

The Charcot-Leyden crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T-cell function

Peer-reviewed author version

ARROYO HORNERO, Rebeca; Aegerter, Helena; HAMAD, Ibrahim; FERNANDES CORTE-REAL, Beatriz; Staes, Katrien; van Der Woning, Bas; Verstraete, Kenneth; Savvides, Savvas N.; Lambrecht, Bart N. & KLEINWIETFIELD, Markus (2022) The Charcot-Leyden crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T-cell function. In: ALLERGY,.

DOI: 10.1111/all.15332

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/37540>

1 The Charcot-Leyden Crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human 2 regulatory T cell function

3

4 To the Editor,

5 Recent studies have highlighted an immunogenic role for Galectin-10 (Gal10) in type 2
6 inflammation^{1,2}. Activated eosinophils release Gal10, which can auto-crystallize to form
7 Charcot-Leyden Crystals (CLCs)^{2,3}. Importantly, the dissolution of CLCs by crystal-dissolving
8 antibodies may provide a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of severe asthma and
9 eosinophil-mediated inflammation^{1,2}. Concomitantly, FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells (Tregs) play a
10 crucial role in controlling allergic diseases⁴. Interestingly, Gal10 has been reported as being
11 significantly overexpressed in Tregs and actively involved in their function⁵. Given the potential
12 therapeutic application of CLC-dissolving antibodies in eosinophilic disorders and the essential
13 immunoregulatory function of Tregs, we have investigated the role of Gal10 and the effects of
14 Gal10 crystals and an anti-Gal10 antibody capable of CLC dissolution in human T cell subsets
15 in detail.

16 A previous study reported almost exclusive Gal10 expression in CD25⁺-enriched Tregs
17 compared to CD4⁺CD25⁻ conventional T cells (Tconvs)⁵. Although no evidence of Gal10
18 secretion or crystal formation was observed, Gal10 downregulation in Tregs enhanced IFN γ
19 and TNF- α secretion and inhibited their suppressive capacity⁵. We have re-examined Gal10
20 expression in highly pure FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127⁺Tconvs and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻
21 Tregs (FigureS1A). However, we observed only low *Gal10* mRNA expression in both T cell
22 subsets using two sets of previously described primers^{5,6} (Figure1A). Following *in vitro*
23 stimulation, *Gal10* expression only increased in Tconvs but not Tregs (Figure1A). To
24 independently confirm our data, we reanalyzed published transcriptomic datasets of Tregs and
25 observed again in contrast to a previous study⁵ lower *Gal10* than *FOXP3* mRNA, and
26 comparable low *Gal10* expression between different Tconv and Treg subsets (FigureS1B-F).
27 Moreover, epigenetic analysis of the *Gal10* locus in Tconvs and Tregs predicted
28 quiescent/weak expression of this gene, while expectedly weak or strong *FOXP3* expression
29 was predicted in Tconvs or Tregs respectively (FigureS1G-H).

30 Gal10 protein expression was analyzed using two antibodies which specificity was verified in
31 detail (FigureS2A-C). We observed low Gal10 expression in a minor population of Tconvs and
32 Tregs (Figure1B-D), which was quantified to represent less than 2.5% of the total Tconvs and
33 Tregs (Figure1D). Similar Gal10 protein expression levels were observed in 4 day-stimulated
34 Tconvs and Tregs (Figure1E and FigureS2D). The discrepancies to a previous report are
35 possibly due to insufficient purity of cell isolates⁵.

36 To determine whether external Gal10 may affect Treg function, Tconvs and Tregs were
37 stimulated *in vitro* with allogeneic DCs or anti-CD2/CD3/CD28-coated-beads in the presence
38 or absence of recombinant Gal10 crystals². Gal10 crystals did not affect Tconv proliferation
39 (Figure2A and FigureS2E) and we only observed subtle changes in cell viability at high Gal10
40 concentrations (Figure2B and FigureS2F). Similarly, Gal10 crystals had no effect on viability,
41 FOXP3 expression or suppressive potency and cytokine expression of Tregs (Figure2C-E and
42 FigureS2G-J).

43 Next, we silenced the minimal activity of endogenous Gal10 in Tregs. In contrast to previous
44 data⁵, this had no effect on Treg survival, FOXP3, *IFNG* expression or suppressive activity
45 (Figure2F and FigureS2K-N). Moreover, 1D11 anti-Gal10 antibody² had no effect on
46 proliferation or viability of CD4⁺Tconvs (Figure2G-H and FigureS2O-P). Similarly, Treg
47 phenotype and activity were not significantly altered by 1D11, although a tendency towards
48 increased suppressive potency was observed (Figure2I-K and FigureS2Q-S).

49 In summary, by using multiple primer sets and transcriptomic datasets, as well as several
50 antibodies and methods of protein detection, we did not find significant Gal10 expression in

51 human Tregs. The inhibition of Gal10, either through siRNA or antibody treatment, had no
52 significant effect on Treg function, nor could we see enhanced Treg activity by Gal10 crystals,
53 suggesting no potential Treg-based side effects of targeting Gal10 crystallization. Overall, our
54 data indicate that Gal10 likely plays a neglectable role in the context of Tregs and eosinophilic
55 inflammation.

