

Communication

Just Arrived or Missed the Boat? First Eastern Mediterranean record of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis*, the Only Dactylogyrid Monogenean Infecting Mediterranean Gobies

Maarten P.M. Vanhove^{1,2,3,4,*}, Sofia Giakoumi^{2,5}, Dimitris Zogaris⁶, Marcelo Kovačić⁷ and Tine Huyse^{3,8}

- ¹ Hasselt University, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Research Group Zoology: Biodiversity and Toxicology, Agoralaan Gebouw D, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium
- ² Institute of Marine Biological Resources and Inland Waters, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 46.7 km Athens-Sounio Avenue, PO Box 712, Anavyssos 190 13, Greece
- ³ Laboratory of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Genomics, Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Charles Deberiotstraat 32, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium
- ⁴ Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic
- ⁵ Department of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece
- ⁶ Hydrobiology - Ichthyology Lab, Department of Ichthyology and Aquatic Environment (DIAE), University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece
- ⁷ Natural History Museum Rijeka, Lorenzov prolaz 1, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- ⁸ Invertebrates Section, Biology Department, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080 Tervuren, Belgium

*Corresponding author: maarten.vanhove@uhasselt.be

Abstract: Gobies and their ectoparasitic monogenean flatworms are promising models for species diversification because of their species richness. Recent decades have seen the discovery of several new species of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on European gobies, mostly in the sand goby lineage and especially in the Eastern Mediterranean. However, the monogenean fauna of other gobies is much less understood. Therefore, we scrutinized five gobiid species (34 specimens, vouchered, with some representatives sequenced), sampled in Greece, for monogenean ectoparasites. Only specimens of the giant goby *Gobius cobitis* were infected; they harbored *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) on their gills. Here we provide the first record from Greece, and the first ITS rDNA and COI sequences of the representative of this monotypic genus. Also 28S rDNA was sequenced and compared with published data from across its known distribution, suggesting clinal variation. Lack of sequence data of closely related dactylogyrid monogeneans prevents either proposing a sister-group for *Xenoligophoroides*, or inferring a scenario explaining the presence of a single known member of this genus on gobies. Possible hypotheses include either the ancestral long-term presence on gobiids but “missing the boat” of the diversification events in the “*Gobius*-lineage”, or a recent host switch from a non-gobiid host.

Keywords: barcoding; Dactylogyridae; Dactylogyrinae; ectoparasites; giant goby; Gobiidae; *Gobius cobitis*; Greece; Monogenea; Platyhelminthes

1. Introduction

Gobiidae is the most species-rich fish family worldwide with 1964 valid species as of May 28th 2022 [1], and the most species-rich group of European marine fishes [2], with 76 Mediterranean species [3]. Their diversity makes them prime models in evolutionary biology. For example, the occurrence of representatives in a wide variety of salinity conditions, and the often high levels of endemism of gobies, render them conducive to study biogeographical patterns in aquatic ecosystems [2]. Furthermore, the radiation and local adaptation events in gobies have been fruitfully exploited in speciation research [4-7]. In addition, several goby species are successful invaders, rendering them useful models for

the genomics of colonization and invasion [8] or as proof-of-principle of the use of fish parasites to elucidate introduction pathways [9]. Indeed, not only are the gobies valuable targets for biodiversity research, the same goes for their parasites. European sand gobies, with the gyrodactylid monogeneans infecting them [10–11], constitute one of the best studied fish-*Gyrodactylus* host-parasite systems. Since flatworms belonging to *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 have been considered “the drosophilids of the parasitic world”, sand gobies and their gyrodactylids are therefore a promising model in ecological and evolutionary parasitology [12]. Indeed, the parasites of assemblages of closely related host species may reveal important insights in parasite speciation [13]. Goby parasites also hold a lot of potential for biodiversity discovery. The recent description of seven species of *Gyrodactylus* infecting freshwater sand gobies from the Balkan region, the centre of endemism of these hosts, underscores that even European species diversity is far from fully inventoried [14]. Despite the recent focus on sand gobies, the discovery of *Gyrodactylus quadratidigitus* Longshaw, Pursglove and Shinn, 2003 on British *Thorogobius ephippiatus* (Lowe, 1839) by Longshaw et al. [15] illustrates that also non-sand gobies hold the promise of undiscovered gyrodactylids. Next to Gyrodactylidae, another species-rich family of Monogenea is represented among goby parasites: Dactylogyridae. In Europe, only one dactylogyrid species is reported from marine gobies: *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* (Ergens, 1963) with its only known host the giant goby *Gobius cobitis* Pallas, 1814 [16,17]. In general, the discovery of a plethora of marine monogenean species is to be expected: Appeltans et al. [18] estimate that among marine flatworms, the monogeneans is the group of which the lowest percentage (7 to 13 %) of existing species has been formally described.

Here we expand our survey of the monogenean parasite diversity of Eastern Mediterranean gobies, specifically towards marine gobies outside of the sand goby lineage, with the expectation of retrieving representatives of *Gyrodactylus* and *Xenoligophoroides*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling and morphological characterization of parasites

Gobies outside of the sand goby lineage were collected as bycatch in Vanhove et al. [19]. They were diagnosed by a minimum combination of characters that positively identify the collected specimens among species of the family Gobiidae in the CLOFNAM area ([20,21] and references therein). We focused on larger gobiid species that often may also occur in brackish water. The fish were inspected for monogeneans on their gills, body, and fins; also the vial and medium were checked.

About half of the monogeneans recovered were transferred to a water droplet using a dissection needle, fixed in Hoyer’s medium, and mounted between slide and coverslip, for morphological characterization of haptor and genital hard parts. Measurements and micrographs were taken under phase contrast with an Olympus BX61 microscope fitted with a DP71 camera and Olympus Stream Motion software. Since only dactylogyrid monogeneans were found (Figure 1a–e), measurements followed Sasal et al. [16], whose study was the most recent publication on dactylogyrid parasites of European gobies at the onset of this work.

