Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Trends in Double Networks as Bioprintable and Injectable Hydrogel Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration Peer-reviewed author version

Aldana, Ana; HOUBEN, Sofie; Moroni, Lorenzo; Baker, Matthew & PITET, Louis (2021) Trends in Double Networks as Bioprintable and Injectable Hydrogel Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration. In: ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering,.

DOI: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c01749 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/34407

Trends in Double Networks as Bioprintable and Injectable Hydrogel Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration

Ana A. Aldana,^{†,1} Sofie Houben, ^{†,2} Lorenzo Moroni,¹ Matthew B. Baker,^{*1} and Louis M. Pitet^{*2}

 ¹ Department of Complex Tissue Regeneration, MERLN Institute for Technology-Inspired Regenerative Medicine, Maastricht University, 6211 LK Maastricht, The Netherlands
² Advanced Functional Polymers Group, Department of Chemistry, Institute for Materials Research (IMO), Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium.
[†] Authors have contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author email: louis.pitet@uhasselt.be; m.baker@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Abstract

Additive manufacturing and injection are essential tools in the rapidly developing field of personalized medicine and are particularly promising for applications in regenerative medicine. One of the biggest challenges in this vibrant research domain remains the processing of complex formulations with robust mechanical properties. Mimicking the native extracellular matrix associated with many tissues requires materials that have high degrees of functionality for performing the complex array of functions within the cellular environment. Furthermore, native tissues often possess astounding mechanical properties, particularly in connective tissues. These outstanding mechanics are a challenge to emulate in their own right, especially considering the accompanying demands for additional functionality. Double network hydrogels have emerged as strong candidates for tissue engineering owing to the impressive mechanics and versatility in terms of chemical makeup. Combining advances in processing (i.e., additive manufacturing and injection) with dual network hydrogel formulations has led to an impressive collection of results, making great strides toward systems capable of addressing the demanding environment surrounding tissues while being amenable to personalized fabrication techniques. This review provides a brief summary of the most contemporary trends collected from the literature describing dual network hydrogels being demonstrated in additive manufacturing and injectable applications.

Keywords: Regenerative Medicine; bioprinting; biofabrication; tissue engineering; double network hydrogels

1. Introduction

Advances in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine are leading us closer to the regeneration and repair of organs, soft tissue, bone, and providing improved tools to combat some of societies' most degenerative diseases. A major effort within this field is the creation of a suitable cellular environment to facilitate tissue formation and promote natural regenerative processes. Links between cellular behavior, materials properties, and soluble signals are fairly well-established, and the efficacy of designed materials to steer regeneration has been shown. Yet, engineered systems remain far from the functional complexity and responsiveness of the cell's native Extra-Cellular Matrix (ECM).

Currently the majority of biomaterials described for tissue regeneration applications remain relatively simple, having few components and expressing basic functionality. This has largely enabled the creation of valuable structure-property relationships and fundamental knowledge that form the basis for our current understanding of these complex systems. However, biomaterials often poorly recapitulate the complex natural system. More complex materials including those with responsive, dynamic, and cell instructive components are actively being investigated in order to mimic more advanced functions of the ECM. However, asking a single network to embody all the functions of the ECM is formidable. As the field moves towards more complex biomaterials, hydrogels comprised of multiple networks have emerged as a powerful tool for attaining the necessary physical properties associated with native tissues. Furthermore, these dual-network hydrogels allow a great deal of versatility with regard to engineering of specific properties into individual networks.

Additive manufacturing of biomaterials is an attractive way to create living 3-D constructs with custom placement of bioactive agents and cells. These collective biofabrication techniques have shown great promise toward ultimately allowing the reconstruction of life-like tissue models and recreation of the 3D complexity of organs. The properties required of a printable bioink are demanding; they must be processable, biocompatible, and possess functional tissue regeneration properties. Likewise, injectable hydrogels for tissue regeneration have similar requirements, but instead of needing to keep shape on a platform, they must facilitate hydrogel formation on demand (i.e., *in vivo*). In both areas (i.e., printing and injection), dual network systems provide many advantages over single network counterparts.

The broad challenge in the development of tissue-engineered biomaterials is to mimic the microenvironment that provides complex site-specific combination of biochemical and mechanical cues to influence cell adhesion, proliferation and differentiation during maturation processes.¹⁻² The ECM is an interpenetrating network composed of proteoglycans and fibrous assemblies of proteins. The multiple functions of ECM include cell's mechanical and structural

support, spatio-temporal growth factor presentation, traction and movement, and the ability to remodel in response to a cell or external stimuli. Mirroring the properties of the ECM remains a coveted target in biomaterials design, which is relevant for regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. The aim of these fields is to create therapies to replace or regenerate human cells, tissues and organs towards the restoration of injured, damaged or aged tissues and organs.³⁻⁴ Therefore, the creation of controllable materials, with cell signaling, delivery of bioactive molecules at controlled rates and tunable and responsive mechanical properties, are needed to restore or establish normal function of tissues/organs.

In the last decades, various ECM-inspired three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds have been investigated, including electrospun meshes,⁵⁻⁸ patterned surfaces,^{5, 7, 9-10} and additive manufactured scaffolds,^{4, 11-15} with hydrogels being amongst the most promising ECM replacements. Hydrogels are typically comprised of macromolecular three-dimensional networks with a high water content. As previously mentioned, the natural ECM is a dynamic interpenetrating network hydrogel. Following the progress in polymer chemistry, synthetic chemistry and supramolecular chemistry, the design of hydrogels networks has advanced from covalent and static networks to instructive, stimuli-responsive, biodegradable and dynamic networks. These complex and dynamic systems that mimic ECM functions could recreate properties, as for example cell mediated remodeling, cell adhesion, strain-stiffening and viscoelasticity, through the incorporation of cleavable bonds, reversible bonds, supramolecular chemistry, self-assembly or flexible polymer backbones.

In this review, we will cover recent trends in double network hydrogels as bioprintable and injectable scaffolds for tissue regeneration. We first introduce the concepts double network hydrogels, bioprinting, injectability, and provide some guidelines when designing hydrogels for such applications. Several examples from the recent literature are then showcased where double networks have been used, or are well-poised for use, in bioprinting and injectable applications. The chemical design of the networks constitutes an important focus. As such, the examples are categorized by the nature of the individual network crosslinking: static vs dynamic. Lastly, we provide insights into the future directions of the field.

1.1. Double Networks

The search for biomaterials to substitute biological tissues and structures has driven the field of hydrogels into several directions. Many strategies have been developed to improve hydrogel biochemical and mechanical properties. Among these strategies is the principle of double network (DN) hydrogels, originating in 2003 as a method to obtain strong and stiff hydrogels.¹⁶ This discovery led to a rapid expansion and discovery phase, especially in the field of tissue engineering, as a solution to the often brittle or weak single network hydrogels

conventionally used. In combination with recent advances in bioprinting technologies, the printing and injection of tough constructs to be used for tissue regeneration has advanced; however, several challenges persist with these applications. Before addressing these challenges, a brief overview of the mechanisms behind double network hydrogels is provided.

Gong and coworkers reported the first DN hydrogel made of two synthetic polymer networks: sparsely crosslinked poly(acrylamide) (PAAm) and densely crosslinked anionic poly(2-acrylamido-2-methylpropanesulfonic acid) (PAMPS).¹⁶ This combination led to high strength gels that break at a stress of up to 17.2 MPa and a compressive strain up to 92%. Subsequent investigations elucidated a fundamental relationship between the networks. A defining structure/property relationship of DN hydrogels is the use of two different polymer networks with contrasting network density; the key to obtaining strong gels has been directly attributed to the ratio of these networks and the degree of crosslinking.

Of note, there is some confusion in the literature as to terminology around the combination of hydrogel networks to make a dual network system. In this review, we will refer to all dual network systems as interpenetrating networks (IPN) and reserve the nomenclature of double network (DN) for IPNs exhibiting improved mechanical properties. IPN hydrogels generally do not show any improvement in mechanical properties compared to their separate single networks.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ DN hydrogels can be considered a separate class of IPNs: all double network hydrogels are interpenetrating networks, but not all interpenetrating networks are double network hydrogels. Despite differences in performance and nomenclature, IPNs provide distinct benefits beyond mechanical toughness when designing biomedical materials.

DN hydrogels typically show an enhanced/optimal mechanical strength at a certain ratio of the two networks. Deviating from this ratio leads to a decrease in toughness. The mechanical strength can also be tuned with the crosslink density; the best results are often obtained when one network has a much higher crosslinking density than the other. Two networks with opposing mechanical properties are most often used, with one network being flexible and the other being brittle. The flexible network, when crosslinked sparsely, imparts extensibility. The brittle network, often a densely crosslinked polyelectrolyte, will be relatively stiff. Under large deformations the brittle network will dissipate energy by the fracture of its crosslinks while the flexible network remains intact and typically allows the hydrogel to recover from strain to an extent. The combination of these two types of networks therefore leads to enhanced mechanical properties, wherein the resulting gel embodies attributes from different types of mechanical response.¹⁸

Double network hydrogels can be categorized by polymer type, mechanical performance, or cross-linking type. In this review, we will focus on the type of cross-linking used to form the two networks. With the energy dissipation being the key defining mechanism of double networks, two different types of crosslinking are often present. The most

straightforward way of describing crosslinking is by making a distinction between static ¹⁹ and dynamic (dynamic covalent or non-covalent) crosslinking. We take this approach in categorization, as the crosslinking character has profound influence on the resulting behavior of the gels. The incorporation of various network junctions (e.g. covalent bonding, ionic bonding, hydrogen bonding) is used as a tool for modulating the strength or responsiveness of the scaffolds. With several strategies being well-developed for generating DN hydrogels with exceptional mechanical properties, the next major challenge is the effective delivery of such scaffolds. This review covers recent advances in 3D bioprinting and injectability of DN hydrogel scaffolds targeting applications in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine (Figure 1). Special attention is paid to the chemical nature of the networks and the corresponding link to the resulting properties.



Figure 1. Schematic overview of the processing and delivery methods covered in this review –3D bioprinting and injection of double network hydrogel scaffolds, with the most commonly employed strategies highlighted. (a) Stereolithography and (b) extrusion-based printing technologies and (c) one-pot (single syringe) and (d) dual syringe methods of injection.

1.2 Bioprinting

Additive manufacturing (*e.g.*, 3D printing) has fundamentally altered the way materials constructs are designed and fabricated, enabling rapid and reproducible creation of customized objects.²⁰ In the biomedical field, emerging biofabrication tools^{3, 21-22} have changed the approach to tissue engineering by allowing the creation of complex 3D objects, and additive manufacturing more specifically facilitates rapid prototyping and streamlining of systematic variations in manufacturing processes.²³⁻²⁴ Using suitable printing technologies

with a processable and biocompatible material platform facilitates the creation of customizable implants, specific to a patient's form factor or tailored to the tissue environment. Furthermore, by introducing cells during the fabrication process, one can create highly complex and userdefined living constructs for cell-based tissue engineering *in vivo* and tissue models *in vitro*. Bioprinting of cell-laden hydrogel scaffolds enables the spatial organization or specific compartmentalization of different cell types, ultimately mimicking the orientation of native tissues. These possibilities deliver with them the prospect of complex organ regeneration in the future. Recent work has shown the complexity and function afforded by additive manufacturing via the creation of anatomically correct heart components,²⁵ and a functional alveolar and vascular network²⁶ from simple materials and fabrication processes. In spite of the potential of 3D bioprinting, the recreation of functional organs or translatable constructs remains beyond reach.^{4, 27} Notably, there are a limited number of materials options in this area, attributed to the strict requirements for both processability and tissue formation.²⁸⁻²⁹

Various methods exist for additive manufacturing of biomaterials both with and without cells, which often require different conditions. For clarification on nomenclature and comprehensive reviews of the various techniques, we direct the reader elsewhere.^{3, 22, 30} Herein, we will briefly cover the major classes of additive manufacturing which have shown applicability to double network hydrogels, namely extrusion based printing and digital light processing (DLP). This is not intended to imply that other techniques are not suitable or that further developments will not enable utilization in the future. On the contrary, novel processing techniques for interpenetrating networks (IPNs) and double networks promise to be a rich area of exploration.

Currently, extrusion based printing of hydrogels remains one of the most widely-used and accessible methods for 3D biofabrication,³¹ in large part due to the number of commercial options on the market.³² Extrusion based printing generally relies on the processing of the hydrogel through a syringe and needle via pressure, a piston, or a rotating screw. The size of features produced by extrusion techniques is limited to 100's of microns due to needle size and material viscosity needed to produce a filament.

Two of the earliest examples of fabrication utilizing a printing technique and hydrogels involved the use of hydrogels as a 3D "paper" for the printing of spheroids,³³⁻³⁴ and stereolithography (SLA) for the encapsulation of cells in acrylate based networks.³⁵ While light-based polymerization was one of the first techniques utilized for printing with cells, initial fabrication methods of SLA were simply not easily scalable when printing voxel by voxel. With the recent emergence of step-wise and continuous light-based projection fabrication systems,³⁶ commonly referred to as DLP, rapid production of relatively large objects is readily employed in many labs. In these techniques, a 2D sheet of light polymerizes a thin layer of the photo-resin and the step-wise (or continuous) movement of the stage allows the creation

of a 3D object in slices. DLP based printing techniques are limited to photopolymerizable and low-viscosity resins. The size of features is limited by the speed and scattering of light, with some formulations and systems being able to approach the 10's of microns in resolution. DLP based systems can also fabricate more complex geometries (like gyroids) which are significantly more challenging to obtain via extrusion based additive manufacturing.

While extrusion and DLP represent two of the most widely used techniques, several more exotic techniques exist, which are amenable to the use of double network hydrogels in biofabrication. Gel-in-gel printing techniques like FRESH³⁷ and Ghost writing³⁸ allow for the creation of high-resolution features by depositing soft inks into a self-healing supportive bath. Advanced variants of extrusion bioprinting, these modifications facilitate the use and overcome limitations of softer materials. New techniques like holographic³⁹ and volumetric⁴⁰ printing techniques also expand the capabilities of light-based resin additive manufacturing. While these techniques allow more intricate and faster fabrication, their complexity and novelty has thus far precluded widespread adoption. As more advanced techniques witness broader implementation, their expansion from single-network to double-network hydrogel printing will undoubtedly be an active area of exploration.

Hydrogel development

Of note, there are two main methods for utilizing hydrogels in additive manufacturing: 1) the use of hydrogels without cells, wherein the material is referred to as a hydrogel or biomaterial ink, and 2) the use of hydrogels with encapsulated cells during the fabrication process, wherein the formulation and material is referred to as a bioink.⁴¹ Naturally both types of hydrogels need to ultimately support cell viability and tissue-growth, yet the bioink formulations must also maintain cell viability during the printing process. Several comprehensive reviews have been published on the development of hydrogel inks generally,^{29, 41-45} and we direct the reader to these manuscripts for further insight into historical development.

Extrusion based additive manufacturing often relies on shear thinning properties of hydrogels or hydrogel precursors, followed by rapid gelation upon deposition. The shear thinning allows for easier processing and can help protect cells from shear-stress⁴⁶ in bioinks, while the rapid gelation is needed to prevent material creep and loss of shape fidelity. Gelation can often be triggered via ionotropic gelation or photopolymerization,⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸ yet movements towards dynamic inks¹¹ via dynamic-covalent⁴⁹ and supramolecular chemistry³⁸ can facilitate autonomous solidification after extrusion.

Light-based printing requires a photopolymerizable unit within the hydrogel resin formulation in order to initiate polymerization and/or cross-linking reactions. Examples in the literature are dominated by (meth)acrylate⁵⁰ (or double bond)⁵¹ based systems, with recent emergence of thiol-ene⁴⁸ based cross-linking, among several other techniques. Notably, most

UV-curable resins consist of a photopolymerizable unit (network formation), a reactive diluent (viscosity modifier), a photoinitiator (initiate polymerization), and a photoabsorber (limit stray light).⁴⁵ Each component plays a crucial role, and systematic optimization is key for printing performance in a given application.

