# Plasma Iron Levels at Early Breast Cancer Diagnosis Are **Associated With Development of Secondary Metastases:** A Single-Center Retrospective Cohort Study

Jasmine De Troy<sup>1</sup>, Sarah-Maria Fendt<sup>2,3</sup>, Sigrid Hatse<sup>4</sup>, Patrick Neven<sup>5</sup>, Ann Smeets<sup>5,6</sup>, Annouschka Laenen<sup>7</sup> and Hans Wildiers<sup>1,4,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism and Metabolic Regulation, VIB-KU Leuven Center for Cancer Biology, VIB, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism and Metabolic Regulation, Department of Oncology, Catholic University Leuven and Leuven Cancer Institute (LKI), Leuven, Belgium. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Experimental Oncology, Catholic University Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>5</sup>Multidisciplinary Breast Center, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>6</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. 7Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and Statistical Bioinformatics, Catholic University Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

Breast Cancer: Basic and Clinical Research Volume 19: 1-7 © The Author(s) 2025 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/11782234251317070



#### ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women and the leading cause of cancer-related death. Although most early-stage patients are cured, 20% to 30% develop metastases, significantly reducing survival rates. Recent research highlights the role of iron in cancer progression, although its full impact on breast cancer metastasis is not yet fully understood.

OBJECTIVES: The aim of this study is to investigate the association between plasma iron levels at diagnosis of early-stage breast cancer and the risk of developing metastatic disease.

DESIGN: Retrospective single-center study.

METHODS: Patients with stage I to III breast cancer, diagnosed between 2007 and 2017, and with serum iron, transferrin saturation, and ferritin values available within 1.5 months before or after diagnosis were included. Cox proportional hazard models were applied to determine the association between iron levels and risk of metastasis

RESULTS: In total, 1113 patients were included, 10% of them developed distant metastasis over a median follow-up period of 7 years. In multivariable analysis adjusting for age, stage, and subtype, transferrin saturation and serum iron were significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer metastasis. For each 10% increment of transferrin saturation at baseline, there was a 19% increase in metastatic risk (hazard ratio [HR]=1.19; 95% confidence interval [CI]=[1.02-1.38]). Similarly, a serum iron increment of 10µg/dL led to a 6% increase in risk (HR = 1.06; 95% CI = [1.01-1.12]). Ferritin was found not to be associated with metastatic risk (HR = 0.99; 95% CI = [0.98, 1.01]). There was no significant association with metastatic site or breast cancer subtype when adjusting for age and stage.

CONCLUSION: Elevated transferrin saturation and serum iron at early breast cancer diagnosis are associated with increased risk for metastatic disease but not with location of metastases or breast cancer subtype. Further research is needed to understand the underlying mechanisms and to explore the potential of iron-targeted therapies.

KEYWORDS: Serum iron, transferrin saturation, ferritin, breast cancer, metastatic disease.

RECEIVED: September 11, 2024. ACCEPTED: January 8, 2025.

TYPE: Original Research Article

FUNDING: The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: SMF acknowledges KU Leuven C1 funding and funding from the ERC under Proof of Concept grant agreement no. 101112780—Metalron. All other authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support was received during the preparation of this article. COMPETING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: SMF has received funding from Gilead, Auron Therapeutics, Black Belt Therapeutics, and Alesta Therapeutics, has consulted for Fund+, and is on the advisory board of Alesta Therapeutics. All other authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Jasmine De Troy, Department of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium. Email: jasmine.detroy@ student.kuleuven.be

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common type of malignancy diagnosed in women worldwide, accounting for approximately 25% of all female cancer cases. Moreover, it is the leading cause of mortality in female cancer.<sup>1,2</sup> Most patients with early breast cancer are cured after local treatment and systemic therapy. However, about 20% to 30% develop secondary metastases, often many years after primary breast cancer treatment. Once

breast cancer spreads to distant organs, the 5-year survival rate drops to approximately 25%.<sup>2</sup> Current treatment options for metastases, including chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and endocrine treatment, have limitations in both efficacy and toxicity. The process of metastasis is still not fully understood. Therefore, identifying factors that contribute to the development of distant metastases is crucial in improving clinical outcomes.

