ESC European Socie

Patient-reported outcomes in atrial fibrillation: is it worthwhile systematically evaluating?

Lien Desteghe ()^{1,2,3,4,5}* and Melissa Middeldorp ()⁶

¹Centre for Research and Innovation in Care (CRIC), Department of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences, University of Antwerp, Prinsstraat 13, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium; ²Research Group Cardiovascular Diseases, GENCOR, University of Antwerp, Prinsstraat 13, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium; ³Department of Cardiology, Antwerp University Hospital, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium; ⁴Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium; ⁵Heart Center Hasselt, Jessa Hospital, Stadsomvaart 11, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium; and ⁶Department of Cardiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Received 16 February 2024; accepted 19 February 2024; published 4 March 2024

This invited commentary refers to 'More positive patient-reported outcomes in patients newly diagnosed with atrial fibrillation: a comparative longitudinal study' by L. Holmlund et *al.* https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjcn/zvad139

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common cardiac arrhythmia associated with various negative effects, on patients' quality of life (QOL) and psychological well-being. This longitudinal study highlighted key findings focusing on patients newly diagnosed with AF, compared with those with longer-existing AF, and their perceptions and outcomes over time, using patient-reported outcomes (PROs).¹ Notably, a significant proportion of patients newly diagnosed with AF considered the arrhythmia as a transient condition rather than a chronic ailment, potentially mitigating associated anxiety and distress. Furthermore, there was a noticeable increase in patients' perception of personal control over their condition and the efficacy of treatment modalities, fostering a sense of empowerment and optimism.

At the 6-month mark, newly diagnosed AF patients reported a lower symptom burden. Additionally, these patients experienced a reduction in the severity and frequency of AF-related symptoms, contributing to an overall improvement in well-being. This contrasts with findings in individuals with established AF diagnoses. For instance, analysis of the EAST-AFNET 4 trial, comparing early rhythm control vs. standard care, revealed no significant disparity in the overall European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) symptom score or EQ-5D score between the early rhythm control group and the usual care cohort.² Further, it is also important to acknowledge AF pattern as a factor in patients' symptoms and QOL. In a sub-analysis of the EAST trial, early rhythm control led to an improvement in QOL, as measured by EQ-5D, in patients with paroxysmal and persistent AF but not in those recently diagnosed, defined as within 7 days of trial enrolment.³

Early diagnosis and education are crucial to helping patients with the knowledge of their condition which could improve their overall QOL. A previous qualitative study highlighted the cognitive and emotional responses that were exhibited in patients following their onset of symptoms and subsequent diagnosis of AF. This is largely influenced by the lack of knowledge of the condition and the interaction with healthcare providers.⁴ Additionally, prior research has demonstrated the deleterious impact of depression and anxiety symptoms on QOL in AF

patients.⁵ However, in the current study of Holmlund *et al.*, patients reported marked improvements in various facets of their QOL, indicative of a positive trajectory in overall health status. This was accompanied by a heightened perception of personal control over their condition, suggestive of adaptive coping mechanisms and proactive engagement in AF management. Over time, patients with newly diagnosed AF exhibited a consistent decline in symptom burden, suggestive of potential adaptation to the condition and/or effectiveness of treatment interventions. Gradual reductions in anxiety levels reflected a stabilization of psychological well-being and adjustment to the diagnosis, while progressive improvements in health-related QOL (HRQOL) underscored the potential for positive adaptation and managing AF. These stabilization trends in QOL echo the findings from the previous IMPACT-AF study, which observed similar patterns over a year across various intervention modalities.⁶

As people become older, keeping a high HRQOL is important for all, not only in patients with AF. Insights into patients' perceptions about their health and condition are instrumental in this respect, as recently shown by a statement on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology concerning the use of PROs.⁷ Despite the quality of the present study and analysis, various factors could have impacted the results. Firstly, it would have been interesting to have more information on how patients received education and are followed up at the University Hospital Cardiology Clinic in Northern Sweden and if this has changed over time, as we know that patients' beliefs about the arrhythmia can possibly impact their QOL and psychological distress.⁸ Questions can be raised as follows: Was a standardized education programme in place? Were educational materials used? Was an integrated care approach used tackling topics such as self-management and risk factor management? Is education about the arrhythmia reinforced during follow-up visits? Secondly, there was a large difference in the median time since diagnosis, which was only 1 month in the newly diagnosed group and around 6 years in the group of patients with a longer diagnosis. It can be postulated that patients in the early phase of their disease still do not identify AF as a chronic condition but think that it is easily controllable by medication or procedures. Conversely, patients with a longer diagnosis may have already experienced the cyclical effect of AF, having undergone more interventions, such as direct current

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +3238213306, Email: lien.desteghe@uantwerpen.be; liendesteghe@gmail.com