1.3 Injectability

Injectable hydrogels must be injected, or extruded via a syringe needle, similar to the processing of extrusion-based additive manufacturing (*vide supra*). Injecting hydrogel formulations facilitates localized, non-invasive delivery of tissue support scaffolds. This enables minimally invasive procedures to replace implantation for a wide range of applications including stem-cell based therapy,⁵² cancer therapy,⁵³⁻⁵⁴ wound-dressings,⁵⁵ minimally invasive surgery,⁵⁶ and drug delivery.⁵⁷ For tissue regeneration applications, these injectable scaffolds typically comprise materials, cells, and soluble growth factors to enable tissue formation and has shown advantages in areas including cardiovascular,⁵⁸ cartilage,⁵⁹ and bone tissue engineering.⁶⁰ Notably, the use of stem-cell based therapies with injectable gels has been shown to increase the regenerative capacity of the treatment,⁵² with clear examples in improving the lifetime of adipose derived stem cells during the repair of ischemic limbs in mice,⁶¹ and the integration of retinal stem cell therapy leading to better sight in mice.⁶²

There are mainly two methods for injection of hydrogels: one pot (Figure 1c) and dual syringe (Figure 1d). The selection of each method depends on the crosslinking rate and chemical nature of the crosslinks. In the first method, only one hydrogel precursor solution is prepared and then the crosslinking takes place *in situ* by external stimuli (e.g. light irradiation, temperature, pH). In contrast, dual syringes are useful for rapid crosslinking reactions (with or without external stimuli). Polymers and/or cross-linkers (i.e., hydrogel precursor solutions) are prepared in separate syringes. The solutions are mixed *in situ*, with crosslinking occurring rapidly enough to maintain shape in the resulting hydrogel.

Shear-thinning hydrogels also improve cell-viability post-injection in self-healing hydrogels, as in the case of extrusion based printing (vide infra).⁶³ Two major differences exist in injectable hydrogels compared to bioprintable hydrogels 1) Injection needs to be facilitated in the operating theatre usually via manual pressure (lower viscosity) and 2) the formation of the final construct takes place *in vivo*. Notably, the requirement to form the network *in vivo* leads more often to the use of autonomous (or *in situ*) crosslinking methods for injectable hydrogel formulations.

These brief discussions of the processing/delivery techniques covered in this review set the basis for the material requirements. The remaining sections highlight examples of DN hydrogel scaffolds within this context. The first main section covers examples of DN gels used in various printing and biofabrication applications, wherein the examples are further divided into categories according to the crosslinking chemistry. The next section covers examples in which injectable DN gels was the main focus. Finally, some guidance for the future is provided with the insight gathered from the examples presented.

2. DN as bioprintable hydrogels

The biochemical and mechanical properties of a hydrogel are critical for tissue engineering. Biocompatibility, mechanical properties, cell–matrix interactions, and diffusion of nutrients must all be taken into account to develop successful hydrogel matrices for cell growth and tissue formation. In addition, when developing hydrogels for additive manufacturing, the material must provide suitable performance before, during, and after fabrication – all while maintaining a habitable environment for cells. In this work we focus on extrusion bioprinting, as this is a very accessible technique and the most used approach for printing DN hydrogels.

When considering hydrogels for extrusion bioprinting, not only the properties of the final printed structure are important. The pre-gel solution (in this case used as a bioink) also needs to meet certain rheological requirements.^{29, 64} A bioink solution is initially at rest and has a relatively high viscosity. Upon application of an external force the viscosity should decrease to ensure that the solution flows and is subsequently extruded from the nozzle. After extrusion, the viscosity should increase again for structural integrity. Evaluation of a potential bioink for its shear-thinning behavior is therefore critical. Increasing viscosity generally leads to a higher printing fidelity; however, high viscosity will also lead to deleterious shear stress for cells during extrusion.^{46, 65} These considerations significantly narrow the scope for the physical properties of hydrogels for application in 3D printing.

Bioinks are often designed to gel instantaneously by making use of a fast polymerization of (meth)acrylates. For instance, a photo initiator can induce immediate curing of a bioink upon deposition, leading to strong filaments and precluding collapse of the printed structure. However, covalent crosslinking typically impedes processability, and needs to be controlled to prevent blocking of the printing nozzle, and often results in a static hydrogel with non-degradable kinetic chains from the polymerization. Therefore, the focus has been shifted to using reversible crosslinking such as dynamic covalent bonds, ionic interactions, supramolecular interactions and hydrogen bonds.¹¹ Mild conditions are suitable for crosslinking and these reversible interactions generally possess self-healing⁶⁶⁻⁶⁷ and shear thinning properties. Upon extrusion, these dynamic gels undergo a gel-sol transition, and after deposition the crosslinks recombine to renew the network. Shear thinning of reversible bonds has been shown to increase cell viability during printing.⁶⁸ Bioinks with supramolecular,³⁸

dynamic covalent,⁴⁹ and electrostatic interactions have all been successfully implemented.⁶⁹ Many double network hydrogels make use of reversible crosslinking as the energy dissipation mechanism responsible for their enhanced toughness and are consequently readily amenable to printing applications. For example, a second static crosslinking can be used to reinforce the structure after printing,⁷⁰ or static crosslinking can be used to regulate the viscosity by (partial) crosslinking before printing.⁷¹

Other than the nature of the networks and the crosslinking chemistry there are several other methods to obtain the needed viscosity, gelation rates, and shear thinning properties. For one, the viscosity can be altered by adding co-solvents, composite materials or various viscosity modifiers (e.g., alginate, cellulose). Materials with temperature dependent viscosity have also proven useful. And lastly, processing conditions like dual syringe systems and a coaxial extrusion systems have been successfully employed as tools for viscosity control.

2.1. Dynamic-static networks

2.1.1 lonic/static crosslinking

Within the category of dynamic/static crosslinking, one of the most widely-used strategies is to combine *covalent crosslinking with ionic crosslinking*. Alginate is very frequently used for biomaterials and a well-known example of a double network hydrogel is the alginate/ poly(acrylamide) (PAAm) system. Alginate is a naturally occurring polymer that can be crosslinked with multivalent cations that interact with its carboxylate groups.⁷² The poly(acrylamide) chains are covalently crosslinked with N',N'-methylene bisacrylamide (MBAA). Due to the prevalence of alginate/PAAm many attempts have been made to use this double network hydrogel in 3D printing. We will briefly focus on this system as an archetypal example of the various approaches towards using DN in bioprinting applications.

Alginate/PAAm double network hydrogels were first reported in 2012 by Sun et al,⁷³ employing a one-pot synthesis method to prepare tough hydrogels. The energy dissipation provided by the ionically crosslinked alginate network generated enhanced toughness. Single network alginate hydrogels are notoriously brittle, breaking at very low extension and with an elastic modulus of 17 kPa. On the contrary, single network PAAm hydrogels are relatively elastic, extending more than 10 times their original length but breaking at low stress (usually under 50kPa with an elastic modulus of 8 kPa). In stark contrast, Ca-Alg/PAAm double network hydrogels have far superior mechanical properties depending on the network ratio and crosslink density, with tensile strength of 500 kPa, elastic modulus of 50 kPa and extension of more than 10 times their original length. Of note, acrylamide monomer is prohibitively toxic to cells, while the acrylamide polymer is considered benign. Consequently, the Alg/PAAm system is better suited to use as a biomaterial ink rather than a bioink.

Bakarich et al. first reported 3D printing of a Alg/PAAm DN hydrogel in 2013⁷⁴ with the aim to determine whether this type of hydrogel could be used in extrusion printing while retaining the remarkable mechanical properties. Viscosity measurements was used to optimize the pre-gel solution before printing, and the addition of ethylene glycol as a co-solvent enabled suitable viscosity and shear-thinning behavior. Dog-bone tensile bars were made using extrusion printing and cured with UV light after each deposited layer (Figure 2). In general, the hydrogels had smaller work of extension than the casted hydrogels reported by Sun et al.⁷³ The structural flaws introduced by the filament-based printing process had a significant effect on the strain at failure as compared to the pristine cast hydrogels; however, the 3D printed hydrogel was still able to reach 300% elongation before break (900% with the casted hydrogels). The mechanical properties of these printed hydrogels were superior to other printed alginate structures. The same authors also reported printing of a thermoresponsive alginate/poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) DN hydrogel using a similar procedure.⁷⁵





In one of the most thorough examples for applying the alginate/PAAm system in 3D printing, Zhou et al.⁷⁶ printed tubes using *interfacial diffusion printing* (Figure 3). A bioink consisting of water, alginate, acrylamide, bacterial cellulose, MBAA and ammonium persulfate (APS, initiator) is extruded into a reactive aqueous medium consisting of calcium chloride and tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED, catalyst). Upon diffusion of calcium chloride and TEMED the gelation is initiated and a hydrogel is formed. Bacterial cellulose provides extra crosslinking via hydrogen bonds and is added as a mechanical enhancement. Artificial tubular grafts with different diameters were printed and tested for their similarity to blood vessels. Rheological, tensile and compression tests showed a Young's modulus (0.5 kPa) similar to

that of blood vessels (0.2–0.6 MPa) and the hydrogels could return to their original shape after compression. Further analysis showed very good hemo- and cell compatibility.



Figure 3. Tubular grafts printed using interfacial diffusion printing of Ca-Alg/PAAm dual network hydrogels modified with bacterial cellulose. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 76. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

There remain several other examples of alginate/PAAm printing with various modifications, however, without biocompatibility testing. Several of these strategies may have promising applications in biomedical engineering, yet must be more thoroughly tested for the suitability of tissue to grow within the scaffolds. For example in 2017 Liu et al reported an alginate/PAAm double network hydrogel with enhanced mechanical properties via the inclusion of amino-graphene oxide (aGO).⁷⁷ The alginate-aGO acts as a co-crosslinker in conjunction with Ca²⁺ and both increases the energy dissipation and introduces shear-thinning behavior essential for 3D printing. These cast materials showed impressive strength and elongation, 860 kPa an 3300 % respectively, which was significantly higher than the reference Ca-Alginate/PAAm DN hydrogel. The authors directly compared cast hydrogels to 3D printed hydrogels and noticed a moderate decrease in strength and elongation in the 3D printed structures (300 kPa and 2400%); these values nevertheless outperform the original control Ca-alginate/PAAm system. While this study did not investigate the biocompatibility of these gels or their suitability for bioprinting, all components have established biocompatibility in other systems.⁷⁸⁻⁷⁹

Nanocomposite materials such as hydroxy apatite and laponite can also be used to improve printability by enhancing the shear thinning properties of the bioink. The alginate/PAAm double network hydrogel has been used in combination with hydroxy apatite for the immobilization of glucose oxidase and catalase enzymes.⁸⁰ Cast cylindrical shapes reached a compression modulus of up to 7 MPa and multi-layer printed mesh structures with immobilized enzymes showed 100% protein recovery and 95% enzyme activity after 30 days. Laponite has also been shown to improve the printability of alginate/PAAm hydrogels, with structures of up to 100 layers being printed. The rheological properties of the laponite/alginate/PAAm system have been studied extensively, but no biocompatibility tests have been performed.⁶⁴ Nevertheless, intricately structured 3D scaffolds have been printed with fairly high resolution and impressive mechanics (Figure 4). The structural integrity was shown to depend highly on the concentration of laponite in the system. Ultimately, scaffolds with more than 40 layers were produced routinely with a dual extrusion printer head.



Figure 4. Incorporation of Laponite into double network alginate/PAAm hydrogels increases the printability of the double network. The concentration (a,b) of laponite has a marked effect on the printability, and optimization allows for the printing of (c) 10, (d) 50, and (e) 100 layer scaffolds via the (f) extrusion based printing process with (g) good print fidelity. Furthermore, using a dual extruder multi-layer scaffolds (h, i) can be fabricated. Scale bars represent 10 mm. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 64. Copyright 2018 Springer.

Another approach to 3D printing is using a *dual syringe 3D printing system*. Bootsma et al.⁸¹ printed cubic structures from alginate/poly(acrylamide), alginate/poly(NiPAAm) and alginate/poly⁶² double network hydrogels by using the CaCO₃/GDL system (introduced by Kuo and Ma in 2001)⁸² to control the gelation of alginate via slow release of Ca²⁺. One solution

containing alginate, acrylamide, MBAA and GDL was loaded into the first syringe. The second solution containing alginate, Irgacure and CaCO₃ was loaded into a separate syringe. Mixing of the two solutions occurs in a mixing head before extrusion. Upon extrusion the acrylamide network is polymerized and crosslinked upon UV radiation. The structures are therefore printed and crosslinked simultaneously. Mechanical tests were performed on cylindrical samples cut out of a printed sheet and compressive moduli of up to 25 kPa were reported.

Thermally induced sol-gel transitions can also be used to control the viscosity during printing. For example, an alginate/ poly(acrylamide) system by Wei et al. in 2015 used agar to leverage the thermal gelation upon printing.⁸³ Optimal printing conditions of this thermally reversible Alg/PAAm/agar hydrogel were determined using rheology. Dog bone samples were printed for tensile testing and reached a tensile strength and elastic modulus of up to 1 MPa and 870 kPa respectively, depending on the concentration and crosslinking of the alginate network (Figure 5). The mechanical properties of this 'triple network' hydrogel were far superior to printed structures of double network hydrogels such as alginate/PAAm or Agar/PAAm. However, the use of sol-gel transition in this specific example is limited in bioprinting as temperatures above 50 °C can induce cell death. Thermoresponsive polymers with transitions closer to physiological temperature could show promise with this strategy.



Figure 5. (a) Stress–strain curves and (b) photographs of tensile tests on dual and triple network structures comprising alginate, agar, and acrylamide components and (c) 3D printed structures including "dogbone" tensile bars and rings. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 83. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Although sometimes lacking the necessary compatibility studies for bioprinting, these examples of the archetypal alginate/PAAm system are pertinent illustrations of the different approaches to printing double network hydrogels. Down below follows an overview of printed double network hydrogels which show use in tissue engineering or show promising advances.

One promising system for tissue engineering is alginate/ hyaluronic acid catechol.⁸⁴ While alginate provides energy dissipation through dissociation of ionic bonds, covalent crosslinking (by oxidation with NaIO₄) of the catechol groups on hyaluronic acid instills mechanical integrity on the hydrogel. Mechanical testing was performed on the cast double network hydrogels, showing a compressive stress of 3.5 MPa, which is a drastic improvement compared to the alginate single network (0.35 MPa) and HA single network (0.16 MPa) (Figure 6a). Structures were printed using a two-step procedure. A solution containing partially crosslinked HA-catechol and alginate is first extruded. The NaIO₄ and the alginate in the solution provide the viscosity and shear-thinning behavior essential for printing. The printed structure is than stabilized and strengthened in a second step by immersion in a CaCl₂ solution to crosslink the alginate network (Figure 6b). Bioprinted cell-laden scaffolds were formed by encapsulating human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) before extrusion. The printed structures showed cell viability of 95% after extrusion and 85% after 14 days.



Figure 6. (a) compression strength testing of single network hyaluronic acid and alginate hydrogels and the comparison to the corresponding dual network; (b) several constructs 3D printed from the dual network. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 84. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

In 2019 Trachsel et al.⁸⁵ developed a printable cell laden DN hydrogel matrix from enzymatically crosslinked poly(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline) and ionically crosslinked alginate.

Cellulose nanofibrils were added to improve shear-thinning. Human auricular chondrocytes (HAuCs) were encapsulated within the matrix with high viability (90% after 21 days). Mechanical compression tests were performed with the DN hydrogel reaching a compressive modulus of only 30 kPa for the cast samples. Hong et al.⁸⁶ printed alginate/ poly(ethylene glycol)diacrylate (PEGDA) hydrogels using laponite as a rheology modifier. A facile one-step procedure was used for printing, after which the structures were crosslinked under UV light. Multilayered structures such as a hollow cube, a pyramid, a nose and an ear were printed. Biocompatibility of the printed hydrogel was investigated with human embryonic kidney cells encapsulated in a type 1 rat tail collagen solution which was gelled in the pores of the printed PEGDA/alginate/nanoclay structure. This collagen/PEGDA/alginate/nanoclay bioprinted hydrogel showed high cell viability over 7 days (95%).

