the Young's modulus, hardness and creep of the individual constituents of the IP. The irradiated and non-irradiated samples for nanoindentation were cut planeparallel and polished to reduce the surface roughness. The procedure followed, as described in Table 4, was similar to that of Miller et al. (2008) [46] and Nedeljkovic et al. (2018) [47]. Next a disk of ± 2 mm thickness was cut of the cylindrical samples using a water-cooled diamond saw. Finally, the samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath and mounted on a rigid sample holder with little amount of glue.

Table 4. Mechanical polishing procedure for nanoindentation tests.

	Polishing Material	Grit (µm)	Duration (min)
1	Sandpaper P-80	201	5
2	Sandpaper P-120	82	5
3	Sandpaper P-320	46.2	5
4	Sandpaper P-800	21.8	10
5	Sandpaper P-1200	15.3	10
6	Silicon carbine P-4000	2.5	15
7	Diamond paste 6	6	20
8	Diamond paste 3	3	20
9	Diamond paste 1	1	30
10	Colloidal Silica Suspension	0.02	> 5 h

207 For the evaluation of the mechanical properties of the different phases present in the material, a large grid nanoindentation test was performed using an Alemnis ASA nanoindenter with a Berkovich diamond tip 208 (Figure 2). The indenter was operated in-situ within the SEM vacuum chamber (FIB/SEM Versa 3D from 209 210 FEI). A grid test was performed executing 50 indents with a spacing of 15 µm between the individual indents (Figure 2). The in-situ configuration was used to select the regions of interest prior to indentation. 211 212 Light microscopy was used to observe the indentation points after testing and to assign each indent to the 213 corresponding phase (binder or unreacted slag particle). The elastic modulus at the microscale (E_m) , the indentation hardness and the indentation creep of each individual phase can be determined from the 214 215 analysis of the indentation curves. Load controlled indentations were performed. A trapezoidal loading 216 curve was applied with a loading rate of 1 mN/s up to a maximum of 20 mN and an unloading rate of 1 mN/s (Figure 3). The maximum load was held constant for 40 seconds, in order to perform a creep analysis. 217 218 This time interval was chosen long enough to observe creep and short enough to limit thermal drift during 219 this stage. During the unloading, a further step at constant load of 1mN was held for 60 seconds in order 220 to calculate the thermal drift and apply the necessary corrections.



Figure 2: (i) Microstructure of IP.24.REF using light microscope Leica DMI5000M with 53 indentation points (▲) and
 (ii) Berkovich indenter tip approaching IP.24.REF surface in in-situ SEM. The light grey phases are unreacted slag
 particles and the dark grey phase is reacted IP binder.



225

Figure 3: Trapezoidal load curve in function of time.

228 For the analysis, only two phases were considered: (i) the unreacted slag particles which remain in the 229 paste and (ii) the geopolymer binder phase resulting from the dissolution of slag particles which constitutes 230 the matrix surrounding the unreacted slag particles.

The binder phase is difficult to characterise. When possible, the region for indenting the binder was carefully 231 chosen using the in-situ SEM configuration. Despite the limited footprint of the indent, due to the 232 233 morphology and distribution of the unreacted particles, it was difficult to select and indent regions where only the binder phase was present. Moreover, it is reasonable to assume that unreacted particles concealed 234 235 underneath the visible surface of the binder phase randomly contribute to the mechanical response. The 236 binder has therefore to be assumed inherently heterogeneous within the indentation volume probed by the 237 indenter. The probed volume extends well below the maximum indentation depth. A wider scattering of the 238 binder indentation parameters is expected with respect to the unreacted particles' indentations. The 239 indentation load of 20 mN and the corresponding maximum indentation depth represents a good compromise for the selection of a meaningful indention volume for the binder phase. The resulting 240 241 indentation depth is small enough to minimise the likelihood of subsurface unreacted particles but not too 242 small to suffer from the uncertainties associated to the actual indenter shape (see further discussion on area function). Indenting an unreacted particle with a load of 20 mN resulted in a maximum indentation 243 depth in the 400 - 500 nm range whereas the corresponding indentation depth for the binder phase was 244 245 in the 1100 - 1200 nm range, as showed in Figure 4. Both type of indents are therefore clearly distinguishable using light microscope images as shown in Figure 2, where the small indents relate to the 246 247 unreacted particles.



248

249

Figure 4: Typical load-displacement curve of indentation on i) unreacted particle and ii) the binder phase.

250 According to the method proposed by Oliver and Pharr (1992) [48], the material elastic modulus and the 251 indentation hardness can be determined from the elastic unloading part $\mathbf{3}$ of the load (P)-displacement (h) curve (Figure 4). A Berkovich diamond tip (three-sides pyramid with face angle of 65.27°) was used 252 253 for the indentations. Following the Oliver and Pharr approach, the contact depth (h_c) , as measured form 254 the maximum penetration depth, can be determined from:

255
$$h_{\rm c} = h_{\rm max} - \varepsilon \frac{\mu_{\rm max}}{\frac{d\rho}{dh}}$$
 Equation 1

257 the tangent to the curve at maximum load. Least square fitting of the unloading section is necessary to 258 calculate the slope. $P_{\rm max}$ and $h_{\rm max}$ correspond, respectively, to the maximum load and the maximum indentation depth. Once h_c is known, the area of contact can be calculated from the geometry of the 259 260 indenter. For an ideal Berkovich indenter the contact area is given by: 261 $A_{\rm c} (h_{\rm c}) = 24.56 \cdot h_{\rm c}^2$ Equation 2 262 In order the take into account the real geometry of the indenter tip, i.e. the rounding of the tip which greatly affects the measurements at shallow depths, a correction generally referred to as "area function" 263 264 is used. The area function is obtained by indenting a fused silica standard with well-known elastic modulus 265 and hardness and it is presented in equation 3. $A_{\rm c} (h_{\rm c}) = 24.56 \cdot h_{\rm c}^2 - 7.61 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot h_{\rm c}^1 - 1.35 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/2} + 8.86 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/4} - 1.23 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/8} + 1.65 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/16} + 1.05 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/16} + 5.60 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot h_{\rm c}^{1/128}$ 266 1.06 267 268 quation 3 The combined elastic modulus $(E^*[GPa])$ of the indenter and specimen is then given by 269 $E^* = \frac{\frac{dP}{dh} \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}{2 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{A_{\rm c}}}$ 270 Equation 4 271 Where β is a correction factor for non-symmetrical indenters equal to 1.034 for a Bekovich tip and A_c is the 272 area of contact described previously. The elastic indentation modulus of the sample (Em [GPa]) can derived 273 274 from the relationship: $\frac{1}{E^*} = \frac{1 - \nu^2_{\text{ind}}}{E_{\text{ind}}} + \frac{1 - \nu^2}{E_{\text{m}}}$ 275 Equation 5 Where E_{ind} and v_{ind} are the elastic modulus and the Poisson's ratio of the indenter, with values of $E_{ind} = 1140 GPa$ and $v_{ind} = 0.07$ for the diamond tip. The Poisson's ratio of the sample material, v, was taken as 276 277 278 0.18. As for the indentation hardness, (H [GPa]), is given by: 279 280 $H = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{4}$ Equation 6 The normalised indentation creep parameter C_{IT} [%], was calculated as: 281 $C_{\rm IT}(\%) = \frac{h_{\rm max} - h_0}{h_0} \cdot 100$ 282 Equation 7 with h_0 being the initial indentation depth at the start of the indentation creep stage 2. The indentation 283 creep curves were generated using equation 8. The creep function L(t) [Pa^{-1}], also called creep compliance 284 rate $j_c(t)$, is fitted with a three parameter logarithmic curve from which the creep parameters (C [GPa] and 285 286 τ [s]) can be calculated [27], [49], [50]. The higher the value of C, the lower the rate of the creep. $\dot{J}_{c}(t) = L(t) - L(0) = L(t) - \frac{1}{E_{m}} = \frac{2 \cdot a_{u}(t) \cdot \Delta h(t)}{F_{max}} \xrightarrow{logarthmic fit} \frac{\ln(\frac{t}{t}+1)}{C}$ 287 Equation 8 288 In this formula, $\Delta h(t)$ represents the increase in indentation depth during the creep stage. $a_{\rm u}[m]$ denotes the radius of the equivalent projected contact area of the indentor tip with the specimen surface ($a_u =$ 289 290 $\sqrt{A_c (h_c)/\pi}$. The results of the indentation tests of irradiated samples were compared to these of non-irradiated samples. 291 292 A two-sided two sample t-test at 0.05 level, assuming unequal variances were used to evaluate whether 293 the observed differences are significant.

