Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

The Charcot-Leyden crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T-cell function Peer-reviewed author version

ARROYO HORNERO, Rebeca; Aegerter, Helena; HAMAD, Ibrahim; FERNANDES CORTE-REAL, Beatriz; Staes, Katrien; van Der Woning, Bas; Verstraete, Kenneth; Savvides, Savvas N.; Lambrecht, Bart N. & KLEINEWIETFELD, Markus (2022) The Charcot-Leyden crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T-cell function. In: ALLERGY,.

DOI: 10.1111/all.15332 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/37540

1 The Charcot-Leyden Crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human 2 regulatory T cell function

3

4 To the Editor,

Recent studies have highlighted an immunogenic role for Galectin-10 (Gal10) in type 2 5 inflammation^{1,2}. Activated eosinophils release Gal10, which can auto-crystallize to form 6 Charcot-Leyden Crystals (CLCs)^{2,3}. Importantly, the dissolution of CLCs by crystal-dissolving 7 antibodies may provide a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of severe asthma and 8 eosinophil-mediated inflammation^{1,2}. Concomitantly, FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells (Tregs) play a 9 crucial role in controlling allergic diseases⁴. Interestingly, Gal10 has been reported as being 10 significantly overexpressed in Tregs and actively involved in their function⁵. Given the potential 11 therapeutic application of CLC-dissolving antibodies in eosinophilic disorders and the essential 12 immunoregulatory function of Tregs, we have investigated the role of Gal10 and the effects of 13 14 Gal10 crystals and an anti-Gal10 antibody capable of CLC dissolution in human T cell subsets 15 in detail.

A previous study reported almost exclusive Gal10 expression in CD25⁺-enriched Tregs 16 compared to CD4⁺CD25⁻ conventional T cells (Tconvs)⁵. Although no evidence of Gal10 17 secretion or crystal formation was observed, Gal10 downregulation in Tregs enhanced IFNy 18 and TNF-α secretion and inhibited their suppressive capacity⁵. We have re-examined Gal10 19 expression in highly pure FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127⁺Tconvs and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ 20 Tregs (FigureS1A). However, we observed only low Gal10 mRNA expression in both T cell 21 subsets using two sets of previously described primers^{5,6} (Figure1A). Following *in vitro* 22 23 stimulation, Gal10 expression only increased in Tconvs but not Tregs (Figure1A). To independently confirm our data, we reanalyzed published transcriptomic datasets of Tregs and 24 25 observed again in contrast to a previous study⁵ lower Gal10 than FOXP3 mRNA, and comparable low Gal10 expression between different Tconv and Treg subsets (FigureS1B-F). 26 27 Moreover, epigenetic analysis of the Gal10 locus in Tconvs and Tregs predicted quiescent/weak expression of this gene, while expectedly weak or strong FOXP3 expression 28 29 was predicted in Tconvs or Tregs respectively (FigureS1G-H).

Gal10 protein expression was analyzed using two antibodies which specificity was verified in detail (FigureS2A-C). We observed low Gal10 expression in a minor population of Tconvs and Tregs (Figure1B-D), which was quantified to represent less than 2.5% of the total Tconvs and Tregs (Figure1D). Similar Gal10 protein expression levels were observed in 4 day-stimulated Tconvs and Tregs (Figure1E and FigureS2D). The discrepancies to a previous report are possibly due to insufficient purity of cell isolates⁵.

To determine whether external Gal10 may affect Treg function, Tconvs and Tregs were stimulated *in vitro* with allogeneic DCs or anti-CD2/CD3/CD28-coated-beads in the presence or absence of recombinant Gal10 crystals². Gal10 crystals did not affect Tconv proliferation (Figure2A and FigureS2E) and we only observed subtle changes in cell viability at high Gal10 concentrations (Figure2B and FigureS2F). Similarly, Gal10 crystals had no effect on viability, FOXP3 expression or suppressive potency and cytokine expression of Tregs (Figure2C-E and FigureS2G-J).

Next, we silenced the minimal activity of endogenous Gal10 in Tregs. In contrast to previous data⁵, this had no effect on Treg survival, FOXP3, *IFNG* expression or suppressive activity (Figure2F and FigureS2K-N). Moreover, 1D11 anti-Gal10 antibody² had no effect on proliferation or viability of CD4⁺Tconvs (Figure2G-H and FigureS2O-P). Similarly, Treg phenotype and activity were not significantly altered by 1D11, although a tendency towards increased suppressive potency was observed (Figure2I-K and FigureS2Q-S).

In summary, by using multiple primer sets and transcriptomic datasets, as well as several antibodies and methods of protein detection, we did not find significant Gal10 expression in human Tregs. The inhibition of Gal10, either through siRNA or antibody treatment, had no significant effect on Treg function, nor could we see enhanced Treg activity by Gal10 crystals, suggesting no potential Treg-based side effects of targeting Gal10 crystallization. Overall, our data indicate that Gal10 likely plays a neglectable role in the context of Tregs and eosinophilic inflammation.

