# Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Functional Foreign Accent Syndrome in suspected Conversion Disorder: A case study

Peer-reviewed author version

Keulen, S.; Marien, P.; VAN DUN, Kim; D'aes, T.; de Page, L.; de Vroege, L.; Van Schuerbeek, P.; Raeymaekers, H.; De Mey, J.; Bastiaanse, R.; Van der Feltz-Cornelis, C.; Paquier, P.; Van Overwalle, F. & Verhoeven, J. (2021) Functional Foreign Accent Syndrome in suspected Conversion Disorder: A case study. In: The European journal of psychiatry, 35 (1), p. 46 -55.

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejpsy.2020.08.002 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/33499

1	
2	Functional Foreign Accent Syndrome in suspected Conversion
3	Disorder: a case study
4	
5	Stefanie Keulen <sup>1,2</sup> , Peter Mariën <sup>1,3</sup> , Kim van Dun <sup>4</sup> , Tine D'aes <sup>5</sup> , Louis de Page <sup>5</sup> ,
6	Lars de Vroege <sup>6,7</sup> , Peter Van Schuerbeek <sup>8</sup> , Hubert Raeymaekers <sup>8</sup> , Johan De Mey <sup>8</sup> ,
7	Roelien Bastiaanse <sup>2,9</sup> , Christina van der Feltz-Cornelis <sup>10</sup> , Philippe Paquier <sup>1,11,12</sup> ,
8	Frank Van Overwalle <sup>13</sup> , Jo Verhoeven <sup>14,15</sup> .
9	
10	1. Centre for Linguistics (Clinical and Experimental Neurolinguistics), Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
11	2. Center for Language and Cognition (CLCG), University of Groningen, The Netherlands 3 7NA Middelheim General Hospital (Antwerp) Relation
13	4. Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Universiteit Hasselt, Belgium
14	5. Department of Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
15 16	6. Clinical Centre of Excellence for Body, Mind and Health, GGz Breburg, The Natherlands
17	7. Department Tranzo, Tilburg School of Behavioral and Social Sciences,
18	Tilburg University, The Netherlands
19 20	8. Department of radiology, UZ Brussels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
20	9. Center for Language and Brain, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia
22	10. Department of Health Sciences, HYMS, YBRI. University of York, York, United Kingdom
23	11. Department of Translational Neurosciences, University of Antwerp, Belgium
24 25	12. Hopital Erasme, Universite Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium 13. Experimental and Applied Psychology. Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
26	14. Department of Language and Communication Science, City, University of London, United Kingdom
27	15. Computational Linguistics and Psycholinguistics Research Center, University of Antwerp, Belgium
28	
29	Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Stefanie Keulen, Center for Clinical and Experimental Neurolinguistics, Centre
30 31	stefanie.keulen@vub.be
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
24	
36	
37	
51	
38	
•	
39	
40	
41	
41	
12	
+ <i>L</i>	
43	
-	
44	

45	
46	
47	Abstract
48	
49	Background and objectives
50	We provide a case analysis for a 28-year-old, native Dutch-speaking lady who developed Foreign
51	Accent Syndrome (FAS), a few weeks after falling down the staircase. In addition to FAS, which
52	gave the impression she spoke with a German accent, German(-like) words and structures
53	occurred. Speech symptoms were aggravated by increased stress, fatigue or emotional pressure,
54	and this triggered jargon speech. It was hypothesized her FAS and jargon developed on a
55	functional basis.
56	Methods
57	An in-depth analysis of the patient's medical background, neuropsychological and neurolinguistic
58	tests and psychodiagnostic exams were done. The patient participated in an fMRI experiment. In
59	a syllable repetition paradigm, motor speech activations were compared to those of healthy
60	individuals, to see whether they were altered, which would be expected in case of a neurological
61	etiology.
62	Results
63	Medical history disclosed prior traumatic experiences for which she sought help, but no
64	neurological incidents. Repeated neuropsychological and neurolinguistic tests showed deficits in
65	recent memory and executive functioning. The patient demonstrated great difficulties with picture
66	naming. Clinically, language switching and mixing as well as recurring jargon speech was found.
67	Formal psychodiagnostic tests did not identify a clear disorder, but psychodiagnostic interviews
68	were consistent with a DSM-5 conversion disorder. The fMRI study demonstrated that speech
69	network activations corresponded to those found in healthy participants.
70	Conclusion
71	The clinical neurolinguistic characteristics, outcome of the fMRI experiment, together with the
72	clinical psychodiagnostic findings were strongly indicative for an underlying functional etiology
73	for the FAS and jargon speech, presenting as symptoms of conversion disorder.
74	
75	
76	
77	Keywords: foreign accent syndrome, FAS, language mixing, language switching,
78	jargon, fMRI, speech, accent, functional disorder, conversion disorder.
79	

#### 80 **1. Introduction**

81

82 Healthy polyglot individuals automatically use the correct language depending on the 83 communicative/pragmatic context. Language or code mixing (e.g. within utterance 84 mixing of different languages) and switching (i.e. between languages or codes in 85 alternating utterances) can occur naturally when speakers are in the process of acquiring 86 a new language, such as in young bilingual or polyglot children (1, 2), but also in 87 adolescent and adult language learners, in the course of the acquisition process, as 88 mentioned by Duran (3). In all these cases, language mixing and switching can be 89 consequence of failed neural suppression of the language that was not targeted. In terms 90 of choice for one or the other language in bilinguals, Abutalebi and Green (4) use the term 91 "lexical competition" to refer to an ongoing "competition" between the L1 and L2, and 92 the related control phenomena that are decisive in the selection process. They (see also 93 Abutalebi and Perani (5)), as well as Hernandez (6) mention that the efficiency of 94 inhibition mechanisms depends on several factors including the degree of proficiency, 95 age of acquisition and computational load of the languages. They are hypothesized to 96 influence the strength of the induced plastic changes in the neural networks in the brain 97 subserving for instance cognitive control mechanisms, including language inhibition. 98 Failed inhibition in language learners will not be interpreted as "pathological": the errors 99 can be explained within the dynamic framework of the above-mentioned parameters.

Pathological language mixing and switching typically refers to the process of blended language use in bilingual or polyglot aphasic patients (7,8,9). Some researchers have argued that the basal ganglia play a crucial role in both language switching and mixing (8,10), whereas others have argued that switching is mainly subserved by frontal circuits (11,12) and mixing by the temporo-parietal lobe (11).