56

57 **References**

- 58 1. Aegerter H, Smole U, Heyndrickx I, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystals and other protein
59 crystals driving type 2 immunity and allergy. *Current opinion in immunology*.
60 2021;72:72-78.
- 61 2. Persson EK, Verstraete K, Heyndrickx I, et al. Protein crystallization promotes type 2
62 immunity and is reversible by antibody treatment. *Science*. 2019;364(6442).
- 63 3. Ueki S, Tokunaga T, Melo RCN, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystal formation is closely
64 associated with eosinophil extracellular trap cell death. *Blood*. 2018;132(20):2183-
65 2187.
- 66 4. Noval Rivas M, Chatila TA. Regulatory T cells in allergic diseases. *The Journal of*
67 *allergy and clinical immunology*. 2016;138(3):639-652.
- 68 5. Kubach J, Lutter P, Bopp T, et al. Human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells: proteome
69 analysis identifies galectin-10 as a novel marker essential for their anergy and
70 suppressive function. *Blood*. 2007;110(5):1550-1558.
- 71 6. Andersson J, Cromvik J, Ingelsten M, et al. Eosinophils from hematopoietic stem cell
72 recipients suppress allogeneic T cell proliferation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*.
73 2014;20(12):1891-1898.

74

75 **Author list and affiliations**

76 Rebeca Arroyo-Hornero^{1, 2}, Helena Aegerter^{3, 4}, Ibrahim Hamad^{1, 2}, Beatriz Corte-Real^{1, 2},
77 Katrien Staes^{5, 6}, Bas van der Woning⁷, Kenneth Verstraete^{8, 9}, Savvas N. Savvides^{8, 9}, Bart N.
78 Lambrecht^{3, 4, 10, #}, Markus Kleinewietfeld^{1, 2, #, *}

79

80 ¹VIB Laboratory of Translational Immunomodulation, VIB Center for Inflammation Research
81 (IRC), Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium.

82 ²Department of Immunology, Biomedical Research Institute, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek,
83 Belgium.

84 ³Immunoregulation Unit, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium.

85 ⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

86 ⁵Transgenic Core Facility, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium

87 ⁶Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

88 ⁷argenx BV, 9052, Zwijnaarde, Belgium.

89 ⁸Unit for Structural Biology, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium.

90 ⁹Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

91 ¹⁰Department of Pulmonary Medicine, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

92 #These authors jointly supervised this work

93 ***Correspondence:** markus.kleinewietfeld@uhasselt.vib.be (M.K.)

94

95 **Acknowledgments**

96 We thank Anneleen Geuzens and Dries Swinnen for technical assistance. We further thank
97 the VIB-IRC Flow Cytometry and the VIB-IRC Microscopy Core Facilities for assistance.

98

99 **Funding statement**

100 H.A. was supported by a Vlaio grant (Vlaio-Baekeland HBC.2019.2632). This project has
101 received further funding from an Excellence of Science UHEAD consortium grant (B.N.L.), a
102 Concerted Research Action grant to the University of Ghent (B.N.L.), the European Research
103 Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme
104 (789384, B.N.L.; 640116, M.K.), by a GOA grant from Ghent University (B.N.L and S.N.S.), by
105 a SALK-grant from the government of Flanders (M.K.) and by an Odysseus-grant of the
106 Research Foundation Flanders (FWO), Belgium (M.K.).

107

108 **Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest**

109 Bart Lambrecht has received consultancy fees from Astra-Zeneca, Sanofi, GSK, Novartis,
110 Oncoarendi and argenx BV. Bas van der Woning is a full-time employee of argenx BV. These
111 disclosures do not affect content of this article.

112

113 **Authorship contributions**

114 R.A.H., I.H., B.C.R. and H.A. designed and performed research and analyzed and interpreted
115 data; K.S., B.W., K.V. and S.N.S. contributed vital reagents; B.W. and S.N.S gave conceptual
116 input; B.N.L. and M.K. conceived the project, designed and supervised research and
117 interpreted data. R.A.H. and M.K. wrote the manuscript with key editing by H.A. and B.N.L.
118 and further input from all authors.

