Risk Factors for Short-Term Versus Long-Term Mortality in Patients Who Underwent Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy



Xavier Galloo, MD^{a,b}, Mand Khidir, MD^a, Jan Stassen, MD^{a,c}, Kensuke Hirasawa, MD, PhD^a, Bernard Cosyns, MD, PhD^b, Pieter van der Bijl, MD, PhD^a, Victoria Delgado, MD, PhD^{a,d}, Nina Ajmone Marsan, MD, PhD^a, and Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PhD^{a,e,*}

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is an effective therapy in selected patients with advanced heart failure that reduces all-cause mortality at short-term follow-up. However, data regarding long-term mortality after CRT implantation are scarce, with no separate analysis available of the covariates associated with respectively short-term and long-term outcomes. Accordingly, the present study evaluated the risk factors associated with shortterm (2-year follow-up) versus long-term (10-year follow-up) mortality after CRT implantation. Patients who underwent CRT implantation and had echocardiographic evaluation before implantation were included in the present study. The primary end point was allcause mortality, and independent associates of short-term (2-year follow-up) and longterm (10-year follow-up) mortality were compared. In total, 894 patients (mean age 66 ± 10 years, 76% males) who underwent CRT implantation were included in the present study. The cumulative overall survival rates for the total population were 91%, 71%, and 45% at 2-, 5- and 10-year follow-up, respectively. Multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that short-term mortality was associated with both clinical and echocardiographic variables at the moment of CRT implantation; whereas long-term mortality was predominantly associated with baseline clinical parameters and was less strongly associated with baseline echocardiographic parameters. In conclusion, at long-term (10-year) follow-up, a significant proportion (45%) of patients with advanced heart failure who underwent CRT implantation were still alive. Importantly, the risk assessment for short-term (2-year follow-up) and long-term (10-year follow-up) mortality differ considerably, which may influence clinical decision making. © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/) (Am J Cardiol 2023;197:34-41)

Introduction

Patients diagnosed with heart failure (HF) and severe left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction (LV ejection fraction [LVEF] \leq 35%) and prolonged QRS duration \geq 130 ms, who remain symptomatic despite optimal medical therapy

(New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional class II, III, and ambulatory IV), benefit from cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT).¹ Several randomized controlled trials have shown that, in these well-selected patients, CRT results in improved exercise capacity, a better quality of life, and echocardiographic reverse remodeling, which is associated with better overall survival.¹⁻⁴ When evaluating outcomes, clinical trials have mainly focused on short-term outcomes after CRT implantation and identifying predictors of survival at 2- or 3-year follow-up.^{4,5} The COMPANION (Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing, and Defibrillation in Heart Failure) trial showed that QRS duration, left bundle branch block, and an ischemic etiology of HF was associated with all-cause mortality at short-term followup.⁵ Furthermore, the MADIT-CRT (Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial-Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy) trial has shown that, even in mildly symptomatic patients, over an average follow-up of 2.4 years, women and patients with a longer QRS duration experienced a greater benefit of CRT in combination with an implantable defibrillator (CRT-D).⁶ However, limited data exist on long-term outcomes after CRT implantation. Accordingly, the aims of this study were 1) to assess longterm all-cause mortality (up to 10 years) in patients who underwent CRT implantation; and 2) to evaluate the

^aDepartment of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Free University Brussels (VUB), University Hospital Brussels (UZ Brussel), Brussels, Belgium; ^cDepartment of Cardiology, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium; ^dHeart Institute, Hospital University Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; and ^eHeart Center, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland. Manuscript received October 10, 2022; revised manuscript received and accepted March 26, 2023.

Funding: The Department of Cardiology, Heart Lung Center, Leiden University Medical Center has received research grants from Abbott Vascular (Abbott Park, Illinois), Bayer (Leverkusen, Germany), Biotronik (Berlin, Germany), Bioventrix (San Ramon, California), Boston Scientific (Marlborough, Massachusetts), Edwards Lifesciences (Irvine, California), GE Healthcare (Little Chalfont, United Kingdom), Ionis (Carlsbad, California), and Medtronic (Dublin, Ireland). Dr. Stassen received an ESC Training Grant (App000064741) and Dr. Hirasawa received an ESC Research Grant (R-2018-18122).

See page 40 for disclosure information.

^{*}Corresponding author: Tel: +31715262020; fax: +34715266809. *E-mail address:* J.J.Bax@lumc.nl (J.J. Bax).

baseline clinical and echocardiographic covariates associated with long-term versus short-term overall survival.

Methods

Patients diagnosed with HF who received CRT (de novo or upgrade), between 1999 and 2014, according to prevailing guidelines¹ and with a QRS duration \geq 130 ms, were included in a single-center registry of the Leiden University Medical Center (Leiden, The Netherlands). Demographic and clinical data were retrospectively collected from the Departmental Cardiology Information System (EPD-Vision, Leiden University Medical Center). This retrospective analysis was approved by the institutional review board of the Leiden University Medical Center and they waived the need for patient written informed consent. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

Demographic, clinical, and laboratory variables were evaluated before CRT implantation. Demographic characteristics included age, gender, and body mass index. Clinical characteristics included cardiovascular risk factors, relevant medical history and co-morbidities, functional status (NYHA class), and HF medication. Ischemic etiology of HF was defined as significant coronary artery disease on invasive coronary angiography.