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

cardioversions and pulmonary vein isolations, which could have failed, impacting their confidence in effective management of the arrhythmia. Therefore, it would also have been interesting to know the baseline information and if there were any arrhythmia recurrences during the study follow-up in both groups. Thirdly, the fact that the baseline measurement was taken at the hospital, at the time an electrical cardioversion was planned, where patients were recruited in the waiting room, while after 6 months they completed the questionnaire at home without a healthcare provider nearby, could have impacted the within-group comparisons between baseline and follow-up. This is important to consider as it is known that various factors related to the source, mode, method, and setting of data collection of PROs can impact patients' responses.⁹ Finally, various instruments can be used to follow up on the well-being of AF patients.¹⁰ In this study, the investigators chose to use the Arrhythmia-Specific questionnaire in Tachycardia and Arrhythmia (ASTA),¹¹ the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS),12 and the Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R).¹³ It is not clear why more AF-specific PROs were not utilized. The International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM) also formulated a standard set of outcome measures for patients with AF including still other PROs (e.g. SF-12, PROMIS, AFEQT, and AFSS).¹⁴ More research is needed on the use of these instruments in the follow-up of AF patients to determine the ideal PRO measure for a particular study.

In summary, the study by Holmlund and colleagues highlights that it is of pivotal importance to measure PROs in AF patients over time to evaluate how the arrhythmia impacts their symptom burden, QOL, and anxiety. The findings underscore the importance of promptly engaging and supporting patients newly diagnosed with AF to encourage their confidence and belief in their own capabilities. Early intervention and tailored support have the potential to significantly impact patients' perceptions, symptom experiences, and overall well-being, fostering a more positive trajectory in their journey with AF. Future research should explore tailored interventions aimed at further enhancing patient empowerment and optimizing long-term outcomes in AF management.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Data availability

No new data have been used in this commentary.

References

- Holmlund L, Hörnsten C, Hörnsten Å, Olsson K, Valham F, Hellström Ängerud K. More positive patient-reported outcomes in patients newly diagnosed with atrial fibrillation—a comparative longitudinal study. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2024. doi:10.1093/eurjcn/zvad139
- Kirchhof P, Camm AJ, Goette A, Brandes A, Eckardt L, Elvan A, et al. Early rhythmcontrol therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1305–1316.
- Goette A, Borof K, Breithardt G, Camm AJ, Crijns HJGM, Kuck K-H, et al. Presenting pattern of atrial fibrillation and outcomes of early rhythm control therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022;80:283–295.
- McCabe PJ, Rhudy LM, DeVon HA. Patients' experiences from symptom onset to initial treatment for atrial fibrillation. J Clin Nurs 2015;24:786–796.
- Akintade BF, Chapa D, Friedmann E, Thomas SA. The influence of depression and anxiety symptoms on health-related quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2015;30:66–73.
- Humphries B, Cox JL, Parkash R, Thabane L, Foster GA, MacKillop J, et al. Patient-reported outcomes and patient-reported experience of patients with atrial fibrillation in the IMPACT-AF clinical trial. J Am Heart Assoc 2021;10:e019783.
- 7. Moons P, Norekvål TM, Arbelo E, Borregaard B, Casadei B, Cosyns B, et al. Placing patient-reported outcomes at the centre of cardiovascular clinical practice: implications for quality of care and management: a statement of the ESC Association of Cardiovascular Nursing and Allied Professions (ACNAP), the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care (ACVC), European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI), European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC), Heart Failure Association (HFA), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), ESC Regulatory Affairs Committee, ESC Advocacy Committee, ESC Digital Health Committee, ESC Education Committee, and the ESC Patient Forum. Eur Heart J 2023;44:3405–3422.
- McCabe PJ, Barnason SA. Illness perceptions, coping strategies, and symptoms contribute to psychological distress in patients with recurrent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2012;27:431–444.
- Chang EM, Gillespie EF, Shaverdian N. Truthfulness in patient-reported outcomes: factors affecting patients' responses and impact on data quality. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas* 2019;**10**:171–186.
- Aliot E, Botto GL, Crijns HJ, Kirchhof P. Quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: how to assess it and how to improve it. EP Europace 2014;16:787–796.
- Ulla W, Anna S, Årestedt K. Development and validation of an arrhythmia-specific scale in tachycardia and arrhythmia with focus on health-related quality of life. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2015;30:98–108.
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67:361–370.
- Moss-Morris R, Weinman J, Petrie K, Horne R, Cameron L, Buick D. The Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R). Psychol Health 2002;17:1–16.
- 14. Seligman WH, Das-Gupta Z, Jobi-Odeneye AO, Arbelo E, Banerjee A, Bollmann A, et al. Development of an international standard set of outcome measures for patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM) atrial fibrillation working group. Eur Heart J 2020;41:1132–1140.