Check for updates

ESSM Position Statement on Surgical Treatment of Peyronie's Disease

Daniar Osmonov, MD, PhD, FECSM,¹ Ahmed Ragheb, MD, PhD, FECSM,² Sam Ward, MD, FEBU, FECSM,³ Gideon Blecher, MD, FECSM,⁴ Marco Falcone, MD, PhD, FECSM,⁵ Armin Soave, MD, PhD, FEBU, FECSM, EAA,⁶ Roland Dahlem, MD, PhD,⁶ Koenraad van Renterghem, MD, PhD,⁷ Nim Christopher, MD, PhD,⁸ Georgios Hatzichristodoulou, MD, PhD, FEBU, FECSM,⁹ Mirko Preto, MD, PhD,⁵ Giulio Garaffa, MD, PhD, FECSM, FRCS (Eng),⁸ Maarten Albersen, MD, PhD, FEBU, FECSM,¹⁰ Carlo Bettocchi, MD, PhD, FECSM,¹¹ Giovanni Corona, MD, PhD, FECSM,¹² and Yacov Reisman, MD, PhD, FECSM¹³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patients with Peyronie's disease may experience significat distress. The choice of treatment depends on a variety of factors, including the stage of the disease, the presence of pain, severity and direction of the curvature, penile length and the quality of erectile function.

Aim: To review the evidence associated with surgical treatment of Peyronie's Disease and provide clinical recommendations on behalf of the European Society for Sexual Medicine. 131 peer-reviewed studies and systematic reviews, which were published from 2009 to 2019 in the English language, were included.

Methods: MEDLINE, Google Scholar and EMBASE were searched for randomized clinical trials, meta-analyses, open-label prospective and retrospective studies.

Main Outcome Measure: The panel provided statements on clinically relevant questions including patient involvement in the decision process, indications for surgery, choice of the approach, and the management of patient expectations. A comparison of the different grafts used in patients who have undergone plaque incision/ excision and grafting in order to identify an ideal graft, has been carried out. The prevalence of postoperative complications has been summarized. Levels of evidence were provided according to the Oxford 2011 criteria and Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine recommendations.

Results: In order to allow shared decision making, a patient preoperative counselling regarding the pros and cons of each intervention is recommended. In particular, adverse effects of surgical treatments should be discussed to set realistic understanding and expectations of surgical outcomes and ultimately improve postoperative satisfaction rates. Surgical treatment should be only offered in the chronic phase of the condition, when the deformity and/or degree of erectile dysfunction, prevent patients from engaging in satisfying sexual interaction, or if the deformity is the cause of severe bother.

Conclusions: Current European Society for Sexual Medicine recommendations cover several aspects of Peyronie's disease treatment. These recommendations aim both to ensure patients and partners have accurate and realistic expectations of their treatment options, as well as to formulate algorithms to guide clinician management

Belgium;

Received February 24, 2021. Accepted October 9, 2021. ⁸The Institute of Urology, University College London Hospitals, London, ¹Department of Urology and Pediatric Urology, University Hospital Schles-UK; wig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany; ⁹Department of Urology, Hospital Nürnberg, Nürnberg, Germany; ²Department of Urology, Beni-Suef University, Bedaya Fertility & IVF Hos-¹⁰Department of Urology, UZ Leuven Gasthuisberg Campus, Leuven, pitals, Kairo, Egypt; Belgium; ³Department of Urology, Clinique Saint Jean, Brussels; Medicis Medical ¹¹Department of Urology, University Bari, Bari, Italy; Center, Woluwe, Belgium; ¹²Endocrinology Unit, Medical Department, AziendaUsl Bologna Maggiore-⁴Department of Surgery, Monash University, Melbourne; Department of Bellaria Hospital, Bologna, Italy; Urology, The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; ¹³Flare-Health, Amstelveen, The Netherlands ⁵Department of Urology, University of Turin – Cittàdella Salute e dellaS-Copyright © 2021, International Society of Sexual Medicine. Published by cienza, Turin, Italy; Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ⁶Department of Urology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Hamburg, Germany; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esxm.2021.100459 ⁷Department of Urology, Jessa Ziekenhuis Campus Salvator, Hasselt,

pathways. Osmonov D, Ragheb A, Ward S et al, ESSM Position Statement on Surgical Treatment of Peyronie's Disease. Sex Med 2022;10:100459.

Copyright © 2021, International Society of Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Key Words: Peyronie's Disease; Induratio Penis Plastica; Acquired Penile Deviation; Penile Length; Penile Graft; Plication; Disappointment; Dissatisfaction; Penile Prosthesis; Penile Reconstruction

INTRODUCTION

Peyronie's disease (PD) (Iduratio Penis Plastica) has been described in literature as early as the 1500s. It is noted in the works of the famous Italian anatomist Gabriel Fallopius, a pioneer in reproductive organs as well as by Andreas Vesalius, a 16th century Dutch Physician and author of one of the most influential books on Human anatomy *De Humani Corporis Fabrica Libri Septem* (*On the Fabric of the Human Body*).¹ It was not until 1743, that Francois de la Peyronie, a surgeon of the Court of King Louise XV in France, outlined the disease in detail.²

PD is an acquired connective tissue disorder that is associated with the formation of fibrous/calcified inelastic plaques at the level of the tunica albuginea (TA) of the penis.^{3,4} The exact etiology of PD is unknown. The most accepted hypothesis is that in genetically prone individuals, trauma or repetitive microtrauma to the erect penis causes inflammation, degradation of the elastic fibers and fibrin deposition.^{5–7} This scarring process may lead to a variety of issues, including penile deformity and erectile dysfunction. Consequently, men with PD may experience significant distress; the extent of which does not necessarily correlate with the degree of curvature nor penile deformity. Psychological concerns regarding self-image, sexual appearance and sexual performance, low self-esteem, anxiety, depression and relationship problems may then further contribute to the psychogenic component of the erectile dysfunction (ED).^{8–10}

Recent reports have shown that the prevalence of PD may be as high as 9%, but the true prevalence may be underreported.¹¹ PD is associated with conditions including diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, ED, smoking and excessive alcohol consumption. It may also be present following local trauma or surgery such radical prostatectomy.^{12–15} The choice of treatment depends on a variety of factors, including the stage of the disease, the presence of pain, severity and direction of the curvature, penile length and the quality of erectile function.¹¹

In the early/acute stage of PD, non-surgical treatments aim to alleviate penile pain and minimise disease progression with stabilization of inflammation, plaque formation and penile deformity.¹⁶ In the late/chronic phase, surgical treatments may be required. These procedures include both penile shortening or penile lengthening types. Surgery aims to correct the curvature whilst maintaining satisfactory penile length and rigidity to enable penetrative sexual activity. Possible risks of surgery include penile shortening, ED, glans hypoaesthesia, residual or recurrent curvature and palpable or uncomfortable suture knots below the skin.¹¹ To date, penile straightening surgery represents the gold standard for correction of the penile deformity, with subsequent relief of sexual distress.

The aim of this paper is to review the current evidence of surgical treatments for PD and provide official position statements with a series of guiding statements to manage patient's expectations and their clinical issues, on behalf of the European Society for Sexual Medicine (ESSM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Panel Identification

The scientific committee of the ESSM after ESSM executive committee (EC) approval, commissioned an expert task force of ESSM members expert of the topic. The final version of the manuscript was approved by EC and ESSM affiliated societies.

Literature Search and Study Eligibility

A MEDLINE, Google Scholar and EMBASE based literature search of original manuscripts published in English between 2009 and 2019 has been carried out using the following key words: "Peyronie's Disease," "induratio penis plastic," "acquired penile deviation," "acquired penile curvature," "penile length," "penile graft," "tunical plication," "patient expectations," "partner expectations," "cosmesis," "disappointment," "dissatisfaction," "penile prosthesis," "penile reconstruction," "comorbidity," "outcome," "satisfaction."

Data Extraction

Studies older than 10 years were included only if considered to be of great value to the topic with respect to the quality of the data. All subsequent articles were cross-referenced to ensure capturing of all relevant papers. Review articles and congenital curvature populations were excluded. Data was catalogued into study type, level of evidence, number of subjects, duration of followup, treatment arms and outcomes. Abstracts were reviewed by 5 different authors (D.O., A.R., S.W., G.B., M.F.). If it was not clear from the abstract whether the paper might contain relevant data, the full paper was assessed. Moreover, other studies relevant to the research question were retrieved from the reference lists of selected papers. Included studies were analyzed and summarized after an interactive peer-review process of the panel. All the data identified during the first analysis were checked in a second wave analysis by 4 of the authors (A.S., R.D., M.P., G.H.). Disagreements were resolved by consensus. All the authors adequately contributed to the analysis of the paper and reviewed the final version of the manuscript.

Review Methods

The panel provided statements on clinically relevant questions including patient's involvement in the decision process, indications for surgery, choice of the most suitable approach and management of patient expectations. A comparison of the different grafts used in patients who have undergone plaque incision and grafting (PIG) or partial plaque excision and grafting (PEG) has been carried out. The rates of postoperative complications with particular focus on sexual outcomes were analyzed. The statements were internally discussed and the level of evidence (LoE) was provided according to the Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence criteria; moreover, the quality of evidence was graded by applying the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine recommendations.¹⁷

PATIENT EXPECTATIONS

Statement #1: The treating clinician should adequately counsel patients before surgery. Benefits as well as side effects and complications of each surgical treatment should be discussed in detail with the patient to set realistic expectations towards surgical outcomes (Good clinical practice).

Statement #2: The treating clinician should thoroughly address psychological, emotional and relationship issues attributable to PD (Level 4, Grade C).

Evidence

One of the main goals of preoperative counselling is setting realistic patient's expectations by providing accurate information about each surgical option, widely discussing pros and cons of each procedure as well as any possible postoperative complication.^{18–24} Without guidance patients may have unrealistic treatment outcome expectations. He must fully understand the progressive and generally irreversible nature of PD and that whilst non-surgical treatment options exists, they may not provide sufficient improvement to their deformities.^{25,26}

Penile shortening due to PD is reported by more than 70% of patients prior to surgery.²⁷ It is therefore paramount that patients are adequately counselled on the nature of the condition and regarding realistic expectations from surgery. Particular counselling points should include that treatments generally may not restore the penis to the premorbid dimensions.^{28,29} The patient should be informed regarding the pathophysiology of PD in general, as well as the extent of his condition in his specific case.