All patients underwent complete transthoracic echocardiography before CRT implantation. Transthoracic echocardiographic data were acquired at rest, according to current guidelines, using commercially available equipment (Vivid 7, E9, and E95 systems, GE-Vingmed, Horten, Norway) and images were digitally stored for offline analysis (EchoPAC versions 113.0.3, 202 and 203; GE-Vingmed).⁷ ECG-triggered M-mode, 2-dimensional, and Doppler data were acquired at rest, according to current guidelines. From the apical 4-chamber and 2-chamber views, LV volumes were measured and LVEF was quantified using the biplane Simpson's method.⁸ Left atrial volume was measured using the biplane method at end-systole on the apical 4-chamber and 2-chamber views and indexed for body surface area.⁸ Right ventricular systolic function was evaluated by the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, measured on M-mode recordings of the lateral tricuspid annulus. Furthermore, integrative assessment of mitral and tricuspid regurgitation severity was performed through a multiparametric approach including qualitative, semiquantitative, and quantitative parameters evaluated on color, continuous, and pulsed wave Doppler data and was graded according to current guidelines.^{7,9} Systolic pulmonary artery pressure was estimated from the tricuspid regurgitation peak velocity, applying Bernoulli's equation and adding right atrial pressure.

Implantation of the CRT device was performed according to a standard approach; insertion of the right atrial and ventricular leads by way of the subclavian or cephalic veins. The LV pacing lead was introduced into the coronary sinus through an 8 Fr guiding catheter, and positioned in a posterior or posterolateral vein, if possible. Posterior or lateral position was achieved in 654 patients (87%) with information available on lead placement. All leads were connected to a dual-chamber, CRT without an implantable defibrillator (CRT-P), or CRT-D device. CRT recipients were followed up at regular intervals at the outpatient HF clinic. After implantation, atrioventricular and interventricular delays were empirically set at 120 to 140 ms and 0 ms, respectively. CRT optimization was performed during follow-up visits at the discretion of the treating physician.

The primary end point of the study was all-cause mortality. Outcomes were analyzed from the time of baseline echocardiography until death or last follow-up in April 2021. Additionally, all-cause mortality was analyzed at 2 time points: after 2-year follow-up (short-term outcomes) and after 10-year follow-up (long-term outcomes). Survival data were ascertained from the departmental Cardiology Information System linked to the Social Security Death Index and were completed for all patients.

Continuous variables are presented as mean \pm SD when normally distributed and as median and interquartile range (IQR) when not normally distributed. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages.

The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed to estimate survival rates. To investigate the association between different clinical and echocardiographic variables with all-cause mortality, univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were performed for short-term (2-year follow-up) and long-term mortality (10year follow-up). Variables with a p < 0.10 on the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable model. Furthermore, the number of missing values of the variables included in the multivariable regression analysis did not exceed 10% of the total study population. The low number of events for short-term mortality limited the number of variables that could potentially be included to avoid overfitting the multivariable model. Therefore, 3 different models were created: (1) clinical variables that were significant on univariable analysis; (2) echocardiographic variables that were significant on univariable analysis; (3) a combination of clinical and echocardiographic variables that were significant in Models 1 or 2. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated and results were presented as forest plots. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and a p < 0.05was considered statistically significant. All data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, version 23.0 (SPSS Inc, IBM Corp, Armonk, New York).

Results

A total of 894 patients (mean age 66 ± 10 years, 76% men) were included in the current analysis. Clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of the overall population are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Overall, 500 patients (56%) had an ischemic etiology of HF and 621 patients (70%) were in NYHA III to IV. A total of 622 patients (70%) had sinus rhythm and mean QRS duration was 168 ± 26 ms, with 543 patients (61%) having left bundle branch block. Most patients (850 [95%]) received CRT-D. The mean LVEF was $26 \pm 8\%$, with a mildly dilated left atrium, borderline-normal pulmonary artery systolic pressure, and preserved right ventricular systolic function. Moreover, 345 patients (42%) had moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation and 174 patients (25%) had moderate-to-severe tricuspid regurgitation.