The remaining specimens were stored in absolute ethanol for subsequent molecular work. To allow taxonomic identification of these animals, photographic vouchers were made prior to DNA extraction. To this end flatworms were temporarily mounted in water and photographed under a 100x (oil immersion) phase contrast objective using a Leica DM5000B microscope equipped with a Leica DFC420C camera and LAS imaging software (Figure 1f–g). Host vouchers were deposited in the Natural History Museum Rijeka (Rijeka, Croatia) (PMR), and parasite vouchers in the general invertebrate collection of the Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History (Stockholm, Sweden) (SMNH).




Figure 1. Micrographs of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis*. **(a)** Haptoral hard parts, specimen from Acheloos Delta. **(b)** Male copulatory organ, specimen from Acheloos Delta. **(c)** Whole mount, specimen from Acheloos Delta. **(d)** Haptoral hard parts, specimen from Kryoneri Estuary. **(e)** Male copulatory organ, specimen from Kryoneri Estuary. **(f)** Photovoucher of temporarily water-mounted specimen from Acheloos Delta. **(g)** Photovoucher of temporarily water-mounted specimen from Kryoneri Estuary. Both photovouchers show the characteristic “bilobed” (*sensu* Sasal et al. [16]) or “two-chambered” base (*sensu* Dmitrieva et al. [17]) of the male copulatory organ of *X. cobitis*. Scale bars: 50 μm (c), 20 μm (a, d, f, g), 10 μm (b, e).

2.2. Molecular and genetic analysis

For the host specimens, DNA extraction, PCR amplification of mitochondrial 12S and 16S rDNA, subsequent purification of the PCR product, and Sanger sequencing, were performed following the procedures described by Vanhove et al. [19]. Parasite DNA was extracted with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three potential monogenean barcoding markers [22] with different mutation rate were amplified for the parasites: a fragment of the large nuclear ribosomal subunit gene (28S rDNA); the region spanning Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) 1 and 2 including the 5.8S rDNA; and a fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (COI).

While the former is widely used in monogenean molecular systematics and in somewhat deeper phylogenetic reconstruction (e.g. [23]), the combination of the two latter markers has recently proven valuable for phylogenetics of closely related monogenean species in a context of host (and parasite) radiation [24]. Sequences of the ITS region are well-established in the molecular taxonomy of gyrodactylid monogeneans and hence also of the species assemblage of *Gyrodactylus* on European gobies [10]; this includes the first-ever Western Mediterranean record of members of *Gyrodactylus* [25]. Conversely, the broad applicability of COI as marker for monogeneans and other flatworms has been questioned [22], although recent work on representatives of *Cichlidogyrus* Paperna, 1960 (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) highlights its potential for barcoding [26]. Hence, taken together, sequence data of COI and of 28S rDNA and ITS rDNA will serve as versatile genetic resources for work on the monogenean goby parasites retrieved in this study. Primer combinations were C1 (5'-ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-3') and D2 (5'-TGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGAC-3') [27] for 28S rDNA, ITS1A (5'-GTAACAAGGTTTCCGTAGGTG-3') and ITS2 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTAGTGATA-3') [28] for ITS rDNA, and ASmit1 (5'-TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-3') [29] and Schisto3 (5'-TAATGCATMGAAAAAACA-3') [30] for COI. In the latter case, the first polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was followed by a nested PCR, replacing the Schisto3 primer by ASmit2 (5'-TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG-3') [29]. We performed PCR using Illustra PuReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR Beads (GE Healthcare), adding 1 μ L of each primer (20 μ M) (Sigma Aldrich), 2 μ L of template DNA, and 21 μ L of double distilled, autoclaved and filter-sterilized water. A GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) thermocycler was used. Cycling conditions are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Polymerase Chain Reaction protocols for the genetic markers for monogenean parasites. The number of cycles was 39 for the fragment of large subunit rDNA, and 40 for Internal Transcribed Spacer rDNA and the partial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 gene.

Protocol	Large subunit 28S rDNA	Internal Transcribed Spacer rDNA	Cytochrome <i>c</i> oxidase subunit 1
initial denaturation	2 min / 94 °C	3 min / 96 °C	5 min / 95 °C
cycle: denaturation	20 s / 94 °C	50 s / 95 °C	1 min / 94 °C
annealing	30 s / 56 °C	50 s / 52 °C	1 min / 50 °C
elongation	1 min 30 s / 72 °C	50 s / 72 °C	1 min / 72 °C
final elongation	10 min / 72 °C	7 min / 72 °C	7 min / 72 °C
cooling	4 °C	4 °C	4 °C

We purified the PCR product using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's guidelines. Bidirectional sequencing was carried out in an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA analyser using the BigDye protocol v.1.1. Sequences were validated by eye in MEGA v.7 [31] and aligned in the same software using Clustal W [32]. Pairwise distances were also calculated in MEGA. Sequences were subject to a BLAST search [33] on NCBI GenBank, and deposited there under accession numbers xxxxxxxx-xx. In case published sequences of the same markers for conspecifics were found, a median-joining network [34] was inferred in PopART (<http://popart.otago.ac.nz/index.shtml>). Maps were rendered in QGIS [35].

3. Results

3.1. Host records

A total of 34 specimens belonging to five goby species were scrutinized for monogenean ectoparasites; species identities and sampling data are provided in Figure 2 and Table 2. Interestingly, for *Gobius couchi* Miller & El-Tawil, 1974, our sample contains the first record in the Corinthian Gulf, quite geographically distant from surrounding records of this species from Corfu, Crete and the North-Eastern Aegean Sea coast [36].




Figure 2. Species and localities sampled; see Table 2 for more sampling information.

Table 2. Non-sand gobies scrutinized for monogenean ectoparasites in this study.