Where $\varepsilon = 0.75$ for a Berkovich indenter and $\frac{dP}{dh}$ is the samples contact stiffness represented by the slope of

294 2.3.3. <u>Other properties – sample preparation</u>

256

(i) Mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) was performed using the Micromeritics Autopore IV 9510 to quantify pores in the 3.6 nm to 100 μ m region. Samples of (5 x 5 x 5) mm³ were dried for 48 hours at 30 °C and at atmospheric pressure and next dried for 2 hours at 25 °C down to 10 μ m Hg. The samples were tested in the range of 0.01 to 414 MPa. Reproducibility of the analysis was tested by measuring two samples from the same batch. An experimental difference of 0.5% was observed for the porosity of the samples. (ii) Attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transformed infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy was performed on
 IP samples using a Bruker Alpha-P with diamond crystal. Samples were powdered just before analysis using
 a Retsch RS200 vibratory disc mill for 10 s. 32 spectra per sample were acquired from 4000 cm⁻¹ to 380
 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The reported spectra are the result of the average of five measurements on
 a homogenised powder made of three different samples.

305 (iii) Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA 550 - TA instruments) of the samples was carried out from 20 °C to 306 800 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in a nitrogen atmosphere. The mass was measured up to 10^{-6} g 307 precision. Samples were powdered just before analysis using a Retsch RS200 vibratory disc mill for 10 s.

308 (iv) ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements were performed to investigate the state and the 309 environment of the Fe atoms in irradiated and reference samples. Samples were powdered manually to a 310 fine powder and pressed into the sample holder right before measurement. Gamma rays from a ⁵⁷Co source 311 in a Rh matrix was used. The samples were measured at room temperature (RT, 300 K) in transmission 312 geometry on a constant acceleration spectrometer. Calibration of the spectrometer was performed using 313 α -Fe at 300 K. The isomer shift (IS) values are reported relative to α -Fe at RT. The IMSG software was 314 used to fit the data [51].

315 **3 Results and Discussion**

316 **3.1. Macro-mechanical behaviour**

Samples were hardened for 1 h, 24 h or 28 d and next irradiated at a dose rate of 8.85 kGy/h using a ⁶⁰Co 317 source for 22.5 hours. Directly afterwards, uniaxial compressive strength tests on the irradiated and 318 319 reference samples were performed. The results are visualised in Figure 5. The compressive strength of the 320 IP.1.IR samples was higher by a factor 2.2 than the corresponding reference samples. For the IP.24.IR samples, an increase by a factor 1.81 was registered. For the samples with $t_{prior} = 28$ days no significant 321 322 difference could be observed. Radiation-induced strengthening was already reported by Lambertin et al. 323 (2013) for geopolymers [31] and by Mast et al. (2019) for iron-rich IPs irradiated at low dose rate [35]. 324 This effect was assigned to a change in pore size distribution or to the growth of carbonates in cracks and 325 pores [38], [52].



329

Figure 5: Compressive strength of irradiated samples compared to the reference with (i) $t_{prior} = 1$ h, (ii) $t_{prior} = 24$ h and (iii) $t_{prior} = 28$ d – with one standard error of mean.

3.2. Micro-mechanical behaviour: nanoindentation¹

Micromechanical properties were evaluated using nanoindentation. The samples were tested 14 days after irradiation. To characterise the fully hardened reference material, the values of IP.28 were used. The results are summarised in Table 5 and Table 6. The hardness of the unreacted particles was found to be (5.80 ± 0.07) GPa. For the binder phase a value of (1.08 ± 0.07) GPa was found. This is in accordance with the hardness of the different phases in an IP, reported by Puertas et al. (2011) [53], Lee et al. (2016) [54] and Nedeljkovic et al. (2018) [56]. In these studies, a hardness in the range of 5 – 10 GPa was reported for unreacted slag particles and 0.5 - 2 GPa for the IP binder.

An indentation modulus of (70 ± 1) GPa was found for the unreacted particles whereas for the binder phase a value of (25 ± 1) GPa was found. This value is comparable to that of a CSH paste as reported in Lee et al. (2018) [57]. Both values are also in agreement with the values reported by Nedeljkovic et al. (2018) [56]. They identified three intervals: $E_m < 4$ GPa for pores, $21 < E_m < 45$ GPa for C-(N-)A-S-H gel and E_m > 46 GPa for unreacted fly ash and GBFS particles. These intervals are consistent with the results from

³²⁶

¹ In this section, the data is reported as the arithmetic mean ± one standard error of the mean.

other studies [53], [58], [59]. Lee et al. (2016) [54] reported an indentation modulus range of 4.44-16.78
GPa for pure N-A-S-H phase in fly ash based inorganic polymers and 47.61-70.47 GPa for the non-activated
slag particles. These values are of the same order of magnitude as found in this study.

345 Creep characteristics measured by nanoindentation are reported by Lee et al. (2018) [56]. For the non-346 activated slag particles, a range in creep modulus (*C*) of 519 to 1488 GPa was found. For the N-A-S-H gel 347 a creep modulus varying from 41 to 106 GPa was reported. Creep properties in the same order of magnitude 348 were measured for the PS-IPs in this study. For the unreacted particles, a creep modulus of $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \cdot$ 349 10³ GPa was found. For the binder phase a lower creep modulus of $(1.9 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$ GPa, and thus higher 350 rate of creep was found.

351 In the following paragraphs, the effect of gamma irradiation will be discussed in more detail. The unreacted 352 particles and the binder phase are discussed separately.

353 3.2.1. <u>Unreacted particles</u>

The results for the indentation points in unreacted particles are summarised in Table 5. No significant difference could be observed for the hardness between the irradiated and non-irradiated samples. This was in accordance to the assumption that unreacted particles are not affected by irradiation. Average values varying from 5.6 to 6.4 GPa were measured depending on the curing conditions.

For the IP.1, a decrease by a factor of 0.87 was found for the elastic indentation modulus of the irradiated 358 samples. The reference value for non-irradiated samples was (84 ± 2) GPa, while the value for the 359 irradiated sample was (73 \pm 2) GPa. For the IP.24 samples an increase by a factor of 1.21 was observed 360 from (57 ± 1) GPa for the non-irradiated samples to (69 ± 1) GPa for the irradiated samples. There seems 361 to be no consistency about the effect of gamma irradiation on the indentation modulus of unreacted slag 362 363 particles. It should be mentioned that possibly also the underlaying binder layers influence the results of 364 the unreacted particles due to the large difference in hardness. No significant difference of the indentation 365 modulus was observed for the 28 days cured samples prior to irradiation.

A higher creep modulus and thus smaller C_{IT} value was found for the IP.1.IR samples compared to the IP.1.REF samples. Relative change in displacement during the creep stage was (5.1 ± 0.2) % for the nonirradiated samples and (2.9 ± 0.1) % for the irradiated samples, resulting in an increase in creep modulus from $(1.01 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^3$ GPa to $(3.0 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^3$ GPa. For the IP.24 samples, the creep modulus increased by a factor of 1.47 from $(1.7 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^3$ GPa to $(2.5 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^3$ GPa.