Table 1
Baseline clinical variables of the overall population

Demographic characteristics 66 ± 10 Male gender, n $680 (76\%)$ Body mass index, kg/m ² 26.5 ± 4.3 Medical history $401 (45\%)$ Arterial hypertension, n $401 (45\%)$ Diabetes mellitus, n $180 (20\%)$ Dyslipidemia, n $361 (40\%)$ Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantation Rhythm, n Sinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n Left bundle branch block $543 (61\%)$ Right bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ $178 (20\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing 12.4 ± 1.6 $eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m2$ 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $424 (81\%)$ $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $520 (73\%)$		Overall population (n=894)
Age, years 66 ± 10 Male gender, n $680 (76\%)$ Body mass index, kg/m ² 26.5 ± 4.3 Medical history $401 (45\%)$ Diabetes mellitus, n $180 (20\%)$ Dyslipidemia, n $361 (40\%)$ Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantation Rhythm, n Sinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n Left bundle branch block $543 (61\%)$ Right bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS 168 ± 26 Laboratory values Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $724 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $620 (73\%)$	Demographic characteristics	
Male gender, n $680 (76\%)$ Body mass index, kg/m ² 26.5 ± 4.3 Medical history 26.5 ± 4.3 Arterial hypertension, n $401 (45\%)$ Diabetes mellitus, n $180 (20\%)$ Dyslipidemia, n $361 (40\%)$ Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantation Rhythm, n Sinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n Left bundle branch block $543 (61\%)$ Right bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS 168 ± 26 Laboratory values Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $24 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $620 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$ $793 (89\%)$ $793 (95\%)$	Age, years	66±10
Body mass index, kg/m2 26.5 ± 4.3 Medical history401 (45%)Arterial hypertension, n401 (45%)Diabetes mellitus, n180 (20%)Dyslipidemia, n361 (40%)Smoking, n371 (42%)Ischemic etiology, n500 (56%)NYHA III, n621 (70%)History of ventricular tachycardia, n128 (14%)ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm622 (70%)Atrial fibrillation147 (16%)Atrial pacing125 (14%)QRS morphology, n125 (14%)Left bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 ±26 Laboratory values103 (12%)Hemoglobin, g/100 ml13.4 ±1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m265.1 ±23.9 Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	Male gender, n	680 (76%)
Medical history401 (45%)Arterial hypertension, n401 (45%)Diabetes mellitus, n180 (20%)Dyslipidemia, n361 (40%)Smoking, n371 (42%)Ischemic etiology, n500 (56%)NYHA III, n621 (70%)History of ventricular tachycardia, n128 (14%)ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm622 (70%)Atrial fibrillation147 (16%)Atrial pacing125 (14%)QRS morphology, n125 (14%)Left bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 ± 26 Laboratory values13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m²65.1 ± 23.9 Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	Body mass index, kg/m ²	26.5 ± 4.3
Arterial hypertension, n401 (45%)Diabetes mellitus, n180 (20%)Dyslipidemia, n361 (40%)Smoking, n371 (42%)Ischemic etiology, n500 (56%)NYHA III, n621 (70%)History of ventricular tachycardia, n128 (14%)ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm622 (70%)Atrial fibrillation147 (16%)Atrial pacing125 (14%)QRS morphology, n125 (14%)Left bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 ± 26 Laboratory values13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m²65.1 ± 23.9 Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	Medical history	
Diabetes mellitus, n $180 (20\%)$ Dyslipidemia, n $361 (40\%)$ Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Arterial hypertension, n	401 (45%)
Dyslipidemia, n $361 (40\%)$ Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Diabetes mellitus, n	180 (20%)
Smoking, n $371 (42\%)$ Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Dyslipidemia, n	361 (40%)
Ischemic etiology, n $500 (56\%)$ NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Smoking, n	371 (42%)
NYHA III, n $621 (70\%)$ History of ventricular tachycardia, n $128 (14\%)$ ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, n $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Ischemic etiology, n	500 (56%)
History of ventricular tachycardia, n128 (14%)ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	NYHA III, n	621 (70%)
ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantationRhythm, nSinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/ 1.73 m^2 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $24 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	History of ventricular tachycardia, n	128 (14%)
Rhythm, n 622 (70%) Atrial fibrillation 147 (16%) Atrial pacing 125 (14%) QRS morphology, n 125 (14%) Left bundle branch block 103 (12%) Intra-ventricular conduction delay 70 (8%) Ventricular pacing 178 (20%) QRS duration, ms 168 \pm 26 Laboratory values 168 \pm 26 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 \pm 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 \pm 23.9 Medication 120 Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β -blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%)	ECG characteristics prior to CRT implantation	
Sinus rhythm $622 (70\%)$ Atrial fibrillation $147 (16\%)$ Atrial pacing $125 (14\%)$ QRS morphology, n $125 (14\%)$ Left bundle branch block $103 (12\%)$ Intra-ventricular conduction delay $70 (8\%)$ Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/ 1.73 m^2 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Rhythm, n	
Atrial fibrillation147 (16%)Atrial pacing125 (14%)QRS morphology, n125 (14%)Left bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 \pm 26Laboratory values13.4 \pm 1.6eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m²65.1 \pm 23.9Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	Sinus rhythm	622 (70%)
Atrial pacing125 (14%)QRS morphology, nLeft bundle branch block543 (61%)Right bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 \pm 26Laboratory values13.4 \pm 1.6eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m²65.1 \pm 23.9Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	Atrial fibrillation	147 (16%)
QRS morphology, n 543 (61%) Left bundle branch block 103 (12%) Right bundle branch block 103 (12%) Intra-ventricular conduction delay 70 (8%) Ventricular pacing 178 (20%) QRS duration, ms 168±26 Laboratory values 168±26 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4±1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1±23.9 Medication 100 ml Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β -blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%)	Atrial pacing	125 (14%)
Left bundle branch block543 (61%)Right bundle branch block103 (12%)Intra-ventricular conduction delay70 (8%)Ventricular pacing178 (20%)QRS duration, ms168 \pm 26Laboratory values13.4 \pm 1.6eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m²65.1 \pm 23.9Medication124 (81%)MRA, n397 (44%) β -blocker, n650 (73%)RAAS-inh, n793 (89%)	QRS morphology, n	
Right bundle branch block 103 (12%) Intra-ventricular conduction delay 70 (8%) Ventricular pacing 178 (20%) QRS duration, ms 168±26 Laboratory values 13.4±1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1±23.9 Medication 100 ml Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β -blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%)	Left bundle branch block	543 (61%)
Intra-ventricular conduction delay 70 (8%) Ventricular pacing 178 (20%) QRS duration, ms 168±26 Laboratory values 1 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4±1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1±23.9 Medication 1 Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β-blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%)	Right bundle branch block	103 (12%)
Ventricular pacing $178 (20\%)$ QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4 ± 1.6 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $124 (81\%)$ Loop diuretic, n $724 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$	Intra-ventricular conduction delay	70 (8%)
QRS duration, ms 168 ± 26 Laboratory values 13.4±1.6 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Ventricular pacing	178 (20%)
Laboratory values 13.4 \pm 1.6 Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 \pm 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 \pm 23.9 Medication 724 (81%) Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β -blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%) Out it 520 (69%)	QRS duration, ms	168 ± 26
Hemoglobin, g/100 ml 13.4 ± 1.6 eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication 13.4 ± 1.6 Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β -blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%) Out: 520 (69%)	Laboratory values	
eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ² 65.1 ± 23.9 Medication $724 (81\%)$ Loop diuretic, n $724 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$ Out: $520 (69\%)$	Hemoglobin, g/100 ml	$13.4{\pm}1.6$
Medication Loop diuretic, n 724 (81%) MRA, n 397 (44%) β-blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%) Out 520 (50%)	eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ²	65.1±23.9
Loop diuretic, n $724 (81\%)$ MRA, n $397 (44\%)$ β -blocker, n $650 (73\%)$ RAAS-inh, n $793 (89\%)$ Out: $520 (50\%)$	Medication	
MRA, n 397 (44%) β-blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%) 0 520 (50%)	Loop diuretic, n	724 (81%)
β-blocker, n 650 (73%) RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%) Nucl. 520 (52%)	MRA, n	397 (44%)
RAAS-inh, n 793 (89%)	β -blocker, n	650 (73%)
520 (50%)	RAAS-inh, n	793 (89%)
Statin, n 530 (59%)	Statin, n	530 (59%)