Species	Locality	Sampling date	Number of specimens scrutinized for parasites /sequenced	Voucher specimens	GenBank accession numbers
<i>Gobius cobitis</i> Pallas, 1814	Acheloos Delta 38°20'17.6"N 21°07'39.0"E	10 June 2008	1/-	PMR VP 3175	/
	Kryoneri Estuary 38°22'23.4"N 21°51'55.0"E	7 June 2008	1/1	PMR VP 3215	xxxxxxx
<i>Gobius couchi</i> ¹ Miller & El-Tawil 1974	Lake Heraion 38°01'31.8"N 22°52'34.6"E	8 September 2008	1/-	PMR VP 3208	/
<i>Gobius niger</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Drepano Beach 39°30'54.3"N 20°12'39.4"E	8 June 2008	16/2	PMR VP 3179 to PMR VP 3194	xxxxxxxx-xx
	Lake Heraion 38°01'31.8"N 22°52'34.6"E	8 September 2008	11/2	PMR VP 3195 to PMR VP 3206, PMR VP 3207, and PMR VP 3209	xxxxxxxx-xx
<i>Gobius ophiocephalus</i> Pallas, 1814	Acheloos Delta 38°20'17.6"N 21°07'39.0"E	10 June 2008	2/1	PMR VP 3176, PMR VP 3178	xxxxxxxx-x
<i>Gobius paganellus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Acheloos Delta 38°20'17.6"N 21°07'39.0"E	10 June 2008	1/1	PMR VP 3177	xxxxxxx
	Euboea Island (Livadaki, Karystos) 38°00'15.8"N 24°23'30.3"E	3 June 2008	1/1	PMR VP 3210	xxxxxxx

¹ The specimen PMR VP 3208 was identified as *G. couchi* based on the following diagnosis: (1) suborbital papillae of lateral-line system without longitudinal row *a*; (2) all three head canals of lateral-line system present; (3) predorsal area scaled; (4) six suborbital transversal papillae rows; (5) anterior oculoscapular head canal with pore α at rear of orbit; (6) oculoscapular papillae row *x1* not extending forwards to head canal pore β ; (7) scales in lateral series 35-45 (present specimen 35 and 36); (7) suborbital row *d* divided below between suborbital rows 2 and 3; (8) pelvic disc complete or no more than 1/8 emarginate (pelvic disc complete in present specimen); (9) pectoral fin count 15-18 (present specimen for both sides 17).

3.2. Parasite identification

Among the five goby species studied, only representatives of *Gobius cobitis* were infected by monogenean ectoparasites. A specimen caught in the Acheloos Delta was infected with nine monogenean gill parasites, five of which were used for genetic analyses and four of which were prepared as whole mounts. For an individual from Kryoneri Estuary, the infection intensity was seven. Three of these worms were subject to molecular analyses and the four others mounted on a slide. The two infected individuals were the only representatives of *Gobius cobitis* studied, leading to a prevalence of 100%. The results of measurements performed on the whole mounts are provided in Table 3. Based on Sasal et al. [16] and Dmitrieva et al. [17], all specimens belong to *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* (Figure 1).

Table 3. Morphometric data of the haptoral and genital hard parts of specimens of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* retrieved in Greece. Measurements and their symbols follow Sasal et al. [16] with terminology adapted to Řehulková et al. [37]; measurements, all in μm , are presented as the range, followed by the average and the number of measured structures (n) in parentheses.

Parameter	Acheloos Delta population	Kryoneri estuary population
Body		
Total length	387.6–476.2 (425.7, n=4)	337.3–408.3 (369.3, n=4)
Total width	140.1–181.4 (160.0, n=4)	134.5–203.7 (157.0, n=4)
Dorsal anchor		
Total length (a)	43.0–46.4 (44.5, n=4)	49.6–53.7 (51.2, n=3)
Length to notch (b)	32.3–34.1 (33.2, n=4)	36.4–40.7 (38.6, n=3)
Inner root length (c)	18.3–22.9 (20.3, n=4)	23.7–24.6 (24.2, n=3)
Outer root length (d)	5.1–9.6 (8.0, n=4)	9.5–11.5 (10.5, n=3)
Point length (e)	11.8–16.4 (14.4, n=4)	13.5–20.0 (17.0, n=3)
Ventral anchor		
Total length (a)	34.0–40.1 (37.4, n=4)	36.8–40.1 (38.0, n=3)
Length to notch (b)	40.4–44.2 (42.0, n=4)	42.8–46.2 (45.0, n=3)
Inner root length (c)	10.3–10.9 (10.6, n=2)	9.8–10.9 (10.4, n=3)
Outer root length (d)	5.4–6.3 (6.0, n=3)	6.4–9.3 (8.2, n=3)
Point length (e)	4.3–5.5 (4.7, n=4)	5.6–6.3 (6.1, n=3)
Dorsal bar		
Branch length (h)	35.2–38.4 (36.2, n=4)	40.1–43.3 (41.7, n=3)
Thickness at mid-length (w)	8.7–13.5 (11.7, n=4)	12.8–19.3 (15.4, n=3)
Total straight width (x)	37.2–59.3 (50.7, n=4)	56.6–72.4 (67.0, n=3)
Ventral bar		

Thickness at mid-length (w)	4.6–6.2 (5.5, n=4)	7.7–9.2 (8.4, n=3)
Total straight width (x)	41.1–45.0 (43.0, n=4)	50.6–54.0 (52.3, n=3)
Hook		
Pair I: total length (o)	15.5–18.1 (16.9, n=4)	16.9–19.2 (17.7, n=3)
Pair I: shank length (p)	9.1–12.2 (10.9, n=4)	10.7–12.7 (11.5, n=3)
Pair V: total length (o)	13.8–16.0 (14.9, n=4)	14.6–15.5 (15.1, n=3)
Pair V: shank length (p)	8.6–9.5 (9.1, n=4)	8.3–10.2 (9.2, n=3)
Other pairs: total length (o)	14.2–18.4 (16.4, n=20)	14.1–20.7 (17.5, n=18)
Other pairs: shank length (p)	8.7–11.7 (10.4, n=20)	8.7–14.4 (11.5, n=18)
Male copulatory organ		
Copulatory tube total straight length (q)	23.3–31.6 (26.7, n=4)	14.7–35.2 (24.5, n=4)
Total straight length of the base of the copulatory tube (r)	15.3–19.2 (16.5, n=4)	13.9–21.0 (17.5, n=4)
Copulatory tube total curved length (s)	46.7–53.7 (50.4, n=4)	53.3–60.9 (56.2, n=4)