Similar to the dual syringe system (*vide supra*), coaxial needles can also be used. For example, a bioink mixture containing alginate can flow out through the inner needle while the ionic CaCl₂ crosslinking solution flows through the outer needle. This allows inks with lower viscosity to be printed as crosslinking happens immediately upon extrusion. A bioink composed of alginate and gelatin methacroyl was printed into a multi-layered mesh grid structure using a coaxial needle extrusion system, employing UV light to cure the gelMA network after printing.⁸⁷ Cell viability of human umbilical vein endothelial cells reached 75%, even after 30 seconds of UV exposure. The same system has also been printed using a microgel assisted extrusion process.⁸⁸

Similar to alginate, *k*-carrageenan can be ionically crosslinked. At temperatures below 75 °C, κ-carrageenan has a helical structure. Introduction of cations (K⁺, Ca²⁺, Na²⁺) at this temperature leads to aggregation of the helices. These intermolecular forces lead to the formation of a three dimensional network.⁸⁹ The sol-gel transition of κ-carrageenan can facilitate 3D printing, as demonstrated by Bakarich et al.⁹⁰ A double network hydrogel composed of κ-carrageenan and poly(oxyalkene amine) was used to print dumbbell shaped samples for mechanical evaluation. The structure integrity was further reinforced by covalently crosslinking the poly(oxyalkene amine) with poly(ethylene glycol)diglycidylether (PEGDGE) via an epoxy-amine addition mechanism after printing. The printed KCG/EA gels were shown to be significantly stronger than printed alginate/PAAm hydrogels, with strength and elastic modulus up to 600 kPa and 320 kPa respectively and an extension of 3 times the original size. In 2017 Liu et al. employed a κ-carrageenan/ poly(acrylamide) hydrogel in bioprinting.⁹¹ The precursor solution was heated (40–70 °C) in the syringe prior to printing. After printing the structures were UV-cured for 1 hour to polymerize AAm/MBAA. Different 3D structures (e.g., mesh pattern, hollow prism, and hollow cube) were printed. However, as this publication focused on the application as a strain sensor, no biocompatibility studies were performed. Printing of a κ-carrageenan/ gelMA hydrogels was reported in 2020.92 This work uses a

combination of nano-reinforcements of laponite and the thermal gelation of gelMA after extrusion (Figure 7). Before extrusion the pregel solution is heated to 37 °C. The ink was covalently crosslinked with UV light and ionically crosslinked through submersion in a 5% KCl solution after extrusion. Compression tests were performed on a similar GelMA/κ-CG/laponite hydrogel and compared to the single network hydrogels in a previous publication.⁹³ Furthermore, cell viability tests were performed with human mesenchymal stem cells.



Figure 7. In a κ -carrageenean/gelMA dual network reinforced with Laponite, (a) printability was correlated with rapid viscosity recovery and thermal gelation during the printing process. The strong double network properties led to (b) bioprinted tube structures (7.5% GelMA, 1% κ -CG, 2% laponite) which could be completely collapsed and retain their shape. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 92. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

2.1.2 Dynamic covalent/static crosslinking

All of the above examples combine ionic crosslinking with covalent crosslinking. There are a few other examples of dynamic crosslinking that can be used to obtain a static/dynamic system. One of those is using a *dynamic covalent crosslinking* such as hydrazone, imines, borate esters and oxime bonds. In 2018 a hyaluronic acid double network hydrogel was reported using hydrazone dynamic crosslinking.⁹⁴ Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a glycosaminoglycan that is a constituent of the extracellular matrix in human tissue. HA has been used in several clinical applications owing to its biocompatibility. However, HA alone is not suitable for printing; chemical modification is often required to improve its properties. Modifying HA with hydrazide (HA-HYD) and aldehyde (HA-ALD) groups enables a dynamic covalent hydrazone crosslinking character. Dynamic covalent bonding provides the system with an energy dissipation mechanism as well as shear-thinning properties essential for printing. Mechanical integrity can be maintained by combining covalent crosslinking in the system, for example through covalent crosslinking of hyaluronic acid norborene with multivalent thiols via thiol-ene chemistry. The thiol-ene click reaction can be photoinitiated in

(e.g., UV radiation) after printing. The dual network hydrogels showed a similar strain at failure (~75%), yet an increased failure stress (25 vs 11 kPa) as compared to the non-crosslinked (only supramolecular network) samples.

2.1.3 Supramolecular interactions/static crosslinking

In a similar fashion *supramolecular interactions* can be used as dynamic crosslinking. For example, functionalizing HA with adamantane (HA-ADA) and cyclodextrin (HA-CD) supramolecular host-guest interactions led to shear thinning properties. Combining this with methacrylation of the HA gave rise to a double network hydrogel after curing,⁷⁰ with enhanced fiber resolution compared with the individual networks. However evaluating toughness in this system is still needed to uncover the potential mechanical benefits of the double network.

2.1.4 Hydrogen bonding/static crosslinking

A last example of static/dynamic DN hydrogels uses a combination of covalent crosslinking and *hydrogen bonding*. In 2019 Gao et al.⁹⁵ reported a double network hydrogel composed of covalently crosslinked gelatin methacrylate (gelMA) and poly(N-acryloyl 2glycine) (PACG). The two carboxyl groups present on the PACG backbone form hydrogen bonds, acting as an energy dissipation sink and thereby strengthening the hydrogel. The viscosity of the bioink was altered using the thermoreversible sol-gel transition (20-40 °C) and was found suitable for 3D printing due to its recovery after shear strain. Mechanical testing of the cast hydrogels showed compressive strength up to 12 MPa, tensile strengths up to 1 MPa, extension up to 250% and a Young's modulus up to 250 kPa, which are superior to the single network GeIMA (no comparison was made with SN PACG). Degradation of the scaffold in collagenase solution ranged from 7 to 100 days, dependent on the composition, with lower degradation rates for higher ACG contents. Furthermore, geIMA/PACG/Mn²⁺ gels promote cell adhesion and proliferation of hBMSCs. Osteochondral scaffolds (gradient scaffolds) were printed using an air-extrusion fabrication method. Printing cartridges were kept at 20 °C during fabrication on a platform at -10 °C, followed by UV irradiation (compressive strength up to 2.5 MPa and compressive modulus of up to 250 kPa). Although these results are very promising, the system was not printed with cells.

2.2. Dynamic-dynamic networks

Dynamic/dynamic DN hydrogels make exclusive use of reversible crosslinking, such as ionic interactions, H-bonding, dynamic covalent bonds and supramolecular interactions. Obtaining strong hydrogels using exclusively dynamic interactions remains formidable. As such, only a few examples of printed DN hydrogels are reported in this category. A DN hydrogel consisting of alginate and poly(acrylamide-co-acrylic acid) was printed by Li et al, using only ionic

crosslinking with $Fe^{3+.96}$ The viscosity of the ink was adjusted using silicone oil (ca. 10 wt %). Cast hydrogels showed remarkable mechanical properties with tensile stress up to 2.5 MPa and strain up to 1500%. Although cast samples displayed impressive mechanics, these hydrogels could only be printed in very acidic (pH = 1) or very alkaline (pH = 14) conditions.

For PVA a freeze/thaw method was used to produce hydrogels in which PVA crystallites serve as physical crosslinks. In combination with an ionically crosslinked hyaluronic acid network, tough hydrogels (toughness of 19.6 MJ/m³, E = 10 MPa) were formed without any polymerization reactions being applied.⁹⁷ The necessary viscoelastic properties for printing were achieved by using high molar mass HA. The precursor solution was printed into different patterns after which they were dehydrated, annealed at high temperature and immersed in an FeCl₃ solution. Although the cast PVA/HA acid hydrogels show promising mechanical properties, additional testing was not performed on the printed structures. Furthermore, no swelling experiments or cell viability tests were performed.

2.3. Static-Static networks

In 2017 a multistep 3D printing procedure for printing PAMPS/PAAm DN hydrogels was reported.⁹⁸ Laponite nanoclay was used to alter the viscosity and shear-thinning properties of the ink. An extrusion printer was used to print a pregel solution containing Laponite, AMPS, MBAA and photoinitiator. The structures were cured with UV light after printing to obtain the covalently crosslinked PAMPS network. This gel was subsequently soaked in a mixture containing acrylamide, MBAA and photoinitiator. The stee printed for mechanical testing and evaluated for their potential as a meniscus replacement, with the best match having a stiffness of 0.44 MPa.

Table 1.	Summarv	of dual-network	hvdroaels	used for 3) printing.
TUDIC I.	Garmary	of dual fielwork	nyarogeis		printing.

