Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Failure to diverge in African Great Lakes: The case of Dolicirroplectanum lacustre gen. nov. comb. nov. (Monogenea, Diplectanidae) infecting latid hosts Peer-reviewed author version

KMENTOVA, Nikol; Koblmuller, S; VAN STEENBERGE, Maarten; ARTOIS, Tom; Bukinga, FM; N'sibula, TM; Risasi, DM; Mulungula, PM; Gelnar, M & VANHOVE, Maarten (2020) Failure to diverge in African Great Lakes: The case of Dolicirroplectanum lacustre gen. nov. comb. nov. (Monogenea, Diplectanidae) infecting latid hosts. In: JOURNAL OF GREAT LAKES RESEARCH, 46 (5), p. 1113 -1130.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jglr.2019.09.022 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/32629

1	Failure	to diverge	in	African	Great	Lakes:	the	case	of

2 Dolicirroplectanum lacustre comb. nov. (Monogenea,

3 Diplectanidae) infecting latid hosts

- 4 Nikol Kmentová^{1,2,3*}, Stephan Koblmüller⁴, Maarten Van Steenberge^{3,5,6}, Tom Artois²,
- 5 Fidel Muterezi Bukinga⁷, Théophile Mulimbwa N'sibula⁷, Donatien Muzumani Risasi⁷,
- 6 Pascal Masilya Mulungula⁷, Milan Gelnar¹, Maarten P.M. Vanhove^{1,2,3,8}
- ⁷ ¹ Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2,
- 8 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic
- 9 ² Hasselt University, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Research Group Zoology:
- 10 Biodiversity & Toxicology, Agoralaan Gebouw D, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium
- ³ Laboratory of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Genomics, Department of Biology, University
- 12 of Leuven, Ch. Deberiotstraat 32, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium
- ⁴ Institute of Biology, University of Graz, Universitätsplatz 2, A-8010 Graz, Austria
- ⁵ Operational Directorate Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural
- 15 Sciences, Vautierstraat 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium
- ⁶ Biology Department, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080,
 Tervuren, Belgium
- ⁷ Centre de Recherche en Hydrobiologie, Département de Biologie, B.P. 73 Uvira,
- 19 Democratic Republic of Congo
- 20 ⁸ Finnish Museum of Natural History, Zoology Unit, University of Helsinki, Finland
- 21 * corresponding author: kmentovan@mail.muni.cz, +420 723 004 419
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

26 Abstract

Speciation of fish in the African Great Lakes has been widely studied. Surprisingly, extensive 27 speciation in parasites was only recently discovered in these biodiversity hotspots, notably in 28 29 monogeneans (Platyhelminthes) from Lake Tanganyika. Diplectanum is a monogenean genus of which only a single species is known from the Great Lakes: Diplectanum lacustre 30 (Diplectanidae) living on latid perches of Lake Albert. Despite their primary marine origin, 31 latids have diversified in African freshwaters including several Great Lakes. In better-studied 32 marine diplectanid species, incongruence between morphological and genetic differentiation 33 was documented. As freshwater systems provide more opportunities for speciation than the 34 marine realm, we ask whether diplectanids of *Lates* spp. of the Great Lakes underwent similar 35 36 diversification as their hosts.

Fresh and museum specimens of five African latid species (*Lates angustifrons, L. mariae, L. microlepis, L. niloticus, L. stappersii*) were examined for the presence of monogenean gill
parasites. Monogeneans were characterised morphologically via morphometrics of sclerotised
structures and genetically using nuclear ribosomal and mitochondrial markers.

41 Continuous morphological variation was documented in these parasites. In addition, the

42 genetic distance, based on the COI region, between parasites of geographically isolated host

43 species did not reach the level typically associated with distinct diplectanid species.

44 Therefore, a single species of a newly described genus, *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* gen. nov.

45 comb. nov. is suggested to infect latid species in the examined basins. We discuss this

46 parasite's failure to diverge in the light of the congruence between the rate of molecular

- 47 evolution in COI and host historical distribution.
- 48

49 **Keywords:** parasitic flatworm - *Lates* – DNA barcoding - evolutionary history - Nile perches

- 50
- 51
- 52

- 54
- 55

56 Introduction

African Great Lakes are known for their species rich flocks of cichlid fish, that are well 57 established models in evolutionary biology (Salzburger, 2018). Remarkably, Lake 58 Tanganyika is characterised by extraordinary diversity and high degrees of endemism not 59 only of cichlids but also other fish families (Salzburger et al., 2014) as well as invertebrate 60 taxa (Coulter, 1991) including parasitic flatworms (Pariselle et al., 2015). Monogeneans 61 (Platyhelminthes) are mainly parasites of fish. They display a high level of host specificity 62 believed to be connected with their direct life cycle (a single host needed) combined with the 63 adaptive evolution of monogenean hardparts responsible for attachment (the haptor in the 64 65 posterior part of the body) and reproduction (Poulin, 2002). 66 Parasite speciation mirroring host diversification was reported for monogeneans infecting tropheine cichlids in Lake Tanganyika (Vanhove et al., 2015). However, the history of 67 68 monogenean interactions with their hosts does not always feature only co-speciation events. For example, in *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850 infecting cyprinid fishes, diversification can be 69 mainly explained by intrahost speciation (Šimková et al., 2004). In the case of Cichlidogyrus 70 casuarinus Pariselle, Muterezi Bukinga & Vanhove, 2015, a monogenean infecting deepwater 71 cichlids in Lake Tanganyika, no specificity or preference was detected towards its various 72 73 well-diverged host species (Kmentová et al., 2016), a process called "failure to diverge" (Brooks, 1979). 74

Among the parasitic flatworms known to infect lates perches (Latidae) are diplectanids
(Diplectanidae), a monogenean family with more than 250 species described worldwide,
mainly from marine perciform fishes (Domingues and Boeger, 2008). The genus *Lates* L.,
1758 consists of 11 species, seven of which inhabit African freshwaters, with the rest to be
found in marine, brackish and freshwater habitats in the Indo-Pacific region (Otero, 2004).
While seven diplectanid species from three different genera were documented from *Lates*

calcarifer (Bloch, 1790) from the Indo-Pacific region (Tingbao et al., 2006), only one species 81 82 was described from African Lates spp. so far: Diplectanum lacustre Thurston & Paperna, 1969 infecting Lates niloticus L. from Lake Volta and the Victoria Nile near Lake Albert 83 (Paperna and Thurston, 1969), and from near Cairo in Egypt (Ergens, 1981). The native range 84 of L. niloticus includes most major river basins and Great Lakes in the Nilo-Sudanic region 85 and large parts of the Congo basin (Paugy et al., 2003). Importantly, L. niloticus was 86 introduced to Lake Victoria for fisheries with a dramatic impact on the local environment 87 (Ogutu-Ohwayo, 1995). In Lake Tanganyika, four endemic latid fishes, Lates angustifrons 88 Boulenger, 1906, Lates mariae Steindachner, 1909, Lates microlepis Boulenger, 1898 and 89 90 Lates stappersii (Boulenger, 1914), with different habitat preferences, are present (Poll, 1953). 91 Small interspecific morphological differences and high levels of phenotypic plasticity render 92 93 the species status of some diplectanids questionable (Poisot et al., 2011; Schoelinck et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2005) with unclear phylogenetic relationships within and between some of 94 the genera (Villar-Torres et al., 2019). In the 21st century, species delineation is often based 95 on a combination of morphological and molecular data (Schlick-Steiner et al., 2010). 96 Integrative techniques revealed problems in various taxonomic groups, especially in soft-97 98 bodied organisms or lineages with heteromorphic life stages such as parasitic flatworms (Georgieva et al., 2013; Rahmouni et al., 2017). Species identification using specific 99 molecular tags derived from the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 gene (COI) in the 100 mitochondrial DNA, known as DNA barcoding, was successfully implemented in many 101 taxonomic groups such as fishes (Hubert et al., 2008), mammals (Francis et al., 2010) and 102 103 lepidopteran insects (Hebert et al., 2003). However, this approach proved problematic in

104 many other taxa (DeSalle et al., 2005; Will and Rubinoff, 2004) including monogenean

105 flatworms (Vanhove et al., 2013). So far, little correlation between host specificity and

taxonomic diversification was found in diplectanid monogeneans (Desdevises et al., 2001; 106 Villar-Torres et al., 2019). However, the latter studies were conducted in a marine system 107 with no real geographic barrier between host species that even form mixed schools. As 108 freshwater systems provide more opportunities for speciation than the marine realm, and 109 given the age of Lakes Albert and Tanganyika, which are situated in different basins, these 110 lakes are a perfect study system to investigate diplectanid evolution under allopatry. We 111 hypothesize that diplectanid monogeneans infecting latids belong to different species in Lake 112 Albert and Lake Tanganyika. If so, is there a congruence between the level of morphological 113 and molecular diversification in diplectanid parasites infecting African of Lates spp.? Within 114 115 Lake Tanganyika, we ask whether diplectanids of *Lates* spp. underwent similar diversification as their hosts, or whether they rather failed to diverge like the above-mentioned C. 116 casuarinus, a monogenean infecting bathybatine cichlids. These are, like latids, non-littoral 117 fishes, and this lack of parasite specificity is considered an adaptation of low host availability 118 outside of the littoral zone (Kmentová et al., 2016). 119

120

121 Material & Methods

122 Sampling

123 Fish samples of five latid species (Lates angustifrons, L. mariae, L. microlepis, L. niloticus, L. stappersii) were examined in this study. Samples included specimens of all Lates species 124 from the ichthyology collection of the Royal Museum for Central Africa (RMCA) (Tervuren, 125 Belgium) and fresh specimens from recent field expeditions (2010, 2016, 2017 and 2018). At 126 Lake Albert, fresh specimens of L. niloticus were obtained from local fishermen (Nzunzu, 127 Uganda). For Lake Tanganyika, the four endemic latid species (Lates angustifrons, L. mariae, 128 L. microlepis and L. stappersii) were either caught with gill nets from the experimental 129 fishing unit of the Centre de Recherche en Hydrobiologia-Uvira (CRH) (Uvira, Democratic 130

Republic of the Congo) or obtained from local fish markets (see Table 1). To provide a 131 broader geographical range for morphological comparison, fish specimens of L. niloticus 132 from seven additional localities throughout the host's range were examined. In total, gills (one 133 side in the case of museum specimens) of 158 fish specimens from 20 localities in African 134 freshwaters (see Table 1) were examined following the standard protocol of Ergens & Lom 135 (Ergens and Lom, 1970). In the field, fresh monogenean specimens were either mounted on 136 slides using a solution of glycerine ammonium picrate (GAP) or using Hoyer's medium in the 137 case of ethanol-fixed specimens from Lake Albert and specimens retrieved from the museum 138 collection. Some of the individuals were cut in three parts with the anterior and posterior parts 139 140 mounted on slides for morphological characterisation and the rest preserved in 99% ethanol for genetic analyses. To characterize internal anatomy, some specimens were stained using 141 the Carmine method described by Justine (2005) without the initial step of putting a live 142 parasite under a cover slip. Parasite identification and description were carried out using an 143 Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with a drawing tube and OLYMPUS KL 1500 LED 144 illumination. Specimens were compared with the holotype (MRAC MT.35572) and voucher 145 material (MRAC MT.35573) of D. lacustre. Drawings were edited with a graphics tablet 146 compatible with Adobe Illustrator CS6 16.0.0 and Adobe Photoshop CS6 13.0. Fish tissue 147 148 samples were deposited in the ichthyology collection of the RMCA under collection number 2016.20.P for Lake Tanganyika and 2016.036.P for Lake Albert. Parasite voucher specimens 149 are available from the invertebrate collection of the RMCA, the Iziko South African Museum 150 (SAMC), Cape Town, Republic of South Africa; the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle 151 (MNHN), Paris, France; the Natural History Museum (NHMUK), London, United Kingdom; 152 and the Finnish Museum of Natural History (MZH), Helsinki, Finland. 153

154 Morphometrics

Measurements of sclerotised structures were taken at a magnification of 1000× (objective × 155 100 immersion, ocular \times 10) using an Olympus BX51 microscope with incorporated phase 156 contrast and the software Digital Image Analysis v4. In total, 29 parameters of the hardparts 157 of the haptoral and copulatory organs were measured for morphometric characterisation and a 158 detailed redescription (see Fig. 1). Terminology was based on Justine & Henry (2010). To 159 investigate the level of morphological differentiation (haptor morphology), raw measurements 160 were analysed by multivariate statistical techniques in R (R Core Team, 2013). Principal 161 component analyses (PCAs) were conducted with scaled variables on 17 morphological 162 characters of the haptor using the package adegenet (Jombart, 2008). Results of the PCA were 163 164 visualised with the packages ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) and factoextra (Kassambara and 165 Mundt, 2017). To visualise the variance in the total size of the ventral anchor, a density plot using uncorrected measurements was drawn using ggplot2 and factoextra. A Kruskall-Wallis 166 167 test of multiple comparison with Bonferroni's post-hoc correction via Dunn's test, implemented in the package FSA (Ogle et al., 2019), respectively, was conducted to test the 168 relation of the host species and the catch locality to copulatory organ measurements, 169 respectively. The assumption of normality was tested by Shapiro-Wilk's W tests implemented 170 171 in stats. The assumption of homogeneous variance within sample groups was tested by 172 Levene's test in the R package lawstat (Gastwirth et al., 2017).