56

57 **References**

- Aegerter H, Smole U, Heyndrickx I, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystals and other protein crystals driving type 2 immunity and allergy. *Current opinion in immunology.* 2021;72:72-78.
- Persson EK, Verstraete K, Heyndrickx I, et al. Protein crystallization promotes type 2
 immunity and is reversible by antibody treatment. *Science*. 2019;364(6442).
- G3 3. Ueki S, Tokunaga T, Melo RCN, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystal formation is closely
 G4 associated with eosinophil extracellular trap cell death. *Blood*. 2018;132(20):2183G5 2187.
- 4. Noval Rivas M, Chatila TA. Regulatory T cells in allergic diseases. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2016;138(3):639-652.
- Kubach J, Lutter P, Bopp T, et al. Human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells: proteome
 analysis identifies galectin-10 as a novel marker essential for their anergy and
 suppressive function. *Blood.* 2007;110(5):1550-1558.
- Andersson J, Cromvik J, Ingelsten M, et al. Eosinophils from hematopoietic stem cell recipients suppress allogeneic T cell proliferation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*.
 2014;20(12):1891-1898.
- 74

75 Author list and affiliations

Rebeca Arroyo-Hornero^{1, 2}, Helena Aegerter^{3, 4}, Ibrahim Hamad^{1, 2}, Beatriz Corte-Real^{1, 2},
Katrien Staes^{5, 6}, Bas van der Woning⁷, Kenneth Verstraete^{8, 9}, Savvas N. Savvides^{8, 9}, Bart N.
Lambrecht^{3,4,10,#}, Markus Kleinewietfeld^{1, 2,#,*}

79

¹VIB Laboratory of Translational Immunomodulation, VIB Center for Inflammation Research
 (IRC), Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium.

- ²Department of Immunology, Biomedical Research Institute, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek,
 Belgium.
- ³Immunoregulation Unit, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium.
- ⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.
- ⁵Transgenic Core Facility, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium
- ⁶Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.
- ⁷argenx BV, 9052, Zwijnaarde, Belgium.
- ⁸Unit for Structural Biology, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium.
- ⁹Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.
- ¹⁰Department of Pulmonary Medicine, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- [#]These authors jointly supervised this work
- 93 *Correspondence: <u>markus.kleinewietfeld@uhasselt.vib.be</u> (M.K.)

94

95 Acknowledgments

We thank Anneleen Geuzens and Dries Swinnen for technical assistance. We further thank
 the VIB-IRC Flow Cytometry and the VIB-IRC Microscopy Core Facilities for assistance.

98

99 Funding statement

H.A. was supported by a Vlaio grant (Vlaio-Baekeland HBC.2019.2632). This project has
received further funding from an Excellence of Science UHEAD consortium grant (B.N.L.), a
Concerted Research Action grant to the University of Ghent (B.N.L.), the European Research
Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme
(789384, B.N.L.; 640116, M.K.), by a GOA grant from Ghent University (B.N.L and S.N.S.), by
a SALK-grant from the government of Flanders (M.K.) and by an Odysseus-grant of the
Research Foundation Flanders (FWO), Belgium (M.K.).

107

108 Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest

Bart Lambrecht has received consultancy fees from Astra-Zeneca, Sanofi, GSK, Novartis,
 Oncoarendi and argenx BV. Bas van der Woning is a full-time employee of argenx BV. These
 disclosures de net affect content of this article.

- disclosures do not affect content of this article.
- 112

113 Authorship contributions

R.A.H., I.H., B.C.R. and H.A. designed and performed research and analyzed and interpreted data; K.S., B.W., K.V. and S.N.S. contributed vital reagents; B.W. and S.N.S gave conceptual input; B.N.L. and M.K. conceived the project, designed and supervised research and interpreted data. R.A.H. and M.K. wrote the manuscript with key editing by H.A. and B.N.L.
and further input from all authors.

119

120 Figure legends

FIGURE 1. Gal10 expression in human Tregs. A, Relative Gal10 mRNA expression 121 122 measured in Tconvs and Tregs isolated as shown in Figure S1 and stimulated in vitro with anti-CD3/CD28 mAbs and IL-2. Gal10 mRNA expression studied using Tagman probe 123 Hs00171342 m1⁶ and normalized according to *B2M* mRNA expression (left graph), or using 124 125 Gal10 primers previously described by Kubach et al.⁵ and normalized according to B2M (middle graph) or EF1A (right graph) mRNA expression. Mean ± SD. n=3-12 independent 126 127 donors. B, Western blot assay using anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447. n=11 independent donors isolated as shown in Figure S1. Gal10 protein expression normalized to beta-actin expression. 128 HEK293T cells, untransfected or transfected with a Gal10-expressing plasmid, were used as 129 130 negative or positive control respectively. **C**, CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and CD4⁺CD25⁺Tregs isolated 131 by magnetic bead enrichment, stained with anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447 and analyzed by 132 fluorescence microscopy. Images obtained with a Carl Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope 133 (25x objective). The scale shows 20 µm. **D**, Representative FACS analysis of CD4⁺T cells stained for viability, FOXP3 and Gal10 expression (anti-Gal10 mAb B-F42, see FigureS2C) 134 (left) and summary of Gal10 expression within FOXP3⁻Tconvs or FOXP3⁺Tregs in 5 135 independent donors. E, Western blot assay performed in 4 day-stimulated Tconvs and Tregs 136 using anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447 in 6 independent donors. Gal10 protein expression was 137 138 normalized to beta-actin expression. Recombinant His-Tag Gal10 was used as a positive control. Normal distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance 139

