

COVID-19 through the One Health lens: adding a missing perspective

Non Peer-reviewed author version

Selbach, Christian; VANHOVE, Maarten & Mouritsen, Kim Nørgaard (2021)

COVID-19 through the One Health lens: adding a missing perspective.

DOI: [10.32942/osf.io/3g6pt](https://doi.org/10.32942/osf.io/3g6pt)

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/36892>

1 **Perspective article**

2 **COVID-19 through the One Health lens: adding a missing perspective**

3

4 Christian Selbach^{1*}, Maarten P. M. Vanhove², Kim N. Mouritsen¹

5

6 ¹ Department of Biology, Aquatic Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

7 ² Research Group Zoology: Biodiversity and Toxicology, Centre for Environmental Sciences,
8 Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium

9

10 * Corresponding author: christian.selbach@bios.au.dk

11

12 **Abstract**

13 The One Health concept offers an integrative approach to disease and health at the human-
14 animal-environment interface. It has often been suggested to view the COVID-19 outbreak
15 within this framework to better understand and mitigate this global crisis. Here, we discuss how
16 the evolutionary ecology of host-pathogen systems can add a valuable additional perspective to
17 the debate around SARS-CoV-2 and its implications for public health awareness and policy-
18 making. In this context, it is especially important to highlight that changes in nature, such as
19 zoonotic spillover events, are often irreversible, and that humans, while deeply embedded in
20 ecosystems, are intricate ecosystems themselves. A better recognition of the complex biology
21 and evolution of human-parasite interactions will assist our understanding of such zoonoses.

22

23 **Keywords:** One Health, COVID-19, spillover, host-parasite coevolution

24

25

26 **Main text**

27 Undoubtedly, the current COVID-19 pandemic is one of the greatest health crises humanity has
28 faced. To better understand and mitigate this global crisis, and to be better prepared for similar
29 epidemics in the future, it has been suggested to view the COVID-19 outbreak within the One
30 Health (OH) framework (e.g. [1]). The OH concept aims at achieving optimal health for people,
31 non-human organisms, and the environment via inter- and transdisciplinary collaborations
32 across health and environmental sciences [2].

33 Given the zoonotic origin of SARS-CoV-2 that spilled over to humans from wildlife,
34 such an approach is certainly warranted. And without doubt, linking human, animal and
35 ecosystem health within the OH framework is a powerful approach in predicting, tackling and
36 preventing disease outbreaks [3]. However, even though OH and related concepts such as
37 EcoHealth have expanded beyond an initial narrow focus on human and veterinary health and
38 now include wider ecological perspectives [2], we find that the framing of the COVID-19
39 pandemic within OH misses a crucial perspective: the evolutionary ecology of this host-parasite
40 system. Rather, in the literature, the stated importance of OH in the COVID-19 context often
41 seems limited to recognizing and detecting zoonotic origins, understanding the human-animal-
42 environment interface, and avoiding the circumstances of transmission. Hence, integrative
43 approaches appear especially useful in preventing future zoonotic epidemics, while less
44 attention is given to their potential for dealing with the ongoing pandemic.

45 Although vaccination efforts will likely be a great success in tackling the pandemic [4],
46 the virus and COVID-19 are expected to persist, potentially with regular seasonal outbreaks
47 occurring during the coming years [5]. SARS-CoV-2 has therefore become, and will in the
48 foreseeable future remain, part of the human pathobiome [6]. From the viruses' point of view,
49 the human host represents a resource-rich ecosystem that the virus has spilled over to and
50 inhabits, and in which it thrives, replicates and evolves [7]. The emergence of new SARS-CoV-
51 2 variants carrying different mutations highlights the pathogen's rapid evolution within its

52 human host system [8]. This should caution against any hopes of returning to the *status quo*
53 *ante* and ‘back to normal’, once this crisis has been overcome. In evolutionary processes, there
54 is no going back, and a solution that aims at restoring a pre-outbreak situation simply does not
55 exist. Accordingly, the ‘new normal’ [9] in social contexts comes along with a new biological
56 normality in a long-term host-parasite association with continuous coevolution.

57 Moreover, after the initial wildlife-to-human transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus
58 has continued to spill over to other mammals, most notably American mink *Neovison vison* in
59 Danish and Dutch fur farms, and it has the potential to infect a wide range of domesticated and
60 wild animals [10]. Having been co-introduced around the world by its cosmopolitan human
61 host, there is little reason to assume that no further cross-species transmission events will occur
62 in the future. Such spillovers are largely driven by increased exposure events and the acquisition
63 of genetic variations that allow host switching [11], both of which are common features of the
64 current outbreak. It is therefore well likely that the human host system can serve as a ‘stepping
65 stone’ for the virus to find its way into yet other host species, which might be the starting point
66 of an ever-changing host range of SARS-CoV-2 throughout its evolutionary history. To fully
67 understand and predict the risks this entails, input from ecological and evolutionary
68 parasitology that focuses on host-pathogen interaction will be crucial.