173 Molecular characterisation

Morphological characterisation was combined with genetic characterisation using tissue samples of the central part of some of the parasite individuals collected from fresh fish specimens from Lake Tanganyika and Lake Albert, as described above. No fresh material was available from other locations. To genetically verify parasite species delineation, we used three different nuclear sequence fragments, from the small and large ribosomal subunit gene (18 and 28 rDNA) and the first internal transcribed spacer region (ITS-1). To assess

180	intraspecific genetic diversity, part of the mitochondrial COI gene was used. Whole genomic
181	DNA was extracted using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue Isolation Kit following the
182	manufacturer's instructions with some modifications (samples in ATL buffer (180 μ l) with
183	protein kinase (20 µl) were kept in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes overnight at room temperature).
184	The DNA extract was concentrated to a volume of 80 μ l in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes using a
185	vacuum centrifuge and stored at a temperature of -20 °C. Partial 18S rDNA and ITS-1 were
186	amplified using the S1 (5'-ATTCCGATAACGAACGAGACT-3') (Sinnappah et al., 2001)
187	and Lig5.R (5'-GATACTCGAGCCGAGTGATCC-3') (Blasco-Costa et al., 2012) primers.
188	Each reaction mix contained 1.5 unit of <i>Taq</i> polymerase, 1X buffer containing 0.1 mg/ml
189	bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1.5 mM MgCl ₂ , 200 mM dNTPs, 0.8 mM of each primer and 3
190	μl of isolated DNA (concentration was not measured) in a total reaction volume of 30 μl
191	under the following conditions: 2 min at 95 °C, 39 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 55 °C
192	and 1 min and 30 s at 72 °C, and finally 10 min at 72 °C. Primers C1 (5'-
193	ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-3') and D2 (5'-TGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGAC-3') (Hassouna
194	et al., 1984) were used for amplification of the partial 28S rDNA gene. Each PCR reaction
195	contained 1.5 unit of Taq polymerase, 1X buffer containing 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1.5 mM MgCl ₂ ,
196	200 mM dNTPs, 0.5 mM of each primer and 5 μ l of isolated DNA (concentration was not
197	measured) in a total reaction volume of 30 μ l under the following conditions: 2 min at 94 °C,
198	39 cycles of 20 seconds at 94 °C, 30 seconds at 58 °C and 1 min and 30 s at 72 °C, and finally
199	10 min at 72 °C. Part of the mitochondrial COI gene was amplified using ASmit1 (5'-
200	TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-3') combined with Schisto3 (5'-
201	TAATGCATMGGAAAAAAAAAAA3'), and with ASmit2 (5'-
202	TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG-3') in case of nested PCR (Littlewood et al., 1997).
203	For both primer combinations, the amplification reaction contained 24 μ l of PCR mix (one

unit of *Taq* polymerase, 1X buffer containing 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 0.2 mM dNTPs,

0.8 mM of each primer) with 1 µl of isolated DNA (concentration was not measured) in a 205 total reaction volume of 25 µl and was performed under the following conditions: initial 206 denaturation at 95°C for 5 min and then 40 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 50°C and 1 min 207 at 72°C, and final elongation for 7 min at 72°C. Amplification success was checked by 208 agarose gel electrophoresis and for positive samples, 2.5 µg of PCR product was 209 enzymatically cleaned up using 1 µl of ExoSAP-IT reagent under the following conditions: 15 210 min at 37 °C and 15 min at 80 °C. After cycle sequencing of purified PCR products using 211 BigDye v3.1, following the manufacturer's recommendations, fragments were cleaned up 212 using the BigDye XTerminator® Purification Kit and visualized on an ABI3130 capillary 213 214 sequencer. Electropherograms were visually inspected, corrected and sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) under default settings as implemented in MEGA v7 (Kumar et 215 al., 2016), together with selected previously published sequences of representatives of 216 217 Diplectanidae (see Table S1). The newly obtained haplotype sequences were deposited in NCBI GenBank under the accession numbers MK937579-MK937581 (28S rDNA), 218 MK937574-MK937576 (18S+ITS-1 rDNA) and MK908145- MK908196 (COI mtDNA). 219

220

221 Genetic distances and phylogeny

222 The consistency of all alignments was checked and corrected under the "automated 1" option in trimAL v1.2, which uses a heuristic search to find the best method for trimming the 223 alignment (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009). As there is a lack of available ITS sequences of 224 diplectanid species, phylogenetic analyses were based on two regions: 18S and 28S rDNA. 225 These two regions were analysed separately because of the lack of species for which both 226 regions are available. Topali v2.5 (Milne et al., 2004) was used to identify the best fitting 227 model of molecular evolution based on the Bayesian information criterion (28S rDNA: GTR 228 + Γ , gamma shape parameter of 0.461; 18S rDNA: K2P + Γ , gamma shape parameter of 229

0.130). For each gene, pairwise distances were calculated using both the most appropriate 230 231 evolutionary model and, to compare with previous studies, uncorrected pairwise distances. The number of haplotypes and polymorphic sites, haplotype diversity and nucleotide diversity 232 were calculated using ARLEQUIN v3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Phylogenetic analyses 233 were carried out using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) in RAxML v8 234 (Stamatakis, 2014) and MrBayes v3.2.0 (Ronquist et al., 2012), respectively. A ML tree was 235 inferred using RAxML's standard tree search algorithm and bootstrap support was calculated 236 using the option with an automated number of replicates to obtain stable support values under 237 the frequency stopping criterion (Stamatakis, 2014). Bayesian inference was based on two 238 independent runs (100,000,000 generations, sampled every 1,000th generation following a 239 burn-in of 10%). Parameter convergence and run stationarity were assessed in Tracer v1.6 240 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk). As Dactylogyridae and Diplectanidae were shown to be sister taxa 241 242 (Šimková et al., 2003), Dactylogyrus extensus (Mueller and Van Cleave, 1932) (sequence from: Šimková, Matějusová, & Cunningham, 2006) together with Cichlidogyrus 243 attenboroughi Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove, 2016 (sequence from: Kmentová et al. (2018) 244 in the case of 28S rDNA region were selected as outgroup for phylogenetic inference. 245 Phylogenetic trees were edited in FigTree v1.4.2 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) 246 247 and Adobe Photoshop CS6. Phylogenetic relationships among COI haplotypes were inferred by means of a Median Joining network (Bandelt et al., 1999) in PopART 1.7104 (Leigh and 248 Bryant, 2015). 249

250

251 Results

252 A single diplectanid species, morphologically identified as *Diplectanum lacustre* was

recorded from three of the four species of *Lates* from Lake Tanganyika (*L. angustifrons, L.*

254 *mariae, L. microlepis*) and from *L. niloticus* from Lakes Albert, Kossou, Nasser and Victoria,

- from the Taja River in Sierra Leone and from the Bahr-Sara, mouth of the Mandoul River in
- 256 Tchad. In total, 473 parasite specimens were collected (for more details see Table 1 and Fig.
- 257 2). Based on morphological characterisation and phylogenetic reconstruction (see Figs. 7&8),
- a new genus *Dolicirroplectanum* gen. nov. is described with *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre*
- comb. nov. as the type species. The internal anatomy is characterised, including the
- 260 sclerotised vagina, prostatic reservoir and seminal vesicle, which were absent in the original
- 261 description of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. Measurements of the parasite's internal organs and
- sclerotised haptoral and copulatory structures are presented in Table 2.
- 263 Taxonomy and species redescription
- 264 Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov. Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove (Fig. 3 5)
- 265 Family: Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903
- 266 Genus: Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov.
- 267 **Type species:** *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* (Thurston & Paperna, 1969)
- 268 **Type host:** *Lates niloticus* L. (Latidae)
- 269 Type locality: Lake Volta, Ghana; Lake Albert, Uganda
- 270 Site: Gills
- 271 Additional hosts: L. angustifrons, L. mariae, L. microlepis
- 272 Other species: Dolicirroplectanum penangi comb. nov. for Diplectanum penangi Liang &
- 273 Leong, 1991 (original designation)
- 274 Material examined: type material: MRAC MT. 35572, vouchers: MNHN HEL744-47 (4
- 275 specimens), USNPC 180-A 3-7; MRAC. MT. 38206-10, 38913-39058 (243 specimens), MZH
- 276 10067-71 (6 specimens), SAMC-A089971-72 (6 specimens), NHMUK 2018.4.13.4-13.7 (8
- 277 specimens)

Zoobank registration: To comply with the regulations set out in article 8.5 of the amended 278 2012 version of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) (International 279 Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 2012), details of the genus have been submitted to 280 ZooBank. The Life Science Identifier (LSID) of the article 281 is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:209675D6-2EBE-4E37-84CB-DA59994F7B2. The LSID for the 282 new genus Dolicirroplectanum is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:89BFF3C5-271B-4482-98E0-283 AC667AA6611D. 284

Etymology: The genus name derives from Latin and refers to the barrel shape of the malecopulatory organ, noticeably wider than in other diplectanid genera.

Diagnosis: Tegument smooth. Genital pore opening posterior to male copulatory organ (MCO).
Genital atrium sclerotised. MCO wide, robust, composed of two nested tubes. Prostatic
reservoir simple. Seminal vesicle sinistral. Accessory copulatory organ absent. Squamodiscs
ventral, dorsal; rows of bone-shaped rodlets with open rings. Superficial root of ventral anchor
reduced. Parasites of perciform fishes (*Lates* spp.). Vagina sclerotised or muscular.

292 **Description:**

Multiple pairs of head organs, two pairs of eye-spots. No tegument scales were observed. *Dolicirroplectanum* gen. nov. is characterised by two pairs of dorsal and ventral anchors with a regularly curved shaft point, a large and wide ventral bar and two dorsal bars. Dorsal anchors smaller than ventral ones and without developed outer root. 14 marginal hooklets of similar size and relatively small compared to other haptoral structures. Two squamodiscs, ventral and dorsal, formed by concentric open rows of bone-shaped rodlets of similar width in all rows.

Intestinal bifurcation follows pharynx, oesophagus absent. Caeca simple, terminate blindly.
Testis spherical, intercaecal. Vas deferens emerges from anterior part of testis, enlarges into
seminal vesicle. Seminal vesicle single in the middle region of body, transforms into elongated

duct connected with sclerotized part of copulatory organ. Prostatic reservoir simple. Slightly
sclerotized MCO composed of two straight tubes, one inside the other, almost as wide as long.
Ovary intercaecal, pre-testicular, encircles right caecum. Oviduct passes medially to oötype,
surrounded by Mehlis' gland, oötype short, enters into uterus. Uterus sinistral. Vaginal atrium
sclerotised or muscular.

