Made available by Hasselt University Library in https://documentserver.uhasselt.be

Biochar amendment to cattle slurry reduces NH3 emissions during storage without risk of higher NH3 emissions after soil application of the solid fraction

Peer-reviewed author version

Viaene, J; Peiren, N; VANDAMME, Dries; LATAF, Amine; CUYPERS, Ann; JOZEFCZAK, Marijke & Vandecasteele, B (2023) Biochar amendment to cattle slurry reduces NH3 emissions during storage without risk of higher NH3 emissions after soil application of the solid fraction. In: Waste Management, 167, p. 39-45.

DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2023.05.023 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/1942/43178

1	Biochar amendment to cattle slurry reduces NH_3 emissions during storage without risk of
2	higher NH_3 emissions after soil application of the solid fraction
3	J. Viaene ^{1,*} , N. Peiren ¹ , D. Vandamme ² , A. Lataf ² , A. Cuypers ² , M. Jozefczak ² , B. Vandecasteele ¹
4	¹ Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Burg. Van
5	Gansberghelaan 92, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium
6	² Hasselt University, Centre for Environmental Sciences (CMK), Agoralaan Building D, 3590
7	Diepenbeek, Belgium
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR. Jarinda Viaene, Tel.: +3292722705. E-mail addresses:
17	jarinda.viaene@ilvo.vlaanderen.be (J. Viaene), nico.peiren@ilvo.vlaanderen.be (N. Peiren),
18	dries.vandamme@uhasselt.be (D. Vandamme), amine.lataf@uhasselt.be (A. Lataf),
19	ann.cuypers@uhasselt.be (A. Cuypers), marijke.jozefczak@uhasselt.be (M. Jozefzcak),
20	bart.vandecasteele@ilvo.vlaanderen.be (B. Vandecasteele)

21 Abstract

Cattle slurry storage is a major source of gaseous N emissions. The aim of this study was to 22 evaluate the effects of biochar, clinoptilolite and elemental sulfur (S°) on (1) NH₃ and 23 24 greenhouse gas emissions during storage of cattle slurry and (2) after soil application of the enriched solid fractions; and (3) on the agronomic quality of the solid and liquid fractions. In 25 the first phase, biochar was added to the slurry (10 g L⁻¹); subsequently in the second phase, 26 clinoptilolite (50 g L⁻¹), S° (1 g L⁻¹) and 40 g L⁻¹ extra biochar were added. Gaseous emissions 27 were monitored by a semi-continuous multi-gas analyzer and the agronomic quality of solid 28 and liquid fractions was assessed after separation. The enriched solid fractions were applied 29 to soil to study the effects on gaseous emissions, N and C mineralization. Amendment of 30 biochar reduced NH₃ emissions during cattle slurry storage by 12% during the first 7 days. 31 32 Extra amendment of biochar, clinoptilolite and S° in combination with biochar resulted in a 33 decrease of NH₃ emissions of approximately 20%. The N sorbed from the slurry by the biochar was not released as NH₃ during soil application of the solid fractions and was not released as 34 mineral N in the short term (within 28 days). A short-term positive priming effect of biochar 35 on the C mineralization of manure and biochar-manure mixture applied to soil was observed. 36 The biochar-enriched solid fractions contained more C, total and organic N and water-37 available P with a slow release. 38

Keywords: biochar; clinoptilolite, elemental sulfur; N sorption; greenhouse gas emissions; NH₃
 emissions

42 **1. Introduction**

The increase in intensive livestock production has led to severe environmental problems, in 43 particular related to management of large amounts of nutrient-rich slurry (liquid manure). 44 45 Storage and soil application of cattle slurry are responsible for considerable emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) and ammonia (NH₃) (Amon et al., 2006), resulting in negative effects 46 on climate change, acid rain, ozone formation in the troposphere (Smithson, 2002) and 47 48 reduction of the N fertilizer value of the slurry. The EU Directive 2016/2284 requires that starting in 2030, the national NH₃ emission ceilings should be reduced at all stages of animal 49 manure management (10% for feeding, 20% for housing, 40% for storage and 30% for soil 50 application compared with the reference method described in the Ammonia Guidance 51 Document). 52

The main mitigation measures for reducing the environmental implications of slurries are 53 54 dietary changes, improved design of the storage tank and stables, covering the slurry storage area, application of additives to the slurry, separation of the slurry into a solid and liquid 55 fraction, and anaerobic digestion (Kupper et al., 2020). Here we focus on addition of 56 sustainable additives during manure storage to reduce N losses at an early stage. Amending 57 the slurry with clinoptilolite, a natural zeolite, has been shown to reduce NH₃ emissions from 58 slurry due to the great affinity of clinoptilolite for NH₄⁺-N (Pereira et al., 2020). The use of 59 60 additives consisting of bio-based products such as biochar (pyrolyzed biomass) may be of great 61 interest as they have shown potential to reduce nutrient losses during manure storage, while also recycling nutrients during biochar production and soil application. Biochar amendment in 62 manure has been proven to reduce NH₃ emissions by 12-77% (Halim et al., 2017; Kalus et al., 63 2019; Pereira et al., 2020; Rogovska et al., 2011; Taghizadeh-Toosi et al., 2012) via two 64

mechanisms: formation of a crust (physical barrier for gases) and sorption of NH_3 and NH_4^+-N onto the biochar (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al., 2012; Holly et al., 2017). The latter is strongly dependent on the characteristics of the biochar (mainly determined by feedstock and pyrolysis temperature), and can be predicted by fast screening tests (Viaene et al., 2023). Another strategy used to mitigate gaseous emissions during slurry storage is acidification by adding elemental sulfur (S°) which neutralizes OH⁻ ions required for the conversion of NH_4^+ -N ions to NH_3 gas (Maffia et al., 2020).