(cc)

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). Recent research has highlighted an important role of iron in the metastatic process. Iron is a nutrient essential for critical cellular processes such as DNA synthesis, metabolic enzyme function, and response to increased oxidative stress.<sup>3</sup> However, iron excess leads to formation of oxygen radicals and has been linked to tissue damage and increased cancer risk.<sup>4</sup> Cancer cells display an increased reliance on iron uptake and metabolism compared with normal cells.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, iron seems to play an important role in all stages of cancer, including tumor induction, growth, and metastasis.<sup>6-8</sup>

Despite the growing body of literature linking iron addiction and ferroptosis to breast cancer, the overall contribution of physiological iron availability to breast tumor formation and progression is not well understood. This retrospective study aims to investigate the potential association between elevated iron levels at diagnosis of early breast cancer and the subsequent risk of developing metastatic disease. Serum iron, ferritin, and transferrin saturation were examined to determine any potential differences in predictive value. In addition, our study explored whether the potential impact of iron content differs according to breast cancer subtype and/or location of metastases (lung, liver, bone, etc.).

#### Methods

# Patient selection

A retrospective single-center study was conducted using patient data extracted from the large database of the Multidisciplinary Breast Center at the University Hospital in Leuven, Belgium. This database contains information on more than 15000 breast cancer patients diagnosed since 2000. All patients with stage I to III invasive breast cancer, diagnosed between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2017, were selected. The following inclusion criteria were applied: female sex, unilateral and unifocal breast cancer, and first therapy for breast cancer (surgery, chemotherapy, or hormonal therapy) administered at the University Hospital of Leuven. In addition, serum iron (µg/dL), ferritin (µg/L), and transferrin saturation (%) assessed by the "routine" clinical laboratory within 1.5 months before or after diagnosis have to be available. When multiple blood samples were available in the defined period, 1 sample was selected based on (1) sample preferentially taken before breast cancer diagnosis or treatment and (2) with the shortest time interval to the diagnosis. Exclusion criteria were missing information on tumor stage or receptor status. Staging was based on pathological staging for patients who received upfront surgery and on clinical staging for patients receiving neoadjuvant systemic treatment. This was integrated into a "combined staging" allowing to include staging in multivariable models for both settings. The Leuven Breast Cancer Database also contains detailed follow-up information for all patients, including date and localization of distant metastases, which will be used to determine the distant metastases rate in this study.

# Breast cancer subtype definition

Gene expression data to assess genomic breast cancer subtype were not systematically available. A surrogate definition was used based on the St. Gallen recommendations of 2011 to classify breast cancer subtypes.9 This classification is based on tumor grade and the presence or absence of the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) receptors in the tumor cells, as assessed by immunochemistry. In line with our institutional guidelines, ER and PR negativity were defined as expression in less than 1% of tumor cells, and HER2 positivity was defined as immunohistochemistry (IHC) 2+ or 3+ with positive fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) testing. The following 5 surrogate phenotypes are considered (Table A1, Appendix 1): "Luminal A-like" (ER and/or PR positive, HER2 negative and grade 1 or 2); "Luminal B-like" (ER and/or PR positive, HER2 negative and grade 3); "Luminal HER2-like" (ER and/or PR positive, HER2 positive); "HER2-like" (ER/PR negative, HER2 positive); and "Basal-like" (ER/PR/HER2 negative). This last subtype is also referred to triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC).

# Statistical methodology

Descriptive statistics are provided by frequencies, using percentages for categorical variables and medians with interquartile ranges for continuous variables. Kaplan-Meier estimates were applied to evaluate metastatic rates. Cox proportional hazard models were used to investigate the impact of iron content on metastasis. Multivariable models were applied to correct for the confounding variables age, stage, and subtype. There was no adjustment for the use of (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, as this largely depends on these confounders. Results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for an increment of 10 units of iron content. To assess the possible association with site-specific metastasis, the following locations were investigated: lung, liver, bone, abdominal nonliver, lymph nodes, brain, and cutaneous and other locations. This analysis was only corrected for age and stage (as an ordinal variable) due to the low number of events per metastasis site. Finally, to analyze whether the influence of iron content on secondary metastases depends on breast cancer subtype, an interaction effect was modeled between iron content and subtype. All tests were 2-sided and evaluated at a 5% significance level. Analyses have been performed using SAS software (version 9.4 of the SAS System for Windows).

