

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity and Associated
Technology Use in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis: An International
RIMS-SIG Mobility Survey Study

Peer-reviewed author version

MOUMDJIAN, Lousin; Smedal, Tori; Arntzen, Ellen Christin; van der Linden, Marietta L.; Learmonth, Yvonne; Pedulla, Ludovico; Tacchino, Andrea; Novotna, Klara; Kalron, Alon; Yazgan, Yonca Zenginler; Nedeljkovic, Una; Kos, Daphne; Jonsdottir, Johanna; Santoyo-Medina, Carme & Coote, Susan (2022) Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity and Associated Technology Use in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis: An International RIMS-SIG Mobility Survey Study. In: ARCHIVES OF PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION, 103 (10) , p. 2009 -2015.

DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.06.001

Handle: <http://hdl.handle.net/1942/38884>

1 **Title.** The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and associated technology
2 use in persons with multiple sclerosis: an international RIMS-SIG Mobility survey study.

3

4 **Abstract**

5

6 **Objective.** To investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity (PA) in
7 persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS).

8

9 **Design and Setting.** A multi-centre international online survey study was conducted within 11
10 participating countries. Each country launched the survey using online platforms from May to
11 July 2021.

12

13 **Participants.** This was an electronic survey study targeting PwMS.

14

15 **Intervention.** Not applicable.

16

17 **Outcome measures.** The survey ascertained PA performance and its intensity, the nature of the
18 activities conducted and the use of technology to support home-based physical activity before
19 and during the pandemic.

20

21 **Results.** 3725 respondents completed the survey. Pre-pandemic, the majority (83%) of
22 respondents reported being physically active, and this decreased to 75% during the pandemic.
23 This change was significant for moderate and high intensity activity ($p<.0001$). Activities
24 carried out in physiotherapy centres, gyms or pools decreased the most. Walking was the most
25 frequently performed activity pre-pandemic (27%) and increased during the pandemic (33%).

26 24% of those inactive during the pandemic had no intention of changing their PA behaviour
27 post-pandemic. 58% of the respondents did not use technology to support PA during the
28 pandemic. Of those who did use technology, wearables were most used (24%). Of those
29 currently non-active (25%) expressed a preference for an in-person format to conduct PA post-
30 pandemic.

31

32 **Conclusion.** PA performance, especially activities at moderate and high intensities, decreased
33 during the pandemic in PwMS compared to pre-pandemic. Walking and using wearables gained
34 popularity to stay active. As we move towards an endemic-COVID-19, a call for action to
35 develop interventions focused on walking programmes, with specific emphasis on increasing
36 PA of persons with MS is proposed.

37

38 **Keywords** Persons with Multiple Sclerosis, COVID-19 pandemic, physical activity,
39 technology, walking, wearables

40

41 **Abbreviations**

42 Persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS)

43 Primary investigator (PI)

44 Special Interest Group for Mobility (SIG Mobility)

45 Rehabilitation in Multiple Sclerosis (RIMS)

46

47

48 **Introduction**

49

50 Physical activity is associated with a wide range of benefits for physical and mental outcomes
51 and secondary disease prevention^{1,2}. For persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS), an extensive
52 body of literature reports evidence for the benefits of physical activity on walking³, fatigue⁴ and
53 quality of life⁵ in PwMS. Concerningly, PwMS are less physically active compared to the
54 general population⁶, and this may have been further reduced during the COVID-19 pandemic⁷,
55 ⁸.

56

57 National and local restrictions aiming to slow down the spread of COVID-19 forced many
58 venues offering physical activity to close⁷, reducing the availability of physiotherapy and
59 exercise services, and thus possibly decreasing the level and type of physical activity for
60 individuals with MS. In the general population and in people living with disabilities there is
61 emerging evidence that the Covid-19 pandemic has negatively impacted physical activity
62 behavior⁷⁻¹¹. There is, however, a lack of knowledge regarding whether the COVID-19
63 pandemic has influenced physical activity in individuals with MS compared to pre-pandemic
64 times.

65

66 Due to COVID-19 restrictions, rehabilitation services often transitioned to a virtual
67 environment heavily reliant on technology at the beginning of the pandemic and progressed to
68 a blended environment as restrictions were eased. Pre-pandemic, there was limited use of
69 technology for physical activity promotion in clinical settings, despite several studies
70 supporting technology-based interventions^{12, 13}. It is unclear how and whether technology was
71 used by PwMS for performing physical activity during the pandemic.