Although typically described in the context of aphasia (e.g. 8), pathological 106 language mixing and switching has been observed in a handful of cases with Foreign 107 Accent Syndrome (FAS). One example is a 51-year-old woman with conversion disorder 108 described by Verhoeven et al. (13) who switched between Dutch and French irregularly. 109 Her Dutch lexicon, syntax and the pragmatics of her native tongue were all influenced by 110 French. For instance, word order was French instead of Dutch. The case described by 111 Reeves and Norton (14) (see also Reeves et al. (15)) suffered schizophrenia, and the 112 patient switched from American English to a distinguished, formal register in British 113 English whenever exacerbations were uncontrolled due to withdrawal from medication. 114 In these cases, pathological language mixing and switching occurred within the context 115 of an underlying psychiatric disorder. Nevertheless, these patients remained intelligible. 116 So far, there has only been one report, by Gurnani and Horwitz (16), of a case in which a 117 39-year-old woman produced "non-sensical speech" in the context of a FAS (the acquired 118 accent was qualified as "Ukrainian", although the mother tongue was not reported).

119 Jargon speech is usually associated with acquired aphasia (e.g. 17,18). Rohrer et 120 al. (18) state jargon can in that case be semantic (language devoid of content), phonemic 121 (production of words phonemically related to the target) or neologistic in nature (words 122 and nonwords, semantically and phonemically not related to the target word). In these 123 cases, the jargon aphasia has been suggested to be caused by a resource limitation deficit, 124 a comprehension deficit, a feedback or production deficit, or a verbal monitoring deficit 125 depending on the specific case presentation (19). In contrast to "schizophasia" or the 126 "meaningless mixture of words and phrases characteristic of advanced schizophrenia" 127 (20, p. 201) that can wax and wane, patients suffering from jargon aphasia retain 128 persistent expressive problems (18). The language disorder does not fluctuate and the 129 neurological symptoms will demonstrate a more linear resolution in case of remission.

Here we present a detailed work-up of 28-year-old female patient who presented with a
sudden change of accent, which from time-to-time evolved to an unintelligible
phonological jargon, in the absence of structural neurological damage.

133

134 **2.** Case study

## 135 2.1. Medical history

136

137 The patient is a 28-year-old, monolingual female native speaker of Dutch from 138 the Netherlands, with monolingual Dutch-speaking parents and an educational level of 139 13 years. At school, she studied English for five years, received one year of French and 140 two years of German language instruction. She worked as an ancillary nurse in a hospital. 141 Her medical antecedents consisted of asthma and migraine. There was no indication of 142 alcohol or drug abuse. She had previously experienced two miscarriages and was in the 16<sup>th</sup> week of her first pregnancy when she developed FAS a few weeks after falling down 143 144 a staircase (June, 2013). The fall did not impact the health of her child. Her proxies 145 perceived her as a non-native speaker of Dutch, initially with a Turkish or Moroccan 146 accent, later Belgian Dutch, the Dutch variant spoken in the northern part of Belgium.

147 Clinical neurological examination, laboratory tests and neuroradiological (MRI) 148 investigations carried out after the fall were normal. A series of psychosocial stress factors 149 were noted: the patient mentioned persistent emotional difficulties as a child after the 150 death of her mother from a brain hemorrhage. She admitted to have suffered from this 151 early loss for which she had been treated by a medical psychologist for a suspected post-152 traumatic stress disorder after her mother's death but could not remember the duration.

153 The patient consulted the hospital in November 2014, some six months after the 154 fall, and complained about headaches, tension, stress, disoriented thoughts and behavior 155 as well as attention difficulties. She mentioned that her accent was often perceived as

Belgian Dutch, French or German. Occasionally, language mixing occurred (German), especially when she was stressed and she "could not cope with the large number of external stimuli". The impact of stressors was very clear during the intake interview. When speech-language therapists entered the consultation room to attend the session, the patient's speech deteriorated significantly and FAS symptoms aggravated. She developed a Belgian Dutch accent, then started to mix German and Dutch words and expressions, e.g. "*Danke, danke sehr*, dokter" ("Thanks, many thanks, doctor").

163 She claimed that she had had no regular contact with speakers of Belgian Dutch. 164 However, her sister-in-law was German. Following the accent change, she developed 165 loose thoughts and visual hallucinations which lasted for an unspecified number of weeks. 166 She mentioned that she had also suffered from severely disoriented behavior which arose 167 for the first time approximately two weeks after the fall. This distraught behavior 168 continued throughout her pregnancy, and according to the patient, it was the result of 169 increased stress and severe anxiety which she related to the death of her mother at a young 170 age. The patient's husband volunteered the same information in a separate interview. 171 These behaviors were highly egodystonic and provoked a fear of insanity, also referred to in the literature as "phrenophobia" (21). The patient mentioned that she had not 172 173 benefitted from previous psychological therapy and that she had never received 174 medication to cope with her symptoms. At the time the patient visited the neurology 175 department she was implicated in a disability litigation.

176

After the development of the current symptoms, she tried to continue her work but she could not concentrate long enough to stay in the profession. At the time of consultation, the patient parented her daughter (1,5 years old) and managed the household. She mentioned that she was easily over-aroused physically. During a last contact with the patient in April 2020, she mentioned that cognitive-behavioral therapy

- (CBT), acceptance and commitment therapy helped her, though not to such extent that she had no more episodes of accent change or jargon, or that episodes were reduced in duration. However, she felt she was more in control of her life by having learnt to set and communicate her boundaries. The patient mentioned she now avoided crowded places, and that the stress she experienced put pressure on her relationship.
- 187

## 188 2.2. Neuropsychological investigations

- 189 **Table 2.1.**
- 190 Overview of the neuropsychological test results of the patient at four instances.
- 191 Legend: BNT= Boston Naming Test (Kaplan et al., 1983); CAT-NL= Comprehensive
- 192 Aphasia Test-NL (Visch-Brink et al., 2014); Pc.= percentile; RBANS= Repeated Battery
- 193 for the Assessment of Neuropsychologial Status (Randolph et al., 1998); RAVLT= Rey
- 194 Auditory Verbal Learning Test (Rey, 1964); SD= standard deviation; SS= Scaled Score,
- 195 TMT= Trail Making Test (Reitan, 1958); TOMM= Test of Memory Malingering
- 196 (Tombaugh, 1996); WAIS-IV-NL= Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale IV, Dutch version
- 197 (Kooij and Dek, 2012); WCST= Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (Heaton et al., 2003);