Since worsening of the quality of erections is one of the main causes of patient dissatisfaction,³⁰ thorough patient counseling regarding the impact of the disease and each specific treatment option on erectile function is mandatory.^{31–34}

Due to the pain, deformity, loss of length and worsening of the quality of the erections, PD may not only severely impair sexual activity, but it can also affect emotional wellbeing, placing strain on relationships and have resulting broad psychological impact.^{35–37} Up to 48% of patients suffer from depression and this can be directly linked to the onset of PD³⁸ while relationship issues and emotional distress related to this condition can be identified in 54% and 81% of cases respectively.³⁹ PD seems to exert its sexual and psychological effects in 4 domains: physical appearance and self-image, sexual function and performance, PD-related pain and distress and social stigmatization and isolation.^{30,40,41} Investing time in psychological rehabilitation of the patient in the form of thorough counseling is therefore another key factor for overall patient satisfaction.

Remark

Only few studies have specifically evaluated patient expectations regarding surgery for PD. Addressing patient expectations before surgery in order to set realistic expectations towards surgical outcome must be a clinical principle for all physicians involved.

INDICATIONS FOR SURGICAL TREATMENT

General Considerations

Statement #3: Surgical treatment should only be performed in PD patients when the curvature and/or penile deformity and/or inadequate quality of erections do not allow satisfactory sexual intercourse, or when the deformity causes severe bother (Level 3, Grade C).

Statement #4: Surgery should only be performed in patients with stable disease for at least six to twelve months (Level 3, Grade C).

Statement #5: In penile surgery for PD, when adopting a subcoronal approach, circumcision is not necessary in selected patients with a normal, elastic prepuce (Level 3, Grade C).

Evidence

In patients with PD, a variety of surgical procedures, including tunical plication, plaque incision and grafting/plaque excision and grafting (PIG/PEG) techniques and penile prosthesis (PP) surgery, have been described. The aim of surgery is to improve penile deformities whilst minimizing any adverse outcomes. Surgeons should consider the extent of penile length loss, the degree and nature of the deformity as well as the quality of erections and patient preferences.^{42,43} Surgery for PD should be performed in patients with penile curvature and deformity which prevents satisfactory sexual intercourse, or when the deformity and worsening of the quality of erections is the cause of severe bother.

It is a generally accepted standard of care that surgery should only be performed in patients with stable disease for at least 6 months, in order to minimize the risk of subsequent disease progression and recurrence of the curvature.^{31–34} The acute phase of the disease variate between 6 and 18 months, which after the stable disease phase of PD starts.⁴⁴ It is widely accepted (recommendation strength rating: strong) that surgery should only be performed in case of stable disease for 6 months, which is usually the case after 12 months from the onset of symptoms.⁴⁵

Various surgical approaches can be considered. Kadioglu and colleagues evaluated a large patient cohort of 268 patients whom underwent a variety of surgical procedures. 54 and 144 patients underwent plication or PIG surgery, whereas 70 patients with erectile dysfunction underwent PP implantation.⁴⁶ Both surgical options were successful in terms of penile straightening in both short and long-term follow-up. Authors conclude that penile prosthesis implantation should be preferred for patients with ED and penile deformity.

A complete degloving of the penis is necessary in more complex cases and often for grafting procedures in order to gain full access to the corpora, neurovascular bundle and urethra, which can be achieved with a circumferential subcoronal incision.³⁶ If it is the case, a circumcision is not always mandatory as necrosis, oedema or phimosis requiring a secondary circumcision in patients with a preexistent healthy elastic foreskin occurs as infrequently as 1% of cases, unless in re-do surgery where such issues may occur in up to 50% of cases.^{47,48}

The specific PD surgical approaches will be discussed in the subsequent sections.

Tunical Plication (Shortening Procedures)

Statement #6: Tunical plication can be offered to reduce penile curvature in patients with PD (Level 3, Grade C).

Evidence

Plication procedures straighten the penis by reducing the longer, convex side of the penis, which is not affected by PD and are usually carried out at the point of maximum curvature. Currently the Nesbit technique and its modifications⁴⁹ represents the most commonly used type of shortening procedure in patients with PD.^{50–53} Other techniques include:

- Yachia technique, which relies on the Heineke-Mikulicz principle^{54,55}
- Essed and Schroeder plication (TAP) without tunical excision⁵⁶
- 16-dot or 24-dot technique⁵⁷
- Baskin-Duckett (dual incision and plication) procedure^{35,58-60}

The outcomes of plication procedures are summarized in Table 1. Complete straightening is achieved in 48-100% of with patient satisfaction rates ranging from 58% to 96%. $^{48,53,59,61-67}$

Remarks

Whilst plication techniques lead to encouraging surgical outcomes and adequate patient satisfaction rates in the vast majority of patients, relevant side effects may also occur. Unfortunately, adequate studies comparing surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction among specific techniques are lacking and the main limitations for studies of penile plication include their retrospective nature, the low number of patients and the limited followup duration.

Grafting Procedures

Statement #7: Grafting techniques can be offered to improve penile curvature and correct penile deformity in selected patients with PD including those with preservation of erectile quality, curvature of more than 60 degrees, ossified plaque, significant waist deformity, or when plication surgery may potentially cause loss of more than 20% of overall penile length (Level 3, Grade C).

Statement #8: The use of DacronTM and Gore-TexTM for grafting in penile surgery for PD should be strongly discouraged (Level 3, Grade C).

Evidence

Grafting techniques are characterized by a relaxing incision of the TA of the corpora cavernosa carried out at the point of maximum curvature on the concave side of the penis. The penis is then straightened and the defect of the TA covered with a graft. Although an existing plaque can be either incised or excised, it is commonly accepted to minimize the extent of plaque excision as there is a direct correlation between size of the tunical defect and extent of the worsening of the quality of erection postoperatively.^{31,35,36,51}

The main reason why surgeons opt for PIG/PEG techniques in patients with curvature of more than 60°, is that a plication type procedure may lead to penile shortening. Some authors argue that the shortening has been already caused by PD itself and that plication surgery would therefore not cause further significant shortening.³ PIG/PEG procedures also facilitate correction of more severe indentations and hourglass deformities.

It is mandatory to ascertain the quality of erection prior to offering a PIG/PEG procedure as the erectile quality may deteriorate following surgery. Adequate erections in the postoperative phase may help prevent graft contracture.

Various tunical incision types exist for PIG/PEG. A simple transverse plaque incision at the point of maximal curvature may be sufficient in most cases. Other cases (eg, when associated with an hourglass deformity), may benefit either from a modified "H"-incision or a double-Y incision of the tunica albuginea as the preferred choice.^{68,69} Partially excising a small ellipsoid of the plaque at the maximal curvature point with 2 lateral line extensions is another justified option.^{22,35,70} No TA incision type has proven to be superior over others.⁷¹

A variety of grafts are now available to the reconstructive surgeon, including autologous grafts, allografts, synthetic grafts and xenografts. The distribution of graft materials based on the reviewed evidence is summarized in Table 2. There is ongoing debate regarding the best available graft choice, although the ideal version should be readily available, cheap, resistant to infection, minimize loss of erectile function and contracture and should not

Table 1. Outcomes of shortening techniques for Peyronie's disease

| Study | Journal | Surgical technique | Study design | Patients number | Mean follow-up | Penile straightness, (%) | Suture-related issues, (%) | Recurrent curvature, (%) | Side effects (%) | Overall satisfaction, (%) |
|--|---|--|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Ralph et al, 1995 ⁵³ | Journal of Urology | Nesbit | Retrospective | 359 | 21 | 94 90 | NA | б | Erectile dysfunction: 2 Penile shortening precluding sexual intercourse: 1.7 | 82 not stated |
| Rehman et al, 1997 ¹⁵¹ | Journal of Urology | Nesbit modification | Retrospective | 32 | 32 | CPC 100 PDPC 73 | NA | CPCP 0 PDPC 7.7 | Penile shortening: 23.1 Decreased penile sensation: 19.2 | CPC 100 PDPC 78 |
| Sassine et al 1994 ¹⁵² | Urology | Plication | Not stated | 55 Congenital 32 PD 23 | 120 not stated | 95 | NA | 0 | Scar perception: 18.2 | 95% not stated |
| Geertsen et al 1996 ⁵⁹ | British Journal of Urology | Plication | Not stated | 28 | 34 | 42.9 | 17.9 | Not stated | Pain: 17.9 Persisting curvature: 57.1 | 54 |
| Savoca et al 2000 ⁶⁴ | International Journal of Impotence Research | Nesbit | Not stated | 157 | 72 | 82.1 | 1.9 | 0 | Penile shortening affecting sexual intercourse: 1.3 Erectile dysfunction: 12.7 | 87.9 |
| Chahal et al 2001 ⁶⁶ | British Journal of Urology International | Corporal plication | Not stated | 69 | 4.1 | Not stated | 34 | 57 mild 14 severe | Significant penile shortening: 55 Numbness of the glans: 32 | Not stated |
| Van der Horst et al 2004 ⁶⁰ | British Journal of Urology International | Essed-Schroeder | Retrospective | 50 28 PD 22 Congenital | 30 | 100 | Not stated | Not stated | Penile shortening: 74% Impairment of penile sensibility: 28 Persisting curvature: 14 | 78 |
| Lopes et al 2013 ⁵⁵ | Urology Annals | Yachia | Retrospective | 117 | 14 | 94.6 | NA | 19.6 | Glans hyposthesia: 8 Penile shortening concerning sexual intercourse: 2.6 | 88.4 |
| Rolle et al, 2005 ⁶³ | Journal of Urology | modified corporoplasty procedure involving plication suture on the convex aspect of the penis before tunica albuginea resection | Retrospective | 50 32 Congenital 18 PD | 12 14 | 100 | NA | 0 | Mild decrease skin sensibility: Congenital 40 PD 60 Penile shortening: 78 | 94 |
| Friedrich et al, 2000 ¹⁴⁹ | British Journal of Urology International | Essed-Schroeder | Retrospective | 31 (19 CPC, 12 PDPC) | 22 | 52 48 (residual curvature) Not stated | CPC 3 PDPC 0 | CPC 5.3 PDPC 8.3 Not stated | Penile shortening: 19 Pain: 26 Numbness: 6.5 Impaired rigidity: 19 | CPC 94.7 PDPC 58.3 81 |
| Gholami et Lue, 2002 ⁵⁷ | Journal of Urology | 16-dot | Retrospective | 132 | 30 | 85 93 | 12 | 15 | Penile shortening affecting sexual activity: 7 Narrowing of the penis: 9 Decrease in penile sensation: 6 Peniel hematoma: 4 Penile pain: 1 Worsening of erectile function: 6 | 96 |
| Schultheiss et al 2000 ⁶⁷ | European Urology | Essed | Retrospective | 61 (40 CPC 21PDPC) | 40 | 70 not stated | 42.8 32.8 | 29.5 (22.5 CPC 42.9 PDPC) | Erectile dysfunction: 3.3 Penile shortening: 45.9 | NA |
| Greenfield et al 2006 ⁵⁸ | Journal of Urology | Tunica albuginea plication | Not stated | 102 (PD (68) chordee (34) | 29 | 99 | NA | 7 0.9 | Penile shortening: PD 7.3, Chordee 2.9 Decreased rigidity: PD 7.3, Chordee 0 Decreased sensation: PD 4.4, Chordee 2.9 | PD 98.5 Chordee 100 |

CDC = Congenital penile curvature; PDPC = Peyronie's disease penile curvature.

