

Journal of Experimental Botany





1.60

1.5

REVIEW PAPER

Jacks of all trades: reactive oxygen species in plant responses to stress combinations and priming-induced 1.10 1.65 stress tolerance

1.15	Sophie Hendrix ^{1,*,} , Isabeau Vanbuel ^{1,} , Jasmine Colemont ^{1,} , Laura Bos Calderó ^{1,} , , Mohamed Amine Hamzaoui ^{1,2,} , Kris Kunnen ^{1,} , Michiel Huybrechts ^{1,} , and Ann Cuypers ^{1,}	1.70
	¹ Environmental Biology, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium ² Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Department of Biology, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain	
1.20	* Correspondence: sophie.hendrix@uhasselt.be	1.75
	Received 8 November 2024; Editorial decision 10 February 2025; Accepted 13 February 2025	
	Editor: Alexandre Boscari, INRAE, France	
1.25		1.80

Abstract

1.30

Climate change is expected to increase the frequency of heat waves, drought periods, and flooding events, thereby posing a serious risk to crop productivity and global food security. In order to develop strategies to improve plant growth under adverse environmental conditions, in-depth molecular knowledge on plant stress responses is required.

- In this context, particular attention should be paid to the involvement of reactive oxygen species (ROS), molecules known for causing oxidative damage, but also indispensable for intra- and intercellular signal transduction required for plant acclimation to a wide variety of stress conditions. As plants often encounter multiple stressors simultaneously and their responses to these conditions can generally not be predicted based on the effects of the individual
- 1.35 stress factors, we first focus on the involvement of ROS and cellular redox homeostasis in plant responses to com-1.90 bined and multifactorial stress conditions. Then we provide an overview of the role of ROS in priming strategies aimed at improving plant tolerance to climate change-related stress conditions. Finally, approaches to advance our understanding of redox dynamics in plant responses to combined stress and priming are discussed.
- 1.40 **Keywords:** Abiotic stress, biostimulants, climate change, combined stress, drought, heat stress, flooding, phytohormones, 1.95 priming, reactive oxygen species.

1.45 Introduction

As a consequence of the rapid rise in greenhouse gas emissions, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have increased more than 50% above average pre-industrial levels (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). This has led to a temperature increase of approximately

1.50 1.1 °C between the periods 1850-1900 and 2011-2020. Further global warming is expected with predicted temperature increases ranging from 1.5 °C to over 4 °C by 2100

(IPCC, 2023). This is associated with the more frequent occurrence of temperature extremes, drought periods, and flooding due to heavy precipitation, which pose major threats to crop production and global food security (Leisner et al., 2023). 1.105 The most severe climate change scenario is predicted to cause crop yield losses ranging from 7% to 23% (Rezaei et al., 2023). Hence, it is essential to characterize plant responses to climate

1.55 Abbreviations: ABA, abscisic acid; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; AsA, ascorbic acid; CAT, catalase; GA, gibberellic acid; GR, glutathione reductase; GSH, gluta-1.110 thione; JA, jasmonic acid; MDA, malondialdehyde; POD, peroxidase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SA, salicylic acid; SOD, superoxide dismutase

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology. All rights reserved. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site-for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

1.100

Page 2 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

change-related stress conditions and their combinations in order to aid the development of strategies to mitigate climate impact on crop yield and quality.

2.5 The cellular redox balance under non-stressed and stressed conditions

In general, abiotic stress conditions like heat, drought, and flooding interfere with plant physiological processes such as 2.10 photosynthesis, respiration and uptake of water and nutrients. At the molecular level, plant stress responses are often characterized by increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$), superoxide (O_{2}^{--}), hydrogen peroxide ($H_{2}O_{2}$), and hydroxyl radicals ('OH). These molecules

- 2.15 are continuously generated in plant cells as by-products of energy metabolism. Whereas chloroplasts and peroxisomes are the most important ROS sources under light conditions, mitochondria contribute most to the cellular ROS burden in the dark (Das and Roychoudhury, 2014). In chloroplasts, en-
- 2.20 ergy transfer from excited chlorophyll to oxygen causes the formation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ at photosystem II and electron leakage to oxygen yields O_{2}^{\bullet} at photosystem I. In peroxisomes, $H_{2}O_{2}$ is generated upon conversion of glycolate into glyoxylate during photorespiration. Furthermore, β -oxidation of fatty acids also
- 2.25 significantly contributes to peroxisomal H_2O_2 production. In mitochondria, electron leakage from complexes I and III of the electron transport chain to oxygen constitutes the major source of ROS (Phua *et al.*, 2021). Besides energy-producing organelles, also the endoplasmic reticulum contributes to cel-
- 2.30 lular ROS levels, as H₂O₂ is formed as a by-product of oxidative protein folding (Ozgur *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, ROS are produced in the apoplast by the action of NADPH oxidases (also known as respiratory burst oxidase homologues; RBOHs), which generate O₂⁻⁻, and peroxidases, polyamine oxidases, and copper-containing amine oxidases, which produce H₂O₂ that induces cross-linking of cell wall components (Schmidt *et al.*, 2016).

Whereas ROS production through these mechanisms takes place under physiological conditions, it is significantly enhanced by various abiotic stress conditions, including those 2.40related to climate change. Heat stress, for example, increases membrane fluidity, thereby disrupting the integrity of electron transport chains in chloroplasts and mitochondria, leading to electron leakage to oxygen and subsequent O2 - production in these compartments. Furthermore, increased temperatures 2.45 trigger an influx of calcium (Ca²⁺) into the cytosol, which subsequently increases NADPH oxidase activity (Fortunato et al., 2023; Hendrix et al., 2023). Upon drought stress, stomatal closure is induced to prevent water loss through transpiration, thereby limiting CO₂ availability and directing electron transfer 2.50towards oxygen, generating $O_2^{\bullet-}$ at chloroplast photosystem I through the Mehler reaction. Furthermore, these conditions increase photorespiration and the associated H2O2 production in peroxisomes (Cruz de Carvalho, 2008). Mitochondrial respiration is also enhanced upon drought stress, thereby further contributing to increases in cellular ROS levels (Miller et al., 2010). Heavy precipitation can cause flooding, which is characterized by limited oxygen availability to plants, resulting in hypoxic or even anoxic conditions. Although counterintu-2.60itive, such oxygen-limited conditions can also enhance ROS production in plants. Flooding can limit the amount of light available for photosynthesis, which can increase ROS formation, especially upon subsequent reoxygenation (Patel et al., 2019; Pucciariello and Perata, 2021). Besides chloroplasts, also 2.65 mitochondria are put forward as important sources of ROS in responses to flooding, likely through impaired respiration (Jethva et al., 2022). Furthermore, NADPH oxidases have been proposed to play key roles in ROS formation during the early stages of hypoxia and reoxygenation (Hong et al., 2020; Yu 2.70et al., 2024).