119

120 **Figure legends**

121 **FIGURE 1. Gal10 expression in human Tregs. A**, Relative *Gal10* mRNA expression
122 measured in Tconvs and Tregs isolated as shown in Figure S1 and stimulated *in vitro* with anti-
123 CD3/CD28 mAbs and IL-2. *Gal10* mRNA expression studied using Taqman probe
124 Hs00171342_m1⁶ and normalized according to *B2M* mRNA expression (left graph), or using
125 *Gal10* primers previously described by Kubach *et al.*⁵ and normalized according to *B2M*
126 (middle graph) or *EF1A* (right graph) mRNA expression. Mean \pm SD, n=3-12 independent
127 donors. **B**, Western blot assay using anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447. n=11 independent donors isolated
128 as shown in Figure S1. Gal10 protein expression normalized to beta-actin expression.
129 HEK293T cells, untransfected or transfected with a *Gal10*-expressing plasmid, were used as
130 negative or positive control respectively. **C**, CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and CD4⁺CD25⁺Tregs isolated
131 by magnetic bead enrichment, stained with anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447 and analyzed by
132 fluorescence microscopy. Images obtained with a Carl Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope
133 (25x objective). The scale shows 20 μ m. **D**, Representative FACS analysis of CD4⁺T cells
134 stained for viability, FOXP3 and Gal10 expression (anti-Gal10 mAb B-F42, see FigureS2C)
135 (left) and summary of Gal10 expression within FOXP3⁻Tconvs or FOXP3⁺Tregs in 5
136 independent donors. **E**, Western blot assay performed in 4 day-stimulated Tconvs and Tregs
137 using anti-Gal10 Ab AF5447 in 6 independent donors. Gal10 protein expression was
138 normalized to beta-actin expression. Recombinant His-Tag Gal10 was used as a positive
139 control. Normal distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance

140 level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by (A) Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-
141 test for multiple comparisons or (B, D, E) two-tailed unpaired *t* test for normally distributed data.

142

143 **FIGURE 2. Assessment of Gal10 activity on human T cell function. A-E,** CD4⁺CD25⁻
144 Tconvs or Tregs were stimulated *in vitro* by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the
145 presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb and 10µg/ml Gal10 crystals and IL-2 for 4 days. **A,** Cell
146 proliferation and **B-C,** cell viability studied by flow cytometry by CFSE labelling or by staining
147 with a live/dead fixable dead cell stain kit respectively. **D,** FOXP3 expression studied in Treg
148 cultures. **E,** Treg suppressive activity assessed *in vitro* by co-culturing Tregs with autologous
149 CFSE-labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and monocyte derived-DCs for 4 days in the presence of
150 anti-CD3 mAb and 10µg/ml Gal10 crystals. CD4⁺Tconv cell proliferation was studied by FACS.
151 FOXP3 expression and cell proliferation were normalized to control condition without Gal10
152 crystals. **F,** FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127⁻Tregs, cultured *in vitro* for 6-7 days, were
153 nucleofected with 100nM control siRNA (CTRL) or siRNA targeted to *Gal10* (*Gal10* siRNA).
154 Suppressive activity of Tregs was assessed *in vitro* by co-culturing Tregs with autologous
155 CFSE labelled-PBMCs (hereafter named as Tresp) and anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated
156 beads. Histogram plots show CFSE expression of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺Tresp. Tregs were excluded
157 from Tresp by gating out CFSE⁻CD4⁺T cells. **G-J,** CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs or Tregs were stimulated
158 *in vitro* by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb
159 and 10µg/ml 1D11 (Gal10 crystal-dissolving Ab) and IL-2 for 4 days. **G,** Cell proliferation and
160 **H, I,** cell viability studied by FACS by CFSE labelling or by staining with a live/dead fixable
161 dead cell stain kit respectively. **J,** FOXP3 expression studied in Treg cultures. **K,** Treg
162 suppressive activity was assessed *in vitro* by co-culturing Tregs with autologous CFSE-
163 labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻Tconvs and monocyte derived-DCs for 4 days in the presence of anti-CD3
164 mAb and 10µg/ml 1D11 Ab. CD4⁺Tconv cell proliferation studied by FACS is represented.
165 FOXP3 expression and cell proliferation were normalized to control condition without 1D11 Ab.
166 Mean ± SD, n=4-6 independent cell donors. Normal distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk
167 normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by two-tailed
168 paired *t* test for normal distributed data.

169

170

Fig. 1





Fig. 2



1 **The Charcot-Leyden Crystal protein Galectin-10 is not a major determinant of human regulatory T cell function.**
2 Rebeca Arroyo-Hornero, Helena Aegerter, Ibrahim Hamad, Beatriz Corte-Real, Katrien Staes, Bas van der Woning,
3 Kenneth Verstraete, Savvas N. Savvides, Bart N. Lambrecht, Markus Kleinewietfeld

4 **Methods**

5 *Cell isolation and culture*

6 The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and was approved by the institutional ethics
7 committee of Hasselt University (Comité voor Medische Ethiek UHasselt). Peripheral blood (collected in
8 Lithium Heparin coated tubes, 455084, Greiner bio-one) or buffy coats (purchased from the Belgium Red Cross) were
9 obtained from healthy donors, after providing written informed consent. Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells
10 (PBMCs) were isolated by Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE17-1440-02, Sigma-Aldrich) gradient centrifugation. In some cases,
11 CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from whole blood using RosetteSep Human CD4⁺ T Cell Enrichment Cocktail (15062,
12 Stemcell Technologies) according to manufacturer's protocol. PBMCs or CD4⁺ T cells were then incubated with CD25
13 Microbeads II (130-097-044, Miltenyi Biotec) and separated using LS columns (130-042-401, Miltenyi Biotec). Tregs
14 were isolated from CD25⁺ enriched cells, while Tconvs were isolated from CD25-depleted cells. For further FACS sorting,
15 cells were labelled with Propidium Iodide (PI) (556463, BD Biosciences), anti-CD4 APC-Cy7 (557851, BD Biosciences),
16 CD25 PE-Cy7 (557741, BD Biosciences) and CD127 PerCP-Cy5.5 (351322, Biolegend) antibodies prior being sorted as
17 PI-CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Tregs or as PI-CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127⁺ Tconvs using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter as described
18 before^{1,2}. FACS-sorted Tconvs and Tregs were cultured in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with 5%
19 heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400, BioWest). Cells were stimulated with 1 or 10 μ g/ml plate bound anti-CD3
20 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences) and 1 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb (555725, BD Biosciences). Where indicated, media
21 was supplemented with IL-2 (Proleukin, Novartis or 11147528001, Sigma-Aldrich).