3.3. Sequence analyses

After trimming, sequence fragments of a maximal length of 858 bp (28S rDNA), 880 bp (ITS rDNA), and 172 bp (COI) were retained. While only a single COI sequence was retrieved (from the Acheloos Delta), the four obtained ITS sequences (two from each locality) yielded a maximal uncorrected pairwise distance of 0.9%, between specimens collected from the two different sites.

After nucleotide BLAST for the ITS fragment of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis*, the highest identity score (93.2%) was found for two species of *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850 (unpublished sequences KX369215 and KX369219) followed by a score of 91.2% for several representatives of *Cichlidogyrus* (sequences of [24,38]). These high scores were only found for a fragment that covered 23-25% of the total query (ca. 220 bp), more specifically in the region spanning 5.8S rDNA and Internal Transcribed Spacer 2. For the COI fragment, the sequences with the highest pairwise similarity score belonged to *Kapentagyryrus tanganicanus* Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove, 2018 (between 92.8% and 94.0% identity, sequences of [39]) followed by *Euryhaliotrema pirulum* (Plaisance & Kritsky, 2004) (identity 84.6%, sequence of [40]) and by species of *Cichlidogyrus* (maximal similarity of 82.7%, sequences of [24]) and *Sciadicleithrum* Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1989 (maximal similarity of 82.1%, sequences of [41]).

Only a single 28S rDNA genotype was found for all seven specimens successfully sequenced for this marker. Since other 28S rDNA sequences of *X. cobitis* are available [17], we only carry out intraspecific comparisons for this marker. The Greek genotype differed 0.7 to 3.1 % (uncorrected p-distance) from those from the Black Sea, and between 0.6 and 1.3 % from Sardinian conspecifics. The median-joining haplotype network including all other published sequences of *X. cobitis* for this marker situated the Greek population in between the Sardinian and Black Sea ones (Figure 3). In contrast to the Greek specimens all sharing a genotype, all genotypes from the Sardinian and Black Sea populations were singletons.




Figure 3. Median-joining haplotype network based on 702 bp of 28S rDNA from the newly sequenced individuals of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* from Greece, and the sequences from Dmitrieva et al. [17]. Genotypes are represented by circles with the size of the circle correlating with the number of specimens displaying the respective genotype. Colours denote sampling localities; genotypes are connected with lines, indicating the number of mutations between them. Colours correspond to the sampling localities in Figure 4.

4. Discussion

To further our understanding of the monogenean fauna of Eastern Mediterranean gobies, we screened a number of Greek gobies belonging to five species for monogenean ectoparasites. The two individuals of the giant goby *Gobius cobitis* harboured a total of 16 dactylogyrid flatworms on their gills, morphologically and genetically identified as *Xenoligophoroides cobitis*; no other monogeneans were found in any of the studied individuals.

Ergens [42] described this parasite species as *Ancyrocephalus cobitis*. It was synonymized with *Haliotrema cupensis* Sasal, Pages & Euzet, 1998 and assigned to *Haliotrema* Johnston & Tiegs, 1922 by Merella et al. [43], as *Haliotrema cobitis* (Ergens, 1963). Dmitrieva et al. [17] erected the new monospecific genus *Xenoligophoroides* Dmitrieva, Sanna, Piras, Garippa & Merella, 2018 for it. With its type locality in the Adriatic Sea, and earlier observations from the Western Mediterranean and the Black Sea, we here report *X. cobitis* (and hence any representative of *Xenoligophoroides*) for the first time in Greece, in the Ionian Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Distribution of *Xenoligophoroides cobitis*. Star: type locality, Gulf of Vlorë, Albania. Triangles: previously published records without accompanying genetic data (overview: see [17] and references therein). Green: population from northwestern Sardinia, Italy; orange: population from Gelendzhik, Russia; both sequenced by Dmitrieva et al. [17]. Pink: population from the Acheloos Delta, Greece; turquoise: population from Kryoneri Estuary, Greece, both sequenced in the present study. Colours correspond to those in the haplotype network (Figure 3).

Dmitrieva et al. [17] provide an overview of morphometric data of the various populations of *X. cobitis* hitherto studied. In addition to the similarity these authors observe in overall body morphology, in shape of the hard parts, and in soft part anatomy, they also mention considerable size ranges. For example, the (inner) length of the copulatory tube varies from minimally 25 μm in France to maximally 63 μm in the Black Sea; of the dorsal anchor, from 37 μm to 57 μm , and of the ventral anchor, from 25 μm to 45 μm (each time minimal size in the French population and maximal size in that of the Black Sea). Dmitrieva et al. [17] mention the geographical origin of the parasite populations, and the different sizes of the hosts studied at the Mediterranean *versus* Black Sea localities, as potential explanations for the size difference in the parasites. Measurements performed on the specimens from Greece fit within the ranges mentioned by Dmitrieva et al. [17]. It is noteworthy that the average value for most hard part measurements is higher for the parasites collected at Kryoneri Estuary in comparison to their conspecifics in the Acheloos Delta (Table 3). The Kryoneri host specimen (total length = 88.9 mm, standard length = 72.1 mm + caudal fin length 16.8 mm) was larger than the one from the Acheloos (total length = 35.6 mm, standard length = 28.1 mm + caudal fin length 7.5 mm). Despite the limitations of our sample size, given the fact that these two Greek localities are only about 65 km apart, this does suggest an influence of host size on the size of the hard parts of *X. cobitis*.