198 WMS-R= Wechsler Memory Scale Revised (Wechsler, 1987)

Neurocognitive tests	03/11/2014	05/06/2015	24/4/2016	06/05/2016	MEAN (±1SD)
	(raw score or SS)	(raw score or	(raw score or	(raw score or	
	SD or Pc	SS) SD or Pc	SS) SD or Pc	SS) SD or Pc	
Intelligence					
WAIS-IV-NL					
Verbal comprehension	(83) -1.13 SD				100 (±15)
Perceptual reasoning	(85) - 1 SD				100 (±15)
Working memory	(92) - 0.53 SD			22	100 (±15)
Processing speed	(84) - 1.07 SD			76	100 (±15)
Total IQ	(81) - 1.27 SD				100 (±15)
Memory					
WMS-R					
Attention	(94) -0.4 SD	(87) -0.9 SD	(95) -0.3 SD		100 (±15)

Visual memory	Functio	nal FAS in ( $(104) \pm 0.3$ SD	Conversion I	Disorder	100 (+15)
Visital memory	(04) -1.1 SD	$(10+) \pm 0.5 \text{ SD}$	(109) +0.0 SD		100 (±15)
Concerct memory	(96) -0.5 SD	(70) -1.0 SD	(02) 0.5 SD		100 (±15)
General memory	(91) -0.6 SD	(82) -1.2 SD	(92) -0.5 SD		100 (±15)
Recent memory	(70) -2.0 SD	(76) -1.6 SD	(76) -1.6 SD		100 (±15)
RBANS					
Immediate memory	(83) -1.1 SD			(83) -1.1 SD	100 (±15)
Recent memory	(56) -2.9 SD			(52) -3.2 SD	100 (±15)
RAVLT					
Total learning score				(30) pc 1	
Recall				(2) pc 2	
Recognition				(22/30)	
TOMM (memory				48/50	
malingering)					
Executive functioning					
and attention					
TMT A	(29") pc 70			(36") pc 14	
TMT B	(42") pc >90			(67") pc 46	
Stroop test: Card 1	(48") pc 40			(66") pc 1	
Stroop test: Card 2	(55") pc 60			(79") pc 1	
Stroop test: Card 3	(80") pc 70			(107") pc 58	
d2-test					
Tn	(393) -1.2 SD		(546) +0.8 SD		
Tn-E	(371) -1.3 SD		(519) -0.79 SD		
СР	(146) -1.5 SD		(211) +0.05 SD		
WCST	(6) +0.38 SD				5.58 (±1.1)
RBANS	(97) -0.2 SD		(88) -0.8 SD		100 (±15)
Verbal fluency	(70) -2.0 SD				60.2 (±21.28)
Language					
BNT	(41/60) -3.19 SD	(44/60)-2.4 SD	(28/60) -6.7 SD		52.8 (±3.7)
RBANS	(108) +0.5 SD		(101) +0.1 SD		100 (±15)
Visuospatial skills					
RBANS	(100) ±0.0 SD		(96) -0.3 SD		100 (±15)
Language					
Aachener Aphasia Test					
(Dutch)					
Comprehension					
Auditory - Words	(24/30)				
Auditory - Sentences	(28/30)				
Reading - Words	(21/30)				
Reading - Sentences	(29/30)				

Token Test

<u>Legend</u>: BNT= Boston Naming Test (22); CAT-NL= Comprehensive Aphasia Test-NL
(23); Pc.= percentile; RBANS= Repeated Battery for the Assessment of
Neuropsychological Status (24); RAVLT= Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (25); SD=
standard deviation; SS= Scaled Score, TMT= Trail Making Test (26); TOMM= Test of
Memory Malingering (27); WAIS-IV-NL= Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale IV, Dutch
version (28); WCST= Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (29); WMS-R= Wechsler Memory
Scale Revised (30).

208

209 Overall, mnestic capacities tested via the WMS-R remained stable over 210 approximately 1,5 years, with relatively intact attention, and visual and verbal memory. 211 Recent memory proved affected over three testing sessions. This was confirmed via 212 testing on the R-BANS which showed severely impaired functioning. The patient scored 213 remarkably worse on the TMT A & B and Stroop tasks (cards 1 to 3) during her fourth 214 and last repeat neuropsychological investigation: whereas initially scores were largely 215 within an acceptable range (except for Stroop card 2) in 2014, this was no longer the case 216 in 2016. Scores on the d2-test (31), a measure of visual attention, concentration and 217 inhibition, were (borderline) moderate in 2014. In terms of language assessment, visual 218 naming (BNT) was severely impaired over three test sessions, with a very remarkable 219 regression during the test session of April 2016, where she obtained only 28/60 (-6.7 SD). 220 Errors (predominantly) consisted of neologistic (e.g. "escalator" was referred to as "wheel 221 chair staircase"), semantic (e.g. "lion" instead of "sphinx") and visual (e.g. "branch" 222 instead of "asparagus") mistakes. The CAT-NL revealed a weak performance on the oral 223 picture description: grammar and syntax were severely impaired. During her last testing

- session, issues were hence very specifically situated in the area of recent memory,
- attention, executive functioning, and naming and narrative skills.

226

- 227 **2.3.** *Psychodiagnostic examinations*
- 228

229 In December 2014, the patient underwent a psychodiagnostic assessment in the 230 neurolinguistics and neuropsychology department of the hospital where she was initially 231 seen as a part of the work-up. The tests consisted of the Minnesota Multiphasic 232 Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2, 32), NEO-FFI (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness 233 - Five Factor Inventory, 33), the Defense Style Questionnaire-60 (DSQ; 34), the 234 Supernormality Scale (SS; 35), the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI; 36), the 235 Depressive Experience Questionnaire (DEQ; 37), the List of Indeterminate 236 Psychopathology (LIPP; 38), Rotter Incomplete Sentence Blanks (RISB; 39), as well as 237 an exhaustive psychological examination (both individually and conjointly with her 238 husband).

239 Her overall personality profile (MMPI, DSQ, DEQ) revealed a sensitive, peoplepleasing, dependent personality without any indications for a psychotic structure or 240 241 symptoms. On the contrary, there were more indications for a stable neurotic personality 242 organization (40). The test results could not account for the psychotic behavior that had 243 been noted in past. Malingering tests (the SS, LIPP and MMPI-2 validity indices) 244 revealed a slight tendency for a naive positive self-presentation, but without significant 245 effects on test results. The BDI-2, DSQ-60 and DEQ were presented twice (with a 2-week 246 interval) to check for consistency in response patterns.

Individuals with similar profiles (Within Normal Limit, or "WNL"-profile with a
3-6-0 pattern) are described as normal individuals, experiencing "transient situational
distress" (41). Their mood is described as stable and happy (as reflected in a BDI-2 total

250 score of 3 out 63). The patient did no longer mention any cognitive issues herself, 251 although these were formally identified in neurocognitive testing. Although these codetypes are associated with reported transient psychotic symptoms, it remains unclear 252 253 whether her low scores warrant this association. The profiles did not suggest a strong 254 potential for somatization or for a conversion disorder. Nevertheless, clear indications were found for a dependent personality. Through analysis of quantitative tests results, 255 256 projective tests (RISB) and the clinical examination, the neurotic personality organization 257 proved to be arguably immature.