Sex Med 2022;10:100459

Table 2. Distribution of grafting materials

| Grafting materials | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Autologous grafts | | | | | | | |
| Dermis | | | | | | | |
| Buccal mucosa | | | | | | | |
| Fascia lata | | | | | | | |
| Lingual mucosa | | | | | | | |
| Tunica albuginea | | | | | | | |
| Tunica vaginalis | | | | | | | |
| Vein | | | | | | | |
| Non-autologous grafts (allografts) | | | | | | | |
| Human amniotic membrane | | | | | | | |
| Human dermis | | | | | | | |
| Human dura mater | | | | | | | |
| Human fascia lata | | | | | | | |
| Human pericardium | | | | | | | |
| Non-autologous grafts (xenografts) | | | | | | | |
| Bovine pericardium | | | | | | | |
| Bovine dermis (Xenform) | | | | | | | |
| Equine collagen fleece (Tachosil) | | | | | | | |
| Porcine small intestinal submucosa (SIS) | | | | | | | |
| Porcine dermis | | | | | | | |
| Synthetic Grafts | | | | | | | |
| Dacron | | | | | | | |
| Gore-Tex | | | | | | | |

promote significant inflammatory reactions.³⁶ No comparative studies comparing graft type and suturing materials with surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction have been published. The relevant prospective and retrospective studies reporting clinical outcomes as well as patient satisfaction of the grafting procedure based on the different graft types are summarized in (Table 4).

Autologous grafts require an additional surgical incision and surgical time.³⁶ Among the autologous grafts, saphenous vein

Table 3. Distribution of grafting materials among reviewed studies

has shown promising results.^{35,72–76} More recently, oral mucosa graft (buccal or lingual) has been evaluated in a few trials (Table 4 and 5).

Cadaveric fascia lata and pericardium demonstrates encouraging results with complete straightening of the penis and high patient satisfaction rates.^{23,77,78} Dermal grafts are associated with higher rate of long-term failure⁷⁹ (Table 4). Due to considerable risk of infection, inflammatory reactions, contracture, fibrosis and allergic reaction, Dacron and Gore-Tex grafts are no longer used as a grafting material in PD³⁶ Table 3.

A self-adhesive collage fleece was proposed as grafting alternative. The main advantage was the unnecessary suturing and the significant reduction in operative time.²² This innovative approach proved to be reliable leading to satisfactory outcomes: a complete straightening of the penis in 83% of cases, 8% of patients experienced worsening of erectile function postoperatively and 92% of patients were satisfied with surgical outcome.⁸⁰ Intraoperatively, caution must be paid in order not to overfill the penis during artificial erection, after applying the collagen fleece. However, an artificial erection test, after applying the collagen fleece, of about 70-80% may be sufficient to check for residual curvature. Although many experts recognize the need for a full rigid erection to assess a possible residual curvature. A recent comparative study revealed the reduced operative time with self-adhesive collage fleece over the sub intestinal submucosa (SIS), whilst maintaining comparable outcomes⁸¹ (Table 5). Among the different graft materials, recent evidence highlights collagen fleece graft as a possibility, although long term outcomes regarding functional and anatomical outcome of grafting procedures remains to be proven.

SIS currently represents one of the more commonly used xenografts in PD surgery.^{36,50,30,81-92} Another option is bovine or human pericardium, which has been used for many years with adequate results.^{21,93} Outcomes are summarized in (Table 4–5).

| Type of graft | Total studies (n) | Total subjects (n) | Mean operative times (min) | Mean follow up (mo) | Mean satisfaction (%) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Small intestine submucosa (SIS) | 7 | 256 | 136.2 | 39.6 | 79.9 |
| Collagen fleece (CF) (TachoSil) | 5 | 438 | 88.05 | 26.3 | 91.5 |
| Pericardium | 3 | 233 | NA | 17 | 91.2 |
| Tunica Vaginalis | 2 | 24 | 79 | >12 | 100 |
| Dermal graft | 3 | 71 | >130 | 60.5 | <35 |
| Dermal flap | 1 | 26 | NA | 95 | 40.9 |
| Tunica Albuginea | 1 | 33 | NA | 41 | 90 |
| Buccal mucosa | 1 | 32 | NA | 43 | 85 |
| Lingual mucosa | 1 | 17 | 130 | 15 | 94 |
| Vein | 1 | 30 | NA | 156 | 73 |
| Fascia lata | 1 | 9 | NA | 18 | 89 |
| Temporal fascia | 1 | 20 | 120 | 24 | 91 |
| Xenoform | 1 | 28 | 155 | 31.8 | 87.5 |

| ESSM |
|--|
| Positior |
| Stateme |
| ent on S |
| urgical T |
| ^D osition Statement on Surgical Treatment |

| Study | Year | Journal | Study type | Graft type | Patients (n) | Surgical outcomes %: | | | |
|---|------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Penile straightening | PO ED | Penile shortening | Overall pat. satisfaction |
| Rosenhammer et al ⁸¹ | 2019 | Int J Imp Research | Retrospective | SIS and TachoSil | 43 and 43 | 86 and 95 | 7 and 5 | 28 and 5 | 86 and 95 |
| Morgado et al ⁹² | 2018 | Andrology | Prospective | SIS | 32 | 75 | 53.8 | 65.6 | 87.5 |
| Hatzichristodoulou ⁸⁰ | 2018 | Int J Imp Research | Prospective | TachoSil | 12 | 83.3 | 8.3 | NA | 91.7 |
| Sayedahmed et al ⁸² | 2017 | World J Urol | Prospective | SIS | 43 | 74.4 | 11.6 | 27.9 | 86 |
| Valente et al ⁸⁴ | 2017 | Urology | Prospective | SIS | 28 | 82 | 36 | 14.3 | 82 |
| Caraceni et al | 2016 | Urology | Prospective | Xenform | 28 | 75 | 25 | NA | 87.5 |
| Khawaja et al ¹³⁷ | 2016 | Urology Annals | Prospective | Dermis and temporalis fascia | 13 and 20 | 100 | 9 | NA | 91 |
| Cosentino et al ⁸⁷ | 2016 | Int J Imp Research | Retrospective | SIS | 44 | NA | 5 | NA | NA |
| Otero et al ⁹³ | 2017 | Asian J Andrology | Prospective | Pericardium | 43 | 80.5 | 25 | None | 85.4 |
| Wimpissinger et al ⁹² | 2016 | J Sex Med | Pros/Retro | Vein | 30 | 86 | 36 | 43 | 73 |
| Zucchi et al ¹⁰⁵ | 2015 | Urology | Retrospective | Buccal mucosa | 32 | 96 | 4 | NA | 85 |
| Hatzichristodoulou et al ²² | 2013 | Int J Imp Research | Prospective | TachoSil | 63 | 83 | NA | NA | NA |
| Kozacioglu et al ²⁰ | 2012 | Urology | Retrospective | Derm | 38 | 92 | 34 | NA | NA |
| Da Ros et al ¹⁴¹ | 2012 | IBJU | Retrospective | Tunica albuginea | 33 | 90 | 24 | 18 | 90 |
| Sansalone et al ²¹ | 2011 | Asian J Andrology | Retrospective | Pericardium | 157 | 88 | 29 | None | 97 |
| Staerman et al ⁸³ | 2010 | Int J Imp Research | Retrospective | SIS | 33 | 67 | 11 | 25 | 79 |
| Chung et al ⁸⁶ | 2011 | J Sex Med | Retrospective | Dermis, Pericardium and SIS | 20,33 and 33 | 68 | 67 | 22 | <35 |
| Kadıoğlu et al ⁴⁶ | 2018 | J Sex Med | Retrospektive | Saphenous vein | 144 | 87.5 | 33.3 | N/A | 75 |

 Table 5. Follow up after grafting techniques

| Technique | Patients | Median follow-up (mo) | Grafting material | Curvature recurrence(%) | Revision surgery (%) |
|---|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sansalone et al 2011 ⁸³ | 157 | 20 | Pericardium | N/A | N/A |
| Breyer et al 2007 ⁸⁵ | 19 | 15 | SIS | 37 | б |
| Knoll et al 2001 ⁸⁸ | 12 | 12 | SIS | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Kovac et al 2007 ⁹⁰ | 36 | 22 | Dermis Tutoplast StrataSIS | 40 0 33.1 | N/A |
| Montorsi et al 2000 ¹⁰⁷ | 50 | 32 | Vein | б | N/A |
| Chung et al 2011 ⁸⁶ | 86 | 98 | Dermis, Pericardium, SIS | 50–87 | 67 |
| Hatzichristodoulou 2016 ¹⁶² | 319 | 47 | Collagene Fleece | 0 | N/A |
| Kadıºoğlu 2018 ⁴⁶ | 144 | 51.1 | sapheneous vein | 16.4 | N/A |

Overall, complete straightening was obtained in 67-88% of patients with satisfaction rates of 79-87%.

Remarks

There are insufficient studies comparing surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction for each type of graft. The vast majority of studies regarding PIG/PEG for PD are retrospective, include a low number of patients and have limited follow-up (Table 5).

Penile Prosthesis

Statement #9: Penile prosthesis implantation is reserved for PD patients with refractory ED or distal flaccidity not responding to pharmacologic treatment or those with complex deformities that would otherwise require PIG/PEG procedures (Level 3, Grade C).

Statement #10: Additional procedures including modeling, tunical plication, plaque incision/excision and/or grafting are performed when penile deformity and/or penile curvature persist following penile prosthesis implantation (Level 3, Grade C).

