








RESEARCH

Open Access



Low prevalence of high blood pressure in pregnant women in Burkina Faso: a cross-sectional study

Franck Garanet^{1,2,3*} , Sekou Samadoulougou^{4,5} , Adama Baguiya^{1,6} , Bruno Bonnechère⁷ , Tieba Millogo^{1,6} , Jean-Marie Degryse^{8,9} , Fati Kirakoya-Samadoulougou² and Seni Kouanda^{1,6} 

Abstract

Background: High blood pressure (HBP) during pregnancy causes maternal and fetal mortality. Studies regarding its prevalence and associated factors in frontline level health care settings are scarce. We thus aimed to evaluate the prevalence of HBP and its associated factors among pregnant women at the first level of the health care system in Burkina Faso.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in six health facilities between December 2018 and March 2019. HBP was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors associated with HBP.

Results: A total of 1027 pregnant women were included. The overall prevalence of HBP was 1.4% (14/1027; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.7–2.3), with 1.6% (7/590; 95% CI 0.8–3.3) in rural and 1.2% (7/437; 95% CI 0.6–2.5) in semi-urban areas. The prevalence was 0.7% (3/440; 95% CI 0.2–2.1) among women in the first, 1.5% (7/452; 95% CI 0.7–3.2) in the second and 3% (4/135; 95% CI 1.1–7.7) in the third trimester. In the multivariable analysis, pregnancy trimester, maternal age, household income, occupation, parity, and residential area were not associated with HBP during pregnancy.

Conclusion: The prevalence of HBP among pregnant women at the first level of health system care is significantly lower compared to prevalence's from hospital studies. Public health surveillance, primary prevention activities, early screening, and treatment of HBP should be reinforced in all health facilities to reduce the burden of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Burkina Faso.

Keywords: High blood pressure, Pregnancy, Prevalence, Rural and Semi-urban, Burkina Faso

Background

Maternal mortality is unacceptably high. Worldwide, approximately 295,000 women died during pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period in 2017 [1]. Every

day, approximately 810 women die from preventable causes related to pregnancy and childbirth [1].


The vast majority of these deaths (94%) occur in low-resource settings and most could have been prevented [1]. Numerous factors, such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP), have been identified as being responsible for this mortality [2–5]. According to a recent report from the World Health Organization (WHO), HDP is the leading cause of maternal mortality in some African settings [3]. HDP is a multisystem disorder that includes gestational hypertension, chronic hypertension with

*Correspondence: garanet.franck@gmail.com

² Centre de Recherche en Epidémiologie, Biostatistiques et Recherche Clinique, Ecole de Santé Publique, Université libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgique

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





superimposed pre-eclampsia and pre-eclampsia. HDP contribute to 14% of maternal mortality worldwide and 16% in sub-Saharan Africa [3, 4]. Globally, 2.7% of pregnant women suffer from HDP, whereas the incidence of chronic hypertension is 0.29%; the prevalence of HDP is 2.16% in pre-eclampsia and 0.28% in eclampsia [6]. Many studies have investigated the prevalence of high blood pressure (HBP) in pregnant women, with most studies being conducted in tertiary hospitals [7–9]. Very different prevalence of HDP has been reported, ranging from 1.3% in China to 26.7% in Tanzania [10, 11]; in 2022, a prevalence of 0.3% in women of childbearing age was reported in sub-Saharan Africa [12]. Few studies, however, have investigated HBP prevalence at the healthcare system level; furthermore, no studies have been conducted in Burkina Faso.

The primary goal of WHO recommendations is to improve the quality of care and outcomes for pregnant women, particularly related with the management of HDP [13, 14]. Women with HDP should have a comprehensive care plan that includes prenatal counselling,

frequent visits during pregnancy, timely birth, appropriate intrapartum monitoring and care and postpartum follow-up. Management involves advice during each stage of pregnancy to ensure that the woman is aware of the risks to herself and her fetus [13, 15]. In an era where data govern health priorities, evaluation of the prevalence of HBP in particular settings has important implications for surveillance [16, 17]. This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of HBP among pregnant women at the first level of the healthcare system in Burkina Faso and to investigate associated risk factors.

Methods

Study design and population

This cross-sectional study was conducted between December 2018 and March 2019. The study population comprised pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) in the three largest semi-urban health facilities of Kaya town (the medical centers of sectors 1, 6 and 7) and the three largest rural health facilities around Kaya town (Boussouma, Pissila and Korsimoro) (Fig. 1).