Values are mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or n (%).

eGFR-MDRD = estimated glomerular filtration rate - modification of diet in renal disease; IQR = interquartile range; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NYHA = New York Heart Association; RAASinh = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor.

After a median follow-up of 94 months (IQR: 52 to 143), 563 patients (63%) died. The Kaplan-Meier curve for the 10-year overall survival is shown in Figure 1. At 2-, 5- and 10-year follow-up, there were respectively 80 deaths (9%), 259 deaths (29%), and 484 deaths (54%). A landmark analysis was performed of all patients who were still alive 5 years after CRT (Figure 1), showing 10- and 15-year cumulative survival rates of 63.6% and 43.1%, respectively.

Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for short-term and long-term all-cause mortality are presented in Figure 2, Tables 3 and 4. Multivariable Cox regression analysis for short-term all-cause mortality (describing the clinical variables, model 1) showed that an ischemic etiology of HF, NYHA III or IV, atrial fibrillation, shorter QRS duration, and more impaired renal function was independently associated with all-cause mortality. The following echocardiographic variables (model 2) were independently associated with all-cause mortality: larger LV end-systolic volume, larger right ventricular basal diameter, larger maximal right atrial area, and the presence of

Table 2
Baseline echocardiographic variables of the overall population

	Overall population (n=894)
Left-sided echocardiographic variables	
Left ventricular end-diastolic volume - indexed, ml/m ²	107 ± 39
Left ventricular end-systolic volume - indexed, ml/m ²	79±35
Left ventricular ejection fraction, %	26 ± 8
Left atrial volume indexed, ml/m ²	41 (31-53)
Moderate or severe mitral regurgitation, n	345 (42%)
Right-sided echocardiographic variables	
Right ventricular basal diameter, mm	42 ± 9
Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, mm	16 ± 5
Right atrial maximum area - indexed, cm ² /m ²	9 (7-12)
Moderate or severe tricuspid regurgitation, n	164 (23%)
Pulmonary artery systolic pressure, mm Hg	33 (26-43)

Values are mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or n (%). IOR = interquartile range.

moderate or severe tricuspid regurgitation. The combination (model 3) of significant clinical (model 1) and echocardiographic (model 2) variables showed that an ischemic etiology of HF, shorter QRS duration, more impaired renal function, larger LV end-systolic volume, larger right ventricular basal diameter, and a larger maximal right atrial area remained independently associated with all-cause mortality at short-term follow-up.

Multivariable Cox regression analysis for long-term allcause mortality showed an independent association for older age, lower body mass index, diabetes mellitus, an ischemic etiology of HF or NYHA III or IV, a history of ventricular tachycardia, shorter QRS duration, more impaired renal function, larger LV end-systolic volume, and impaired right ventricular systolic function with allcause mortality.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study are: (1) the 10year overall survival rate of patients who underwent CRT implantation is 45%; (2) short-term survival (2-year followup) is related to both clinical and echocardiographic parameters (ischemic etiology of HF, shorter QRS duration, more impaired renal function, and larger LV, right ventricular, and right atrial size) at the time of CRT implantation. Conversely, long-term survival (10-year follow-up) is predominantly associated with clinical factors and cardiovascular co-morbidities, and less strongly associated with baseline echocardiographic parameters (older age, lower body mass index, presence of diabetes mellitus, ischemic etiology of HF, NYHA III or IV, a history of ventricular tachycardia, shorter ORS duration, more impaired renal function, larger LV end-systolic volume, and impaired right ventricular function).