72 After storage, the manure is generally applied to soil as fertilizer (especially the solid fraction after slurry separation). Additionally, the biochar-enriched solid fraction, containing high 73 74 amounts of stable C, could be beneficial for C sequestration on the long term (Lorenz and Lal, 2014). Obviously, the reduced N losses during slurry storage should not be released after soil 75 76 application of the solid fraction. There are already several papers studying the effect of 77 biochar addition on soil properties and greenhouse gas emissions in temperate regions (e.g., (Nelissen et al., 2014; 2013)), whereas studies on soil application of biochar-manure mixtures 78 79 are limited (Abagandura et al., 2022; Khan et al., 2023; Romero et al., 2021). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that integrates the measurement of gaseous losses during 80 small-scale manure storage followed by soil application of the biochar-enriched solid fraction. 81 The biochar is thus used more than once: first it helps to reduce emissions during slurry 82 storage, then the biochar-enriched solid fraction is used as a slow-release fertilizer and stable 83 source of C. When used in this way, biochar can support the development of a circular 84 economy by improving nutrient recovery and nutrient use efficiency (Joseph et al., 2021). 85

86	The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of biochar, S° and clinoptilolite on the
87	composition and emission of NH ₃ , N ₂ O, CO ₂ and CH ₄ during the storage of cattle slurry and
88	after soil application of the solid fraction. The following hypotheses were tested:
89	1) Biochar and clinoptilolite addition and acidification with S° reduce NH_3 losses during
90	cattle slurry storage.
91	2) The N sorbed on the enriched solid fractions is not released as NH_3 after soil application
92	of the solid fractions.
93	3) Biochar-enriched solid fraction has a better agronomical quality than the solid fraction
94	without biochar (i.e., higher C input to soil, higher soil microbial activity, more N with
95	a slow release).
96	2. Materials & Methods
97	2.1. Storage experiment with cattle slurry
98	A single bulk sample of cattle slurry was collected from the manure pit at the dairy cattle
99	research barn at ILVO (Melle, Belgium). A small-scale storage experiment was conducted at
100	ILVO by using PVC containers with a removable lid perforated to allow natural ventilation

101 (volume 10 L, diameter 19.5 cm). During phase 1 (7 days), three containers were filled with 5.98 L cattle slurry (20 cm height) as a control, and three containers were filled with 5.98 L 102 cattle slurry mixed with 10% dry weight (DW) (w/w) (10 g L⁻¹ slurry) green waste-based biochar 103 104 (Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium). Measurements during the first phase lasted for 7 days 105 until emissions were stabilized (Pereira et al. (2020)). During phase 2 (day 33 - day 48), extra amendments (no amendment, S°, clinoptilolite or biochar) were added to the slurries by 106 stirring in a similar manner in all containers. Per liter slurry, 1 g S° (Aveve NV, Belgium), 50 g 107 108 clinoptilolite (Orffa Belgium NV, Belgium) or 40 g wood-based biochar (Proininso Inc., Málaga, 109 Spain) (50 g biochar in total per L slurry) were added. This resulted in six treatments of cattle slurry (CS), indicated in the treatment name with an underscore "0", "S", "B" or "C" to 110 indicate either no addition or amendment with S°, biochar or clinoptilolite (i.e., "_0_S" 111 indicates no amendment in the first phase and S° amendment in the second). The biochars 112 were chosen because of their high capacity for NH₄⁺-N and NH₃ sorption in previous screening 113 114 tests (Viaene et al., 2023). They were made from different feedstocks and it was tested if they have the same effect on emissions. Biochar characteristics can be found in Table 1. In addition, 115 116 the gas concentration in the ambient air (background) was measured in an empty container.

117 **2.2.** Separation of the slurry into solid and liquid fractions

After the storage experiment, the slurries were separated in a solid and liquid fraction by centrifugation (Avanti[®] J-26S XPI, Beckman Coulter, CA, USA) for 20 min at 5800 rpm and 6.254 xg. Separation efficiency (%) was calculated as the fresh weight of solid/liquid fraction over the total weight. The total separated solid and liquid fractions of the slurry were homogenized and subsamples were retained for analysis.

123 The liquid fractions were analyzed for total N (Dumas EN 13654-2) and diluted with water (1:5 124 v/v) for the determination of NH₄⁺-N (Skalar San++ flow analyzer, Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, NL), NO₃⁻-N (Dionex ICS-3000 ion chromatograph, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and pH-H₂O 125 (EN 13037). The solid fractions were analyzed for pH-H₂O (EN 13037), dry matter (DM, EN 126 127 13040) and organic matter (OM, EN 13039). NO₃⁻-N, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and water-available P (P_w) were 128 measured with a Dionex ICS-3000 ion chromatograph (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Total C 129 (TC), organic C (OC), inorganic C (IC) (NBN EN 15936) and total N (Dumas EN 13654-2) were measured with a Primacs SNC100-IC C/N analyzer (Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, NL) after 130 drying the solid fractions with tartaric acid (300 mL tartaric acid per 250 g solid fraction at 131