Eligibility criteria

Eligible participants were recruited consecutively in each health facility. All women receiving ANC, regardless of the stage of pregnancy, were included after providing informed consent.

Calculation of sample size

There are wide ranges in the prevalence of HBP during pregnancy and we did not deem any of these as being representative for our study setting [4]. We considered the prevalence of 9.6% of HBP in the teaching hospital of Yalgado, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso and a non-response rate of 10% with a type one error set at 5% with graph effect [18]. The minimum sample size was 880 participants.

Data collection

The women were invited to face-to-face interviews after identification and signing of consent. Clinical data regarding ANC were retrieved from women's health booklets and from the antenatal clinic's register in the health facilities. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data. Six health workers with experience in data collection and speaking a local language conducted face-to-face interviews to obtain demographic characteristics; also, blood pressure was measured at the end of the interview, after approximately 15 min of rest.

An electronic blood pressure monitor (Omron M3 Intellisense device) with an adult-sized cuff was used to measure blood pressure with the participant in a sitting position. The initial blood pressure was measured in each arm to determine the arm with the highest blood pressure. Two other measurements were successively performed on the retained arm with an interval of one minute between each measurement. Average of the last two measurements was considered as the participant's blood pressure.

Data quality assurance

All health workers were trained by the research team to use the tools for various data collection techniques. A pre-test was conducted in a health center that did not participate in the study. A manual containing all interview and measurement procedures was given to each interviewer.

Statistical analysis

HBP was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg [19]. The score of a household's socioeconomic status was computed based on 20 items using principal component analysis. These 20 items included the presence of a bucket,

bowls, cup, gas fireplace, bed, mattress, table, chair, functional radio, functional clock, functional lamp, functional television, functional bicycle, functional motorcycle, functional telephone, functional cart, functional wheelbarrow, donkey, horse, poultry, sheep and goats.

The variables used for this study were recorded as follows: age (< 19 years, 20–29 years and ≥ 30 years), age at first pregnancy (13–19 years and 20–36 years), education level (none, primary and secondary, higher), level of wealth (poor, medium, rich), occupation (employed and not employed), pregnancy trimester (first trimester, second trimester and third trimester), parity (one or more than one) and place of residence (rural and semi-urban). We used a multivariable logistic regression model (maternal age, household income, occupation, pregnancy trimester, parity and residential area) to determine the factors associated with the presence of HBP. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata V.14.1 (Stata 2014: Revision 01 dec 2015. 147 College Station, Texas, USA) [20].

Results

Among the six health facilities, 1027 women received antenatal care and all agreed to participate. Mean age of the participants was 25.8 ± 6.0 years and of them, 14.4% (148/1027) were < 20 years, out of whom 17.4% (76/437) lived in semi-urban areas, and 12.2% (72/590) in rural areas. Most participants were younger than 20 years in the first pregnancy 71.8% (732/1027) and had not received formal education (63.3%; 650/1027) (Table 1).

The overall prevalence of HBP was 1.4% (14/1027; 95% CI 0.7–2.3). Prevalence rates for each group were as follows: in the group aged 20–29 years (1.4%; 95% CI 0.7–2.7), without formal education (1.7%; 95% CI 0.9–3.0), in low income households (1.7%; 95% CI 0.8–3.9), without occupation (1.5%; 95% CI 0.8–2.8), in the third trimester (3.0%; 95% CI 1.1–7.7), primipara (1.6%; 95% CI 0.8–3.2), and living in rural areas (1.6%; 95% CI 0.8–3.3). These differences were, however, not statistically significant (Table 2).

In the multivariable analysis, pregnancy trimester, maternal age, household income, occupation, parity, and residential area were not associated with HBP during pregnancy (Table 3).

Discussion

The prevalence of HBP in pregnancy was low with 1.4% in Burkina Faso, similar to that reported in African (1.5%) and global studies (0.2%–9.2%) [20, 21]. In 2020, Gemechu et al. reported a prevalence of 0.9% (95% CI: 0.4–1.8) for only gestational hypertension in a systematic review and meta-analysis of 70 studies [4]. The prevalence found in our study is relatively high compared to the prevalence