Reactive oxygen species: damage versus signalling

Stress-induced increases in ROS levels can evoke damage to 2.75 cellular macromolecules, but also play key roles in intra- and intercellular communication. ROS are key players in anterograde and retrograde signalling pathways, transducing signals between various subcellular compartments and the nucleus. Furthermore, ROS are involved in cell-to-cell signalling and 2.80 communication between different plant organs (Peláez-Vico et al., 2022; Sevilla et al., 2023). In these processes, ROS are strongly interconnected with other signalling molecules such as Ca²⁺. Indeed, activation of ROS-producing NADPH oxidases depends on Ca²⁺ binding to their EF hand motifs as well 2.85as phosphorylation by Ca²⁺-dependent protein kinases. Vice versa, ROS can trigger cellular Ca²⁺ influx through activation of ROS-activated Ca²⁺ channels (Ravi et al., 2023). Similarly, ROS signalling is also tightly intertwined with phytohormone signalling, forming an integrated redox-hormone network 2.90 (Bartoli et al., 2013; Xia et al., 2015; Choudhury et al., 2017). In addition, crosstalk with reactive nitrogen species and reactive sulfur species should also be considered. The involvement of these molecules in plant stress responses is well known and their reaction with ROS causes the generation of mixed reac-2.95 tive species (Martí-Guillén et al., 2022).

In the context of ROS signalling, especially H_2O_2 plays an important role due to its relatively long half-life and ability to cross cellular membranes through aquaporins (Smirnoff and Arnaud, 2019). ROS signalling is primarily mediated via oxidative post-translational modifications of cysteine residues in proteins. Cysteine oxidation results in the formation of sulfenic acid (-SOH) as well as inter- or intramolecular disulfide bonds (P. Wang *et al.*, 2024). Besides ROS, also reactive nitrogen species (e.g. nitric oxide) and reactive sulfur species (e.g. hydrogen sulfide) induce post-translational modifications of cysteine residues, further strengthening their interconnection with the cellular redox network (Cejudo *et al.*, 2021; Martí-Guillén *et al.*, 2022). These oxidative modifications generally alter the

2.55

3.25

conformation of proteins, thereby affecting their activity, subcellular localization, and/or interaction with other proteins. The ability of ROS to oxidize a wide range of proteins (e.g. transcription factors, kinases, phosphatases, channels) in various subcellular compartments enables these molecules to coordinate dynamic signal transduction pathways with strong spatiotemporal control, ultimately contributing to stress acclimation

- (P. Wang et al., 2024). Although ROS are able to directly oxidize proteins, ROS-mediated protein oxidation for signal 3.10 transduction purposes is generally facilitated by thiol peroxidases such as glutathione peroxidase-like proteins and peroxiredoxins (Vogelsang and Dietz, 2022; Chae et al., 2023). While protein sulfenylation is reversible, further oxidation of sulfenic acid to sulfinic acid (-SO₂H) and subsequently sulfonic acid
- (-SO₃H) is considered irreversible and disturbs protein func-3.15 tion (H. Zhou et al., 2023). In addition, the presence of ROS at high levels can oxidatively damage other cellular macromolecules such as DNA and membrane lipids.
- 3.20 The antioxidative defence network

To allow for oxidative signalling, while avoiding oxidative damage, tight control of cellular ROS levels is required. To this end, plant cells are equipped with an extensive antioxidative defence system consisting of enzymatic as well as non-enzymatic components. Major enzymatic antioxidants are superoxide dismutase (SOD), which converts $O_2^{\bullet-}$ to H_2O_2 , and catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione peroxidase-

- like proteins, peroxiredoxins and glutathione S-transferases, 3.30 responsible for H₂O₂ detoxification. Non-enzymatic antioxidants can be further subdivided into water-soluble compounds such as ascorbic acid (AsA), glutathione (GSH) and various polyphenols (e.g. flavonoids), and lipid-soluble compounds such as tocopherols and carotenoids (P. Wang et al., 2024).
- Interestingly, lipoic acid is soluble in water as well as in lipids, 3.35 thereby connecting the activities of antioxidants in membranes with those in the cytosol (Navari-Izzo et al., 2002). It should be noted that enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants are tightly intertwined. An excellent example is the AsA-GSH
- cycle, contributing to H₂O₂ detoxification in various subcel-3.40 lular compartments. In this cycle, AsA serves as the electron donor for APX, which reduces H₂O₂ to water, and GSH delivers electrons required for recycling AsA to its reduced form (Foyer and Kunert, 2024). In response to developmental and environmental cues, the abundance and activity of these anti-3.45

oxidants is altered to enable regulation of cellular ROS levels (P. Wang et al., 2024).

In this review, we provide an overview of the involvement of ROS in plant responses to stress combinations including heat, drought, and/or flooding. In addition, we discuss the impor-3.50 tance of the cellular redox balance in priming-induced plant tolerance to climate change-related stress conditions. In this framework, also the interplay between ROS and other signalling molecules is considered.

Reactive oxygen species in plant tolerance to combined stress conditions

The vast majority of studies investigating plant stress responses focus on single stress conditions (Renziehausen et al., 2024). 3.60 Nevertheless, in the environment, plants are generally exposed to combinations of different stresses. These can occur either simultaneously or sequentially and cause a significant threat to crop yield (Suzuki et al., 2014; Pandey et al., 2015). Stress combinations can consist of biotic stress conditions like path-3.65 ogen infections or herbivore attack as well as abiotic stresses. The latter comprise stresses directly linked to climate change such as heat, drought, and flooding, but also other environmental challenges such as environmental pollution with heavy metals, microplastics, and antibiotics should be considered 3.70 (Zandalinas et al., 2021a). Whereas the term combined stress generally refers to a combination of two stress conditions, simultaneous or sequential exposure to three or more stresses is referred to as a multifactorial stress combination (Zandalinas et al., 2024). Recently, Zandalinas et al. (2021b) showed that 3.75 survival rates of Arabidopsis seedlings significantly decreased with simultaneous exposure to an increasing number of stress conditions, even though each of these stresses had little to no effect on plant survival when applied individually. As the occurrence of stress conditions, and hence their combinations, 3.80 is expected to increase significantly in future years as a consequence of climate change and anthropogenic activities, there is an urgent need to develop crops with an increased tolerance to combined stress conditions to safeguard food security for the continuously growing world population. To do so, it is essen-3.85 tial to gain in-depth knowledge on the molecular mechanisms underlying plant responses to stress combinations (Sato et al., 2024). The fact that plant responses to combined stress conditions are often unique and cannot simply be predicted from responses to individual stressors further emphasizes the need to 3.90 study plants under dual or even multifactorial stress conditions (Pandey et al., 2015; Zhang and Sonnewald, 2017; Desaint et al., 2021). For a detailed description of phenotypic, physiological and molecular plant responses to specific stress combinations, readers are referred to literature reviews by Desaint et al. (2021) 3.95 (heat stress and pathogens), Tahjib-Ul-Arif et al. (2023) (waterlogging and salinity), Renziehausen et al. (2024) (combinations involving flooding stress), and Sato et al. (2024) (drought and heat stress).