For the 28 days cured samples no significant difference was observed for any of the parameters under consideration. It is observed that unreacted particles in 1 h cured samples are more sensitive to gamma irradiation than longer cured samples. This result is, however, unexpected since it was suggested that slag particles are insensitive to gamma irradiation.

Table 5. Overview of nanoindentation results related to the unreacted slag particles of the irradiated and nonirradiated IPs with Hardness, Indentation modulus, Creep parameter and normalised indentation creep parameter. Parameters for which a significant difference (at 0.05 level) between the irradiated and non-irradiated samples is observed, are marked with a box. It is discouraged to compare sample values to others than their reference sample, since curing conditions can deviate. Sample ID's can be found in Table 3 - one standard error of mean is reported.

	H (GPa)	E _m (GPa)	C (GPa)	С _{IT} (%)
IP.1.REF	6.38 ± 0.07	84 ± 2	$(1.01 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^3$	5.1 ± 0.2
IP.1.IR	6.2 ± 0.2	73 ± 2	$(3.0 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^3$	2.9 ± 0.1
IP.24.REF	5.6 ± 0.1	57 ± 1	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^3$	3.9 ± 0.3
IP.24.IR	5.96 ± 0.07	69 ± 1	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^3$	2.6 ± 0.4
IP.28.REF	5.80 ± 0.05	70 ± 1	$(1.4 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^3$	3.8 ± 0.1
IP.28.IR	5.6 ± 0.1	70 ± 2	$(1.1 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^3$	5.0 ± 0.7

375

376 377

378

381 3.2.2. Binder Phase

382 The nanoindentation results the binder are summarized in Table 6. For IP.1, changes seem to occur as a 383 result of gamma irradiation. The hardness decreased by a factor of 0.73 from (1.20 ± 0.07) GPa to (0.87)384 \pm 0.08) GPa. This might correlate with the increased macroscopic compressive strength [60]. For IP.24, 385 also a significant difference was observed for the hardness. The irradiated samples had a hardness of the 386 binder 1.29 times higher than the non-irradiated samples, (1.19 ± 0.08) GPa and (0.92 ± 0.03) GPa respectively. It appears to be no clear trend about the effect of gamma irradiation on the hardness of the 387 388 binder phase.

389 For the IP.1 samples, the Young's modus decreased by a factor of 0.67 from (32 ± 1) GPa to (21.5 ± 0.9) 390 GPa. For the other samples, no significant difference was observed. A lower creep and thus a higher creep 391 modulus was observed for the irradiated IP.1 samples. The relative change in displacement during the 392 creep stage was $(8.2 \pm 0.6)\%$ for the non-irradiated samples and $(5.9 \pm 0.4)\%$ for the irradiated samples, 393 a decrease by a factor of 0.72. From these results we conclude that the IP binder phase in 1 hour samples 394 are more sensitive to gamma irradiation than older samples.

395 For the 28 days cured samples no significant difference was observed for any of the parameters under 396 consideration. The hardness was (1.02 ± 0.04) GPa for the non-irradiated samples and (1.07 ± 0.06) GPa 397 for the irradiated samples. Fully hardened cement paste properties of irradiated (257 kGy) an non-398 irradiated mortar specimens were determined by Hilloulin et al. (2018) [27]. They reported a hardness of 399 (0.41 ± 0.06) GPa and (0.43 ± 0.06) GPa for the reference and the irradiated samples respectively. This indicates that the IP binder in this study is harder than cement paste. The indentation modulus of the 28 400 401 days cured IP samples was (25 ± 1) GPa and (23.5 ± 0.9) GPa for the reference and irradiated samples 402 respectively, which is in the same order of magnitude of the indentation modulus obtained for the cement 403 paste: (20 ± 2) GPa in the study of Hilloulin et al. (2018) [27]. For the latter, no significant change after 404 irradiation was observed. IP.28 showed a small decrease in creep modulus from $(1.9 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$ GPa to 405 $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^2$ GPa as a result of irradiation corresponding to an increase of C_{IT} from $(7.1 \pm 0.5)\%$ to (7.3 ± 0.4) %. Although the creep modulus decreased by a factor of 0.79, it is not considered significant 406 407 according to the two-sided Student's t-test. Hilloulin et al. (2018) [27] found an increase by 1.17 in creep 408 modulus from $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$ GPa to $(1.8 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^2$ GPa as results of irradiation. It corresponds to a decrease in relative change in displacement from $(5.9 \pm 0.6)\%$ to $(5.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ [27]. Comparing the results 409 410 of the fully hardened IPs of this study and the mortar samples of Hilloulin et al. (2018) [27] and Robira et 411 al. (2018) [60] is difficult since different experimental set-up was used. The data however suggest that the 412 binding phase of IP is harder and has a slightly higher indentation modulus compared to cement paste. On the other hand, creep is higher for the IP-binder compared to the cement paste. For the IP binder phase it 413 414 was also found that creep decreases after irradiation, which was also the case for the cement-based mortar 415 samples.

416 417

Table 6. Overview of nanoindentation results related to the binder of the irradiated and non-irradiated IPs with a Hardness, Indentation modulus, Creep parameter and normalised indentation creep parameter. Parameters for which a 418 significant difference (at 0.05 level) between the irradiated and non-irradiated samples is observed, are marked with a 419 box. It is discouraged to compare sample values to others than their reference sample, since curing conditions can 420 deviate. Sample ID's can be found in Table 3 - one standard error of mean is reported.

	H (GPa)	E _m (GPa)	C (GPa)	С _{іт} (%)
IP.1.REF	1.20 ± 0.07	32 ± 1	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$	8.2 ± 0.6
IP.1.IR	0.87 ± 0.08	21.5 ± 0.9	$(1.6 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^2$	5.9 ± 0.4
IP.24.REF	0.92 ± 0.03	22.0 ± 0.7	$(1.8 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$	5.8 ± 0.4
IP.24.IR	1.19 ± 0.08	24 ± 1	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \cdot 10^2$	6.0 ± 0.6
IP.28.REF	1.02 ± 0.04	25 ± 1	$(1.9 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^2$	7.1 ± 0.5
IP.28.IR	1.07 ± 0.06	23.5 ± 0.9	$(1.5 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^2$	7.3 ± 0.4

423 It can be observed that both the binder phase and the unreacted particles in the 1h cured samples were 424 more sensitive to gamma irradiation than the longer cured samples. Moreover, nanoindentation results 425 show that the binder properties were more affected by gamma irradiation than the unreacted particles. 426 This is related to the higher water content of the binder phase. Water radiolysis leads to reactive species 427 which can affect the properties of the phase. Loss of water as a result of radiolysis and evaporation 428 moreover leads to drying shrinkage of the binder phase. This was not the case for the unreacted particles. 429 This difference in irradiation response causes tensile stress in the hardened inorganic polymer and, 430 eventually, microcracking [61].

431 Both the unreacted particles and the binder showed an inverse effect of the irradiation on the Young's 432 modulus of the IP.1 compared to the IP.24. In case of IP.1, a decrease due to irradiation was observed 433 while for IP.24 an increase was measured. The same trend was observed for the hardness as for the Young's 434 modulus. It is therefore concluded that the mechanism behind the effect of irradiation are different for nonhardened samples compared to hardened samples. Moreover, fully hardened IP samples (IP.28) seem to 435 436 withstand irradiations up to 200 kGy without any significant changes in micromechanical properties as such 437 hardness, Young's modulus and creep. It can also be concluded that creep for non-fully hardened samples 438 (IP.1 & IP.24) is lower for irradiated samples compared to non-irradiated samples. A significant increase of 439 39% in the binder creep modulus for the non-hardened samples (IP.1) could be found.