Statement #11: Inflatable prostheses are associated with superior results in terms curvature correction, rigidity, girth restoration and concealability than their semirigid counterpart in patients with PD (Level 4, Grade C)

Evidence

Patients with $<30^{\circ}$ curvature may be treated with cylinder insertion alone not requiring any additional modeling, plication or grafting. This is because a curvature of less than 30° should usually not interfere with penetrative sexual intercourse and the curve will progressively correct itself.^{33,35} When the curvature exceeds 30° and is not ventral, manual modeling over the implanted inflated cylinders may result in sufficient straightening of the penis. If after 2 attempts of modelling the curvature remains greater than 30°, additional maneuvers should be considered to optimize straightening. Further curvature correction can be achieved either by lengthening the shorter side of the shaft with relaxing tunical incisions or by shortening the longer side with plications. Since plications induce overall shortening, while relaxing incisions tend to restore part of the length lost due to PD contracture, the latter should be strongly considered as penile length represents one of the main determinants of patient satisfaction after PP implantation.³⁵ Penile prosthesis surgery allows penile straightening and correction of penile deformity in 84 -100% of patients, and 79% of patients are satisfied with the surgical outcome in reported series.^{31,94-98} Although further comparative studies are required, the outcomes of penile implant in this context are encouraging. Real or perceived loss of penile length plays a major role in patient satisfaction following surgery; a number of techniques have been described to restore length lost to PD contracture and corporeal fibrosis. The sliding-technique, circumferential grafting and multiple incision techniques (MIST) as well as Egydio's multiple-slit technique (MUST) have been described. Overall, a median penile length gain of 3 cm was found in several series. 99-102

Remark

Evidence regarding PP implantation outcomes in PD patients is poor and mainly derived by retrospective studies with small number of patients and limited follow-up.

POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS

Statement #12: In select cases, complications may be managed successfully with revision surgery, including delayed PP implantation in patients who have developed de novo refractory ED. (Level 4, Grade C)

Evidence

Potential adverse events related to PD surgery include: de novo ED, worsening of preexisting ED, curvature recurrence, glans hypoesthesia, palpable sutures/material and graft bulging. The evidence related to all these aspects will be analyzed in the following sections.

De Novo ED

The mechanism for de novo ED are multifactorial, with the main contributers involving disruption of the veno-occlusive mechanism in the case of PIG/PEG procedures and neurovascular bundle damage during its extensive mobilization. It should be also recognized that psychogenic factors due to the underlying deformities, ED and potentially as a result of circumcision, may also play a role.¹⁰³

Erectile function outcomes are frequently reported using global satisfaction score or non-validated questionnaires (eg, IIEF score, which is not currently validated for PD).^{21,86,104,105} This represents a major limitation of the current outcome literature.

Preoperative artificial erection test can provide more objective information to determine the most appropriate surgical option. Assuming a background of ED, in cases of very poor erectile response to high dose injection, the surgeon may give further consideration towards PP implantation.⁵² Nocturnal penile tumescence tests have also been described as a form of assessment of erectile capacity, although its use in PD populations is less clear.¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁸ Although standardized values to guide treatment choice are not available, dynamic color duplex doppler ultrasound (CDDU) of the penis should be considered during evaluation prior to surgical interventions for treating PD, among others potentially for medicolegal purposes.¹⁰⁹ Certain comorbidities associated with 1 or more risk factor(s) may prompt further hemodynamic investigation and in selected cases this can be followed by an invasive penile angiographic evaluation. An standardized algorithm for preoperative evaluation has been suggested by Sikka et al, 109 however with the lack of standardized values, its role is yet to be clarified.

The rates of ED are much more frequent following PIG/ PEG,^{23,110} compared to plication techniques. The incidence of postoperative ED after grafting procedures is summarized in (Table 4).

Residual or Recurrent Curvature (ReC)

Residual curvature at the conclusion of surgery may be acceptable if of minimal magnitude. If excessive however, it may be considered poor surgical technique and patient dissatisfaction may result. ReC is identified when a surgically straightened penis becomes curved once again, at any point post procedure. This may be due to scarring or progression of PD. Whilst residual curvature and ReC represent separate issues, they are often not distinguished in reported outcomes, with rates following shortening procedures ranging between 0.5% and 55%.^{23,66,111–116} ReC rates following PIG procedures range from 0% to 33%, but these figures may not include patients with mild non-problemtatic curvatures.^{61,73,83,86,88,114,117–122} Whilst the degree of ReC is usually not severe, uncommonly some patients may experience recurrent curvature as significant as 70° and revision surgery may be necessary in up to 22% of ReC cases.^{88,123–125}

Glans Hypoesthesia

A temporary or permanent reduction in glans sensation is a well-recognized complication of penile straightening surgery and it is universally accepted that neuropraxia plays an important role in this. Infiltration of Peyronie's plaque into the neurovascular bundle structures has also been postulated as a possible cause.¹²⁶ Although most papers assess sensitivity by directly questioning patients, some utilize more objective forms of measurements such as biothesiometry.^{89,127} Glans hypoesthesia has been reported in up to 53% of cases following plication surgery.^{57,66,75,128-133} It is mild in 50%⁶⁶ of cases and up to 29% report a complete resolution over 11-49 months follow up.^{66,128} Approximately 21% of patients report loss of glans sensation following a Nesbit plication.^{61,134} Glans hypoesthesia has been reported in up to 39% of patients who have under-gone PIG.^{21,22,61,75,78,79,83,86,89,93,107,109,120-122,124,125,135-141} This complication appears to be transient, with resolution within 12 months from surgery in up to 100% of cases. 61,109,119,127,135,136,138,140

Palpable or Uncomfortable Sutures and Graft

Palpable lumps are reported by up to $71\%^{57,66,128,131,134}$ after plication procedures. Following grafting procedures, suture knots/graft material are reported to be palpable in up to 50% of cases^{120,121,142} although they seem to only be painful in 4–6% of patients.¹²¹

Bulge/Ballooning or Indentation Deformities

Although typically as a result of grafting, such deformities may also arise following plication procedures with larger dog-ears causing accentuation of waisting. Overall, they are reported in 9 -16.7% according to current evidence.^{57,134} This complication can be noted also after grafting procedures. Ballooning of the grafts has been noted in up to 12.5%. Severe indentation was noted in up to 3% of patients.^{93,118,121,135,143}

Glans Ischemia/Necrosis

Glans necrosis (GN) is defined as ischemia to the glans penis due to compromised blood flow. This complication represents the most feared by surgeons and is a dreadful outcome from the patient's and surgeon's perspectives. Most urologists tend to encounter GN following a sliding technique for penile lengthening.¹⁴⁴ This issue has never been reported after plication procedures, but it must be considered after grafting procedures were it can be found in up to 2.4% of cases.^{93,107} GN may occur even during regular PP implantation.

Typical presentation begins with a dusky glans during the first hours following penile surgery. Anatomically the glans penis is supplied by a rich anastomotic network of end arteries derived from the terminal branches of the dorsal penile artery as well as tributaries from the bulbourethral artery new reference.¹⁴⁴ Glans ischemia is therefore more likely on a background of preexisting systemic condition. The same predisposition to peripheral vascular disease has been identified as risk factors for this complication. The majority of cases have occurred in patients with diabetes mellitus, smoking, metabolic syndrome, chronic renal failure, end-stage atherosclerosis as well as previous irradiation and implant revision cases.^{145,146}

Interestingly with no evidence of severe arterial insufficiency on performing a preoperative penile duplex in these patients, one could not predict such a complication. Fortunately, although likely under-reported, GN seems to be a rare complication following PD surgery. Wilson et al reported a collective cohort series of 21 patients undergoing PP surgery, combining the clinical experience of 9 high volume PP implanters.¹⁴⁴ This paper provides evidence of IPP-associated GN with practical recommendations on how to identify "high risk" patients. Unfortunately, there is currently no comparable guidelines regarding GN in patients undergoing PD/grafting surgery.

Remark

The lack of prospective randomized controlled trials on the outcome of PD surgery significantly limits the interpretation of data. The vast majority of series in the literature either are retrospective or prospective observational studies. The primary endpoints are frequently based on patient and clinician subjective evaluation. Validated questionnaires are rarely applied. IIEF questionnaire is frequently used, although not validated for PD.

DIFFICULT SALVAGE CASES: MANAGEMENT OF RECURRENT DEVIATION FOLLOWING PLICATION, GRAFTING, OR INTRALESIONAL INJECTION TREATMENTS

Statement #13: None of the straightening procedures currently available has proven superior with regards to preventing curvature recurrence (Level 3, Grade C)

Statement #14: Surgical curvature correction after Collagenase Clostridium Histolyticum (CCH) injection is possible without significant increase in postoperative complications (Level 4, Grade C)

Statement #15: Postoperative rehabilitation programs may reduce the risk of penile curvature recurrence and shortening (Level 4, Grade C)

Statement #16: When necessary, revision surgery should be carried out at least 6 months after the initial procedure to allow for complete healing and stabilization of the deformity and for adequate assessment of postoperative erectile function (Level 4, Grade C)

Statement #17: Penile prosthesis implantation alone or in combination with straightening maneuvers can be considered during revision surgery, in order to minimize further penile length loss or to avoid worsening of erectile function (Level 4, Grade C)

Statement #18: The use of collagen fleece as a graft material following plaque incision can be contemplated in revision surgery (Level 4, Grade C)

Evidence

Among possible complications of plication corporoplasty, ReC is among the more concerning ones. The presence of strong erections postoperatively may potentially weaken or tear the plication sutures on the tunica albuginea. RC that appears at a later stages more likely related to disease progression rather than to surgical failure.¹³⁰ Among plication procedures, the risk of postoperative RC may range from 0% to 19%.^{53,55,57,58,63,65,67,147} ^{-153,130} RC is more likely to occur in grafting, compared to plication procedures. The incidence of RC after PIG may range from 50% to 78% of cases.^{22,80,81,154} Among the different graft materials, recent evidence highlight collagen fleece graft as promising, without any cases of RC registered in the followup.^{22,80,81,154}

In 2013, the clinical efficacy and safety of CCH injection for PD was confirmed after the conclusion of IMPRESS I and II trials.¹⁵⁵ Despite the efficacy of intralesional CCH for PD, some patients may have persistent penile curvature requiring subsequent straightening procedure. Surgical correction of persistent or residual curvature following CCH injection was recently investigated by Levine et al and Delay et al.^{156,157} The 2 studies included a total of 17 patients with persistent or residual curvature after CCH and all were managed with straightening procedures such as tunical plication, PIG and/or penile prosthesis implantation. The average preoperative penile curvature was 53.8° (range 35° -70°). Postoperatively, all patients but 1 patient reported a functionally straight penis (curvature <20°). There were no reported significant anatomical changes nor complications secondary to prior CCH treatment. Delay et al found more fibrotic tissue in those patients (3/10) whom underwent surgery within 2–3 months from the last CCH injection. No significant differences in postoperative complication rates were recorded when compared to the same surgical procedures in PD patients who had not undergone previous CCH treatment.

