Futureproof interdisciplinair onderwijs in een wetenschapsmaster: ervaringen van docenten en studenten

Dorien Baeten[1] & Sarah Doumen[1],
**AUHL Onderwijs- en inspiratiedag, 22 februari 2024**

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

[1] UHasselt, Faculty of Sciences, Master of Materiomics, Campus Diepenbeek, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

Outline workshop

1. Context: New Master of Materiomics
2. Interdisciplinary learning line
3. Mentoring program
4. Focus groups with educational teams & students
5. Conclusion and outlook

Outline workshop

▶▶ **Context: New Master of Materiomics**

2. Interdisciplinary learning line

3. Mentoring program

4. Focus groups with educational teams & students

5. Conclusion and outlook

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

❓ Master of materiomics?

★ Unique combination of **chemistry and physics of materials**

★ Focus on sustainable and innovative functional materials

★ Basis of computational AND experimental approaches

⇒ Interdisciplinarity to solve societal grand challenges

❓ Master of materiomics?

★ 4 possible areas of specialization: materials for

- **Energy**
- **Quantum**
- **Circularity**
- **Health**

★ Strongly embedded with research expertise of UHasselt's
Institute for Materials Research **(imo-imomec)**

Profile of the Master of Materiomics:

Broad knowledge base about:
MATERIALS
modeling, design, synthesis, properties, characterization

Academic research skills

Physics ▶▶

Theoretical ▶▶

Interdisciplinary

◀◀ Chemistry

◀◀ Experimental

Employability skills

Expert in:

Quantum materials and technologies

or

Materials for energy generation, storage and conversion

or

Materials in circular processes

or

Materials for innovative healthcare

1st master:
solid foundation

2nd master:
specialization

Interdisciplinary T-shaped professional

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Outline workshop

1. Context
▶▶ **Interdisciplinary learning line**
3. Mentoring program
4. Focus groups with educational teams & students
5. Conclusion and outlook

# Interdisciplinary educational approach

Transdisciplinary

Interdisciplinary

Multidisciplinary

Disciplinary

Level of integration

Source: https://www.slideshare.net/PaulJCroft/education-in-a-transdisciplinary-world

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Interdisciplinary learning mechanisms

IDENTIFICATION   COORDINATION   REFLECTION   TRANSFORMATION

(Kluijtmans, 2019, based on Akkerman & Bakker, 2011)

Learning mechanisms

- Identification: students are introduced to the different perspectives and approaches
- Coordination: here the focus is on making connections between the different perspectives
- Reflection : considering/learning from different perspectives
- Transformation : developing new (material) solutions by integrating different perspectives

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Interdisciplinary learning line

Interdisciplinary **learning outcomes** are formulated and each course coordinator indicates which learning outcomes (also including fundamental insight, academic skills and employability skills) apply for the **course**

→ educational management team reviews and makes sure that all learning outcomes are addressed throughout the curriculum

→ student learning portfolio (mentoring program)

→ design-based research

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Outline workshop

1. Context
2. Interdisciplinary learning line
▶▶ **Mentoring program**
4. Focus groups with educational teams & students
5. Conclusion and outlook

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Mentor trajectory with portfolio
Supporting development interdisciplinary competences:

- Mentor = professor of the master (received guidelines)
- 3 times/year in dialogue
- Self-reflection (digital growth portfolio)
- Feedback & feed forward
  - academic skills
  - employability skills
 - interdisciplinary competences

Rubric	Started	Developing	According to expectation	Competent
The student is able to relate chemical and physical concepts and methods to each other to understand materials	descriptor	descriptor	descriptor	descriptor
Interdisciplinary learning outcome 2	descriptor	descriptor	descriptor	descriptor

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Mentor trajectory with portfolio
Supporting development interdisciplinary competences
Timeline:

1st master year

- Training sessions
  1. students
  2. mentors
- Intermediate evaluation sessions
  1. students
  2. mentors
- Third mentor meeting (May-June)
- First mentor meeting (November-December)
- Second mentor meeting (February)
- (Optional) mentor meeting August

2nd master year

- Sixth mentor meeting (May-June)
- Fourth mentor meeting (November-December)
- Evaluation sessions
  1. students
  2. mentors
- Fifth mentor meeting (February)
- Intermediate evaluation session students
- Exchange of good practices (mentors)

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Experiences shared by students and mentors

▪ **Students**

- **-** not easy to prepare
- **~** mainly reflection in preparation for the mentor meeting
- **+** meeting with mentor experienced as positive
- **+** sincere interest of the mentor
- **+** 'safety-net' in case of problems

▪ **Mentors**

- **~** Depending on the student (more introvert/extravert)
- **+** In general positive experience
- **+** Open conversation
- **+** Opportunity to also discuss other topics (well-being, study load, internship,...)