440 **3.3. Other properties**

441

461

3.3.1. Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry

The porosity and pore size distribution (PSD) of irradiated and non-irradiated samples were determined 442 443 using MIP analysis. As shown in Figure 6, for the three curing conditions, the main porosity is related to 444 pores in the 100 - 2000 nm region and pores < 10 nm. The porosity for the IP.1 samples is significantly reduced in the 100 - 2000 nm region as result of irradiation with a shift to the smaller pore sizes. For pores 445 446 smaller than 10 nm a small shift to the larger pore size diameters was detected. In general, a net lower 447 porosity (- 2.7%) was measured for the irradiated samples compared to the reference samples (Table 7). 448 For the IP.24 samples no significant change could be observed in the PSD apart from the very small shift 449 to the larger pore diameters at 1000 nm, resulting in an increased net total porosity with + 3.0%. For IP.28 no significant change in total porosity was observed. In the PSD plot, however, a shift to the larger pore 450 451 diameters at 4 - 5 nm was detected and a small shift to the lower pore diameters at 200 - 300 nm was detected. No consensus can be found about the effect of gamma irradiation on the porosity of the tested 452 453 IPs. For IP.1 samples a shift in PSD to the smaller pore sizes with a decrease in total porosity was reported. 454 For IP.24 and IP.28, no significant but a small increase in porosity was observed. Due to gamma heating 455 and gamma radiolysis, water is eliminated from the geopolymer structure leading to an increased porosity 456 for solidified matrices. For IP.1 samples, on the other hand, which were still viscous at the start of the irradiation, the matrix can shrink plastically without inducing microcracks. It can be concluded that mainly 457 458 significant changes in the PSD only occur when irradiating non-hardened samples.

Table 7. Porosity of non-irradiated and irradiated samples measured with MIP. The estimated error parameters is ± 0.7%

	IP.1	IP.24	IP.28	
Reference samples	17.0%	14.7%	10.9%	
Irradiated samples	15.7%	17.7%	11.6%	
\sim	ii.	iii.		
·	1.IR	• IP.24.IR		IP.28.IR
/•	1.REF	IP.24.REF		IP.28.RE
A A	Å.			
	100000 1 10 100	1000 10000 100000 1	10 100 1000	10000 10



464 3.3.2. <u>ATR-FTIR</u>

465 Infrared spectra of the different samples do not indicate a difference as a result of irradiation. Only a small 466 decrease in water content for the irradiated samples can be observed. As an example, the infrared spectra of IP.24 are presented in Figure 7. The IR peak from 3000 – 3500 cm⁻¹ and from 1650 – 1655 cm⁻¹ are 467 468 correlated to the water content of the samples. Around 1400 cm⁻¹ the peak for Si-O-Si bond stretching can be found. At 950 cm⁻¹ – 1250 cm⁻¹ the Si-O-T (with T = Al or Si) asymmetric and symmetric stretching and 469 470 vibration is found. At 680 cm⁻¹ the value for Si-O stretching vibration is located and at 440 cm⁻¹ T-O bending 471 vibration response is detected. No difference for carbonates (\pm 1400 cm⁻¹) as a result of irradiation was 472 observed. This differs from a previous study [35] in which an extra peak at 1404 cm⁻¹ was observed related 473 to CaCO₃. Radiation-induced carbonation at high dose rate irradiation can thus not be confirmed in the 474 current study. Radiation-induced carbonation was earlier observed by Maruyama et al. (2018) when 475 irradiating fully hydrated OPC mortars up to 5 x 10^4 kGy at \pm 7 kGy/h [52]. Carbonates were formed as the result of the reaction of $Ca(OH)_2$ (forming after cement hydration) with H_2O_2 (formed from radiolysis) 476 477 towards insoluble calcium peroxide, CaO₂.8H₂O [62]. The peroxide is very slightly soluble and easily loses 478 its water of crystallization [52]. Dehydrated peroxide reacts with water to form portlandite $Ca(OH)_2$ which 479 in turn can react with CO_2 to form $CaCO_3$ [13], [52]. Notice that the presence of $Ca(OH)_2$ results in the 480 consumption of the radiolytic H_2O_2 (equation 9) [52], [62].



Figure 7: ATR-FTIR spectra of the irradiated samples compared to the reference samples for IP.24.

485 3.3.3. <u>Thermogravimetric analysis</u>

484

The first mass loss from 20 °C to 250 °C in the TGA curves is attributed to the dehydration of free 486 487 evaporable water and interstitial water. The TGA results (Figure 8) indicate a lower water content for the 488 irradiated samples which is in agreement with the ATR-FTIR results. This is related to water radiolysis during irradiation and due to the accelerated evaporation of water as a result of gamma heating. During 489 490 irradiation the temperature evolution in a cubic $(20 \times 20 \times 20)$ mm³ sample was monitored. A thermocouple 491 was placed in the centre of a sample accessible through a 5 mm diameter drilled hole. A temperature 492 increase from 25.4 °C to 34.2 °C was registered. TGA results indicate that higher t_{prior} lead to less water loss as a result of irradiation. 493

The weight loss from 250 °C to 700 °C can be attributed to bound water present in the hydrates [5], [63]. 494 In this region no difference could be observed. In the region from 570 °C to 620 °C however, a small 495 496 difference was observed. This was clearly visible for the IP.24 and IP.28 samples and can be related to the 497 decomposition of (calcium) carbonates [64], [65]. According to Thiery et al. (2007) [66], who investigated 498 carbonation in cementitious materials, this temperature interval can be associated to the crystalline and 499 metastable polymorph of CaCO₃: vaterite. Similar effects are observed in a previous study [35]. From the 500 TGA curves it can be concluded that more carbonates decompose for the reference samples than for the irradiated samples. However, since samples were grinded before TGA analysis, local carbonation could also 501 502 occur during sample preparation.



506

504Figure 8: TGA curves and dm/dT curves of the irradiated and non-irradiated IP.24 samples from 25 °C to 800 °C with
a zoomed view of the dm/dT curves from 500 °C to 700 °C.

3.3.4. ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy

Mössbauer spectroscopy was used to determine the redox ratio of ferric (Fe³⁺) and ferrous (Fe²⁺) iron in 507 the irradiated and non-irradiated IP samples. All spectra are fitted with a model using three quadrupole 508 split components (C1, C2 and C3) as visualised in Figure 9 for the case of the IP.24.REF sample spectrum. 509 The different raw spectra of all samples are compared in Figure 10. The relative absorption areas (RAA) 510 are calculated for each component and reported in Table 8. Based on these RAAs, the $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ ratio and 511 $Fe^{2+}/\Sigma Fe$ ratio can be calculated. According to Mysen (2006) [67], the isomeric shift (IS) most probable 512 513 values for Fe^{3+} at RT are found between 0.23 and 0.41 mm/s within a range of distributions from 0.12 to 0.40 mm/s and the quadrupole splitting (QS) values between 1.06 and 1.61 mm/s within a range of 514 distributions from 0.10 to 0.74 mm/s. The corresponding IS and QS values for Fe²⁺ at RT are reported 515 516 there to lie from 0.91 to 1.14 mm/s within a range of distributions from 0.06 to 0.45 mm/s and 1.64 to 2.08 mm/s within a range of distributions from 0.11 to 0.60 mm/s, respectively. Both parameters are also 517 518 reported there with a tendency to decrease with increasing $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ ratio. Taking into account these 519 characteristics, from the IS and QS values reported in Table 8, components C1 and C2 are characterized as Fe^{2+} and component C3 as Fe^{3+} [68]. 520

521



Figure 9: ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer experimental spectrum of IP.24.REF (points) with the fitted components in different colours.
 The dashed rectangles indicate the regions where the contributions from the higher velocity parts of the Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺
 quadrupole split doublets appear.