We consider the variation found in the ITS rDNA sequences of our specimens of *X. cobitis* to be intraspecific, as it remains below the threshold of 1% divergence, for this marker often associated with a difference between species (in *Gyrodactylus*: [44]). In contrast to the Sardinian and Black Sea populations sequenced by Dmitrieva et al. [17], all parasites in our sample sequenced for 28S rDNA yielded a single identical genotype. This contrasts with the diversity found by Dmitrieva et al. (2018) where all five specimens from a single site in Sardinia and all four specimens from a single site in the Black Sea had a unique genotype (Figure 3).

Based on phylogenetic analyses by Dmitrieva et al. [17] using 28S rDNA, species most similar to *X. cobitis* all seemed to share a marine lifestyle, belonging to *Ergenstrema*

Paperna, 1964, *Ligophorus* Euzet & Suriano, 1977, *Euryhaliotrema* Kritsky & Boeger, 2002, and *Haliotrematoides* Kritsky, Yang & Sun, 2009. Our BLAST analyses based on the ITS and COI markers pointed towards similarities with both marine (e.g. *Euryhaliotrema*) and freshwater (e.g. *Kapentagyryus* Kmentová, Gelnar & Vanhove, 2018) genera. We consider our BLAST results a consequence of the scarcity of barcoding data for monogenean flatworms. Hopefully, the advent of mitochondrial genomes of an ever-increasing phylogenetic range of monogeneans (e.g. [45,46]) will help alleviate this important data gap in the near future.

The most recent and much more comprehensive phylogeny of dactylogyrids [23] placed all above-mentioned genera under Dactylogyrinae, but did not confirm a close relationship between any of them and *X. cobitis*. This dactylogyrid phylogeny also positions the members of *Gobioecetes* Ogawa & Itoh, 2017, infecting freshwater and diadromous gobies, in the Palearctic Far East [47], in Dactylogyrinae. *Gobioecetes*, with its sister taxon *Ancyrocephalus mogurndae* (Yamaguti, 1940) which is known to infect the Asian freshwater goby *Gymnogobius urotaenia* (Hilgendorf, 1879) among other hosts (see [48]), belong to an entirely different clade than *X. cobitis*, indicating that gobies have been colonized by members of Dactylogyrinae at least twice independently.

Kmentová et al. (2022) could not suggest a lineage closely related to *Xenoligophoroides*. It is hence impossible to propose a scenario how (and when) this monogenean, currently the only known dactylogyrid from European gobies, colonized its host. Also the host phylogeny adds little information: as the “*Gobius*-lineage” also includes Eastern Atlantic genera from Norway to South Africa, and Ponto-Caspian freshwater representatives, and even has affinities with tropical Pacific and Neotropical gobies [4,49], we cannot reliably hypothesize where this lineage first infected gobies, and whether this happened in a marine or freshwater environment.

In contrast to the species-rich assemblage of *Gyrodactylus* on European (sand) gobies, only a single species of *Xenoligophoroides* is known. This could be due to, for example, a recent colonization of the “*Gobius*-lineage” from another host lineage, or to “missing the boat” of diversification of these gobies, i.e. absence on the founder populations of diverging goby lineages (see [50]). In order to favor any of these scenarios, identifying the sister-group relationships of *Xenoligophoroides* would be key, as would inspecting fish species occurring in sympatry with *G. cobitis*. It would perhaps allow the inference of host-switching events such as those proposed by Huysse et al. [51] studying sand goby-infecting members of *Gyrodactylus*. These authors suggested recent host-switches between sand gobies and sticklebacks, and between sand gobies and eels. In this respect, it may be useful to reflect on the former taxonomic affinities of *X. cobitis*. This species has previously been assigned to *Haliotrema* and to *Ancyrocephalus* Creplin, 1839. While no other Mediterranean monogeneans are classified under *Haliotrema*, other monogeneans occurring in the Mediterranean (e.g. *Ancyrocephalus salinus* Paperna, 1964 infecting *Aphaniops dispar* (Rüppell, 1829)) currently belong to *Ancyrocephalus*, which can safely be assumed to be a catch-all taxon (see [48,52]). Therefore, we concur with Dmitrieva et al. [17] that it will be fruitful to verify their systematic position in general, and their potential affinity to *X. cobitis* in particular. Apart from e.g. the killifish host of *A. salinus*, further screening of gobiids and fishes occurring sympatrically with gobiids seems a fruitful approach to increase our understanding of the Mediterranean gyrodactylid and dactylogyrid fauna.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.P.M.V.; Methodology, M.P.M.V.; Formal analysis, M.P.M.V.; Investigation, M.P.M.V. and M.K.; Resources, S.G. and T.H.; Data Curation, M.P.M.V. and M.K.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, M.P.M.V.; Writing – Review & Editing, S.G., M.K., and T.H.; Visualization, D.Z.; Supervision, T.H.; Funding Acquisition, M.P.M.V., S.G., and T.H.

Funding: This research received support from the Special Research Fund of Hasselt University (BOF20TT06). At the time of sampling, MPMV was recipient of a PhD fellowship, and TH of a post-doctoral fellowship, from the Research Foundation–Flanders (FWO–Vlaanderen). MPMV was supported for fieldwork by a travel grant from the Belgian Network for Coastal Research (BeNCoRe: ENCORA coordination action contract number FP6-2004-Global-3–518120), and for labwork by the

Synthesis of Systematic Resources (SYNTHEsys) Project (GB-TAF-2984) (<http://www.synthesys.info/>) which is financed by European Community Research Infrastructure Action under the FP7 Integrating Activities Programme, and by the European Centre for Ichthyoparasitology (Centre of excellence financed by the Czech Science Foundation, project no. P505/12/G112).