- 140 level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by (A) Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-
- test for multiple comparisons or (B, D, E) two-tailed unpaired *t* test for normally distributed data.
- 142

FIGURE 2. Assessment of Gal10 activity on human T cell function. A-E, CD4⁺CD25⁻ 143 Tconvs or Tregs were stimulated in vitro by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the 144 presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb and 10µg/ml Gal10 crystals and IL-2 for 4 days. A, Cell 145 146 proliferation and B-C, cell viability studied by flow cytometry by CFSE labelling or by staining with a live/dead fixable dead cell stain kit respectively. **D.** FOXP3 expression studied in Trea 147 cultures. E, Treg suppressive activity assessed in vitro by co-culturing Tregs with autologous 148 CFSE-labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and monocyte derived-DCs for 4 days in the presence of 149 anti-CD3 mAb and 10µg/ml Gal10 crystals. CD4⁺Tconv cell proliferation was studied by FACS. 150 FOXP3 expression and cell proliferation were normalized to control condition without Gal10 151 152 crystals. F, FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻Tregs, cultured in vitro for 6-7 days, were nucleofected with 100nM control siRNA (CTRL) or siRNA targeted to Gal10 (Gal10 siRNA). 153 Suppressive activity of Tregs was assessed in vitro by co-culturing Tregs with autologous 154 CFSE labelled-PBMCs (hereafter named as Tresp) and anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated 155 beads. Histogram plots show CFSE expression of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺Tresp. Tregs were excluded 156 from Tresp by gating out CFSE CD4⁺T cells. **G-J**, CD4⁺CD25 Tconvs or Tregs were stimulated 157 158 in vitro by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb 159 and 10µg/ml 1D11 (Gal10 crystal-dissolving Ab) and IL-2 for 4 days. G, Cell proliferation and H, I, cell viability studied by FACS by CFSE labelling or by staining with a live/dead fixable 160 dead cell stain kit respectively. J, FOXP3 expression studied in Treg cultures. K, Treg 161 suppressive activity was assessed in vitro by co-culturing Tregs with autologous CFSE-162 labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and monocyte derived-DCs for 4 days in the presence of anti-CD3 163 164 mAb and 10µg/ml 1D11 Ab. CD4⁺Tconv cell proliferation studied by FACS is represented. FOXP3 expression and cell proliferation were normalized to control condition without 1D11 Ab. 165 Mean ± SD, n=4-6 independent cell donors. Normal distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk 166 167 normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by two-tailed paired *t* test for normal distributed data. 168

169

170





Tconv Treg

Tconv Treg



1 The Charcot-Leyden Crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T cell function.

Rebeca Arroyo-Hornero, Helena Aegerter, Ibrahim Hamad, Beatriz Corte-Real, Katrien Staes, Bas van der Woning,
 Kenneth Verstraete, Savvas N. Savvides, Bart N. Lambrecht, Markus Kleinewietfeld

4 Methods

5 *Cell isolation and culture*

6 The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Hasselt University (Comité voor Medische Ethiek UHasselt). Peripheral blood (collected in 7 8 Lithium Heparin coated tubes, 455084, Greiner bio-one) or buffy coats (purchased from the Belgium Red Cross) were 9 obtained from healthy donors, after providing written informed consent. Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells 10 (PBMCs) were isolated by Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE17-1440-02, Sigma-Aldrich) gradient centrifugation. In some cases, 11 CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from whole blood using RosetteSep Human CD4⁺ T Cell Enrichment Cocktail (15062, Stemcell Technologies) according to manufacturer's protocol. PBMCs or CD4+ T cells were then incubated with CD25 12 Microbeads II (130-097-044, Miltenyi Biotec) and separated using LS columns (130-042-401, Miltenyi Biotec). Tregs 13 14 were isolated from CD25⁺enriched cells, while Tconvs were isolated from CD25-depleted cells. For further FACS sorting, 15 cells were labelled with Propidium Iodide (PI) (556463, BD Biosciences), anti-CD4 APC-Cy7 (557851, BD Biosciences), 16 CD25 PE-Cy7 (557741, BD Biosciences) and CD127 PerCP-Cy5.5 (351322, Biolegend) antibodies prior being sorted as PI-CD4+CD25+CD127- Tregs or as PI-CD4+CD25-CD127+ Tconvs using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter as described 17 before^{1,2}. FACS-sorted Tconvs and Tregs were cultured in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with 5% 18 19 heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400, BioWest). Cells were stimulated with 1 or $10 \,\mu$ g/ml plate bound anti-CD3 20 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences) and 1μ g/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb (555725, BD Biosciences). Where indicated, media 21 was supplemented with IL-2 (Proleukin, Novartis or 11147528001, Sigma-Aldrich).