- 526
- 527

528 A RAA(Fe³⁺) of 20% was found for IP.1.REF, while for IP.1.IR a RAA(Fe³⁺) was found of 39%. This indicates 529 clearly that gamma irradiation stimulates the oxidation of iron in the IP matrix. Also for IP.24 an increase in RAA(Fe³⁺) was observed. The relative amount of Fe³⁺ increased from 24% to 38%. However, for IP.28 530 531 no increase in Fe³⁺ content is observed as a result of irradiation. Both the irradiated and non-irradiated 532 samples had a RAA(Fe³⁺) of 43%. It can thus be concluded that non-hardened samples ($t_{prior} = 1$ h) are more sensitive to iron oxidation as a result of gamma irradiation than hardened samples. Radiation-induced 533 534 oxidation of Fe^{2+} is associated to the formation of iron oxyhydroxide. We believe the oxidation in IPs is 535 caused by radiolytically produced •OH radicals as explained in section 3.3.5. The extent of the phenomenon 536 can be explained by the evolution of the water and of the dissolved Fe^{2+} content. Since both decrease in 537 time, a longer curing time prior to irradiation leads to less radiation-induced iron oxidation. Water in the 538 samples decreases over time as a result of natural evaporation. The Fe²⁺ content decreases in function of 539 time as a result of the natural oxidation of iron as a result of the polymerisation reactions, which reduces the amount of Fe^{2+} iron, susceptible to oxidation, in the sample with time [10]. This is indicated by the 540 541 increase of the Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe ratio for the reference samples with increasing $t_{prior} = [1 h, 24 h, 28 d]$: 20%, 24% and 542 43%. Future experiments will give better insight in the process taking place.

543
 543
 544
 545
 545
 546
 Table 8. Hyperfine parameters values resulting from the components used to fit the ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra. IS stands for isomer shift given relative to a-Fe at RT, QS is the central value of the quadrupole splitting and the RAA is the relative absorption area of each component. The estimated errors on the parameters are ± 0.02 mm/s for IS and QS, and ± 5% for the absorption area.

		IS	QS	RAA	42 G r
	Component	(mm/s)	وع (mm/s)	(%)	Effect of irradiation on RAA
	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.22	2.32	38	
IP.1.REF	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.88	1.99	42	
	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.35	0.92	20	
	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.19	2.14	20	\downarrow
IP.1.IR	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.95	1.84	41	~
	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.33	0.95	39	\uparrow
	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.22	2.30	32	
IP.24.REF	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.89	1.96	44	
	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.35	0.92	24	
	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.17	2.13	17	\downarrow
IP.24.IR	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.97	1.79	45	≈
\mathbf{O}	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.33	0.89	38	\uparrow
\mathbf{X}	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.19	2.16	9	
IP.28.REF	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.98	1.88	48	
	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.37	0.80	43	
	C1 - (Fe ²⁺)	1.21	2.21	10	*
IP.28.IR	C2 - (Fe ²⁺)	0.99	1.85	47	*
	C3 - (Fe ³⁺)	0.36	0.85	43	*



551

548Figure 10: Comparison of the raw 57 Fe Mössbauer spectra of the irradiated and non-irradiated samples with (i) $t_{prior} =$ 5491 h, (ii) $t_{prior} = 24$ h and (iii) $t_{prior} = 28$ d. The shaded areas indicate the regions of the higher velocity parts of the Fe³⁺550and the Fe²⁺ doublets used to fit the spectra.

3.3.5. Radiation-induced oxidation of iron

Radiation-induced oxidation of Fe^{2+} has already been widely studied for applications other than inorganic polymers. Radiation-induced oxidation of iron is currently used as technique to control the formation of iron oxide nanoparticles for use in cancer treatment, environmental clean-up, catalysis and gas sensors [69]–[72]. Gamma-irradiation synthesis is used for the reduction or oxidation of metal ions, followed by metal clustering in nuclei growth. This technique makes use of the strong reducing (e_{aq} , e_{H}) and oxidising species (eOH, H_2O_2) formed as a result of water radiolysis. When the irradiation is terminated, the reactive species recombine to reform into water [70].

According to Wren et al. (2010) [73], in alkaline conditions (pH > 8.5) the main radiolysis products are 559 molecular H_2 , O_2 and H_2O_2 . Upon irradiation their concentration accumulates very quickly, followed by a 560 561 slower increase, taking a few hours to reach the steady-state level. The redox reactions taking place are dependent on the pH of the environment. At pH < 9.7, e_{aq} is removed by reaction with H⁺(equation 10). 562 At higher pH's however, which is the case for most IPs, this reaction becomes too slow and the removal 563 path of $\bullet e_{aq}$ shifts to a reaction with the secondary radiolysis product, O₂, originating from the 564 disproportionation reaction of •O₂- (/HO₂•). A reaction loop is established in which O₂ acts as a catalyst for 565 $\bullet e_{aq}$ and $\bullet OH$ removal (equation 11, 12). The effect is an increase in concentrations of H₂ and H₂O₂, since 566 these products normally decompose as a result of the reaction with $\bullet e_{aq}$ or $\bullet OH$ [73]. H_2O_2 is a strong 567 oxidant and can cause iron oxidation in for example the inorganic polymer samples. We note that according 568 569 to equation 9, the calcium content in a sample might suppress this effect of iron oxidation as a result of 570 the consumption of H_2O_2 by $Ca(OH)_2$ to produce calcium peroxide.

$$H^+ + e_{aq} \leftrightarrow H$$

$$\bullet e_{aq} + O_2 \leftrightarrow \bullet O_2$$

573 $\bullet O_2^- + \bullet OH \leftrightarrow O_2 + OH^-$

Wren et al. (2010) [73] reported the formation of iron oxyhydroxide (FeO(OH)) nanoparticles from 574 dissolved e²⁺. In this process, dissolved Fe²⁺ was oxidised to Fe³⁺ by radiolytically produced •OH radicals 575 576 and H₂O₂, followed by the hydrolysis of Fe³⁺ with the precipitation of Fe(OH)₃. Nevertheless, no precipitation 577 was observed by Wren et al. (2010) [73] although the solubility limits were exceeded. Instead, a colloid formation of Fe^{3+} oxy-hydroxide was observed [73]. The formation of islands of Fe_2O_3 on the surfaces of 578 FeO and Fe₃O₄ as a result of irradiation was also reported by Sarah et al. (2019) [71]. Sutherland et al. 579 (2016) [70] reported the formation of magnetite particles as a result of the gamma irradiation of FeSO₄ 580 581 solutions. In silicate free IP systems, colloidal FeO(OH) as observed by Wren et al. (2010) might be formed 582 [73]. In this study, however, the aqueous silica from the activation solution can inhibit the Fe^{3+} hydrolysis 583 via the formation of stable ferric silicate complexes [74], [75].

584 Oxidising •OH radicals are produced in the Fenton's reaction. Ferrous iron acts as a catalyst in this reaction 585 scheme leading to the disproportionation of hydrogen peroxide (equation 14) with the production of very 586 oxidising •OH radicals [76]. These radicals can even attack Fe(III) to form Fe(IV) species [76]. Bouniol 587 (2010) [77] found that at high pH (>13) ferryl-based complexes (Fe(OH)₄⁻) become the main oxidising 588 species being formed. It is concluded that radiolysis leads to the coexistence of Fe(II), Fe(III) and Fe(IV) 589 [77], [78]. Fe²⁺ ions are more easily oxidised by •OH radicals than Fe³⁺ ions are reduced by •e_{aq}⁻ and •O₂⁻ 590 , thus giving a possible explanation for the iron oxidation in the IP samples. [73].