Thus with limited evidence available, it appears that previous intralesional CCH injection should not necessarily preclude patients from undergoing subsequent surgical correction of residual curvature; however, it may slightly increase intraoperative difficulty if surgery is offered within the first 3 months from the last CCH injection.¹⁵⁸

In cases of ReC following plication or grafting procedures, no clear recommendations can be provided due to a paucity of available data regarding the decision to perform revision surgery. It is generally agreed that revision surgery should be postponed for at least 6 months to allow for normal healing to occur and to assess whether a new deformity or de-novo ED develops.³⁵ During this period, patients may be advised to perform some version of penile rehabilitation to potentially reduce the progression of any recurrent penile curvature. Penile rehabilitation can include graft massage and/or penile traction therapy.^{20,159–161} Until a standard protocol for postoperative rehabilitation is established, experts in the field continue to advise their patients based on personal experience and opinion supported by contemporary studies. Similar to PP implantation, after PD grafting surgery, patients are advised to abstain from sexual intercourse and sexual activities for a period of 4–6 weeks.^{21,43,86,162} Postoperative rehabilitation programs may reduce the risk of penile curvature recurrence and shortening.^{3,25,43,70,80,162,163}

In cases of severe ReC, PP implantation may represent a definitive solution; preventing further shortening whilst providing the necessary rigidity for penetrative intercourse.^{164,165}

The modeling maneuver, to manually bend the penis to the opposite side of the curvature with the implant inflated, was described in 1994.¹⁶⁶ The main concern with this technique is the low risk of urethral perforation, described in 4-5% of cases. This complication would lead to abortion of the procedure.^{2,167} The modeling maneuver is not indicated in severe and complex penile deformities and when performed, may not completely straighten the penis.

In select cases characterized by severe penile shortening, tunical incision with or without grafting could be considered in order to optimize postoperative penile length in patients undergoing penile prosthesis implantation.^{168,169} Whilst this is typically addressed via a sub-coronal incision, a non-degloving technique with ventral incision provides for an alternative method for graft and penile prosthesis placement to maintain dartos and skin continuity to the glans penis while still allowing for adequate surgical exposure.¹⁷⁰

When performing an inflatable PP and tunical incision for ReC, a graft is recommended if the tunical defect is greater than 2 cm in order to minimize the risk of cylinder herniation.^{140,171,172} If a malleable prosthesis is implanted, tunical grafting could be avoided provided adequate hemostasis has been achieved.^{97,173}

In the rare event of a tunical aneurysm, revision surgery including excision of the dilated tunical segment and followed by grafting may be considered.¹⁷⁴

CONCLUSIONS

Although surgery represents the gold standard treatment of PD, it remains far from perfect, despite numerous technique modifications, development of various grafts and rehabilitation protocols. The main surgical limitation is that it does not repair the damage produced by PD and may not completely restore the penis to the original dimensions and function. Current literature lacks large volumes of prospective series, hindering our ability to scientifically compare the techniques and their outcomes.

A multinational, multicenter prospective registry of PD surgery procedures may contribute to the evidence-based knowledge on the indications, procedures, and possible complications of PD treatment modalities. **Corresponding Author:** Daniar Osmonov, MD, PhD, FECSM, Associate Professor of Urology, Head of Andrology Unit in the Department of Urology, University Medical Center Schleswig Holstein, Campus Kiel, Christian Albrechts University of Kiel, Arnold Heller Str. 5, Kiel 24105, Germany. Tel: 043159724800; E-mail: Daniar.osmonov@uksh.de

Conflict of Interest: Gideon Blecher, Nim Christopher and Georgios Hatzichristodoulou are consultants of Coloplast Porgés. Roland Dahlem, Giulio Garaffa and Armin Soave are consultants of Boston Scientific. Koenraad van Renterghem and Carlo Bettocchi are consultants of Coloplast Porgés, Boston Scientific. Daniar Osmonov is consultant of Coloplast Porgés, Fidelis. Sam Ward is a consultant for P&G Health, Europe. Yacov Reisman is a consultant of Besins Health Care. The remaining authors report no conflicts of interest.

Funding: None.

STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

Daniar Osmonov: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-Original Draft, Revisions; Ahmed Ragheb: Investigation; Sam Ward: Investigation, Revisions; Gideon Blecher: Methodology, Writing-Original Draft; Marco Falcone: Methodology, Writing-Original Draft; Armin Soave: Investigation, Writing-Original Draft; Roland Dahlem: Writing-Review & Editing; Koenraad van Renterghem: Writing-Review & Editing; Nim Christopher: Writing-Original Draft; Georgios Hatzichristodoulou: Supervision; Mirko Preto: Writing-Original Draft; Giulio Garaffa: Writing-Original Draft, Supervision; Maarten Albersen: Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision; Carlo Bettocchi: Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision; Giovanni Corona: Conceptualization, Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision, Revisions; Yacov Reisman: Conceptualization, Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision, Revisions.

REFERENCES

- Vesalius A, van Calcar JS, Oporinus J, et al. De humani corporis fabrica libri septem. Basileae Ex Off Ioannis Oporini, 1543. M D XLIII; 1543.
- Wilson SK, Cleves MA, Delk JR. Long-term followup of treatment for Peyronie's disease: Modeling the penis over an inflatable penile prosthesis. J Urol 2001. doi: 10.1016/ S0022-5347(05)66537-8.
- Ralph D, Gonzalez-Cadavid N, Mirone V, et al. The Management of Peyronie's disease: Evidence-based 2010 guidelines. J Sex Med 2010;7:2359–2374. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.01850.x.
- Bella AJ, Perelman MA, Brant WO, et al. Peyronie's disease (CME). J Sex Med 2007;4:1527–1538. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2007.00614.x.
- Devine CJ, Somers KD, Jordan GH, et al. Proposal: Trauma as the cause of the Peyronie's lesion. J Urol. 1997;157:285– 290. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(01)65361-8.

- Gonzalez-Cadavid NF, Rajfer J. Mechanisms of Disease: New insights into the cellular and molecular pathology of Peyronie's disease. Nat Clin Pract Urol 2005;2:291–297. doi: 10.1038/ncpuro0201.
- Smith JF, Walsh TJ, Conti SL, et al. Risk factors for emotional and relationship problems in Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2008;5:2179–2184. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00949.x.
- Nelson CJ, Diblasio C, Kendirci M, et al. The chronology of depression and distress in men with Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2008;5:1985–1990. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109. 2008.00895.x.
- Rosen R, Catania J, Lue T, et al. Impact of Peyronie's disease on sexual and psychosocial functioning: Qualitative findings in patients and controls. J Sex Med 2008;5:1977–1984. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00883.x.
- Gelbard MK, Dorey F, James K. The natural history of Peyronie's disease. J Urol 1990;144:1376–1379. doi: 10.1016/ S0022-5347(17)39746-X.
- Garaffa G, Trost LW, Serefoglu EC, et al. Understanding the course of Peyronie's disease. Int J Clin Pract 2013;67:781– 788. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.12129.
- Kadioglu A, Tefekli A, Erol B, et al. A retrospective review of 307 men with peyronie's disease. J Urol 2002;168:1075– 1079. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)64578-8.
- Tal R, Heck M, Teloken P, et al. Peyronie's disease following radical prostatectomy: Incidence and predictors. J Sex Med 2010;7:1254–1261. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01655.x.
- 14. Hatzimouratidis, K.I. Eardley, F. Giuliano, I. et al. Guidelines on penile curvature. European Association of Urology; 2015.
- Rhoden EL, Riedner CE, Fuchs SC, et al. A cross-sectional study for the analysis of clinical, sexual and laboratory conditions associated to Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2010;7:1529–1537. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01584.x.
- Gur S, Limin M, Hellstrom WJG. Current status and new developments in Peyronie's disease: Medical, minimally invasive and surgical treatment options. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2011;12:931–944. doi: 10.1517/146565666.2011.544252.
- Jeremy Howick, Iain Chalmers, Paul Glasziou, et al. The 2011 Oxford CEBM levels of evidence 2011.
- Walsh TJ, Hotaling JM, Lue TF, et al. How curved is too curved? the severity of penile deformity may predict sexual disability among men with Peyronie's disease. Int J Impot Res 2013;25:109–112. doi: 10.1038/ijir.2012.48.
- Hatzichristodoulou G. Grafting techniques for Peyronie's disease. Transl Androl Urol 2016;5:334–341. doi: 10.21037/tau.2016.03.16.
- Kozacioglu Z, Degirmenci T, Gunlusoy B, et al. Effect of tunical defect size after peyronie's plaque excision on postoperative erectile function: Do centimeters matter? Urology 2012;80:1051–1055. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2012.07.037.
- Sansalone S, Garaffa G, Djinovic R, et al. Long-term results of the surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease with Egydio's technique: A European multicentre study. Asian J Androl 2011;13:842–845. doi: 10.1038/aja.2011.42.