258

259 It is important to mention that the test results did not allow a clear interpretation. 260 The face-to-face examination, however, was more informative. During the first interview, the accent was subtle, only slightly noticeable when technical or peripheral topics were 261 262 discussed, but when the conversation touched upon affective topics, her accent and her speech distorted rapidly. It changed back to normal when less emotional issues were 263 264 discussed. During the interviews, she was pleasant, polite and very open. Although she 265 did not recognize any psychopathology, suffering or mental pain at first, she (through a 266 discussion with her partner) recognized her tendency to occult, repress and split affective 267 experience roughly, and nearly dissociate when negative feelings became too threatening. 268 She appeared interested in the assessment and remained hopeful about its results. When the feedback was provided (the same day) her foreign accent returned almost 269 270 immediately. By changing the topic of the conversation and the number of individuals 271 present during the conversation (her partner, staff) - and hence varying the emotional 272 pressure, cognitive load and fatigue - quantitative distortions in her accent could be 273 triggered quite easily until a jargon-like speech remained. It took significantly longer for 274 the accent to disappear again.

In 2015, the patient was examined again. The psychiatric evaluation yielded no 277 signs of a depressive disorder, anxiety disorder or obsessive-compulsive disorder, and no 278 symptoms of a post-traumatic disorder or dissociative disorder were found. Additional 279 information revealed a very strained relationship with her stepmother following the death 280 of her mother. Psychosomatically, a change of accent was very noticeable: it ranged from Belgian Dutch to (flawed) German. This accent changed as a result of increased fatigue. 281 282 Therefore, a diagnosis of FAS seemed appropriate at the time of psychiatric evaluation. 283 Presentation was consistent with a DSM-5 (42) classification of "conversion disorder" 284 with speech symptoms.

285

#### 2.4. Phonetic description of speech 286

287

288 We recorded two spontaneous speech samples: one with accented spontaneous 289 speech and one with (phonological) jargon. There are various aspects of the patient's 290 speech which could account for the perception of a German accent. As far as the fricatives 291 are concerned, the patient sometimes articulated the Dutch alveolar fricatives (/s/ and /z/) as postalveolar /[/ (eg. [zwarə] "heavy" is pronounced as [[wa:rə], [slapə] "to sleep" is 292 293 pronounced as [[la:pə]). The occurrence of the voiceless postalveolar fricative as the first element in word-initial consonant clusters (e.g. [[wa:rə]]) and in word-final position (e.g. 294 295 [alə[]) is a feature of German, not Dutch. Another factor contributing to the perception 296 of a German accent is the devoicing of the labiodental fricative /v/ to /f/ (e.g. [fe<sup>j</sup>]], 297 "much"), the alveolar fricative  $\frac{z}{to}$  (e.g. [se<sup>j</sup>nə], "his") and the velar fricative  $\frac{y}{to}$ 298 /x/ (e.g. [xəvalə], "to fall + past tense"). Although the devoicing of fricatives is very 299 common in Belgian Dutch (43) this feature is consistent with the perception of a German 300 foreign accent.

In terms of the vowels, there are two relevant observations. First, the patient often adds sjwa to the end of words and this may change the Dutch word into a German word (e.g. Dutch [zon] becomes German [zonə], "sun"). Secondly, it should be pointed out that the pronunciation of the diphthong [ɛɪ] has an onset which is too posterior and too open so that it sounds like [ɑɪ] (e.g. [mɛɪn] becomes [mɑɪn], "mine"). This no doubt also contributes to the perception of a German accent.

307

### 308 2.5 Functional MRI

309

310 To study the neurological substrates of the patient's speech, functional MRI 311 (fMRI) was carried out. The protocol was adapted from Brendel et al. (44) and consisted 312 of one 30-minute run during which three conditions were presented in pseudo-313 randomized order: "pa-ta-ka" (=planning), "ta-ta-ta" (=no planning), and a visual control 314 condition without articulation (=baseline). All three conditions consisted of a 2-second 315 information screen showing which task should be performed ("pa-ta-ka", "ta-ta-ta", no 316 articulation), a 2-second preparatory visual cue (black cross in the center of a white 317 screen) and a 4-second window consisting of 10 flickering crosses at 2.5 Hz, or a 1.6-318 second window consisting of 4 flickering crosses at 2.5Hz. The patient was asked to 319 repeat the syllables "ta-ta-ta" or "pa-ta-ka" synchronously with the flickering cross. The first flicker was the "GO"-signal. The stimuli were presented to the patient on a screen. 320 321 The patient received articulation training before scanning in order to minimize 322 (mandibular) movement artefacts. Stimuli were programmed in E-Prime (Psychology 323 Software Tools, 2016).

fMRI analyses contrasted the long speech conditions (3 times "pa-ta-ka" and "tata-ta") with the visual control condition, analogous to the main analysis of Brendel et al.
(44) (see appendix for acquisition specifications and analysis).

## 328 Figure 2.1.

329

- 330 Functional Magnetic Resonance Image (fMRI). Significant activation sites when LC >
- 331 visual baseline. Significant clusters at uncorrected p < 0.001 and #voxels > 10. All
- 332 clusters with FWE-corrected p > 0.05 and a peak with FWE-corrected p < 0.05 are shown.
- 333 Activation sites can further be consulted in **Table 2.2.**
- 334



- 335 336
- 337

Results indicated no significant anomalies (see Figure 2.1). Table 2.2 lists the most important clusters found in our patient. Most of the clusters (in **bold**) were also found in the healthy participants of Brendel et al. (44). The most important clusters in the sensorimotor cortex (SMC) and the supplementary motor area (SMA) were activated, together with the left insula and the left superior temporal gyrus (STG). These areas are principally involved in motor planning and programming as well as motor preparedness (esp. SMA). Smaller clusters in for example the basal ganglia (essential structures

345 mediating speech execution, as well as exerting a control function) were not reproduced, 346 probably due to statistical constraints in a single-patient fMRI study. In the cerebellum, 347 only left-sided activation was found in lobule VI, and in Crus I, an area that is more 348 related to motor preparedness. In this patient, the activation extended also to lobule VIII, 349 the second cerebellar sensorimotor area, and to Crus II, which is strongly associated to 350 language processing.