HF prevalence in developed countries is estimated at 1% to 2% of the general population with almost 12% in patients \geq 65 years.¹⁰ Moreover, HF prevalence is still rising because of population growth, aging of the population and improved survival of patients with coronary artery disease.¹⁰ A recent meta-analysis by Jones et al¹¹ showed



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates for all-cause mortality in cardiac resynchronization therapy recipients. The solid line displays the overall survival of the total population, and the dotted line shows the overall survival of patients who survived at least 5 years (landmark analysis at 60 months).

survival rates in chronic HF patients of 86.5%, 72.6%, 56.7%, and 34.9% at 1-, 2-, 5-, and 10 years, respectively. Of note, this meta-analysis included studies from 1980 until 2018, with significant heterogeneity in the survival rates related to important differences in patient populations. Nevertheless, a clear trend toward improved 1- and 5-year survival rates was noted for each decade since the 1980s.¹¹ The present study showed survival rates at 1-, 2-, 5-, and

10 years of 97.1%, 91.0%, 71.0%, and 44.8%, respectively, which were superior compared with the results in the metaanalysis.¹¹ As discussed by Jones et al¹¹, mortality was lowest in studies conducted in secondary care settings, because of higher reported prescription rates of HF medication. The superior outcomes in the present study, stemming from a tertiary referral center with augmented HF medication prescription rates, highlight the benefit in the long-term

Variables										н	azard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Short-term survival												
Ischemic etiology		F									2.563 (1.372 – 4.786)	0.003
QRS duration											0.981 (0.970 – 0.991)	<0.001
eGFR - MDRD											0.955 (0.940 – 0.970)	<0.001
LV end-systolic volume - indexed		•									1.010 (1.002 - 1.018)	0.010
Right ventricular basal diameter		-									1.033 (1.002 – 1.065)	0.040
Right atrial max area - indexed											1.062 (1.001 – 1.126)	0.047
Long-term survival												
Age		-									1.031 (1.016 – 1.046)	<0.001
Body mass index	-										0.959 (0.929 – 0.990)	0.009
Diabetes mellitus											1.441 (1.081 – 1.922)	0.013
Ischemic etiology											1.405 (1.087 – 1.815)	0.009
NYHA ≥ III											1.471 (1.124 – 1.924)	0.005
History of ventricular tachycardia	3		-								1.436 (1.057 – 1.949)	0.020
QRS duration											0.993 (0.988 – 0.998)	0.007
eGFR - MDRD											0.981 (0.974 – 0.987)	<0.001
LV end-systolic volume - indexed		-									1.005 (1.001 – 1.010)	0.028
TAPSE											0.955 (0.930 – 0.980)	<0.001
	0.5 1	L	1.5	2	2.5 Hazard	3 Ratio	3.5	4	4.5	5		

Figure 2. Forest plot for short-term (2-year follow-up) and long-term (10-year follow-up) all-cause mortality in cardiac resynchronization therapy recipients. eGFR-MDRD = estimated glomerular filtration rate-modification of diet in renal disease; TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

Table 3 Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for short-term (2-year follow-up) all-cause mortality

	Univariable model		Multivariable model 1 clinical variables		Multivariable model 2 echocardiographic variables		Multivariable model 3 combined	
	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value
Demographic characteristics								
Age, years	1.041 (1.016-1.068)	0.001	1.009 (0.982-1.036)	0.537				
Male gender	1.086 (0.643-1.835)	0.758						
Body mass index, kg/m ²	0.949 (0.898-1.004)	0.066	0.961 (0.907-1.018)	0.174				
Medical history								
Arterial hypertension	0.984 (0.624-1.550)	0.943						
Diabetes mellitus	1.235 (0.738-2.066)	0.422						
Dyslipidemia	1.123 (0.712-1.771)	0.619						
Smoking	0.931 (0.595-1.455)	0.752						
Ischemic etiology	2.028 (1.250-3.291)	0.004	1.866 (1.099-3.167)	0.021			2.563 (1.372-4.786)	0.003
NYHA III	3.337 (1.667-6.680)	0.001	2.135 (1.044-4.365)	0.038			1.631 (0.712-3.737)	0.247
History of ventricular tachycardia	1.523 (0.881-2.634)	0.132						
ECG characteristics								
Atrial fibrillation	2.468 (1.538-3.960)	< 0.001	2.151 (1.289-3.591)	0.003			1.401 (0.734-2.673)	0.306
Left bundle branch block	0.658 (0.424-1.020)	0.061	0.811 (0.507-1.297)	0.383				
QRS duration, ms	0.990 (0.981-0.999)	0.038	0.988 (0.979-0.998)	0.015			0.981 (0.970-0.991)	< 0.001
Device characteristics								
CRT-D vs CRT-P	1.601 (0.697-3.680)	0.267						
Laboratory values								
Hemoglobin, g/100 ml	0.841 (0.730-0.968)	0.016	1.002 (0.860-1.167)	0.981				
eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ²	0.963 (0.953-0.974)	< 0.001	0.968 (0.956-0.981)	< 0.001			0.955 (0.940-0.970)	< 0.001
Echocardiographic variables								
LV end-systolic volume - indexed, ml/m ²	1.007 (1.001-1.012)	0.016			1.009 (1.001-1.017)	0.027	1.010 (1.002-1.018)	0.010
LV ejection fraction, %	0.983 (0.957-1.011)	0.229						
Left atrial volume indexed, ml/m ²	1.016 (1.007-1.025)	0.001			0.992 (0.977-1.007)	0.275		
Moderate or severe mitral regurgitation	1.708 (1.063-2.744)	0.027			1.291 (0.703-2.371)	0.409		
RV basal diameter, mm	1.063 (1.039-1.088)	< 0.001			1.039 (1.007-1.072)	0.016	1.033 (1.002-1.065)	0.040
TAPSE, mm	0.933 (0.890-0.979)	0.004			0.951 (0.894-1.011)	0.106		
Right atrial maximum area - indexed, cm^2/m^2	1.071 (1.039-1.103)	< 0.001			1.063 (1.006-1.122)	0.029	1.062 (1.001-1.126)	0.047
Moderate or severe TR	3.156 (1.900-5.241)	< 0.001			2.234 (1.140-4.379)	0.019	1.673 (0.933-2.999)	0.084
PASP, mm Hg	1.040 (1.026-1.054)	< 0.001						