72

73 The Special Interest Group for Mobility (SIG Mobility) of the Rehabilitation in Multiple
74 Sclerosis (RIMS) network launched this international survey study which aimed at investigating
75 whether and how physical activity carried out by PwMS may have changed during the COVID-
76 19 pandemic. In this current paper, we describe the levels of physical activity and its intensity,
77 the nature of the activities conducted and the use of technology to support physical activity as
78 reported by PwMS before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

79

80 **Material and Methods**

81

82 The CHERRIES reporting guideline for online surveys¹⁴ was used to inform the conduct and
83 reporting of this study.

84

85 **Design.** This was an electronic survey study targeting PwMS. Ethical approval to conduct the
86 study was obtained from all participating institutions, and all respondents provided their
87 informed consent electronically prior to commencing the survey. No identifiable personal data
88 was collected from the survey. A primary investigator (PI) was identified for each country, and
89 a project coordinator was assigned. The PI was locally responsible for all the project phases as
90 well as to ensure communication with the local project partners, the other PIs and the project
91 coordinator.

92

93 **Development and pre-testing.** The study was initiated by the SIG Mobility group of the RIMS.
94 Physiotherapists and researchers from eleven countries (centres/institutes/individuals) agreed
95 to participate: Australia, Belgium, Czech Republic, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Norway, Serbia, Spain,
96 Turkey and United Kingdom.

97

98 **Development.** A small working group of PI's drafted the first version of the survey based on
99 previous work⁸ and extensive expertise. File sharing on Google Drive and regular discussion
100 meetings with the project PIs enabled shared online working. During January and February
101 2021 input from all project partners were accounted for to improve the content and focus of the
102 survey. The English-language version of the survey was piloted with PwMS in four countries
103 for usability and clarity. The surveys were then translated into the national language of the
104 participating countries and transferred into an online survey platform. The following platforms
105 were used across the 11 countries: Survey Monkey, Qualtrics, Google Forms, Corporater
106 Surveyor, Eusurvey, onlinesurveys.ac.uk and RedCap. Additional pilots were conducted by all
107 project PIs to explore usability and technical functionality of the individual platforms at country
108 level.

109 The final survey consisted of 74 questions, and took approximately 30 minutes to complete.
110 Response options included multiple choice and open-ended answers. The latter was not the case
111 in Norway due to their ethics requirements. The complete survey as well as the coding
112 methodology of the variables applied can be found as Supplementary Table 1. This paper
113 reports on the following information which were collected in the survey:

- 114 - Descriptive information such as country of participation, age, gender, years since
115 diagnosis, patient determined disease steps scale and local restrictions due to pandemic
116 aimed at slowing the spread of COVID-19.
- 117 - Self-reported physical activity participation which included type and intensity of
118 physical activity; type of technology used to perform physical activity both prior to
119 COVID-19 and at the time of the survey, i.e. during the pandemic.
- 120 - Intention to change physical activity participation and preferred mode of performing
121 physical activity once restrictions are removed.

122 - Perceived positive and negative aspects of home-based physical activity using
123 technology.

124

125 The following explanations were provided to define physical activity and intensity in the
126 survey.

127 *Physical activity.* ‘Physical activity includes activities you do at work, as part of your house
128 and garden work, to get from place to place, and in your spare time for recreation, exercise or
129 sport. It also includes rehabilitation or exercise led by your physiotherapist in person or using
130 technology, doing a home programme provided by a physiotherapist or other professional. It
131 also includes activities such as walking, gardening, sports, fitness classes, going to the gym,
132 Pilates, yoga, home exercises and dance. It also includes active travel such as cycling or walking
133 to work’.

134 *Intensity.* Light - you can do this activity and sing a song, moderate - you can do this activity
135 and have a conversation but not sing, strenuous - you can only utter a few words while doing
136 this activity.

137

138 **Recruitment.** For each country, the PI was responsible for sending the online surveys to their
139 respective recruitment channels, and for collating responses. The recruitment channels
140 comprised of local MS centres and hospitals (through websites, social media and direct mailing
141 to neurologists), national MS registries, physiotherapy MS associations, neurologists and
142 networks involved in MS research or clinical care, as well as the PI’s or national MS
143 organisations professional social media (LinkedIn, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter).