132 70°C, NEN 7430:1998). C/N ratio was calculated based on OC and total N. NH₄+-N of the tartaric acid dried solid fractions was also measured (Skalar San++ flow analyzer, Skalar Analytical B.V., 133 Breda, NL). Total concentrations of P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe and Al were determined by 5110 VDV 134 Agilent ICP-OES (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) in the extract following digestion (120 min at 135 105 °C) of 0.5 g dried and ground material with 4 mL HNO₃ (p.a. 65%) and 12 mL HCl (p.a. 37%) 136 137 using a DigiPREP MS 200 Block Digestion System (SCP SCIENCE, Québec, Canada). The cation 138 exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by ammonium acetate at pH 7.0 and KCl, modified 139 from the method by Rajkovich et al. (1992). Five grams of material were extracted in a suspension of 50 mL 1 M ammonium acetate at pH 7.0 and mixed on a shaker table overnight. 140 After mixing, the suspension was transferred to a funnel fitted with filter paper. The volume 141 142 of the collected filtrate was replenished to 250 mL by slowly pouring 1 M ammonium acetate 143 onto the used filter. After washing the sample on the filter three times with 60% ethanol, the NH₄⁺ on the cation exchange sites of the sample was exchanged by K⁺ by pouring 250 mL 10% 144 KCl at pH 2.5 in 5 aliquots over the used filter. The NH₄⁺ concentration in the filtrate was 145 146 subsequently determined with a Skalar SAN++ flow analyzer. As an indicator of biological 147 stability, oxygen uptake rate (OUR) was calculated from the oxygen consumption due to 148 microbial activity of the solid fractions (equivalent dose of 2 g OM per flask) and 200 mL 149 buffered nutrient solution in a 1 L Schott flask during five days at 120 rpm at 20 °C based on 150 the method reported in Grigatti et al. (2011). The pressure course in the bottle headspace was 151 continuously recorded by means of the OxiTop device (WTW GmbH, Germany), in which the CO_2 was trapped by Sodalime (Merck). OUR was expressed as mmol kg⁻¹ OC h⁻¹. 152

2.3. Soil application experiment with solid fractions

154 The PVC containers (section 2.1 above) were filled with moistened soil (50% water-filled pore space to optimize soil microbial activity) to a depth of 5 cm. First, the emissions of the soils 155 156 were measured to exclude excessive variability among the soils. On top of the base layer of soil, a 5 cm layer was added. This layer contained a mixture of soil and different solid fractions 157 to achieve an equal N dose of 340 kg N ha^{-1'} (the double of the maximum allowed N dose from 158 159 animal manure in Flanders). The experiment included a blank treatment (10 cm soil without solid fraction amendment) to be able to calculate net emissions from the solid fractions. The 160 161 background gas concentration in the air was measured in an empty container. Each treatment consisted of three replicates. The air temperature in the headspace was measured in six 162 containers (TESTO 175T3). NH₃, N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄, emissions in the headspace were 163 164 monitored during three periods of 2.24, 2.22 and 4.13 days, respectively (total of 8.6 days).

165 The soil characteristics prior to the experiment were as follows: DM = 88%; pH-KCl = 5.7; electrical conductivity (EC) = 131 μ S cm⁻¹; OC = TC = 1.09% dry soil⁻¹, mineral N = 26.4 mg kg⁻¹ 166 DM, total N = 0.11% dry soil⁻¹; P-CaCl₂ = 4.6 mg kg⁻¹ fresh soil; K-AmLact = 30.1 mg 100 g⁻¹ dry 167 168 soil; Mg-AmLact = 22.2 mg 100 g⁻¹ dry soil; Ca-AmLact = 90 mg 100 g⁻¹ dry soil; P-AmLact = 32.4 mg 100 g⁻¹ dry soil. After 28 days, the soil was analyzed for mineral N (ISO 14256-2) and 1 M 169 170 CaCl₂ extractable P (P-CaCl₂ according to method described in (Vanden Nest et al., 2014). Net N mineralization and net P-CaCl₂ were calculated by subtracting the mineral N and P-CaCl₂ of 171 the blank soil. 172

173 2.4. Gas measurements

The containers of the storage (2.1) and soil application experiment (2.3) were connected to a CT5200 semi-continuous multi-gas analyzer (Quantum Cascade Laser Emerson, including a Buehler Panel filter AGF-FE-4-I). The containers were connected via Festo push-in fittings and 177 a PFA tube of 7.5 m was attached to the multisampler with a Swagelok fitting. The headspace of each container was measured for NH₃, N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ in sequence (10 min intervals), at 178 a rate of one measurement per second and with a flow rate of 60 L h⁻¹ for phase 1 of the 179 storage experiment and 45 L h⁻¹ for phase 2 plus the soil application experiment. The 180 containers were placed in a climate chamber at 18 °C (including two ESCORT iLOG Dataloggers 181 182 for temperature and relative humidity) with an inlet air tube with a 5 cm diameter. The gas 183 analyzer also measured the ambient air humidity. The detection limits for NH₃ (filter type 184 UA0973), N₂O (filter type UA0985), CO₂ (filter type UA0982) and CH₄ (filter type UA0969) were 0.1521, 0.0589, 2.9471 and 0.2864 mg m⁻³, respectively. Figure 1 shows the experimental 185 186 setup.

To calculate the net dry emissions E_{dry} (g s⁻¹) ('emissions') from the slurries (section 2.1) and
solid fractions (section 2.3), the following formula was used:

189
$$E_{dry} = E_{wet} * 100/(100 - \% H_2 0))$$

190 with % H₂O = % water in air sample and the net wet emissions calculated as:

191
$$E_{wet} = Q * [(Co - Ci) * 10^{-6}] * M / V_m$$

with Q = ventilation rate (1.67E-05 m³ s⁻¹ in phase 1 and 1.25E-05 m³ s⁻¹ in phase 2); C₀ = gas concentration air outlet (ppm); C_i = gas concentration air inlet (ppm) = average of the air treatments (slurry storage) and blank soil treatments (solid fraction experiment); 10^{-6} = conversion ppm (parts per million); M = molar mass gas (g mol⁻¹; CH₄ = 16.042; CO₂ = 44.410; NH₃ = 17.031; N₂O = 44.013); V_m = molar volume gas = $R * T / P_{STP}$, with R = 8.314472 10^{-2} m³ hPa K⁻¹ mol⁻¹; T = measured temperature in the chamber (K); p_{STP} = standard atmospheric pressure (1013.25 hPa).