Apart from a stronger left-sided lateralisation in the cerebellum, it seems the speech activations appeared to be very comparable to what was seen in the subjects without speech and language disorders who participated in the study of Brendel et al. Hence, this further confirmed the hypothesis that this patient developed her speech problems on a psychogenic basis.

356

```
357 Table 2.2.
```

358

359 Overview of activation sites in the speech network in the investigated patient during a 360 syllable-repetition task. Activation sites represent LC > visual baseline. Significant 361 clusters at uncorrected p < 0.001 and #voxels > 10. All clusters with FWE-corrected p >362 0.05 and a peak with FWE-corrected p < 0.05 are listed.

363 <u>Legend</u>: <sup>1</sup>LCT corresponds in this case to the repetition of "pa-ta-ka" or "ta-ta-ta" 364 synchronized with a visual stimulus; LCT = long click train, three times "pa-ta-ka" or 365 "ta-ta-ta"; NCT = no click train, only the preparatory cue; SMA = supplementary motor 366 area; R = right; L = left; SMC = sensorimotor cortex; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; STG 367 = superior temporal gyrus; CB = cerebellum; MFG = middle frontal gyrus; MTG = middle 368 temporal gyrus.

369

Cluster	F	unctional FAS i Peak	n Convers	sion Disor MNI coor	der rdinates {	mm}	Area
p (FWE-corr)	#Voxels	p (FWE-corr)	Z	X	У	Z	
0.000	312	0.000	Inf	-42	-18	39	L postcentral
		0.000	7.50	-60	-6	18	
		0.011	5.11	-48	-9	30	
0.000	273	0.000	7.09	45	-9	36	R BA6
		0.000	6.93	42	-12	39	
		0.000	6.53	60	3	21	R BA44
		0.001	5.51	51	-3	42	R BA6
		0.003	5.35	54	-6	30	
		0.014	5.06	63	0	9	R rolandic operculum
0.000	318	0.000	6.51	-39	-42	-45	L CB VIII
		0.000	6.16	-27	-60	-24	L CB VI
		0.001	5.58	-21	-69	-24	
		0.003	5.33	-51	-57	-33	L Crus I
		0.004	5.31	-48	-66	-30	
		0.005	5.25	-30	-60	-45	
		0.014	5.07	-18	-63	-21	
		0.015	5.05	-45	-66	-42	L Crus II
		0.019	5.00	-42	-54	-30	
		0.027	4.94	-42	-66	-51	
		0.033	4.90	-42	-63	-39	
		0.033	4.90	-33	-63	-42	
0.000	137	0.000	6.11	-57	-42	21	L STG/IPL

		Functional F	AS in Convers	sion Diso	rder	
		0.000	5.71	-57	-27	21 L supramarginal gyrus
		0.003	5.34	-57	-45	3 L MTG
0.002	32	0.000	6.11	-12	27	3 Corpus callosum
0.001	36	0.001	5.56	15	42	-18 R orbital SFG
0.000	55	0.004	5.29	-18	60	-9 BA11
0.000	59	0.010	5.13	0	45	-18 L rectus
		0.037	4.88	-3	54	-24
0.008	23	0.015	5.05	-6	-3	63 L SMA
0.007	24	0.019	5.01	-30	27	3 L insula
0.016	19	0.024	4.96	-3	-39	-51 L brainstem
0.016	19	0.029	4.92	9	9	48 R SMA
0.007	24	0.030	4.92	-42	-33	-18 L ITG

371

372 <u>Legend</u>: <sup>1</sup>LCT corresponds in this case to the repetition of "pa-ta-ka" or "ta-ta-ta" 373 synchronized with a visual stimulus; LCT = long click train, three times "pa-ta-ka" or 374 "ta-ta-ta"; NCT = no click train, only the preparatory cue; SMA = supplementary motor 375 area; R = right; L = left; SMC = sensorimotor cortex; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; STG 376 = superior temporal gyrus; CB = cerebellum; MFG = middle frontal gyrus; MTG = middle 377 temporal gyrus.

378

379

380

381

382 **3. Discussion** 

We described a patient who experienced transient psychotic symptoms after a fall

385 down the staircase and developed a FAS. Repeated neurological and neuroradiological 386 investigations formally ruled out damage to the central nervous system (CNS). Moreover, 387 an fMRI experiment demonstrated normal activations of the speech network during a 388 syllable repetition task. Stress could rapidly trigger an accent change, language mixing 389 and switching, and speech could turn into an incomprehensible phonological jargon. 390 Neurocognitive deficits were attested and remained quite stable over the period of 1,5 391 years. However, remarkably, performance on the naming task (BNT) regressed quite 392 spectacularly, and inexplicably, during this time.

393

394 The onset of FAS after a traumatic experience has been previously reported in 395 Verhoeven et al. (13), where the patient was nearly involved in a car accident as a cyclist 396 and developed FAS after this experience. In Keulen et al. (45), the patient was hit by a 397 car as a pedestrian, but did not suffer any structural CNS damage. A few months later, an 398 abrupt change of personality is described. This led to the patient being fired from her job, 399 after which a foreign accent suddenly arose. In Reddy et al. (46), the patient suddenly 400 developed FAS after suffering from a (second) divorce. Antecedents of depression and 401 suicidal ideation were present.

402

403

## Language mixing and switching

404

Although a few FAS patients have been reported with pathological language mixing and/or switching, jargon seems to be a relatively new element in the clinical presentation of FAS. In 2001, Reeves and Norton (14, see also Reeves et al. (15)) reported a 65-year-old schizophrenic patient who presented with FAS concomitant with psychotic exacerbations after withdrawal from medication. The disordered speech in schizophrenia

410 was accounted for as a disturbance of inhibition mechanisms in relation to defective 411 prefrontal neurotransmitter circuitry with associated executive dysfunctions (47). This balance was restored by administering dopaminergic drugs. The clearest example, 412 413 however, of language mixing and switching in the FAS literature is the 51-year-old 414 female Dutch patient described by Verhoeven et al. (13), also mentioned above. Her 415 Dutch pronunciation was characterized by a French accent and her language showed 416 syntactic and morphological features of French. In addition, she occasionally used French 417 words or expressions. Importantly, the patient was a teacher of French as a second 418 language. This familiarity with the accent and the lexical and grammatical characteristics 419 of second language learners clearly distinguishes their patient from the current one.

420

421 The (phonological) jargon demonstrated by current patient has previously been 422 associated with psychosis and psychopathy (48, 49). Most interestingly, FAS here could 423 be triggered by conscious manipulation of the subject of conversation. It is not the first 424 time that a link between conversationally related stressors and FAS has been attested. In 425 Keulen et al. (50) the foreign-sounding accent of their native French-speaking patient 426 disappeared when an emotional subject was introduced. Sudden resolution related to 427 emotionally laden content has previously been argued to indicate psychogenic etiology 428 (51).