# Results

# *Study population and clinicopathological characteristics*

Figure 1 displays the selection strategy of the study cohort, resulting in a final data set of 1113 patients. The characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. The median age was 58 years. Most women were postmenopausal (63%) at



Figure 1. CONSORT diagram showing the patient selection process of the clinical study. Abbreviations: F for ferritin, SI for serum iron, and TS for transferrin saturation.

Table 1.	Descriptive	statistics	of the	study	population.
----------	-------------	------------	--------	-------	-------------

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS	ALL PATIENTS (N=1113)
Age (years)	
Median age	58 (IQR: 48; 69)
Menopausal status	
Premenopausal	331 (29.7%)
Postmenopausal	697 (62.6%)
Perimenopausal	42 (3.8%)
Missing	43 (3.9%)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Median BMI	24.8 (IQR: 22.1; 28.3)

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)	
BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS	ALL PATIENTS (N=1113)
Treatment setting	
Neo-adjuvant systemic therapy	304 (27.3%)
Upfront surgery	809 (72.7%)
Subtype	
Luminal A-like	515 (46.3%)
Luminal B-like	181 (16.3%)
Luminal HER2-like	148 (16.2%)
HER2-like	81 (7.3%)
Basal-like	180 (13.3%)
Missing	8 (0.7%)
Invasive tumor size (mm)	
Median tumor size	20 (IQR: 12; 30)
Histological type	
Invasive carcinoma of no special type	854 (76.6%)
Invasive lobular carcinoma	102 (9.2%)
Other histology	157 (14.1%)
Combined stage	
IA	314 (28.2%)
IB	35 (3.1%)
IIA	284 (25.5%)
IIB	209 (18.8%)
IIIA	115 (10.3%)
IIIB	52 (4.7%)
IIIC	104 (9.3%)
Iron content	
Transferrin saturation (%)	23.3 (IQR: 16.0; 28.0)
Serum iron (µg/dL)	82.8 (IQR: 60.0, 100.0)
Ferritin (µg/L)	138.3 (IQR: 57.0, 181.0)
Development of secondary metastases	
Yes	111 (10.0%)
No	1002 (90.0%)
Chemotherapy	
Yes	689 (61.9%)
No	424 (38.1%)
Neo-adjuvant	263 (23.6%)
Adjuvant	452 (40.6%)

*Note*: The data are presented either as the number of occurrences (n) with the percentage of the total population in brackets (%) or as the median (M) with the interquartile range (IQR) in brackets, depending on the parameter.

breast cancer diagnosis. In total, 809 (73%) patients underwent upfront surgery, and 304 (27%) received neoadjuvant systemic therapy (chemotherapy and/or endocrine treatment). Among hormone receptor-positive patients, 93% received adjuvant endocrine therapy. Luminal A-like tumors were the largest group, representing 46% of all phenotypes. In our cohort, the subtype was unknown in 8 patients. For the majority (n=830, 75%), iron values were available before start of any treatment, while in 283 (25%), they were only available after first treatment initiation.

With a median follow-up of 83 months, 111 patients (10%) developed distant metastases. Metastatic rate was calculated as the time between diagnosis and detection of metastases, with cases without metastases being censored at their last follow-up. The estimated 5-year and 10-year metastasis-free survival rates were 91% (95% CI = [89.5, 92.9]) and 88% (95% CI = [85.4, 90.1]), respectively. The most common sites of distant metastases were bone (63 patients, ie, 56.8% of all patients developing metastases), followed by liver (37 patients, 33.3%), lymph nodes (33 patients, 29.7%), lung (27 patients, 24.3%), and brain (19 patients, 17.1%).