199 **2.5. Statistics**

During phase 1, gaseous emissions (NH₃, N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄) were calculated per day and the 200 effect of treatment (biochar/no biochar) on the emissions was tested using a Linear Mixed-201 202 Effects model with Treatment and Days as fixed effects and Repetition as random effect. The 203 effect of amendments on the separation efficiency, chemical characteristics of the solid and liquid fractions, net N mineralization and net P-CaCl₂ of the solid fractions were tested using 204 205 linear regression. The effect of amendment on net emissions NH₃ and CO₂ during the soil application experiment were tested with ANOVA, with Treatment (amendment) and period 206 (first/second/third) as factors (no interactions), followed by a Post-hoc Tukey's Honest 207 Significant Differences test. A significance level of 5% was used throughout all analyses. All 208 209 statistical analyses were performed using the open-source software platform R (version 3.6.1; 210 R Core Team, 2019).

211 **3. Results**

3.1. NH₃ and greenhouse gas emissions during storage of cattle slurry

213 The cattle slurry either with or without amendments showed a near absence of N₂O emissions 214 during the whole experiment (Table 2). During the first 7 days (phase 1), NH₃, CO₂ and CH₄ emissions decreased over time. Adding biochar to the slurry decreased the total NH₃ emissions 215 216 significantly by 12% (p = 0.023), but had no effect on the CO₂ emissions (Table 2). Conversely, 217 the total CH₄ emissions were higher (p = 0.030) for the biochar-amended slurry compared to 218 the slurry without biochar. Furthermore, there was a strong negative correlation between NH₃ and CH₄ emissions (R^2 = 0.90). During phase 2, CS_0_C, CS_B_S and CS_B_B showed 219 220 approximately 20% lower NH₃ emissions compared to the slurry without amendments (CS_0_0). Remarkably, the addition of S° without biochar (CS_0_S) did not result in a reduction 221

in NH_3 emissions. Small differences in CO_2 and CH_4 emissions were observed across the treatments.

3.2. Manure treatment: separation efficiency and characteristics of the liquid and solid fraction

Adding clinoptilolite and a double dose of biochar to the slurry increased the separation efficiency of the solid fraction from 36% to 40% and 49% (Table 3). Adding clinoptilolite (SF_0_C) and biochar (SF_B_0, SF_B_S and especially SF_B_B) to the slurry resulted in a higher N content in the solid fractions. Adding biochar to the slurry resulted in a higher C content of the solid fractions; the C content of SF_B_B was even double that of the control SF_0_0.

No significant differences between the chemical characteristics of the liquid fractions with and without amendments were found (Tables 3 and 4). There was a clear negative correlation (R^2 = 0.94) between the application of clinoptilolite or biochar and NH₄⁺-N content. NO₃⁻-N contents were below the detection limit.

235 Table 5 and Appendix 1 show the chemical characterization of the different solid fractions. Adding clinoptilolite to the slurry resulted in a drier solid fraction with a much lower C content 236 (OM, TC, OC and IC). The clinoptilolite-enriched solid fraction contained less total and mineral 237 238 N (resulting in a lower C/N), less total P, Mg and Ca, but a higher N/P and OUR compared to 239 the control solid fraction. The double-biochar-enriched solid fraction had a higher DM and OM content, a lower total N and NH4⁺-N content (resulting in a higher C/N), SO4²⁻, total P, Mg 240 241 content, but a higher N/P and P_w. In contrast to the biochar-enriched solid fraction, the OUR decreased compared to the control after adding a double dose of biochar. The S°-enriched 242 solid fraction contained almost 5 times more SO₄²⁻ and had a much higher CEC (the highest 243 244 amongst the different solid fractions) than the control solid fraction. The combination of biochar and S° resulted in a solid fraction with 4 times the SO_4^{2-} content and a higher P_w and CEC as compared to the control.

The biochar-enriched solid fractions (SF_B_0, SF_B_S and SF_B_B) contained a significantly lower total Mg content, NO₃⁻-N content and NO₃⁻-N/total N ratio (p = 0.05) compared to the solid fractions without biochar (SF_0_0, SF_0_S and SF_0_C). Furthermore, they had a significantly higher P_w (p = 0.01).

251

3.3. NH₃ and greenhouse gas emissions during soil application of solid fractions

252 Table 6 shows the NH₃ and CO₂ emissions (mg day⁻¹) per container for the different solid 253 fractions after soil application during the three measuring periods. N₂O and CH₄ emissions were negligible and are therefore not discussed further. NH₃ emissions decreased with time 254 and were higher during the first period of 2.24 days than during the last two periods (p < p255 0.001). NH₃ emissions were even below the detection limit during the last two periods. In 256 257 contrast, CO₂ emissions (expressed based on an equal C input of the SF) were not different 258 during the measuring period. SF_0_C resulted in significantly lower NH₃ emissions than SF_0_S (p = 0.01), but no effect from the other additives was observed. SF B B showed lower CO₂ 259 260 emissions (p < 0.001) than all other treatments (Table 7).

261

3.4. N mineralization of solid fractions

After 28 days of soil application, no significant differences in net P-CaCl₂ and net N mineralization of the solid fractions in the soil were observed (Table 7). The soil mineral N content varied between 147 and 175 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil. More than 94% of the total mineral N was NO₃⁻-N. No difference in relative soil P release was observed when expressed based on equal P input of the solid fractions. 267 **4. Discussion**