429

430 Stress, dopamine, FAS and jargon...

431

In order to explain the fluctuations in the accent and the evolution towards jargon speech, it seems essential to evaluate what the effects of (emotional) stress on speech can be. Hansen and Patil argue that "[...] stress is a psychological state that is a response to a perceived threat or task demand and is normally accompanied by specific emotions (e.g.,

fear, anger, anxiety, etc). These changes can affect speech behavior, even against an
individual's will." (52, p. 109). How and whether stress causes (significant) impact on
physical and/or psychological health depends a lot on an individual's resilience (53).
Current patient repeatedly admitted her accent changed as a result of the presence of an
increased number of stimuli, which she could not handle.

441

442 Interestingly, stress interferes with dopamine regulation: increased stress raises 443 dopamine levels in the brain. Dopamine modulates prefrontal cortex inputs to the 444 amygdala, and via the amygdala, to the hippocampus. This is one reason why elevated 445 stress affects (esp. non-emotional) memory functioning: it has been shown in animals that 446 stress causes structural changes in the hippocampus, e.g. via glutamate blockage (54) 447 which causes long-term depression of synapses situated in this region, as well as 448 impairing their long-term potentiation (55). In this respect it is interesting to note that 449 patient demonstrated deficits with respect to memory and executive functioning.

450

451 The hypothesis of a dopamine dysregulation in FAS patients has also been raised 452 in the context of hallucinations in schizophrenic and bipolar patients (15, 56). The case 453 described by Reeves et al. (14, see also Reeves et al. (15)) switched language register and 454 underwent an accent change in association with exacerbations, which were controlled 455 after a pharmacological treatment including the dopamine antagonist olanzapine (20 mg). 456 Current patient did not suffer schizophrenia, and psychotic symptoms were only 457 mentioned as fleeting symptoms in the history; but could no longer be corroborated in 458 psychodiagnostic testing. The (fluctuating) accent change in relation to a self-perceived 459 increase of stress(ors) in this patient may have caused subtle changes in neurotransmitter 460 regulation that led to symptoms that were reminiscent of these functional FAS cases.

462 Still, it seems clinical experience, combined with thorough analysis of a patient's 463 antecedents, cognitive profile, psychiatric characteristics and behavioral abnormalities is still often judged insufficient to support the hypothesis of a psychogenic or functional 464 465 origin. Nevertheless, the available psychodiagnostic tests often lack the power to allow 466 for an unequivocal diagnosis. For many FAS cases a formal diagnosis as "functional" has proven problematic. Lee et al. identified several characteristics that help to distinguish 467 468 functional and structural FAS cases (57). These include previous motor disturbances 469 causing the maladaptive speech response, inconsistencies in accent production, the 470 adoption of unusual mannerisms in speech, and the speech disturbances being transient 471 and reversible. In this case, one can wonder whether motor disturbance led to the fall 472 from the stairs after which the foreign accent syndrome developed. All the other 473 characteristics were present in this case. In the literature, foreign accent syndrome has 474 already been classified as, or in conjunction with conversion disorder, on several 475 occasions (13, 58, 59).

476

477 The relation between conversion disorder and functional foreign accent syndrome 478 definitely warrants further exploration in research. The effects and possibilities for 479 treatment also necessitate more in-depth studies. In this respect, for current patient, it is 480 especially noteworthy that a large-scale epidemiological study has shown that childhood 481 trauma does have a negative impact on conversion disorder therapy outcome (60) and it 482 was mentioned earlier that patient claimed to not have benefited significantly from 483 previous therapy. In a very recent paper by Birdsey and Millar (61), CBT was also 484 proposed to a woman suffering FAS on a psychogenic basis. Although CBT in that case 485 study helped to reduce distress caused by the disorder as it did for this patient, it was not reported CBT helped to diminish the FAS and associated accent change itself. 486

## 488 **4. Conclusion**

489	
490	A case of FAS is described in which jargon speech was observed. Although an
491	underlying mental disorder, such as schizophrenia, was not formally objectified by
492	psychodiagnostic tests, the atypical dissociations within the neurolinguistic
493	manifestations strongly indicated a functional etiology, such as conversion disorder.
494	Fluctuations of the speech symptoms can be induced by manipulating stressors. It is
495	concluded that the neurolinguistic profile, symptom evolution and fMRI results are not
496	suggestive of a neurological disorder, but rather with a functional FAS.
497	
498	Author contributions
499	Manuscript drafting: SK, PM, JV, CVDF-C, LDV, LDP, TD; Neuropsychological and
500	neurolinguistics exams: SK, PM, LDV, LDP, TD; fMRI study: SK, PM, RB, KVD, PVS,
501	HR, JDM, FVO; Manuscript editing: all authors. Manuscript revision: SK, LDV, CVD-
502	C.
503	
504	Funding sources
505	
506	This study was funded by the research council of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel and
507	University of Groningen under project code OZR BOF2545.
508	
509	Stefanie Keulen is now a Postdoctoral Fellow of the Research Foundation - Flanders
510	(FWO)
511	
512	Ethical considerations
513	

514	Functional FAS in Conversion Disorder Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee for the Social Sciences and
515	Humanities of Antwerp University, Belgium, SHW_18_26, CME Vrije Universiteit
516	Brussel, study number 2016-135, and GGZ Breburg, Tilburg, The Netherlands.
517	
518	Conflict of interest
519	The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
520	
521	References
522	
523	1. Redlinger WE, Park TZ. Language mixing in young bilinguals. J Child Lang. 1980
524	Jun;7(2):337-52.
525	2. Meisel JM. Early differentiation of languages in bilingual children. In: L Wei.
526	Bilingualism across the lifespan: Aspects of acquisition, maturity and loss.
527	London: Routledge; 2000. p. 13-40.
528	3. Duran, L. Toward a better understanding of code switching and interlanguage in
529	bilinguality: Implications for bilingual instruction. The journal of educational
530	issues of language minority students. 1994; 14: 69-88.
531	4. Abutalebi J, Green D. Bilingual language production: The neurocognition of language
532	representation and control. J Neuroling. 2007;20:242-75
533	5. Perani D, Abutalebi J. Neural basis of first and second language processing. Curr Opin
534	Neurobiol. 2005 Apr;15(2):202-6.
535	6. Hernandez A. Language switching in the bilingual brain: What's next? Brain Lang.
536	2009 May-Jun;109(2-3):133-140.
537	7. Abutalebi J, Miozzo A, Cappa SF. Do subcortical structures control 'language
538	selection' in polyglots? Evidence from pathological language mixing. Neurocase.
539	2008;6(1):51-6.