# Risk of secondary metastases by plasma iron content at breast cancer diagnosis

Multivariate Cox proportional HRs for iron biomarkers and metastases are shown in Table 2. Transferrin saturation and, to

Table 2. Risk of metastasis by iron cont	ent.
--	------

BIOMARKER	HAZARD RATIO [95% CI]	Р
Transferrin saturation (%)	1.19 [1.02, 1.38]	.02
Serum iron (µg/dL)	1.06 [1.01, 1.12]	.03
Ferritin (µg/L)	0.99 [0.98, 1.01]	.34

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR > (<)1: increased (decreased) risk with increasing predictor level; HR estimated for an increase with 10 units of the iron content; Analyses corrected for age and stage (categorical), and subtype; P < .05 considered statistically significant.

a lesser extent, serum iron at diagnosis were significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer metastasis. Specifically, for each 10% increment of transferrin saturation, there was a 19% increase in metastatic risk (HR=1.19; 95% CI = [1.02-1.38]). Similarly, a serum iron increment of  $10 \mu g/$ dL led to a 6% increase in risk (HR=1.06; 95% CI=[1.01-1.12]). In contrast, ferritin was found not to be associated with metastatic risk (HR = 0.99; 95% CI = [0.98, 1.01]). The results of this analysis were adjusted for potential confounding factors (age, stage, and subtype). A sensitivity analysis was also conducted, which excluded patients whose iron biomarkers were assessed after the onset of primary treatment (given the potential influence of treatment/surgery on iron content). In the subgroup of patients with iron measurements before any treatment (n = 830), there were 84 distant metastatic events. Similar HRs for transferrin saturation (HR = 1.15; 95% CI = [0.97-1.37]), serum iron (HR=1.04; 95% CI=[0.98,1.10]), and ferritin (HR=0.99; 95% CI=[0.97, 1.01]) were observed as compared with the entire cohort, but none of these associations remained significant.

The impact of serum iron at breast cancer diagnosis on the location of secondary metastases was also assessed. Table 3 shows that the effect of the iron biomarkers on the risk of secondary metastases does not significantly differ across the specific metastasis locations (when corrected for tumor stage and patient age).

To analyze whether the influence of iron content on secondary metastases depends on breast cancer subtype, an interaction effect was modeled between iron content and subtype. Table 4 shows that the association of the 3 different iron content parameters with risk of metastasis does not depend on the subtype (adjusted for stage and age).

#### Discussion

This retrospective, single-center study aimed to investigate the role of body iron content in the development of metastases in breast cancer. Our results suggest that transferrin saturation and, to a lesser extent, serum iron concentrations measured at

<b>Table 5.</b> Cox proportional nazaru models for non biomarkers and metastases in specific localit	Table 3.	<ul> <li>Cox proportional</li> </ul>	hazard models	for iron	biomarkers	and metastases	in specific	location
--	----------	--------------------------------------	---------------	----------	------------	----------------	-------------	----------

LOCATION OF METASTASES	TASTASES TRANSFERRIN SATURATION		SERUM IRON		FERRITIN		N EVENTS
	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	
Brain	1.23 [0.90, 1.70]	.20	1.09 [0.97, 1.21]	.15	0.99 [0.95, 1.03]	.72	19
Abdominal (not liver)	0.91 [0.54, 1.55]	.74	0.98 [0.83, 1.16]	.82	0.98 [0.93, 1.04]	.56	14
Liver	1.14 [0.89, 1.47]	.30	1.05 [0.96, 1.15]	.28	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]	.99	37
Lung	1.13 [0.83, 1.52]	.44	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	.74	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	.98	27
Bone	1.14 [0.93, 1.38]	.20	1.03 [0.96, 1.10]	.44	0.99 [0.96, 1.01]	.25	63
Lymph nodes	1.10 [0.83, 1.46]	.50	1.04 [0.95, 1.14]	.44	0.96 [0.92, 1.01]	.08	33

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR > (<)1: increased (decreased) risk with increasing predictor level; HR estimated for an increase with 10 units of the iron content; analyses corrected for age and stage (ordinal); P < .05 considered statistically significant.