22 *Quantitative polymerase chain reaction with reverse transcription (qRT-PCR)*

23 Cells were lysed in RLT buffer (74034, Qiagen) containing 2-mercaptoethanol according to the manufacturer's protocol, 24 and stored at -80°C until RNA was extracted. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy plus Micro Kit (74034, Qiagen) and 25 further converted to cDNA using qScriptTM cDNA SuperMix kit (95048, QuantaBio) following manufacturer's instructions. Real Time PCR was performed in duplicates on a Step ONE Plus RT-PCR machine (Applied Biosciences). 26 27 The following primers were used for PCR with TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix (2X) (4352042, Thermofisher 28 Scientific): Gal10/CLC- Hs0017342_m1 (ThermoFisher Scientific³), IFNG- Hs00989291_m1, and B2M- 4326319E-29 1402015 from Applied Biosystems. Additionally, the following primers were used for PCR with PowerUp SYBR Green 30 Master Mix (A25742, ThermoFisher Scientific): Gal10/CLC forward- 5'-TAC CCG TGC CAT ACA CAG AGG CTG-31 3', Gal10/CLC reverse- 5'-CTT ATC TGG CAG CAC TGA GAT GCT C-3' (described by⁴), B2M forward- 5'-TTC 32 TGG CCT GGA GGC TAT-3', B2M reverse- 5'-TCA GGA AAT TTG ACT TTC CAT TC-3' (described by⁵), EF1A forward- 5'-GAT TAC AGG GAC ATC TCA GGC TG-3', EF1A reverse- 5'-TAT CTC TTC TGG CTG TAG GGT GG 33 34 -3' (described by⁴). Fold-changes in expression were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method based on human B2M or EF1A 35 as endogenous controls for mRNA expression as described before⁶.

36 *Reanalysis of published transcriptomic datasets*

EGAS00001004470, GSE90600, GSE76598 and GSE148267 were downloaded from the European Genome-phenome
Archive (EGA) or gene expression omnibus (GEO) database. GSE76598 was analyzed with GEO2R. For reanalysis of
RNA sequencing in EGAS00001004470, GSE90600 and GSE148267, quality of raw sequence reads was checked using
FastQC version 0.11.8, and nucleotide calls with a quality score of 28 or higher were considered high quality. Adapters
were removed using cutadapt v.2.4. Reads were aligned to the hg19 genome reference, using STAR (2.5.0e) and a
maximum of five mismatches were allowed. Gene counts were retrieved using htseq-count using the "union" option.

43 Reanalysis of published epigenetic datasets

Histone epigenetic marks and chromHMM data from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project were downloaded from WashU
 EpiGenome Browser (v52.1.0)⁷. Data was extracted from human CD4⁺CD25⁻ Th and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Treg primary

- 45 EpiGenome Browser (v52.1.0)⁷. Data was extra
 46 cells isolated from blood of healthy donors^{1.8}.
- 47 Western Blot

FACS-sorted CD4+CD25-CD127+ Tconvs or CD4+CD25+CD127- Tregs were lysed in RIPA buffer (150mM sodium chloride, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate and 50mM Tris pH 8.0) containing

50 protease inhibitor (05 892 970 001, Roche). Alternatively, FACS-sorted Tconvs and Tregs were *in vitro* stimulated for 4

for the solution of the solut

52 Biosciences) and 125IU/ml Proleukin prior lysis in RIPA buffer. Protein was quantified by BCA assay (23227,

53 ThermoFisher Scientific). His-tag recombinant galectin-10 (generated in house by B. Lambrecht) or HEK293T cells

transfected with a *Gal10*-expressing plasmid were used as a positive control. The lysates were electrophoresed in a 10%
SDS gel and transferred onto a PDVF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA for 1 hour at room
temperature and sequentially reacted with anti-Gal10 Ab (AF5447, R&D Systems) or anti-β-Actin (3700, Cell Signalling)
overnight at 4°C and a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (P0260 or P0449, Agilent Technologies) for 1.5 hours
at room temperature. Membranes were revealed with Pierce ECL reagent (32134, ThermoFisher Scientific) in an
Amersham Imager 680. Protein quantification was performed using ImageJ.