Polymer	Polymer networks	Cells	Key details	Ref
source				
Dynamic/S	tatic Networks			
Synthetic/	1- PAMPS	-	Other components: Laponite	99
Synthetic	(ionic)		Cast: Tensile strength >1.6 MPa,	
	2- PAAm		Fracture energy > 8000 Jm ⁻²	
	(covalent)		Printed: Tensile strength 0.6 MPa,	
	``````````````````````````````````````		Fracture energy > 5000 Jm ⁻²	
Natural/	1- Alginate	hMSC, Human	Other components: Laponite	86
Synthetic	(ionic, CaCl2)	Embryonic	Cast: 400% elongation, tensile	
	2- PEGDA	Kidney 293	strength 200 kPa, fracture energy	
	(covalent)	cells	1500Jm ⁻²	
			Printed: 300% elongation,	
			resolution +- 500 μm	
Natural/	1- Alginate	NIH 3T3	Other components: Carbopol gel	88
Synthetic	(ionic, CaCl ₂ )	mouse	as structural support	
	2- GelMA (covalent)	fibroblasts	Printed (tubular constructs) E= 25	
		(24h)	kPa, Compr. strength 0.07 MPa, 70	
			to 140 $\mu m$ filament diameter	
			Mechanical properties of DN	
			exceed those of SNs.	
		Human	Printing resolution +- 150 $\mu$ m	87
		umbilical vein	Printed: E=15-55 kPa	
		endothelial		
		cells (3 days)		
Natural/	1- Alginate	-	Other components: Ethylene glycol	74
Synthetic	(ionic, CaCl ₂ )		Printed: 300% elongation, work of	
	2- Acrylamide		extension 260 kJm ⁻³ , tensile	
	(covalent MBAA)		strength 170 kPa, E= 66 kPa	
			Cast: 900% elongation, tensile	
			strength 220 kPa	
		-	Other components: Laponite	90,64
		-	Other components: Hypoxyapatite,	80
			Glucose oxidase, Catalase	
			Cast: Comp. modulus 7.16 MPa,	
			storage modulus 32,960 Pa	

		L929 cells and	Other components: Bacterial	76
		rat aortic	cellulose	
		endothelial	E = 0.5 MPa	
		cells (RAOEC)	Application: artificial tubular grafts	
		-	Other components:	77
			Alginate - grapheneoxide	
			Printed: Tensile strength 300 kPa,	
			2400% elongation	
			Cast: Tensile strength 800 kPa,	
			3250% elongation	
			Mechanical properties of DN exceed those of SNs.	
		_	Other components:	83
			Agar (dynamic, H-bonding)	
			Printed: Tensile strength 1 MPa, E	
			= 870 kPa, 230% elongation	
			Application: cartilage tissue	
			engineering	
Natural/	1- Alginate	-	Printed: Tensile strength 0.05 MPa,	75
Synthetic	(ionic, CaCl ₂ )		Strain 50% (at 20°C)	
	2- NiPAAm			
	(covalent, MBAA)			
Natural/	1- Alginate (ionic,	-	Mechanical properties of both cast	81
Synthetic	CaCO₃ + GDL)		and printed hydrogels measured	
	2- P(AAm)		for all compositions	
	(covalent, MBAA)			
	Or P(NiPAAm)			
	Or P(HEA)			
	Or P(NiPAAm-co-			
	HEA)			
Natural/	/ 1- Alginate	Human	Other components:	85
Synthetic	(ionic, CaCl ₂ )	auricular	Cellulose nanofibrils (CNFs)	
	2- PFOXA- nentide	chondrocytes	Cast: Comp. modulus 30 kPa	
		$(h\Delta C_{e})$ 14	Printing resolution 410 $\mu$ m	
		$d_{0}$	Mechanical properties of DN	
		uays	exceed those of SNs.	
Natural/	1- HA-catechol	HUVEC, 14	Cast: Tensile strength 0.1-1.2	84
Natural	(covalent)	days	MPa, Elongation 400 – 75%,	

	2- Alginate (ionic,			compression modulus up to 300	
	CaCl ₂ )			kPa, Toughness up to 461 kJm ⁻³	
				Mechanical properties of DN	
				exceed those of SNs.	
Natural/	1- Alginate (ionic,	-		Other components:	100
Natural	CaCl2)			Cellulose nanocrystals	
	2- Gelatin (covalent,			Pore size of printed hydrogel 80-	
	glutaraldehvde)			2125 μm	
	(j)			No mechanical testing	
Natural/	1- K-carrageenan	-		Cast: fracture energy 6150Jm ⁻² ,	91
Synthetic	(ionic)			elongation 2000%, tensile strength	
-	2- AAm (covalent,			550 kPa, E= 100 kPa	
	MBAA)			Printed: comp. strain 90%	
				Mechanical properties of DN	
				exceed those of SNs.	
Natural/	1- K-carrageenan	-		Other components: Nanosilicates	93
Synthetic	(ionic)			Printed: compressive modulus 70	
	2- GelMA (covalent)			kPa, pore diameter 20-50 μm	
				Mechanical properties of DN	
				exceed those of SNs.	
		hMSCs,	60	Other components: Nanosilicates	92
		days		Printed: Compression modulus	
				150 kPa, Toughness 100 kJ m ⁻²	
				Application bone tissue	
				reconstruction	
Natural/	1- Gellan gum	Murine	bone	Printed: Young's modulus up to	101
Synthetic	(ionic)	marrow		200 kPa, compressive strength up	
	2- PEGDA (cov)	stromal c	ells	to 55 kPa	
Natural/	1- Acrylamide	-		Other components: Laponite	102
Synthetic	(covalent, MBAA)			Printed: tensile strength 0.47 MPa,	
,	2- Agarose			elongation 1258%, comp. strength	
	(H-bonding)			18 MPa, Toughness 4 MPa	
				Mechanical properties of DN	
				exceed those of SNs.	
Natural/	1- Acrylamide	-		Cast: compressive modulus up to	103
Synthetic	(covalent, MBAA)			774 kPa	
	2- Polypyrrole-				
	chitosan (ionic Ee ³⁺ )				

Natural/	1- Silk Fibroin (ionic,	hMSCs, 21	Resolution: 220 μm	104
Natural	CaCl2)	days	Application: bone tissue	
	2- Gelatin +		engineering	
	tyrosinase			
	(covalent)			
Natural/	1- Silk serin +	L929, HSF,	Pore size 350-430 μm	105
Natural	ethanol	HaCat and	Filament diameter 112-167 $\mu m$	
	2- GelMA (covalent)	HSF/ HaCat, 7	Application: wound care	
		or 14 days		
Natural/	1- PNAGA (physical,	hBMSCs, 7-14	Cast: comp. strength 12 MPa,	95
Synthetic	H-bonds)	days	tensile strength 1 MPa, elongation	
	2- GelMA (covalent)		250%, E= 250 kPa	
			Printed: comp. strength > 1MPa	
			Mechanical properties of DN	
	1 Ukunkumania asid		exceed those of SNs.	106
Natural/	1- Hyaluronic acid-	NIH 313, 5	strain 75%	100
Synthetic		days	Application: wound dressings	
	(covalent, MBAA)			
	Fe ^{or} )		<b>F</b> 'lless and all <b>a</b> 400, 500, and	70
Natural/	1- Methacrylated HA	NIH 313, 5	Filament size 100-500 µm	10
Natural	(covalent)	days	Printed: comp. modulus 20 kPa	
	2- Adamantane-HA			
	+ Cyclodextrin-HA			
	(supramolecular)			04
Natural/	1- HA-hydrazide +	NIH 3T3		94
Natural	HA-aldehyde	tibroblasts (14		
	(dynamic covalent)	days)- only		
	2- HA-norborene	with hydrogel 1		
	(covalent, PETMA)	and not DN.		
Dynamic/D	ynamic Networks	1		
Natural/	1- Alginate (ionic,	-	Other components: Silica	96
Synthetic	Fe ³⁺ )		Cast: tensile strength 3 MPa, E=	
	2- P(AAm-co-AAc)		0.94 MPa, Toughness 25 MJ m ⁻³ ,	
	(ionic, Fe ³⁺ )			

Natural/	1- PVA (physical	-	Cast: Tensile strength 3-6 MPa,	97
Synthetic	through PVA		Elongation 250-400%, E= 2-5 MPa,	
	crystals)		Toughness: 3-20 MJ m ⁻³	
	2- Hvaluronic acid		Mechanical properties of DN	
			exceed those of SNs.	
	(ionic, Fe ³⁺ )			
Static/Stati	c Networks			
Synthetic/	1- PAMPS	-	Other components: Laponite	98
Synthetic	(covalent)		Printed: Tensile strength 0.16-1.4	
	2- PAAm (covalent)		MPa, Compr. strength 18-94 MPa,	
			Toughness 400- 3000 kJm ⁻ 3,	
			Resolution 750 $\mu m$	
			Application: Cartilage tissue	
			engineering	

^a selected examples have been expanded upon in the text.

# 3. DN as injectable hydrogels

In the field of tissue engineering, hydrogels that can be formed *in situ* by injection into the human body have many advantages; injectable hydrogels offer minimally invasive and less painful treatments, the ability to fill complex voids or form unusual shapes and the ability to carry and transport functional biomolecules and/or cells. As previously mentioned for bioinks, the focus of injectable hydrogel design has been shifted from covalent and static networks to stimuli-responsive and dynamic hydrogels that perform better as biomimetic materials. The mechanical properties have a key role in the therapeutic potential of hydrogels for tissue regeneration, and most conventional, single network hydrogels lack the mechanical strength exhibited by many natural tissues (e.g. bones, cartilage, muscle and ligaments). Since static covalently crosslinked hydrogels are typically brittle and dynamic hydrogels often exhibit pseudoplastic deformation with low resistance to loading, double network hydrogels have become an attractive strategy to recapitulate stiffness and biological tissue's resilience toward repeated loading.¹⁰⁷

The preparation of double networks as injectable hydrogels is still challenging. An injectable hydrogel initially requires fluidity followed by fast gelation after injection in order to establish mechanical integrity at the injection site. An ideal injectable hydrogel exhibits shear-thinning behavior that facilitates flow through a needle and allows shape filling within complicated tissue scaffolds.¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰ The material should readily interact with or adhere to neighboring tissues. It must solidify by chemical or physical crosslinking *in situ* and promote regeneration of new tissue. Naturally, the injectable material must be biocompatible, stable at

physiological conditions, biodegradable and mechanically robust. Thus, double networks can be designed to embody many of these characteristics, and are a promising strategy not only to improve mechanical properties, but also to enhance the biological properties by including natural polymers, which generally are biocompatible and favor cell adhesion and proliferation. Interestingly, while significant focus has been on shear thinning (i.e. rheological) behavior and its relation to injectability, recent modeling from Appel and coworkers suggests that shear rate, extrusion pressure, and syringe selection all play critical roles in determining injectability.¹¹¹

## 3.1. Dynamic-static networks

The majority of examples of dynamic/static DN hydrogels for injectability were obtained by combining both physically and chemically cross-linked networks. While photocuring (of olefins) is the most common approach to form covalent crosslinks, there are many variations of non-covalent crosslinking, including electrostatic interactions, host-guest assembly and thermally-induced folding. Table 2 provides an overview of the systems covered in this section, whereby the examples are categorized by crosslink character (*i.e.*, static/dynamic), with additional details regarding specific network composition included.

Polymer source	Polymer networks	Cell-laden	Key details	Ref.
Dynamic/S	Static Networks			
Natural/	C-C (photocuring,	CCD-	Tissue engineering (TE).	112
Synthetic	covalent)/ ionic	112CoN	One single solution with all	
	(physic)	human	components except CaCl ₂ ,	
	1- PEGMA and	fibroblasts	injection was not tested.	
	MBAA.		Rheological properties of DN	
	2- Alginate and Ca ²⁺		slightly higher than that of SN.	
Natural/	Amide (covalent) /	NIH 3T3	Bone TE.	113
Natural	ionic (physic) / Hbond	fibroblasts	Two-step method for preparation	
	(supramolecular)		injection was not tested.	
	1- alginate and		Mechanical properties of DN were	
	gelatin, EDC/NHS		two times higher than that of SN.	
	2- alginate and Zn ²⁺		5	
Natural/	Host-guest	MSCs	Load-bearing materials for TE.	107
Natural	(supramolecular) / C-		One pot method.	
	S (covalent)		The addition of methacrylic	
	1- CD-HA and ADA-		groups on HA improved the	
	HA		mechanical properties of DN.	
	2- Methacrylated HA			
	and dithiothreitol			

Table 2. Summar	v of dual-network h	vdroaels used	for injection
	<b>,</b>		

Natural/	Thermal, folding	NIH 3T3	Cell research and TE.	114
Synthetic	(physical) / amide	fibroblasts	One pot method.	
	(covalent) 1- Agarose 2- 4-arm-PEG-NH2 and 4-arm-PEG-NHS		DN enhanced the mechanical properties and the energy dissipation capacity compared to SN.	
Natural/	C-C (photocuring,	L929	Regenerative medicine and TE.	115
Natural	covalent) / imine	fibroblasts	Dual syringe method.	
	(DCVC) 1- Oxidized alginate with acrylate groups (AMSA), UV 2- Aminogelatin and AMSA		DN enhanced the mechanical properties compared to SN.	
Natural/	C-C (photocuring,	L929	Articular cartilage repair.	116
Natural	covalent) / imine (DCvC)	fibroblasts	One step method, but injection was not tested.	
	1-Oxidized dextran and gelatin 2- GelMA, UV		DN showed superior mechanical properties than SN.	
Dynamic/L	Dynamic Networks			
Natural/	Borate ester (DCvC) /	SMMC-	Drug delivery and TE.	117
Synthetic	Imine (DCvC)	//21	One pot method.	
	functionalized with phenylboronic acid (MF-PEG), 2- Glycol chitosan and MF PEG	hepatoma cells	DN enhanced strength and mucoadhesive properties compared to SN.	
Natural/	Imine (DCvC) / imine	C2C12	Drug delivery and TE.	118
Natural	(DCvC) 1- Carboxymethyl	myoblasts and	One step method, but injection was not tested.	
	chitosan and oxidized dextran 2- Polyaniline-grafted gelatin and oxidized dextran	adipose- derived MSCs	SN mechanical properties were not tested.	
Natural/	Imine (DCvC) /	NIH 3T3	TE and drug delivery.	119
Natural	hydrazone (DCvC)	fibroblasts	One pot method.	
	and gelatin		Only the mechanical and	
	2- Oxidized alginate		tested.	
	and adipic acid			
Natural/		in vivo	Drug delivery	120
Natural	(physical) / thermal		One not method	
	folding (physical)			

	1- Methylcellulose		The rheological properties are slightly better than that of SN.	
Natural/	Thermal folding	Human		121
Synthetic	(physical) / Hbond	adipose-		
e y na rou e	(supramolecular)	derived	One pot method.	
	1- 8-arm-PEG-	MSCs	Mechanical properties of	
	P1(protein)-PNIPAM		supramolecular network improved by adding NIPA as block upit to 8	
	copolymer		arm-PEG-P1.	
	2- C7 (protein)			
Natural/	Ionic (physic) / Hbond	L929	Bone TE.	122
Synthetic	(physic)	fibroblasts	One pot method.	
	1- 4-	in vivo	Both DN and BG improved the	
	carboxyphenylboronic		mechanical properties (tough	
	acid grafted PVA and		hydrogels) compared to SN.	
	Ca ²⁺			
	2- Bloactive glass			
Notural/	(DG) and PEG		Dynamic surface coatings	123
Natural	/ Host-quest	-	controlled release and TE.	-
Inatural	(supramolecular)			
	1- DNA Y and DNA			
	linker		Compression modulus of DN	
	2- Phenylalanine-			
	grafted carboxymethyl			
	cellulose and			
	cucurbit[8]uril			
Natural/	Imine (DCvC) / ionic	-	Cell delivery for cartilage repair.	124
Natural	(physic)		Dual syringe method.	
	1-Glycol chitosan and		Mechanical properties of DN	
	dialdehyde-PEO		increased remarkably compared	
	2- Alginate and Ca ²		to SN.	
Natural/	Imine (DCvC) / ionic	VECs and	Bone TE.	125
Natural	(pnysic)	BN-MSCs,	Dual syringe method.	
	1- Glycol chitosan and	ΙΠ ΫΙνο	Mechanical properties of DN	
	2- Alginate and Ca ²⁺		increased remarkably compared	
	2- Aiginate and Oa		to SN.	
Natural/	Thermal, folding	-	Cell therapy and TE.	19
INATURA	(pnysical) / DA		One pot method.	
	1 Pluropic E127		Rheological properties of DN	
	arafted chitosan		improved compared to SN.	
	2- Furan-gelatin and			
	maleimide-PEG			
Natural/	Hydrazone (DCvC) /	Human	Injectable delivery of stem cells.	126
Natural	thermal, folding	adult	One not method	
	(physical)	MSCs		

Natural/ Natural	1- Hydrazine-modified elastin-like protein (ELP-HYD) and aldehyde-modified hyaluronic acid. 2- ELP Thermal, folding (physical) / imine (DCvC) 1- Agar 2- Glycol-chitosan and	NIH 3T3 fibroblasts	DN showed a remarkably enhancement of rheological properties compared to SN. Protein immobilization, cell/drug delivery, and TE. One pot method. The fracture energy of DN was	127
	oxidized carboxymethyl cellulose		nigher than that of SN, but the compression modulus of DN did not improve compared to SN.	
Natural/	Hydrophobic	Antibacteri	Skin wound healing.	128
Synthetic	interactions (physic) /	al tests,	One pot method.	
	1-Benzaldehyde- terminated Pluronic F127 (PF127-CHO) 2- Chitosan and PF127-CHO	fibroblasts in vivo	Only the mechanical properties of DN were tested.	100
Synthetic	H bond (physical) /	L2929 fibroblasts	Stressful working tissues.	129
, Synthetic	1- PAA-co-PDAAM	11010018313	One pot method.	
	and adipic acid dihydrazide 2- PAA-co-PDAAM and PVP		DN strategy enables strong and tough hydrogels.	
Synthetic	H bond (physical) /	-	TE and drug delivery.	130
/ Synthetic	1- Hydrazone (DCVC)		Dual syringe method.	
	functionalized PNIPAM and dialdehyde dextrin 2- poly(N-acryloyl glycinamide) PNAGA		DN enhanced the mechanical properties compared to SN.	
Static/Stat	ic Networks	MCG2		131
Natural	1- Thiol-HA and 2- dithiopyridyl-HA 2- Fibrinogen with	human osteosarc oma cells.	First, two solutions were prepared and then mixed in one single syringe.	
	thrombin		DN showed an increased stiffness compared to fibrin SN.	

Chee *et al.* reported one of the first examples of an injectable double network system.¹¹² DN hydrogels were constructed of biocompatible poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (PEGMA) and alginate. The authors described the initial UV curing to form a PEGMA network followed by alginate crosslinking with CaCl₂. Selection of materials was based primarily on biocompatibility. In addition, the authors highlight that alginate solutions have purported shielding effects to protect cells from otherwise destructive mechanical force/shearing during injection. Even though the fibroblast viability and mechanical properties were not compared with the corresponding single networks, this work served as an important early framework demonstrating the potential of DN hydrogels as injectable scaffolds.

#### 3.1.2. Dynamic supramolecular (H bond, GH, thermal folding) / Static covalent

An alternative strategy to produce two independent networks involves using a dual syringe, as was proposed by Bu *et al.* and Rodell *et al.* in the following examples.^{107, 114} According to the description, initial crosslinking occurs only when the two solutions are mixed *in situ*.

In the first example, four-armed poly(ethylene glycol) succinimidyl ester (4-arm-PEG-NHS) and four-armed poly(ethylene glycol) amine (4-arm-PEG-NH₂) were reacted to form amides under physiological conditions. The second physically crosslinked network was based on thermally-induced folding of agarose.¹¹⁴ While details of the gelation time and rheological characterization were absent, the dual network led to enhanced mechanical properties (compression modulus of 8–30 MPa for a composition range of 4–10 wt % PEG) compared to single networks (< 5 MPa). The authors suggested that the agarose network ruptures into small clusters and dissipates energy contributing to pronounced hysteresis during compression. Employing a double network not only improved stiffness, but also enhanced energy dissipation and provided deformation–recovery ability owing to the physical (*i.e.*, non-covalent) nature of the second network. Promising injectability and biocompatibility (*in vitro* and *in vivo*) were also reported for these DN hydrogels.