22 *Quantitative polymerase chain reaction with reverse transcription (qRT-PCR)*

23 Cells were lysed in RLT buffer (74034, Qiagen) containing 2-mercaptoethanol according to the manufacturer's protocol,
24 and stored at -80°C until RNA was extracted. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy plus Micro Kit (74034, Qiagen) and
25 further converted to cDNA using qScriptTM cDNA SuperMix kit (95048, QuantaBio) following manufacturer's
26 instructions. Real Time PCR was performed in duplicates on a Step ONE Plus RT-PCR machine (Applied Biosciences).
27 The following primers were used for PCR with TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix (2X) (4352042, ThermoFisher
28 Scientific): *Gal10/CLC*- Hs0017342_m1 (ThermoFisher Scientific³), *IFNG*- Hs00989291_m1, and *B2M*- 4326319E-
29 1402015 from Applied Biosystems. Additionally, the following primers were used for PCR with PowerUp SYBR Green
30 Master Mix (A25742, ThermoFisher Scientific): *Gal10/CLC* forward- 5'-TAC CCG TGC CAT ACA CAG AGG CTG-
31 3', *Gal10/CLC* reverse- 5'-CTT ATC TGG CAG CAC TGA GAT GCT C-3' (described by⁴), *B2M* forward- 5'-TTC
32 TGG CCT GGA GGC TAT-3', *B2M* reverse- 5'-TCA GGA AAT TTG ACT TTC CAT TC-3' (described by⁵), *EF1A*
33 forward- 5'-GAT TAC AGG GAC ATC TCA GGC TG-3', *EF1A* reverse- 5'-TAT CTC TTC TGG CTG TAG GGT GG
34 -3' (described by⁴). Fold-changes in expression were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method based on human *B2M* or *EF1A*
35 as endogenous controls for mRNA expression as described before⁶.

36 *Reanalysis of published transcriptomic datasets*

37 EGAS00001004470, GSE90600, GSE76598 and GSE148267 were downloaded from the European Genome-phenome
38 Archive (EGA) or gene expression omnibus (GEO) database. GSE76598 was analyzed with GEO2R. For reanalysis of
39 RNA sequencing in EGAS00001004470, GSE90600 and GSE148267, quality of raw sequence reads was checked using
40 FastQC version 0.11.8, and nucleotide calls with a quality score of 28 or higher were considered high quality. Adapters
41 were removed using cutadapt v.2.4. Reads were aligned to the hg19 genome reference, using STAR (2.5.0e) and a
42 maximum of five mismatches were allowed. Gene counts were retrieved using htseq-count using the "union" option.

43 *Reanalysis of published epigenetic datasets*

44 Histone epigenetic marks and chromHMM data from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project were downloaded from WashU
45 EpiGenome Browser (v52.1.0)⁷. Data was extracted from human CD4⁺CD25⁻ Th and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Treg primary
46 cells isolated from blood of healthy donors^{1,8}.

47 *Western Blot*

48 FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁻CD127⁺ Tconvs or CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Tregs were lysed in RIPA buffer (150mM sodium
49 chloride, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate and 50mM Tris pH 8.0) containing
50 protease inhibitor (05 892 970 001, Roche). Alternatively, FACS-sorted Tconvs and Tregs were *in vitro* stimulated for 4
51 days with 1 μ g/ml plate bound anti-CD3 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences), 1 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb (555725, BD
52 Biosciences) and 125IU/ml Proleukin prior lysis in RIPA buffer. Protein was quantified by BCA assay (23227,
53 ThermoFisher Scientific). His-tag recombinant galectin-10 (generated in house by B. Lambrecht) or HEK293T cells

54 transfected with a *Gal10*-expressing plasmid were used as a positive control. The lysates were electrophoresed in a 10%
55 SDS gel and transferred onto a PDVF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA for 1 hour at room
56 temperature and sequentially reacted with anti-Gal10 Ab (AF5447, R&D Systems) or anti- β -Actin (3700, Cell Signalling)
57 overnight at 4°C and a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (P0260 or P0449, Agilent Technologies) for 1.5 hours
58 at room temperature. Membranes were revealed with Pierce ECL reagent (32134, ThermoFisher Scientific) in an
59 Amersham Imager 680. Protein quantification was performed using ImageJ.