4.1. Effect of biochar and clinoptilolite addition and acidification with S° on NH₃ losses during cattle slurry storage

270 Between 4-7% of the initial N in the manure was lost as NH₃ during cattle slurry storage of 23 days. Amendment of 10 g L⁻¹ biochar reduced NH₃ emissions during cattle slurry storage by 271 272 12% during the first 7 days. Extra amendment of biochar, clinoptilolite and S° in combination 273 with biochar resulted in a decrease of NH₃ emissions of approximately 20%. Those results are comparable to the study of Pereira et al. (2020), where biochar and clinoptilolite reduced NH₃ 274 275 emissions by 26% in pig slurry. The reduction in NH₃ emissions is probably related to N sorption 276 onto the biochar and clinoptilolite, supported by the higher N content of the enriched solid fractions (Table 5) and the high capacity for N sorption of those biochars (Viaene et al., 2023). 277 278 The formation of a thick crust as barrier for NH₃ release (Holly and Larson, 2017) seemed less 279 likely in this experiment, as a thick crust was absent. S° alone did not result in a decrease in NH₃ emissions and there was no pH drop in the liquid and solid fractions due to the addition 280 281 of biochar or S° (Table 5); therefore, acidification of the slurry by S° (in combination with biochar) seems less likely to cause a reduction in NH₃ emissions. Pereira et al. (2020) even 282 283 found an increase in the slurry pH (+1) due to biochar amendment. The high IC values of the 284 solid fraction (Table 5) indicate a high acid buffering capacity, probably acting against a drop 285 in pH. Another explanation is that there is not sufficient O_2 and/or oxidizing microorganisms present in the more anaerobic manure to convert S° to sulphuric acid. 286

During the first days of slurry storage with biochar, slightly higher CH₄ emissions were observed compared to slurry storage without biochar. There was a negative correlation between NH₃ and CH₄ emissions. However, when expressed based on an equal C input of the

290 slurry, the CH₄ and CO₂ emissions were similar, indicating a similar C mineralization. The CH₄ 291 and CO₂ emissions were even lower for the highest biochar dose, as related to either sorption 292 of CO₂ onto the biochar or a reduction in the labile C availability (Pereira et al., 2020). When the CO₂ and CH₄ emissions were expressed only based on the C input from the slurry (without 293 taking the stable C coming from biochar into account), the mineralization was on average 6% 294 295 (first phase) and 15% (second phase) higher for the biochar-enriched slurries. Biochar seems 296 to enhance the decomposition of organic matter of the slurry during storage by stimulating 297 microbial activity. A faster decomposition and lower greenhouse gas emissions were also found when biochar was added at the beginning of the composting process (Vandecasteele et 298 al., 2016). Biochars generally result in short-term positive priming of native soil organic 299 300 carbon, followed by negative priming and buildup of soil organic carbon on the long term 301 (Chen et al., 2021).

In summary, the hypothesis that biochar and clinoptilolite addition reduces NH₃ losses during cattle slurry storage could be confirmed; however, acidification with S° without biochar did not result in a decrease in the NH₃ emissions. In future research, other methods could be tested to acidify biochar and the effects of more acid biochars on NH₃ emissions could be investigated. Furthermore, experiments in real-life settings should be conducted to verify the results.

4.2. The N sorbed on enriched solid fraction is not released as NH₃ after soil application of the solid fractions

As it is not desirable to shift N losses from manure storage to soil application, gaseous losses were monitored when (biochar-)enriched solid fractions were applied to soil. It should be noted that gaseous emissions may differ depending on the soil, manure and biochar type. In

313 this study, the reduced NH₃ emissions during slurry storage with biochar and clinoptilolite (indicating that additional N bound to the biochar or clinoptilolite) was not released as NH₃ 314 during soil application of the enriched solid fractions. Soil application of the clinoptilolite-315 enriched solid fraction showed the lowest NH₃ emissions, indicating that the N was bound 316 317 more strongly bound to clinoptilolite than to biochar. The bound N was also not released to 318 the soil as mineral N in the short term (within 28 days), as revealed by a lack of difference in 319 soil N mineralization between the biochar-enriched solid fractions (containing more total N) 320 compared to the solid fractions without biochar.

Regarding C losses during soil application of the enriched solid fractions, this experiment 321 322 showed that the highest biochar dose resulted in lower CO₂ emissions (expressed based on an equal C input) compared to the other treatments. When expressed based on the C input of 323 324 the pure solid fraction without taking the C of the biochar into account, the CO₂ emissions 325 seemed to be slightly higher for the biochar-enriched solid fractions compared to those without biochar (32 mg day⁻¹ with biochar vs. 27 mg day⁻¹ without biochar). This may have 326 327 been due to either mineralization of the labile biochar C or an increased mineralization of the soil organic matter (Troy et al., 2013). 328

In summary, N sorbed on enriched solid fractions was not released as NH₃ after soil application of the solid fractions. Moreover, soil application with biochar-enriched solid fraction resulted in lower CO₂ emissions compared to solid fraction without biochar. More research is needed to study the priming effect of biochar regarding to C mineralization of the soil organic matter. The observed effects should be also tested on other soil types.

4.3. Biochar-enriched solid fraction has better agronomical quality than solid fraction
 without biochar

336 No significant effect of biochar on the characteristics of the liquid fractions was observed, as most of the nutrients and biochar segregated into the solid fraction. Amendment of 337 clinoptilolite and the highest dose of biochar increased the separation efficiency of the solid 338 fraction up to 13%. Amendment of biochar and S° in combination with biochar resulted in an 339 340 increase in the total N content, but a decrease in the NO₃⁻-N content and NO₃⁻-N / total N ratio 341 of the solid fraction. As there is no effect on NH₄⁺-N content, this could indicate that there is 342 more organically bound N in the biochar-amended solid fractions. This could be advantageous, 343 as organic N has a slower release than mineral N. Another advantage of soil amendment of biochar-enriched solid fraction compared to the solid fraction without biochar is the higher C 344 and water-available P input to the soil. There was no difference in soil P-CaCl₂ (with equal P 345 input) between solid fraction treatments, indicating slow release of the higher P content in 346 347 the biochar-enriched solid fractions. S° (in combination with biochar) increased the SO₄²⁻ content of the enriched solid fractions, which is favorable for crops with a high S demand. 348

349 The C in biochar is very stable. To assess the stability of the pure manure in the biochar-350 amended manure, the OUR was expressed relative to the C content of the solid fraction, i.e., without counting the C of the biochar. Based on this calculation, the biological stability (based 351 352 on OUR) of biochar-enriched solid fractions is higher (on average 44 mmol O₂ kg⁻¹ TC) than solid fractions without biochar (on average 32 mmol O₂ kg⁻¹ TC). This indicates that soil 353 amendment of biochar-enriched solid fraction would lead to a higher soil microbial activity. 354 This is in accordance with the observed increase in CO₂ mineralization after soil application of 355 the biochar-enriched solid fractions. Total N mineralization/release was calculated by 356 summing NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N mineralization after 28 days and NH₃ losses extrapolated over 28 357 358 days. In general, 92-96% of the total N mineralization/release was mineralized to NO₃⁻-N, 2359 6% to NH_4^+ -N and only 2% was lost as NH_3 . There was a significantly lower % NH_3 /total N when 360 adding clinoptilolite compared to the solid fraction without any additives (p = 0.01).