- 8. Mariën P, Abutalebi J, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP. Pathological of language switching
  and mixing in an early bilingual child with subcortical aphasia. Neurocase. 2005
  Dec;11(5):385-98.
- 543 9. Ansaldo AI, Saidi LG, Ruiz A. Model-driven intervention in bilingual aphasia:
  544 Evidence from a case of pathological language mixing. Aphasiology.
  545 2010;24(2),:309-24.
- 546 10. Aglioti S, Fabbro F. Paradoxical selective recovery in a bilingual aphasic following
  547 subcortical lesion. NeuroReport. 1993 Sep;4(12):1359-62.
- 548 11. Fabbro F, Skrap M, Aglioti S. Pathological switching between languages after frontal
  549 lesions in a bilingual patient. J of Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2000;68(5):650550 52.
- 12. Hernandez AE, Dapretto M, Mazziotta J, Bookheimer, S. Language switching and
  language representation in Spanish–English bilinguals: An fMRI study.
  NeuroImage. 2001 Aug;14(2):510-20.
- 13. Verhoeven J, Mariën P, Engelborghs S, D'Haenen H, De Deyn PP. (2005). A foreign
  speech accent in a case of conversion disorder. Behav Neurol. 2005;16(4): 22532.
- 14. Reeves RR, Norton JW. Foreign accent-like syndrome during psychotic
  exacerbations. Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol. 2001;14(2):135–
  38.
- 560 15. Reeves RR, Burke RS, Parker JD. Characteristics of psychotic patients with foreign
  561 accent syndrome. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2007;19(1):70–6.
- 562 16. Gurnani A, Horwitz J. Adult Woman Presenting with Foreign Accent Syndrome
  563 (FAS): A Case Study. Arch Clin Neuropsychology. 2017;32(6): 667–765.

- 17. Hillis AE, Boatman D, Hart J, Gordon B. (1999). Making sense out of jargon A
- neurolinguistic and computational account of jargon aphasia. Neurology. 1999;
  Nov 10;53(8):1813-24
- 567 18. Rohrer JD, Rossor MN, Warren JD. Neologistic jargon aphasia and agraphia in
- 568 primary progressive aphasia. J Neurol Sci, 2009;277: 155-9.
- 569 19. Marshall J, Robson J, Pring T, Chiat S. Why does monitoring fail in jargon aphasia?
  570 Comprehension, judgment, and therapy evidence. Brain Lang. 1998;63(1): 79571 107.
- 572 20. Paradis M. Bilingualism and neuropsychiatric disorders. J Neuroling. 2008
  573 May;21(3):199-230.
- 574 21. Walen SR. Phrenophobia, Cognit Ther Res. 1982;6(4): 399-408.
- 575 22. Kaplan E, Goodglass H, Weintraub S. Boston Naming Test. Philadelphia: Lee &
  576 Febiger; 1983.
- 577 23. Visch-Brink EG, Vandenborre D, De Smet HY, Mariën P. Comprehensive Aphasia
  578 Test Nederlands (CAT-NL). Amsterdam: Pearson; 2014.
- 24. Randolph C, Tierney MC, Mohr E, Chase TN. (1998). The repeatable battery for the
  assessment of neuropsychological status (RBANS): preliminary clinical validity.
- 581 J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 1998;20(3):310-19.
- 582 25. Rey A. L'examen clinique en psychologie. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France ;
  583 1964.
- 584 26. Reitan R. Validity of the Trail Making test as an indicator of organic brain damage.
  585 Percept Mot Skills. 1958;8:271-76.
- 586 27. Tombaugh TN. The Test of Memory Malingering. San Antonio, TX: Pearson587 Clinical; 1996.
- 588 28. Kooij A, Dek J. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale IV Dutch version. Amsterdam:
  589 Pearson; 2012.

- 590 29. Heaton RK, Chelune GJ, Talley JL, Kay GC, Curtiss G. Wisconsin card sorting test.
- 591 Odessa, FL: Manual Psychological Assessment Resources; 1993.
- 30. Wechsler D. Wechsler memory scale revised: manual. New York, NY: The
  Psychological Corporation; 1987.
- 594 31. Brickenkamp R. Aufmerksamkeits-Belastungs-Test (Test d2). 1. Auflage. Göttingen:
  595 Hogrefe; 1962.
- 32. Butcher JN, Dahlstrom WG, Graham JR, Tellegen AM, Kaemmer B. Minnesota
  Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2): Manual for administration and
  scoring. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press; 1989.
- 599 33. Costa PT, McCrae RR. The NEO-PI/NEO-FFI manual
- 600 supplement. Odessa, FL.: Psychological Assessment Resources ; 1989.
- 601 34. Thygesen KL, Drapeau M, Trijsburg RW, Lecours S, de Roten Y. (2008). Assessing
- defense styles: Factor structure and psychometric properties of the new Defense
  Style Questionnaire 60 (DSQ-60). Rev Int Psicol Ter Psicol. 2008;8(2):171-181.
- 604 35. Cima M, Merckelbach H, Hollnack S, Butt C, Kremer K, Schellbach-Matties R, et al.
- 605 (2003). The Other Side of Malingering: Supernormality. Arch Clin Neuropsychol.
  606 2003;17(2):235–243.
- 607 36. Beck AT, Steer RA & Brown GK. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. San
  608 Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation; 1996.
- 37. Desmet M, Vanheule S, Groenvynck H, Verhaeghe P, Vogel J, & Bogaerts S. (2007).
  The depressive experiences questionnaire. Eur J Psychol Assess. 2007;23:89-98.
- 611 38. Merten T, Stevens A. List of Indiscriminate Psychopathology (LIPP).
  612 Berlin/Tuebingen: unpublished manuscript; 2012.
- 613 39. Rotter JB, Lah MI, Rafferty JE. The Rotter Incomplete Sentences Blank, second
  614 edition manual. New York, NY: Psychological Corporation; 1992.