60 *Fluorescence microscopy*

61 Galectin-10 expression was studied in CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconv and CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs isolated from PBMCs using RosetteSep
62 Human CD4⁺ T Cell Enrichment Cocktail (15062, Stemcell Technologies) and CD25 Microbeads II (130-097-044,
63 Miltenyi Biotec). Galectin-10 crystals (generated in house by S. Savvides) and the human eosinophilic cell line
64 AML14.3D10 were used as a positive control. A *Gal10*-knockout of the AML14.3D10 cell line was used as a negative
65 control. The AML14.3D10 cell line was a kind gift of C. Paul and M. Baumann (Wright State University, Dayton, OH)
66 and was cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640 (LifeTechnologies) containing 10% FCS (Life Technologies),
67 50mM 2-ME (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM nonessential aminoacids (Life Technologies), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-
68 Aldrich), with a culture density of below 1x10⁶/ml. For Galectin-10 staining, cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for
69 15 minutes at room temperature, washed with PBS and permeabilized with 0.025% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10
70 minutes at room temperature. Cells were then blocked in 2% Bovine-Serum Albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) + 3% Donkey
71 serum for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were incubated overnight with anti-Galectin-10 (AF5447, R&D Systems) or
72 isotype (AB-108-C, R&D) in PBS. The following day, cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated with a donkey
73 polyclonal-goat IgG (A-21447, ThermoFisher) conjugated to Alexa-Fluor647 for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark,
74 followed by DAPI (D3571, Life Technologies) and washed in PBS prior to being mounted on Poly-L-Lysine (Sigma-
75 Aldrich) coated slides with Polyvinyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich). Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal
76 microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a 10x or 25x objective and analyzed using iMaris v7.6.4 software.

77 *Generation of Gal10-deficient AML14.3D10 knockout cells*

78 *Gal10/CLC*-deficient AML14.3D10 knockout (KO) cells were generated with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Guide RNAs
79 were designed using CRISPOR software, and inserted in pX458 (plasmid #48138, Addgene). Exon 3 was targeted by
80 gRNA clc2211 with protospacer sequence 5'-CACGACGACCAAAGCACACT-3'. Cells were electroporated (NEPA21,
81 NepaGene) with the indicated plasmid and GFP positive cells were single cell sorted. The targeting region of the resulting
82 clones was sequenced and analysed with ICE (Synthego) and TIDE (NKI). Galectin-10 depletion was further confirmed
83 by WB.

84 *Flow cytometry (FACS)*

85 For FACS, cells were stained with LIVE/DEAD kit (L34976, Invitrogen) for 10 minutes at room temperature to exclude
86 dead cells. For surface staining, cells were labelled with respective antibodies for 20 minutes in MACS buffer (PBS with
87 0.5% BSA, 2mM EDTA) at 4°C. For intracellular staining, cells were first fixed and made permeable using eBioscience
88 FOXP3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (00-5523-00, Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions and
89 stained with respective antibodies diluted in Perm buffer for 30 minutes at 4°C, washed and assayed in MACS buffer. For
90 cytokine detection, cells were stimulated with 50ng/ml phorbol12-myristate13-acetate (PMA) (P1585, Sigma) and
91 250ng/ml Ionomycin (1063, Sigma) in the presence of GolgiPlug (555029, BD) for 5 hours prior intracellular staining.
92 Antibodies used were anti-CD4 APC-Cy7 (557851, BD Biosciences), anti-CD4 PerCP-Cy5.5 (300530, Biolegend), anti-
93 CD3 Pacific Blue (317314, Biolegend), anti-CD3 PE (317308, Biolegend), anti-CD25 PE-Cy7 (557741, BD Biosciences),
94 anti-CD127 PerCP-Cy5.5 (351322, Biolegend), anti-IFN γ FITC (eBioscience 11-7319-81), anti-IL10 APC (BD 554707),
95 anti-FOXP3 PE (320108, Biolegend) and anti-FOXP3 AF700 (56-4776-41, eBioscience). When indicated, cells were
96 stained with the cell trace dyes CFSE or CTV, at a final concentration of 1 μ M or 2.5 μ M respectively. Gal10 protein
97 expression was studied by flow cytometry in HEK293T cells or in CD4⁺ T cells sorted from freshly isolated human
98 PBMCs using EasySep Human CD4⁺ T cell isolation Kit (17952, StemCell Technologies), before and after 4 days of
99 stimulation (1 μ g/ml plate bound anti-CD3 mAb, 1 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb and 125IU/ml Proleukin). CD4⁺ T cells
100 were stained with LIVE/DEAD Kit prior being fixed and permeabilized as described above. Cells were stained with anti-
101 Gal10 mAb (852.963.020, Diaclone) or mouse IgG κ isotype (401408, Biolegend) for 1 hour at 4°C in Perm buffer, washed
102 and incubated with anti-mouse IgG labelled with AF555 (A21425, ThermoFisher Scientific) and anti-FOXP3 AF700 for
103 30 minutes at 4°C in perm buffer. Data was acquired on a BD LSR Fortessa II and analyzed with FlowJo software
104 (TreeStar).