144

145 **Data collection.** Each country launched the survey for a total duration of 6 weeks from May to
146 July 2021. PIs' had the possibility of sending a reminder every 2 weeks if it was feasible within
147 their respective recruitment channels.

148

149 **Statistical analysis**

150 Survey questions which involved perceived ratings are reported as the percentage proportion of
151 the responses.

152

153 The McNemar test was applied to determine whether significant differences exist between the
154 proportion of respondents taking part in physical activity before the pandemic and at the time
155 of completing the survey (during the pandemic). The Chi-square test was applied to determine
156 whether significant differences exist in proportions of responders on: physical activity intensity
157 (light, moderate and high) across time and physical activity type across the 16 listed physical
158 activities across time. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software JMP Pro 15
159 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), with a significance level of alpha set at 0.05.

160

161

162 **Results**

163

164 **Descriptive information on the responders**

165 In total, data was collected from 11 countries, with a total of 3725 responses which completed
166 the survey: (Australia n= 91, Belgium n= 26, Czech Republic n= 264, Ireland n= 153, Israel n=
167 52, Italy= 585, Norway = 2218, Serbia n= 27, Spain n= 230, Turkey n= 35, UK n= 44).

168

169 Figure 1 shows the percentage distribution of age, the number of years since diagnosis, and the
170 patient determined disease steps scale across the responders. In total, 70% of respondents were
171 female, reflecting the normal distribution of gender in MS¹⁵. Of the total responders, 72% had
172 no local restrictions due to pandemic aimed at slowing the spread of COVID-19 at the time of
173 completing the survey.

174

175 -----

176 Insert Figure 1

177 -----

178

179 **Physical activity**

180 Overall, the proportion of responders conducting physical activity at the time of completing the
181 survey was significantly decreased compared to the proportion of responders conducting
182 physical activity before the pandemic; 75% during the pandemic as compared to 83% pre-
183 pandemic (p<0.001).

184

185 Intensity of physical activity performance pre-pandemic compared to post-pandemic
186 significantly differed ($X^2(2, 10421)=36.22, p<0.0001$). The proportions of responders

187 conducting physical activity at light intensity did not change over time (Pre 10.9%, During
188 10.5%). However the proportion of responders conducting physical activity at moderate and
189 high intensity decreased at the time of answering the survey compared to pre-pandemic times
190 (moderate: Pre 35.5%, During 27.98%; high: Pre 9.23%, During 5.99%).

191

192 The contingency model revealed significant changes (increase or decrease) within the sixteen
193 activities reported ($X^2(15, 10561)=379.27, p<0.0001$). Respondents reported changes in four
194 of the sixteen listed activities, these were: home exercise programmes, exercises in the gym,
195 exercises in water and walking. The changes reflect proportions of respondents changing their
196 activities at the time of completing the survey compared to pre-pandemic times. As seen in
197 Figure 2, There was a 3% increase in respondents participating in physiotherapy home exercise
198 programmes, 6% increase of walking, 7% decrease in exercise in the gym (strength and aerobic
199 exercises), and 3% decrease in exercise in water (e.g. swimming or aqua aerobics).

200

201 -----

202 Insert Figure 2

203 -----

204

205 **Reasons to start a new activity or increase physical activity level**

206 The most frequently reported reasons to start a new activity or increase level of physical activity
207 were: more awareness of the public health message to go for a walk and stay active (14%);
208 more time to exercise as there was no travelling to work (6%); more time for physical activity
209 as less time was spent socialising or shopping (6%); more structure and routine in the day (6%);
210 more family and friends support for physical activity (5%).

211

212 Reasons to stop or do less physical activity levels

213 The most frequently reported reasons to stop or do less physical activity were: closed venues
214 (12%); restrictions preventing going to the venue (9%); restrictions preventing exercising in
215 groups (7%); fear of contracting COVID-19 (7%); worsening of MS symptoms (6%); less
216 motivation to exercise (5%); classes were cancelled by the organiser (5%).