Equation 10

Equation 11

Equation 12

592	Radiolytic oxidation:	$Fe^{2+} + {}^{\bullet}OH \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^{-}$	Equation 13
593		$2Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + 2OH^{-}$	
594	Fenton Reaction:	$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + {}^{\bullet}OH + OH^-$	
595		$Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + \bullet OOH + H^+$	Equation 14
596	Hydrolysis of ferric ions:	$Fe^{3+} + 3OH^- \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)^{2+} + 2OH^- \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_{2^+} + OH^- \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_3 \leftrightarrow Fe^{3+}$	eO(OH) + H ₂ O

Equation 15

599 Since inorganic polymers are known to be highly alkaline materials (pH > 11) the reaction mechanisms as in equation 13-15 can lead to an increased Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} ratio in the IP gel and pore solution. Especially in the 600 initial reaction stage of the IP, when unbound Fe²⁺ can still be found, the effect of radiation-induced iron 601 602 oxidation is expected to have the highest impact. Since in function of time more Fe-atoms will be bound in 603 the IP structure, oxidation of iron becomes more difficult. As the main oxidation of CaO-FeO_x-SiO₂ in IP 604 samples happens during the first 24 h, irradiation during this reaction stage can have a large impact on the final sample [10]. Since Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} are incorporated in the IP 3D structure in different ways, a 605 606 change in Fe^{3+/}Fe²⁺ ratio leads to the formation in different phases in the material and can influence the final macroscopic strength. It is suggested that tetrahedral Fe³⁺ takes place in the silicate framework [10], 607 [79] while Fe²⁺ takes place in a trioctahedral layer [10]. The contribution of ferryl ions to the IP 608 609 microstructure has not been investigated. The valence however suggests ferryl to contribute to the silicate 610 framework.

The effect of irradiation on unsolidified samples is of importance when considering these materials as conditioning matrix for nuclear waste, since these materials are subjected to gamma irradiation from time zero. A side note should be made that in the presence of iron also causes more H₂ to be produced. Radicals such as •O⁻ react faster with iron species than they do with H₂ thus increasing the survival rate of H₂ [77], [80]. Moreover, •e_{aq}⁻ and •O⁻ are potentially trapped in the Fe(II) $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ Fe(III) oxidation-reduction equilibrium thus reducing their reaction potential with H₂ [80].

617 **4** Conclusions

Iron-rich CaO-FeO_x-SiO₂ slag-based inorganic polymer samples were hardened for 1 h, 24 h or 28 d and 618 next irradiated for 22.5 hours at a dose rate of 8.85 kGy/h using a ⁶⁰Co source. Several properties of the 619 620 samples were evaluated after irradiation and compared to the non-irradiated materials. An overview of the effects related to the gamma irradiation is given in Table 9. It is indicated that samples of only 1 hour old 621 622 prior to irradiation (IP.1) are affected more by gamma irradiation than hardened samples (IP.24 & IP.28). 623 28 days cured samples are found to be resistant to the irradiation for the dose(rate) tested without any 624 significant changes for the executed tests. From the results of IP.1 and IP.24 it is clear that the irradiation 625 response depends highly on the materials condition at the start of the irradiation. For the change in 626 hardness and the change in pore size distribution, an opposite effect could be observed when comparing 627 IP.1 tot IP.24. Since IP.1 samples are still viscous at the start of the irradiation, the matrix can more easily 628 shrink, thus leading to a decrease in porosity. Moreover, it is indicated by nanoindentation that the binder 629 is more sensitive to gamma irradiation than the unreacted particles, which is related to the higher water 630 content of the binder phase.

631 For non-fully hardened samples (IP.1 and IP.24), it can be concluded that gamma irradiation increases the 632 macromechanical compressive strength. This effect can be related to the accelerated dehydration at the early age of the sample, causing densification of the samples. Strengthening of the samples however can 633 634 also be related to the increase in Fe³⁺ content as a result of gamma irradiation, since Fe³⁺ can take place in the silicate network. Radiation-induced iron oxidation occurs as Fe²⁺ from the slag dissolution is oxidised 635 636 by radiolytically produced \cdot OH radicals and H₂O₂. Especially in the initial reaction stage of the IP, when 637 unbound Fe^{2+} can still be found, the effect of radiation-induced iron oxidation is expected to have the 638 highest impact. In function of time, radiation-induced oxidation becomes more difficult as the samples 639 dehydrate and since more Fe-atoms will be bound in the IP structure. Changes in the Fe^{3+/}Fe²⁺ ratio can 640 lead to the formation of different phases in the material which can influence the macroscopic strength.

For the IP.1 samples multiple effects were observed: an increase of the compressive strength by a factor of 2.20, a lower Young's-modulus, lower creep in time, a decrease in porosity by a factor of 0.92, and an increase of the $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ ratio by a factor of 1.95. A significant decrease by a factor of 0.67 for the binder indentation modulus and a significant decrease by a factor of 0.72 for the binder creep was found.

Table 9. Summary of the irradiation effects observed for the samples with $t_{\text{orior}} = 1$ h, 24 h and 28 d. $\uparrow \uparrow$ indicates a strong increase as a result of irradiation, 🛧 indicates an increase as result of irradiation, ≈ indicates that there is no significant change as a result of 647 irradiation and \downarrow indicates a decrease as a result of irradiation.

	IP.1	IP.24	IP.28
Macromechanical compressive strength	$\uparrow\uparrow$	Ŷ	*
Hardness (binder)	\downarrow	\uparrow	*
Indentation modulus (binder)	\downarrow	~	*
Creep (binder)	\downarrow	\downarrow	*
Total open porosity	\downarrow	\uparrow	~
Free water content	\downarrow	\downarrow	*
Carbonates	*	\downarrow	ł
$\frac{Fe^{3+}}{\Sigma Fe}$ ratio	$\uparrow\uparrow$	↑	≈

648

Data availability 649

The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also 650 651 forms part of an ongoing study.

Acknowledgements 652

The authors express their appreciation to dr. ing. Krzystof Kierzek from Wroclaw University of Science and 653 Technology to perform the MIP-analysis. The authors also thank L. Arnout and L. Machiels from KU Leuven 654 from providing the synthetic plasma slag. This study was partially supported by the Special Research Fund 655 (BOF) of Hasselt University, by the ENEN+ project that has received funding from the Euratom research 656 657 and training Work Programme 2 and by the Research Funding Flanders (FWO). The experimental data used 658 in this research were partially generated through access to the ActUsLab-FMR under the Framework of 659 access to the Joint Research Centre Physical Research Infrastructures of the European Commission (IP4NA project, Research Infrastructure Access Agreement Nr.35433). APD acknowledges the partial support of 660 this work by the project MIS 5002772, implemented under the Action "Reinforcement of the Research and 661 Innovation Infrastructure", funded by the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and 662 663 Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) and co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional 664 Development Fund).