- Hatzichristodoulou G, Gschwend JE, Lahme S. Surgical therapy of Peyronie's disease by partial plaque excision and grafting with collagen fleece: Feasibility study of a new technique. Int J Impot Res 2013;25:183–187. doi: 10.1038/ijir.2013.7.
- Taylor FL, Levine LA. Surgical correction of peyronie's disease via Tunica Albuginea plication or partial plaque excision with pericardial graft: Long-Term follow Up. J Sex Med 2008;5:2221–2228. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00941.x.
- Kueronya V, Miernik A, Stupar S, et al. International multicentre psychometric evaluation of patient-reported outcome data for the treatment of Peyronie's disease. BJU Int 2015. doi: 10.1111/bju.12968.
- Levine LA, Burnett AL. Standard operating procedures for Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2013;10:230–244. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.03003.x.
- Martinez D, Ercole CE, Hakky TS, et al. Peyronie's disease: Still a surgical disease. Adv Urol 2012 2012. doi: 10.1155/ 2012/206284.
- Farrell MR, Corder CJ, Levine LA. Peyronie's disease among men who have sex with men: Characteristics, treatment, and psychosocial factors. J Sex Med 2013;10:2077–2083. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12202.
- Kadioglu A, Akman T, Sanli O, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease: A critical analysis. Eur Urol 2006;50:235–248. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2006.04.030.
- Kaminetsky J, Gittelman M, Kaufman GJ, et al. Patient perspectives on Peyronie's disease: results of poststudy interviews from a phase 2 trial of collagenase clostridium histolyticum. Int J Impot Res 2019;31:263–268. doi: 10.1038/s41443-018-0027-5.
- 30. Soave A, Laurich S, Dahlem R, et al. Negative self-perception and self-attitude of sexuality is a risk factor for patient dissatisfaction following penile surgery with small intestinal submucosa grafting for the treatment of severe Peyronie's disease. J Clin Med 2019;8:1121. doi: 10.3390/jcm8081121.
- 31. Bella AJ, Lee JC, Grober ED, et al. 2018 canadian urological association guideline for peyronie's disease and congenital penile curvature. Can Urol Assoc J 2018;12:E197–E209. doi: 10.5489/cuaj.5255.
- Chughtai B, Lee RK, Te AE, et al. Metabolic syndrome and sexual dysfunction. Curr Opin Urol 2011;21:514–518. doi: 10.1097/MOU.0b013e32834b8681.
- Hatzimouratidis K, Montorsi F, Eardley I, et al. Guidelines on male sexual dysfunction: Erectile dysfunction and premature ejaculation. Eur Urol 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2010.02.020.
- Nehra A, Alterowitz R, Culkin DJ, et al. Peyronie's disease: AUA guideline. J Urol 2015;194:745–753. doi: 10.1016/j. juro.2015.05.098.
- 35. Chung E, Ralph D, Kagioglu A, et al. Evidence-based management guidelines on peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2016;13:905–923. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.04.062.
- 36. Hatzichristodoulou G, Osmonov D, Kübler H, et al. Contemporary review of grafting techniques for the surgical

treatment of Peyronie's disease. Sex Med Rev 2017;5:544–552. doi: 10.1016/j.sxmr.2017.01.006.

- Hellstrom WJG, Feldman R, Rosen RC, et al. Bother and distress associated with peyronie's disease: Validation of the Peyronie's disease questionnaire. J Urol 2013;190:627–634. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.01.090.
- Nelson CJ, Diblasio C, Kendirci M, et al. The chronology of depression and distress in men with Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2008;5:1985–1990. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00895.x.
- Smith JF, Walsh TJ, Conti SL, et al. Risk factors for emotional and relationship problems in Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2008;5:2179–2184. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008. 00949.x.
- Rosen R, Catania J, Lue T, et al. Impact of Peyronie's disease on sexual and psychosocial functioning: Qualitative findings in patients and controls. J Sex Med 2008;5:1977–1984. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00883.x.
- Velson CJ, Mulhall JP. Psychological impact of Peyronie's disease: A review. J Sex Med 2013;10:653–660. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02999.x.
- 42. Capoccia E, Levine LA. Contemporary review of Peyronie's disease treatment. Curr Urol Rep 2018;19. doi: 10.1007/s11934-018-0800-5.
- 43. Levine LA, Larsen SM. Surgery for Peyronie's disease. Asian J Androl 2013;15:27–34. doi: 10.1038/aja.2012.92.
- Di Maida F, Cito G, Lambertini L, et al. The natural history of Peyronie's disease. World J Mens Health 2020;38. doi: 10.5534/wjmh.200065.
- 45. Salonia A., Bettocchi C., Carvalho J., et al. EAU guidelines on sexual and reproductive health 2021.
- Kadıoğlu A, Salabaş E, Özmez A, et al. Peyronie's disease surgery: Surgical outcomes of 268 cases. Turkish J Urol 2018;44:10–15. doi: 10.5152/tud.2018.87405.
- 47. Garaffa G, Sacca A, Christopher AN, et al. Circumcision is not mandatory in penile surgery. BJU Int 2010;105:222–224. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08763.x.
- Savoca G, Scieri F, Pietropaolo F, et al. Straightening corporoplasty for Peyronie's disease: A review of 218 patients with median follow-up of 89 months. Eur Urol 2004;46:610–614. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2004.04.027.
- Nesbit RM. Congenital curvatute of the phallus: Report of three casese with description of corrective operation. J Urol 1965;93:230–232. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17)63751-0.
- Chung E, Wang R, Ralph D, et al. A worldwide survey on Peyronie's disease surgical practice patterns among surgeons. J Sex Med 2018;15:568–575. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.01.025.
- Segal RL, Burnett AL. Surgical management for Peyronie's disease. World J Mens Health 2013;31:1. doi: 10.5534/ wjmh.2013.31.1.1.
- 52. Pryor JP. Correction of penile curvature and Peyronie's disease: Why I prefer the Nesbit technique. Int

J Impot Res 1998;10:129–131. doi: 10.1038/sj. ijir.3900331.

- Salph DJ, Al-Akraa M, Pryor JP. Nesbit operation for Peyronie's disease: 16-year experience. J Urol 1995;154:1362–1363. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(01) 66862-9.
- 54. Yachia D. Modified corporoplasty for the treatment of penile curvature. J Urol 1990;143:80–82. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17)39871-3.
- 55. Lopes I, Tomada N, Vendeira P. Penile corporoplasty with Yachia's technique for Peyronie's disease: Single center experience with 117 patients. Urol Ann 2013;5:167–171. doi: 10.4103/0974-7796.115736.
- Essed E, Schroeder FH. New surgical treatment for Peyronie disease. Urology 1985;25:582–587. doi: 10.1016/0090-4295(85)90285-7.
- Gholami SS, Lue TF. Correction of penile curvature using the 16-dot plication technique: A review of 132 patients. J Urol 2002;167:2066–2069. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05) 65085-9.
- Greenfield JM, Lucas S, Levine LA. Factors affecting the loss of length associated with Tunica albuginea plication for correction of penile curvature. J Urol 2006;175:238–241. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)00063-7.
- 59. Geertsen UA, Brok KE, Andersen B, et al. Peyronie curvature treated by plication of the penile fasciae. Br J Urol 1996;77:733-735. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.1996. 97621.x.
- Van Der Horst C, Martínez Portillo FJ, Seif C, et al. Treatment of penile curvature with Essed-Schröder tunical plication: Aspects of quality of life from the patients' perspective. BJU Int 2004;93:105–108. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.04566.x.
- Bokarica P, Parazajder J, Mazuran B, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease based on penile length and degree of curvature. Int J Impot Res 2005;17:170–174. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3901255.
- Leach GE. Re: Modified nesbit procedure for the treatment of Peyronie's disease: A comparative outcome analysis [4](multiple letters). J Urol 1998;159:1647. doi: 10.1097/ 00005392-199805000-00073.
- Rolle L, Tamagnone A, Timpano M, et al. The Nesbit operation for penile curvature: An easy and effective technical modification. J Urol 2005;173:171–174. doi: 10.1097/01. ju.0000147160.53124.1a.
- 64. Savoca G, Trombetta C, Ciampalini S, et al. Long-term results with Nesbit's procedure as treatment of Peyronie's disease. Int J Impot Res 2000;12:289–293. doi: 10.1038/ sj.ijir.3900612.
- Langston JP, Carson CC. Peyronie disease: Plication or grafting. Urol Clin North Am 2011;38:207–216. doi: 10.1016/j. ucl.2011.03.001.
- 66. Chahal R, Gogoi NK, Sundaram SK, et al. Corporal plication for penile curvature caused by Peyronie's disease: The

patients' perspective. BJU Int 2001;87:352–356. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410X.2001.00114.x.

- 67. Schultheiss D, Meschi MR, Hagemann J, et al. Congenital and acquired penile deviation treated with the Essed plication method. Eur Urol 2000;38:167–171. doi: 10.1159/ 000020275.
- Brant WO, Bella AJ, Garcia MM, et al. Surgical atlas correction of Peyronie's disease: Plaque incision and grafting. BJU Int 2006;97:1353–1360. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06237.x.
- Egydio PH, Lucon AM, Arap S. A single relaxing incision to correct different types of penile curvature: Surgical technique based on geometrical principles. BJU Int 2004;94:1147– 1157. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.05220.x.
- Kadioglu A, Küçükdurmaz F, Sanli O. Current status of the surgical management of Peyronie's disease. Nat Rev Urol 2011;8:95–106. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2010.233.
- Rice PG, Somani BK, Rees RW. Twenty years of plaque incision and grafting for Peyronie's disease: A review of literature. Sex Med 2019;7:115–128. doi: 10.1016/j.esxm.2019. 01.001.
- Hsu GL, Chen HS, Hsieh CH, et al. Long-term results of autologous venous grafts for penile morphological reconstruction. J Androl 2007;28:186–193. doi: 10.2164/jandrol.106.000760.
- Akkus E, Ozkara H, Alici B, et al. Incision and venous patch graft in the surgical treatment of penile curvature in Peyronie's disease. Eur Urol 2001;40:531–537. doi: 10.1159/ 000049831.
- 74. Kadioglu A, Sanli O, Akman T, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease: A single center experience with 145 patients. Eur Urol 2008;53:432–440. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2007.04.045.
- Cormio L, Zucchi A, Lorusso F, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease by plaque incision and grafting with buccal mucosa. Eur Urol 2009;55:1469–1476. doi: 10.1016/j. eururo.2008.11.041.
- Cormio L, Mancini V, Massenio P, et al. Combined plaque incision, buccal mucosa grafting, and additional tunica albuginea plication for Peyronie's disease. Sex Med 2019;7:48– 53. doi: 10.1016/j.esxm.2018.11.002.
- 77. Kalsi JS, Christopher N, Ralph DJ, et al. Plaque incision and fascia lata grafting in the surgical management of Peyronie's disease. BJU Int 2006;98:110–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06251.x.
- Levine LA, Estrada CR. Human cadaveric pericardial graft for the surgical correction of Peyronie's disease. J Urol 2003;170:2359–2362. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000091102. 10849.95.
- Chun JL, McGregor A, Krishnan R, et al. A comparison of dermal and cadaveric pericardial grafts in the modified Horton-Devine procedure for Peyronie's disease. J Urol 2001;166:185–188. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)66106-X.