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

Variables	Total (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years) mean (SD)	25.8 ± 6.0	
Age of pregnant women (years)		
≥ 30	246	24.0
20–29	633	61.6
< 20	148	14.4
Education level		
No formal education	650	63.3
Primary	133	13.0
Secondary or higher	244	23.7
Income		
Low	342	33.3
Middle	342	33.3
High	343	33.4
Occupation		
Not Employed	674	65.6
Employed	353	34.4
Pregnancy trimester		
First trimester	440	42.8
Second trimester	452	44.0
Third trimester	135	13.2
Parity		
More than one	540	52.6
One	487	47.4
Residential area		
Semi urban	590	57.5
Rural	437	42.5
Age at first pregnancy (years)		
13–19	732	71.8
20–36	288	28.2

Table 2 Prevalence of high blood pressure among pregnant women

Variables	Total (n)	Prevalence (%)	95% CI ^a
Age of women (years)			
≥ 30	246	1.2	0.4–3.8
20–29	633	1.4	0.7–2.7
< 20	148	1.3	0.3–5.3
Education level			
No formal education	650	1.7	0.9–3.0
Primary	133	0.0	
Secondary and higher	241	1.2	0.4–3.7
Income			
Low	342	1.7	0.8–3.9
Middle	342	1.5	0.6–3.5
High	343	0.9	0.3–2.7
Occupation			
Not employed	674	1.5	0.8–2.8
Employed	353	1.1	0.4–3.0
Pregnancy trimester			
First trimester	440	0.7	0.2–2.1
Second trimester	452	1.5	0.7–3.2
Third trimester	135	3.0	1.1–7.7
Parity			
More than one	540	1.1	0.5–2.4
One	487	1.6	0.8–3.2
Residential area			
Semi-Urban	590	1.2	0.6–2.5
Rural	437	1.6	0.8–3.3
Age at first pregnancy (years)			
13–19	732	1.5	0.8–2.7
20–36	288	1.0	0.3–3.2

^a CI, Confidence interval

of 0.3% for women of childbearing age reported by Jiang et al. in 2022 [12]. However, large differences have been reported in the prevalence of HBP [8, 20, 20, 22–24]. Berhe et al., reported a range of 8–46.4% in the prevalence of HBP in a systematic review of 258,602 pregnant women [25]. These differences could be explained by the participants' age. A survey of HBP in China showed a prevalence of 12.7% in pregnant women aged ≥ 40 years compared to a prevalence of 4.3% in pregnant women aged 25–29 years [26]. HBP measurement methods have not been described in all studies therefore different methods may partially explain the differences in prevalence [4, 25]. In addition, differences might be due to sociocultural variability in maternal risk factors and differences in antenatal care accessibility [4].

Most prevalence studies, however, were conducted in referral hospitals and in urban settings where women with hypertension are concentrated for better management [7, 8, 11, 20, 23]. In Togo, the prevalence of hypertension was

12.5% in a maternity department in an urban area [22]. In Burkina Faso, in the teaching hospital of an urban area, a prevalence of 9.6% was reported [18]. This is similar to the prevalence of 9.9% reported in a health facility in Ethiopia and 9.7% in a Nigerian teaching hospital [24, 25]. Our study was conducted at a first-level health facility. In our sample, more than 76% of the participants were < 30 years of age. Their young age and rural residential environment could explain the observed low prevalence. HBP may be more common in women aged > 35 years, although a high prevalence in women < 30 years of age has been reported in China and Ethiopia [25, 26].

Higher prevalence of hypertension among women in the third trimester of pregnancy (3%) than in the first trimester (0.7%) can be explained by the development of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy after 20 weeks [7]. Differences in prevalence rates in the literature also depend on the stage of pregnancy blood pressure

Table 3 Logistic regression result of High blood pressure and associated factors among pregnant women

Variables	Total (n)	Crude		Adjusted	
		cOR*	95% CI	aOR**	95% CI
Age of women(years)					
20–29	633	1		1	
< 20	246	0.94	0.27–3.25	1.13	0.30–4.30
≥ 30	148	1.12	0.27–4.57	0.82	0.18–3.66
Education level					
No formal education	650	1			
Primary	133	0.21	0.12–3.56		
Secondary or higher	244	0.81	0.24–2.69		
Income					
Low	342	1		1	
Middle	342	0.84	0.27–2.65	0.79	0.25–2.52
High	343	0.53	0.14–1.97	0.51	0.14–1.93
Occupation					
Employed	674	1		1	
Not employed	353	0.81	0.27–2.48	0.96	0.29–3.11
Pregnancy trimester					
Second trimester	452	1		1	
First trimester	440	0.47	0.13–1.70	0.42	0.11–1.51
Third trimester	135	2.03	0.62–6.65	2.24	0.68–7.40
Parity					
More than one	540	1		1	
One	487	1.46	0.52–4.07	1.79	0.54–6.03
Residential area					
Semi-urban	590	1		1	
Rural	437	1.35	0.49–3.76	1.91	0.61–5.93

cOR crude odds ratio, aOR adjusted odds ratio

measurement was done, considering the mid-pregnancy blood pressure drop occurring in uncomplicated pregnancies and the level of screening before pregnancy in different countries [27–29].