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Outline workshop

1. Context
2. Interdisciplinary learning line
3. Mentoring program
▶▶ **Focus groups with educational teams & students**
5. Conclusion and outlook

Design-based research process

Research questions:
- Which factors and teaching methods hinder/promote the implementation of the interdisciplinary learning line?
- How do the courses position themselves regarding the four learning mechanisms of boundary crossing theory?
- How do the teachers (and students) experience the interdisciplinarity in the program? What can be improved?

Methodology

Focus group interviews (total of 10) for each course of the first Master of Materiomics, bringing together all the lecturers contributing to that specific course (min. 3, max. 6, median 4) + **Focus group with all students** who had finished the first master year (n= 8).

Standardised set of questions about interdisciplinarity and how it is implemented in a specific course

The interviews were held in English or Dutch

The recorded interviews were **transcribed, pseudonymised and subsequently analysed to identify recurring themes** or categories of responses

Standardized set of questions

1. Introduction question:
Can you please explain which responsibilities you had within the course?

2. Transition question:
Can you describe your overall experience in teaching in this course?

3. Key questions:
   a. When you think about interdisciplinary education, what does it look like for you?
   b. Are you aware of the interdisciplinary approach in the curriculum materiomics and can you explain where this course is situated on this learning path? (identification, coordination, reflection, transformation)
   c. Which interdisciplinary learning goals or outcomes did you formulate for this course? What do you want to achieve with your students regarding interdisciplinarity/what will students learn in your course regarding interdisciplinarity?
   d. How did you incorporate interdisciplinary education in this course, both in teaching and evaluation? Do you have examples?
   e. What is your biggest challenge to incorporate interdisciplinary education in this course?
   f. If you see it necessary, what can be improved to incorporate (more) interdisciplinary education in this course?
   g. If you see it necessary, what kind of support would help you to incorporate (more) interdisciplinary education in this course?
   h. Did teaching in a team help/hinder you with implementing interdisciplinary learning goals? (Did you link the different modules together?)

4. End questions:
   a. Is there anything additional you would like to say about your teaching experience or interdisciplinary education in this course?
   b. Of all things discussed today related to interdisciplinary education, what do you think is the most important?

Intermezzo

Results

Recurrent themes: three main categories

(1) definition of interdisciplinary education and the formulation of course specific interdisciplinary learning goals

(2) positioning of the courses on the interdisciplinary learning line

(3) the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Theme 1: definition of interdisciplinary education and the formulation of course specific interdisciplinary learning goals

" I think there the big problem lies with the definition of what do you want to call disciplines."

" I am sure we had specific goals, … , so I do not recall exactly what, which goals there were."

" My biggest challenge is that I don't consider myself interdisciplinary … ."

Difficult to formulate their perception of interdisciplinary education and

pinpoint the interdisciplinary learning goals connected to their course

Need for investing in **professionalisation sessions or education forums** on interdisciplinary education

> *Interdisciplinary education in general would mean that you combine aspects of different disciplines within your teaching."*

>> interdisciplinarity from a content perspective

Courses at the first semester of the first master year mainly focus on **introducing disciplines, filling knowledge gaps** and getting to know the '**language**':

> *… we should teach them enough basics, so they have antennas and can ask questions."*

> *It is mainly the language, to allow them or to make them understand the differences between physics and chemistry. Filling the gaps in the sense that they can follow better an interdisciplinary approach where physics is a part of it. But also formulating, let's say knowledge gaps in the future."*

Theme 1: definition of interdisciplinary education and the formulation of course specific interdisciplinary learning goals

when tackling a problem, you need knowledge of different subjects, such as chemistry, physics but also modeling …

» interdisciplinarity from a content perspective

Students also mention interdisciplinary competencies:

out-of-the-box thinking

thinking out of the box than what you yourself are used to from your own scientific background.

communication/ knowledge of jargon

you also need to know the right language with whom you need to talk with since a different jargon is used in the different disciplines

collaboration / interdisciplinary teams

since we are no experts, it is taught to approach others and start talking to them, because with interdisciplinarity you need to know who is working on what to then talk with the right people

interdisciplinary mindset

having the open mindset to explore different techniques, …, that you consider techniques which you are not familiar with and delve deeper into those