217

218 Plans to change physical activity post-pandemic

219 Of the 75% (n=2,756) that were active at the time of survey completion during the pandemic,
220 44% reported not wanting to change physical activity after restrictions were to be removed,
221 while 33% did want to change physical activity, 22% reported they were unsure if they wanted
222 to make changes when restrictions were lifted. These respondents (of the 75% that were active)
223 had the following preferences to conduct physical activity after COVID-19 pandemic: 31% in-
224 person, 3% remote, 25% mix, 26% no preferences and 15% did not know.

225

226 Of the 25% (n=928) that were active pre-pandemic but not at the time of survey completion
227 during the pandemic, 24% reported not wanting to change their physical activity after
228 restrictions were removed, while 31% did want to make changes, and 44% were unsure. These
229 respondents had the following preferences to conduct physical activity after COVID-19
230 pandemic: 44% in-person, 2% remote, 14% mix, 19% no preferences and 21% did not know of
231 their preferences.

232

233 Use of technology

234 Table 1 provides an overview of the technology used to perform physical activity pre-pandemic
235 and at the time of survey completion, by respondents who were physically active. Table 2 shows
236 the perceived rating of performing home based physical activity using technology.

237

238

239 -----

240 Insert Table 1 and 2

241 -----

242

243 Discussion

244

245 This relatively large international survey study focused in the MS population, found that 83%
246 of respondents reported being physically active pre-pandemic, and this decreased significantly
247 to 75% during the COVID-19 pandemic. Not surprisingly, activities carried out in the
248 physiotherapy centres, gyms, or pools decreased the most. Walking was the most frequently
249 performed activity pre-pandemic and increased during the pandemic. Concerningly, 31% of
250 those inactive during the pandemic had no intention of changing their physical activity
251 behaviour once restrictions due to the pandemic were lifted, (while 42% were unsure). Two
252 thirds of the respondents (66%) did not use technology aimed to support physical activity during
253 the pandemic. For those who used technology, wearables were the most common device used.
254 Those currently non-active had a preference for an in-person format for physical activity post-
255 pandemic.

256

257 Many of our respondents reported being physically active, however, previous studies (pre-
258 pandemic)^{16, 17} indicate that most PwMS are not reaching sufficient levels of physical activity
259 for mental and physical health benefits¹⁸. Thus, our findings of a reduction of physical activity
260 during the pandemic is now of even greater concern.

261

262 There is a significant body of evidence of the benefits of physical activity for PwMS for
263 physical and mental health, symptoms and secondary disease prevention^{4, 19, 20}. Therefore, it is
264 concerning that there was a significant reduction of number of people who were physically
265 active during the pandemic. The MS clinical research community needs to turn their attention
266 to re-engaging those persons that ceased being active, in addition to engaging those that were
267 not active at either time point.

268

269 There seems to be an opportunity to get people more physically active through engaging in
270 activities of walking, as this was the most frequently performed activity pre- and during the
271 pandemic. There are studies that focus on improving walking outcomes such as speed, distance,
272 kinetics and kinematics, following physiotherapy²¹ and exercise³. However, our initial scoping
273 search found no studies that solely evaluate walking programmes with a focus on increasing
274 physical activity and meeting the exercise guidelines^{18, 22, 23}. We found only a few studies
275 included walking activity in various forms, but those focused on reducing perceived fatigue²⁴,
276 ²⁵, cardiovascular parameters²⁴ and quality of life²⁶ in MS, while other included walking as an
277 aerobic activity in exercise interventions and programmes²⁷⁻²⁹.

278

279 These data suggest that PwMS could potentially favour walking programmes, and as such,
280 paying attention to the impairments underlying walking restrictions in addition to addressing
281 walking as an activity is essential. For example, addressing drop foot or impaired balance by
282 using assistive devices may be important prior to increasing walking distance or intensity³⁰.
283 Addressing these factors will be an essential element of any sustainable programme
284 development in the fluctuating restrictions and uncertainties with COVID-19 becoming
285 endemic in society. We note that fear of contracting COVID-19 was reported as a barrier for
286 physical activity participation, as well as, lack of access to venues and indoor group activities.

287 As we focus on developing interventions to reverse the inactivity during the pandemic, these
288 data suggest that combining education, information-provision and behaviour change techniques
289 with the relevant physical activity will be important.