Data Availability Statement: Voucher specimens have been deposited in the Natural History Museum Rijeka, and in the Swedish Museum of Natural History. Sequences have been deposited in NCBI GenBank, accession numbers xxxxxxxx-xx.

Acknowledgments: Andrea Waeschenbach, D. Tim J. Littlewood, and the staff of the Wolfson Wellcome Biomedical Laboratories (Natural History Museum) are thanked for hosting and supporting the molecular work, Alcibiades N. Economou and Stamatis Zogaris (Hellenic Centre for Marine Research) for co-organising this study and for hosting MPMV as a post-doctoral fellow, Walter A. Boeger (Universidade Federal do Paraná) and Eva Řehulková (Masaryk University) for sharing insights on dactylogyrid morphology and identification, Evgenija V. Dmitrieva (A.O. Kovalevsky Institute of Marine Biological Research) and Daria Sanna (Università di Sassari) for interesting discussions on the current study, and Filip A.M. Volckaert (KU Leuven) for PhD supervision of MPMV and for constructive comments on this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Fricke, R.; Eschmeyer, W.N.; Fong, J.D. Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes: Genera/species by family/subfamily. Available online: <http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/SpeciesByFamily.asp> (accessed on 28 May 2022).
2. Schwarzhan, W.; Agiadi, K.; Carnevale, G. Late Miocene–Early Pliocene evolution of Mediterranean gobies and their environmental and biogeographic significance. *Riv. It. Paleontol. Strat.* **2020**, *126*, 657–724.
3. Kovačić, M.; Renaoult, J.P.; Pillon, R.; Svensen, R.; Bogorodsky, S.; Engin, S.; Louisy, P. Identification of Mediterranean marine gobies (Actinopterygii: Gobiidae) of the continental shelf from photographs of “in situ” individuals. *Zootaxa* **2022**, in press.
4. McCraney, W.T.; Thacker, C.E.; Alfaro, M.E. Supermatrix phylogeny resolves goby lineages and reveals unstable root of Gobiaria. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2020**, *151*, 106862; DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106862.
5. Yamasaki, Y.Y.; Takeshima, H.; Kano, Y.; Oseko, N.; Suzuki, T.; Nishida, M.; Watanabe, K. Ecosystem size predicts the probability of speciation in migratory freshwater fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **2020**, *29*, 3071–3083; DOI:10.1111/mec.15415.
6. Bernardi, G. Inter-island local adaptation in the Galápagos Archipelago: genomics of the Galápagos blue-banded goby, *Lythrypnus gilberti*. *Coral Reefs* **2021**; DOI: 10.1007/s00338-021-02189-5.
7. Ito, R.K.; Harada, S.; Tabata, R.; Watanabe, K. Molecular evolution and convergence of the rhodopsin gene in *Gymnogobius*, a goby group having diverged into coastal to freshwater habitats. *J. Evol. Biol.* **2021**; DOI:10.1111/jeb.13955.
8. Adrian-Kalchhauser, I.; Blomberg, A.; Larsson, T.; Musilova, Z.; Peart, C.R.; Pippel, M.; Solbakken, M.H.; Suurväli, J.; Walser, J.-C.; Wilson J.Y.; et al. The round goby genome provides insights into mechanisms that may facilitate biological invasions. *BMC Biol.* **2020**, *18*, 11; DOI:10.1186/s12915-019-0731-8.
9. Huyse, T.; Vanhove, M.P.M.; Mombaerts, M.; Volckaert, F.A.M.; Verreycken, H. Parasite introduction with an invasive goby in Belgium: double trouble? *Parasitol. Res.* **2015**, *114*, 2789–2793; DOI:10.1007/s00436-015-4544-6.
10. Huyse, T.; Volckaert, F.A.M. Identification of a host associated species complex using molecular and morphometric analyses, with the description of *Gyrodactylus rugiensoides* n. sp. (Gyrodactylidae, Monogenea). *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2002**, *32*, 907–919; DOI:10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00026-7.
11. Huyse, T.; Volckaert, F.A.M. Comparing host and parasite phylogenies: *Gyrodactylus* flatworms jumping from goby to goby. *Syst. Biol.* **2005**, *54*, 710–718; DOI:10.1080/10635150500221036.
12. Bakke, T.A.; Cable, J.; Harris, P.D. The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans: the “Russian-doll killers”. *Adv. Parasitol.* **2007**, *64*, 161–460; DOI:10.1016/S0065-308X(06)64003-7.
13. Pariselle, A.; Morand, S.; Deveney, M.; Pouyau, L. Parasite species richness of closely related hosts: historical scenario and “genetic” hypothesis. In *Hommage à Louis Euzet – taxonomie, écologie et évolution des métazoaires parasites. Taxonomy, ecology and evolution of metazoan parasites*; Combes, C.; Jourdan, J., Eds.; Presses Universitaires de Perpignan: Perpignan, France, 2003; pp. 147–166.
14. Vanhove, M.P.M.; Economou, A.N.; Zogaris, S.; Giakoumi, S.; Zanella, D.; Volckaert, F.A.M.; Huyse, T. The *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae) parasite fauna of freshwater sand gobies (Teleostei, Gobioidae) in their centre of endemism, with description of seven new species. *Parasitol. Res.* **2014**, *113*, 653–668; DOI: 10.1007/s00436-013-3693-8.
15. Longshaw, M.; Pursglove, M.; Shinn, A.P. *Gyrodactylus quadratidigitus* n. sp. (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae), a parasite of the leopard-spotted goby *Thorogobius ephippiatus* (Lowe) from the south-western coast of the UK. *Syst. Parasitol.* **2003**, *55*, 151–157; DOI:10.1023/A:1024059019691.
16. Sasal, P.; Pagès, J.R.; Euzet, L. *Haliotrema cupensis* n. sp. (Monogenea, Ancyrocephalidae) from a marine gobiid (Teleostei, Perciformes) of the Mediterranean coast. *Syst. Parasitol.* **1998**, *39*, 107–112; DOI:10.1023/A:1005961926017.