In summary, the biochar-enriched solid fraction of cattle manure has better agronomical quality than the solid fraction without biochar, more specifically a higher C and P input to the soil, potentially higher soil microbial activity and more organically bound N with a slow release.

364 **5.** Conclusions

365 The results of this pilot study showed the potential of adding biochar and clinoptilolite to reduce NH₃ emissions in the storage of cattle slurry, at the same time avoiding NH₃ emissions 366 367 after application of the enriched solid fractions to non-alkaline soil. Furthermore, biochar improved the separation efficiency and the quality of the solid fraction in terms of nutrients 368 (N, P) and C content. Addition of S° during slurry storage was only successful for reducing NH₃ 369 370 emissions in combination with biochar. A short-term positive priming effect of biochar on the 371 C mineralization of manure and the biochar-manure mixture applied to the soil was observed. 372 To verify this study, more research is recommended with other biochar types (e.g. acid biochars) and other soil types, and the experiments should be scaled up and tested in real-life 373 374 conditions.

375 6. Acknowledgements

The Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) has (co-)financed the research (BASTA, S000119N). We thank Tom Van Gyseghem, Siebert Vierendeels, Koen Van Loo and the other ILVO lab technicians for their technical assistance. We are grateful to Miriam Levenson (ILVO) for English-language editing.

380 7. References

- Abagandura, G.O., Bansal, S., Karsteter, A., Kumar, S., 2022. Soil greenhouse gas emissions, organic
- carbon and crop yield following pinewood biochar and biochar-manure applications at eroded and
 depositional landscape positions: A field trial in South Dakota, USA. Soil Use and Management 38, 487-
- 384 502.
- 385 Amon, B., Kryvoruchko, V., Amon, T., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2006. Methane, nitrous oxide and
- ammonia emissions during storage and after application of dairy cattle slurry and influence of slurry
 treatment. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 112, 153-162.
- Chen, G., Fang, Y., Van Zwieten, L., Xuan, Y., Tavakkoli, E., Wang, X., Zhang, R., 2021. Priming,
 stabilization and temperature sensitivity of native SOC is controlled by microbial responses and
 physicochemical properties of biochar. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 154, 108139.
- Halim, S.F., Yong, S.K., Tay, C.C., 2017. Ammonia Nitrogen Adsorption using Spent Mushroom Substrate
 Biochar (SMSB). Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology 25, 9-20.
- Holly, M.A., Larson, R.A., 2017. Thermochemical Conversion of Biomass Storage Covers to Reduce
 Ammonia Emissions from Dairy Manure. Water Air and Soil Pollution 228.
- Joseph, S., Cowie, A.L., Van Zwieten, L., Bolan, N., Budai, A., Buss, W., Cayuela, M.L., Graber, E.R.,
- 396 Ippolito, J.A., Kuzyakov, Y., Luo, Y., Ok, Y.S., Palansooriya, K.N., Shepherd, J., Stephens, S., Weng, Z.,
- Lehmann, J., 2021. How biochar works, and when it doesn't: A review of mechanisms controlling soil and plant responses to biochar. Gcb Bioenergy 13, 1731-1764.
- Kalus, K., Koziel, J.A., Opaliński, S., 2019. A Review of Biochar Properties and Their Utilization in Crop
 Agriculture and Livestock Production, Applied Sciences.
- 401 Khan, N., Bolan, N., Jospeh, S., Anh, M.T.L., Meier, S., Kookana, R., Borchard, N., Sánchez-Monedero,
- 402 M.A., Jindo, K., Solaiman, Z.M., Alrajhi, A.A., Sarkar, B., Basak, B.B., Wang, H., Wong, J.W.C., Manu,
- 403 M.K., Kader, M.A., Wang, Q., Li, R., Ok, Y.S., Withana, P.A., Qiu, R., 2023. Complementing compost with
- 404 biochar for agriculture, soil remediation and climate mitigation, Advances in Agronomy. Academic405 Press.
- 406 Kupper, T., Häni, C., Neftel, A., Kincaid, C., Bühler, M., Amon, B., VanderZaag, A., 2020. Ammonia and 407 greenhouse gas emissions from slurry storage - A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 300,
- 408 106963.
- Lorenz, K., Lal, R., 2014. Biochar application to soil for climate change mitigation by soil organic carbon
 sequestration. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 177, 651-670.
- 411 Maffia, J., Gioelli, F., Rollè, L., Airoldi, G., Balsari, P., Dinuccio, E., 2020. Addition of powdery sulfur to 412 pig slurry to reduce NH<inf>3</inf> and GHG emissions after mechanical separation, 2020 IEEE
- International Workshop on Metrology for Agriculture and Forestry (MetroAgriFor), pp. 49-52.
- 414 Nelissen, V., Saha, B.K., Ruysschaert, G., Boeckx, P., 2014. Effect of different biochar and fertilizer types
 415 on N2O and NO emissions. Soil Biol Biochem 70, 244-255.
- 416 Pereira, J.L.S., Figueiredo, V., Pinto, A.F.M.A., Silva, M.E.F., Bras, I., Perdigao, A., Wessel, D.E., 2020.
- 417 Effects of Biochar and Clinoptilolite on Composition and Gaseous Emissions during the Storage of418 Separated Liquid Fraction of Pig Slurry. Appl Sci-Basel 10.
- Rogovska, N., Laird, D., Cruse, R., Fleming, P., Parkin, T., Meek, D., 2011. Impact of Biochar on Manure
 Carbon Stabilization and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 871879.
- 422 Romero, C.M., Li, C.L., Owens, J., Ribeiro, G.O., McAllister, T.A., Okine, E., Hao, X.Y., 2021. Nutrient
- 423 cycling and greenhouse gas emissions from soil amended with biochar-manure mixtures. Pedosphere
 424 31, 289-302.
- Smithson, P.A., 2002. IPCC, 2001: climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Contribution of Working
 Group 1 to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by
- 427 J. T. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell and C. A. Johnson
- 428 (eds). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA, 2001. No. of pages: 881. Price
- 429 £34.95, US\$ 49.95, ISBN 0-521-01495-6 (paperback). £90.00, US\$ 130.00, ISBN 0-521-80767-0
- 430 (hardback). International Journal of Climatology 22, 1144-1144.
- 431 Taghizadeh-Toosi, A., Clough, T.J., Sherlock, R.R., Condron, L.M., 2012. Biochar adsorbed ammonia is
- 432 bioavailable. Plant and Soil 350, 57-69.