60 Fluorescence microscopy

Galectin-10 expression was studied in CD4+CD25⁻ Tconvs and CD4+CD25+ Tregs isolated from PBMCs using RosetteSep 61 62 Human CD4⁺ T Cell Enrichment Cocktail (15062, Stemcell Technologies) and CD25 Microbeads II (130-097-044, 63 Miltenyi Biotec). Galectin-10 crystals (generated in house by S. Savvides) and the human eosinophilic cell line AML14.3D10 were used as a positive control. A Gal10-knockout of the AML14.3D10 cell line was used as a negative 64 65 control. The AML14.3D10 cell line was a kind gift of C. Paul and M. Baumann (Wright State University, Dayton, OH) 66 and was cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in RPMI 1640 (LifeTechnologies) containing 10% FCS (Life Technologies), 67 50mM 2-ME (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM nonessential aminoacids (Life Technologies), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-68 Aldrich), with a culture density of below 1x10⁶/ml. For Galectin-10 staining, cells were fixed in 2% paraformadehyde for 69 15 minutes at room temperature, washed with PBS and permeabilized with 0.025% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 70 minutes at room temperature. Cells were then blocked in 2% Bovine-Serum Albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) + 3% Donkey 71 serum for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were incubated overnight with anti-Galectin-10 (AF5447, R&D Systems) or 72 isotype (AB-108-C, R&D) in PBS. The following day, cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated with a donkey 73 polyclonal-goat IgG (A-21447, ThermoFisher) conjugated to Alexa-Fluor647 for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark, 74 followed by DAPI (D3571, Life Technologies) and washed in PBS prior to being mounted on Poly-L-Lysine (Sigma-75 Aldrich) coated slides with Polyvinyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich). Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal 76 microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a 10x or 25x objective and analyzed using iMaris v7.6.4 software.

77 Generation of Gal10-deficient AML14.3D10 knockout cells

78 Gal10/CLC-deficient AML14.3D10 knockout (KO) cells were generated with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Guide RNAs

were designed using CRISPOR software, and inserted in pX458 (plasmid #48138, Addgene). Exon 3 was targeted by
 gRNA clc2211 with protospacer sequence 5'-CACGACGACCAAAGCACACT-3'. Cells were electroporated (NEPA21,
 NepaGene) with the indicated plasmid and GFP positive cells were single cell sorted. The targeting region of the resulting

82 clones was sequenced and analysed with ICE (Synthego) and TIDE (NKI). Galectin-10 depletion was further confirmed

83 by WB.

84 Flow cytometry (FACS)

85 For FACS, cells were stained with LIVE/DEAD kit (L34976, Invitrogen) for 10 minutes at room temperature to exclude 86 dead cells. For surface staining, cells were labelled with respective antibodies for 20 minutes in MACS buffer (PBS with 0.5% BSA, 2mM EDTA) at 4°C. For intracellular staining, cells were first fixed and made permeable using eBioscience 87 88 FOXP3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (00-5523-00, Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions and 89 stained with respective antibodies diluted in Perm buffer for 30 minutes at 4°C, washed and assayed in MACS buffer. For 90 cytokine detection, cells were stimulated with 50ng/ml phorbol12-myristate13-acetate (PMA) (P1585, Sigma) and 91 250ng/ml Ionomycin (1063, Sigma) in the presence of GolgiPlug (555029, BD) for 5 hours prior intracellular staining. 92 Antibodies used were anti-CD4 APC-Cy7 (557851, BD Biosciences), anti-CD4 PerCP-Cy5.5 (300530, Biolegend), anti-93 CD3 Pacific Blue (317314, Biolegend), anti-CD3 PE (317308, Biolegend), anti-CD25 PE-Cy7 (557741, BD Biosciences), 94 anti-CD127 PerCP-Cy5.5 (351322, Biolegend), anti-IFNy FITC (eBioscience 11-7319-81), anti-IL10 APC (BD 554707), 95 anti-FOXP3 PE (320108, Biolegend) and anti-FOXP3 AF700 (56-4776-41, eBioscience). When indicated, cells were stained with the cell trace dyes CFSE or CTV, at a final concentration of 1µM or 2.5µM respectively. Gal10 protein 96 expression was studied by flow cytometry in HEK293T cells or in CD4+ T cells sorted from freshly isolated human 97 98 PBMCs using EasySep Human CD4+ T cell isolation Kit (17952, StemCell Technologies), before and after 4 days of 99 stimulation (1µg/ml plate bound anti-CD3 mAb, 1µg/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb and 125IU/ml Proleukin). CD4+ T cells 100 were stained with LIVE/DEAD Kit prior being fixed and permeabilized as described above. Cells were stained with anti-101 Gal10 mAb (852.963.020, Diaclone) or mouse IgGx isotype (401408, Biolegend) for 1 hour at 4°C in Perm buffer, washed 102 and incubated with anti-mouse IgG labelled with AF555 (A21425, ThermoFisher Scientific) and anti-FOXP3 AF700 for 103 30 minutes at 4°C in perm buffer. Data was acquired on a BD LSR Fortessa II and analyzed with FlowJo software 104 (TreeStar).