17. Dmitrieva, E.V.; Sanna, D.; Piras, M.C.; Garippa, G.; Merella, P. *Xenoligophoroides cobitis* (Ergens, 1963) n. g., n. comb. (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae), a parasite of *Gobius cobitis* Pallas (Perciformes: Gobiidae) from the Mediterranean and Black seas. *Syst. Parasitol.* **2018**, *95*, 625–643; DOI:10.1007/s11230-018-9805-1.
18. Appeltans, W.; Ah Yong, S.T.; Anderson, G.; Angel, M.V.; Artois, T.; Bailly, N.; Bamber, R.; Barber, A.; Bartsch, I.; Berta, A.; et al. The magnitude of global marine species diversity. *Curr. Biol.* **2012**, *22*, 2189–2202; DOI:10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036.
19. Vanhove, M.P.M.; Economou, A.N.; Zogaris, S.; Larmuseau, M.H.D.; Giakoumi, S.; Kalogianni, E.; Volckaert, F.A.M.; Huyse, T. Phylogenetics and biogeography of the Balkan "sand gobies" (Teleostei, Gobiidae): vulnerable species in need of taxonomic revision. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **2012**, *105*, 73–91; DOI:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2011.01781.x.
20. Miller, P.J. Gobiidae. In *Fishes of the North-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean 3*; Whitehead, P.J.P.; Bauchot, M.-L.; Hureau, J.-C.; Nielsen, J.; Tortonese, E., Eds.; UNESCO: Paris, France, 1986; pp. 1019–1085.
21. Kovačić, M. Checklist of gobies (Actinopteri: Gobiidae) of the Mediterranean Sea and a key for species identification. *Zootaxa* **2020**, *4877*, 75–101; DOI:10.11646/zootaxa.4877.1.3
22. Vanhove, M.P.M.; Tessens, B.; Schoelincx, C.; Jondelius, U.; Littlewood, D.T.J.; Artois, T.; Huyse, T. Problematic barcoding in flatworms: A case-study on monogeneans and rhabdocoels (Platyhelminthes). *ZooKeys* **2013**, *365*, 355–379; DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.365.5776.
23. Kmentová, N.; Cruz-Laufer, A.J.; Pariselle, A.; Smeets, K.; Artois, T.; Vanhove, M.P.M. Dactylogyridae 2022: a meta-analysis of phylogenetic studies and generic diagnoses of parasitic flatworms using published genetic and morphological data. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2022**, *52*, 427–457; DOI:10.1016/j.ijpara.2022.01.003.
24. Vanhove, M.P.M.; Pariselle, A.; Van Steenberge, M.; Raeymaekers, J.A.M.; Hablützel, P.I.; Gillardin, C.; Hellemans, B.; Breman, F.C.; Koblmüller, S.; Sturmbauer, C.; et al. Hidden biodiversity in an ancient lake: phylogenetic congruence between Lake Tanganyika trophic cichlids and their monogenean flatworm parasites. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13669; DOI:10.1038/srep13669.
25. Huyse, T.; Pampoulie, C.; Audenaert, V.; Volckaert, F.A.M. First report of *Gyrodactylus* spp. (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) in the western Mediterranean sea: molecular and morphological descriptions. *J. Parasitol.* **2006**, *92*, 682–690; DOI:10.1645/GE-690R.1.
26. Jorissen, M.W.P.; Vanhove, M.P.M.; Pariselle, A.; Snoeks, J.; Vreven, E.; Šimková, A.; Wamuini Lunkayilakio, S.; Chocha Manda, A.; Kapepula Kasembele, G.; Muterezi Bukinga, F.; et al. Molecular footprint of parasite co-introduction with Nile tilapia in the Congo Basin. *Research Square* **2021**; DOI:10.21203/rs.3.rs-995291/v1.
27. Hassouna, N.; Michot, B.; Bachellerie, J.P. The complete nucleotide sequence of mouse 28S rRNA gene. Implications for the process of size increase of the large subunit rRNA in higher eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1984**, *12*, 3563–3583; DOI:10.1093/nar/12.8.3563.
28. Matějusková, I.; Gelnar, M.; McBeath, A.J.A.; Collins, C.M.; Cunningham, C.O. Molecular markers for gyrodactylids (Gyrodactylidae: Monogenea) from five fish families (Teleostei). *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2001**, *31*, 738–745; DOI:10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00176-X.
29. Littlewood, D.T.J.; Rohde, K.; Clough, K.A. Parasite speciation within or between host species? Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **1997**, *27*, 1289–1297; DOI:10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00086-6.
30. Lockyer, A.E.; Olson, P.D.; Østergaard, P.; Rollinson, D.; Johnston, D.A.; Attwood, S.W.; Southgate, V.R.; Horak, P.; Snyder, S.D.; Le, T.H.; et al. The phylogeny of the Schistosomatidae based on three genes with emphasis on the interrelationships of *Schistosoma* Weinland, 1858. *Parasitology* **2003**, *126*, 203–224; DOI:10.1017/S0031182002002792.
31. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Tamura, K. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2016**, *33*, 1870–1874; DOI:10.1093/molbev/msw054.
32. Higgins, D.; Thompson, J.; Gibson, T.; Thompson, J.D.; Higgins, D.G.; Gibson, T.J. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1994**, *22*, 4673–4680; DOI:10.1093/nar/22.22.4673.
33. Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1990**, *215*, 403–410; DOI:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
34. Bandelt, H.; Forster, P.; Röhl, A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1999**, *16*, 37–48; DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036.
35. QGIS Association. QGIS Geographic Information System. 2021. Available online: <http://www.qgis.org> (accessed on 30 May 2022).
36. Renoult J.P.; Pillon R.; Kovačić M.; Louisy P. Frontiers in Fishwatching Series – Gobies of the North-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean: *Gobius* and *Thorogobius*. *Les cahiers de la fondation Biotope* **2022**, *37*, 1–237.
37. Řehulková E.; Mendlová, M.; Šimková, A. Two new species of *Cichlidogyrus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing the gills of African cichlid fishes (Perciformes) from Senegal: morphometric and molecular characterization. *Parasitol. Res.* **2013**, *112*, 1399–1410; DOI:10.1007/s00436-013-3291-9.
38. Vanhove, M.P.M.; Briscoe, A.G.; Jorissen, M.W.P.; Littlewood, D.T.J.; Huyse, T. The first next-generation sequencing approach to the mitochondrial phylogeny of African monogenean parasites (Platyhelminthes: Gyrodactylidae and Dactylogyridae). *BMC Genomics* **2018**, *19*, 520; DOI:10.1186/s12864-018-4893-5.
39. Kmentová, N.; Koblmüller, S.; Van Steenberge, M.; Raeymaekers, J.A.M.; Artois, T.; De Keyzer, E.L.R.; Milec, L.; Muterezi Bukinga, F.; Mulimbwa N'sibula, T.; Masilya Mulungula, P.; et al. Weak population structure and expansive demographic history of the monogenean parasite *Kapentagyris* spp. infecting clupeid fishes of Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2020**, *50*, 471–486; DOI:10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.02.002.