CI = confidence interval; CRT-D = cardiac resynchronization therapy in combination with an implantable defibrillator; CRT-P = cardiac resynchronization therapy without implantable defibrillator; eGFR-MDRD = estimated glomerular filtration rate – modification of diet in renal disease; LV = left ventricle; NYHA = New York Heart Association; PASP = pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RV = right ventricle; TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TR = tricuspid regurgitation.

Table 4

Univariable and multivariable (Cox regression	models for long-term	(10-year follow-ur	all-cause mortality
e in canadie and mann canadie	con regression.	inodello for fong term	(10 jean romon a) all educe mortanty

	Univariable mod	del	Multivariable model		
	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p Value	
Demographic characteristics					
Age, years	1.045 (1.035-1.056)	< 0.001	1.031 (1.016-1.047)	< 0.001	
Male gender	1.418 (1.134-1.773)	0.002	1.171 (0.851-1.610)	0.333	
Body mass index, kg/m ²	0.969 (0.948-0.991)	0.005	0.955 (0.925-0.986)	0.005	
Medical history					
Arterial hypertension	1.018 (0.850-1.220)	0.844			
Diabetes mellitus	1.528 (1.242-1.881)	< 0.001	1.505 (1.123-2.018)	0.006	
Dyslipidemia	1.171 (0.976-1.404)	0.089	0.921 (0.717-1.184)	0.520	
Smoking	1.063 (0.887-1.273)	0.508			
Ischemic etiology	1.762 (1.461-2.125)	< 0.001	1.341 (1.025-1.754)	0.032	
NYHA III	1.729 (1.393-2.146)	< 0.001	1.478 (1.127-1.938)	0.005	
History of ventricular tachycardia	1.422 (1.121-1.804)	0.004	1.558 (1.139-2.133)	0.006	
ECG characteristics					
Atrial fibrillation	1.700 (1.366-2.115)	< 0.001	1.215 (0.887-1.666)	0.225	
Left bundle branch block	0.674 (0.564-0.807)	< 0.001	0.878 (0.676-1.140)	0.328	
QRS duration, ms	0.997 (0.994-1.000)	0.088	0.993 (0.988-0.998)	0.007	
Device characteristics					
CRT-D vs CRT-P	1.240 (0.835-1.841)	0.286			
Laboratory values					
Hemoglobin, g/100 ml	0.877 (0.827-0.930)	< 0.001	1.005 (0.928-1.088)	0.905	
eGFR - MDRD, ml/min/1.73 m ²	0.976 (0.972-0.980)	< 0.001	0.980 (0.973-0.986)	< 0.001	
Echocardiographic variables					
LV end-systolic volume - indexed, ml/m ²	1.005 (1.002-1.007)	< 0.001	1.006 (1.001-1.011)	0.013	
LV ejection fraction, %	0.988 (0.977-0.999)	0.031	1.007 (0.987-1.027)	0.487	
Left atrial volume indexed, ml/m ²	1.012 (1.007-1.016)	< 0.001	0.996 (0.990-1.003)	0.232	
Moderate or severe mitral regurgitation	1.326 (1.099-1.601)	0.003	1.057 (0.825-1.353)	0.662	
RV basal diameter, mm	1.029 (1.018-1.039)	< 0.001	1.010 (0.995-1.025)	0.180	
TAPSE, mm	0.940 (0.921-0.958)	< 0.001	0.960 (0.935-0.986)	0.003	
Right atrial maximum area - indexed, cm ² /m ²	1.052 (1.035-1.069)	< 0.001	1.020 (0.992-1.049)	0.164	
Moderate or severe TR	1.731 (1.385-2.162)	< 0.001	1.225 (0.903-1.660)	0.192	
PASP, mm Hg*	1.031 (1.024-1.039)	<0.001			

* PASP was not included in the multivariable model because of >10% missing values.