665 **References**

- 666 [1] J. Davidovits, "Geopolymer, Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development Solutions," in 667 Proceedings of the World Congress Geopolymer 2005, 2005, p. 236.
- J. L. Provis and J. S. J. Van Deventer, *Alkali-Activated Materials- State-of-the-Art Report, RILEM TC 224-AAM*, vol. 13. London: Springer, 2014.
- B. Mast, Y. Pontikes, W. Schroeyers, B. Vandoren, and S. Schreurs, "The use of alkali activated materials in nuclear industry," in *Comprehensive Nuclear Materials*, 2nd ed., R. Konings, Ed. Elsevier Inc., 2020.
- [4] M. Danthurebandara, S. Van Passel, L. Machiels, and K. Van Acker, "Valorization of thermal treatment residues in Enhanced Landfill Mining: Environmental and economic evaluation," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 99, pp. 275–285, 2015.
- L. Kriskova, L. Machiels, and Y. Pontikes, "Inorganic Polymers from a Plasma Convertor Slag: Effect
 of Activating Solution on Microstructure and Properties," *J. Sustain. Met.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 240–
 251, 2015.
- [6] L. Machiels, L. Arnout, E. Nagels, S. Arnout, B. Blanpain, and Y. Pontikes, "Properties of inorganic
 polymer cement from ferric and ferrous vitrified residues of plasma gasification," in *Proceedings of* the 4th slag valorisation symposium Zero Waste, 2015, pp. 319–324.
- L. Machiels, L. Arnout, P. Yan, P. Tom Jones, B. Blanpain, and Y. Pontikes, "Transforming Enhanced
 Landfill Mining Derived Gasification / Vitrification Glass into Low-Carbon Inorganic Polymer Binders
 and Building Products," J. Sustain. Metall., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 405–415, 2016.
- A. Peys, C. E. White, D. Olds, H. Rahier, B. Blanpain, and Y. Pontikes, "Molecular structure of CaO
 FeOx SiO2 glassy slags and resultant inorganic polymer binders," *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, vol. 101, no. 12, pp. 5846–5857, 2018.
- 688 [9] A. Peys, "Inorganic polymers from CaO-FeO-SiO2 slags processing, reaction mechanism and 689 molecular structure," KULeuven, 2018.
- A. Peys, A. P. Douvalis, V. Hallet, H. Rahier, B. Blanpain, and Y. Pontikes, "Inorganic Polymers From CaO-FeOx-SiO2 Slag: The Start of Oxidation of Fe and the Formation of a Mixed Valence Binder," *Front. Mater.*, vol. 6, no. 212, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [11] J. Van De Sande, A. Peys, T. Hertel, H. Rahier, and Y. Pontikes, "Upcycling of non-ferrous metallurgy slags: Identifying the most reactive slag for inorganic polymer construction materials," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, vol. 154, 2020.
- 696 [12] T. Croymans-Plaghki, "Valorization of Fe-rich industrial by-products in construction materials: a
 697 radiological assessment," UHasselt, 2018.
- G. L. Bykov, A. V. Gordeev, T. K. Yurik, and B. G. Ershov, "Gas formation upon γ-irradiation of cement material," *High Energy Chem.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 211–214, 2008.
- [14] B. Craeye, "Early-Age Thermo-Mechanical Behaviour of Concrete Supercontainers for Radwaste
 Disposal," 2010.
- W. Wacquier, "Summary of the Safety Report for the surface repository of category A waste in
 Dessel," 2012.
- [16] E. R. Vance and D. S. Perera, "Development of geopolymers for nuclear waste immobilisation," in
 Handbook of Advanced Radioactive Waste Conditioning Technologies, Woodhead Publishing Limited,
 2011, pp. 207–229.
- D. G. Bennett and R. Gens, "Overview of European concepts for high-level waste and spent fuel disposal with special reference waste container corrosion," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 379, no. 1–3, pp. 1–8, 2008.
- T. M. Rosseel *et al.*, "Radiation Damage In Reactor Cavity Concrete," in *Contribution of Materials Investigations and Operating Experience to LWRs' Safety, Performance and Reliability*, 2014.
- [19] I. Remec, T. M. Rosseel, K. G. Field, and Y. Le Pape, "Characterization of Radiation Fields for
 Assessing Concrete Degradation in Biological Shields of NPPs Biological Shields," *EPJ Web Conf.*, vol.
 153, pp. 1–4, 2017.
- [20] H. K. Hilsdorf, J. Kropp, and H. J. Koch, "The Effects of Nuclear Radiation on the Mechanical Properties of Concrete," *Am. Concr. Inst.*, vol. 55, pp. 223–254, 1978.

- 717 [21] T. Ichikawa and H. Koizumi, "Possibility of Radiation-Induced Degradation of Concrete by Alkali-718 Silica Reaction of Aggregates," *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 880–884, 2012.
- P. Soo and L. Milian, "The effect of gamma radiation on the strength of Portland cement mortars,"
 J. Mater. Sci. Lett., vol. 20, no. 14, pp. 1345–1348, 2001.
- F. Vodák, V. Vydra, K. Trtík, and O. Kapičková, "Effect of gamma irradiation on properties of hardened cement paste," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 101–107, 2010.
- 723 [24] T. M. Rosseel *et al.*, "Review of the Current State of Knowledge on the Effects of Radiation on 724 Concrete," *J. Adv. Concr. Technol.*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 368–383, 2016.
- [25] O. Kontani, S. Sawada, I. Maruyama, M. Takizawa, and O. Sato, "Evaluation of Irradiation Effects on Concrete Structure: Gamma-Ray Irradiation Tests on Cement Paste," in *Proceedings of the ASME* 2013 Power Conference POWER2013, 2013.
- A. Łowińska-Kluge and P. Piszora, "Effect of gamma irradiation on cement composites observed with
 XRD and SEM methods in the range of radiation dose 0-1409 MGy," *Natl. Meet. Synchrotron Radiat. Users*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 399–411, 2008.
- [27] B. Hilloulin, M. Robira, and A. Loukili, "Coupling statistical indentation and microscopy to evaluate micromechanical properties of materials: Application to viscoelastic behavior of irradiated mortars," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 94, pp. 153–165, 2018.
- F. Chupin, A. Dannoux-papin, Y. N. Ravache, and J.-B. D'Espinose de Lacaillerie, "Water content and porosity effect on hydrogen radiolytic yields of geopolymers," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 494, pp. 138– 146, 2017.
- 737[29]A. Rooses, P. Steins, A. Dannoux-Papin, D. Lambertin, A. Poulesquen, and F. Frizon, "Encapsulation738of Mg-Zr alloy in metakaolin-based geopolymer," Appl. Clay Sci., vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 86–92, 2013.
- W. Li, P. N. Lemougna, K. Wang, Y. He, Z. Tong, and X. Cui, "Effect of vacuum dehydration on gel structure and properties of metakaolin-based geopolymers," *Ceram. Int.*, vol. 43, no. 16, pp. 14340–14346, 2017.
- D. Lambertin, C. Boher, A. Dannoux-Papin, K. Galliez, A. Rooses, and F. Frizon, "Influence of gamma ray irradiation on metakaolin based sodium geopolymer," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 443, no. 1–3, pp. 311–315, 2013.
- 745 [32] F. Chupin, "Caractérisation de l'effet des irradiations sur les géopolymères," Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 2015.
- V. Cantarel, M. Arisaka, and I. Yamagishi, "On the hydrogen production of geopolymer wasteforms under irradiation," *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, vol. 102, no. 12, pp. 7553–7563, 2019.
- [34] L. Leay, A. Potts, and T. Donoclift, "Geopolymers from fly ash and their gamma irradiation," *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 227, pp. 240–242, 2018.
- 751[35]B. Mast *et al.*, "The effect of gamma radiation on the mechanical and microstructural properties of752Fe-rich inorganic polymers," J. Nucl. Mater., vol. 521, 2019.
- T. A. Mubasher, L. Leay, M. Hayes, and E. Butcher, "Evaluation of Novel Geopolymer-based Materials for Nuclear Waste Treatment," in *NUWCEM - 3rd International Symposium on Cement-Based Materials for Nuclear Wastes*, 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [37] N. Deng *et al.*, "Effects of gamma-ray irradiation on leaching of simulated 133Cs+ radionuclides
 from geopolymer wasteforms," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 459, pp. 270–275, 2015.
- [38] I. Maruyama *et al.*, "Impact of gamma-ray irradiation on hardened white Portland cement pastes exposed to atmosphere," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 108, pp. 59–71, 2018.
- [39] European Comittee for standardization, "EN 196-6:2010 Methods of testing cement Part 6:
 Determination of fineness," pp. 1–18, 2010.
- [40] G. Ascensão, G. Beersaerts, M. Marchi, M. Segata, F. Faleschini, and Y. Pontikes, "Shrinkage and mitigation strategies to improve the dimensional stability of CaO-FeOx-Al2O3-SiO2 inorganic polymers," *Materials (Basel).*, vol. 12, no. 22, 2019.
- A. F. Fernandez *et al.*, "SCK·CEN gamma irradiation facilities for radiation tolerance assessment,"
 IEEE Radiat. Eff. Data Work., vol. 2002-Janua, pp. 171–176, 2002.
- 767 [42] A. F. Fernandez, B. Brichard, H. Ooms, and F. Berghmans, "Gamma dosimetry using red 4034