- Troy, S.M., Lawlor, P.G., O' Flynn, C.J., Healy, M.G., 2013. Impact of biochar addition to soil on greenhouse gas emissions following pig manure application. Soil Biol Biochem 60, 173-181.
- 435 Vandecasteele, B., Sinicco, T., D'Hose, T., Vanden Nest, T., Mondini, C., 2016. Biochar amendment
- 436 before or after composting affects compost quality and N losses, but not P plant uptake. Journal of
- 437 Environmental Management 168, 200-209.
- 438 Vanden Nest, T., Vandecasteele, B., Ruysschaert, G., Cougnon, M., Merckx, R., Reheul, D., 2014. Effect
- 439 of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil P and C levels, crop yield and P leaching in a long term trial on
 440 a silt loam soil. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 197, 309-317.
- 441 Viaene, J., Peiren, N., Vandamme, D., Lataf, A., Cuypers, A., Jozefczak, M., Amery, F., Vandecasteele,
- 442 B., 2023. Screening tests for N sorption allow to select and engineer biochars for N mitigation during
- biomass processing. Waste Management 155, 230-239.

444

446 **8. Tables**

Table 1 - Characteristics of the two biochars used in the storage experiment. DM = dry matter; OC = organic

448 carbon; CEC = cation exchange capacity.

	Biochar in phase 1	Biochar in phase 2
Pyrolysis conditions	Pilot-scale reactor; 15 min	Kiln reactor; 12–18 h; 0% O ₂
Feedstock	Woody fraction of green waste	Oak
Pyrolysis T (°C)	450	650
OC (g kg ⁻¹ DM)	504	628
pH-H ₂ O	8.42	9.12
Total N (g kg ⁻¹ DM)	16.9	7.9
CEC (cmolc kg ⁻¹ fresh biochar)	64.89	47.05
NH4 ⁺ -N retention (mg NH4 ⁺ -N g ⁻¹ fresh biochar)*	1.16	1.04
* determined eccending to Vicence at al (2022)		

* determined according to Viaene *et al.* (2023)

449

- 451 Table 2 Total NH₃, CO₂ and CH₄ emissions during the first (7 days) and second phase (16 days) of the cattle
- 452 slurry (CS) storage experiment. The underscore in the treatment name indicates the amendment, with "_0",
- 453 "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite amendment, respectively. The first
- 454 and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases.

	NH ₃	CO ₂	CH ₄
	Phase 1: Total emissions (g)	over 7 days	
CS_0_0	0.69 ± 0.03	10.1 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 0.2
CS_B_0	0.60 ± 0.02	9.5 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.1
	Phase 2: Total emissions (g) o	over 16 days	
CS_0_0	1.0	12.2	2.4
CS_0_S	1.0	13.7	2.1
CS_0_C	0.7	13.2	2.3
CS_B_0	1.0	14.2	3.0
CS_B_S	0.8	14.4	2.7
CS_B_B	0.8	13.6	2.5

455

457 Table 3 - Fresh weight of the solid (SF) and liquid fractions (LF) after separation of the slurry, separation

458 efficiency, total N and C content. The underscore in the treatment name indicates the amendment, with "_0",

459 "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite amendment, respectively. The first

⁴⁶⁰ and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases.

Treatment	Fresh weight	Separation N efficiency		С
	g	% by fresh weight	g	g
SF_0_0	1835	36	10.7	116.6
SF_0_S	1816	36	10.6	118.0
SF_0_C	2146	40	12.6	116.2
SF_B_0	1912	37	11.7	131.4
SF_B_S	1973	38	12.6	136.4
SF_B_B	2650	49	15.1	266.2
LF_0_0	3280	64	3.1	26.9
LF_0_S	3300	64	2.9	26.8
LF_0_C	3204	60	2.6	23.3
LF_B_0	3257	63	2.8	26.6
LF_B_S	3218	62	2.9	26.8
LF_B_B	2783	51	2.3	23.9

461

463 Table 4 - Chemical characteristics of the liquid fractions (LF). The underscore in the treatment name indicates

the amendment, with "_0", "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite

465 amendment, respectively. The first and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases.