Theme 2: positioning of the courses on the interdisciplinary learning line

Students **progress** during first master year already
on the interdisciplinary learning line

IDENTIFICATION   COORDINATION   REFLECTION   TRANSFORMATION

Fundamentals of materials chemistry

Fundamentals of materials physics

Fundamentals of materials modelling

Properties of functional materials

Advanced materials for emerging technologies

Sustainable materials and energy

Materials design and synthesis

Materiomics hands-on project

Business innovation in practice

Internship R&D

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 2: positioning of the courses on the interdisciplinary learning line

Students **progress** during first master year already
**on the interdisciplinary learning line**

IDENTIFICATION COORDINATION REFLECTION TRANSFORMATION

Fundamentals of materials chemistry

Fundamentals of materials physics

Fundamentals of materials modelling

Properties of functional materials

Advanced materials for emerging technologies

Sustainable materials and energy

Materials design and synthesis

Materiomics hands-on project

Business innovation in practice

Internship R&D

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

Seminars

Successful examples

*I think the **different modules are linked together quite well with the seminars**. We covered a fairly broad number of topics in the seminars. So really **applying the fundamental concepts** that were taught at the beginning in an interdisciplinary manner. So, all of the topics that were given in the seminars were by nature interdisciplinary."*

*… the other example was actually the **expert lecture**, …"*

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

*… it is very interdisciplinary with this split between fundamental concepts and then applications. So the application are really **putting all the concepts together**, I see that as the interdisciplinary aspect of the course"*

Successful examples

Application focused examples

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

*… in certain moments or contact moments effectively interaction between different people, **between different teachers at that time, at the same time in that work session**"*

*Basically, [professors name] and me were **in the same classroom** and we talked about [scientific content], first from the physics stand-point, mine, and then [professors name] from chemistry stand-point"*

Successful examples

Duo-teaching

*For example, through that **joint exercise session** between [professors name] and me. Because we had the intention there, yes, to present a problem where they had to **bring together both the physics side**, or what they had learned with the physics professor, and **what they had learned with me** (for your information: chemistry side)"*

*… that you have a **supervisor from chemistry and** a supervisor from **physics**, that that actually works very well to let those students **see both aspects**."*

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

*After each module the students had to **read the same paper again and again and each time they got questions** and these questions came from both physical and chemical backgrounds."*

*But there is actually a transition there in the assignment, that debate sheet, is actually an **assignment that runs through the modules**"*

Successful examples

Assignment

*And they had [um] a paper task on [scientific content] so there they could also **incorporated the knowledge on the different modules** they gathered along the way."*

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

*…, this project, this course, I think this is one of t**he best methods of getting it interdisciplinary**, …"*

… each group contained 1 chemist and 1 physicist …"

*… that they have to **write a report together**, that together they have to arrive at the result they both need, for that they have to **communicate** with each other, **understand** each other, and yes, and in the end they both have to understand the report plus they have to **present** it again to the others who then also ideally have to understand it."*

Hands-on project

Successful examples

*… how you have organised it now with those **two supervisors** in the end, that you have a supervisor from chemistry and a supervisor from physics, that that actually works very well anyway to let those students see both aspects."*

*… during the presentation you might be able to assess that they can **both answer questions both on the physical and chemical part** …"*

*… and they **work in two labs** … [for your information: chemistry and physics lab]"*

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

❝ *I also found the hands-on project to be the most interesting because then **everything comes together** from what you learned the first part of the year"*

❝ *… that encourages looking beyond what we just learned in class"*

❝ *… a physics student and a chemistry student were always **combined in one group**"*

Hands-on project

Successful examples

❝ *… most important there is **report and presentation** with someone from other discipline, which also forces the **communication**"*

❝ *The ultimate example of interdisciplinary teaching was the hands-on project, because there you knew in a **controlled way** there's going to be a chemical touch, there's going to be a physical touch and in some cases a computational touch"*

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Bringing the interdisciplinary content in an interdisciplinary way

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Challenges

Background students

> *I saw a big differences in the topic that I presented there between the chemistry and physics students for sure. … there were big differences between just **how quickly they picked up the content and how comfortable they were with it.***"

Balance
content range ↔ content depth

> *The challenge is to have **sufficient depth** but still that the slide and the courses are still **appealing for all students**.*"