The complexity of plant responses to stress combinations

The effects of combined stress conditions on phenotypic, physiological, or molecular parameters can be additive, synergistic, 3.105 or antagonistic in which the effect of the stress combination is equal to, greater than, or smaller than the sum of the effects of the individual stresses, respectively (Renziehausen et al., 2024). This can be explained by the fact that plant responses

3.110

Page 4 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

to different stress conditions involve other stress sensors, signalling pathways, and regulatory networks. Hence, plant exposure to multiple stresses simultaneously can result in the clashing of different acclimation or defence strategies, thereby either improving or decreasing stress tolerance (Pandey *et al.*, 2015; Zandalinas *et al.*, 2021a; Renziehausen *et al.*, 2024). Improved

- 4.10 stress combination of heat stress and salinity compared with plants treated with salt stress alone. Accumulation of glycine betaine and trehalose in response to the stress combination is linked to a better maintenance of cell water status and photosynthesis, resulting in a less severe impact on plant growth
- (Rivero et al., 2014). In contrast, plant exposure to combined heat stress and drought often has detrimental consequences.
 4.15 When plants are subjected to heat stress alone, stomatal conductance is generally increased to enable leaf cooling via transpiration. In contrast, drought typically causes abscisic acid (ABA) accumulation in guard cells, thereby inducing stomatal closure to prevent water loss. When combined, effects of drought dominate over those induced by heat, and stomata remain closed and transpiration is repressed, resulting in a higher leaf temperature in plants exposed to a combination of both stresses compared with plants exposed to heat alone, leading to
- dramatic effects on crop yield (Mittler, 2006). 4.25 However, it should be noted that plant responses to stress combinations depend on several parameters including the plant species, developmental stage and the plant organ studied. For example, Sinha et al. (2022) recently demonstrated that leaf stomata were closed in soybean plants exposed to a combi-4.30 nation of water deficit and heat stress, whereas flower stomata were open, thereby favouring transpiration through flowers over transpiration through leaves. This strategy is termed 'differential transpiration' and serves to protect reproductive processes from the effects of combined drought and heat stress by lowering the internal temperature of the flower (Sinha et al., 4.35 2022). Prediction of plant responses to stress combinations is further complicated by the fact that these depend on multiple aspects of the stress conditions (stress severity, duration of exposure, and sequence of exposure) as well as on environmental conditions (nutrition, light quality, and time of day) (Anwar 4.40
- et al., 2021; Zandalinas et al., 2021a). Moreover, it is important to take into account that combined stress conditions also affect soil parameters. Indeed, Rillig et al. (2019) demonstrated that soil microbiome diversity significantly decreased with an increasing number of stresses in a combined stress situation. As the soil microbiome is an important determinant of plant health, this puts an additional risk on plant growth and productivity.

4.50 Stress combinations affect cellular reactive oxygen species levels

Despite the complexity of plant responses to stress combinations, signalling pathways triggered by most biotic and abiotic stresses share several common features including the involvement of Ca2+, phytohormones, mitogen-activated protein kinases and ROS (Pandey et al., 2015). As alterations in ROS levels and changes in the cellular redox balance are key features of plant responses to virtually all stress conditions, it is highly 4.60 plausible that ROS/redox signals serve as important mediators of combined stress responses (Renziehausen et al., 2024). As summarized in Table 1, combined stress conditions often induce more pronounced increases in ROS levels compared with individual stresses in the same experimental set-up (Fig. 1). For 4.65 example, simultaneous exposure of sweet sorghum seedlings to drought stress and salinity caused stronger increases in leaf $O_2^{\bullet-}$, H_2O_2 , and OH concentrations compared with the single exposures. The strength of the increase in $O_2^{\bullet-}$ levels seemed equal to the sum of the effects of the individual stressors, sug-4.70 gesting an additive effect. In contrast, elevations in H₂O₂ and 'OH induced by simultaneous drought and salt stress were more pronounced than what would simply be predicted based on the effects of the individual stressors, pointing towards a synergistic effect. These increased ROS levels coincided with 4.75 a stronger rise in malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, suggesting a greater extent of lipid peroxidation. Interestingly, these differences were accompanied by a unique transcriptional footprint, as combined exposure to drought and salinity caused up-regulation of over 2500 genes that were not transcription-4.80 ally induced by either of the single stresses (Wang and Wei, 2022). The effects of combined salinity and waterlogging on leaf H2O2 concentrations slightly varied between different maize cultivars. In general, salinity caused stronger increases in H₂O₂ levels compared with waterlogging. Whereas a more 4.85 pronounced increase in H2O2 levels under combined compared with single stress conditions was observed in some cultivars, the response to combined exposure did not significantly differ from that induced by salinity alone in other cultivars. These effects were observed during the stress exposure as 4.90 well as during the recovery phase (Mahmood et al., 2021). In cotton, leaf H₂O₂ levels were increased by drought but generally not affected by heat. Markedly, combined exposure to both stressors led to stronger increases in H2O2 levels in leaves of stress-sensitive genotypes, pointing towards a synergistic effect 4.95 of both stressors on cellular ROS levels. However, in genotypes that were identified as either drought- or heat-tolerant, increases in H₂O₂ concentrations induced by the stress combination were similar to those induced by heat alone, suggesting an additive effect. These data again emphasize that combined 4.100 stress responses can differ between cultivars of the same species (Zafar et al., 2023). In cotton anthers, O2⁻ levels were not affected by drought treatment, but showed a significant increase in response to high temperature. Similarly, elevations in H_2O_2 were more pronounced in anthers of heat-treated plants com-4.105 pared with drought-stressed plants. In general, ROS levels in cotton anthers showed similar responses to combined heat and drought treatment as compared with those observed upon heat stress alone, indicating that combined stress does not always

ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 5 of 20

Table 1. Summary of studies on the influence of combined abiotic stress conditions on reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in plants

Plant species	Organ	Stress (i)	Stress (ii)	Combined stress	ROS detection method	Redox-related parameters	Reference
(i) Drought, (ii) heat							
Gossypium hirsutum	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2=$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met	Zafar <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Xanthoceras sorbi- folium	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	Histochemical staining	AO-Enz, AO-Met	Li <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Triticum aestivum	Leaves	O ₂ •-↑	$O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	$O_2^{\bullet} - \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met	Ru <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Gossypium hirsutum	Anthers	H ₂ O ₂ ↑; O ₂ •-=	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met	J. Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Valeriana jatamansi; Hedychium spicatum	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Pandey <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Solanum melongena	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPO	Hannachi <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Zea mays	Roots	$O_2^{\bullet-\uparrow\uparrow}$	O ₂ •-↑	$O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Ru <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Solanum lycoper- sicum	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2 =$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Met, LPO	Francesca <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Lycopersicon escu- lentum	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	Histochemical staining	AO-Enz, LPO	Annadurai <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Prunus cerasifera	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Bolat <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Chenopodium album	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	Chemiluminescence	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPO	Semwal and Khanna- Chopra (2020)
Hordeum vulgare	Shoots Roots	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •⁻↑ H ₂ O ₂ =	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ • ⁻ = H ₂ O ₂ ↑/=	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-= H ₂ O ₂ ↑	Spectrophotometry + his- tochemical staining	AO-Enz, LPO	Zhanassova <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Psychotria brachy- ceras	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2 =$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	De Palma <i>et al.</i> (2023)
(i) Drought, (ii) salinity	/						
Sorghum bicolor	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-=; •OH=	H₂O₂↑; O₂•⁻↑; •OH↑	H₂O₂↑↑; O₂ ^{•−} ↑; •OH↑↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Wang and Wei (2022)
Oryza sativa	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ ↑; O ₂ •-↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↑; O ₂ •-↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Huanhe <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Solanum melongena	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Kıran and Baysal Furtana (2023)
Populus euphratica, P. pruinosa	Leaves	O ₂ •-↑	O ₂ •-↑	$O_2^{\bullet-\uparrow\uparrow}$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Yu <i>et al.</i> (2020)
Cyperus esculentus	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	N. Wang et al. (2024)
(i) Drought, (ii) cadmi	um						
Vigna angularis	Roots	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •⁻↑	H2O2↑; O2•-↓↓	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\downarrow$	Spectrophotometry + his- tochemical staining	AO-Enz	Ma <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Phaseolus vulgaris (i) Drought, (ii) mercu	Leaves ry	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	H₂O₂↑↑	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Yildirim <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Zea mays	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry + his- tochemical staining	AO-Enz, LPO	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2023)
(i) Drought, (ii) cold							
Hordeum vulgare	Shoots	H2O2=; O ₂ •-↑	$H_2O_2=; O_2^{\bullet-}\downarrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}\downarrow\downarrow$	Spectrophotometry + his-	AO-Enz, LPO	Zhanassova <i>et al.</i>
	Roots	$H_2O_2=$	$H_2O_2 =$	$H_2O_2 =$	tochemical staining		(ZUZ I)
(i) Heat, (ii) salinity Oryza sativa	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =	H₂O₂↑↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met,	Mendes et al. (2024)
Solanum luconor	Shoote				Spectrophotomotry	LPU	Source at al (2022)
sicum	Booto	$\Pi_2 \cup_2 \downarrow; \cup_2 =$	$\square_2 \cup_2 \downarrow; \cup_2 \downarrow$	$\Box_2 \cup_2 \downarrow; \cup_2 \downarrow \downarrow$	ореспорнотоннецу	LPO	0000a Er di. (2022)
(i) Waterlogging (ii) o	alinity	$\Pi_2 \cup_2 ; \cup_2 =$	$1_2 \cup_2 =, \cup_2 =$	$\square_2 \cup_2 ; \cup_2 =$		-	
Zea mays	Leaves	$H_2O_2\uparrow/=$	$H_2O_2=/\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz	Mahmood <i>et al.</i> (2021)
(i) Hypoxia, (ii) iron	1.000						
Soybean	Leaves	$H_2 O_2 \uparrow$	$H_2U_2=$	$H_2 O_2 \uparrow \uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AU-ENZ, LPU	Dellas et al. (2022)
(i) waterlogging, (ii) c Solanum lycopersicum	admium Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-=	H ₂ O ₂]; O ₂ •-=	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	R. Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2023)

This table compiles research from the past 5 years investigating plant responses to combinations of two abiotic stress conditions. Columns include the stress combination, plant species, organ studied, observed trends in ROS levels in response to exposure to each of the individual stress conditions and the stress combination in comparison with control conditions, the method used for ROS detection, and additional redox-related parameters studied. AO-Enz, antioxidant enzymes; AO-Met, antioxidant metabolites; LPO, lipid peroxidation.

Page 6 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

induce a stronger increase in cellular ROS levels (J. Zhang et al., 2023). As for other parameters, differences in ROS signatures induced by combined stress conditions could be related to various factors including the intensities of the individual 6.5 stresses and the plant species and organ analysed. As such, it is clear that changes in ROS levels in plants exposed to stress combinations cannot simply be predicted based on the effects of each of the individual stressors. Nevertheless, the available data clearly point towards a role for ROS in plant responses 6.10 to combined stress conditions. Although increased ROS levels resulting from additive or synergistic effects of multiple stressors frequently lead to cellular damage (e.g. lipid peroxidation evidenced by increased MDA concentrations), it should be considered that the ROS signatures induced by specific stress combinations also serve crucial roles in signal transduction and 6.15

plant acclimation to the combined exposure.