69 Considering human, animal and ecosystem health together under the OH umbrella can
70 greatly benefit our understanding of zoonotic diseases, but will require investigating them as
71 novel and potentially persistent host-parasite systems including an eco-evolutionary
72 perspective. In this context, it is especially important to point out that changes in nature, such
73 as zoonotic spillover events, are often irreversible, and that humans, while deeply embedded in
74 ecosystems, are complex ecosystems themselves. Human health, rather than a state of well-
75 being with the mere absence of disease, encompasses the ability to adapt and self-manage in
76 the face of physical, social, and emotional challenges [12]. A better recognition and public
77 awareness of the complex biology and evolution of human-parasite interactions could help our

78 understanding of this pandemic, assist the public in framing often poorly known phenomena
79 like viral mutations, zoonotic spillovers or biological invasions [13], and lead to more
80 autonomous and responsible behaviour in light of current and future health challenges.

81

82

83 **Acknowledgements**

84 This work received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation
85 Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 839635 TPOINT (C.S.).
86 M.P.M.V. is supported by the Special Research Fund of Hasselt University (BOF20TT06).

87

88 **Author contributions**

89 C.S. conceived the paper and led the writing with primary inputs from M.P.M.V. and K.N.M.

90

91 **Corresponding author**

92 Correspondence to christian.selbach@bios.au.dk

93

94 **Competing interests**

95 The authors declare no competing interests.

96

97 **References**

- 98 1. Amuasi JH, Walzer C, Heymann D, Carabin H, Huong LT, Haines A, et al. Calling for a
99 COVID-19 One Health Research Coalition. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10236):1543–4.
- 100 2. Lerner H, Berg C. A comparison of three holistic approaches to health: One health, ecohealth,
101 and planetary health. *Front Vet Sci*. 2017;4:1–7.

- 102 3. Antoine-Moussiaux N, Janssens de Bisthoven L, Leyens S, Assmuth T, Keune H, Jakob Z,
103 et al. The good, the bad and the ugly: framing debates on nature in a One Health
104 community. *Sustain Sci.* 2019;14(6):1729–38.
- 105 4. Scudellari M. How the pandemic might play out in 2021 and beyond. *Nature.*
106 2020;584(7819):22–5.
- 107 5. Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Projecting the transmission
108 dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science.*
109 2020;368(6493):860–8.
- 110 6. Bass D, Stentiford GD, Wang H-C, Koskella B, Tyler CR. The Pathobiome in Animal and
111 Plant Diseases. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2019;34(11):996–1008.
- 112 7. Rynkiewicz EC, Pedersen AB, Fenton A. An ecosystem approach to understanding and
113 managing within-host parasite community dynamics. *Trends Parasitol.* 2015;31(5):212–
114 21.
- 115 8. Greaney AJ, Loes AN, Crawford KHD, Starr TN, Malone KD, Chu HY, et al. PREPRINT:
116 Comprehensive mapping of mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain that
117 affect recognition by polyclonal human serum antibodies. *bioRxiv.*
118 2021;2020.12.31.425021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.31.425021>
- 119 9. World Health Organization [Internet]. COVID-19: 'new normal'. [cited 2021 Feb 18].
120 Available from: <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19/information/covid-19-new-normal>.
121 [19/information/covid-19-new-normal](https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19/information/covid-19-new-normal).
- 122 10. Gryseels S, De Bruyn L, Gyselings R, Calvignac-Spencer S, Leendertz FH, Leirs H. Risk
123 of human-to-wildlife transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Mamm Rev.* 2020;mam.12225.
- 124 11. Parrish CR, Holmes EC, Morens DM, Park E-C, Burke DS, Calisher CH, et al. Cross-
125 Species Virus Transmission and the Emergence of New Epidemic Diseases. *Microbiol*
126 *Mol Biol Rev.* 2008;72(3):457–70.

- 127 12. Huber M, Knottnerus JA, Green L, Horst H v. d., Jadad AR, Kromhout D, et al. How should
128 we define health? *BMJ*. 2011;343(2):d4163–d4163.
- 129 13. Nuñez MA, Pauchard A, Ricciardi A. Invasion Science and the Global Spread of SARS-
130 CoV-2. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 2020;35(8):642–5.