BREAST CANCER SUBTYPE	HAZARD RATIO [95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL]					
	TRANSFERRIN SATURATION	SERUM IRON	FERRITIN			
Basal-like	1.25 [0.85, 1.84]	1.09 [0.97,1.21]	0.99 [0.95, 1.03]			
HER2-like	1.18 [0.85, 1.65]	0.98 [0.83, 1.16]	0.98 [0.93, 1.04]			
Luminal A-like	1.31 [1.06, 1.63]	1.05 [0.96, 1.15]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]			
Luminal B-like	0.76 [0.47, 1.23]	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]			
Luminal HER2	1.31 [0.86, 1.98]	1.03 [0.96, 1.10]	0.99 [0.96, 1.01]			
P value interaction	.41	.41	.63			

Table 4. Cox proportional hazard models for iron biomarkers and metastasis in different tumor subtypes.

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR > (<)1: increased (decreased) risk with increasing predictor level; HR estimated for an increment of 10 units of iron content; analyses corrected for age and stage (categorical); P < .05 considered statistically significant.

diagnosis were significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer metastasis. Specifically, for each 10% increment of baseline transferrin saturation, there was a 19% increase in metastatic risk within the follow-up period of 7 years. A baseline serum iron increment of  $10 \mu g/dL$  led to a 6% increase in risk. In contrast, ferritin was not associated with the risk of metastasis. This may possibly be related to ferritin being an acute phase protein, which increases with infection or inflammation, and is not necessarily associated with body iron content.

Our study is the first to demonstrate an association between iron biomarkers at diagnosis and the risk of developing distant metastases in breast cancer patients. Therefore, external validation of our findings is necessary. Previous studies have mainly focused on the impact of iron biomarkers on the risk of developing a primary breast cancer, with varying results. A meta-analysis by Chang et al<sup>10</sup> reported that high serum iron is associated with an increased risk of developing breast cancer, whereas no significant associations were observed with transferrin saturation nor with ferritin. Results of a study conducted by Chua et al<sup>11</sup> showed a significant association for both serum iron and transferrin saturation with the risk of breast cancer development.

Our study also explored whether the observed link between increased iron levels and enhanced metastasis formation was confined to particular metastasis locations, but no site-specific associations could be demonstrated.

Next, our results suggest that the influence of iron content on metastasis does not depend on breast cancer subtype. Only 1 clinical study investigating a potential interaction of phenotype was previously published. Graff et al<sup>12</sup> reported no significant difference in the association between ferritin level and ER/ PR-positive or ER/PR negative breast cancer risk. Conversely, there is an *in vitro* study indicating a more important effect of iron in ER-positive breast cancer phenotypes.<sup>13</sup>

There are several possible ways in which iron can play a role in metastasis. It has been widely documented that iron could play a role in forming a favorable micro-environment for the malignant cells.<sup>8,14,15</sup> Excess iron can lead to the formation of oxygen radicals. This can give rise to DNA damage

and (additional) mutations, triggering tumor heterogeneity.<sup>16</sup> Such heterogeneity allows cancer cells to survive in diverse environments and become resistant to systemic therapy.<sup>6,17</sup> Furthermore, these oxygen radicals can promote angiogenesis, which is crucial for growth and spread of cancer cells.<sup>18</sup>

During metastasis formation, cancer cells need to overcome oxidative stress.<sup>19</sup> Interestingly, it was recently shown that overexpression of Mitoferrin 1 (SLC25A37) or Mitoferrin 2 (SLC25A28), the 2 major mitochondrial iron importers, stabilized the protein expression of a mitochondrial glutathione transporter SLC25A39.<sup>20</sup> Thus, the correlation of transferrin saturation and circulating iron levels with metastasis that we observed in this study may be explained by an increase in the antioxidants capacity of cancer cells through mitochondrial glutathione import. Further functional studies may provide insights into this possibility.