In the second example, supramolecular host-guest (HG) assembly was utilized to develop a rapidly self-healing primary network, where  $\beta$  -cyclodextrin (CD) and adamantane (Ad) were chosen as the host and guest, respectively, due to their high-affinity complexation.¹⁰⁷ Hyaluronic acid (HA) was separately coupled with CD and Ad to form CD-HA and Ad-HA, respectively. The second network was obtained by Michael addition between methacrylate-HA (Me-HA) and dithiothreitol (DTT) at pH 8. Two precursor solutions were prepared: 1) CD-HA and Me-HA, and 2) Ad-HA and DTT, which were mixed to prepare the final DN hydrogel. Gelation occurred immediately and the material exhibited impressive mechanical properties, which were tunable by the GH hydrogel concentration (compressive modulus between 2 and 50 kPa). However, the materials were ductile and deformation was non-recoverable. In an effort to improve mechanical performance, the authors incorporated

methacrylate groups to the HG network (MethGH DN), leading to compressive moduli between 2 and 220 kPa. MethGH DN hydrogels demonstrated exceptional recovery with only minor and localized defects observed. The supramolecular interactions gave rise to self-healing properties due to rapid and reversible association, similar to many biological tissues. The dynamic supramolecular bonds enabled injection, which is crucial for minimally invasive delivery in biomedical applications. Furthermore, the DN hydrogels showed high cell viability with MSCs (ca. 95%), with the ability for the cells increase metabolic activity over 14 days post encapsulation.

DN hydrogels comprising two non-independent cross-linked networks have also been reported. In general, these cases involve a polymer that has orthogonal functional groups capable of generating different types of bonds.

For example, Wang *et al.* proposed a DN hydrogel in which alginate is crosslinked with gelatin by amides (EDC/NHS coupling) and with itself by ionic interactions with zinc ions.¹¹³ The material included nano-crystalline cellulose (NCC) for nano-reinforcement to improve mechanical properties with the aim of applying these hydrogels in bone tissue regeneration. Compression moduli of hydrogels (without NCC) decrease with pore size (from 50 to 37 kPa), but the opposite behavior was observed for NCC hydrogels (from 75 to 92 kPa). The chemical and physical crosslinking allowed tuning the mechanical properties and stability of DN hydrogel. The chemical crosslinking using EDC/NHS created a stable amide linkage and improved hydrogel life, whereas the hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interaction of NCC led to improved mechanics. Furthermore, NCC improved the degradation rate (from 50% to 85% at day 7) and swelling percentage (from 1400% to 900% at 24 h). The potential for healing bone defects was suggested by the authors.

#### 3.1.3. Dynamic covalent / Static covalent

Yuan *et al.* proposed a double network based on dual cross-linked alginate with both dynamic covalent and covalent crosslinks.¹¹⁵ In this case, alginate was first oxidized to generate aldehyde groups and then reacted with 2-aminoethylmethacrylate through EDC/NHS coupling to form a multifunctional alginate (AMSA). Thus, amine groups of amino gelatin (AG, gelatin previously modified with ethylendiamine) and aldehyde groups of AMSA reacted quickly (120 s) via Schiff base condensation to form the primary network, and then acrylate groups of AMSA reacted (15 min) via UV irradiation to produce the secondary network. These gels were injected with a dual syringe method to form the final hybrid gel constructs, whereupon mechanical testing was performed (Figure 8a). Compared with the single network analogs, the AMSA/AG dual crosslinked hydrogels showed higher crosslink density, enhanced mechanical properties (fracture stress 0.015 MPa and 2.5 MPa, respectively), lower swelling ratio (3 and 2 respectively) and lower degradation rate (40% and 70% mass remaining at day

30, respectively) (Figure 8b). In addition, the *in vitro* cytotoxicity activity of L929 cells on the hydrogels surface tests showed that the double network hydrogels allow proliferation of cells over 4 days when modified with RGD peptides.



*Figure 8.* (a) Dual syringe injection method employed on Alginate–Gelatin dual network systems and (b) tensile tests comparing the dual network with the corresponding single network. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 115. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

Zhou *et al.* proposed a similar double network strategy using a combination of staticand dynamic-covalent crosslinking.¹¹⁶ As in the previous example, the first network was crosslinked via Schiff-base reaction (between gelatin and oxidized dextran) and the second network was formed by UV irradiation (gelatin methacrylate GelMA). The authors suggested that the lower swelling ratios of DN hydrogels relative to SN hydrogels led to lower degradation rate. Combining dynamic covalent crosslinking (imine bonds) and photo-crosslinking (C-C bonds) also improved the mechanical properties (G' = 7.3 kPa, compression modulus = 270 kPa) compared to single network (GelMA G' = 2.3 kPa, compressive modulus = 77 kPa). In addition, the reversible nature of imine linkages enabled rapid shape recovery after deformation. The aldehyde groups in the DN hydrogel were also suggested to react with amine groups of cartilage tissue via Schiff-base coupling to promote integration and improve adhesion to tissue. Thus, the dynamic covalent crosslinking improved both mechanical properties and tissue integration. Furthermore, the DN gels exhibited biocompatibility and enhanced formation of hyaline cartilage-like tissues.

#### 3.2. Dynamic-Dynamic networks

#### 3.2.1. Dynamic covalent

Li *et al.* described the development of conductive injectable hydrogels using gelatin grafted with polyaniline (GP).^{55, 118} GP and carboxymethyl-chitosan (CMCS) were both cross-linked with oxidized dextran (OD), again via the Schiff-base reaction. Gelation occurred rapidly at 37 °C. Polyaniline concentration was employed as a handle to modulate gelation time, swelling (70 to 140%), storage modulus (924, 571 and 21 kPa) and pore size (75, 125 and 200 µm). The crosslinking degree decreased with increasing polyaniline content due to consumption of amine group on gelatin chains. The authors also studied the link between polyaniline content and C2C12 myoblast and ADMSC cells. The hydrogels containing polyaniline led to enhanced cell proliferation, attributed to the myoblast cells responding to electrical stimulation. In vitro testing and subcutaneous implantation in rats were consistent with biocompatibility. Despite the dynamic crosslinking strategy, shear-thinning or self-healing behavior was not reported.

Recently, Li et al. described using a single polymeric crosslinker to form the double network hydrogels with two dynamic linkages, namely imines and borate esters.¹¹⁷ First, benzaldehyde and phenylboronic acid groups were attached at either end of a PEG backbone to obtain a heterotelechelic multifunctional PEG (MF-PEG). Then, MF-PEG simultaneously reacted with poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) through the borate ester and glycol chitosan (GC) via an imine to generate a DN hydrogel at mild conditions (pH  $\approx$  7, 25 °C). The gelation time of different hydrogel formulations were tested and the results showed that a SN based on PVA gels faster (2 s) than SN of GC (100 s), consistent with the rapid formation of borate ester. The storage modulus (G' 1–6 kPa) and mucoadhesive strength (2–6 kPa) were higher than the constituent single networks. The dynamic nature of both network connectors endowed these hydrogels with self-healing and shear-thinning behavior, but the mechanical properties were inferior compared with conventional DNs having static covalent crosslinking. The biocompatibility of these hydrogels were tested in vitro (>80%, human hepatoma cell line) and in vivo (mouse), suggesting that the hydrogels did not cause allergic reaction, inflammation or cell-toxicity. In addition, the authors tested the dynamic DN hydrogel as an injectable drug carrier (Figure 9a, b). Doxorubicin (Dox) was incorporated into the hydrogel followed by direct injection into a human tumor implanted in a mouse. The injectable hydrogel delivery of DOX led to enhanced suppression of tumor growth. The gradual release of DOX was attributed to the dynamic linkages.



Figure 9. (a) Fluorescent imaging and (b) weight after resection of a fluorescently labeled human tumor in a mouse model shows that a DOX loaded injectable double network hydrogel slows tumor growth. The performance of in situ formed (c1) BG loaded PVA gel (PVA/BG gel), (c2) PVA/PEG gel, and (c3) PVA/BG DN gel against compression. Demonstration of the toughness of in situ formed PVA/BG DN hydrogel (containing 10% BG) by knotting and then unhitching (c, the bottom images). Microcomputed tomography (micro-CT) images (d) of the rabbit joints after treatment for 6 and 12 weeks. The PVA/BG DN gel contained 40% of PEG and 20% of BG particles. Red circles represent the projection of the defects, representing a diameter of 5 mm. The bottom images are the top view and side view of the 3D constructed bone-like tissue in the defect site (green color). Histopathological sections (e) of bone defects left untreated or being treated by different formulations. The PVA/BG DN gel contained 40% of PEG and 20% of BG particles. Red circles represent the projection of the defects with a diameter of 5 mm. HB means host bone, NB means new bone, and Gel describes the residual hydrogel piece. (a) and (b) Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 117. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (c), (d) and (e) Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 122. Copyright 2019 Wiley-VCH.

Combining dynamic hydrazone and imine bonds was explored by Wang *et al.* whereby DN hydrogels were formed by reaction of aldehyde groups of oxidized alginate (OSA) with amino groups of gelatin (GE) and hydrazides of adipic acid dihydrazide (ADH).¹¹⁹ The novelty

of this work lies with the macro-porous structure generated via high-speed shearing, which was reported to facilitate cellular infiltration and nutrient diffusion. The double dynamic crosslinking significantly enhanced the storage modulus (between ~15 and ~55 kPa) compared to single networks (between ~0.18 and ~0.60 kPa). This improvement is comparable to hydrogels having (static) chemical crosslinks. The dynamic linkages endow hydrogels with self-healing and injectability, demonstrated by rheology tests. The water uptake (ca. 400%), degradation rate (around 70% remaining weight) and mechanical properties of hydrogels could be tuned by polymer concentration and hence crosslinking degree. Considering both the structure and chemical features of the material design, the authors developed macroporous injectable hydrogels with good biocompatibility and the capacity of cell/drug loading. Sustained release of a growth factor (HEGF) was observed, and *in vivo* subcutaneous injection studies showed controllable inflammatory and toxic responses in rat models.

#### 3.2.2. Dynamic covalent / ionic

Injectable DN hydrogels have also been reported by combining dynamic covalent crosslinking and ionic bonding.¹²⁴ Yang et al. described a first network formed via dynamic covalent bonding between glycol chitosan (GC) and dibenzaldehyde-PEO and a second network crosslinked by electrostatic interactions between calcium ions (Ca²⁺) and alginate. As expected, polymer concentration and crosslinking type strongly influenced gelation times and thus injectability. Sol-gel transition of alginate network (ionic crosslinking, 2 min) was faster than that of the glycol chitosan network (DCvC, 4 min). However, the DN hydrogels (3 min) showed gelation time values between both SN suggesting that the ionic network drives the sol-gel transition. Syringe injection was possible to make various scaffold constructs (Figure 10a). The fracture energy of DN (416 J/m²) and SN (~40 J/m² GC, ~240 J/m² Alg) was consistent with energy dissipation via disruption of ionic bonding during compressive strain (Figure 10b). Importantly, the fracture energy of these DNs is in the range of cartilage  $(10^2 - 10^3 \text{ J/m}^2)$ . Antifatigue behavior of the DN hydrogels was also remarkable (> 100 cycles), showing that the dynamic crosslinking strategy allows excellent crosslink recovery after deformation. Biocompatibility was verified by both *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests; extensive tissue damage was not observed after the subcutaneous injection of DN hydrogels into BALB/c mice.



*Figure 10.* (a) Injection and compression photographs of dual networks comprising chitosan/PEO and alginate and (b) compressive stress–strain measurements on the dual networks and corresponding single networks. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 124. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

In a follow-up, the same authors investigated the potential application of the DN hydrogel for bone tissue regeneration focused on promoting rapid vascularization.¹²⁵ The authors observed enhanced vascularization and osteogenesis upon encapsulating vascular endothelial and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells into the DN hydrogels. These properties were attributed to the direct co-culture in dynamic DN hydrogel due to cell-cell communication both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The reversibility of the crosslinks (imine bonding and ionic interactions) favors cell-to-cell connection during cell proliferation, promoting the angiogenic and osteogenic differentiation of the stem cells. These reports suggest that both double network and dynamic crosslinking strategies are essential to mimic the ECM properties enhancing cell communication.

#### 3.2.3. Dynamic covalent / H bond and VDW (thermo-gelling)

Abandansari *et al.* prepared DN hydrogels by combining slow Diels-Alder chemistry with fast thermo-responsive crosslinking to modulate both gelation time and mechanical properties.¹⁹ The first network was rapidly formed (1 min) through hydrophobic interaction of pluronic

segments of P127-grafted chitosan at 37 °C and the second network was formed more slowly (2 h) through Diels-Alder reaction between maleimide groups of bi-maleimide-PEG and furan groups of furan-modified gelatin. The storage and compressive modulus of DN hydrogels (~1 kPa and ~40 kPa, respectively) were similar to SN suggesting that the DN strategy did not appreciably improve the mechanical properties. However, the combined mechanical, self-healing and thermo-responsive gel properties did allow injectability and high gel retention at the injection site. In addition, high *in vitro* cell viability (>95%), high *in vivo* cell retention and survival as well as a marked vascularization observed at harvested cell-laden DN provides promising potential for cell therapy and tissue engineering.

Cho and Ooya also proposed a double network by combining thermo-gelling and dynamic covalent cross-linked networks using a biopolymer (agar) with thermosensitive properties to form the physical cross-linked network.¹²⁷ Thus, the first network was formed via hydrogen bonding at 37 °C and the second network was obtained through Schiff-base reaction between glycol chitosan (GC) and oxidized carboxymethyl cellulose. The DN gels possessed improved swelling and mechanical properties compared to the corresponding SN. However, the DN properties were merely the sum of the properties of the two components. The stress-strain curves of DN and SN were similar, yet the DN did show the highest fracture strain of all samples. The dynamic covalent crosslinking provided anti-fatigue and self-healing performance. The loading-unloading tests at strain of 50% indicated minimal hysteresis (5 cycles). Both DN and SN showed high cell viability (~100%, fibroblasts), whereas DN hydrogel prevented cell aggregation, as was observed on GC SN. The physical and DCvC network provides these gels with potential application for protein immobilization, drug/cell delivery and tissue engineering.

A different strategy was proposed by Wang *et al.*¹²⁶ In this case, the double network also involved physical (thermo-gelling) and dynamic covalent linkages. However, a single multifunctional polymer generated both physically and chemically cross-linked networks. The novelty of this approach was the molecular design of thermo-responsive cell-adhesive protein (elastin like protein, ELP) including thermo-responsive and enzymatic degradable sequences and lysine units for further modification with hydrazine groups (ELP-HYD). The hydrazine groups of ELP-HYD reacted with aldehyde groups of hyaluronic acid (oxidized HA) to form the first network. The second network was formed by protein folding (hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions). In this approach, the dynamic covalent crosslinking reaction (10 s) was faster than thermo-gelation (5 min). Rheological testing was used to evaluate the DN hydrogel performance. The first network led to increased storage modulus (~1 kPa) compared to SN gels (around 0.001 kPa), whereas toughening arose from the second physical crosslinking. Further, thermo-responsive stiffening improved with increasing ELP-HYD content. However, the storage modulus of DN hydrogels were not compared with the singly

crosslinked networks to corroborate the dual network effect. Shear-thinning and self-healing properties were observed in DN due to the dynamic nature of the hydrazone bonding (Figure 11a). Erosion rate decreased markedly in the DN gels, with the mass remaining going from 1% (SN based on HA-ALD) to 80% (DN) at day 9. The *in vitro* studies of the MSC cell-laden DN hydrogel showed a high cell viability and differentiation potential post-injection, with a protective effect of the hydrogel when compared to PBS injection (Figure 11b–d).



*Figure 11*. ELP-HA based dual network injectable hydrogels show (a) self-healing and suitability for injection and delivery of MSCs. Notably, the ELP-HA hydrogels show (b and c) higher cell viability (when compared to PBS injection) and (d and e) better 3D cell distribution. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 126. Copyright 2017 Wiley-VCH.