Antioxidative defence in plant responses to combined stress conditions

Recently, two meta-analyses also highlighted the impact of combined stress conditions on ROS levels in plants. Angon et al. (2022) combined the results of 30 research articles reporting on the effects of combined drought stress and salinity. They found that this stress combination caused more pronounced effects 6.25 on plant growth, photosynthesis, and ionic balance compared with either of the single stresses and resulted in more severe increases in H₂O₂ and MDA levels. The stronger increase in ROS levels was likely related to the fact that the antioxidative defence system was only activated to a similar extent in 6.30 plants exposed to the stress combination compared with those exposed to the single stresses (Angon et al., 2022). Similarly, a meta-analysis performed by Cao et al. (2024) including 36 research papers revealed that combined exposure to drought and salinity resulted in stronger increases in H2O2 and MDA lev-6.35 els compared with the individual stresses, whereas increases in AsA and GSH concentrations and activities of SOD, peroxidase (POD), CAT, APX, glutathione peroxidase (GPX), and glutathione reductase (GR) were similar or even less pronounced under combined compared with single stress conditions. In 6.40 contrast, several other studies reported higher antioxidative enzyme activities in plants exposed to combined stress conditions in comparison with those subjected to the individual stresses. For example, Kıran and Baysal Furtana (2023) showed that a combination of drought and salinity caused stronger increases 6.45 in H₂O₂ concentrations as well as SOD, CAT, and GR activities in eggplant seedlings compared with drought or salinity separately. Interestingly, Ru et al. (2023) demonstrated that the time of sampling during the stress exposure and recovery phase strongly affects the observed responses on the antioxida-6.50 tive system. In leaves of winter wheat, $O_2^{\bullet-}$ concentrations were more strongly increased by combined heat stress and drought compared with the individual stresses at all time points assessed during the stress exposure as well as during the recovery period. Nevertheless, activities of antioxidative enzymes (SOD, POD, CAT, and GR) were enhanced to a greater extent by the combined stress compared with the single stresses during the initial days of the stress treatment, whereas the opposite was observed at later time points. Interestingly, this did not coincide with 6.60 increased MDA levels at the later time points, suggesting that the lack of enhanced antioxidative defence compared with the single stress conditions did not cause a greater extent of oxidative damage. Antioxidative enzyme activities determined during the recovery phase strongly depended on the duration of the 6.65 prior stress exposure (Ru et al., 2023). In general, increased antioxidative enzyme activities in response to stress combinations probably serve to counteract enhanced cellular ROS concentrations as a consequence of the high stress severity. However, it is unclear whether the lack of stronger activation of the antioxi-6.70 dative defence system in response to combined compared with single stress conditions as observed in other studies is merely a negative consequence of the stress severity (e.g. due to damage to antioxidative proteins) or whether it serves to increase cellular ROS levels, thereby triggering signalling pathways re-6.75 quired for acclimation to the stress combination.

Reactive oxygen species–phytohormone interplay in plant responses to combined stresses

When considering the involvement of ROS in plant responses to combined stress conditions, also their interplay with other signalling molecules such as Ca²⁺ and phytohormones should be taken into account (Fig. 1). Although studies focusing on the impact of stress combinations on both ROS and Ca²⁺ 6.85 are currently scarce, several recent publications have reported combined stress-induced changes in both ROS and phytohormone concentrations. Hannachi et al. (2022), for example, demonstrated that combined exposure to drought and heat stress caused a stronger increase in leaf H₂O₂ levels of different 6.90 eggplant cultivars compared with exposure to both individual stresses. Whereas individual heat or drought treatment did not affect phytohormone concentrations in some cultivars, ABA, salicylic acid (SA), and jasmonic acid (JA) levels were slightly increased by heat treatment and showed a stronger response 6.95 to drought in others. In all cultivars, combined exposure to both stressors caused a similar effect on levels of these phytohormones to drought treatment alone (Hannachi et al., 2022). In leaves of Prunus cerasifera, combined exposure to heat and drought caused a stronger increase in H₂O₂ concentrations than 6.100 exposure to the individual stressors, both during the stress and upon recovery. However, the effect was less pronounced than would be predicted based on addition of the individual effects. Both drought and heat caused significant increases in ABA concentrations and significant decreases in indole-3-acetic acid, 6.105 gibberellic acid (GA), and cytokinin levels. In general, these effects were more pronounced in plants simultaneously exposed to both stress conditions (Bolat et al., 2024). Zaman et al. (2024) investigated ROS levels and transcriptional responses in dragon

6.20

6.110



ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 7 of 20

7.25 Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the involvement of unique reactive oxygen species (ROS) signatures in plant acclimation to combined stress conditions. Exposure to a combination of two or more abiotic stresses generally leads to a higher overall stress severity and stronger increases in cellular ROS levels compared with exposure to each of the stresses individually. Although ROS can cause oxidative damage, they are also key players in cellular signal transduction. Cellular ROS levels are controlled by an extensive antioxidative defence system and are tightly interconnected with other signalling molecules such as calcium (Ca²⁺), phytohormones (PHs), reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and reactive sulfur species (RSS). Together, the signal transduction pathways activated by specific stress conditions trigger alterations at various biological organization levels (epigenome, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome), ultimately aimed at stress acclimation. Whereas it is clear that specific stress conditions trigger unique redox signatures, detailed
7.80

fruit seedlings exposed to drought, heat, vanadium, and their
7.35 combinations. In cladodes, H₂O₂ concentrations were not affected by any of the individual stresses, but showed a significant increase to combined drought and vanadium treatment, suggesting a synergistic effect of both stressors on cellular ROS levels. Interestingly, addition of heat to the stress combination
7.40 did not further increase H₂O₂ concentrations. Although the authors did not assess phytohormone concentrations, they performed an RNA-sequencing analysis, which revealed differential expression of a large number of genes related to ABA, GA, JA, brassinosteroid, ethylene, cytokinin, SA and auxin signalling

7.45 pathways under combined stress conditions in comparison with single stress exposure (Zaman *et al.*, 2024).

Reactive oxygen species: key drivers of plant acclimation to stress combinations?

The coordinated action of phytohormones, ROS, and other key signalling molecules such as Ca²⁺ likely triggers unique transcriptional and/or metabolic responses required for plant survival and acclimation to specific single, combined, or

multifactorial stress scenarios. However, despite the strong increase in the number of studies investigating plant responses to 7.90 combined stress conditions over recent years, the importance of ROS in plant acclimation to stress combinations remains largely unknown. It could be speculated that stronger increases in ROS levels under combined stress compared with single stress conditions are merely a consequence of the higher stress 7.95 intensity and are detrimental to plant function. Nevertheless, higher ROS levels in plants exposed to combined stress conditions could also serve as an important signal to trigger unique responses at various biological organization levels (transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, etc.) required for plant survival 7.100 (Fig. 1). This hypothesis is supported by findings of Zandalinas et al. (2021b), showing that ROS levels increased in wild-type Arabidopsis plants with an increasing number of stressors in a multifactorial stress combination. Interestingly, both apx1 and rbohd mutants displayed lower survival rates compared with 7.105 wild-type plants upon exposure to stress combinations consisting of four or more stressors. These data suggest that not only ROS detoxification but also ROS generation plays important roles in plant survival upon stress combination.