105 *Galectin-10 overexpression in HEK293T cells*

106 HEK293T cells were transfected using jetOptimus buffer (117-07, Polyplus) with 300ng *Gal10*-expression plasmid
107 (RC219689, Origene) according to manufacturer's protocol and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours before read-out.

108 *siRNA mediated knock-down*

109 FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Tregs were *in vitro* cultured for 6-7 days prior siRNA nucleofection. Cells were
110 stimulated in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with 5% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400,
111 BioWest) with 10 μ g/ml plate bound anti-CD3 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences), 1 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD28 mAb (555725,
112 BD Biosciences) and 1500IU/ml Proleukin. Cells were rested 24 hours prior nucleofection in media containing 500IU/ml
113 Proleukin in the absence of TCR stimulation. Control siRNA (ON-TARGETplus non-targeting control pool, D-001810-
114 10-05) and a pool of 4 specific siRNA for *Gal10/CLC* (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool#1178, L-012397-01-0005) were
115 obtained from Horizon Discovery LTD, Dharmacon. 1x10⁶ Tregs were transfected in 20 μ l P3 Primary Cell 4D-
116 Nucleofector X Kit S (V4XP-3032, Lonza) with 100nM of siRNA by using Nucleofection cuvette strips (4D-Nucleofector
117 X Kit S, Lonza) and the 4D-Nucleofector Core Unit (AAF-1002B, Lonza) and X Unit (AAF-1002X, Lonza) with program
118 EO115. After transfection, Tregs were cultured in X-VIVO15 media supplemented with 5% FBS and 500IU/mL
119 Proleukin and incubated at 37°C for 2 days. Dead cells were removed by staining with propidium iodide (PI) (556463,
120 BD Biosciences) and FACS-sorting negative cells using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter, resulting in a viability greater than
121 99%. RNA measurements and suppression assays were assessed on sorted live cells.

122 *Generation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells (mo-DCs)*

123 For monocyte-derived DCs, CD14⁺ monocytes were magnetic bead-isolated from PBMCs (17858, StemCell
124 Technologies) and cultured with 50U/ml IL-4 (11340045, Immunotools) and 50ng/ml GM-CSF (300-03, Peprotech) in
125 X-VIVO15 supplemented with 10% FBS. After 5 days of incubation, DCs were harvested and stored in liquid nitrogen
126 for later use.

127 *Functional in vitro assays*

128 FACS-sorted CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁺ Tconvs or CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Tregs were labelled with 1 μ M Cell Trace CFSE
129 (C34554, ThermoFisher Scientific) or 2.5 μ M Cell Trace Violet CTV (C34557, ThermoFisher Scientific). Cells were
130 cultured in 96-well U-bottom plates at 5x10⁴ cells per well in X-VIVO15 media (BE02-060F, Lonza) supplemented with
131 5% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (S1400, BioWest). Cells were stimulated with 2x10⁴ allogeneic mo-DCs and
132 0.5 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD3 (555329, BD Biosciences) or by anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads (130-092-909,
133 Miltenyi Biotec) at 1 bead: 1 cell ratio, for 4 days before flow cytometry analysis. Media was supplemented with 25U/ml
134 IL-2 (Sigma-Aldrich) for Treg cultures. When stated in the figures, Gal10 crystals or 1D11 Ab (Gal10 crystal-dissolving
135 antibody)⁹ were added to the cell cultures at different concentrations as indicated.

136 *Treg suppression assay*

137 Treg suppressive capacity was assessed by their ability to suppress autologous T cell proliferation *in vitro*. PBMCs or
138 CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs (referred as Tresp) were labelled with CFSE and cultured at 5x10⁴ cells per well with autologous
139 Tregs in 96-well U-bottom plates in X-VIVO15 supplemented with 5% FBS. When stated, Tregs were labelled with CTV.
140 Cells were stimulated for 4 days using Treg Suppression Inspector beads (anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads) (130-
141 092-909, Miltenyi Biotec) at 1 bead: 1 cell ratio, or by co-culturing with allogeneic mo-DCs (2x10⁴ cells per well) in the
142 presence of 0.5 μ g/ml soluble anti-CD3 mAb (555329, BD Biosciences). Co-culture of cells were stained to distinguish
143 CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells and CFSE dilution was studied by FACS. Cell proliferation of Tresp was calculated using FlowJo
144 software as division index.

145 *Quantification and statistical analysis*

146 Graphs were produced and statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism Version 8. All data were presented
147 as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Each dot displayed in the figures denotes an independent blood donor. Number of
148 donors (n) and statistical tests that were used can be found in figure legends. Paired tests were selected when comparing
149 Gal10 or 1D11 treatment with control condition for each cell subset and blood donor. Unpaired tests were selected when
150 comparing different cell subsets. Normality of the data was tested by Shapiro-Wilk normality test. For more than two
151 groups with one variable only, one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (for normal distributed data) of
152 Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test (for non-normal distributed data) were used. For data with more
153 than two groups and multiple variables, the non-paired Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-test for multiple
154 comparisons (for non-normal distributed data) was used. Significance was defined as *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01, ***p \leq 0.001
155 and ****p \leq 0.0001.