Good-practices

Team teaching

> *…, I think it is because we have a team of three experts. That it is possible to teach these very different content, **different modules at a very high-quality level**.*"

Selection course content

> *I tried to look into the courses that the students had before. But that was mainly **to make references** to the courses and also the **use the correct jargon** or make connections between jargons when there is a difference.*"

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Challenges

Background teachers

*they are purely specific in their discipline, so they are **not interdisciplinary trained**, so they do not know from other directions from where they can go"*

Team teaching

… since we have a lot of professors, and they all teach in several courses, it is difficult to keep track in which course which subject was taught"

Good-practices

Selection jargon

… teacher X [discipline: chemistry] has addressed the jargon of physics"

… clarify what language is used in both disciplines, so in this discipline they say it this way and others say it that way,…"

Selection course content

… teacher X asked very often the question 'what do you find interesting?' and the course is a bit tailored to that"

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Theme 3: the interdisciplinary conceptualisation with its promoting and hindering factors

Success depends on student's willingness to submerge themselves in the interdisciplinary way of thinking

…at one point the physicist had a little bit more knowledge about the topic than the chemist and vice versa and then it was very nice to see that they were **explaining each other** *in the context"*

*…it was definitely not a one-way street"*

What I also noticed, I was really surprised by that, is how **open these students were to this other discipline**. *And probably this has to do with the fact that they* **consciously chose Materiomics as a study**. *I think if we had told this story in a traditional physics course, we wouldn't have had quite this much resonance"*

If they start this course with a **certain biased attitude** *from their own discipline, it cannot work here, it is impossible. Then they won't be motivated to immerse themselves in a certain role, … , to actively look for things, to have their own thoughts about it, … If they don't do that, or start with a certain bias, this … can* **fail** *or be much* **less qualitative** *anyway."*

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Outline workshop

1. Context
2. Interdisciplinary learning line
3. Mentoring program
4. Focus groups with educational teams & students
▶▶ **Conclusion and outlook**

Conclusion

- So far, so good

- Importance of monitoring the **implementation of the interdisciplinary learning line**

- **Design-based research** to improve interdisciplinary boundary crossing further in the curriculum

  - Focus group of all courses

  - Feedback on mentoring trajectory

- In co-creation with students and lecturers

Where to next? Materiomics…

- Supporting implementation through **design-based research** and **feedback** from students and teachers

- **Teacher professionalization** and exchange of good practices on the subject (within and outside the program)

- **Facilitating interdisciplinary teacher teams, development of interdisciplinary courses and methodologies** (e.g., applying design thinking (Melles, 2020); workshops that support the four boundary crossing learning mechanisms; Oonk, Gulikers, den Brok, & Mulder, 2022)**, tools related to the evaluation of interdisciplinary competences** (Gulikers & Oonk, 2019),…

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Do you have questions? suggestions? …

Thank you!

Contact: sarah.doumen@uhasselt.be
dorien.baeten@uhasselt.be

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION

Further reading

Akkerman, S. F., & Bakker, A. (2011). Boundary crossing and boundary objects. *Review of Educational Research, 81, 132-169.*

Boor, I., Gerritsen, D., de Greef, L., & Rodermans, J. (2021). *Meaningful Assessment in Interdisciplinary Education: A Practical Handbook for University Teachers.* Amsterdam University Press.

Gulikers, J., & Oonk, C. (2019). Towards a Rubric for Stimulating and Evaluating Sustainable Learning. *Sustainability*, *11*(4), [969].

Edelbroek, H., Mijnders, M. & Post, G. (2018). *Interdisciplinary Learning Activities*. Amsterdam University Press.

Kluijtmans, M. (2019). Leren verbinden: het opleiden van bruggenbouwers. [Learning to connect: educating bridge builders.] Inaugural lecture 'Education to connect science and professional practice'. Utrecht University: Faculty of Medicine.

Melles, G. (Ed.). (2020). *Design Thinking in Higher Education: Interdisciplinary Encounters*. Springer Nature.

Oonk, C., Gulikers, J., den Brok, P., & Mulder, M. (2022). Stimulating boundary crossing learning in a multi-stakeholder learning environment for sustainable development. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 23*(8), 21-40.

Vink, C., de Greef, L., Post, G., & Wenting, L. (2017). *Designing interdisciplinary education: A practical handbook for university teachers*. Amsterdam University Press.

UHASSELT
KNOWLEDGE IN ACTION