290

291 The format of any future physical activity programme is also an important consideration. Our
292 results suggest that purely technology-based, or remote interventions are not favoured by most
293 PwMS. Those PwMS that continued to be physically active during the pandemic preferred a
294 blended approach, and those persons that were physically inactive preferred an in-person
295 approach. Wearables were the most frequently used technology to support physical activity.
296 Wearables are highly sensitive in detection of gait disturbances and fatigue in PwMS^{31, 32} and
297 evidence of their use to sustain physical activity behaviour is largely growing³³, thus they can
298 be a valuable addition to walking programmes.

299

300 We noticed a mismatch between what PwMS were doing (in terms of physical activity) with
301 what the research and clinical community made available during the pandemic. For example, a
302 large number of video based resources were developed and widely circulated³⁴⁻³⁶, however only
303 3% of respondents in our sample used them during the pandemic. Similarly, usage of
304 physiotherapy exercise platforms was minimal, highlighting the need to collaborate with PwMS
305 during any future intervention developments to ensure the resources health care professionals
306 provide are in line with the preferences of the end users. The LEAP-MS study is an example of
307 good practice in public patient involvement in intervention and trial design³⁷.

308

309 **Strengths and Limitations**

310

311 Noteworthy are a few methodological considerations. The first is that data was collected within
312 a multicentre setting in order to increase sample size. We noted that those countries using
313 registers or MS societies were the ones who were the most successful in recruiting a bigger
314 sample of patients, and thus we recommend future survey studies to consider this recruitment
315 channel. We acknowledge the variation in number of respondents between countries as well as
316 the high proportion of respondents from Norway. However, Supplementary Table 2 shows that
317 the change in physical activity behaviour of the Norwegian respondents was not markedly
318 different from that seen in the other countries, hence it is unlikely that the high proportion of
319 Norwegian respondents has skewed the data of this international sample. Noteworthy, is that
320 the survey was conducted during the pandemic (May – July 2021). We argue that additional
321 factors other than the restrictions which aimed at reducing the spread of COVID-19 may have
322 influenced physical activity behaviour. The analysis of the association between stopping and
323 reducing physical activity participation and factors such as disease severity, restrictions aimed
324 at reducing the spread of COVID-19 and fear of contracting COVID-19 is explored within the
325 project's working group, and will be reported elsewhere^{38,39}.

326

327

328 **Conclusion**

329

330 In PwMS, physical activity performance, especially at moderate and high intensities, decreased
331 during the pandemic compared to pre-pandemic. PwMS who were active during the pandemic
332 expressed the preference for delivery of physical activity in a hybrid form once the pandemic
333 restrictions ended, while inactive PwMS preferred an in-person form of physical activity. The
334 most frequent type of physical activity was walking. We propose a call for action to develop
335 interventions that include walking programmes with specific emphasis on increasing physical

336 activity. These interventions have an enormous potential to address the concerns of PwMS in
337 terms of fear of contracting COVID-19 and are not reliant on a venue. Including wearable
338 technologies as part of these interventions can be considered for PwMS who are keen to use
339 them.

340

341

342

343 **References**

344 1. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence.
345 CMAJ 2006;174:801-809.

346 2. Biddle S. Physical activity and mental health: evidence is growing. World Psychiatry
347 2016;15:176-177.

348 3. Pearson M, Dieberg G, Smart N. Exercise as a therapy for improvement of walking
349 ability in adults with multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. Archives of physical medicine and
350 rehabilitation 2015;96:1339-1348 e1337.

351 4. Razazian N, Kazeminia M, Moayedi H, et al. The impact of physical exercise on the
352 fatigue symptoms in patients with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
353 BMC Neurol 2020;20:93.

354 5. Motl RW, McAuley E, Snook EM, Gliottoni RC. Physical activity and quality of life in
355 multiple sclerosis: intermediary roles of disability, fatigue, mood, pain, self-efficacy and social
356 support. Psychol Health Med 2009;14:111-124.

357 6. Casey B, Coote S, Galvin R, Donnelly A. Objective physical activity levels in people
358 with multiple sclerosis: Meta-analysis. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2018;28:1960-1969.