40. Plaisance, L.; Rousset, V.; Morand, S.; Littlewood, D.T.J. Colonization of Pacific islands by parasites of low dispersal ability: phylogeography of two monogenean species parasitizing butterflyfishes in the South Pacific Ocean. *J. Biogeogr.* **2008**, *35*, 76–87; DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01794.x.
41. Santacruz, A.; Barluenga, M.; Pérez-Ponce de León, G. The macroparasite fauna of cichlid fish from Nicaraguan lakes, a model system for understanding host-parasite diversification and speciation. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 3944; DOI:10.1038/s41598-022-07647-w.
42. Ergens, R. Über *Pseudochetostoma leucisci* n. sp. (Trematoidea) und *Ancyrocephalus cobitis* n. sp. (Monogenoidea), zwei neue parasitische Würmer der fische Albaniens. *Z. Parasitenkd.* **1963**, *22*, 287–291.
43. Merella, P.; Dmitrieva, E.V.; Piras, M. C.; Huyse, T.; Gerasev, P.; Garippa, G. Two monogenean species (Platyhelminthes) infecting *Gobius cobitis* Pallas, 1811 (Osteichthyes: Gobiidae) off Sardinia, western Mediterranean Sea. SOIPA XXVI Abstracts. *Parassitologia* **2010**, *52*, 359.
44. Zięta, M.S.; Lumme, J. Speciation by host-switching and adaptive radiation in a fish parasite genus *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae). *Evolution* **2002**, *56*, 2445–2458. 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00170.x
45. Zhang, D.; Li, W.X.; Zou, H.; Wu, S.G.; Li, M.; Jakovčić, I.; Zhang, J.; Chen, R.; Wang, G. Homoplasy or plesiomorphy? Reconstruction of the evolutionary history of mitochondrial gene order rearrangements in the subphylum Neodermata. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2019**, *49*, 819–829; DOI:10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.05.010.
46. Zhang, D.; Zou, H.; Jakovčić, I.; Wu, S.G.; Li, M.; Zhang, J.; Chen, R.; Li, W.X.; Wang, G.T. Mitochondrial genomes of two *Thaparocleidus* species (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) reveal the first rRNA gene rearrangement among the Neodermata. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 4214; DOI:10.3390/ijms20174214.
47. Nitta, M.; Nagasawa, K. *Gobioecetes longibasis* n. sp. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from *Rhinogobius similis* Gill (Perciformes: Gobiidae) from Okinawa-jima Island, the Ryukyu Archipelago, southern Japan, with a new host record for *Gobioecetes biwaensis* Ogawa & Itoh, 2017. *Syst. Parasitol.* **2020**, *97*, 193–200; DOI:10.1007/s11230-020-09905-9.
48. Pugachev, O.N.; Gerasev, P.I.; Gussev, A.V.; Ergens, R.; Khotenowsky, I. Guide to Monogenoidea of freshwater fish of Palaearctic and Amur regions; Galli, P.; Pugachev, O.N.; Kritsky, D., Eds.; Ledizione-LediPublishing: Milan, Italy, 2009.
49. Agorreta, A.; San Mauro, D.; Schliewen, U.; Van Tassell, J.L.; Kovačić, M.; Zardoya, R.; Rüber, L. Molecular phylogenetics of Gobioidae and phylogenetic placement of European gobies. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2013**, *69*, 619–633; DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2013.07.017.
50. Johnson K.P.; Adams, R.J.; Page, R.D.M.; Clayton, D.H. When do parasites fail to speciate in response to host speciation? *Syst. Biol.* **2003**, *52*, 37–47; DOI:10.1080/10635150390132704.
51. Huyse, T.; Audenaert, V.; Volckaert, F.A.M. Speciation and host-parasite relationships in the parasite genus *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea, Platyhelminthes) infecting gobies of the genus *Pomatoschistus* (Gobiidae, Teleostei). *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2003**, *33*, 1679–1689; DOI:10.1016/S0020-7519(03)00253-4.
52. Kmentová, N.; Van Steenberge, M.; Raeymaekers, J.A.M.; Koblmüller, S.; Hablützel, P.I.; Muterezi Bukinga, F.; Mulimbwa N'sibula, T.; Masilya Mulungula, P.; Nzigidahera, B.; Ntakimazi, G.; et al. Monogenean parasites of sardines in Lake Tanganyika: diversity, origin and intra-specific variability. *Cont. Zool.* **2018**, *87*, 105–132; DOI: 10.1163/18759866-08702004.