105 Galectin-10 overexpression in HEK293T cells

HEK293T cells were transfected using jetOptimus buffer (117-07, Polyplus) with 300ng *Gal10*-expression plasmid
 (RC219689, Origene) according to manufacturer's protocol and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours before read-out.

108 siRNA mediated knock-down

FACS-sorted CD4+CD25+CD127- Tregs were *in vitro* cultured for 6-7 days prior siRNA nucleofection. Cells were stimulated in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with 5% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400, BioWest) with 10 µg/ml plate bound anti-CD3 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences), 1µg/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb (555725,

- BD Biosciences) and 1500IU/ml Proleukin. Cells were rested 24 hours prior nucleofection in media containing 500IU/ml
- 112 DD Diosciences) and isocio/init i foreakin. Cens were researed 24 nours prior indefeoreetion in media containing socio/init 113 Proleukin in the absence of TCR stimulation. Control siRNA (ON-TARGETplus non-targeting control pool, D-001810-
- 114 10-05) and a pool of 4 specific siRNA for *Gal10/CLC* (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool#1178, L-012397-01-0005) were
- 115 obtained from Horizon Discovery LTD, Dharmacon. 1x10⁶ Tregs were transfected in 20µ1 P3 Primary Cell 4D-
- 116 Nucleofector X Kit S (V4XP-3032, Lonza) with 100nM of siRNA by using Nucleofection cuvette strips (4D-Nucleofector
- X Kit S, Lonza) and the 4D-Nucleofector Core Unit (AAF-1002B, Lonza) and X Unit (AAF-1002X, Lonza) with program
 EO115. After transfection, Tregs were cultured in X-VIVO15 media supplemented with 5% FBS and 500IU/mL
- 119 Proleukin and incubated at 37°C for 2 days. Dead cells were removed by staining with propidium iodide (PI) (556463,
- BD Biosciences) and FACS-sorting negative cells using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter, resulting in a viability greater than
- 121 99%. RNA measurements and suppression assays were assessed on sorted live cells.
- **122** Generation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells (mo-DCs)

For monocyte-derived DCs, CD14⁺ monocytes were magnetic bead-isolated from PBMCs (17858, StemCell Technologies) and cultured with 50U/ml IL-4 (11340045, Immunotools) and 50ng/ml GM-CSF (300-03, Peprotech) in X-VIVO15 supplemented with 10% FBS. After 5 days of incubation, DCs were harvested and stored in liquid nitrogen for later use.

127 Functional in vitro assays

128 FACS-sorted CD4+CD25-CD127+ Tconvs or CD4+CD25+CD127- Tregs were labelled with 1µM Cell Trace CFSE (C34554, ThermoFisher Scientific) or 2.5µM Cell Trace Violet CTV (C34557, ThermoFisher Scientific). Cells were 129 cultured in 96-well U-bottom plates at 5x10⁴ cells per well in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with 130 5% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400, BioWest). Cells were stimulated with 2x10⁴ allogeneic mo-DCs and 131 132 0.5µg/ml soluble anti-CD3 (555329, BD Biosciences) or by anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads (130-092-909, 133 Miltenyi Biotec) at 1 bead: 1 cell ratio, for 4 days before flow cytometry analysis. Media was supplemented with 25U/ml 134 IL-2 (Sigma-Aldrich) for Treg cultures. When stated in the figures, Gal10 crystals or 1D11 Ab (Gal10 crystal-dissolving antibody)⁹ were added to the cell cultures at different concentrations as indicated. 135

136 *Treg suppression assay*

137 Treg suppressive capacity was assessed by their ability to suppress autologous T cell proliferation in vitro. PBMCs or CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs (referred as Tresp) were labelled with CFSE and cultured at 5x10⁴ cells per well with autologous 138 Tregs in 96-well U-bottom plates in X-VIVO15 supplemented with 5% FBS. When stated, Tregs were labelled with CTV. 139 140 Cells were stimulated for 4 days using Treg Suppression Inspector beads (anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads) (130-141 092-909, Miltenyi Biotec) at 1 bead: 1 cell ratio, or by co-culturing with allogenic mo-DCs (2x10⁴ cells per well) in the 142 presence of 0.5μ g/ml soluble anti-CD3 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences). Co-culture of cells were stained to distinguish 143 CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and CFSE dilution was studied by FACS. Cell proliferation of Tresp was calculated using FlowJo 144 software as division index.