Miller et al<sup>21</sup> revealed that about 30 different genes involved in iron metabolism were significantly associated with breast cancer prognosis. The results of our study may corroborate the potential use of transferrin saturation as a prognostic indicator for patients with early breast cancer. Moreover, our findings are consistent with the study by Chua et al,<sup>11</sup> showing a higher risk of cancer-related death in women with increased transferrin saturation levels.

Our results align with a potential role of iron in the development of metastatic disease in breast cancer. An older randomized study<sup>22</sup> suggested that reducing iron through phlebotomy may be associated with lower cancer risk and improved survival rates. Conversely, Edgren et al<sup>23</sup> found no significant association between blood donation frequency and cancer risk. Hemochromatosis has also been linked with increased incidence of breast cancer occurrence.<sup>24</sup> Currently, several iron-related therapies are being investigated. Iron chelators aim to remove iron molecules from the body through binding, resulting in depletion of iron.<sup>5,6,15</sup> However, they may have side effects such as gastrointestinal symptoms or fatigue and were shown to be more effective in hematologic malignancies.<sup>7</sup> Alternatively, ferroptosis inducers have the potential to inhibit tumor growth and metastasis.<sup>25,26</sup> However, these inducers may also lead to DNA damage and cell death in healthy bone marrow cells.<sup>27</sup> Although these therapies are still under development, they hold promise and deserve further investigation as potential novel treatment strategies for breast cancer patients.

Our study has some limitations. First, the follow-up period of 7 years is relatively short. It is known that the development of metastases can occur up to 20 years after initial diagnosis of breast cancer.<sup>28</sup> Another limitation is the relatively low number of metastatic events, with only 111 patients out of 1113 developing secondary metastases. The evaluation of iron content in relation to metastases location and/or subtype may be hampered by insufficient number of events. In about 25% of patients, iron values were only available after starting first treatment (surgery in most cases). We performed a separate analysis excluding these patients. The results of this analysis were not statistically significant but the HRs were similar to the original findings. Moreover, breast surgery is generally considered as minor surgery with limited blood loss, and mainly, ferritine is known as an acute phase protein that can be influenced by surgery/inflammation. Ferritine was least associated without outcome in our data. Finally, serum iron content was only available for a limited percentage of the whole breast cancer population documented in the Leuven Breast Cancer Database. This is mainly due to the fact that there was no standard procedure to collect data on serum iron levels until the year 2016. The assessment of iron content may have been linked to the specialist who first saw the patient (eg, medical oncologist vs surgeon), but it is not possible to further dissect this potential selection bias.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, our study shows for the first time that transferrin saturation and serum iron at early breast cancer diagnosis are positively associated with the risk of secondary metastatic disease. A relation with location of metastases or breast cancer subtype could not be demonstrated. Further research should investigate the underlying mechanisms and explore the potential of iron-targeted therapies in the clinic.

#### Declarations

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at UZ/KU Leuven (reference number MP020346) on December 14, 2022, and conducted in compliance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Although the study itself was conducted retrospectively, the biobanking process was prospective. All patients provided informed consent for the collection and use of their blood samples for research purposes.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Author contributions

**JDT:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

**S-MF:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

**SH:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

**PN:** Data curation; Formal analysis; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

AS: Data curation; Formal analysis; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

AL: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

**HW:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank C. Remmerie for her contribution in collecting the data.

# Availability of data and materials

The data sets generated during and analyzed during this study are not publicly available due to confidentiality, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# ORCID iDs

Jasmine De Troy D https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1224-415X Ann Smeets D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5091-6602 Annouschka Laenen D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1371-442X