As noted in the many of the previous examples, the double network strategy plays a key role in attenuating degradation rates to facilitate application at physiological conditions. The expected synergistic effect on the mechanical properties was not routinely observed by combining dynamic covalent linkages and thermo-gelling polymer. However, the dynamic nature of these crosslinking provided appealing properties such as shear-thinning, self-healing and injectability. Notably, the dynamic nature of the crosslinking in this class of DN hydrogels

can also provide cell protection from the mechanically disruptive forces experienced during injection, and as such may be critical for applications in tissue engineering.

Thermo-responsive Pluronic 127 was also explored by Qu et al. to form double network hydrogels.¹²⁸ This commercial triblock copolymer was functionalized with benzaldehyde end groups (P127-CHO), which could react with amine groups of quaternized chitosan (QCS). Thus, P127 self-assembles via hydrophobic interactions into micelles in water and reacts rapidly (<90 s) with QCS to form one hybrid physically-chemically crosslinked double network. Hydrogels were prepared with 2 wt % chitosan and different amount of P127-CHO (between 14.4% and 24.0%). The mechanical (Young's modulus up to 37.3 kPa) and rheological (G' up to 53 kPa) properties of hydrogels were similar to skin tissue. The authors demonstrated that hydrogels have stretchable, compressible, shear-thinning, self-healing and recoverable mechanical properties. These properties were attributed to the combination of dynamic Schiffbase (covalent bonds) and micelle hydrophobic interactions (physical crosslinking), which are reversible linkages that provide a source of energy dissipation. Due to the potential application of the hydrogels as skin wound healing dressing, further characterization and drug-loaded hydrogels were performed. Curcumin-loaded hydrogels demonstrated good antibacterial properties, in vivo clotting capacity, wound healing rate, granulation tissue thickness and collagen disposition.

Dual crosslinking with hydrazone and hydrogen bonds to form injectable hydrogels has recently been reported.¹²⁹⁻¹³⁰ Wang *et al.* developed a thermo-gelling hydrogel based on hydrazide-functionalized PNIPAM, oxidized dextrin and poly(N-acryloyl glycinamide) (PNAGA).¹³⁰ Two pre-forming solutions were mixed *in situ* using a dual syringe and the hydrogel was formed at physiological conditions by hydrophobic interactions (PNIPAM), hydrogen bonds (PNAGA) and hydrazone linkages (PNIPAM and oxidized dextrin). The storage modulus increased with increasing PNAGA content (second network, up to 517 kPa) according to rheological measurements. This improvement of mechanical properties is likely due to the higher polymer concentration and hence higher crosslinking degree. However, the second network clearly enhanced the stability of the hydrogel. The thermo-responsive behavior of hydrogel was also assessed as means for controlling the release of a model drug (propranolol hydrochloride).

Guo *et al.* developed a physically-chemically crosslinked hydrogel based on poly(acrylamide-co-diacetone acrylamide) (PAAm-co-DAAm), PVP and adipic acid dihyadrazide (ADH).¹²⁹ PAAm-DAAm reacted with ADH to form hydrazone bonds (first crosslinking) and interacted with PVP by hydrogen bonds (second crosslinking). In this case, the combination of the two dynamic crosslinking moieties enhanced the mechanical properties of hydrogels (YM ~ 350 kPa) compared with corresponding single crosslinked systems (YM ~ 6 and 33 kPa). The hydrogels also exhibited impressive self-healing rapid self-recovery. Due

to the pH-responsiveness of hydrazone groups, the mechanical and rheological properties were also tested at pH = 2 and 6. The authors suggested that the biocompatible (> 80% cell viability) injectable hydrogels have potential applications in hard tissue. However, the application of these materials as injectable hydrogels may be limited due to the tedious fabrication method proposed. This method involved two steps: the first one is the free-radical copolymerization of AAm and DAAm (under nitrogen atmosphere, 3 h), followed by curing in molds for 6 hours at 40  $^{\circ}$ C.

#### 3.2.4. H bond and VDW

Researchers also assessed fully physically cross-linked double networks as injectable hydrogels, using [body] temperature to trigger gelation of thermo-responsive polymer.

Cai et al. proposed a molecular design of a thermo-responsive copolymer with polypeptide domains to form the double network.¹²¹ The authors synthetized a copolymer (Pep1-PEG-PNIPAm) by conjugation of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) and star-shaped peptide-polyethylene glycol. In this dual network approach named SHEILD, the first physical network was formed by the molecular recognition between the peptide of Pep1-PEG-PNIPAm and the engineered recombinant protein C7. Then, the second network formed via thermal phase transition of the PNIPAm segments. The storage modulus initially increased to 13 Pa after mixing both polymers (copolymer and C7) at 25 °C and then to 100 Pa after heating to 37 °C as LCST of PNIPA. The mechanical properties were modulated by adjusting the relative PNIPA content. The degradation of DN was significantly slower than SN (Pep1-PEG and C7); the hydrogel erosion was around 70% at day 14. These results suggests that protein interactions as physical linkages in one of the networks are too weak for substantial improvements in the mechanical properties. As highlighted in the previous examples, the combination of dynamic covalent crosslinking with thermos-gelling polymer typically improved the stability of DN hydrogels. Accordingly, the type of crosslinking plays a key role in determining the hydrogel properties within the general double network strategy. Nevertheless, the fully physically crosslinked DN hydrogel demonstrated self-healing, shear-thinning and cell-protective properties during injection, owing the reversibility of linkages on the networks (Figure 12a,b). In vitro and in vivo studies corroborated a high cell viability (>90% hASC) and an enhanced cell retention at the desired site (Figure 12c).



*Figure 12.* Dual network SHEILD hydrogels show cell protective properties with (a) enhanced viability of hACSs immediately after injection and (b) up to 14 days post injection. The SHEILD hdyrogels also showed (c) higher material and cell retention after subcutaneous injection in a mouse model. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 121. Copyright 2015 Wiley-VCH.

Liu and Yao proposed a double network based on two thermo-responsive biopolymers.¹²⁰ The transition temperature (i.e., LCST) of methyl-cellulose (MC) and xanthan gum (XG) aqueous solutions is approximately 40–50 °C, where both polysaccharides undergo conformational transitions but only MC exhibits a sol-gel transition. The rheological characterization was consistent with both XG and MC contributing to the amplified mechanical strength. As previously discussed, these DN hydrogels based solely on physical crosslinking also failed to synergistically improve the mechanical properties. The authors demonstrated that tuning XG and MC concentration enabled modulation of mechanical properties (G' ~1 kPa), sol-gel transition temperature (between 28 °C and 37 °C) and gelation time (between 30 s and 180 s). Owing to reversible physical interactions within the XG network, the DN hydrogel possessed excellent thixotropic recovery. Chemical characterization (FTIR, XRD, CD) revealed that neither XG nor MC affected each other in terms of ordered structure. The DN hydrogel exhibited similar erosion rate and swelling to the corresponding SN. In vitro and in vivo studies demonstrated biocompatibility and biodegradability. In addition, a drug (doxorubicin DOX) was loaded into DN hydrogel and sustained release was observed, corroborating the potential application of XG/MC blend as an injectable hydrogel for long-term drug delivery.

#### 3.2.5. Hbond / host-guest supramolecular

In order to regulate crosslink dynamics and response to external stimuli, researchers have explored host-guest supramolecular interactions to form double networks hydrogels. Li and co-workers proposed a supramolecularly assembled double network: one through DNA hybridization and the other by host–guest interactions of cucurbit[8]uril (CB[8]) and phenylalanine-functionalized carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC-phe).¹²³ The novelty of this approach is that two orthogonal recognition processes led DN hydrogel formation. First, DNA network was formed by hydrogen bonds of two complementary sequences on DNA Y scaffold and DNA linker. Then, the second recognition process takes place between CMC-phe and CB[8], attributed to the host-guest interaction, to form the second network (Figure 13a). This is one of the few works where the authors achieved to demonstrate the synergetic effect of the double physical network on the mechanical properties of hydrogel. The storage modulus (281 Pa) of DN was higher than corresponding single network and even the sum of the two single networks. The improvement on G' was not as higher as chemically crosslinked DN could achieve owing to the weaker binding that can be easily sheared under stress (Figure 13b).



*Figure 13.* (a) Schematic preparation of dual network hydrogels from DNA and host-guest networks; (b) Shear thinning behavior demonstrated with alternating strains of 1% and 1000%. Adapted and reproduced with permission from ref 123. Copyright 2015 Wiley-VCH.

#### 3.2.6. Ionic / Hbond

In a recent report, Zhao and co-workers proposed a physical cross-linked double network hydrogel containing bioglass.¹²² In order to mimic the osseous structures, which are composed of inorganic and organic networks with water and cells, the authors developed composite DN hydrogels. Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and BG rapidly formed the first network by hydrogen bonding interactions. 4-carboxy-phenylboronic acid reacts first with poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA)

to form borate ester (dynamic covalent crosslinking) and then the carboxylic groups of CPBA interact with calcium ions to form the second network. Due to the fact that both reactions occurred quickly (< 60 s), a dual syringe was used to form hydrogel *in situ*. Although DN hydrogels showed higher compressive modulus (~2.7 MPa) and fracture energy (~63 kJ/m²) than the corresponding SN hydrogel, this improvement is less than expected for a double network strategy. Nevertheless, this strategy allowed combine the properties of both networks: lower degradation rate, toughness and higher fracture energy of PVA network and bioactivity of BG (promoting mineralization). Besides, the composite DN hydrogels showed good injectability, biocompatibility and osteogenicity resulting in promising injectable load-bearing scaffold for treating femoral supracondylar bone defect (Figure 9c, 9d, 9e). The high compressive modulus observed for this DN hydrogel compared to the other physically cross-linked network is likely due to the high polymer concentration (40 wt % PEG/ 20 wt % BG/ 7 wt % PVA).

#### 3.3. Static-Static networks

The combination of two static networks is not attractive for injectable hydrogels. The fabrication of two chemically crosslinked networks independently after injection is difficult, while the absence of any network prior to injection often means either a low viscosity solution or a poorly thinning high viscosity solution.

Although one can consider disulfides dynamic covalent crosslinks, their use in the following hydrogel shows one of the closest double networks by covalent bond formation to date. Zhang *et al.* proposed an injectable hydrogel based on fibrin (F) and hyaluronic acid (HA).¹³¹ A HA derivative was first synthesized bearing thiol and 2-dithiopyridyl groups, and then mixed with fibrinogen to fabricate the double networks with disulfide crosslinking. High hydrophilicity of HA prevents compaction of the fibrin network, while fibrin provides an adhesive environment for in situ encapsulated cells. DN showed an increased stiffness and lower degradation rate compared to the single fibrin network. In addition, the authors tested the cell viability and proliferation of MG63 cells encapsulated into hydrogels. The results showed that DN enhanced cell proliferation compared to SN hydrogels, and the DN structure favored the cell spreading.

## 4. Future perspective

Since Gong *et al.* introduced the concept of double network gels, many researchers have applied this fabrication strategy in order to develop gels with high mechanical performance that can be tailored to mimic a wide variety of load-bearing biological tissues.¹⁶ At the

beginning, the gels were called DN when two individual chemically crosslinked networks were synthetized. The enhanced mechanical properties result of the interpenetration of two networks with contrasting physical properties: one network is rigid and the second one is soft and ductile. In the last years, the use of fully or partially chemically cross-linked double networks have shifted to the partially or fully physical cross-linked double networks due to the relevant properties of dynamic linkages for biomedical applications. The mechanical properties play a key role according to the application, both bioprinting and injectable hydrogel applications require a viscoelastic hydrogels. Indeed, the most of the research works explored at least one dynamic crosslinking to create the double network. Among the dynamic crosslinking, we found both physical interactions (i.e. ionic and hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonds) and dynamic covalent (e.g. hydrazone, imine, oxime, borate ester bonds) crosslinking.

One can quickly see the large amount of double network systems developed for injectability, which, with proper modifications, can be ported into 3D printing. Both fields can leverage their knowledge to create formulations that are more advanced. Furthermore, one can notice the abundance of dynamic/dynamic networks used for injectable hydrogels, while few examples exist for 3D printing. Admittedly, the challenges to get enhanced mechanical properties and process stability with dynamic networks remain, yet the use of dynamic/dynamic hydrogels in 3D printing is well primed for exploration.

The concept of double networks has been broadening in the last decade.¹⁷⁻¹⁸ After the first approaches with two individually crosslinked networks, double networks have designed using two crosslinking to form one only network combining two or more polymers. As previously mentioned, some examples of these DN hydrogels have shown enhanced erosion and mechanical properties, however this improvement is not extremely higher than that of the two independently cross-linked double networks. In addition, these DN hydrogels, with one network combining two crosslinking, are similar to dual crosslinked hydrogels founded in literature. Some examples of dual crosslinking networks also showed enhanced mechanical and injectability properties.¹³²⁻¹³⁵ Therefore, there is a necessity to define each system and what properties are expected with each material design.

## 5. Conclusion

The complexity of native tissues in terms of composition, spatiotemporal arrangement, and performance presents a challenging target for regenerative medicine. Native tissues exhibit an optimized balance between strength, resilience, and mobility of the vehicles responsible for intercellular communication and repair. Nevertheless, development of novel synthetic and hybrid structures has already led to discoveries that approach the sophistication of native

tissues. New challenges emerge when combining the complex behavioral features that are routinely designed into biomedical devices with convenient delivery strategies or contemporary fabrication techniques. More specifically, delivering complex hydrogel formulations via syringe is difficult, particularly when competing sets of properties are required before/during and after delivery. Gels must adequately flow through a syringe or catheter, whereas upon reaching the target site the structures should possess mechanical integrity that allows sustained functionality in the new environment. Likewise, bioprinting technologies typically relies on free-flowing precursors as bioinks for high resolution structures to be attained. However, after printing, the structures should be transformed into high-strength structures capable of withstanding various deformation forces while simultaneously facilitating anatomically accurate tissue formation.

The field has witnessed some important breakthroughs within the context of attaining such complex behavior. Employing multiple networks carries the advantage of imparting a range of properties, while typically enhancing the mechanical properties. Many crosslinking strategies exist to adapt to various on-demand transitions is physical properties required in injection and printing. Hurdles still exist. For example, few papers address both biocompatibility and mechanical properties; fewer are able to take forward these novel materials towards complex tissue formation. This makes comparisons between different publications and systems difficult and suggests that finding the right balance is not straightforward. However, there are some inspiring examples presented here that provide valuable guiding principles to further develop the field.

We predict that multicomponent (dual network, IPN, double network, etc.) hydrogels will play an important role in the tissue engineering field moving forward. The native ECM of cells is inherently complex and consists of multiple macromolecular networks interacting in a dynamic fashion for a responsive and instructive environment around tissues and cell. Replacing this complexity with a single component or single network hydrogel remains highly unlikely. As we move forward towards creating better synthetic ECM mimics, rational design of hydrogel formulation via complex (not complicated) macromolecular systems and design is an attractive way forward. As with any field, increases in complexity come with new hurdles, yet also new potential for innovation and understanding.