Maternal age, household income, occupation, parity, and residential area were not associated with HBP during pregnancy in our study. Several studies have reported an association between sociodemographic characteristics [12, 20, 25]. This difference may be explained by the lack of statistical power because we conducted this study to identify the prevalence of HBP during pregnancy [30].

Study strengths and limitations

This study is the first to assess the prevalence of HBP in the first-level health care system in Burkina Faso. The measurements were performed in a private room after the ANC visit. We took three blood pressure measurements and the average of the last two measurements was considered as the woman's blood pressure. Postpartum pre-eclampsia could not be observed owing to the design

of our study. The study lacked statistical power to show statistically significant effects.

Conclusion

The prevalence of HBP among pregnancy women in the first level of health system care is significantly lower compared to prevalence's reported in hospital studies. Pregnant women in resource-limited countries face a double burden due to maternal infections and common pathologies such as the hypertensive disorders that compromise perinatal and maternal outcomes of pregnancy. Public health surveillance, primary prevention activities, early screening, and treatment of HBP should be reinforced in all health facilities to reduce the burden of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Burkina Faso.

Abbreviations

ANC: Antenatal Care; HBP: High Blood Pressure; HDP: Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy; CI: Confidence Interval; aOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; cOR: Crude Odds Ratio; mmHg: Millimeter of mercury; WHO: World Health Organization.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the workers who collected the data, and the women and health workers.

Authors' contributions

FG, FKS, JMD, and SK were involved in the study design. FG and AB participated in the data collection. FG, FK, SS, AB, TM, BB, and SK participated in the analyses. FG prepared the first project. FG, FKS, JMD, SS, AB, TM, BB, and SK revised different versions of the manuscript. FG, FKS, JMD, SS, AB, TM, BB, and SK reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was funded by the Académie de Recherche et d'Enseignement Supérieur (ARES) as part of the Research for Development Projects. However, the funding agency did not play any role in the study design, data collection, data interpretation, or writing or submission of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

Data sets are available from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Burkina Faso Ethics Committee for Health Research (approval number: 2018–12-146). Ethical considerations including women's confidentiality, privacy, and voluntary participation were respected. Informed consent was obtained before participating in the study. This included information on the data collection process, ability to always withdraw, risks (which there were not), and information on hypertension during pregnancy. In addition, those diagnosed with high blood pressure were referred to healthcare workers for adequate management.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique (CNRST), Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS), Département Biomédical et Santé Publique, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. ²Centre de Recherche

en Epidémiologie, Biostatistiques et Recherche Clinique, Ecole de Santé Publique, Université libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgique. ³Université Ouaga 1 Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ecole Doctorale Science de la Santé (ED2S), Laboratoire de Santé Publique (LASAP), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. ⁴Centre for Research on Planning and Development (CRAD), Laval University, Quebec G1V 0A6, Canada. ⁵Evaluation Platform On Obesity Prevention, Quebec Heart and Lung Institute, Quebec G1V 4G5, Canada. ⁶Institut Africain de Santé Publique (IASP), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. ⁷REVAL Rehabilitation Research Center, Faculty of Rehabilitation Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium. ⁸Institut de Recherche Sciences et Société (IRSS), Université Catholique de Louvain, Bruxelles, Belgique. ⁹Department of Public Health and Primary Care - Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgique.