Hans wildiers 问 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8990-7837

#### REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71:209-249.
- Mattiuzzi C, Lippi G. Current cancer epidemiology. J Epidemiol Glob Health. 2019;9:217-222.
- Abbaspour N, Hurrell R, Kelishadi R. Review on iron and its importance for human health. J Res Med Sci. 2014;19:164-174.
- Jomova K, Valko M. Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human discase. *Toxicology*. 2011;283:65-87.
- Guo Q, Li L, Hou S, et al. The role of iron in cancer progression. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:778492.
- Brown RAM, Richardson KL, Kabir TD, Trinder D, Ganss R, Leedman PJ. Altered iron metabolism and impact in cancer biology, metastasis, and immunology. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:476.
- 7. Torti SV, Manz DH, Paul BT, et al. Iron and cancer. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2018;38 :97-125.
- Wang Y, Yu L, Ding J, et al. Iron metabolism in cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;20:95.
- Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, et al. Strategies for subtypes—dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St. Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. Ann Oncol. 2011;22:1736-1747.

- Chang VC, Cotterchio M, Khoo E. Iron intake, body iron status, and risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19:543.
- 11. Chua AC, Knuiman MW, Trinder D, et al. Higher concentrations of serum iron and transferrin saturation but not serum ferritin are associated with cancer outcomes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;104:736-742.
- Graff RE, Cho E, Lindström S, Kraft P, Willett WC, Eliassen AH. Premenopausal plasma ferritin levels, HFE polymorphisms, and risk of breast cancer in the nurses' health study II. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014;23:516-524.
- Dai J, Jian J, Bosland M, Frenkel K, Bernhardt G, Huang X. Roles of hormone replacement therapy and iron in proliferation of breast epithelial cells with different estrogen and progesterone receptor status. *Breast*. 2008;17:172-179.
- 14. Marques O, Porto G, Rêma A, et al. Local iron homeostasis in the breast ductal carcinoma microenvironment. *BMC Cancer.* 2016;16:187.
- 15. Jung M, Mertens C, Tomat E, et al. Iron as a central player and promising target in cancer progression. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20:273.
- Akatsuka S, Yamashita Y, Ohara H, et al. Fenton reaction induced cancer in wild type rats recapitulates genomic alterations observed in human cancer. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7:e43403.
- Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15:81-94.
- Huang YJ, Nan GX. Oxidative stress-induced angiogenesis. J Clin Neurosci. 2019;63:13-16.
- Bergers G, Fendt SM. The metabolism of cancer cells during metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2021;21:162-180.
- Liu Y, Liu S, Tomar A, et al. Autoregulatory control of mitochondrial glutathione homeostasis. *Science*. 2023;382:820-828.
- Miller LD, Coffman LG, Chou JW, et al. An iron regulatory gene signature predicts outcome in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71:6728-6737.
- Zacharski LR, Chow BK, Howes PS, et al. Decreased cancer risk after iron reduction in patients with peripheral arterial disease: results from a randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008;100:996-1002.

- 23. Edgren G, Reilly M, Hjalgrim H, et al. Donation frequency, iron loss, and risk of cancer among blood donors. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008;100:572-579.
- Osborne NJ, Gurrin LC, Allen KJ, et al. HFE C282Y homozygotes are at increased risk of breast and colorectal cancer. *Hepatology*. 2010;51:1311-1318.
   Oi X, Wan Z, Jiang B, et al. Inducing ferroptosis has the potential to overcome
- 25. Qi X, Wan Z, Jiang B, et al. Inducing ferroptosis has the potential to overcome therapy resistance in breast cancer. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:1038225.
- 26. Torti SV, Torti FM. Iron and cancer: 2020 vision. *Cancer Res.* 2020;80: 5435-5448.
- Zhang X, Xing X, Liu H, et al. Ionizing radiation induces ferroptosis in granulocyte-macrophage hematopoietic progenitor cells of murine bone marrow. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 2020;96:584-595.
- Pan H, Gray R, Braybrooke J, et al. 20-year risks of breast-cancer recurrence after stopping endocrine therapy at 5 years. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:1836-1846.

# Appendix 1

 Table A1. Overview of classification into subtypes based on the St.
 Gallen recommendations.

	ER AND/OR PR	HER2	TUMOR GRADE
Luminal A-like	+	-	1 or 2
Luminal B-like	+	_	3
Luminal HER2-like	+	+	/
HER2-like	-	+	/
Basal-like	_	_	/