- 359 7. Marck CH, Hunter A, Heritage B, et al. The effect of the Australian bushfires and the
360 COVID-19 pandemic on health behaviours in people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat*
361 *Disord* 2021;53:103042.
- 362 8. Kalron A, Dolev M, Greenberg-Abrahami M, et al. Physical activity behavior in people
363 with multiple sclerosis during the COVID-19 pandemic in Israel: Results of an online survey.
364 *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021;47:102603.
- 365 9. Marco-Ahullo A, Montesinos-Magraner L, Gonzalez LM, Morales J, Bernabeu-Garcia
366 JA, Garcia-Masso X. Impact of COVID-19 on the self-reported physical activity of people with
367 complete thoracic spinal cord injury full-time manual wheelchair users. *J Spinal Cord Med*
368 2021:1-5.
- 369 10. Theis N, Campbell N, De Leeuw J, Owen M, Schenke KC. The effects of COVID-19
370 restrictions on physical activity and mental health of children and young adults with physical
371 and/or intellectual disabilities. *Disabil Health J* 2021;14:101064.
- 372 11. Kinnett-Hopkins D, Adamson B, Rougeau K, Motl RW. People with MS are less
373 physically active than healthy controls but as active as those with other chronic diseases: An
374 updated meta-analysis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2017;13:38-43.
- 375 12. Tallner A, Pfeifer K, Maurer M. Web-based interventions in multiple sclerosis: the
376 potential of tele-rehabilitation. *Therapeutic advances in neurological disorders* 2016;9:327-335.
- 377 13. Khan F, Amatya B, Kesselring J, Galea MP. Telerehabilitation for persons with multiple
378 sclerosis. A Cochrane review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2015;51:311-325.
- 379 14. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting
380 Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res* 2004;6:e34.
- 381 15. Harbo HF, Gold R, Tintore M. Sex and gender issues in multiple sclerosis. *Therapeutic*
382 *advances in neurological disorders* 2013;6:237-248.

- 383 16. Motl RW, McAuley E, Sandroff BM, Hubbard EA. Descriptive epidemiology of
384 physical activity rates in multiple sclerosis. *Acta neurologica Scandinavica* 2015;131:422-425.
- 385 17. Marck CH, Learmonth YC, Chen J, van der Mei I. Physical activity, sitting time and
386 exercise types, and associations with symptoms in Australian people with multiple sclerosis.
387 *Disability and rehabilitation* 2020:1-9.
- 388 18. Latimer-Cheung AE, Pilutti LA, Hicks AL, et al. Effects of exercise training on fitness,
389 mobility, fatigue, and health-related quality of life among adults with multiple sclerosis: a
390 systematic review to inform guideline development. *Archives of physical medicine and*
391 *rehabilitation* 2013;94:1800-1828 e1803.
- 392 19. Coulter EH, Bond S, Dalgas U, Paul L. The effectiveness of interventions targeting
393 physical activity and/or sedentary behaviour in people with Multiple Sclerosis: a systematic
394 review. *Disability and rehabilitation* 2020;42:594-612.
- 395 20. Campbell E, Coulter EH, Mattison PG, Miller L, McFadyen A, Paul L. Physiotherapy
396 Rehabilitation for People With Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: A Systematic Review. *Archives*
397 *of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2016;97:141-151 e143.
- 398 21. Learmonth Y, Ensari, E., Motl, R. Physiotherapy and walking outcomes in adults with
399 multiple sclerosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Physical Therapy Reviews*
400 2016;21:160-172.
- 401 22. Kim Y, Lai B, Mehta T, et al. Exercise Training Guidelines for Multiple Sclerosis,
402 Stroke, and Parkinson Disease: Rapid Review and Synthesis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*
403 2019;98:613-621.
- 404 23. Kalb R, Brown TR, Coote S, et al. Exercise and lifestyle physical activity
405 recommendations for people with multiple sclerosis throughout the disease course. *Multiple*
406 *sclerosis* 2020;26:1459-1469.