- Harwell dosimeters in mixed gamma-neutron environments," *Eur. Sp. Agency, (Special Publ. ESA*SP, vol. 2003-Septe, no. 2, pp. 517–521, 2003.
- A. F. Fernandez, B. Brichard, H. Ooms, and F. Berghmans, "High-vacuum gamma irradiation facilities for synergistic effects testing on optoelectronic components and materials," *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 3726–3730, 2006.
- [44] H. Dosimeters, "Harwell Amber 3042 Dosimeters." [Online]. Available: http://www.harwell dosimeters.co.uk/harwell-amber-3042/.
- [45] Bureau voor Normalisatie, "NBN EN 12390-3 Testing hardened concrete Part 3: Compressive strength of test specimens," 2nd ed., 2009.
- M. Miller, C. Bobko, M. Vandamme, and F. Ulm, "Surface roughness criteria for cement paste nanoindentation," vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 467–476, 2008.
- M. Nedeljkovic, B. Šavija, Y. Zuo, M. Lukovic, and G. Ye, "Effect of natural carbonation on the pore structure and elastic modulus of the alkali-activated fly ash and slag pastes," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 161, pp. 687–704, 2018.
- [48] W. C. Oliver and G. M. Pharr, "An improved technique for determining hardness and elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments," *J. Mater. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1564–1583, 1992.
- M. Vandamme, C. A. Tweedie, G. Constantinides, F. J. Ulm, and K. J. Van Vliet, "Quantifying plasticity-independent creep compliance and relaxation of viscoelastoplastic materials under contact loading," *J. Mater. Res.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 302–312, 2012.
- [50] Q. Zhang, R. Le Roy, M. Vandamme, and B. Zuber, "Long-term creep properties of cementitious materials: Comparing microindentation testing with macroscopic uniaxial compressive testing," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 58, pp. 89–98, 2014.
- P. Douvalis, A. Polymeros, and T. Bakas, "IMSG09: A 57Fe -119Sn Mössbauer spectra computer fitting program with novel interactive user interface," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 217, no. 1, 2010.
- 793[52]P. Bouniol and A. Aspart, "Disappearance of oxygen in concrete under irradiation: The role of
peroxides in radiolysis," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 1669–1681, 1998.
- F. Puertas, M. Palacios, H. Manzano, J. S. Dolado, A. Rico, and J. Rodríguez, "A model for the C-A-S-H gel formed in alkali-activated slag cements," *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 2043–2056, 2011.
- H. Lee, V. Vimonsatit, and P. Chindaprasirt, "Mechanical and micromechanical properties of alkali activated fly-ash cement based on nano-indentation," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 107, pp. 95–102, 2016.
- [55] H. Lee, V. Vimonsatit, and P. Chindaprasirt, "Mechanical and micromechanical properties of alkali activated fly-ash cement based on nano-indentation," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 107, pp. 95–102, 2016.
- 804 [56] M. Nedeljkovic, Y. Zuo, K. Arbi, and G. Ye, "Natural Carbonation of Alkali-Activated Fly Ash and Slag 805 Pastes," *High Tech Concr. Where Technol. Eng. Meet*, vol. 1, pp. 2213–2223, 2018.
- 806 [57] H. Lee, V. Vimonsatit, P. Chindaprasirt, T. Ngo, and P. Mendis, "Creep properties of cement and alkali activated fly ash materials using nanoindentation technique," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 168, pp. 547–555, 2018.
- [58] G. Constantinides and F. J. Ulm, "The effect of two types of C-S-H on the elasticity of cement-based materials: Results from nanoindentation and micromechanical modeling," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 67–80, 2004.
- 812 [59] Y. Ma, G. Ye, and J. Hu, "Micro-mechanical properties of alkali-activated fly ash evaluated by 813 nanoindentation," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 147, pp. 407–416, 2017.
- [60] M. Robira, B. Hilloulin, A. Loukili, G. Potin, X. Bourbon, and A. Abdelouas, "Multi-scale investigation of the effect of gamma irradiations on the mechanical properties of cementitious materials," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 186, pp. 484–494, 2018.
- [61] Y. Le Pape, K. G. Field, and I. Remec, "Radiation effects in concrete for nuclear power plants, Part
 II: Perspective from micromechanical modeling," *Nucl. Eng. Des.*, vol. 282, pp. 126–144, 2015.

- P. Bouniol and E. Bjergbakke, "A comprehensive model to describe radiolytic processes in cement medium," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 372, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2008.
- [63] C. A. Rosas-Casarez *et al.*, "Experimental study of XRD, FTIR and TGA techniques in geopolymeric
 materials," *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Its Appl.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 25-30., 2014.
- 823 [64] S. A. Bernal *et al.*, "Gel nanostructure in alkali-activated binders based on slag and fly ash, and 824 effects of accelerated carbonation," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 53, pp. 127–144, 2013.
- 825 [65] A. R. Sakulich, "Characterization of Environmentally-Friendly Alkali Activated Slag Cements and 826 Ancient Building Materials - unpublished thesis," Drexel University, 2009.
- M. Thiery, G. Villain, P. Dangla, and G. Platret, "Investigation of the carbonation front shape on cementitious materials: Effects of the chemical kinetics," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 1047– 1058, 2007.
- 830 [67] B. O. Mysen, "The structural behavior of ferric and ferrous iron in aluminosilicate glass near meta-831 aluminosilicate joins," *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 2337–2353, 2006.
- R. G. Burns, "Mineral Mössbauer spectroscopy: Correlations between chemical shift and quadrupole
 splitting parameters," *Hyperfine Interact.*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 739–745, 1994.
- [69] T. Jurkin, M. Gotić, G. Štefanić, and I. Pucić, "Gamma-irradiation synthesis of iron oxide
 nanoparticles in the presence of PEO, PVP or CTAB," *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, vol. 124, pp. 75–83,
 2016.
- T. I. Sutherland *et al.*, "Effect of ferrous ion concentration on the kinetics of radiation-induced iron-oxide nanoparticle formation and growth," *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 695–708, 2017.
- S. C. Reiff and J. A. Laverne, "Radiation-Induced Chemical Changes to Iron Oxides," *J. Phys. Chem. B*, vol. 119, no. 24, pp. 7358–7365, 2015.
- 842 [72] M. Gotić, T. Jurkin, and S. Musić, "Factors that may influence the micro-emulsion synthesis of 843 nanosize magnetite particles," *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, vol. 285, pp. 793–800, 2007.
- 844[73]J. C. Wren, "Steady-State Radiolysis: Effects of Dissolved Additives," in Nuclear Energy and the
Environment, C. M. Wai and B. J. Minche, Eds. ACS Publications, 2010, pp. 271–295.
- [74] G. Pokrovski *et al.*, "Iron (III)-silica interactions in aqueous solution : Insights from X-ray absorption
 fine structure spectroscopy," *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, vol. 67, no. 19, pp. 3559–3573, 2013.
- A. Peys, C. E. White, H. Rahier, B. Blanpain, and Y. Pontikes, "Alkali-activation of CaO-FeOx-SiO2 slag: Formation mechanism from in-situ X-ray total scattering," 2019.
- [76] J. Am, N. York, and R. August, "Pulse Radiolysis Studies of Alkaline Fe(III) and Fe(VI) Solutions.
 Observation of Transient Iron Complexes with Intermediate Oxidation States," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 523–525, 1986.
- P. Bouniol, "The influence of iron on water radiolysis in cement-based materials," J. Nucl. Mater.,
 vol. 403, no. 1–3, pp. 167–183, 2010.
- W. C. Bray and M. H. Gorin, "Ferryl ion, a compound of tetravalent iron," J. Am. Chem. Soc., vol.
 54, no. 5, pp. 2124–2125, 1932.
- [79] P. N. Lemougna, K. J. D. Mackenzie, G. N. L. Jameson, and H. R. U. F. Chinje, "The role of iron in
 the formation of inorganic polymers (geopolymer) from volcanic ash: a 57Fe Mössbauer
 spectroscopy study," J. Mater. Sci., vol. 48, pp. 5280–5286, 2013.
- 860 [80] P. Bouniol, B. Muzeau, and V. Dauvois, "Experimental evidence of the influence of iron on pore water 861 radiolysis in cement-based materials," *J. Nucl. Mater.*, vol. 437, no. 1–3, pp. 208–215, 2013.
- 862