While not only more biomimetic, multi-network hydrogels also have many practical advantages. Combining both dynamic bonding and irreversible/covalent bonding offers advantages in terms of impressive mechanics and self-healing attributes. Likewise, functionality is readily incorporated into dual networks bearing receptors for proteins, for example. Many networks used in the examples here are also biocompatible. One of the keys in applying DN hydrogels in printing and injection applications lies in endowing the materials

with shear-thinning behavior. A deeper understanding of network topology, chemical functionality, and cell viability in shear-thinning systems are providing momentum in the field.

Many of the 3D printing examples shown within this review are based upon straightforward extrusion of these multicomponent double network hydrogels. Recently, significant advances in biofabrication and bioprinting methodologies have led to the ability to create more complex, multi-material, high-resolution, and gradient type structures with greater accuracy and speed. Straightforward approaches like gel-in-gel or bath bioprinting allows enhanced resolution and allows for broader materials properties in extrusion-based approaches. Furthermore, when it comes to multi-network printing, the baths could be leveraged for triggering the formation of the second network (e.g. the addition of  $Ca^{2+}$  as with alginate). These more advanced extrusion set-ups are well poised for use with double network hydrogels. Light-based techniques like digital light processing (DLP), volumetric, and holographic (bio) printing of hydrogels allows rapid construction of complex 3D objects via light-initiated cross-linking. These new techniques have mostly employed single component hydrogels (e.g. PEGDA, GelMA) in early studies, yet should find use with more complex materials in years to come. With double network hydrogels, a significant hurdle is always the practicalities in forming two separate networks. Conceptually, yet challenging, would be to initiate both networks via different wavelengths of light; practically, the second network could be formed post printing. As an intermediate approach, marrying a light-initiated network with a thermoreversible (near 37 °C) or a dynamically cross-linked network may provide an uncomplicated approach in the near future.

Furthermore, extending the trajectory beyond printing and injection of dual network systems is important to make headway. For example, moving from cell-viability studies to investigating tissue regeneration capabilities and ultimately degradation and expulsion from the body are critical points in the process, yet do take time and advanced studies. Dual network systems provide a great deal of flexibility in design. Incorporating all of these aspects into a single system, while challenging, is coming well within reach. Based on the collective results from the research summarized in this review, there is tremendous promise for this field and we are looking eagerly forward to see what the coming years have in store.

AUTHOR INFORMATION Corresponding Author *E-mail: <u>louis.pitet@uhasselt.be</u> <u>m.baker@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>

ORCID Ana Aldana: 0000-0003-4997-7982 Sofie Houben: 0000-0003-1056-0223 Lorenzo Moroni: 0000-0003-1298-6025 Matthew B. Baker: 0000-0003-1731-3858 Louis M. Pitet: 0000-0002-4733-0707

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L.M.P., A.A.A., and M.B.B. are grateful for financial support from the Research Foundation -Flanders (FWO) under contract G080020N. S.H. is grateful for funding from a BOF-OWB mandate under contract BOF19OWB08. A.A.A., L.M., and M.B.B. would like to acknowledge NWO for funding via the project "DynAM" under project agreement 731.016.202. M.B.B. and L.M. would also like to acknowledge the Province of Limburg for support and funding.

# References

- 1. Ng, W. L.; Chua, C. K.; Shen, Y.-F., Print Me An Organ! Why We Are Not There Yet. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2019**, *97*, 101145.
- 2. Ooi, H. W.; Hafeez, S.; van Blitterswijk, C. A.; Moroni, L.; Baker, M. B., Hydrogels that listen to cells: a review of cell-responsive strategies in biomaterial design for tissue regeneration. *Mater. Horiz.* **2017**, *4*, 1020-1040.
- 3. Moroni, L.; Burdick, J. A.; Highley, C.; Lee, S. J.; Morimoto, Y.; Takeuchi, S.; Yoo, J. J., Biofabrication strategies for 3D in vitro models and regenerative medicine. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, *3*, 21-37.
- 4. Mota, C.; Camarero-Espinosa, S.; Baker, M. B.; Wieringa, P.; Moroni, L., Bioprinting: From Tissue and Organ Development to in Vitro Models. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, 10547– 10607.
- 5. Aldana, A. A.; Malatto, L.; Rehman, M. A. U.; Boccaccini, A. R.; Abraham, G. A., Fabrication of Gelatin Methacrylate (GelMA) Scaffolds with Nano- and Micro-Topographical and Morphological Features. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 120.
- Rivero, G.; Aldana, A. A.; Frontini Lopez, Y. R.; Liverani, L.; Boccacini, A. R.; Bustos, D. M.; Abraham, G. A., 14-3-3epsilon protein-immobilized PCL-HA electrospun scaffolds with enhanced osteogenicity. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2019**, *30*, 99.
- Yao, T.; Wieringa, P.; Chen, H.; Chandrakar, A.; Samal, P.; Giselbrecht, S.; Baker, M. B.; Moroni, L., Fabrication of a self-assembled honeycomb nanofibrous scaffold to guide endothelial morphogenesis. *Biofabrication* **2020**, 045001.
- 8. Yao, T.; Baker, M. B.; Moroni, L., Strategies to Improve Nanofibrous Scaffolds for Vascular Tissue Engineering. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 887.
- 9. Nawroth, J. C.; Scudder, L. L.; Halvorson, R. T.; Tresback, J.; Ferrier, J. P.; Sheehy, S. P.; Cho, A.; Kannan, S.; Sunyovszki, I.; Goss, J. A.; Campbell, P. H.; Parker, K. K., Automated fabrication of photopatterned gelatin hydrogels for organ-on-chips applications. *Biofabrication* **2018**, *10*, 025004.
- 10. Hribar, K. C.; Choi, Y. S.; Ondeck, M.; Engler, A. J.; Chen, S., Digital Plasmonic Patterning for Localized Tuning of Hydrogel Stiffness. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 4922-4926.
- 11. Morgan, F. L. C.; Moroni, L.; Baker, M. B., Dynamic Bioinks to Advance Bioprinting. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2020**, e1901798.
- 12. Weems, A. C.; Perez-Madrigal, M. M.; Arno, M. C.; Dove, A. P., 3D Printing for the Clinic: Examining Contemporary Polymeric Biomaterials and Their Clinical Utility. *Biomacromolecules* **2020**, *21*, 1037-1059.
- Sun, W.; Starly, B.; Daly, A. C.; Burdick, J. A.; Groll, J.; Skeldon, G.; Shu, W.; Sakai, Y.; Shinohara, M.; Nishikawa, M.; Jang, J.; Cho, D. W.; Nie, M.; Takeuchi, S.; Ostrovidov, S.; Khademhosseini, A.; Kamm, R. D.; Mironov, V.; Moroni, L.; Ozbolat, I. T., The bioprinting roadmap. *Biofabrication* **2020**, *12*, 022002.
- 14. Vyas, D.; Udyawar, D., A Review on Current State of Art of Bioprinting. In *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, 2019; pp 195-201.
- 15. GhavamiNejad, A.; Ashammakhi, N.; Wu, X. Y.; Khademhosseini, A., Crosslinking Strategies for 3D Bioprinting of Polymeric Hydrogels. *Small* **2020**, *16*, 2002931.
- 16. Gong, J. P.; Katsuyama, Y.; Kurokawa, T.; Osada, Y., Double-network hydrogels with extremely high mechanical strength. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1155-1158.
- 17. Gong, J. P., Why are double network hydrogels so tough? *Soft Matter* **2010**, *6*, 2583-2590.
- 18. Gong, J. P., Materials both tough and soft. *Science* **2014**, *344*, 161-162.
- 19. Abandansari, H. S.; Ghanian, M. H.; Varzideh, F.; Mahmoudi, E.; Rajabi, S.; Taheri, P.; Nabid, M. R.; Baharvand, H., In situ formation of interpenetrating polymer network using sequential thermal and click crosslinking for enhanced retention of transplanted cells. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *170*, 12-25.

- 20. Ngo, T. D.; Kashani, A.; Imbalzano, G.; Nguyen, K. T. Q.; Hui, D., Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges. *Compos. B Eng.* **2018**, *143*, 172-196.
- 21. van Kampen, K. A.; Scheuring, R. G.; Terpstra, M. L.; Levato, R.; Groll, J.; Malda, J.; Mota, C.; Moroni, L., Biofabrication: From Additive Manufacturing to Bioprinting. In *Reference Module in Biomedical Sciences*, 2019.
- Moroni, L.; Boland, T.; Burdick, J. A.; De Maria, C.; Derby, B.; Forgacs, G.; Groll, J.; Li, Q.; Malda, J.; Mironov, V. A.; Mota, C.; Nakamura, M.; Shu, W.; Takeuchi, S.; Woodfield, T. B. F.; Xu, T.; Yoo, J. J.; Vozzi, G., Biofabrication: A Guide to Technology and Terminology. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2018**, *36*, 384-402.
- 23. Pfister, A.; Landers, R.; Laib, A.; Hübner, U.; Schmelzeisen, R.; Mülhaupt, R., Biofunctional rapid prototyping for tissue-engineering applications: 3D bioplotting versus 3D printing. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2004**, *42*, 624-638.
- 24. Zhu, W.; Ma, X.; Gou, M.; Mei, D.; Zhang, K.; Chen, S., 3D printing of functional biomaterials for tissue engineering. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *40*, 103-112.
- 25. Lee, A.; Hudson, A. R.; Shiwarski, D. J.; Tashman, J. W.; Hinton, T. J.; Yerneni, S.; Bliley, J. M.; Campbell, P. G.; Feinberg, A. W., 3D bioprinting of collagen to rebuild components of the human heart. *Science* **2019**, *365*, 482-487.
- Grigoryan, B.; Paulsen, S. J.; Corbett, D. C.; Sazer, D. W.; Fortin, C. L.; Zaita, A. J.; Greenfield, P. T.; Calafat, N. J.; Gounley, J. P.; Ta, A. H.; Johansson, F.; Randles, A.; Rosenkrantz, J. E.; Louis-Rosenberg, J. D.; Galie, P. A.; Stevens, K. R.; Miller, J. S., Multivascular networks and functional intravascular topologies within biocompatible hydrogels. *Science* **2019**, *364*, 458-464.
- 27. Levato, R.; Jungst, T.; Scheuring, R. G.; Blunk, T.; Groll, J.; Malda, J., From Shape to Function: The Next Step in Bioprinting. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, e1906423.
- 28. Guvendiren, M.; Molde, J.; Soares, R. M.; Kohn, J., Designing Biomaterials for 3D Printing. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2*, 1679-1693.
- 29. Malda, J.; Visser, J.; Melchels, F. P.; Jungst, T.; Hennink, W. E.; Dhert, W. J.; Groll, J.; Hutmacher, D. W., 25th anniversary article: Engineering hydrogels for biofabrication. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 5011-28.
- 30. Ligon, S. C.; Liska, R.; Stampfl, J.; Gurr, M.; Mulhaupt, R., Polymers for 3D Printing and Customized Additive Manufacturing. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 10212-10290.
- 31. Kirchmajer, D. M.; Gorkin Iii, R.; In Het Panhuis, M., An overview of the suitability of hydrogel-forming polymers for extrusion-based 3D-printing. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2015**, *3*, 4105-4117.
- 32. Choudhury, D.; Anand, S.; Naing, M. W., The Arrival of Commercial Bioprinters -Towards 3D Bioprinting Revolution! *Int. J. Bioprint.* **2018**, *4*.
- 33. Jakab, K.; Neagu, A.; Mironov, V.; Markwald, R. R.; Forgacs, G., Engineering biological structures of prescribed shape using self-assembling multicellular systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, *101*, 2864-9.
- 34. Mironov, V.; Boland, T.; Trusk, T.; Forgacs, G.; Markwald, R. R., Organ printing: computer-aided jet-based 3D tissue engineering. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2003**, *21*, 157-161.
- 35. Dhariwala, B.; Hunt, E.; Boland, T., Rapid prototyping of tissue-engineering constructs, using photopolymerizable hydrogels and stereolithography. *Tissue Eng.* **2004**, *10*, 1316-22.
- 36. Hribar, K. C.; Soman, P.; Warner, J.; Chung, P.; Chen, S., Light-assisted direct-write of 3D functional biomaterials. *Lab Chip* **2014**, *14*, 268-75.
- 37. Hinton, T. J.; Jallerat, Q.; Palchesko, R. N.; Park, J. H.; Grodzicki, M. S.; Shue, H. J.; Ramadan, M. H.; Hudson, A. R.; Feinberg, A. W., Three-dimensional printing of complex biological structures by freeform reversible embedding of suspended hydrogels. *Sci. Adv.* **2015**, *1*, e1500758.
- 38. Highley, C. B.; Rodell, C. B.; Burdick, J. A., Direct 3D Printing of Shear-Thinning Hydrogels into Self-Healing Hydrogels. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 5075-5079.

- Shusteff, M.; Browar, A. E. M.; Kelly, B. E.; Henriksson, J.; Weisgraber, T. H.; Panas, R. M.; Fang, N. X.; Spadaccini, C. M., One-step volumetric additive manufacturing of complex polymer structures. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, eaao5496.
- 40. Bernal, P. N.; Delrot, P.; Loterie, D.; Li, Y.; Malda, J.; Moser, C.; Levato, R., Volumetric Bioprinting of Complex Living-Tissue Constructs within Seconds. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, e1904209.
- 41. Groll, J.; Burdick, J. A.; Cho, D. W.; Derby, B.; Gelinsky, M.; Heilshorn, S. C.; Jungst, T.; Malda, J.; Mironov, V. A.; Nakayama, K.; Ovsianikov, A.; Sun, W.; Takeuchi, S.; Yoo, J. J.; Woodfield, T. B. F., A definition of bioinks and their distinction from biomaterial inks. *Biofabrication* **2018**, *11*, 013001.
- 42. Li, J.; Wu, C.; Chu, P. K.; Gelinsky, M., 3D printing of hydrogels: Rational design strategies and emerging biomedical applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng., R* **2020**, *140*.
- 43. He, Y.; Yang, F.; Zhao, H.; Gao, Q.; Xia, B.; Fu, J., Research on the printability of hydrogels in 3D bioprinting. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 29977.
- 44. Gopinathan, J.; Noh, I., Recent trends in bioinks for 3D printing. *Biomater. Res.* **2018**, *22*, 11.
- 45. Guerra, A. J.; Lammel-Lindemann, J.; Katko, A.; Kleinfehn, A.; Rodriguez, C. A.; Catalani, L. H.; Becker, M. L.; Ciurana, J.; Dean, D., Optimization of photocrosslinkable resin components and 3D printing process parameters. *Acta Biomater.* **2019**, *97*, 154-161.
- 46. Blaeser, A.; Duarte Campos, D. F.; Puster, U.; Richtering, W.; Stevens, M. M.; Fischer, H., Controlling Shear Stress in 3D Bioprinting is a Key Factor to Balance Printing Resolution and Stem Cell Integrity. *Adv Healthc Mater* **2016**, *5*, 326-33.
- 47. Ooi, H. W.; Mota, C.; Ten Cate, A. T.; Calore, A.; Moroni, L.; Baker, M. B., Thiol-Ene Alginate Hydrogels as Versatile Bioinks for Bioprinting. *Biomacromolecules* **2018**, *19*, 3390-3400.
- 48. Bertlein, S.; Brown, G.; Lim, K. S.; Jungst, T.; Boeck, T.; Blunk, T.; Tessmar, J.; Hooper, G. J.; Woodfield, T. B. F.; Groll, J., Thiol-Ene Clickable Gelatin: A Platform Bioink for Multiple 3D Biofabrication Technologies. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*.
- 49. Hafeez, S.; Ooi, H. W.; Morgan, F. L. C.; Mota, C.; Dettin, M.; Van Blitterswijk, C.; Moroni, L.; Baker, M. B., Viscoelastic Oxidized Alginates with Reversible Imine Type Crosslinks: Self-Healing, Injectable, and Bioprintable Hydrogels. *Gels* **2018**, *4*.
- Lim, K. S.; Levato, R.; Costa, P. F.; Castilho, M. D.; Alcala-Orozco, C. R.; van Dorenmalen, K. M. A.; Melchels, F. P. W.; Gawlitta, D.; Hooper, G. J.; Malda, J.; Woodfield, T. B. F., Bio-resin for high resolution lithography-based biofabrication of complex cell-laden constructs. *Biofabrication* 2018, *10*, 034101.
- 51. Dilla, R. A.; Motta, C. M. M.; Snyder, S. R.; Wilson, J. A.; Wesdemiotis, C.; Becker, M. L., Synthesis and 3D Printing of PEG–Poly(propylene fumarate) Diblock and Triblock Copolymer Hydrogels. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2018**, *7*, 1254-1260.
- 52. Liang, K.; Bae, K. H.; Kurisawa, M., Recent advances in the design of injectable hydrogels for stem cell-based therapy. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2019**, *7*, 3775-3791.
- 53. Chao, Y.; Chen, Q.; Liu, Z., Smart Injectable Hydrogels for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *30*.
- 54. Fan, D. Y.; Tian, Y.; Liu, Z. J., Injectable Hydrogels for Localized Cancer Therapy. *Front. Chem.* **2019**, *7*, 675.
- 55. Qu, J.; Zhao, X.; Liang, Y.; Xu, Y.; Ma, P. X.; Guo, B., Degradable conductive injectable hydrogels as novel antibacterial, anti-oxidant wound dressings for wound healing. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *362*, 548-560.
- 56. Piantanida, E.; Alonci, G.; Bertucci, A.; De Cola, L., Design of Nanocomposite Injectable Hydrogels for Minimally Invasive Surgery. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 2101-2112.
- 57. Dimatteo, R.; Darling, N. J.; Segura, T., In situ forming injectable hydrogels for drug delivery and wound repair. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2018**, *12*7, 167-184.

- 58. Song, M.; Jang, H.; Lee, J.; Kim, J. H.; Kim, S. H.; Sun, K.; Park, Y., Regeneration of chronic myocardial infarction by injectable hydrogels containing stem cell homing factor SDF-1 and angiogenic peptide Ac-SDKP. *Biomaterials* **2014**, *35*, 2436-2445.
- 59. Wu, J.; Chen, Q.; Deng, C.; Xu, B.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Lu, T., Exquisite design of injectable Hydrogels in Cartilage Repair. *Theranostics* **2020**, *10*, 9843-9864.
- 60. Seo, B.-B.; Choi, H.; Koh, J.-T.; Song, S.-C., Sustained BMP-2 delivery and injectable bone regeneration using thermosensitive polymeric nanoparticle hydrogel bearing dual interactions with BMP-2. *J. Controlled Release* **2015**, *209*, 67-76.
- Lee, A. S.; Inayathullah, M.; Lijkwan, M. A.; Zhao, X.; Sun, W.; Park, S.; Hong, W. X.; Parekh, M. B.; Malkovskiy, A. V.; Lau, E.; Qin, X.; Pothineni, V. R.; Sanchez-Freire, V.; Zhang, W. Y.; Kooreman, N. G.; Ebert, A. D.; Chan, C. K. F.; Nguyen, P. K.; Rajadas, J.; Wu, J. C., Prolonged survival of transplanted stem cells after ischaemic injury via the slow release of pro-survival peptides from a collagen matrix. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 2018, *2*, 104-113.
- 62. Ballios, Brian G.; Cooke, Michael J.; Donaldson, L.; Coles, Brenda L. K.; Morshead, Cindi M.; van der Kooy, D.; Shoichet, Molly S., A Hyaluronan-Based Injectable Hydrogel Improves the Survival and Integration of Stem Cell Progeny following Transplantation. *Stem Cell Rep.* **2015**, *4*, 1031-1045.