Discussion: Species of Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov. can be distinguished by the combination 307 of: 1) presence of a robust barrel-shaped MCO formed by two narrow nested tubes, almost as 308 wide as long, 2) absence of an accessory piece, 3) squamodiscs composed of bone-shaped 309 rodlets forming open rings, 4) superficial roots of ventral anchor reduced, 5) a simple prostatic 310 311 reservoir not separated into zones, 6) seminal vesicle as an expansion of vas deferens, 7) ovary intercaecal, pre-testicular, encircles right caecum and 8) a lack of tegumental scales. The status 312 of *Dolicirroplectanum* gen. nov. is supported by its placement outside of the clade including 313 Diplectanum aequans (Wagener, 1857), the type species of Diplectanum (Figs. 7&8). 314 Particularly, Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov. differs from other diplectanids including D. aequans 315 by the short but wide sclerotised part of the MCO. In contrast to D. aequans, a simple prostatic 316 reservoir is present. Conversely, a prostatic reservoir separated into three zones is one of the 317 specific characters for Diplectanum sensu stricto mentioned in Domingues & Boeger (2009). 318 319 Diplectanum penangi has all the diagnostic features attributed to Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov. The position within the genus was supported by its position in a phylogenetic reconstruction, 320 clustering with Dolicirroplectanum lacustre comb. nov. (Figs. 6&7). The holotype of D. 321 penangi comb. nov. could not be verified as the specimen was not provided by Lee Kong Chian 322 Natural History Museum in Singapore and as the digital pictures we received were taken at 323 insufficient magnification/resolution. Therefore, voucher material deposited in the National 324 Museum of Natural History in Washington and the National Museum of Natural History in 325 Paris was checked instead, and the two nested copulatory tubes and simple prostatic reservoir 326

- were found to be present in *D. penangi* comb. nov. together with other characteristics mentionedin its original description (Fig. 5).
- 329 **Redescription**
- 330 Family: Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903
- 331 Genus: Dolicirroplectanum
- 332 *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. (Thurston & Paperna, 1969)
- 333 Synonyms: Diplectanum lacustre

334 Zoobank registration: To comply with the regulations set out in article 8.5 of the amended 2012 version of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) (International 335 Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 2012), details of the species have been submitted to 336 ZooBank. The Life Science Identifier (LSID) of the article is 337 urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:209675D6-2EBE-4E37-84CB-DA59994F7B2. The LSID for the 338 new name Dolicirroplectanum lacustre is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:423241C3-777D-4F86-339 A70F-02B4D74F9E66. 340

- 341 **Figures:** 3, 44
- 342 Material examined: holotype: MRAC MT. 35572, paratype: MRAC MT. 35573
- 343 Vouchers: MRAC. MT. 38206-10, 38913-39058 (243 specimens), MZH 10067-71 (6
- 344 specimens), MNHN HEL744-47 (4 specimens), SAMC-A089971-72 (6 specimens), NHMUK
- 345 2018.4.13.4-13.7 (8 specimens)
- 346 **Type host:** *Lates niloticus* L. (Latidae)
- 347 Type locality: Lake Volta, Ghana; Lake Albert, Uganda
- 348 Site: Gills
- 349 Additional hosts: L. angustifrons, L. mariae, L. microlepis

Additional localities: Bahr-Sara, Tchad (08°56'N-17°58'E); Kisumu, Lake Victoria (00°06'S-350 34°45'E), Lake Kossou, Egypt (07°10'N-05°20'E), Lake Nasser, Egypt (24°05'N-33°00'E), 351 Luxor market, Egypt (25°42'N 32°38'E), Njala, riv. Taja, Sierra Leone (08°06'N-12°04'E), Lake 352 Albert – Nyawiega (01°28'N-30°56'E); Nzunzu (1°19'N, 30°72'E); Lake Tanganyika – Crock 353 Island (8°42'S-31°07'E), Katukula (8°35'S-31°10'E), Mpulungu (8°46'S-31°07'E); Rumonge 354 (3°97'S-29°43'E); Sumbu Bay (8°31'S-30°29'E); Bujumbura (3°23'S-29°22'E); Ilagala (5°12'S-355 29°50'E); Kilomoni (4°20'S, 29°09'E); Mulembwe (6°07'S, 29°16'E); Nyanza (4°20'S-356 29°35'E); Edith Bay (6°30'S-29°55'E); Uvira (3°22' S 29°08'E) 357 Infection parameters: 4 of 8 Lates angustifrons infected with 1 - 15 specimens, 15 of 23 L. 358 359 *mariae* infected with 1 - 18 specimens, 21 of 31 L. *microlepis* infected with 1 - 53 specimens.

1 of 1 *L. niloticus* from Bahr-Sara infected with 2 specimens, 2 of 3 *L. niloticus* from Kisumu

361

(Lake Victoria) infected with 1-7 specimens, 4 of 5 L. niloticus from Lake Kossou infected with

5-9 specimens, 1 of 5 *L. niloticus* from Lake Nasser infected with 1 specimen, 1 of 3 *L. niloticus*from Nyawiega (Lake Albert) infected with 2 specimens, 5 of 11 *L. niloticus* from Nzuzu (Lake
Albert) infected with 2-10 specimens, 1 of 1 *L. niloticus* from Nzuzu (Lake Albert) infected
with 2 specimens.

366 **Diagnosis:** *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. is a monogenean infecting gills of 367 freshwater African latid species distinguished from its congener by the width of the outer root 368 of the ventral anchor. The copulatory tube is oriented anteriorly.

Description: Tegument thin, smooth. Three pairs of head organs, two pairs of eye-spots, the posterior ones larger and closer together. Two squamodiscs, ventral squamodisc larger than dorsal, both consist of 9-12 concentric rows of bone-shaped rodlets, the two distal rows of which are composed of only rudimentary rodlets. Two pairs of anchors, rudiment of inner root and wide base of outer root in dorsal anchor. Marginal hooklets (14) of similar size. Ventral bar tapering towards extremities with terminal auricles. Dorsal bar broadening towards the centre

of the haptor area. Testis post-ovarial, thin vas deferens along the dextral intestinal caecum. 375 Single seminal vesicle in the middle of the body. Simple prostatic reservoir. MCO robust and 376 formed by two nested tubes. Copulatory tube oriented anteriorly. Ovary looping around the left 377 intestinal caecum towards the oviduct, surrounded by Mehlis' glands located near oötype. 378 Uterus simple tube towards vagina. Vagina is formed by a complex of sclerotized structures 379 consisting of an elongated primary canal followed by a secondary tube opening into an anterior 380 duct; duct continues into distal sclerotized part ending in blade-shaped structure. Orientation of 381 sclerotized vagina with blade-shaped end always anterior. Sclerotised vagina can be absent. 382 Vitellaria dense, located around outer wall of intestinal caeca. 383

Discussion: Dolicirroplectanum lacustre comb. resembles its 384 nov. congener Dolicirroplectanum penangi comb. nov. infecting Lates calcarifer in Asia. The type species of 385 the genus can be easily distinguished from D. penangi comb. nov. by the comparative 386 morphology of the anchors, especially the thinner outer root in *D. penangi* comb. nov. (see Fig. 387 5). Contrary to D. lacustre comb. nov., a sclerotised vagina was not observed in D. penangi 388 comb. nov. (Liang and Leong, 1991). Our findings are based only on a combination of the 389 original description of *D. penangi* comb. nov. and voucher material deposited in the National 390 Museum of Natural History in Washington and the National Museum of Natural History in 391 Paris as the Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum in Singapore refused to provide the 392 holotype material. 393

394 Morphometric variation

Morphological variation was visualized based on a PCA performed on 17 standardised
haptoral morphometric parameters from 148 individuals. The first PC explained 48.4 % of the
variation in the data, the second one 10.1 %. Results show a high level of variability in
specimens of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. infecting *L. niloticus* and a continuous size gradient not

related to the locality of origin with an intermediate position of specimens from Lake Kossou 399 and Lake Victoria along the first axis. Moreover, individuals collected in the Taja River seem 400 to be separated from the others. Interestingly, two morphotypes were retrieved from different 401 fish specimens in Lake Albert (Lake Albert1 and Lake Albert2). Therefore, the morphology 402 of D. lacustre comb. nov. does not seem to be influenced by neither geographical nor host 403 species origin (Fig. 8A). Moreover, two specimens from Lake Albert (belonging to Lake 404 Albert2) were, based on the haptoral sclerotised structures and MCO, more similar to those 405 collected outside the lake (see Table 2). The position of specimens in the scatterplot was 406 mainly influenced by the size of dorsal anchors, maximum width of the dorsal bar and length 407 408 of both squamodiscs. However, almost all parameters were correlated with the first axis. 409 Other PCs did not show a clearer separation. The length of the dorsal anchor was shown to be related to the combination of host species and geographic origin, in a gradient, with two 410 411 morphotypes recognised in Lake Albert (Lake Albert1 and Lake Albert2), as visualised in a density plot (Fig. 8B). 412

MCO parameters from 91 individuals of D. lacustre comb. nov. were compared. Significantly 413 wider and longer copulatory organs were observed in specimens collected from L. niloticus 414 (n=31), than in those collected from L. microlepis (n=38) (Bonferroni's post-hoc correction, 415 416 MCO length Z_{2,87}=-6.48, P<0.001, MCO width Z_{2,89}=-6.74, P<0.001) and L. mariae (n=22) (Bonferroni's post-hoc correction, MCO length Z_{2,87}=-4.98, P<0.001, MCO width Z_{2,89}=-4.25, 417 P<0.001). The influence of geographical origin was tested only for samples from these three 418 host species from Lake Albert and Lake Tanganyika as there was an insufficient number of 419 420 high-quality specimens from other localities and L. angustifrons, respectively. In both 421 parameters of the MCO, a significantly larger size was observed in specimens from Lake Albert, morphotype Lake Albert1 (Bonferroni's post-hoc correction, MCO length $- Z_{1.89} =$ 422 6.61, P <0.001, MCO width $- Z_{1,87} = 6.41$, P <0.001). 423

- 424 Apart from these size differences, the variable presence of a sclerotised vagina was
- 425 documented (see Table 2), also including data from two previous records of the species
- 426 (Ergens, 1981; Thurston and Paperna, 1969).

427 Genetic characterisation and phylogeography

428 Uncorrected p-distances between *D. lacustre* comb. nov. collected from Lake Tanganyika and

429 Lake Albert, respectively, varied among the amplified regions from 0.5% in 18S rDNA (441

430 base pairs (bp)), 1.1% in 28S rDNA (810 bp) to 9% in ITS-1 rDNA (478 bp) and 9.0 – 10.2%

431 in COI mtDNA (412 bp). In previous studies, the ability to align ITS-1 sequences was used as

432 a criterion for diplectanid species delineation (Poisot et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2007). No

433 intralacustrine variability in rDNA regions was detected. Sequences of the ITS-1 region of all

434 populations of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. in our study were alignable and included 19 indels. For

435 comparison with the threshold of 14.5% difference in the COI region to distinguish intra- and

436 interspecific diversity proposed for diplectanids by Vanhove et al. (2013), genetic distances

437 were also calculated using the K2P model (Kimura, 1980), under which they amounted to 9.6

438 – 10.7%. Intralacustrine variation in COI was higher in Lake Albert than in Lake Tanganyika

439 (Table 3). The haplotype network showed two distinct haplogroups, corresponding to the two

440 lakes (Fig. 9). Identical COI haplotypes were shared among individuals of *D. lacustre* comb.

441 nov. collected from *L. mariae* originating from the central subbasin (Mulembwe) and *L*.

microlepis collected from the northern and southern subbasins of Lake Tanganyika (Uvira andMpulungu).

444 Phylogeny

445 Phylogenetic inference at the family level (Diplectanidae) was based on two separate

446 alignments of the 28S and 18S nuclear rDNA with 33 and 15 taxa, respectively (Table S1).