- Hatzichristodoulou G. Introducing the ventral sealing technique using collagen fleece for surgical therapy of patients with ventral Peyronie's curvature: Initial experience. Int J Impot Res 2018;30:306–311. doi: 10.1038/s41443-018-0044-4.
- Rosenhammer B, Sayedahmed K, Fritsche HM, et al. Longterm outcome after grafting with small intestinal submucosa and collagen fleece in patients with Peyronie's disease: a matched pair analysis. Int J Impot Res 2019;31:256–262. doi: 10.1038/s41443-018-0071-1.
- Sayedahmed K, Rosenhammer B, Spachmann PJ, et al. Bicentric prospective evaluation of corporoplasty with porcine small intestinal submucosa (SIS) in patients with severe Peyronie's disease. World J Urol 2017;35:1119–1124. doi: 10.1007/s00345-016-1973-5.
- Staerman F, Pierrevelcin J, Ripert T, et al. Medium-term follow-up of plaque incision and porcine small intestinal submucosal grafting for Peyronie's disease. Int J Impot Res 2010;22:343–348. doi: 10.1038/ijir.2010.28.
- Valente P, Gomes C, Tomada N. Small intestinal submucosa grafting for Peyronie disease: Outcomes and patient satisfaction. Urology 2017;100:117–124. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2016.09.055.
- Breyer BN, Brant WO, Garcia MM, et al. Complications of porcine small intestine submucosa graft for Peyronie's disease. J Urol 2007;177:589–591. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2006. 09.051.
- Chung E, Clendinning E, Lessard L, et al. Five-year follow-up of Peyronie's graft surgery: Outcomes and patient satisfaction. J Sex Med 2011;8:594–600. doi: 10.1111/j.1743– 6109.2010.02102.x.
- Cosentino M, Kanashiro A, Vives A, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease with small intestinal submucosa graft patch. Int J Impot Res 2016;28:106–109. doi: 10.1038/ ijir.2016.10.
- Knoll LD. Use of porcine small intestinal submucosal graft in the surgical management of Peyronie's disease. Urology 2001;57:753–757. doi: 10.1016/s0090-4295(00)01079-7.
- Knoll LD. Use of small intestinal submucosa graft for the surgical management of Peyronie's disease. J Urol 2007;178:2474–2478. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.044.
- Kovac JR, Brock GB. Surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction after dermal, pericardial, and small intestinal submucosal grafting for peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2007;4:1500– 1508. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2007.00453.x.
- 91. Lee EW, Shindel AW, Brandes SB. Small intestinal submucosa for patch grafting after plaque incision in the treatment of Peyronie's disease. Int Braz J Urol 2008;34:191–196. doi: 10.1590/s1677-55382008000200009.
- 92. Morgado A, Morgado MR, Tomada N. Penile lengthening with porcine small intestinal submucosa grafting in Peyronie's disease treatment: Long-term surgical outcomes, patients' satisfaction and dissatisfaction predictors. Andrology 2018;6:909–915. doi: 10.1111/andr.12522.

14

- 93. Otero JR, Gómez BG, Polo JM, et al. Use of a lyophilized bovine pericardium graft to repair tunical defect in patients with Peyronie's disease: Experience in a clinical setting. Asian J Androl 2017;19:316–320. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.171572.
- 94. Khera M, Bella A, Karpman E, et al. Penile prosthesis implantation in patients with Peyronie's disease: Results of the PROPPER study demonstrates a decrease in patientreported depression. J Sex Med 2018;15:786–788. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2018.02.024.
- 95. Chung E, Solomon M, Deyoung L, et al. Comparison between AMS 700[™] CX and Coloplast[™] titan inflatable penile prosthesis for Peyronie's disease treatment and remodeling: Clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction. J Sex Med 2013;10:2855–2860. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12009.
- Chung PH, Francis Scott J, Morey AF. High patient satisfaction of inflatable penile prosthesis insertion with synchronous penile plication for erectile dysfunction and Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2014;11:1593–1598. doi: 10.1111/ jsm.12530.
- 97. Garaffa G, Minervini A, Christopher NA, et al. The management of residual curvature after penile prosthesis implantation in men with Peyronie's disease. BJU Int 2011;108:1152–1156. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.10023.x.
- Levine LA, Benson J, Hoover C. Inflatable penile prosthesis placement in men with Peyronie's disease and drug-resistant erectile dysfunction: A single-center study. J Sex Med 2010;7:3775–3783. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.01971.x.
- 99. Rolle L, Ceruti C, Timpano M, et al. A new, innovative, lengthening surgical procedure for Peyronie's disease by penile prosthesis implantation with double dorsal-ventral patch graft: The "Sliding Technique. J Sex Med 2012;9:2389–2395. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02675.x.
- 100. Sansalone S, Garaffa G, Djinovic R, et al. Simultaneous penile lengthening and penile prosthesis implantation in patients with Peyronie's disease, refractory erectile dysfunction, and severe penile shortening. J Sex Med 2012;9:316–321. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2011.02509.x.
- 101. Rolle L, Falcone M, Ceruti C, et al. A prospective multicentric international study on the surgical outcomes and patients' satisfaction rates of the "sliding" technique for end-stage Peyronie's disease with severe shortening of the penis and erectile dysfunction. BJU Int 2016;117:814–820. doi: 10.1111/ bju.13371.
- 102. Egydio PH, Kuehhas FE. The Multiple-Slit Technique (MUST) for penile length and girth restoration. J Sex Med 2018;15:261–269. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.11.223.
- 103. Kim DS, Pang MG. The effect of male circumcision on sexuality. BJU Int 2007;99:619–622. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06646.x.
- 104. Flores S, Choi J, Alex B, et al. Erectile dysfunction after plaque incision and grafting: Short-term assessment of incidence and predictors. J Sex Med 2011;8:2031–2037. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2011.02299.x.

- Zucchi A, Silvani M, Pastore AL, et al. Corporoplasty using buccal mucosa graft in peyronie disease: ls it a first choice? Urology 2015;85:679–683. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014. 10.026.
- 106. Chung E, Yan H, De Young L, et al. Penile Doppler sonographic and clinical characteristics in Peyronie's disease and/ or erectile dysfunction: An analysis of 1500 men with male sexual dysfunction. BJU Int 2012;110:1201–1205. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10851.x.
- 107. Montorsi F, Salonia A, Maga T, et al. Evidence based assessment of long-term results of plaque incision and vein grafting for Peyronie's disease. J Urol 2000;163:1704–1708. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)67525-8.
- 108. Sampaio JS, Fonseca J, Passarinho A, et al. Peyronie's disease: Surgical correction of 40 patients with relaxing incision and duramater graft. Eur Urol 2002;41:551–555. doi: 10.1016/S0302-2838(02)00071-4.
- 109. Sikka SC, Hellstrom WJG, Brock G, et al. Standardization of vascular assessment of erectile dysfunction standard operating procedures for duplex ultrasound. J Sex Med 2013;10:120–129. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02825.x.
- 110. Shakir N, Yi Y, Reddy R, et al. 277 Sustained improvement in erectile function after penile plication for Peyronie's disease: Long-term patient-reported outcomes. J Sex Med 2019;16: S132–S133. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2019.01.282.
- 111. Hudak SJ, Morey AF, Adibi M, et al. Favorable patient reported outcomes after penile plication for wide array of Peyronie disease abnormalities. J Urol 2013;189:1019–1024. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2012.09.085.
- 112. Brake M, Keller H, Lamadé F, et al. Operative korrektur der penisdeviation. Methode nach Nesbit vs. Schroeder-Essed. Urol - Ausgabe A 1999;38:264–269. doi: 10.1007/ s001200050279.
- Cordon BH, Sundaram V, Hofer MD, et al. Penile plication as salvage strategy for refractory Peyronie's disease deformities. Urol Pract 2017;4:149–154. doi: 10.1016/j.urpr.2016. 05.003.
- 114. Chow AK, Sidelsky SA, Levine LA. Surgical outcomes of plaque excision and grafting and supplemental tunica albuginea plication for treatment of Peyronie's disease with severe compound curvature. J Sex Med 2018;15:1021–1029. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2018.04.642.
- 115. Dugi DD, Morey AF. Penoscrotal plication as a uniform approach to reconstruction of penile curvature. BJU Int 2010;105:1440– 1444. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08991.x.
- 116. Daitch JA, Angermeier KW, Montague DK. Modified corporoplasty for penile curvature: Long-term results and patient satisfaction. J Urol 1999;162:2006–2009. doi: 10.1016/ S0022-5347(05)68088-3.
- 117. Kargi E, Yeşilli Ç, Hoşnuter M, et al. Relaxation incision and fascia lata grafting in the surgical correction of penile curvature in Peyronie's disease. Plast Reconstr Surg 2004;113:254–259. doi: 10.1097/01.PRS.0000095951. 04305.38.

- 118. Leungwattanakij S, Bivalacqua TJ, Reddy S, et al. Long-term follow-up on use of pericardial graft in the surgical management of Peyronie's disease. Int J Impot Res 2001;13:183– 186. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3900676.
- **119.** Sampaio JS, Fonseca J, Passarinho A, et al. Peyronie's disease: Surgical correction of 40 patients with relaxing incision and duramater graft. **Eur Urol 2002;41:551–555.**
- 120. Arena F, Peracchia G, Di Stefano C, et al. Peyronie's disease -Incision and dorsal vein grafting combined with contralateral plication in straightening the penis. Scand J Urol Nephrol 1999;33:181–185. doi: 10.1080/003655999750015961.
- 121. Adeniyi AA, Goorney SR, Pryor JP, et al. The Lue procedure: An analysis of the outcome in Peyronie's disease. BJU Int 2002;89:404–408. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-4096.2001.01896.x.
- 122. Metin A, Kayigil Ö, Ahmed Sİ. Plaque incision and venous patch grafting for Peyronie's disease. Int Urol Nephrol 2002;34:223–227. doi: 10.1023/A:1023290705439.
- 123. Hatzichristou DG, Hatzimouratidis K, Apostolidis A, et al. Corporoplasty using tunica albuginea free grafts for penile curvature: Surgical technique and long-term results. J Urol 2002;167:1367–1370. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05) 65302-5.
- 124. Backhaus BO, Müller SC, Albers P. Corporoplasty for advanced peyronie's disease using venous and/or dermis patch grafting: New surgical technique and long-term patient satisfaction. J Urol 2003;169:981–984. doi: 10.1097/01. ju.0000052403.11923.51.
- 125. Wimpissinger F, Parnham A, Gutjahr G, et al. 10 Years' plaque incision and vein grafting for Peyronie's disease: Does time matter? J Sex Med 2016;13:120–128. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2015.12.004.
- 126. Nooter RI, Bosch JLHR, Schroder FH. Peyronie's disease and congenital penile curvature: Long-term results of operative treatment with the plication procedure. Br J Urol 1994;74:497–500. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.1994.tb00430.x.
- 127. Terrier JE, Tal R, Nelson CJ, et al. Penile sensory changes after plaque incision and grafting surgery for Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2018;15:1491–1497. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.07.020.
- 128. Cantoro U, Polito M, Catanzariti F, et al. Penile plication for Peyronie's disease: Our results with mean follow-up of 103 months on 89 patients. Int J Impot Res 2014;26:156–159. doi: 10.1038/ijir.2014.6.
- 129. Adibi M, Hudak SJ, Morey AF. Penile plication without degloving enables effective correction of complex Peyronie's deformities. Urology 2012;79:831–835. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2011.12.036.
- Çayan S, Aşcı R, Efesoy O, et al. Comparison of Patient's satisfaction and long-term results of 2 penile plication techniques: Lessons learned from 387 patients with penile curvature. Urology 2019;129:106–112. doi: 10.1016/j.urology. 2019.02.039.
- 131. Reddy RS, McKibben MJ, Fuchs JS, et al. Plication for severe Peyronie's deformities has similar long-term outcomes to

milder cases. J Sex Med 2018;15:1498–1505. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.08.006.