CI = confidence interval; CRT-D = cardiac resynchronization therapy in combination with an implantable defibrillator; CRT-P = cardiac resynchronization therapy without implantable defibrillator; eGFR-MDRD = estimated glomerular filtration rate – modification of diet in renal disease; LV = left ventricle; NYHA = New York Heart Association; PASP = pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RV = right ventricle; TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; and TR = tricuspid regurgitation.

survival of systematic HF medication prescription, which can be even further improved by guideline-directed CRT implantation.^{12,13} Furthermore, few studies have focused on the long-term survival benefit of CRT in HF patients.¹⁴ Patel et al¹⁵ reported a 10-year survival after CRT implantation of 32.7%, which is lower compared with the 44.8% 10-year survival in the present study, possibly related to the lower LVEF and more extensive cardiovascular disease as compared with the current patient population.^{15–17} Moreover, a landmark analysis in the present study, of patients who survived at least 5 years after CRT implantation, showed continued benefit in survival rates at 10- and 15-year follow-ups of 64% and 43%, respectively.

Estimation of survival in CRT recipients remains challenging because of the heterogeneity of the patient population. Nevertheless, several baseline demographical, clinical, and laboratory variables, and echocardiographic variables, have been identified that influence short-term and mid-term survival. Even a small number of multiparametric prognostic risk scores have been developed to estimate the overall (short-term) survival after CRT implantation. $^{18-21}$ The current results regarding short-term survival are agrees with previous studies, indicating that both baseline clinical and echocardiographic variables are associated with short-term outcomes. In addition to these known variables, the present study revealed that right ventricular size and function and right atrial size are important for short-term outcomes and underscore detailed assessment of the rightsided echocardiographic parameters in CRT recipients. Additionally, few published data exist on long-term CRT outcomes: Patel et al¹⁵ identified age, gender, the presence of an ischemic etiology of HF, QRS duration, atrial fibrillation, brain natriuretic peptides levels, creatinine levels, and LV end-diastolic diameter at the time of CRT implantation as significant predictors of 10-year survival. Of note, only 1 echocardiographic variable at the moment of CRT implantation remained significantly associated with long-term outcomes. The present study underscores the importance of clinical variables for long-term outcomes, in contrast to echocardiographic variables, which are important for short-term outcomes.

The current results underscore that in well-selected patients with advanced HF, treated with guideline-directed medical therapy, CRT should be considered as a complementary therapy to improve clinical response and survival because a large proportion of these patients experience long-term, continued benefit. However, risk assessment for short-term and long-term mortality differs considerably. Short-term mortality is associated with both clinical and echocardiographic variables before CRT implantation, whereas long-term mortality is mainly associated with the clinical condition of the patient and co-morbidities at the time of CRT implantation.

First, this study is limited by its retrospective design from a single tertiary center. Second, cardiac HF biomarkers (particularly brain natriuretic peptide or N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide) were not systematically available. Third, the study inclusion period covers a broad time frame in which several advances in devices, leads, and programming has been made, which may have influenced outcomes. However, dividing the cohort into 'early inclusion' and 'late inclusion' groups showed nonsignificant p values in the Kaplan-Meier curves analysis, and on the univariable Cox regression analysis, for 10-year overall survival (data available in the supplementary material, Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). Fourth, only 5% of the population received CRT-P and therefore the present study cannot provide an accurate evaluation of the prognostic implications of CRT-D versus CRT-P. Finally, only data on all-cause mortality were available and no distinction between cardiac and noncardiac death could be made.

In conclusion, CRT implantation is an effective therapy in patients with advanced HF, with a significant survival rate at 10-year follow-up. The risk evaluation for short-term (2-year follow-up) versus long-term (10-year follow-up) survival shows considerable differences. Short-term survival is mainly dependent on both baseline clinical and echocardiographic parameters; whereas long-term survival is predominantly dependent on the clinical status of the patient and associated co-morbidities at the time of CRT implantation.

Disclosures

Dr. Delgado received speaker fees from Abbott Vascular, Edwards Lifesciences, GE Healthcare, Medtronic, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and Novartis. Dr. Ajmone Marsan received speaker fees from Abbott Vascular and GE Healthcare. Dr. Bax received speaker fees from Abbott Vascular and Edwards Lifesciences. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. amjcard.2023.03.026.

 Glikson M, Nielsen JC, Kronborg MB, Michowitz Y, Auricchio A, Barbash IM, Barrabés JA, Boriani G, Braunschweig F, Brignole M, Burri H, Coats AJS, Deharo J-C, Delgado V, Diller G-P, Israel CW, Keren A, Knops RE, Kotecha D, Leclercq C, Merkely B, Starck C, Thylén I, Tolosana JM, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:3427–3520.