156 **Supplementary figure legends**

157 **FIG S1. Purity of FACS-sorted Tconv and Tregs and re-analysis of published data sets.** **A**, Phenotype of FACS-
158 sorted CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁺ Tconv and CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Treg cells from one representative donor. **B-F**, Re-analysis of
159 *Gal10* mRNA expression on freshly isolated CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconv and Tregs. *FOXP3* and *Gal10* mRNA expression (read
160 counts) was studied in published transcriptomic data: (B) EGAS000001004470¹⁰, (C) GSE90600¹¹, (D, E) GSE76598¹²
161 and (F) GSE148267¹³. **B**, mRNA levels (read counts) in Tregs isolated from peripheral blood of 14 healthy individuals.
162 **C**, RNaseq was performed in different CD4⁺ T cell subsets sorted from blood of 3 healthy donors based on CD4, CD25

163 and CD45RA expression. CD4⁺ T cells were isolated as: CD45RA⁺CD25⁻ naive T cells (nTconv), CD45RA⁻CD25⁻
164 memory T cells (mTconv), CD45RA⁻CD25^{int} cells, CD45RA⁺CD25⁺ naive Tregs (nTreg) and CD45RA⁻CD25^{high} effector
165 Tregs (eTreg). **D-E**, mRNA expression was studied in cells sorted from blood of 7 healthy donors as:
166 CD4⁺CD45RA⁺CD25⁻ naive T cells (nTconv), CD4⁺CD45RA⁻CD25⁻ memory T cells (mTconv), CD4⁺CD45RA⁺CD25⁺
167 naive Tregs (nTreg) and CD4⁺CD45RA⁻CD25⁺ memory Tregs (mTreg). RNA expression was studied (D) prior and (E)
168 after 40 hours of stimulation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies. **F**, Transcriptomic data of CD4⁺CD25^{low}CD127⁺
169 Tconvs or CD4⁺CD25^{high}CD127⁻ Tregs isolated from healthy individuals before (n= 3) or after 6 hours, 24 hours or 7 days
170 of *in vitro* stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 mAbs and IL-2 (n= 1). Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk
171 normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Significance was calculated by (B) Wilcoxon matched-pairs test or (C-F)
172 one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test for normally-distributed data or by a Kruskal-Wallis test with
173 Dunn's multiple comparisons test for data non-normally distributed. **G-H**, Epigenetic signature at the *Gal10* (*CLC gene*)
174 and *FOXP3* locus was studied using WashU epigenome browser (v52.1.0)⁷. Data from human CD4⁺CD25⁻ Th and
175 CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Treg primary cells obtained from blood of healthy donors were downloaded from the Roadmap
176 Epigenomics Project^{1,8}. Gene track from gencodeV29 is shown in purple. The chromatin state (chromHMM) and histone
177 marks (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) at the **G**, *Gal10* (*CLC gene*) or **H**, *FOXP3* loci
178 are shown for Th (black line) and Tregs (red line).