- 63. Aguado, B. A.; Mulyasasmita, W.; Su, J.; Lampe, K. J.; Heilshorn, S. C., Improving viability of stem cells during syringe needle flow through the design of hydrogel cell carriers. *Tissue Eng. Part A* **2012**, *18*, 806-15.
- 64. Dávila, J. L.; d'Ávila, M. A., Rheological evaluation of Laponite/alginate inks for 3D extrusion-based printing. *Int. J. Adv. Manufac. Technol.* **2018**, *101*, 675-686.
- 65. Shi, J.; Wu, B.; Li, S.; Song, J.; Song, B.; Lu, W. F., Shear stress analysis and its effects on cell viability and cell proliferation in drop-on-demand bioprinting. *Biomed. Phys. Eng. Express* **2018**, *4*, 045028.
- 66. Hu, X.; Vatankhah-Varnoosfaderani, M.; Zhou, J.; Li, Q.; Sheiko, S. S., Weak hydrogen bonding enables hard, strong, tough, and elastic hydrogels. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 6899-6905.
- 67. Neal, J. A.; Mozhdehi, D.; Guan, Z., Enhancing mechanical performance of a covalent self-healing material by sacrificial noncovalent bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4846-4850.
- 68. Dubbin, K.; Tabet, A.; Heilshorn, S. C., Quantitative criteria to benchmark new and existing bio-inks for cell compatibility. *Biofabrication* **2017**, *9*, 044102.
- 69. Lindsay, C. D.; Roth, J. G.; LeSavage, B. L.; Heilshorn, S. C., Bioprinting of stem cell expansion lattices. *Acta Biomater.* **2019**, *95*, 225-235.
- 70. Ouyang, L.; Highley, C. B.; Rodell, C. B.; Sun, W.; Burdick, J. A., 3D printing of shear-thinning hyaluronic acid hydrogels with secondary cross-linking. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2*, 1743-1751.
- 71. Rutz, A. L.; Hyland, K. E.; Jakus, A. E.; Burghardt, W. R.; Shah, R. N., A multimaterial bioink method for 3D printing tunable, cell-compatible hydrogels. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 1607-1614.
- 72. Rowley, J. A.; Madlambayan, G.; Mooney, D. J., Alginate hydrogels as synthetic extracellular matrix materials. *Biomaterials* **1999**, *20*, 45-53.
- 73. Sun, J.-Y.; Zhao, X.; Illeperuma, W. R. K.; Chaudhuri, O.; Oh, K. H.; Mooney, D. J.; Vlassak, J. J.; Suo, Z., Highly stretchable and tough hydrogels. *Nature* **2012**, *489*, 133-136.
- 74. Bakarich, S. E.; Panhuis, M. I. H.; Beirne, S.; Wallace, G. G.; Spinks, G. M., Extrusion printing of ionic-covalent entanglement hydrogels with high toughness. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2013**, *1*, 4939-4946.
- 75. Bakarich, S. E.; Gorkin, R., 3rd; in het Panhuis, M.; Spinks, G. M., 4D Printing with Mechanically Robust, Thermally Actuating Hydrogels. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2015**, *36*, 1211-7.

- 76. Zhou, Y.; Gui, Q.; Yu, W.; Liao, S.; He, Y.; Tao, X.; Yu, Y.; Wang, Y., Interfacial Diffusion Printing: An Efficient Manufacturing Technique for Artificial Tubular Grafts. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2019**, *5*, 6311-6318.
- 77. Liu, S.; Bastola, A. K.; Li, L., A 3D Printable and Mechanically Robust Hydrogel Based on Alginate and Graphene Oxide. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 41473-41481.
- 78. Belaïd, H.; Nagarajan, S.; Teyssier, C.; Barou, C.; Barés, J.; Balme, S.; Garay, H.; Huon, V.; Cornu, D.; Cavailles, V., Development of new biocompatible 3D printed graphene oxide-based scaffolds. *Mater. Sci. Eng., C* **2020**, *110*, 110595.
- 79. Cai, Y.; Qin, J.; Li, W.; Tyagi, A.; Liu, Z.; Hossain, M. D.; Chen, H.; Kim, J.-K.; Liu, H.; Zhuang, M., A stretchable, conformable, and biocompatible graphene strain sensor based on a structured hydrogel for clinical application. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, *7*, 27099-27109.
- 80. Shen, X.; Yang, M.; Cui, C.; Cao, H., In situ immobilization of glucose oxidase and catalase in a hybrid interpenetrating polymer network by 3D bioprinting and its application. *Colloids Surf., A* **2019**, *568*, 411-418.
- 81. Bootsma, K.; Fitzgerald, M. M.; Free, B.; Dimbath, E.; Conjerti, J.; Reese, G.; Konkolewicz, D.; Berberich, J. A.; Sparks, J. L., 3D printing of an interpenetrating network hydrogel material with tunable viscoelastic properties. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* **2017**, *70*, 84-94.
- 82. Kuo, C. K.; Ma, P. X., Ionically crosslinked alginate hydrogels as scaffolds for tissue engineering: Part 1. Structure, gelation rate and mechanical properties. *Biomaterials* **2001**, *22*, 511-521.
- 83. Wei, J.; Wang, J.; Su, S.; Wang, S.; Qiu, J.; Zhang, Z.; Christopher, G.; Ning, F.; Cong, W., 3D printing of an extremely tough hydrogel. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 81324-81329.
- 84. Guo, Z.; Xia, J.; Mi, S.; Sun, W., Mussel-Inspired Naturally Derived Double-Network Hydrogels and Their Application in 3D Printing: From Soft, Injectable Bioadhesives to Mechanically Strong Hydrogels. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *6*, 1798-1808.
- 85. Trachsel, L.; Johnbosco, C.; Lang, T.; Benetti, E. M.; Zenobi-Wong, M., Double-Network Hydrogels Including Enzymatically Crosslinked Poly-(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline)s for 3D Bioprinting of Cartilage-Engineering Constructs. *Biomacromolecules* **2019**, *20*, 4502-4511.
- 86. Hong, S.; Sycks, D.; Chan, H. F.; Lin, S.; Lopez, G. P.; Guilak, F.; Leong, K. W.; Zhao, X., 3D printing of highly stretchable and tough hydrogels into complex, cellularized structures. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 4035-4040.
- 87. Colosi, C.; Shin, S. R.; Manoharan, V.; Massa, S.; Costantini, M.; Barbetta, A.; Dokmeci, M. R.; Dentini, M.; Khademhosseini, A., Microfluidic bioprinting of heterogeneous 3D tissue constructs using low-viscosity bioink. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 677-684.
- 88. Krishnamoorthy, S.; Zhang, Z.; Xu, C., Biofabrication of three-dimensional cellular structures based on gelatin methacrylate-alginate interpenetrating network hydrogel. *J. Biomater. Appl.* **2019**, *33*, 1105-1117.
- 89. Rhein-Knudsen, N.; Ale, M. T.; Meyer, A. S., Seaweed hydrocolloid production: an update on enzyme assisted extraction and modification technologies. *Marine Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 3340-3359.
- 90. Bakarich, S. E.; Gorkin, R., 3rd; in het Panhuis, M.; Spinks, G. M., Three-dimensional printing fiber reinforced hydrogel composites. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 15998-6006.
- 91. Liu, S.; Li, L., Ultrastretchable and Self-Healing Double-Network Hydrogel for 3D Printing and Strain Sensor. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 26429-26437.
- 92. Chimene, D.; Miller, L.; Cross, L. M.; Jaiswal, M. K.; Singh, I.; Gaharwar, A. K., Nanoengineered Osteoinductive Bioink for 3D Bioprinting Bone Tissue. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 15976-15988.

- 93. Chimene, D.; Peak, C. W.; Gentry, J. L.; Carrow, J. K.; Cross, L. M.; Mondragon, E.; Cardoso, G. B.; Kaunas, R.; Gaharwar, A. K., Nanoengineered Ionic-Covalent Entanglement (NICE) Bioinks for 3D Bioprinting. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 9957-9968.
- 94. Wang, L. L.; Highley, C. B.; Yeh, Y. C.; Galarraga, J. H.; Uman, S.; Burdick, J. A., Three-dimensional extrusion bioprinting of single- and double-network hydrogels containing dynamic covalent crosslinks. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* **2018**, *106*, 865-875.
- 95. Gao, F.; Xu, Z.; Liang, Q.; Li, H.; Peng, L.; Wu, M.; Zhao, X.; Cui, X.; Ruan, C.; Liu, W., Osteochondral Regeneration with 3D-Printed Biodegradable High-Strength Supramolecular Polymer Reinforced-Gelatin Hydrogel Scaffolds. *Adv. Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 1900867.
- 96. Li, X.; Wang, H.; Li, D.; Long, S.; Zhang, G.; Wu, Z., Dual ionically cross-linked double-network hydrogels with high strength, toughness, swelling resistance, and improved 3D printing Processability. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 31198-31207.
- 97. Li, A.; Si, Y.; Wang, X.; Jia, X.; Guo, X.; Xu, Y., Poly (vinyl alcohol) Nanocrystal-Assisted Hydrogels with High Toughness and Elastic Modulus for Three-Dimensional Printing. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 707-715.
- 98. Yang, F.; Tadepalli, V.; Wiley, B. J., 3D printing of a double network hydrogel with a compression strength and elastic modulus greater than those of cartilage. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, *3*, 863-869.
- 99. Yang, H.; Li, C.; Yang, M.; Pan, Y.; Yin, Q.; Tang, J.; Qi, H. J.; Suo, Z., Printing hydrogels and elastomers in arbitrary sequence with strong adhesion. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *2*9, 1901721.
- 100. Sultan, S.; Mathew, A. P., 3D printed scaffolds with gradient porosity based on a cellulose nanocrystal hydrogel. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 4421-4431.
- 101. Wu, D.; Yu, Y.; Tan, J.; Huang, L.; Luo, B.; Lu, L.; Zhou, C., 3D bioprinting of gellan gum and poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate based hydrogels to produce human-scale constructs with high-fidelity. *Mater. Des.* **2018**, *160*, 486-495.
- 102. Guo, J.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, L.; Cao, X., 4D Printing of Robust Hydrogels Consisted of Agarose Nanofibers and Polyacrylamide. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2018**, *7*, 442-446.
- 103. Darabi, M. A.; Khosrozadeh, A.; Mbeleck, R.; Liu, Y.; Chang, Q.; Jiang, J.; Cai, J.; Wang, Q.; Luo, G.; Xing, M., Skin-Inspired Multifunctional Autonomic-Intrinsic Conductive Self-Healing Hydrogels with Pressure Sensitivity, Stretchability, and 3D Printability. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *2*9.
- 104. Sharma, A.; Desando, G.; Petretta, M.; Chawla, S.; Bartolotti, I.; Manferdini, C.; Paolella, F.; Gabusi, E.; Trucco, D.; Ghosh, S.; Lisignoli, G., Investigating the Role of Sustained Calcium Release in Silk-Gelatin-Based Three-Dimensional Bioprinted Constructs for Enhancing the Osteogenic Differentiation of Human Bone Marrow Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 2019, 5, 1518-1533.
- Chen, C. S.; Zeng, F.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Z.; Li, X. L.; Tan, R. W.; Liu, W. Q.; Zhang, Y. S.; She, Z. D.; Li, S. J., Three-Dimensionally Printed Silk-Sericin-Based Hydrogel Scaffold: A Promising Visualized Dressing Material for Real-Time Monitoring of Wounds. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 33879-33890.
- 106. Qiao, Y.; Xu, S.; Zhu, T.; Tang, N.; Bai, X.; Zheng, C., Preparation of printable double-network hydrogels with rapid self-healing and high elasticity based on hyaluronic acid for controlled drug release. *Polymer* **2020**, *186*.
- 107. Rodell, C. B.; Dusaj, N. N.; Highley, C. B.; Burdick, J. A., Injectable and Cytocompatible Tough Double-Network Hydrogels through Tandem Supramolecular and Covalent Crosslinking. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 8419-8424.
- 108. Sun, Y.; Nan, D.; Jin, H.; Qu, X., Recent advances of injectable hydrogels for drug delivery and tissue engineering applications. *Polym. Test.* **2020**, *81*.
- 109. Nguyen, Q. V.; Huynh, D. P.; Park, J. H.; Lee, D. S., Injectable polymeric hydrogels for the delivery of therapeutic agents: A review. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2015**, *72*, 602-619.

- 110. De France, K. J.; Cranston, E. D.; Hoare, T., Mechanically Reinforced Injectable Hydrogels. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 1016-1030.
- 111. Lopez Hernandez, H.; Souza, J. W.; Appel, E. A., A Quantitative Description for Designing the Extrudability of Shear-Thinning Physical Hydrogels. *Macromol. Biosci.* **2020**, 2000295.
- 112. Chee, P. L.; Lakshmanan, L.; Jiang, S.; Ye, H.; Kai, D.; Loh, X. J., An Injectable Double-Network Hydrogel for Cell Encapsulation. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2016**, *6*9.
- 113. Wang, K.; Nune, K. C.; Misra, R. D., The functional response of alginate-gelatinnanocrystalline cellulose injectable hydrogels toward delivery of cells and bioactive molecules. *Acta Biomater.* **2016**, *36*, 143-51.
- 114. Bu, Y.; Shen, H.; Yang, F.; Yang, Y.; Wang, X.; Wu, D., Construction of Tough, in Situ Forming Double-Network Hydrogels with Good Biocompatibility. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 2205-2212.
- 115. Yuan, L.; Wu, Y.; Gu, Q. S.; El-Hamshary, H.; El-Newehy, M.; Mo, X., Injectable photo crosslinked enhanced double-network hydrogels from modified sodium alginate and gelatin. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2017**, *96*, 569-577.
- 116. Zhou, F.; Hong, Y.; Zhang, X.; Yang, L.; Li, J.; Jiang, D.; Bunpetch, V.; Hu, Y.; Ouyang, H.; Zhang, S., Tough hydrogel with enhanced tissue integration and in situ forming capability for osteochondral defect repair. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2018**, *13*, 32-44.
- 117. Li, Y.; Yang, L.; Zeng, Y.; Wu, Y.; Wei, Y.; Tao, L., Self-Healing Hydrogel with a Double Dynamic Network Comprising Imine and Borate Ester Linkages. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 5576-5583.
- 118. Li, L.; Ge, J.; Ma, P. X.; Guo, B., Injectable conducting interpenetrating polymer network hydrogels from gelatin-graft-polyaniline and oxidized dextran with enhanced mechanical properties. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 92490-92498.
- 119. Wang, L.; Deng, F.; Wang, W.; Li, A.; Lu, C.; Chen, H.; Wu, G.; Nan, K.; Li, L., Construction of Injectable Self-Healing Macroporous Hydrogels via a Template-Free Method for Tissue Engineering and Drug Delivery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 36721-36732.
- 120. Liu, Z.; Yao, P., Injectable thermo-responsive hydrogel composed of xanthan gum and methylcellulose double networks with shear-thinning property. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2015**, *132*, 490-8.
- 121. Cai, L.; Dewi, R. E.; Heilshorn, S. C., Injectable Hydrogels with In Situ Double Network Formation Enhance Retention of Transplanted Stem Cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2015**, *25*, 1344-1351.
- 122. Zhao, Y.; Cui, Z.; Liu, B.; Xiang, J.; Qiu, D.; Tian, Y.; Qu, X.; Yang, Z., An Injectable Strong Hydrogel for Bone Reconstruction. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2019**, *8*, e1900709.
- Li, C.; Rowland, M. J.; Shao, Y.; Cao, T.; Chen, C.; Jia, H.; Zhou, X.; Yang, Z.; Scherman, O. A.; Liu, D., Responsive Double Network Hydrogels of Interpenetrating DNA and CB[8] Host-Guest Supramolecular Systems. *Adv. Mater.* 2015, *27*, 3298-304.
- 124. Yan, Y.; Li, M.; Yang, D.; Wang, Q.; Liang, F.; Qu, X.; Qiu, D.; Yang, Z., Construction of Injectable Double-Network Hydrogels for Cell Delivery. *Biomacromolecules* **2017**, *18*, 2128-2138.
- 125. Yang, C.; Han, B.; Cao, C.; Yang, D.; Qu, X.; Wang, X., An injectable double-network hydrogel for the co-culture of vascular endothelial cells and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells for simultaneously enhancing vascularization and osteogenesis. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2018**, *6*, 7811-7821.
- 126. Wang, H.; Zhu, D.; Paul, A.; Cai, L.; Enejder, A.; Yang, F.; Heilshorn, S. C., Covalently Adaptable Elastin-Like Protein-Hyaluronic Acid (ELP-HA) Hybrid Hydrogels with Secondary Thermoresponsive Crosslinking for Injectable Stem Cell Delivery. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, *27*, 1605609.

- 127. Cho, I. S.; Ooya, T., Tuned cell attachments by double-network hydrogels consisting of glycol chitosan, carboxylmethyl cellulose and agar bearing robust and self-healing properties. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2019**, *134*, 262-268.
- 128. Qu, J.; Zhao, X.; Liang, Y.; Zhang, T.; Ma, P. X.; Guo, B., Antibacterial adhesive injectable hydrogels with rapid self-healing, extensibility and compressibility as wound dressing for joints skin wound healing. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *183*, 185-199.
- 129. Guo, Z.; Gu, H.; He, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Y.; Xiong, L.; Chen, A.; Feng, Y., Dual dynamic bonds enable biocompatible and tough hydrogels with fast self-recoverable, self-healable and injectable properties. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, 388.
- 130. Wang, D.; Xia, Y.; Zhang, D.; Sun, X.; Chen, X.; Oliver, S.; Shi, S.; Lei, L., Hydrogen-Bonding Reinforced Injectable Hydrogels: Application As a Thermo-Triggered Drug Controlled-Release System. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2020**, *2*, 1587-1596.
- 131. Zhang, Y.; Heher, P.; Hilborn, J.; Redl, H.; Ossipov, D. A., Hyaluronic acid-fibrin interpenetrating double network hydrogel prepared in situ by orthogonal disulfide cross-linking reaction for biomedical applications. *Acta Biomater.* **2016**, *38*, 23-32.
- 132. Li, H.; Liu, F.; Li, Z.; Wang, S.; Jin, R.; Liu, C.; Chen, Y., Fingerprintable Hydrogel from Dual Reversible Cross-Linking Networks with Different Relaxation Times. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 17925-17930.
- 133. Liu, K.; Zang, S.; Xue, R.; Yang, J.; Wang, L.; Huang, J.; Yan, Y., Coordination-Triggered Hierarchical Folate/Zinc Supramolecular Hydrogels Leading to Printable Biomaterials. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2018**, *10*, 4530-4539.
- 134. Zhu, K.; Chen, N.; Liu, X.; Mu, X.; Zhang, W.; Wang, C.; Zhang, Y. S., A General Strategy for Extrusion Bioprinting of Bio-Macromolecular Bioinks through Alginate-Templated Dual-Stage Crosslinking. *Macromol. Biosci.* **2018**, *18*, 1800127.
- 135. Ghanian, M. H.; Mirzadeh, H.; Baharvand, H., In Situ Forming, Cytocompatible, and Self-Recoverable Tough Hydrogels Based on Dual Ionic and Click Cross-Linked Alginate. *Biomacromolecules* **2018**, *19*, 1646-1662.

For Table of Contents Use Only

Trends in Double Networks as Bioprintable and Injectable Hydrogel Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration

Ana A. Aldana,^{†,1} Sofie Houben, ^{†,2} Lorenzo Moroni,¹ Matthew B. Baker,^{*1} and Louis M. Pitet^{*2}



# Printable/Injectable Double Network Hydrogels