Received: 12 May 2021 Accepted: 24 November 2022

Published online: 21 December 2022

References

- World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Geneva: WHO; 2019.
- Noubiap JJ, Bigna JJ, Nyaga UF, Jingi AM, Kaze AD, Nansseu JR, et al. The burden of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2019;21:479–88.
- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller A-B, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2:e323–33.
- Gemechu KS, Assefa N, Mengistie B. Prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and pregnancy outcomes in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Womens Health (Lond Engl)*. 2020;16:1745506520973105.
- Berg CJ, Callaghan WM, Syverson C, Henderson Z. Pregnancy-related mortality in the United States, 1998 to 2005. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116:1302–9.
- Abalos E, Cuesta C, Carroli G, Qureshi Z, Widmer M, Vogel JP, et al. Pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes: a secondary analysis of the World Health Organization Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health. *BJOG*. 2014;121(Suppl 1):14–24.
- Singh S, Ahmed EB, Egondu SC, Ikechukwu NE. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy among pregnant women in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital. *Niger Med J*. 2014;55:384–8.
- Walle TA, Azagew AW. Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy prevalence and associated factors among pregnant women attending ante natal care at Gondar town health Institutions, North West Ethiopia 2017. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2019;16:79–84.
- Muti M, Tshimanga M, Notion GT, Bangure D, Chonzi P. Prevalence of pregnancy induced hypertension and pregnancy outcomes among women seeking maternity services in Harare. *Zimbabwe BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2015;15:111.
- Xiong T, Mu Y, Liang J, Zhu J, Li X, Li J, et al. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and stillbirth rates: a facility-based study in China. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2018;96:531–9.
- Larson E, Rabkin M, Mbaruku GM, Mbatia R, Kruk ME. Missed opportunities to improve the health of postpartum women: high rates of untreated hypertension in rural Tanzania. *Matern Child Health J*. 2017;21:407–13.
- Jiang L, Tang K, Magee LA, von Dadelzen P, Ekeroma A, Li X, et al. A global view of hypertensive disorders and diabetes mellitus during pregnancy. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-022-00734-y>.
- World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on drug treatment for non-severe hypertension in pregnancy. World Health Organization; 2020.
- World Health Organization. WHO recommendations: drug treatment for severe hypertension in pregnancy. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
- Tunçalp Ö, Were W, MacLennan C, Oladapo O, Gülmezoglu A, Bahl R, et al. Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns—the WHO vision. *BJOG*. 2015;122:1045–9.
- Tu K, Chen Z, Lipscombe LL, Canadian Hypertension Education Program Outcomes Research Taskforce. to 2005: a population-based study. *CMAJ*. 1995;2008(178):1429–35.
- Bramham K, Parnell B, Nelson-Piercy C, Seed PT, Poston L, Chappell LC. Chronic hypertension and pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2301.
- Georges MR. Hypertension in Pregnancy at the Teaching Hospital of Yalgado Ouédraogo, Burkina Faso. *J Hypertens*. 2015;04.
- Armstrong C. JNC8 Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension in Adults. *AFP*. 2014;90:503–4.
- Umesawa M, Kobashi G. Epidemiology of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: prevalence, risk factors, predictors and prognosis. *Hypertens Res*. 2017;40:213–20.
- Noubiap JJ, Bigna JJ, Nyaga UF, Jingi AM, Kaze AD, Nansseu JR, et al. The burden of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.13514>
- Baragou S, Goeh-Akue E, Pio M, Afassinou YM, Atta B. Hypertension and pregnancy in Lome (sub-Saharan Africa): epidemiology, diagnosis and risk factors. *Ann Cardiol Angeiol (Paris)*. 2014;63:145–50.
- Johnson KM, Zash R, Haviland MJ, Hacker MR, Luekett R, Diseko M, et al. Hypertensive disease in pregnancy in Botswana: Prevalence and impact on perinatal outcomes. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2016;6:418–22.
- Mersha AG, Abegaz TM, Seid MA. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Ethiopia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19:458.
- Berhe AK, Kassa GM, Fekadu GA, Muche AA. Prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Ethiopia: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:34.
- Ye C, Ruan Y, Zou L, Li G, Li C, Chen Y, et al. The 2011 survey on hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) in China: prevalence, risk factors, complications, pregnancy and perinatal outcomes. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e100180.
- Seyom E, Abera M, Tesfaye M, Fentahun N. Maternal and fetal outcome of pregnancy related hypertension in Mettu Karl Referral Hospital. *Ethiopia J Ovarian Res*. 2015;8:10.
- Soma-Pillay P, Catherine N-P, Tolppanen H, Mebazaa A, Tolppanen H, Mebazaa A. Physiological changes in pregnancy. *Cardiovasc J Afr*. 2016;27:89–94.
- Kohlhepp LM, Hollerich G, Vo L, Hofmann-Kiefer K, Rehm M, Louwen F, et al. [Physiological changes during pregnancy]. *Anaesthesist*. 2018;67:383–96.
- Carneiro CFD, Moulin TC, Macleod MR, Amaral OB. Effect size and statistical power in the rodent fear conditioning literature - A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13:e0196258.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