145 Quantification and statistical analysis

146 Graphs were produced and statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism Version 8. All data were presented 147 as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Each dot displayed in the figures denotes an independent blood donor. Number of 148 donors (n) and statistical tests that were used can be found in figure legends. Paired tests were selected when comparing 149 Gal10 or 1D11 treatment with control condition for each cell subset and blood donor. Unpaired tests were selected when 150 comparing different cell subsets. Normality of the data was tested by Shapiro-Wilk normality test. For more than two 151 groups with one variable only, one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (for normal distributed data) of 152 Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test (for non-normal distributed data) were used. For data with more than two groups and multiple variables, the non-paired Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-test for multiple 153 154 comparisons (for non-normal distributed data) was used. Significance was defined as *p ≤ 0.05 , **p ≤ 0.01 , ***p ≤ 0.001 and $***p \le 0.0001$. 155

156 Supplementary figure legends

FIG S1. Purity of FACS-sorted Tconv and Tregs and re-analysis of published data sets. A, Phenotype of FACSsorted CD4+CD25+CD127+ Tconv and CD4+CD25+CD127- Treg cells from one representative donor. B-F, Re-analysis of *Gal10* mRNA expression on freshly isolated CD4+CD25- Tconv and Tregs. *FOXP3* and *Gal10* mRNA expression (read
counts) was studied in published transcriptomic data: (B) EGAS000001004470¹⁰, (C) GSE90600¹¹, (D, E) GSE76598¹²
and (F) GSE148267¹³. B, mRNA levels (read counts) in Tregs isolated from peripheral blood of 14 healthy individuals.
C, RNAseq was performed in different CD4+ T cell subsets sorted from blood of 3 healthy donors based on CD4, CD25

163 and CD45RA expression. CD4+ T cells were isolated as: CD45RA+CD25- naive T cells (nTconv), CD45RA-CD25memory T cells (mTconv), CD45RA⁻CD25^{int} cells, CD45RA⁺CD25⁺ naive Tregs (nTreg) and CD45RA⁻CD25^{high} effector 164 Tregs (eTreg). D-E, mRNA expression was studied in cells sorted from blood of 7 healthy donors as: 165 CD4+CD45RA+CD25 naive T cells (nTconv), CD4+CD45RA+CD25 memory T cells (mTconv), CD4+CD45RA+CD25+ 166 naive Tregs (nTreg) and CD4+CD45RA CD25+ memory Tregs (mTreg). RNA expression was studied (D) prior and (E) 167 168 after 40 hours of stimulation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies. F, Transcriptomic data of CD4+CD25lowCD127+ 169 Tconvs or CD4⁺CD25^{high}CD127⁻ Tregs isolated from healthy individuals before (n= 3) or after 6 hours, 24 hours or 7 days 170 of in vitro stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 mAbs and IL-2 (n= 1). Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Significance was calculated by (B) Wilcoxon matched-pairs test or (C-F) 171 172 one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test for normally-distributed data or by a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test for data non-normally distributed. G-H, Epigenetic signature at the Gallo (CLC gene) 173 174 and FOXP3 locus was studied using WashU epigenome browser (v52.1.0)7. Data from human CD4+CD25- Th and 175 CD4+CD25+CD127- Treg primary cells obtained from blood of healthy donors were downloaded from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project^{1,8}. Gene track from gencodeV29 is shown in purple. The chromatin state (chromHMM) and histone 176 marks (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) at the G, Gal10 (CLC gene) or H, FOXP3 loci 177 178 are shown for Th (black line) and Tregs (red line).