Reactive oxygen species: key players in priming-induced stress tolerance

Stress priming: sequential stress exposure as a strategy towards improved stress tolerance

Whereas two or more stresses can occur simultaneously, stress events can also take place sequentially. When plants are first exposed to a mild, sublethal stress event, followed by a recovery period under optimal growth conditions, they often 8.10 show an increased tolerance to subsequent stresses (Fig. 2). This phenomenon is termed stress priming and involves the development of a so-called stress memory, which allows plants to respond in a faster and more efficient manner to subsequent stress exposures. This process is also referred to as ac-8.15 quired stress tolerance, while the ability of plants to withstand stress conditions without prior exposure is known as basal stress tolerance (Nair et al., 2022). The increased stress resilience is generally characterized by a smaller impact of the stress treatment on various parameters such as plant growth 8.20 rate, yield and photosynthetic capacity in primed compared with non-primed plants and is linked to alterations at multiple biological organization levels including the transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome (H. Liu et al., 2022). Furthermore,

- 8.25 epigenetic effects such as changes in DNA methylation, chromatin remodelling, and histone modifications play key roles in stress memory (Nair *et al.*, 2022) (Fig. 2). Stress memory duration can vary from hours to weeks, depending on the stress type, intensity, duration, and recurrence of the priming stress, as well as on the plant species and its genetic background
- 8.30 as wen as on the plant species and its genetic background (H. Liu *et al.*, 2022; Nair *et al.*, 2022). When the memory effect is retained in the current generation only, it is referred to as somatic stress memory. However, stress memory can also be transferred from one generation to the next. Whereas the term intergenerational memory refers to the situation when a memory effect is only detected in the first non-stressed generation, the term transgenerational memory indicates the occurrence of memory effects in at least two stress-free generations (Lämke and Bäurle, 2017).

Stress priming can also be subdivided into cis-priming and 8.40 trans-priming. These terms indicate situations in which exposure to a specific stress factor increases plant tolerance to a subsequent exposure to the same or a different stressor, respectively (Khan et al., 2022; Nair et al., 2022). A well-known example of *cis*-priming is the observation that survival of various 8.45 plant species upon exposure to high temperature is significantly increased by prior treatment with a milder temperature stress (Jagadish et al., 2010; Sedaghatmehr et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020; B. Liu et al., 2021; Olas et al., 2021; Samantaray et al., 2023; John et al., 2024). This phenomenon 8.50 is known as acquired thermotolerance and involves various mechanisms including increases in the expression and abundance of heat shock proteins and heat shock factors as well as

enhanced activity of various antioxidative enzymes (Khan et al.,

2022). Interestingly, high temperature treatment also functions in *trans*-priming, as it was shown to enhance plant tolerance to a variety of other abiotic stress conditions including metal exposure (Hsu and Kao, 2007; Chou et al., 2012; W. Liu et al., 2021; Amin et al., 2024), salinity (Gong et al., 2001; Hossain 8.60 et al., 2013; Faralli et al., 2015; Körner et al., 2024), drought (Gong et al., 2001; Hossain et al., 2013), anoxia (Banti et al., 2008), and chilling stress (Gong et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005, Zhang et al., 2013). Vice versa, other abiotic stress conditions can also improve plant thermotolerance (Zhang et al., 2020; De 8.65 Pascali et al., 2022; Feijó et al., 2023; Bryant et al., 2024; Lamelas et al., 2024; H.Wang et al., 2024). For a detailed overview of this topic, readers are referred to Khan et al. (2022). The occurrence of trans-priming is likely based on the fact that plant responses to different stress conditions rely on overlapping sets 8.70 of signalling molecules such as ROS and phytohormones (H. Liu et al., 2022).

The cellular redox balance in stress priming

Whereas the beneficial effects of stress priming are well es-8.75 tablished, the underlying mechanisms are currently not fully understood. What is clear, however, is that ROS are important players in the process (González-Bosch, 2018). In many cases, stress priming results in an increase in cellular ROS levels prior to exposure to a second stress condition. While expo-8.80 sure to a second stress episode still induces an increase in ROS concentrations compared with the control, this effect is generally less pronounced in primed compared with non-primed plants (Table 2; Fig. 2). In roots and leaves of Alhagi sparsifolia, for example, drought priming resulted in significant eleva-8.85 tions in H_2O_2 and $O_2^{\bullet-}$ levels. After a recovery period of 15 d followed by another drought episode, H₂O₂ concentrations were lower in roots and leaves of primed compared with nonprimed plants. The same was observed for $O_2^{\bullet-}$ concentrations in leaves, whereas no differences were observed in roots. In ge-8.90 neral, the drought-primed plants showed a higher tolerance to a subsequent drought exposure, as evidenced by a larger root length and a higher root dry weight, leaf relative water content, and total plant biomass, as well as a lower extent of lipid peroxidation. As such, it can be speculated that the priming-induced 8.95 increase in ROS levels serves a key role in the induction of a somatic stress memory. This is likely related to the significant increases in SOD, POD, CAT, GPX, and APX activities induced by drought priming. Interestingly, activities of GR and monodehydroascorbate reductase, which are involved in the re-8.100 duction of antioxidative metabolites rather than in direct ROS detoxification, showed the opposite pattern. Furthermore, the interplay between ROS and phytohormones could also play an essential role in the establishment of drought stress memory, as concentrations of many phytohormones were significantly af-8.105 fected upon drought priming (Ullah et al., 2024b). Highly similar results were reported in Calligonum mongolicum plants in a comparable experimental set-up (Ullah et al., 2024a). Similarly, Ru et al. (2022) demonstrated that two consequent episodes of



ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 9 of 20

Fig. 2. Schematic overview of the involvement of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in priming-mediated increases in plant stress tolerance. Priming through a mild stress exposure or through treatment with ROS or phytohormone (PH)-related compounds induces a so-called stress memory, which is associated with alterations at various biological organization levels (epigenome, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome). Together with other signalling molecules such as calcium (Ca²⁺), phytohormones, reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and reactive sulfur species (RSS), ROS are key players in establishing stress memory. This enhances plant tolerance to subsequent stress exposures and is generally associated with less pronounced stress-induced increases in cellular ROS levels in primed (B) compared with non-primed (A) plants. Whereas it is clear that ROS are important mediators of priming-dependent improvement of plant stress tolerance, detailed information regarding the dynamics and subcellular origin of ROS production is generally lacking.