447 The alignment of 28S rDNA and 18S rDNA totalled 803 and 482 bp, respectively.

Phylogenetic analyses of 28S rDNA placed the haplotypes of Dolicirroplectanum lacustre 448 comb. nov. in a monophyletic clade sister to Dolicirroplectanum penangi comb. nov. 449 collected from Lates calcarifer in Asia (Fig. 6). The tree obtained from the 18S rDNA 450 fragment placed D. lacustre comb. nov. in a poorly resolved clade with species of 451 Pseudorhabdosynochus Yamaguti, 1958 and Echinoplectanum Justine and Euzet (2006) (Fig. 452 7). ML and BI produced the same topologies. In both phylogenetic trees, the previous notion 453 454 of Diplectanum appeared polyphyletic with the type species, Diplectanum aequans, placed outside the clade including species of Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov., hence supporting the 455 erection of a new genus. 456

457 **Discussion**

The main aim of this study was to examine the level of diversification in diplectanid parasites 458 infecting latid hosts in two of the African Great Lakes, Lakes Albert and Tanganyika. 459 Moreover, museum specimens from throughout the host's range were added to provide a 460 461 broader geographical range for morphological comparison. Morphological and molecular characterisation identified a single species in both lakes, reassigned to Dolicirroplectanum 462 gen. nov. Despite the persistent geographic separation between Lakes Albert and Tanganyika 463 for 9 MYA (Cohen et al., 1993), and the speciation of the hosts, their respective populations 464 of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. have not reached the level of morphological and genetic 465 differentiation typically associated with distinct species. Hence, we conclude that this is an 466 example of a lineage that failed to speciate. 467

468 Diplectanid species infecting latid fishes in Africa – molecular and morphological 469 perspectives

470 The monophyly of *Diplectanum* was already rejected in previous studies (Chotnipat et al.,

471 2015; Villar-Torres et al., 2019) with *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. being classified

outside of Diplectanum sensu stricto (Chotnipat et al., 2015; Domingues and Boeger, 2008). 472 473 The phylogenetic reconstructions based on ribosomal regions place D. lacustre comb. nov. in a separate lineage together with D. penangi comb. nov. infecting an Asian latid species, L. 474 *calcarifer*, but outside the clade that includes *D. aequans*, the type species of *Diplectanum*. 475 476 This, combined with a detailed morphological characterisation, leads us to propose the new genus Dolicirroplectanum gen. nov., now including D. lacustre comb. nov. and D. penangi 477 comb. nov. Overall, the phylogenetic position of other diplectanid genera corresponds with 478 the study by Villar-Torres et al. (2019). The genetic distance between D. lacustre comb. nov. 479 from Lake Tanganyika and Lake Albert, and D. penangi comb. nov., is 7.9% based on the 480 481 28S rDNA fragment. This is comparable to the situation in *Laticola latesi* (Tripathi, 1957) and L. paralatesi (Nagibina, 1976), which infect L. calcarifer in Hainan province, China 482 (Tingbao et al., 2006). However, these diplectanid species occur sympatrically, infecting a 483 484 single host species, whereas there is no contact between L. calcarifer and the species of Lates from Lakes Albert and Tanganyika. 485

Interestingly, copulatory tube width and length differ between most of the parasite individuals 486 collected from L. niloticus from Lake Albert and three of Lake Tanganyika's species, L. 487 angustifrons, L. microlepis and L. mariae, respectively. Differences in the MCO may be the 488 489 basis for species delineation in diplectanids (e.g. in Echinoplectanum: Sigura & Justine, 2008). However, the mean values of individuals of L. niloticus from other localities (Lake 490 491 Kossou, Lake Victoria) do not differ from Lake Tanganyika's specimens. Moreover, two specimens from Lake Albert (belonging to Lake Albert2) are, based on the haptoral 492 493 sclerotised structures and the MCO, more similar to those collected outside this lake (see 494 Table 2). A complex pattern of morphological variation emerging from the other populations of D. lacustre comb. nov., with a lot of overlapping features between host species and 495 localities (Fig. 8 and Table 2), does not suggest the existence of different species. The 496

497 intermediate position of some specimens, particularly from Lake Albert (referred to as Lake
498 Albert2), prevents a clear correlation between parasite morphotype, host species identity
499 and/or geographic origin. Future genetic characterisation of such morphotypes is needed to
500 address diversification of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. in detail. Internal anatomy is documented
501 only in fresh specimens from Lake Albert and Lake Tanganyika, with high levels of
502 intralacustrine variation and without structural differences in organisation between these
503 lakes.

Moreover, the impossibility to align ITS-1 rDNA sequences is generally considered as an 504 indicator for diplectanid species delineation (Wu et al., 2005a; Poisot et al., 2011). Since 505 506 haplotypes from the two populations of D. lacustre comb. nov. in our study are alignable, the hypothesis of a single species is supported. Also, as the model-corrected genetic distance of 507 10.7% over the COI fragment does not reach the "best-compromise threshold" (Meier et al., 508 2006) for barcoding of 14.5% proposed by Vanhove et al. (2013) for diplectanids infecting 509 Indo-Pacific groupers, we consider all specimens in our study as conspecific and belonging to 510 511 D. lacustre comb. nov. Its records therefore increased from four to ten areas (see Table 1 and taxonomic part of the result section). 512

Based on differences in the size of haptoral structures and the split ends of the internal tube of
the MCO (see Table 2 and Fig. 4), specimens from Taja River might be considered as
belonging to a different species. This could be explained by the long separation between the
Upper Guinean and Nil ichthyofaunal provinces (Roberts, 1975). However, more samples and
molecular data from Taja River are needed to confirm the identity of the species of *Dolicirroplectanum* infecting *L. niloticus* in the Upper Guinean province.

519 Host range of the diplectanid monogeneans infecting lates perches in Lake Tanganyika

Based on our results, the host species list of D. lacustre comb. nov. was extended with three 520 of the four latid species from Lake Tanganyika. No monogeneans were found on L. stappersii. 521 A potential reason could be its different life style compared to other latid species in the lake. 522 In contrast to its congeners, L. stappersii is truly pelagic throughout its life, usually not 523 moving into inshore waters (Mannini et al., 1999; Mulimbwa and Mannini, 1993). Short-lived 524 and slow swimming monogenean larvae (oncomiracidia) are assumed to infect fish hosts in 525 526 littoral habitats, typically synchronised with their hosts' period of reproduction (Whittington et al., 1999). Therefore, there is less chance for parasite infection in L. stappersii compared to 527 other latid species (see Rohde, 1980; Rohde et al., 1995). Moreover, there is no sign of 528 529 species diversification in Lake Tanganyika as we found haplotypes shared between latid hosts 530 and between subbasins.

The African Great Lakes are highly biodiverse areas with a remarkable species richness and a 531 high level of endemism (Salzburger et al., 2014). Parasite diversification linked with host 532 speciation was recently discovered in monogeneans belonging to Cichlidogyrus Paperna, 533 534 1960 infecting littoral cichlids of Lake Tanganyika (Vanhove et al., 2015). Interestingly, also 535 in Lake Tanganyika's pelagic zone, the same pattern as in *D. lacustre* comb. nov., apparently without host preference or host-related speciation processes, was observed in Cichlidogyrus 536 casuarinus, a parasite of bathybatine cichlids (Kmentová et al., 2016). However, the 537 haplotype and nucleotide diversity in the COI region are remarkably lower in D. lacustre 538 comb. nov. (0.517 and 0.001 compared to 0.987 and 0.0205, respectively). Host species 539 hybridisation might explain the more generalist life style of certain monogeneans due to an 540 541 influence of host genetics on the susceptibility to infection, host specificity, and parasite 542 speciation (Šimková et al., 2013; Vanhove et al., 2011). However, there are no reports of hybridisation among latid species (Otero, 2004). Moreover, a lack of host-related speciation in 543 diplectanids was observed in Pseudorhabdosynochus cyanopodus Sigura & Justine, 2008 544

infecting two deep-sea grouper species in New Caledonia (Schoelinck et al., 2012) with a 545 maximum intraspecific distance of 1.2% in the COI region compared to 0.7% in D. lacustre 546 comb. nov. in Lake Tanganyika. Our results therefore correspond with previous studies in 547 marine and freshwater habitats where decreased host specificity in pelagic ecosystems was 548 proposed to increase the chance of finding a host if host species exhibit low population 549 densities (Kmentová et al., 2016; Rohde, 1980; Schoelinck et al., 2012). 550 551 Despite the generally high degree of endemism of macrofauna in Lake Tanganyika (Coulter, 1991; Salzburger et al., 2014; Snoeks, 2000), this might not be reflected in all 552 microinvertebrate taxa. Dolicirroplectanum lacustre comb. nov. and some other monogenean 553 554 species are found to naturally occur both within and outside Lake Tanganyika. Gyrodactylus sturmbaueri Vanhove, Snoeks, Volckaert & Huyse, 2011 was described from Simochromis 555 diagramma (Günther, 1894), but also parasitizes on Pseudocrenilabrus philander (Weber, 556 1897) in Lake Kariba and the River Nwanedi (Zahradníčková et al., 2016). Cichlidogyrus 557 mbirizei Muterezi Bukinga, Vanhove, Van Steenberge & Pariselle 2012, C. halli (Price and 558 559 Kirk 1967) and Scutogyrus gravivaginus (Paperna and Thurston 1969) are known from an 560 endemic tilapia, Oreochromis tanganicae (Günther, 1894), but were also reported from other species of Oreochromis Günther, 1889 and other cichlids in Africa (Douëllou, 1993; Pariselle 561 and Euzet, 2009) as well as cage-cultured tilapia species in Asia (Lim et al., 2016; Mohd 562 Agos et al., 2016). This highlights the ability of some monogenean species to survive in a 563 wide range of environments and host species (Huyse et al., 2006). 564

565

566 Rate of molecular evolution of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. and its 567 implications

For want of paleontological data, substitution rates in parasitic flatworms are typicallyestimated using host fossils or calibrated with paleogeographical events and assuming that

parasite speciation follows that of the hosts (Meinilä et al., 2004). With a mean distance of
10% between the Lake Tanganyika and Lake Albert populations, the substitution rate in our
412 bp COI mtDNA region, using the end of rifting in the eastern African Rift Valley 9 MYA
(Cohen et al., 1993) for the age of the most recent common ancestor of their hosts, is
estimated at 0.5%/MY.

Often, molecular evolution of parasites is considered and was proven to be faster than within 575 the homologous loci of their hosts (Hafner et al., 1994; Huyse et al., 2005). Surprisingly, D. 576 *lacustre* comb. nov. appears to have a slower rate of molecular evolution in its mitochondrial 577 DNA than most fish taxa (1-4% in cytochrome b) (Bermingham et al., 1997; He and Chen, 578 2007; Muss et al., 2001). However, preliminary molecular data of African latids show even 579 less difference over the COI region (4.5-6% uncorrected p-distance between Lake Tanganyika 580 and Lake Albert, own unpublished data) than their monogenean parasite. Therefore, the 581 widespread hypothesis of a faster evolutionary rate of parasites compared to hosts, based on 582 their shorter generation time (Cable and Harris, 2002; Thomas et al., 2010) does hold in the 583 584 case of D. lacustre comb. nov. The link between the rate of morphological and molecular 585 evolution varies among different taxa (Omland, 1997) with studies showing either rate decoupling (Poisot et al., 2011) or rate correlation. However, it seems that in the case of D. 586 *lacustre* comb. nov., there is a correlation between a slow rate of molecular evolution in the 587 COI gene, which is a structural coding marker known to be under balancing selection (Wu et 588 al., 1997), and failure to diverge seen in the lack of speciation. 589

590 There are three possible scenarios explaining the observed situation in *D. lacustre* comb. nov.

591 First, the rate of evolution of latids and their parasites is slower in comparison to other fish

592 (Bermingham et al., 1997; Muss et al., 2001) and other monogenean taxa. Indeed, the

mutation rate of *D*. *lacustre* comb. nov. seems to be much lower than the 13.7 - 20.3% per

million years estimated for *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 by Meinilä et al. (2004). This

can be explained by their different life history, as diplectanids lack the asexual reproduction 595 596 that has also led to a high species richness promoted by host switches and peripatric speciation processes in *Gyrodactylus* (Boeger et al., 2003). The failure to diverge of D. 597 lacustre comb. nov. in Lake Tanganyika then corresponds with the hypothesis suggesting that 598 a lower rate of molecular evolution resulted in low diversification. 599 Secondly, the invasion of the studied latid lineage could be more recent than the lakes' 600 601 formation, like in the case of the cichlid genera Tylochromis Steindachner, 1895 and Oreochromis (Klett and Meyer, 2002; Koch et al., 2007). This could explain the low level of 602 genetic intralacustrine variation of *D. lacustre* comb. nov. reported in Lake Tanganyika (0.7%) 603 604 of uncorrected p-distance in COI) which contrasts with greater genetic variation seen in the host species (2-3% of uncorrected p-distance in COI, unpublished data). However, low 605 intralacustrine genetic diversity in *D. lacustre* comb. nov. could also be caused by bottleneck 606 events that have reduced the genetic variation present in the system. 607 608 A third possible scenario involves a latid origin in the proto-Tanganyikan region with more recent admixture of populations via lacustrine and riverine connections resulting in the 609

610 polyphyly of latid species in Lake Tanganyika (suggested by Otero (2004) based on

morphological data), as was documented for haplochromine cichlids in the lake (Meyer et al.,

612 2015; Salzburger et al., 2005). Therefore, molecular and morphological similarity of *D*.

613 *lacustre* comb. nov. in nowadays geographically isolated areas could be the result of recent

and maybe multiple episodes of gene flow. In any case, phylogenetic reconstruction combined

615 with the latids' fossil record is needed to discern between the above-mentioned hypotheses.