Treatment	pH-H₂O	Total N	NO₃⁻-N	NH4 ⁺ -N	Total C
	-	g L ⁻¹ LF	mg L ⁻¹ LF	g L ⁻¹ LF	g L ⁻¹ LF
LF_0_0	8.39	1.0	< 5.0	6.7	8.4
LF_0_S	8.41	0.9	< 5.0	6.5	8.3
LF_0_C	8.34	0.9	< 5.0	5.2	7.7
LF_B_0	8.36	0.9	< 5.0	5.9	8.5
LF_B_S	8.39	1.0	< 5.0	5.7	8.8
LF_B_B	8.33	1.1	< 5.0	5.5	11.0

466

Table 5 - Chemical characteristics of the solid fractions (SF). The underscore in the treatment name indicates the amendment, with "_0", "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite amendment, respectively. The first and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases.

		SF_0_0	SF_0_S	SF_0_C	SF_B_0	SF_B_S	SF_B_B
pH-H₂O	-	8.62	8.63	8.61	8.71	8.63	8.76
OM	g kg ⁻¹ DM	657	659	369	635	633	677
DM	g kg ⁻¹ DM	180	182	259	192	191	217
OC	g kg ⁻¹ DM	330	334	194	336	340	443
IC	g kg ⁻¹ DM	23.2	23.2	15.0	21.7	21.4	20.4
Total N	g kg ⁻¹ DM	32.4	32.2	22.7	31.8	33.5	26.2
C/N	-	10.0	10.1	8.4	10.3	9.9	16.5
NH4 ⁺ -N	mg kg⁻¹ DM	6674	6511	5466	6612	5985	5918
NH_4^+ -N / total N	%	21	20	24	21	18	23
SO4 ²⁻	mg L ⁻¹	195	944	166	123	842	96
Cl-	mg L ⁻¹	1042	1048	979	949	1052	1064
Total P	g kg ⁻¹ DM	7.50	7.73	4.42	7.16	7.29	5.47
N/P	-	4.3	4.2	5.1	4.4	4.6	4.8
Pw	mg L ⁻¹	49.8	51.8	47.1	64.7	57.2	63.1
Total K	g kg ⁻¹ DM	24.02	22.85	28.68	24.40	22.89	21.28
Total Mg	g kg ⁻¹ DM	24.44	26.97	16.20	21.64	24.74	17.27
Total Ca	g kg ⁻¹ DM	51.93	55.03	36.39	47.40	53.87	57.05
OUR	mmol $O_2 kg^{-1} OC h^{-1}$	34.4	33.9	38.0	36.1	30.9	22.0
CEC	cmolc kg ⁻¹ DM	85.6	143.0	78.6	109.6	112.1	49.9

471

- Table 6 NH₃ and CO₂ emissions after soil application of the solid fractions (SF). The underscore in the treatment name indicates the amendment, with "_0", "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite amendment, respectively. The first and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases. SF were applied at the same N dose of 340 kg ha⁻¹, CO₂ emissions were expressed relative to
- 477 the C content of the SF.

		Emissions (mg day ⁻¹)					
	Period	SF_0_0	SF_0_S	SF_0_C	SF_B_0	SF_B_S	SF_B_B
	First	0.72 ± 0.25	0.78 ± 0.13	0.35 ± 0.1	0.51 ± 0.14	0.62 ± 0.22	0.53 ± 0.09
NHa	Second	0.24 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.14	0.13 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.06
14115	Third	0.14 ± 0.05	0.15 ± 0.13	0.07 ± 0.03	0.1 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.04
	Mean (mg day⁻¹)	0.37 ± 0.12	0.41 ± 0.14	0.19 ± 0.05	0.25 ± 0.07	0.32 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.06
	First	25.9 ± 2.5	27.7 ± 1.3	29.8 ± 1.9	25.2 ± 0.5	25.4 ± 0.2	15.7 ± 2.6
CO₂/C	Second	28.1 ± 5.4	25.2 ± 5.4	21.8 ± 3	25.1 ± 1.5	23.1 ± 2.2	12.5 ± 2.2
	Third	28.4 ± 3	28.4 ± 4.1	22.2 ± 2.4	24.5 ± 0.2	23.8 ± 3.3	11.1 ± 2.3
	Mean (mg day⁻¹)	27.4 ± 3.6	27.1 ± 3.6	24.6 ± 2.4	24.9 ± 0.7	24.1 ± 1.9	13.1 ± 2.4

478

480 Table 7 - Net N mineralization (Nmin), NO₃⁻-N/Nmin and NH₄⁺-N/Nmin of the different solid fractions (SF) and

481 net soil P-CaCl₂ and P-CaCl₂ / P_{input} after 28 days post soil application. The underscore in the treatment name

482 indicates the amendment, with "_0", "_S", "_B" or "_C" indicating no amendment, S°, biochar or clinoptilolite

483 amendment, respectively. The first and second underscore plus letter refer to the first and second phases.

	Nmin	NO₃⁻-N/Nmin	NH4 ⁺ -N/Nmin	P-CaCl ₂	P-CaCl ₂ / P _{input}
	%	%	%	mg kg ⁻¹ fresh soil	%
SF_0_0	35.9 ± 4.3	94.3 ± 4.5	5.7 ± 4.5	7.6 ± 2.1	3.2 ± 0.9
SF_0_S	34.9 ± 9.7	95.3 ± 4.1	4.7 ± 4.1	7.9 ± 2.5	3.2 ± 1.0
SF_0_C	35.6 ± 5.7	95.7 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 0.1	6.4 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.1
SF_B_S	30.1 ± 2.6	95.1 ± 2	4.9 ± 2	6.9 ± 1.0	3.1 ± 0.5
SF_B_0	31.9 ± 0.4	97.1 ± 0	2.9 ± 0	7.7 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.1
SF_B_B	35 ± 3.2	95.6 ± 2.5	4.4 ± 2.5	6.1 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.4

484

485

9. Figures



487

- 488 Figure 1 Experimental setup of the gas measurements using the Quantum Cascade Laser Emerson
- 489 In a next step, the cumulative emissions were calculated per day. For the CO₂ emissions during the soil
- 490 application experiment, the emissions were expressed relative to the initial total C content of the solid
- 491 fractions.