- priming with heat, drought, or a combination of both stresses 9.35 for 2 d increased the tolerance of maize roots to a subsequent prolonged exposure to the same stressor(s) for 6 d. This was evidenced by the fact that root morphology of primed plants was less affected by subsequent stress exposure in comparison with that of non-primed plants. Interestingly, priming with the 9.40 respective stress conditions resulted in higher root O2 - concentrations immediately prior to subsequent stress exposure, but less pronounced $O_2^{\bullet-}$ elevations at two time points during the subsequent stress treatment. Again, this observation is likely related to priming-induced increases in antioxidative enzyme 9.45 activities, as SOD, CAT, and POD activities were higher in roots of primed plants both prior to and during the final stress episode (Ru et al., 2022). These data suggest that priming strategies are not only useful to improve plant tolerance to individual stress conditions, but that they can also be employed to 9.50
 - enhance plant resilience to combined or even multifactorial stress scenarios.

It should be noted that the intensity of the priming stress can affect to what extent plant tolerance to subsequent stress

exposure is improved. Indeed, Khanzada et al. (2022) demon-9.90 strated that the temperature used for thermopriming influences subsequent heat stress tolerance of winter wheat. In this study, plants were primed with two episodes of either low (22/16 °C) or moderate heat stress (30/24 °C) at the four-leaf stage and the six-leaf stage and were subsequently exposed to high 9.95 temperature (34/30 °C) during the booting or flowering stage. Low heat priming did not affect H_2O_2 and $O_2^{\bullet-}$ in flag leaves, while these parameters were significantly increased in response to moderate heat priming. Upon subsequent high temperature exposure, elevations in O_2^{-} levels were similar between non-9.100 primed and low heat-primed plants. Increases in H2O2 concentrations induced by exposure to high temperature during the flowering stage were less pronounced in low heat-primed plants compared with non-primed plants, but such a difference was not observed when plants were subjected to high 9.105 temperature stress during the booting stage. In contrast, moderate heat priming resulted in smaller stress-induced increases in flag leaf ROS levels compared with non-primed plants irrespective of the developmental stage during which the plants

	studiae on abiotic etrae	ioninoni-sa	d priming and rea	ctive oxygen specir	es (ROS) productio	n under subseque	nt stress in plants	
ummary of \$	סוממופס חוד מהוחנות סוו פכ							
riming tressor	Plant species	Organ	Priming ^a	Unprimed stressed plants ^b	Primed stressed plants ^c	ROS detection method	Redox-related parameters	Reference
rought	<i>Morus multicaulis</i> (Husang 32)	Leaves Roots	$H_2O_2\downarrow$ or =; $O_2^{\bullet-}=$ $H_2O_2=; O_2^{\bullet-}=$	H₂O₂↓; O2• ⁻ ↑ H₂O₂↓; O2• ⁻ ↑ or =	$H_2O_2\uparrow$ or =; $O_2^{\bullet-}=$ $H_2O_2\downarrow$; $O_2^{\bullet-}=$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	L. Liu <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Morus multicaulis</i> (Husang 7307)	Leaves Roots	H ₂ O ₂ ↓ or =; O ₂ ^{•-} = H ₂ O ₂ ↓; O ₂ ^{•-} =	H ₂ O ₂ ↓ or =; O ₂ [•] ↑ H ₂ O ₂ ↓; O ₂ [•] ↑ or =	$H_2O_2^{\uparrow}$ or =; $O_2^{\bullet-}=$ $H_2O_2=$; $O_2^{\bullet-}=$	Spectrophotometry		
	Alhagi sparsifolia	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ 111 H O 4: O4+	H ₂ O ₂ 11; O ₂ [•] 11	H ₂ O ₂ †; O ₂ ↑	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPO	Ullah <i>et al.</i> (2024b)
	Calligonum mongolicum	Leaves	H₂O2 ; O2 H₂O2↑↑; O2 ^{•−} ↑	H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ 1 H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ 1	H₂O2↑; O2 ⁻ ↓	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met,	Ullah <i>et al.</i> (2024a)
	Teitiou un continuum	Roots	H ₂ O ₂ †; O ₂ •*1	H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ ⁻¹¹	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow;O_2^{\bullet-\uparrow}$			
	Triticum aestivum (Yangmai16)	Leaves		H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ -111	^{H2} O2 H2O2∰; O2 ⁺ ∰	Spectrophotometry	AO-Eriz, LFO AO-Eriz, AO-Met, LPO	wang et <i>al</i> . (2023) Li <i>etal.</i> (2023)
	Triticum aestivum (Xumai33)	Leaves	Ι	H ₂ O ₂ ††; O ₂ •-††	H ₂ O ₂ †; O ₂ •-↑			
rought	Glycine max	Leaves	I	H₂O₂⋔	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Bester <i>et al.</i> (2024)
loodina		Roots Leaves						
D		Roots						
looding		Leaves						
		Roots						
rought		Leaves Roots						
leat (low)	Triticum aestivum	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ ^{•-} =	H ₂ O ₂ †††; O ₂ •*†††	H ₂ O ₂ 11; O ₂ •-111	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Khanzada <i>et al.</i>
leat (high)			$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-}=$	H ₂ O ₂ 111; O ₂ 111	$H_2O_2\uparrow; O_2^{\bullet-\uparrow\uparrow}$			(2022)
leat	Zea mays	Roots	$O_2^{\bullet-\uparrow}$	0_2^{\bullet} - $\uparrow\uparrow$	$0_2^{\bullet-\uparrow}$	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Ru <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Drought Drought and			0^2		0^{2}			
leat			02	02	Q2			
Vaterlogging	Gossypium hirsutum	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ =	H.O.1: O1	H2O2=; 0,**=	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	H. Wang <i>et al.</i> (2024)

10.110

10.60

10.65

10.70

10.75

10.80

10.85

10.90

10.95

10.100

ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 11 of 20

were subjected to the stress treatment. The different ability of both priming treatments to protect plants against subsequent heat stress is again likely related to the degree of activation of the antioxidative defence system, as moderate heat priming

11.5 induced stronger increases in SOD, CAT, and APX activities compared with low heat priming. Whereas monodehydro-ascorbate reductase activity showed the same response, GR and dehydroascorbate reductase activities were lower in moderate heat-primed plants in comparison with non-primed and low heat-primed plants (Khanzada *et al.*, 2022).