616 Conclusions

617 Diplectanid parasites occur primarily in marine environments. Discussion has arisen about the618 incongruence between their morphological species delineation and the level of molecular

differentiation. In our study, we focused on a unique allopatric situation of diplectanid 619 parasites infecting latid species inhabiting freshwater lakes to study this incongruence. Based 620 on morphological examination, a single diplectanid species was recorded from three of the 621 four species of Lates from Lake Tanganyika (L. angustifrons, L. mariae, L. microlepis) and 622 from L. niloticus from Lakes Albert, Kossou, Nasser and Victoria, from the Taja River in 623 Sierra Leone and from Bahr-Sara in Tchad. Thus, similar to another monogenean species 624 infecting pelagic host species of the cichlid tribe Bathybatini in Lake Tanganyika, this 625 626 parasite on African Lates apparently failed to diverge. Results of phylogenetic reconstruction combined with detailed morphological characterisation led us to propose Dolicirroplectanum 627 628 gen. nov. with D. lacustre comb. nov. as type species. Despite a persistent geographic barrier 629 between Lake Albert and Lake Tanganyika and speciation in the hosts, their respective populations of D. lacustre comb. nov. infecting these lakes' latid fishes have not reached 630 species-level distinction. We suggest a link between the lack of morphological differentiation 631 between the parasite populations in both lakes, and the low rate of molecular evolution of the 632 mitochondrial COI gene, estimated at 0.5%/MY (assuming Lates from Lakes Albert and 633 Tanganyika diverged 9 MYA). As alternatives, scenarios proposing either more recent 634 invasion of the latid lineage into Lake Tanganyika or recent gene flow among the latid 635 636 lineages in Lakes Albert and Tanganyika could explain the apparently slow rate of the hosts' molecular evolution and lack of parasite differentiation. Therefore, detailed studies of host 637 phylogeography, dated using the fossil record, are needed to discern between these scenarios. 638 639 Although species-level differentiation can be expected in the future under persisting separation between the lakes, the question about the existence of genetically intermediate 640 populations of D. lacustre comb nov. remains. 641

642 Acknowledgments

- 643 The authors would like to thank: Ludmila Raisingerová, Chahrazed Rahmouni, Mulongaibalu
- 644 Mbalassa, Vercus Lumami Kapepula, Joseph Mbirize Ndalozibwa, Jos Snoeks and Christian
- 645 Sturmbauer for help with obtaining or identifying samples. Fieldwork was carried out with the
- 646 approval of the competent local authorities under mission statement 031/MINRST/CRH-
- 647 U/2016 and an export permit from the CRH-Uvira and from the National Fish and Fisheries
- 648 Research Institute (Nafirri, Jinja, Uganda). We also thank Tine Huyse, Nathan Vranken,
- 649 Emmanuel Vreven, Miguël Parrent (RMCA), Filip Volckaert (KU Leuven) and people in the
- parasitological group, Masaryk University, Brno and Research Centre of Hydrobiology, Uvira
- 651 for their hospitality and the RMCA for providing access to the collections.

652 **References**

- Bandelt, H.J., Forster, P., Rohl, A., 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
 phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 37–48.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036
- Bermingham, E., McCafferty, S., Martin, A., 1997. Fish biogeography and molecular clocks:
 perspectives from the Panamanian Isthmus., in: Kocher, T., Stepien, C. (Eds.), Molecular
 Systematics of Fishes. Academic Press, pp. 113–128.
- Blasco-Costa, I., Míguez-Lozano, R., Sarabeev, V., Balbuena, J.A., 2012. Molecular
 phylogeny of species of *Ligophorus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) and their affinities
 within the Dactylogyridae. Parasitol. Int. 61, 619–627.
- 662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2012.06.004
- Boeger, W.A., Kritsky, D.C., Pie, M.R., 2003. Context of diversification of the viviparous
 Gyrodactylidae (Platyhelminthes, Monogenoidea). Zool. Scr. 32, 437–448.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6409.2003.00130.x
- Brooks, D.R., 1979. Testing the context and extent of host-parasite coevolution. Syst. Biol.
 28, 299–307. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/28.3.299
- Cable, J., Harris, P.D., 2002. Gyrodactylid developmental biology: historical review, current
 status and future trends. Int. J. Parasitol. 32, 255–80.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00330-7
- 671 Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J.M., Gabaldón, T., 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated
 672 alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinforma. Appl. Note 25,
 673 1972–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348
- 674 Chotnipat, S., Miller, T.L., Knuckey, R.M., Hutson, K.S., 2015. Molecular and morphological
 675 evidence for the widespread distribution of *Laticola paralatesi* infecting wild and farmed
 676 *Lates calcarifer* in Australia. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 113, 195–205.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02848

- Cohen, A.S., Soreghan, M.J., Scholz, C.A., 1993. Estimating the age of formation of lakes:
 An example from Lake Tanganyika, East African Rift system. Geology 21, 511–514.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1993)021<0511:ETAOFO>2.3.CO;2
- Coulter, G.W., 1991. Composition of the flora and fauna, in: Coulter, G.W. (Ed.), Lake
 Tanganyika and Its Life. Natural History Musem Publications & Oxford University
 Press, London Oxford & New York, pp. 200–274.
- Danley, P.D., Husemann, M., Ding, B., Dipietro, L.M., Beverly, E.J., Peppe, D.J., 2012. The
 impact of the geologic history and paleoclimate on the diversification of East African
 cichlids. Int. J. Evol. Biol. 574851. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/574851
- DeSalle, R., Egan, M.G., Siddall, M., 2005. The unholy trinity: taxonomy, species
 delimitation and DNA barcoding. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 360, 1905–
 1916. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1722
- Desdevises, Y., Morand, S., Oliver, G., 2001. Linking specialisation to diversification in the
 Diplectanidae Bychowsky 1957 (Monogenea, Platyhelminthes). Parasitol. Res. 87, 223–
 230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004360000325
- Domingues, M. V., Boeger, W.A., 2008. Phylogeny and revision of Diplectanidae Monticelli,
 1903 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenoidea). Zootaxa 1903, 1–40.
- Douëllou, L., 1993. Monogeneans of the genus *Cichlidogyrus* Paperna, 1960 (Dactylogyridae:
 Ancyrocephalinae) from cichlid fishes of Lake Kariba (Zimbabwe) with descriptions of
 five new species. Syst. Parasitol. 25, 159–186. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00007007
- Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
 throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Frgens, R., 1981. *Diplectanum lacustre* Thurston et Paperna, 1969 (Monogenea,
 Diplectanidae) from the Egyptian *Lates niloticus*. Folia Parasitol. 28, 160.
- 702 Ergens, R., Lom, J., 1970. Causative agents of fish diseases. Academia, Prague.
- Excoffier, L., Lischer, H.E.L., 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to
 perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10,
 564–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x
- Francis, C.M., Borisenko, A. V., Ivanova, N. V., Eger, J.L., Lim, B.K., Guillén-Servent, A.,
 Kruskop, S. V., Mackie, I., Hebert, P.D.N., 2010. The role of DNA barcodes in
 understanding and conservation of mammal diversity in Southeast Asia. PLoS One 5,
 e12575. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012575
- Gastwirth, J.L., Gel, Y.R., Hui, W.L.W., Lyubchich, V., Miao, W., Noguchi, K., 2017.
 lawstat: Tools for Biostatistics, Public Policy, and Law.
- Georgieva, S., Selbach, C., Faltýnková, A., Soldánová, M., Sures, B., Skírnisson, K.,
 Kostadinova, A., 2013. New cryptic species of the '*revolutum*' group of *Echinostoma*(Digenea: Echinostomatidae) revealed by molecular and morphological data. Parasit.
 Vectors 6, 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-64
- Hafner, M.S., Sudman, P.D., Villablanca, F.X., Spradling, T.A., Demastes, J.W., Nadler,
 S.A., 1994. Disparate rates of molecular evolution in cospeciating hosts and parasites.
 Science 265, 1087–1090.
- 719 Hassouna, N., Michot, B., Bachellerie, J.-P., Narbonne, D., 1984. The complete nucleotide

sequence of mouse 28S rRNA gene. Implications for the process of size increase of the 720 large subunit rRNA in higher eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 3563-3583. 721 He, D., Chen, Y., 2007. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of the highly specialized 722 grade schizothoracine fishes (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) inferred from cytochrome b 723 sequences. Chinese Sci. Bull. 52, 777-788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-007-0123-2 724 Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L., DeWaard, J.R., 2003. Biological identifications 725 through DNA barcodes. Proceedings. Biol. Sci. 270, 313-321. 726 727 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218 Hubert, N., Hanner, R., Holm, E., Mandrak, N.E., Taylor, E., Burridge, M., Watkinson, D., 728 Dumont, P., Curry, A., Bentzen, P., Zhang, J., April, J., Bernatchez, L., 2008. Identifying 729 Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3, e2490. 730 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002490 731 Huyse, T., Pampoulie, C., Audenaert, V., Volckaert, F.A.M., 2006. First report of 732 733 Gyrodactylus spp. (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) in the Western Mediterranean Sea: molecular and morphological descriptions. J. Parasitol. 92, 682-690. 734 https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-690R.1 735 Huyse, T., Poulin, R., Théron, A., 2005. Speciation in parasites: a population genetics 736 737 approach. Trends Parasitol. 21, 469–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2005.08.009 International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 2012. Amendment of articles 8, 9, 738 10, 21 and 78 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature to expand and refine 739 methods of publication. Zootaxa 3450, 1–7. 740 741 Jombart, T., 2008. Adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics 24, 1403–1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129 742 Justine, J.-L., 2005. Species of Pseudorhabdosvnochus Yamaguti, 1958 (Monogenea: 743 Diplectanidae) from Epinephelus fasciatus and E. merra (Perciformes: Serranidae) off 744 New Caledonia and other parts of the Indo-Pacific Ocean, with a comparison of 745 measurements. Syst. Parasitol. 62, 1-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-005-5480-0 746 Justine, J.-L., Euzet, L., 2006. Diplectanids (Monogenea) parasitic on the gills of the 747 748 coralgroupers Plectropomus laevis and P. leopardus (Perciformes, Serranidae) off New Caledonia, with the description of five new species and the erection of Echinoplectanum 749 n. g. Syst. Parasitol. 64, 147–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-006-9028-8 750 751 Justine, J.-L., Henry, É., 2010. Monogeneans from *Epinephelus chlorostigma* (Val.) (Perciformes: Serranidae) off New Caledonia, with the description of three new species 752 of diplectanids. Syst. Parasitol. 77, 81-105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-010-9263-x 753 Kassambara, A., Mundt, F., 2017. factoextra: extract and visualize the results of multivariate 754 data analyses. 755 756 Kimura, M., 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120. 757 Klett, V., Meyer, A., 2002. What, if anything, is a Tilapia?—mitochondrial ND2 phylogeny 758 of tilapiines and the evolution of parental care systems in the African cichlid fishes. Mol. 759 Biol. Evol. 19, 865-883. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004144 760 761 Kmentová, N., Gelnar, M., Mendlová, M., Van Steenberge, M., Koblmüller, S., Vanhove,