- 615 40. Kernberg OF. Severe personality disorders: Psychotherapeutic strategies. Yale
  616 University Press; 1993.
- 617 41. Friedman AF, Levak RW, Nichols DS, Webb JT. Psychological assessment with the
- 618 MMPI-2. London: Routledge; 2014.
- 619 42. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
  620 disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA; 2013.
- 43. Verhoeven J, Hageman G. De verstemlozing van frictieven in Vlaanderen,
  Nederlandse Taalkunde.2007;12(2):139-152.
- 623 44. Brendel B, Hertrich I, Erb M, Lindner A, Riecker A, Grodd W, Ackermann H. The
- 624 contribution of mesiofrontal cortex to the preparation and execution of repetitive
  625 syllable productions: An fMRI study. Neuroimage. 2010;50(3):1219-30.
- 45. Keulen S, Verhoeven J, De Page L, Jonkers R, Bastiaanse R, Mariën P. Psychogenic
  Foreign Accent Syndrome: A New Case. Front Hum Neurosci. 2016;10:1-13.
- 46. Reddy A, Shelton RC, Savage R, Birur B. Foreign Accent Syndrome presenting as
  Conversion Disorder: A rare presentation. Journal of Nature and Science. 2016;
- 630 2(7):197.
- 47. Barr WB, Bilder RM, Goldberg E, Kaplan E, Mukherjee S. The neuropsychology of
  schizophrenic speech. J Commun Disord. 1989; 22: 327-49.
- 48. Mazumdar PK, Chaturvedi SK, Sinha, VINOD, Gopinath PS. Scale for assessment of
  thought, language and communication in psychotic in-patients. Psychopathology.
- 635 1991;24(4):199-202.
- 49. Kitamura T, Okazaki Y, Fujinawa A, Yoshino M, Kasahara, Y. Symptoms of
  psychoses. A factor-analytic study. Br J Psychiatry. 1995;166(2):236-240.
- 638 50. Keulen S, Verhoeven J, Bastiaanse R, Mariën P, Jonkers R, Mavroudakis N, Paquier
- 639 P. Perceptual Accent Rating and Attribution in Psychogenic FAS: Some Further

- Evidence Challenging Whitaker's Operational Definition. Front Hum Neurosci.2016;10:1-14.
- 51. Binder LM, Spector J, Youngjohn JR. (2012). Psychogenic Stuttering and Other
  Acquired Nonorganic Speech and Language Abnormalities, Arch Clin
  Neuropsychol. 2012;27(5):557-568.
- 52. Hansen JH, Patil S. Speech under stress: Analysis, modeling and recognition. In C;
  Müller, editor. Speaker classification I. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2007, p.
  108-37.
- 648 53. Beasley M, Thompson T, Davidson J. Resilience in response to life stress: the effects
  649 of coping style and cognitive hardiness. Pers Individ Differ. 2003;34(1):77-95.
- 54. Yang CH, Huang CC, Hsu KS. (2005). Behavioral stress enhances hippocampal CA1
  long-term depression through the blockade of the glutamate uptake. J Neurosci.
  2005;25:4288-93.
- 55. Artola A, Von Frijtag JC, Fermont PC, Gispen WH, Schrama, LH, Kamal A, et al.
  Long-lasting modulation of the induction of LTD and LTP in rat hippocampal
  CA1 by behavioural stress and environmental enrichment. Eur J Neurosci.
  2006;23(1). 261-272.
- 657 56. Moreno-Torres I, Berthier ML, del Mar Cid M, Green C, Gútierrez A, García-Casares
- N, et al. Foreign accent syndrome: A multimodal evaluation in the search of
  neuroscience-driven treatments. Neuropsychologia. 2014;51(3):520–37.
- 57. Lee O, Ludwig L, Davenport R, Stone J. (2016). Functional foreign accent syndrome.
  Prac Neurol. 2016;16(5):409-11.
- 58. Tsuruga K, Kobayashi T, Hirai N, Kato S. Foreign Accent Syndrome in a Case of
  Dissociative (Conversion) Disorder. Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi. 2008;110:7987.

- 665 59. Jones HN, Story TJ, Collins TA, DeJoy D, Edwards CL. Multidisciplinary assessment
- and diagnosis of conversion disorder in a patient with foreign accent syndrome.
- 667 Behav Neurol. 2011;24(3):245–255.
- 668 60. Van der Feltz-Cornelis CM, Allen SF, Van Eck van der Sluijs J. Childhood sexual
  669 abuse predicts treatment outcome in conversion disorder/functional neurological
  670 disorder. An observational longitudinal study. Brain Behav. 2020;10.
- 671 61. Birdsey, N, Millar, JFA. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Foreign Accent
  672 Syndrome: A Single-Case Experimental Design. Clinical Case Studies. 2020,
- 673 1534650120936771.

- 688 Annex I: acquisition and analysis specifications for fMRI experiment (section 2.5)
- 690 A) Acquisition

Functional and structural MRI were conducted on a 3T GE scanner (Discovery 692 MR750w) equipped with a standard 24-channel head coil. A BOLD sensitive T2\*weighted single shot spin echo (SE) planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TE/TR: 70/3000ms; 693 694 FA: 90deg) was used resulting in voxel dimensions of 1.875 x 1.875 x 4 mm3 695 (interleaved) with 1mm gap, matrix = 128 x 128. Twenty-seven axial slices were acquired 696 per volume, 200 volumes and 2 dummy scans per run. An additional SE-EPI sequence 697 was obtained in the opposite left-right phase direction to be used as a fieldmap to correct 698 the EPI-distortions. Three runs of 10min were used. In addition, an axial T1-weighted 699 FSPGR BRAVO (176 slices) was taken to use for co-registration and normalization.

700

701 B) Analysis

702

703 fMRI data were unwarped using the top-up tool of fsl<sup>1</sup> and further analyzed using 704 SPM12 software (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). After slice timing and motion correction, 705 the unwarped functional images were registered to the T1 weighted anatomical dataset. 706 The anatomical image was then segmented and the forward deformation field was used 707 to normalize the functional images to MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) space. The 708 registered functional data were smoothed spatially with a Gaussian kernel with a full 709 width at half maximum (FWHM) of 6 x 6 x 6 mm3. Eight conditions ("pa-ta-ka" LC; "ta-710 ta-ta" LC; "pa-ta-ka" SC; "ta-ta-ta" SC; visual control LC+SC; "pa-ta-ka" NC; "ta-ta-ta" 711 NC; instructions) were modeled as separate regressors and combined with the 6 712 movement regressors in the general linear model (GLM).

713 Similar to Brendel et al. (44), the general speech network was assessed by 714 contrasting both LCs with the visual baseline condition. An initial uncorrected threshold

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Andersson IL, Skare S, Ashburner I, How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroimage. 2003;20(2):870-888.

- 715 of p < 0.001 at the voxel level was used to detect activations. Only clusters with more
- than 10 voxels were included in the table (see Table 2.2.). Activations were considered
- 717 significant if the family-wise error (FWE) corrected p-value was smaller than 0.001 at
- the cluster level and smaller than 0.05 at the voxel level (see figure 1).