- Abraham WT, Fisher WG, Smith AL, Delurgio DB, Leon AR, Loh E, Kocovic DZ, Packer M, Clavell AL, Hayes DL, Ellestad M, Trupp RJ, Underwood J, Pickering F, Truex C, McAtee P, Messenger J, MIRA-CLE Study Group. Multicenter InSync Randomized Clinical Evaluation. Cardiac resynchronization in chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:1845–1853.
- 3. Abraham WT, Young JB, León AR, Adler S, Bank AJ, Hall SA, Lieberman R, Liem LB, O'Connell JB, Schroeder JS, Wheelan KR, Multicenter InSync ICD II Study Group. Effects of cardiac resynchronization on disease progression in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction, an indication for an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, and mildly symptomatic chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2004;110:2864–2868.
- Cleland JG, Daubert JC, Erdmann E, Freemantle N, Gras D, Kappenberger L, Tavazzi L, Cardiac Resynchronization-Heart Failure (CARE-HF) Study Investigators. The effect of cardiac resynchronization on morbidity and mortality in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:1539–1549.
- 5. Bristow MR, Saxon LA, Boehmer J, Krueger S, Kass DA, De Marco T, Carson P, DiCarlo L, DeMets D, White BG, DeVries DW, Feldman AM, Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing, and Defibrillation in Heart Failure (COMPANION) Investigators. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy with or without an implantable defibrillator in advanced chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:2140–2150.
- Moss AJ, Hall WJ, Cannom DS, Klein H, Brown MW, Daubert JP, Estes NA 3rd, Foster E, Greenberg H, Higgins SL, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD, Wilber D, Zareba W, MADIT-CRT Trial Investigators. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy for the prevention of heart-failure events. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1329–1338.
- 7. Zoghbi WA, Adams D, Bonow RO, Enriquez-Sarano M, Foster E, Grayburn PA, Hahn RT, Han Y, Hung J, Lang RM, Little SH, Shah DJ, Shernan S, Thavendiranathan P, Thomas JD, Weissman NJ. Recommendations for noninvasive evaluation of native valvular regurgitation: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography developed in collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2017;30:303–371.
- 8. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P, Muraru D, Picard MH, Rietzschel ER, Rudski L, Spencer KT, Tsang W, Voigt JU. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:1–39. e14.
- 9. Lancellotti P, Tribouilloy C, Hagendorff A, Popescu BA, Edvardsen T, Pierard LA, Badano L, Zamorano JL, Scientific Document Committee of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an executive summary from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;14:611–644.
- Groenewegen A, Rutten FH, Mosterd A, Hoes AW. Epidemiology of heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:1342–1356.
- 11. Jones NR, Roalfe AK, Adoki I, Hobbs FDR, Taylor CJ. Survival of patients with chronic heart failure in the community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2019;21:1306–1325.
- 12. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Böhm M, Burri H, Butler J, Čelutkienė J, Chioncel O, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Crespo-Leiro MG, Farmakis D, Gilard M, Heymans S, Hoes AW, Jaarsma T, Jankowska EA, Lainscak M, Lam CSP, Lyon AR, McMurray JJV, Mebazaa A, Mindham R, Muneretto C, Francesco Piepoli M, Price S, Rosano GMC, Ruschitzka F, Kathrine Skibelund A, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:3599–3726.
- Writing Committee Members. ACC/AHA Joint Committee Members. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guideline for the management of heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2022;28:e1–e167.

- Leyva F, Zegard A, Okafor O, de Bono J, McNulty D, Ahmed A, Marshall H, Ray D, Qiu T. Survival after cardiac resynchronization therapy: results from 50 084 implantations. *Europace* 2019;21:754–762.
- 15. Patel D, Trulock KM, Moennich LA, Kiehl EL, Kumar A, Toro S, Donnellan E, Grimaldi A, Baranowski B, Hussein AA, Tarakji KG, Cantillon DJ, Niebauer M, Wazni OM, Varma N, Wilkoff BL, Rickard JW. Predictors of long-term outcomes greater than 10 years after cardiac resynchronization therapy implantation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2020;31:1182–1186.
- 16. Kutyifa V, Kloppe A, Zareba W, Solomon SD, McNitt S, Polonsky S, Barsheshet A, Merkely B, Lemke B, Nagy VK, Moss AJ, Goldenberg I. The influence of left ventricular ejection fraction on the effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy: MADIT-CRT (Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial with Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy). J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:936–944.
- Rickard J, Patel D, Park C, Marine JE, Sinha S, Tang WHW, Varma N, Wilkoff BL, Spragg D. Long-term outcomes in patients with a left ejection fraction ≤15% undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2021;7:36–46.
- Höke U, Mertens B, Khidir MJH, Schalij MJ, Bax JJ, Delgado V, Ajmone Marsan N. Usefulness of the CRT-SCORE for shared decision

making in cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with a left ventricular ejection fraction of \leq 35. *Am J Cardiol* 2017;120:2008–2016.

- 19. Bertaglia E, Arena G, Pecora D, Reggiani A, D'Onofrio A, Palmisano P, De Simone A, Caico SI, Marini M, Maglia G, Ferraro A, Solimene F, Cecchetto A, Malacrida M, Botto GL, Lunati M, Stabile G. The VALID-CRT risk score reliably predicts response and outcome of cardiac resynchronization therapy in a real-world population. *Clin Cardiol* 2019;42:919–924.
- 20. Nauffal V, Tanawuttiwat T, Zhang Y, Rickard J, Marine JE, Butcher B, Norgard S, Dickfeld T, Ellenbogen KA, Guallar E, Tomaselli GF, Cheng A. Predictors of mortality, LVAD implant, or heart transplant in primary prevention cardiac resynchronization therapy recipients: the HF-CRT score. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12: 2387–2394.
- 21. Khatib M, Tolosana JM, Trucco E, Borràs R, Castel A, Berruezo A, Doltra A, Sitges M, Arbelo E, Matas M, Brugada J, Mont L. EAARN score, a predictive score for mortality in patients receiving cardiac resynchronization therapy based on pre-implantation risk factors. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16: 802–809.