- M.P.M., 2016. Reduced host-specificity in a parasite infecting non-littoral Lake
 Tanganyika cichlids evidenced by intraspecific morphological and genetic diversity. Sci.
 Rep. 6, 39605. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39605
- Kmentová, N., Van Steenberge, M., Raeymaekers, J.A.R., Koblmüller, S., Hablützel, P.I.,
 Muterezi Bukinga, F., Mulimbwa N'sibula, T., Masilya Mulungula, P., Nzigidahera, B.,
 Ntakimazi, G., Gelnar, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2018. Monogenean parasites of sardines in
 Lake Tanganyika: diversity, origin and intra-specific variability. Contrib. to Zool. 87,
 105–132.
- Koch, M., Koblmüller, S., Sefc, K.M., Duftner, N., Katongo, C., Sturmbauer, C., 2007.
 Evolutionary history of the endemic Lake Tanganyika cichlid fish *Tylochromis polylepis*:
 a recent intruder to a mature adaptive radiation. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 45, 64–71.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2006.00381.x
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K., Gerken, J., Pruesse, E., Quast, C., Schweer, T., Peplies,
 J., Ludwig, W., Glockner, F., 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
 Version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1870–1874.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Leigh, J.W., Bryant, D., 2015. PopART: full-feature software for haplotype network
 construction. Methods Ecol. Evol. 6, 1110–1116.
- Liang, K., Leong, T., 1991. A redescription of *Pseudorhabdosynochus latesi* (Tripathi 1955)
 and description of *Diplectanum penangi* n. sp. from *Lates calcarifer* cultured in floating
 cages in Malaysia and Thailand. J. Biosci. 2, 77–84.
- Lim, S.-Y., Ooi, A.-L., Wong, W.-L., 2016. Gill monogeneans of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.) from the wild and fish farms in
 Perak, Malaysia: infection dynamics and spatial distribution. Springerplus 5, 1609. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3266-2
- Littlewood, D.T.J., Rohde, K., Clough, K.A., 1997. Parasite speciation within or between host
 species? Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. Int. J.
 Parasitol. 27, 1289–1297. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00086-6
- Mannini, P., Katonda, I., Kissaka, B., Verburg, P., 1999. Feeding ecology of *Lates stappersii*in Lake Tanganyika. Hydrobiologia 407, 131–139.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003714203943
- Meier, R., Shiyang, K., Vaidya, G., Ng, P.K.L., 2006. DNA barcoding and taxonomy in
 Diptera: A tale of high intraspecific variability and low identification success. Syst. Biol.
 55, 715–728. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150600969864
- Meinilä, M., Kuusela, J., Ziętara, M.S., Lumme, J., 2004. Initial steps of speciation by
 geographic isolation and host switch in salmonid pathogen *Gyrodactylus salaris*(Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae). Int. J. Parasitol. 34, 515–526.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2003.12.002
- Meyer, B.S., Indermaur, A., Ehrensperger, X., Egger, B., Banyankimbona, G., Snoeks, J.,
 Salzburger, W., 2015. Back to Tanganyika: a case of recent trans-species-flock dispersal
 in East African haplochromine cichlid fishes. R. Soc. open Sci. 2, 140498.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140498
- Milne, I., Wright, F., Rowe, G., Marshall, D.F., Husmeier, D., McGuire, G., 2004. TOPALi:

- software for automatic identification of recombinant sequences within DNA multiple
- alignments. Bioinformatics 20, 1806–1807.
- 807 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth155
- Mohd Agos, S., Shaharom-H, F., Ihwan Zaka, M., Hassan, M., 2016. Morphological study of
 Cichlidogyrus mbirizei (Ancyrocephalidae) monogenean gill parasite on red tilapia
 (*Oreochromis* sp.) from Como River Kenyir Lake, Terengganu Malaysia. J. Fish. Aquat.
- 811 Sci. 11, 432–436. https://doi.org/10.3923/jfas.2016.432.436
- Mulimbwa, N., Mannini, P., 1993. Demographic characteristics of *Stolothrissa tanganicae*,
 Limnothrissa miodon and *Lates stappersii* in the Northwestern (Zairean) waters of Lake
 Tanganyika. CIFA Occas. Pap.
- Muss, A., Robertson, D.R., Stepien, C.A., Wirtz, P., Bowen, B.W., 2001. Phylogeography of
 Ophioblennius: the role of ocean currents and geography in reef fish evolution. Evolution
 (N. Y). 55, 561–572.
- 818 Ogle, D.H., Wheeler, P., Dinno, A., 2019. FSA: Fisheries Stock Analysis.
- Ogutu-Ohwayo, R., 1995. Diversity and stability of fish stocks in Lakes Victoria, Kyoga and
 Nabugabo after establishment of introduced species, in: Hart, P., Pitcher, T.J. (Eds.), The
 Impact of Species Changes in African Lakes. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 59–
 81. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-0563-7 5
- Omland, K.E., 1997. Correlated rates of molecular and morphological evolution. Evolution
 (N. Y). 51, 1381–1393.
- Otero, O., 2004. Anatomy, systematics and phylogeny of both recent and fossil latid fishes
 (Teleostei, Perciformes, Latidae). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 81–133.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2004.00111.x
- Paperna, I., Thurston, J.P., 1969. Monogenetic trematodes collected from cichlid fish in
 Uganda; including the description of five new species of *Cichlidogyrus*. Rev. Zool. Bot.
 Africaines 79, 15–33.
- Pariselle, A., Euzet, L., 2009. Systematic revision of dactylogyridean parasites (Monogenea)
 from cichlid fishes in Africa, the Levant and Madagascar. Zoosystema 31, 849–898.
 https://doi.org/10.5252/z2009n4a6
- Pariselle, A., Muterezi Bukinga, F., Van Steenberge, M., Vanhove, M.P.M., 2015.
 Ancyrocephalidae (Monogenea) of Lake Tanganyika: IV: *Cichlidogyrus* parasitizing
 species of Bathybatini (Teleostei, Cichlidae): reduced host-specificity in the deepwater
 realm? Hydrobiologia 748, 99–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-1975-5
- Paugy, D., Leveque, C., Teugels, G.G., 2003. The fresh and brackish water fishes of West
 Africa Volume 2. Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren.
- Poisot, T., Verneau, O., Desdevises, Y., Kitchener, A., Daniels, M., 2011. Morphological and
 molecular evolution are not linked in *Lamellodiscus* (Plathyhelminthes, Monogenea).
 PLoS One 6, e26252. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026252
- Poll, M., 1953. Exploration hydrobiologique du lac Tanganika, in: Poissons Non Cichlidae.
 Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Brussels, p. 251.
- Poulin, R., 2002. The evolution of monogenean diversity. Int. J. Parasitol. 32, 245–254.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00329-0

- R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rahmouni, I., Řehulková, E., Pariselle, A., Rkhami, O.B., Šimková, A., 2017. Four new
 species of *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) parasitising the
 gills of northern Moroccan *Luciobarbus* Heckel (Cyprinidae): morphological and
 molecular characterisation. Syst. Parasitol. 94, 575–591. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230017-9726-4
- Roberts, T.R., 1975. Geographical distribution of African freshwater fishes. Zool. J. Linn.
 Soc. 57, 249–319. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1975.tb01893.x
- Rohde, K., 1980. Diversity gradients of marine Monogenea in the Atlantic and Pacific
 Oceans. Experientia 36, 1368–1369. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01960102
- Rohde, K., Hayward, C., Heap, M., 1995. Aspects of the ecology of metazoan ectoparasites of
 marine fishes. Int. J. Parasitol. 25, 945–970. https://doi.org/10.1016/00207519(95)00015-T
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Hohna, S., Larget, B.,
 Liu, L., Suchard, M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian
 phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61, 539–
 542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Salzburger, W., 2018. Understanding explosive diversification through cichlid fish genomics.
 Nat. Rev. Genet. 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0043-9
- Salzburger, W., Mack, T., Verheyen, E., Meyer, A., 2005. Out of Tanganyika: genesis,
 explosive speciation, key-innovations and phylogeography of the haplochromine cichlid
 fishes. BMC Evol. Biol. 5, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-5-17
- Salzburger, W., Van Bocxlaer, B., Cohen, A.S., 2014. Ecology and evolution of the African
 Great Lakes and their faunas. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 45, 519–545.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091804
- Schlick-Steiner, B.C., Steiner, F.M., Seifert, B., Stauffer, C., Christian, E., Crozier, R.H.,
 2010. Integrative taxonomy: A multisource approach to exploring biodiversity. Annu.
 Rev. Entomol. 55, 421–438. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085432
- Schoelinck, C., Cruaud, C., Justine, J.-L., 2012. Are all species of *Pseudorhabdosynochus*strictly host specific? A molecular study. Parasitol. Int. 61, 356–359.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2012.01.009
- Sigura, A., Justine, J.-L., 2008. Monogeneans of the speckled blue grouper, *Epinephelus cyanopodus* (Perciformes, Serranidae), from off New Caledonia, with a description of four new species of *Pseudorhabdosynochus* and one new species of *Laticola*(Monogenea: Diplectanid. Zootaxa 44, 1–44.
- Šimková, A., Dávidová, M., Papoušek, I., Vetešník, L., 2013. Does interspecies hybridization
 affect the host specificity of parasites in cyprinid fish? Parasit. Vectors 6, 95.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-95
- Šimková, A., Matějusová, I., Cunningham, C.O., 2006. A molecular phylogeny of the
 Dactylogyridae sensu Kritsky & Boeger (1989) (Monogenea) based on the D1-D3
 domains of large subunit rDNA. Parasitology 133, 43–53.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021182006000042
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006009942

- Šimková, A., Morand, S., Jobet, E., Gelnar, M., Verneau, O., 2004. Molecular phylogeny of 890 congeneric monogenean parasites (Dactylogyrus): a case of intrahost speciation. 891 Evolution 58, 1001–1018. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb00434.x 892
- Šimková, A., Plaisance, L., Matějusová, I., Morand, S., Verneau, O., 2003. Phylogenetic 893 relationships of the Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridea): 894 The need for the systematic revision of the Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937. Syst. 895 896 Parasitol. 54, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022133608662
- Sinnappah, N.D., Lim, L.-H.S., Rohde, K., Tinsley, R., Combes, C., Verneau, O., 2001. A 897 paedomorphic parasite associated with a neotenic amphibian host: phylogenetic evidence 898 suggests a revised systematic position for sphyranuridae within anuran and turtle 899 polystomatoineans. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 18, 189-201. 900
- https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0877 901
- 902 Snoeks, J., 2000. Ancient lakes: biodiversity, ecology and evolution. Adv. Ecol. Res. 31, 17-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(00)31005-4 903
- Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis 904 of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313. 905 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033 906
- Thomas, J.A., Welch, J.J., Lanfear, R., Bromham, L., 2010. A generation time effect on the 907 rate of molecular evolution in invertebrates. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27, 1173-1180. 908 909 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq009
- 910 Thurston, J.P., Paperna, I., 1969. Diplectanum lacustris sp. nov. (Dactylogyroidea: Diplectanidae), a Monogenetic Trematode from the gills of the Nile Perch. Proc. 911 Helminthol. Soc. 214–218. 912
- Tingbao, Y., Kritsky, D.C., Yuan, S., Jianying, Z., Suhua, S., Agrawal, N., 2006. Diplectanids 913 infesting the gills of the barramundi Lates calcarifer (Bloch) (Perciformes: 914 Centropomidae), with the proposal of Laticola n. g. (Monogenoidea: Diplectanidae). 915 Syst. Parasitol. 63, 127-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-005-9006-6 916
- Vanhove, M.P.M., Pariselle, A., Van Steenberge, M., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Hablützel, P.I., 917 Gillardin, C., Hellemans, B., Breman, F.C., Koblmüller, S., Sturmbauer, C., Snoeks, J., 918 Volckaert, F.A.M., Huyse, T., 2015. Hidden biodiversity in an ancient lake: phylogenetic 919
- congruence between Lake Tanganyika tropheine cichlids and their monogenean 920
- 921 flatworm parasites. Sci. Rep. 5, 13669, 10.1038/srep13669.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13669 922
- Vanhove, M.P.M., Tessens, B., Schoelinck, C., Jondelius, U., Littlewood, D.T.J., Artois, T., 923 924 Huyse, T., 2013. Problematic barcoding in flatworms: A case-study on monogeneans and rhabdocoels (Platyhelminthes). Zookeys 365, 355-379. 925
- https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.365.5776 926
- Vanhove, M.P.M., Volckaert, F.A.M., Pariselle, A., 2011. Ancyrocephalidae (Monogenea) of 927 928 Lake Tanganyika: I: Four new species of Cichlidogyrus from Ophthalmotilapia ventralis (Teleostei: Cichlidae), the first record of this parasite family in the basin. Zool. 28, 253-929 263. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702011000200016 930
- 931 Villar-Torres, M., Repullés-Albelda, A., Montero, F.E., Raga, J.A., Blasco-Costa, I., 2019.
- Neither Diplectanum nor specific: a dramatic twist to the taxonomic framework of 932
- Diplectanum (Monogenea: Diplectanidae). Int. J. Parasitol. 49, 365-374. 933

- 934 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPARA.2018.11.003
- Whittington, I.D., Chisholm, L.A., Rohde, K., 1999. The larvae of Monogenea
 (Platyhelminthes). Adv. Parasitol. 44, 139–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(08)60232-8
- Wickham, H., 2009. ggplot2. Springer, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-981413
- Will, K.W., Rubinoff, D., 2004. Myth of the molecule: DNA barcodes for species cannot
 replace morphology for identification and classification. Cladistics 20, 47–55.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2003.00008.x
- Wu, W., Goodman, M., Lomax, M.I., Grossman, L.I., 1997. Molecular evolution of
 cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV: Evidence for positive selection in simian primates. J.
 Mol. Evol. 44, 477–491. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00006172
- Wu, X.Y., Chilton, N.B., Zhu, X.Q., Xie, M.Q., Li, A.X., 2005. Molecular and morphological
 evidence indicates that *Pseudorhabdosynochus lantauensis* (Monogenea: Diplectanidae)
 represents two species. Parasitology 130, 669–677.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182004007152
- Wu, X.Y., Zhu, X.Q., Xie, M.Q., Li, A.X., 2007. The evaluation for generic-level monophyly
 of Ancyrocephalinae (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) using ribosomal DNA sequence
 data. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44, 530–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.025
- Zahradníčková, P., Barson, M., Luus-Powell, W.J., Přikrylová, I., 2016. Species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) from cichlids from
 Zambezi and Limpopo river basins in Zimbabwe and South Africa: evidence for
 unexplored species richness. Syst. Parasitol. 93, 679–700.
- 957 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-016-9652-x
- 958

959 Funding

- 960 Research was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GBP505/12/G112 ECIP) and
- 961 GA19-13573S), Belgian Science Policy (BELSPO), EMBRC Belgium FWO project
- 962 GOH3817N as well as by a joint program between the Austrian agency for international
- 963 mobility and cooperation in education, science and research and the Ministry of Education,
- 964 Youth and Sports (project number 8J18AT007), the Austrian Agency for International
- 965 Cooperation in Education and Research (OEAD; project number CZ 08/2018; to SK) and the
- 966 Systematics Research Fund (SRF; to SK).