- 407 24. Geddes EL, Costello E, Raivel K, Wilson R. The effects of a twelve-week home walking
408 program on cardiovascular parameters and fatigue perception of individuals with multiple
409 sclerosis: a pilot study. *Cardiopulmonary physical therapy journal* 2009;20:5-12.
- 410 25. Moundjian L, Moens B, Maes PJ, et al. Continuous 12 min walking to music,
411 metronomes and in silence: Auditory-motor coupling and its effects on perceived fatigue,
412 motivation and gait in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019;35:92-99.
- 413 26. Martinez-Lemos I, Martinez-Aldao D, Seijo-Martinez M, Ayan C. Nordic walking for
414 people with relapsing-remittent multiple sclerosis: A case series study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*
415 2020;46:102479.
- 416 27. Learmonth YC, Adamson BC, Kinnett-Hopkins D, Bohri M, Motl RW. Results of a
417 feasibility randomised controlled study of the guidelines for exercise in multiple sclerosis
418 project. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2017;54:84-97.
- 419 28. Learmonth YC, Kaur I, Baynton SL, Fairchild T, Paul L, van Rens F. Changing
420 Behaviour towards Aerobic and Strength Exercise (BASE): Design of a randomised, phase I
421 study determining the safety, feasibility and consumer-evaluation of a remotely-delivered
422 exercise programme in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2021;102:106281.
- 423 29. Hayes S, Uszynski MK, Motl RW, et al. Randomised controlled pilot trial of an exercise
424 plus behaviour change intervention in people with multiple sclerosis: the Step it Up study. *BMJ*
425 *Open* 2017;7:e016336.
- 426 30. Renfrew LM, Paul L, McFadyen A, et al. The clinical- and cost-effectiveness of
427 functional electrical stimulation and ankle-foot orthoses for foot drop in Multiple Sclerosis: a
428 multicentre randomized trial. *Clinical rehabilitation* 2019;33:1150-1162.
- 429 31. Muller R, Hamacher D, Hansen S, Oschmann P, Keune PM. Wearable inertial sensors
430 are highly sensitive in the detection of gait disturbances and fatigue at early stages of multiple
431 sclerosis. *BMC Neurol* 2021;21:337.

- 432 32. Bradshaw MJ, Farrow S, Motl RW, Chitnis T. Wearable biosensors to monitor disability
433 in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2017;7:354-362.
- 434 33. Rodgers MM, Alon G, Pai VM, Conroy RS. Wearable technologies for active living
435 and rehabilitation: Current research challenges and future opportunities. *J Rehabil Assist*
436 *Technol Eng* 2019;6:2055668319839607.
- 437 34. Van Asch P. Keep moving with MS [online]. Available at: [https://emsp.org/news/keep-](https://emsp.org/news/keep-moving-with-ms/)
438 [moving-with-ms/](https://emsp.org/news/keep-moving-with-ms/).
- 439 35. Involved MG. Get Active with Multiple Sclerosis - Exercise during COVID 19 [online].
440 Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cc0V6ovRrxE>.
- 441 36. Society NM. Ask an MS Expert: COVID-19 Update and Exercise at Home [online].
442 Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nkfk8mqYNKw>.
- 443 37. Latchem-Hastings J, Randell E, Button K, et al. Lifestyle, exercise and activity package
444 for people living with progressive multiple sclerosis (LEAP-MS): protocol for a single-arm
445 feasibility study. *Pilot and feasibility studies* 2021;7:111.
- 446 38. Pedullà L, Santoyo-Medina, C., Novotna, K., Moundjian, L., Smedal, T., Arntzen, E., Van der
447 Linden, M., Learmonth, Y., Kalron, A., Güngör, F., Nedeljkovic, U., Kos, D., Jonsdottir, J., Coote, S.,
448 Tacchino, A. . Physical activity in multiple sclerosis: meeting the recommendations at the time of
449 pandemic. In review 2022.
- 450 39. Van der Linden M, Moundjian, L., Coote, S., Kalron, A., Pedullà, L., Santoyo-Medina, C.,
451 Novotna, K., Smedal, T., Arntzen, E., Yazgan, Y., Nedeljkovic, U., Jonsdottir, J., Tacchino, A., Kos,
452 D., Learmonth, Y. Socio-behavioural factors changed physical activity participation in persons with
453 multiple sclerosis during the COVID-19 pandemic: results of an international study. In preparation 2022.

454

455

456 **List of Figures and tables.**

457 **Figure 1.** Percentage distribution of age, years of diagnosis and patient determined disease
458 steps scale across the responders.

459

460 **Figure 2.** Percentage distribution of physical activity conducted pre- and during the
461 pandemic.

462

463

464

465