179

180 FIG S2. Gal10 protein expression and functional characterization of T cells. A-D, Validation of anti-Gal10 antibodies and Gal10 expression in activated T cells. A, Gal10 crystals were generated as previously described by Persson et al.9, 181 stained with anti-Gal10 antibodies B-F42 or AF5447 and studied by fluorescence microscopy (10x objective, scalebar = 182 183 100µm). B, Wild type and Gal10-knock-out AML cell lines were stained intracellularly with goat IgG isotype or anti-184 Gal10 Ab (AF5447) and DAPI previous fluorescence microscopy analysis (25x objective, scalebar = 20μ m). C, Representative FACS analysis of HEK293T cells transfected with a Gal10-expression plasmid. Cells were stained with 185 186 anti-Gal10 (B-F42) antibodies or mouse IgG isotype, followed by incubation with anti-mouse IgG AF555 secondary 187 antibody. **D**, Gal10 expression in 4 day-stimulated T cells within FOXP3⁻Tconvs or FOXP3⁺Tregs gated as in Fig.1D (n 188 = 4), normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical 189 significance was studied by two-tailed paired t test. E-J, Effect of Gal10 crystals on CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconv and Treg function. 190 Cells were stimulated in vitro by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb or by anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads and Gal10 crystals for 4 days. IL-2 was added to Treg cultures. E, Cell 191 192 proliferation and F-G, cell viability were studied by CFSE labelling or by staining with a live/dead fixable dead cell stain kit respectively. H, FOXP3 expression was studied in Treg cultures. I, CFSE-labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs were co-193 cultured with Tregs and stimulated with allogenic DCs and anti-CD3 mAb for 4 days in the presence of Gal10 crystals at 194 195 different concentrations. CD4+ Tconv cell proliferation, studied as CFSE dilution is represented. Cell proliferation and FOXP3 expression levels were normalized to control condition without Gal10 crystals. Mean ± SD is represented for 5-196 197 6 independent cell donors. Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level 198 of 0.05. Significance was studied by (E, F, H, I) one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test or (G) Friedman test with Dunn's test for multiple comparisons. J, Intracellular FACS analysis of IFNy and IL-10 expression of bead-199 200 stimulated Tregs in the presence or absence of 10μ g/ml Gal10 crystals and IL-2 after 4 days (n = 4), normal distribution 201 was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by 202 two-tailed paired t test. K-N, Characterization of Gal10-siRNA knockdown (Gal10 siRNA) versus controls (CTRL). K, 203 Cell viability and L, FOXP3 expression studied by FACS. M, Gal10 mRNA expression (Hs00171342_m1) and N, IFNG 204 mRNA expression as assed by RT PCR, normalized according to B2M expression (n=4). Normal distribution was 205 calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by two-206 tailed paired t test. O-S, Effect of Gal10 crystal-dissolving 1D11 antibody in CD4+CD25 Tconvs and Tregs. Cells were 207 stimulated for 4 days in vitro by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb and 208 1D11 at different concentrations. IL-2 was added to Treg cultures. O, Cell proliferation and P-Q, cell viability were 209 studied by CFSE or by staining with a live/dead kit respectively. **R**, FOXP3 expression in Treg cultures. **S**, CFSE-labelled 210 CD4+CD25⁻ Tconvs were co-cultured with Tregs and stimulated with allogenic DCs and anti-CD3 mAb for 4 days in the presence of 1D11 at different concentrations and CD4+ Tconv cell proliferation is represented. Cell proliferation and 211 FOXP3 expression levels were normalized to control condition without 1D11. Mean \pm SD is represented for 4 independent 212 213 cell donors. Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. 214 Significance was studied by one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (O, P, R, S) or (Q) Friedman test 215 with Dunn's test for multiple comparisons.

216

217 Supplementary References

Farh KK, Marson A, Zhu J, et al. Genetic and epigenetic fine mapping of causal autoimmune disease variants. *Nature*. 2015;518(7539):337-343.

- 220 2. Van Zeebroeck L, Arroyo Hornero R, Côrte-Real BF, Hamad I, Meissner TB, Kleinewietfeld M. Fast and Efficient 221 Genome Editing of Human FOXP3(+) Regulatory T Cells. Frontiers in immunology. 2021;12:655122.
- 222 3. Andersson J, Cromvik J, Ingelsten M, et al. Eosinophils from hematopoietic stem cell recipients suppress allogeneic T cell 223 224 proliferation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2014;20(12):1891-1898.
- Kubach J, Lutter P, Bopp T, et al. Human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells: proteome analysis identifies galectin-10 as a 4. 225 novel marker essential for their anergy and suppressive function. Blood. 2007;110(5):1550-1558.
- 226 5. Beyer M, Thabet Y, Müller RU, et al. Repression of the genome organizer SATB1 in regulatory T cells is required for 227 suppressive function and inhibition of effector differentiation. Nature immunology. 2011;12(9):898-907.
- 228 6. Kleinewietfeld M, Manzel A, Titze J, et al. Sodium chloride drives autoimmune disease by the induction of pathogenic 229 TH17 cells. Nature. 2013;496(7446):518-522.
- 230 7. Li D, Hsu S, Purushotham D, Sears RL, Wang T. WashU Epigenome Browser update 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 231 2019;47(W1):W158-W165.
- 232 8. Kundaje A, Meuleman W, Ernst J, et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. Nature. 233 2015:518(7539):317-330.
- 234 9. Persson EK, Verstraete K, Heyndrickx I, et al. Protein crystallization promotes type 2 immunity and is reversible by 235 antibody treatment. Science. 2019;364(6442).
- 236 10. Alissafi T, Kalafati L, Lazari M, et al. Mitochondrial Oxidative Damage Underlies Regulatory T Cell Defects in 237 Autoimmunity. Cell Metab. 2020;32(4):591-604 e597.
- 238 Cuadrado E, van den Biggelaar M, de Kivit S, et al. Proteomic Analyses of Human Regulatory T Cells Reveal Adaptations 11. 239 in Signaling Pathways that Protect Cellular Identity. Immunity. 2018;48(5):1046-1059 e1046.
- 240 Pesenacker AM, Wang AY, Singh A, et al. A Regulatory T-Cell Gene Signature Is a Specific and Sensitive Biomarker to 12. 241 Identify Children With New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2016;65(4):1031-1039.
- 242 13. Bhairavabhotla R, Kim YC, Glass DD, et al. Transcriptome profiling of human FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. Hum Immunol. 243 2016;77(2):201-213.

244