967 Table 1: An overview of host species examined for monogenean parasites with locality and

968 country.

Host species	Locality (geographic coordinates, year)	Locality – subbasins (Danley et al., 2012) or country	Number of fish specimens examined (accession number in RMCA)	Number of infected fish specimens	Number of monogenean individuals*
		Lake	Tanganyika		
L. angustifrons	Mpulungu (08°46'S- 31°07'E, 27.7.1967)	The southern subbasin	1 (MRAC 190480)	1	2
	Mpulungu	The southern	4(-)	1	15
	(12.4.2018) Rumonge (03°58'S- 29°25'E, 30.6.1967)	The northern subbasin	2 (MRAC 94069.0052-53)	2	3
	Sumbu Bay (08°31'S-30°29'E, 31.3.1947)	The southern subbasin	1 (MRAC 90850)	1	1
L. mariae	Bujumbura (03°23'S- 29°22'E, 5.5.1947)	The northern subbasin	5 (MRAC 90908-912)	5	14
	Ilagala (05°12'S- 29°50'E, 20.8.1993)	The northern subbasin	3 (MRAC 93152.0318-20)	2	10
	29°09′E, 12.8.2016)	subbasin	2 (-)	1	1
	Mpulungu (27.7.1967)	The southern subbasin	2 (MRAC 190493-94)	1	3
	Mpulungu (16.4.2018)	The southern	7 (-)	0	0
	Mulembwe (06°07'S 29°16'E, 9.4.2010)	The central subbasin	7 (-)	3	19
	Nyanza (04°20'S-	The northern	1 (MRAC 53738)	1	23
	Rumonge (30.6.1994)	The southern subbasin	1 (MRAC 94069.0067)	0	0
	Sumbu Bay (31.3.1947)	The southern subbasin	2 (MRAC 90878-79)	2	22
L. microlepis	Bujumbura (4.5.1947) Crock Island	The northern subbasin	5 (MRAC 90805-9)	5	24
	(08°42'S-31°07'E, 16.4.2018)	The southern subbasin	8 (-)	2	13
	Edith Bay (06°30'S- 29°55'E, 30.5.1947)	The southern subbasin	3 (MRAC 90833-35)	5	10
	Katukula (08°35'S- 31°10'E, 14.4.2018) Moba Bay	The southern subbasin The central	5 (-)	4	22
	(30.12.1995)	subbasin	2 (MRAC 90725-6)	0	0
	17.4. 2018)	subbasin	13 (-)	6	29
	Nyanza Lac (1.1.1937)	subbasin	703; 53725-29)	11	181
	Sumbu Bay (9.4.1995)	The southern subbasin	3 (MRAC 95096.1192,98,99)	1	1
1	Uvira (03°22' S 29°09'E, 12.8.2016)	The northern subbasin	7 (-)	2	17
L. stappersii	Karala (05°33'S- 29°28'E, 10.4.1947)	The northern subbasin	1 (MRAC P90928)	0	0
	Kasasa (08°31'S- 30°42'E, 6.9.1967)	The southern subbasin	3 (MRAC 190126;35- 6)	0	0
	Mpulungu (12.4.2008)	The southern	3 (-)	0	0
	Mpulungu (6.4.2018)	The southern subbasin	3 (-)	0	0

	Uvira (12.8.2016)	The northern subbasin	28 (-)	0	0
		Othe	er localities		
L. niloticus	Bahr-Sara (08°56'N- 17°58'E, 1 31.3.1965)	Tchad	1 (MRAC 154006)	1	2
	Kisumu, Lake Victoria (00°06'S- 34°45'E, 17.12.1991)	Kenya	3 (MRAC 91104.37- 39)	2	8
	Kossou (07°10'N- 05°20'E, 17.12.1973)	Ivory Coast	5 (MRAC 74014.328- 29; 2755-56)	4	22
	Lake Nasser (24°05'N-33°00'E, 26.2. – 11.3.1984)	Egypt	3 (MRAC 84006.0116-18)	0	0
	Lake Nasser (1.9 30.9.1983)	Egypt	2 (MRAC 83030.0114-15)	1	1
	Luxor market (25°42'N 32°38'E, 24.11.2000)	Egypt	1 (MRAC 190480)	0	0
	Njala, riv. Taja (08°06'N-12°04'e, 12.4.1969)	Sierra Leone	5 (MRAC 73010.7057-61)	1	8
	Nyawiega, Lake Albert (01°28'N- 30°56'E, 21.11 6.12.1989)	Uganda	3 (MRAC 89059.0279)	1	2
	Nzunzu, Lake Albert (01°19'N-30°72'E, 5.46.4.2017)	Uganda	1 (MRAC 2016.036.P)	1	2
	Nzunzu, Lake Albert (5.46.4.2017)	Uganda	11 (MRAC 2016.036.P)	2	18

* Only one gill arch examined in the case of specimens retrieved from the RMCA

Table 2: Comparison of measurements performed on haptoral and genital hardparts of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. reported in Thurston
and Paperna (1969) from Lake Volta, in Ergens (1981) from Cairo and in this study with host species and locality (a – mean value±standard

975 deviation, b – range).

Parameters (µm)	<i>L. niloticus,</i> Lake Volta	<i>L. niloticus</i> , Cairo	L. angustifrons, Lake Tanganyika	<i>L. mariae</i> , Lake Tanganyika	L. microlepis, Lake Tanganyika
Total length	650-1000	-	544,2 (n=1)	522,0±35,4ª (487,4 - 587,3) ^b ; n=11	675,0±66,9 (588,1 - 813,1); n=13
Total width	150-250	-	188,7 (n=1)	178,8±39,5 (115,5 - 243,3); n=11	183,2±15,1 (4,7 - 204,1); n=13
Ventral anchor					
Length to notch	19-20	20-22 (n=3)	20,4 (19,5 - 21,3); n=7	19,3±1,4 (16,3 - 24,4); n=25	18,7±0,9 (16,4 - 21,2); n=61
Total length	70-80	53-57 (n=3)	43,2 (41,4 - 44,1; n=7)	42,6±2,0 (41,1 - 47,2); n=28	43,2±2,4 (40,5 - 48,3); n=62
Length to inner root	-	-	22,8 (20,9 - 24,8); n=7	22,6±1,6 (18,3 - 26,9); n=27	22,2±1,1 (18,7 - 24,9); n=52
Inner root length	10-20	10-12 (n=3)	8,7 (7,2 - 9,8); n=7	9,8±0,8 (7,7 - 11,2); n=28	9,2±1,1 (6,1 - 11,4); n=54
Outer root length	40-60	32-35 (n=3)	22,6 (20,1 - 24,4); n=7	23,7± (19,1 - 27,9); n=24	24,8±2,6 (19,2 - 30,1); n=52
Point length	5-7	9-10 (n=3)	8,2 (7,3 - 9,5); n=6	7,2±1,1 (5,4 - 9,2); n=25	7,0±1,1 (4,9 - 9,0); n=45
Dorsal anchor					
Total length	40-50	44-47 (n=3)	35,3 (32,6 - 36,6); n=6	32,3±2,0 (28,4 - 35,6); n=25	33,4±2,1 (26,0 - 38,6); n=47
Point length	-	9-10 (n=3)	7,4 (6,9 - 8,0); n=6	6,3±0,9 (4,7 - 8,1); n=13	6,0±0,9 (4,1 - 8,2); n=31
Ventral bar					
Straight length	50-60	38-44 (n=3)	44,8 (40,7 - 51,5); n=4	50,8±6,4 (39,2 - 63,3); n=30	46,6±4,5 (36,4 - 55,5); n=58
Maximum width	-	8-11 (n=3)	8,2 (7,6 - 9,2); n=6	11,4±2,1 (7,2 - 15,3); n=27	9,3±1,7 (6,1 - 13,2); n=52
Dorsal bar					
Straight length	35-40	34-38 (n=3)	31,5 (29,9 - 33,5); n=6	37,6±3,9 (29,6 - 46,1); n=29	32,8±3,5 (24,3 - 39,5); n=60
Maximum width	-	9-12 (n=3)	8,9 (7,7 - 9,8); n=5	7,2±1,4 (5,1 - 9,6); n=28	6,5±0,9 (4 - 9,0); n=59
Ventral squamodisc					
Length	30-40	38-44 (n=3)	41,6 (35,2 - 48,6); n=4	38,4±7,6 (32,3 - 57,4); n=15	38,3±6,5 (26,7 - 57,2); n=22
Width	50-70	38-51 (n=3)	47,8 (46,2 - 50,0); n=4	41,9±7,3 (29,8 - 60,4); n=16	42,5±4,4 (33,3 - 53,1); n=22
Dorsal squamodisc					
Length	-	38-44 (n=3)	35,9 (33,6 - 39,6); n=4	34,8±4,8 (29,5 - 43,4); n=6	34,9±5,8 (21,3 - 42,9); n=16
Width	-	38-51 (n=3)	42,9 (41,5 - 45,0); n=4	38,0±7,9 (33,4 - 57,2); n=8	40,4±4,3 (30,0 - 46,2); n=16
Hook			10,5 (9,5 - 11,3); n=4	10,4±0,6 (9,4 - 11,8); n=27	10,0±0,7 (7,9 - 11,7); n=49
Copulatory tube straight length	21-23	-	27,6 (24,5 - 29,2); n=3	33,9±5,2 (24,1 - 43,6); n=20	32,3±3,9 (21,4 - 41,5); n=38

Copulatory tube width	-	-	20,6 (19,6 - 21,3); n=3	20,6±4,0 (13,5 - 29,1); n=22	33,4±2,1 (26,0 - 38,6); n=47
Vagina	Not reported	Not reported	Reported in 0 out of 5 available	Reported in 2 out of 24 available	Reported in 0 out of 38 available
			specimens	specimens	specimens
Total length	-	-	-	22,1±0,8 (21,5 - 22,6); n=2	-
Tube length	-	-	-	5±0,6 (4,6 - 5,4); n=2	-
Point length	-	-	-	6±0,3 (5,8 - 6,2); n=2	-
Eyes spots					
Smaller pair distance	-	-	44,2 (40,0 - 48,4); n=2	37,3±6,3 (30,0 - 50,0); n=14	-
Larger pair distance	-	-	42,6 (42,2 - 43,0); n=2	32,9±5,1 (26,8 - 46,7); n=14	-
Pharynx length	-	-	-	34,3±9,9 (22,9 - 53,0); n=8	-
Testes					
Length	-	-	-	94,3 (n=1)	-
Width	-	-	-	42,7±13,9 (29,7 - 78,1); n=13	-
Ovary width	-	-	-	31,0±10,9 (31,0 - 64,6); n=14	-