- 132. Van Der Drift DGF, Vroege JA, Groenendijk PM, et al. The plication procedure for penile curvature: Surgical outcome and postoperative sexual functioning. Urol Int 2002;69:120– 124. doi: 10.1159/000065560.
- 133. Chung PH, Tausch TJ, Simhan J, et al. Dorsal plication without degloving is safe and effective for correcting ventral penile deformities. Urology 2014;84:1228–1233. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014.05.064.
- 134. Syed AH, Abbasi Z, Hargreave TB. Nesbit procedure for disabling Peyronie's curvature: A median follow-up of 84 months. Urology 2003;61:999–1003. doi: 10.1016/S0090-4295(02)02549-9.
- 135. Kadioğlu A, Tefekli A, Usta M, et al. Surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease with incision and venous patch technique. Int J Impot Res 1999;11:75–81. doi: 10.1038/sj. ijir.3900375.
- 136. Yossepowitch O, Lifshitz DA, Dekel Y, et al. Effect of incision and saphenous vein grafting for Peyronie's disease on penile length and sexual satisfaction. J Urol 2001;166:1769–1773. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)65672-8.
- 137. Khawaja AR, Dar TI, Zahur S, et al. Use of autologous grafts in the treatment of acquired penile curvature: An experience of 33 cases. Urol Ann 2016;8:218–222. doi: 10.4103/ 0974-7796.176875.
- 138. Usta MF, Bivalacqua TJ, Sanabria J, et al. Patient and partner satisfaction and long-term results after surgical treatment for Peyronie's disease. Urology 2003;62:105–109. doi: 10.1016/S0090-4295(03)00244-9.
- 139. Kovac JR, Brock GB. Surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction after dermal, pericardial, and small intestinal submucosal grafting for Peyronie's disease. J Sex Med 2007;4:1500– 1508. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2007.00453.x.
- 140. Mulhall J, Anderson M, Parker M. A surgical algorithm for men with combined Peyronie's disease and erectile dysfunction: Functional and satisfaction outcomes. J Sex Med 2005;2:132–138. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2005.20113.x.
- 141. Da Ros CT, Graziottin TM, Ribeiro E, et al. Long-term followup of penile curvature correction utilizing autologous albugineal crural graft. Int Braz J Urol 2012;38:242–247. doi: 10.1590/S1677-55382012000200013.
- 142. Kim DH, Lesser TF, Aboseif SR. Subjective patient-reported experiences after surgery for Peyronie's disease: Corporeal plication versus plaque incision with vein graft. Urology 2008;71:698–702. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2007.11.065.
- 143. Lee EW, Shindel AW, Brandes SB. Small intestinal submucosa for patch grafting after plaque incision in the treatment of Peyronie's disease. Int Braz J Urol 2008;34:191–196. doi: 10.1590/s1677-55382008000200009.
- 144. Wilson SK, Mora-Estaves C, Egydio P, et al. Glans necrosis following penile prosthesis implantation: Prevention and treatment suggestions. Urology 2017;107:144–148. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.06.020.

- 145. Harris CF, Mydlo JH. Ischemia and gangrene of the penis. J Urol 2003;169:1795. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000057796. 64080.3a.
- 146. Köhler TS, Gupta NK, Wilson SK. Wilson's pearls, perils and pitfalls of penile prosthesis surgery. Fort Smith, Arkansas: Calvert McBride Publishers; 2018.
- Shaeer OKZ. Correction of penile curvature by rotation of the corpora cavernosa: A case report. J Sex Med 2006;3:932– 937. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2006.00203.x.
- 148. Shaeer O, Shaeer K. Shaeer's corporal rotation III: Shortening-free correction of congenital penile curvature - the noncorporotomy technique. Eur Urol 2016;69:129–134. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2015.08.004.
- 149. Friedrich MG, Evans D, Noldus J, et al. The correction of penile curvature with the Essed-Schroder technique: A longterm follow-up assessing functional aspects and quality of life. BJU Int 2000;86:1034–1038. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410X.2000.00975.x.
- 150. Papagiannopoulos D, Yura E, Levine L. Examining postoperative outcomes after employing a surgical algorithm for management of Peyronie's disease: A single-institution retrospective review. J Sex Med 2015;12:1474–1480. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12910.
- 151. Rehman J, Benet A, Minsky LS, et al. Results of surgical treatment for abnormal penile curvature: Peyronie's disease and congenital deviation by modified Nesbit plication (tunical shaving and plication). J Urol 1997;157:1288–1291. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(01)64953-X.
- 152. Sassine AM, Wespes E, Schulman CC. Modified corporoplasty for penilecurvature: 10 years' experience. Urology 1994;44:419–421. doi: 10.1016/S0090-4295(94)80106-1.
- 153. Shaeer O. Shaeer's corporal rotation for length-preserving correction of penile curvature: Modifications and 3-year experience. J Sex Med 2008;5:2716–2724. doi: 10.1111/ j.1743-6109.2008.00913.x.
- 154. Hatzichristodoulou G. Evolution of the surgical sealing patch TachoSil[®] in Peyronie's disease reconstructive surgery: Technique and contemporary literature review. World J Urol 2020;38:315–321. doi: 10.1007/s00345-019-02792-w.
- 155. Gelbard M, Goldstein I, Hellstrom WJG, et al. Clinical efficacy, safety and tolerability of collagenase clostridium histolyticum for the treatment of peyronie disease in 2 large double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled phase 3 studies. J Urol 2013;190:199–207. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.01.087.
- 156. Levine LA, Larsen SM. Surgical correction of persistent Peyronie's disease following collagenase clostridium histolyticum treatment. J Sex Med 2015;12:259–264. doi: 10.1111/ jsm.12721.
- 157. DeLay K, Diao L, Nguyen HMT, et al. Successful treatment of residual curvature in Peyronie disease in men previously treated with intralesional collagenase clostridium histolyticum. Urology 2017;110:110–113. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.08.037.

- 158. Bazzi M, Jamil ML, Dabaja AA. Outcomes of surgery in Peyronie's disease following intralesional collagenase clostridium histolyticum injections. Curr Urol Rep 2019;20. doi: 10.1007/s11934-019-0910-8.
- 159. Chung E, Brock G. Penile traction therapy and Peyronie's disease: A state of art review of the current literature. Ther Adv Urol 2013;5:59–65. doi: 10.1177/1756287212454932.
- 160. Mulhall JP, Jahoda A, Aviv N, et al. The impact of sildenafil citrate on sexual satisfaction profiles in men with a penile prosthesis in situ. BJU Int 2004;93:97–99. doi: 10.1111/ j.1464-410X.2004.04564.x.
- 161. Rybak J, Papagiannopoulos D, Levine L. A retrospective comparative study of traction therapy vs. no traction following tunica albuginea plication or partial excision and grafting for Peyronie's disease: Measured lengths and patient perceptions. J Sex Med 2012;9:2396–2403. doi: 10.1111/ j.1743-6109.2012.02849.x.
- 162. Hatzichristodoulou G. Partial plaque excision and grafting with collagen fleece in Peyronie disease. J Sex Med 2016;13:277–281. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2015.12.011.
- 163. Hatzichristodoulou G. Novel approaches and new grafting materials in Peyronie's disease reconstructive surgery. Int J Impot Res 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41443-019-0179-y.
- 164. Wilson SK, Delk JR. A new treatment for Peyronie's disease: Modeling the penis over an inflatable penile prosthesis. J Urol 1994;152:1121–1123. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17) 32519-3.
- 165. Perito P, Wilson S. The Peyronie's plaque " scratch" : an adjunct to modeling. J Sex Med 2013;10:1194–1197. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12168.
- 166. Wilson SK, Delk JR. A new treatment for Peyronie's disease: modeling the penis over an inflatable penile prosthesis. J Urol 1994;152:1121–1123. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17) 32519-3.
- 167. Carlos EC, Sexton SJ, Lentz AC. Urethral injury and the penile prosthesis. Sex Med Rev 2019;7:360–368. doi: 10.1016/j. sxmr.2018.06.003.
- 168. Falcone M, Preto M, Cocci A, et al. Strategies and current practices for penile lengthening in severe Peyronie's disease cases: A systematic review. Int J Impot Res 2020;32:52– 63. doi: 10.1038/s41443-019-0189-9.
- 169. Segal RL, Cabrini MR, Bivalacqua TJ, et al. Penile straightening maneuvers employed during penile prosthesis surgery: Technical options and outcomes. Int J Impot Res 2014;26:182–185. doi: 10.1038/ijir.2014.7.
- 170. Clavell-Hernández J, Wang R. Penile size restoration with nondegloving approach for Peyronie's disease: Initial experience. J Sex Med 2018;15:1506–1513. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2018.07.003.
- 171. Levine LA, Dimitriou RJ. A surgical algorithm for penile prosthesis placement in men with erectile failure and Peyronie's

disease. Int J Impot Res 2000;12:147-151. doi: 10.1038/sj. ijir.3900515.

- 172. Carson CC, Levine LA. Outcomes of surgical treatment of Peyronie's disease. BJU Int 2014;113:704–713. doi: 10.1111/ bju.12565.
- 173. Djordjevic ML, Kojovic V. Penile prosthesis implantation and tunica albuginea incision without grafting in the treatment of

Peyronie's disease with erectile dysfunction. Asian J Androl 2013;15:391–394. doi: 10.1038/aja.2012.149.

174. Fabiani A, Fioretti F, Filosa A, et al. Patch bulging after plaque incision and grafting procedure for Peyronie's disease. Surgical repair with a collagen fleece. Arch Ital Di Urol e Androl 2015;87:173–174. doi: 10.4081/aiua. 2015.2.173.