179

180 **FIG S2. Gal10 protein expression and functional characterization of T cells. A-D**, Validation of anti-Gal10 antibodies
181 and Gal10 expression in activated T cells. **A**, Gal10 crystals were generated as previously described by Persson *et al.*⁹,
182 stained with anti-Gal10 antibodies B-F42 or AF5447 and studied by fluorescence microscopy (10x objective, scalebar =
183 100µm). **B**, Wild type and *Gal10*-knock-out AML cell lines were stained intracellularly with goat IgG isotype or anti-
184 Gal10 Ab (AF5447) and DAPI previous fluorescence microscopy analysis (25x objective, scalebar = 20µm). **C**,
185 Representative FACS analysis of HEK293T cells transfected with a *Gal10*-expression plasmid. Cells were stained with
186 anti-Gal10 (B-F42) antibodies or mouse IgG isotype, followed by incubation with anti-mouse IgG AF555 secondary
187 antibody. **D**, Gal10 expression in 4 day-stimulated T cells within FOXP3⁺Tconvs or FOXP3⁺Tregs gated as in Fig.1D (n
188 = 4), normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical
189 significance was studied by two-tailed paired *t* test. **E-J**, Effect of Gal10 crystals on CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconv and Treg function.
190 Cells were stimulated *in vitro* by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb or
191 by anti-CD2/CD3/CD28 mAb coated beads and Gal10 crystals for 4 days. IL-2 was added to Treg cultures. **E**, Cell
192 proliferation and **F-G**, cell viability were studied by CFSE labelling or by staining with a live/dead fixable dead cell stain
193 kit respectively. **H**, FOXP3 expression was studied in Treg cultures. **I**, CFSE-labelled CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs were co-
194 cultured with Tregs and stimulated with allogenic DCs and anti-CD3 mAb for 4 days in the presence of Gal10 crystals at
195 different concentrations. CD4⁺ Tconv cell proliferation, studied as CFSE dilution is represented. Cell proliferation and
196 FOXP3 expression levels were normalized to control condition without Gal10 crystals. Mean ± SD is represented for 5-
197 6 independent cell donors. Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level
198 of 0.05. Significance was studied by (E, F, H, I) one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test or (G) Friedman
199 test with Dunn's test for multiple comparisons. **J**, Intracellular FACS analysis of IFNγ and IL-10 expression of bead-
200 stimulated Tregs in the presence or absence of 10µg/ml Gal10 crystals and IL-2 after 4 days (n = 4), normal distribution
201 was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by
202 two-tailed paired *t* test. **K-N**, Characterization of *Gal10*-siRNA knockdown (*Gal10* siRNA) versus controls (CTRL). **K**,
203 Cell viability and **L**, FOXP3 expression studied by FACS. **M**, *Gal10* mRNA expression (Hs00171342_m1) and **N**, *IFNG*
204 mRNA expression as assed by RT PCR, normalized according to *B2M* expression (n=4). Normal distribution was
205 calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance was studied by two-
206 tailed paired *t* test. **O-S**, Effect of Gal10 crystal-dissolving 1D11 antibody in CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs and Tregs. Cells were
207 stimulated for 4 days *in vitro* by co-culturing with monocyte derived-DCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 mAb and
208 1D11 at different concentrations. IL-2 was added to Treg cultures. **O**, Cell proliferation and **P-Q**, cell viability were
209 studied by CFSE or by staining with a live/dead kit respectively. **R**, FOXP3 expression in Treg cultures. **S**, CFSE-labelled
210 CD4⁺CD25⁻ Tconvs were co-cultured with Tregs and stimulated with allogenic DCs and anti-CD3 mAb for 4 days in the
211 presence of 1D11 at different concentrations and CD4⁺ Tconv cell proliferation is represented. Cell proliferation and
212 FOXP3 expression levels were normalized to control condition without 1D11. Mean ± SD is represented for 4 independent
213 cell donors. Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilk normality test with a significance level of 0.05.
214 Significance was studied by one-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (O, P, R, S) or (Q) Friedman test
215 with Dunn's test for multiple comparisons.

216

217 Supplementary References

- 218 1. Farh KK, Marson A, Zhu J, et al. Genetic and epigenetic fine mapping of causal autoimmune disease variants. *Nature*.
219 2015;518(7539):337-343.

- 220 2. Van Zeebroeck L, Arroyo Hornero R, Côrte-Real BF, Hamad I, Meissner TB, Kleinewietfeld M. Fast and Efficient
221 Genome Editing of Human FOXP3(+) Regulatory T Cells. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2021;12:655122.
- 222 3. Andersson J, Cromvik J, Ingelsten M, et al. Eosinophils from hematopoietic stem cell recipients suppress allogeneic T cell
223 proliferation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2014;20(12):1891-1898.
- 224 4. Kubach J, Lutter P, Bopp T, et al. Human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells: proteome analysis identifies galectin-10 as a
225 novel marker essential for their anergy and suppressive function. *Blood*. 2007;110(5):1550-1558.
- 226 5. Beyer M, Thabet Y, Müller RU, et al. Repression of the genome organizer SATB1 in regulatory T cells is required for
227 suppressive function and inhibition of effector differentiation. *Nature immunology*. 2011;12(9):898-907.
- 228 6. Kleinewietfeld M, Manzel A, Titze J, et al. Sodium chloride drives autoimmune disease by the induction of pathogenic
229 TH17 cells. *Nature*. 2013;496(7446):518-522.
- 230 7. Li D, Hsu S, Purushotham D, Sears RL, Wang T. WashU Epigenome Browser update 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res*.
231 2019;47(W1):W158-W165.
- 232 8. Kundaje A, Meuleman W, Ernst J, et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature*.
233 2015;518(7539):317-330.
- 234 9. Persson EK, Verstraete K, Heyndrickx I, et al. Protein crystallization promotes type 2 immunity and is reversible by
235 antibody treatment. *Science*. 2019;364(6442).
- 236 10. Alissafi T, Kalafati L, Lazari M, et al. Mitochondrial Oxidative Damage Underlies Regulatory T Cell Defects in
237 Autoimmunity. *Cell Metab*. 2020;32(4):591-604 e597.
- 238 11. Cuadrado E, van den Biggelaar M, de Kivit S, et al. Proteomic Analyses of Human Regulatory T Cells Reveal Adaptations
239 in Signaling Pathways that Protect Cellular Identity. *Immunity*. 2018;48(5):1046-1059 e1046.
- 240 12. Pesenacker AM, Wang AY, Singh A, et al. A Regulatory T-Cell Gene Signature Is a Specific and Sensitive Biomarker to
241 Identify Children With New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2016;65(4):1031-1039.
- 242 13. Bhairavabhotla R, Kim YC, Glass DD, et al. Transcriptome profiling of human FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. *Hum Immunol*.
243 2016;77(2):201-213.

244




Fig. S1




Fig. S2