Parameters (µm)	L. niloticus, Lake Albert1	L. niloticus, Lake Albert2	L. niloticus, Lake Victoria	L. niloticus, river Taja	L. niloticus, Lake Kossou
Total length	736,5±115,3ª (644,1 -	553,1±52,1(497,2 - 600,3); n=3	537,9±34,8 (509,2 - 576,6);	662,1±113,8 (510,9 - 787,0);	574,7±22,2 (550,3 - 593,7); n=3
Total width	$931,3)^{b}$; n=5 282 0+57 6 (101 4 333 0):	$1828 \pm 469(1517)2367) \cdot n=3$	n=3	n=5 185 0+56 4 (141 7 277 8)	$217.3\pm13.6(208.3-233.0)$; n=3
i otai wiutii	n=5 $n=5$	182,8±40,9 (131,7 - 230,7), 11-5	n=3 $(210,0-235,0),$	n=5 $n=5$	217,3±13,0 (208,5 - 255,0), II-5
Ventral anchor					
Length to notch	21,1±1,1 (19,3 - 23,1); n=18	19,3±1,2 (18,2 - 20,6); n=3	20,5±0,6 (19,7 - 21,1); n=4	17,1±0,7 (16,2 - 18,1); n=6	20,9±1,0 (19,5 - 23,1); n=10
Total length	53,4±2,9 (50,0 - 66,4); n=18	42,1±2,1 (40,2 - 44,0); n=3	43,1±1,4 (42,7 - 45,8); n=5	34,4±1,2 (32,8 - 36,5); n=6	43,9±1,8 (40,5 - 45,9); n=10
Length to inner root	24,7±1,9 (21,0 - 29,4)	23,8±0,8 (22,9 - 24,3); n=3	24,9±1,4 (23,3 - 25,8); n=3	21,0±1,1 (19,6 - 22,5); n=5	23,7±1,2 (21,4 - 25,2); n=8
Inner root length	11,3±0,7 (10 -12,9); n=18	10,7±1,0 (9,8 - 11,8); n=3	10,5±0,9 (9,5 - 11,5)	8,9±0,7 (8,1 - 9,7); n=6	10,0±0,9 (8,0 - 11,1); n=10
Outer root length	32,5±3,3 (29,7 - 43,2); n=18	23,3±1,6 (22,0 - 25,0); n=3	24,1±1,5 (22,7 - 25,7); n=3	17,5±13,4 (15,6 - 19,4); n=6	23,5±1,3 ((21,5 - 25,7); n=10
Point length	7,9±1,1 (6 - 9,4); n=18	8,5±0,7 (7,9 - 9,3); n=3	9,5±0,9 (8,8 - 10,7)	7,4±1,1 (5,6 - 8,3); n=5	8,0±1,3 (5,4 - 9,6); n=9
Dorsal anchor					
Total length	47,3±4,0 (42,7 - 60,2); n=17	39,0±1,0 (37,6 - 39,9); n=3	40,7±0,4 (40,2 - 41,0); n=3	28,1±1,0 (27,0 - 29,6); n=6	39,9±1,8 (36,7 - 42,4); n=10
Point length	7,9±1,0 (5,9 - 9,2); n=12	7,7±1,2 (6,8 - 8,5); n=2	8,9±0,6 (8,6 - 9,6); n=3	6,6±1,4 (4,8 - 8,6); n=5	7,8±0,6 (7,3 - 8,5); n=3
Ventral bar					
Straight length	72,6±4,8 (61,5 - 78,8); n=16	59,1±11,5 (52,2 - 72,4); n=3	45,8±6,4 (36,5 - 50,0); n=4	40,0±0,9 (39,2 - 40,5); n=2	50,1±1,6 (47,8 - 52,3); n=7
Maximum width	18,5±1,9 (13,5 - 21,0); n=11	15,3±0,4 (15,0 - 15,6); n=2	15,3 (n=1)	10,2±2,7 (8,3 - 12,1); n=2	13,2±1,4 (11,2 - 14,7); n=7

Dorsal bar					
Straight length	55,4±3,2 (47,6 - 59,9); n=17	41,0±2,1 (39,5 - 42,4); n=2	39,0±2,8 (36,7 - 42,1); n=3	30,0±2,9 (26,1 - 33,5); n=6	37,4±2,5 (32,7 - 40,6); n=10
Maximum width	18,4±2,3 (13,5 - 22,0); n=15	16,5±2,7 (13,8 - 19,6); n=4	7,4±0,5 (6,9 - 7,8); n=3	6,3±2,1 (4,3 - 9,8); n=5	9,3±2,7 (6,5 - 13,9); n=8
Ventral squamodisc					
Length	64,1±7,2 (51,8 - 80,4); n=14	38,4 (n=1)	35,0±2,8 (32,4 - 39,6); n=5	32,1±5,7 (27,9 - 38,6); n=3	34,6±4,4 (29,8 - 38,6); n=3
Width	78,3±13,0 (62,5 - 118,4); n=14	44,0 (n=1)	51,8±3,5 (48,1 - 55,9); n=5	36,4±6,4 (31,5 - 43,7); n=3	50,9±3,0 (47,5 - 52,9); n=3
Dorsal squamodisc					
Length	61,4±11,1 (45,4 - 85,6); n=11	39,0±1,8 (36,9 - 40,1); n=3	32,0±2,4 (29,6 - 35,7); n=5	24,3±2,8 (21,3 - 26,8); n=3	35,3±4,3 (30,3 - 40,1); n=4
Width	63,9±6,3 (49,9 - 71,2); n=11	48,8±10,5 (42,3 - 60,9); n=3	42,9±3,5 (38,8 - 46,9); n=5	24,9±0,8 (24,2 - 25,8); n=3	41,9±2,4 (38,4 - 43,5); n=4
Hook	11,2±0,8 (10,3 - 14,0); n=18	10,4±1,0 (9,3 - 11,0); n=3	11,4± (11,0 - 12,2); n=4	9,7±0,7 (8,4 - 10,3); n=6	11,3±0,9 (10,1 - 13,2); n=11
Copulatory tube straight length	58,3±8,8 (44,5 - 83,1); n=18	44,3±3,8 (40,8 - 49,5); n=4	43,7±11,8 (36,1 - 64,6); n=5	27,3±2,9 (24,2 - 31,2); n=4	35,5±4,8 (29,2 - 42,0); n=6
Copulatory tube width	36,2±4,3 (27,2 - 44,5); n=18	27,6±5,3 (21,0 - 33,2); n=4	26,1± (24,5 - 28,0); n=5	26,1±3,6 (21,2 - 30); n=4	22,1±2,3 (18,2 - 24,5); n=6
Vagina	Reported in 18 out of 18 available specimens	Reported in 4 out of 4 available specimens	Reported in 1 out of 6 available specimens	Reported in 0 out of 6 available specimens	Reported in 3 out of 11 available specimens
Total length	41,1±4,5 (34,0 - 47,3); n=14	35,7±5,6 (30,1 - 41,3); n=3	-	-	-
Tube length	7,8±1,1 (4,8 - 9,3); n=14	6,9±1,4 (5,3 - 7,9); n=3	6,7 (n=1)	-	-
Point length	7,0±0,7 (6,0 - 8,5); n=16	8,0±1,1 (6,7 - 8,7); n=3	8.9 (n=1)	-	-
Eyes spots					
Smaller pair distance	56,7±10,2 (42,8 - 80,4); n=16	-	-	-	-
Larger pair distance	47,2±8,5 (34,9 - 64,6); n=17	-	-	-	-
Pharynx length	56,3±11,0 (39,9 - 73,2); n=10	-	-	-	-
Testes		-	-		-
Length	102,1±46,7 (57,9 - 150,9); n=3	-	-	-	-
Width	70,3±16,2 (50,5 - 103,2); n=9	-	-	-	-
Ovary width	72,7±11,1 (50,7 - 88,9); n=9	-	-	-	-

Table 3: Genetic intraspecific variability indices in a 412 bp portion of COI mtDNA region of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov.

	Maximum uncorrected p-distance (number of individuals)	Nucleotide diversity	Haplotype diversity	Number of polymorphic sites
Lake Albert	1.2% (14)	0.0036+/-0.0026	0.8022+/-0.0936	6
Lake Tanganyika	0.7% (38)	0.0019+/-0.0016	0.5747+/-0.0713	4

984 Figure captions







Figure 2: Localities with confirmed presence of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. Star

- 996 localities sampled for the original description of *D. lacustre* by Thurston & Paperna, 1969,
- triangle locality documented by Ergens, 1981, circle localities sampled in this study. M –
- 998 Mpulungu, C Crocodile Island, K Katukula. Colours denote host species: black *Lates*
- 999 *niloticus*, blue (number 2) *L. angustifrons*, green (number 1) *L. mariae*, red (number 3)–
- 1000 L. microlepis. Map created using SimpleMappr software v7.0.0. (available at
- 1001 http://www.simplemappr.net. Accessed February 25, 2018).



Figure 3: *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. collected from *Lates niloticus* in Lake Albert.
Specimen drawn from the ventral view. e, eye spots; i, intestine; mg, Mehlis' glands; o, ovary;
oö, oötype; ov, oviduct; p, pharynx; pr, prostatic reservoir; sv, seminal vesicle; t, testes; u,
uterus; v, vittelaria; vd, vas deferens, A, ventral anchor; B, dorsal anchor; C, male copulatory

1007 organ; D, vagina, E, ventral bar; F, dorsal bar; G, hook; H, ventral squamodisc; I, dorsal
1008 squamodisc.



1010 Figure 4: Haptoral and male genital sclerotised structures of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. 1011 nov. from different host species and localities collected in this study (scale bars A-F: 25 µm; G-N: 10 µm). A) Opisthaptor, L. niloticus in Lake Albert B) Opisthaptor, L. niloticus in Taja 1012 1013 River C) Opisthaptor, L. microlepis in Lake Tanganyika D) Opisthaptor, L. niloticus in Lake Victoria E) Opisthaptor, L. niloticus in Lake Kossou F) Opisthaptor, L. mariae in Lake 1014 Tanganyika G) Male copulatory organ, L. niloticus in Lake Albert H) Male copulatory organ, 1015 1016 L. mariae in Lake Tanganyika I) Male copulatory organ, L. niloticus in Lake Kossou J) Sclerotised vagina, L. mariae in Lake Tanganyika K) Sclerotised vagina, L. niloticus in Lake 1017 Albert L) Male copulatory organ, L. niloticus in Taja River M) Male copulatory organ, L. 1018 1019 microlepis N) Sclerotised vagina, L. niloticus in Lake Victoria. Pictures were stacked.



- Figure 5: Sclerotised structures of *Dolicirroplectanum penangi* comb. nov.. A) Ventral anchor
 (MNHN HEL1086), Hainan, China B) Male copulatory organ (USNPC 180-A 6), Zhanjiang,
- 1023 China. Scale bar: 20 µm; several layers in the picture were combined.



1024

1025 Figure 6: Bayesian inference phylogram based on 28S fragments from 33 haplotypes of

1026 different diplectanid species. Posterior probabilities for Bayesian inference (before slashes)

1027 and bootstrap percentages for maximum likelihood (behind slashes) are shown. The values

1028 lower than 90 of posterior probability and 80 for maximum likelihood are marked with an

1029 asterisk. The clade containing two lineages of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. is

1030 boxed. The scale-bar indicates the expected number of substitutions per site.



Figure 7: Bayesian inference phylogram based on 18S fragments from 15 haplotypes of
different diplectanid species. Posterior probabilities for Bayesian inference (before slashes)
and bootstrap percentages for maximum likelihood (behind slashes) are shown. The values
lower than 90 of posterior probability and 80 for maximum likelihood are marked with an
asterisk. The clade containing two lineages of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. is
boxed. The scale-bar indicates the expected number of substitutions per site.



Figure 8: Morphometric variability of haptoral structures of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb.
nov. A) biplot of PCA (first two axes) based on measurements of haptoral sclerotized structures.

B) Density plots depicting the total size of the dorsal anchor. Colours and signs denote hostspecies and locality of specimens.





1044 Figure 9: Haplotype network of *Dolicirroplectanum lacustre* comb. nov. COI sequences (n =

- 1045 52). The circles represent different haplotypes with the size proportional to the number of
- 1046 individuals sharing this haplotype. Haplotypes are connected with lines, indicating the number
- 1047 of substitutions between haplotypes. Colours correspond to A) the host species and B)
- 1048 geographic origin (subbasins in Lake Tanganyika).