Besides the intensity of the priming stress, the plant genetic background can influence the effect of stress priming on subsequent stress tolerance. L. Liu *et al.* (2022) investigated the impact of drought priming on drought tolerance of two *Morus*

- 11.15 *multicaulis* cultivars. Whereas O₂^{•-} concentrations did not differ between primed and non-primed plants after an 18-day period of drought stress priming, H₂O₂ concentrations were either not affected or decreased after the priming event depending on the cultivar studied. Upon subsequent drought stress, O₂^{•-}
- 11.20 levels were generally lower in primed compared with nonprimed plants, whereas H_2O_2 showed the opposite pattern, with the extent of the observed effects again differing between the two cultivars (L. Liu *et al.*, 2022). These data suggest that stress priming does not necessarily limit ROS production upon
- 11.25 renewed stress exposure, but that it can also affect the type of ROS that is generated.

Interestingly, ROS are likely not only involved in the mechanisms underlying *cis*-priming, but also contribute to plant cross-tolerance to different abiotic stress conditions. In a re-

- 11.30 cent study, Bester *et al.* (2024) investigated the influence of *cis*priming and *trans*-priming on water deficit and waterlogging tolerance of soybean. Plants were primed with a 5 day period of either water deficit or waterlogging during the vegetative stage and again exposed to either of the two stressors during
- 11.35 the reproductive stage. The obtained results showed that stressinduced increases in root and leaf H_2O_2 concentrations were significantly less pronounced in primed compared with nonprimed plants, irrespective of the stressor used for priming. This response generally coincided with stronger stress-induced
- 11.40 increases in SOD, CAT, and APX activities in roots and leaves of primed plants in comparison with those observed in non-primed plants (Bester *et al.*, 2024).

Besides enhancing plant stress tolerance by activating the enzymatic antioxidative defence system, ROS can also contribute

- 11.45 to stress memory through other mechanisms. It was shown in tomato, for example, that inhibition of NADPH oxidase-mediated apoplastic ROS production in thermo-primed plants by treatment with diphenylene iodonium resulted in a decreased expression of heat stress-responsive genes and
- 11.50 the loss of heat stress memory. During recovery upon prolonged heat stress exposure (termed overacclimation), H_2O_2 levels in the apoplast decreased, whereas those in chloroplasts increased. This response coincided with an increased extent of programmed cell death, emphasizing the importance of the

subcellular localization of ROS production in determining plant stress tolerance (Sun *et al.*, 2018).

Chemical priming: shaping reactive oxygen species dynamics in plant stress acclimation

Although the underlying mechanisms are not fully understood, it is clear that ROS are key players in stress priming-mediated increases in plant tolerance to various abiotic stress conditions, including those related to climate change. Instead of using a prior stress exposure, also chemical priming is frequently used to improve plant stress resilience (Fig. 2) (Savvides *et al.*, 2016). In the next sections of this review, we focus on the influence of priming with ROS, phytohormones, and various biostimulants on plant tolerance to climate change-related stress conditions and explore the effects of these priming strategies on the cellular redox state.

Reactive oxygen species-based priming strategies

Due to its chemical properties and important role in signalling 11.75 processes, H₂O₂ is frequently used in low concentrations for priming purposes (Hossain et al., 2015; Kerchev et al., 2020) (Table 3). Research has demonstrated positive effects of H_2O_2 priming on plant tolerance to a wide range of stress conditions including those directly linked to climate change such as 11.80 heat (Uchida et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2018; Iqbal et al., 2020), drought (Iqbal et al., 2018; Jira-anunkul and Patanagul, 2021; Wang et al., 2021b), and waterlogging (S. Wang et al., 2022). Treatment of plants with H₂O₂ is often carried out during the seed stage (Savvides et al., 2016). Alternatively, plants can 11.85 be treated with H2O2 at later stages during their development, for example by foliar spraying or through surface irrigation. Recently, Iqbal and Yaning (2024) compared the effects of these different H2O2 priming methods on drought tolerance of Chenopodium quinoa plants. In a preliminary experi-11.90 ment, they determined that a concentration of 15 mM H₂O₂ was most suitable for foliar spraying, whereas 5 mM H₂O₂ was the optimal concentration for surface irrigation, as these were the highest concentrations tested that did not induce an obvious increase in MDA levels. Interestingly, these treatments 11.95 did not affect internal H2O2 and O2 - concentrations measured in the seedlings. Comparison of both methods with seed H_2O_2 priming at a concentration of 80 mM revealed that seed priming and foliar spraying were the most effective in preventing negative effects of drought exposure on various parameters 11.100 including plant height and grain yield. This was in agreement with the fact that these methods caused the strongest reduction of drought-induced H₂O₂ production. Upon drought stress, SOD and POD activities were significantly higher in primed compared with non-primed plants, irrespective of the priming 11.105 method. However, SOD activity was most strongly induced in seed-primed plants, whereas POD activity was highest in plants treated with H₂O₂ through foliar spraying (Iqbal and Yaning, 2024). Besides the method of H₂O₂ treatment, the

11.110

Page 12 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

Table 3. Summary of studies on reactive oxygen species (ROS)-induced priming and ROS production under subsequent drought stress in plants

5	Priming agent and applica- tion method	Plant species	Organ	Priming ^a	Unprimed stressed plants ^b	Primed stressed plants ^c	ROS detec- tion method	Redox-related parameters	Reference	1
	H ₂ O ₂									
	Seed	Chenopodium	Leaves	_	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑↑	Spectropho-	AO-Enz, LPO	Iqbal and Yaning	
	Foliar	quinoa		H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-=	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	tometry		(2024)	
_	Irrigation			H ₂ O ₂ =; O ₂ •-=	$H_2O_2\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑↑				
)	Foliar	Nicotiana tabacum	Leaves	$H_2O_2=$	$H_2O_2= \text{ or } \downarrow$	$H_2O_2{\downarrow} \text{ or } {\downarrow}{\downarrow}$	Spectropho- tometry	AO-Enz	Villagómez-Aranda <i>et al.</i> (2024)	1
	Seed	Triticum aestivum	Shoots	_	H₂O₂↑	H₂O₂↓	Spectropho-	AO-Enz, AO-Met,	Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	
		(tolerant)	Roots		H₂O₂↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↑	tometry	LPO	(2021)	
	Seed	Triticum aestivum (in-	Shoots		H₂O₂↓	$H_2O_2 =$				
,		termediate sensitivity)	Roots		H₂O₂↓	H ₂ O ₂ ↑				
	Seed	Triticum aestivum	Shoots		$H_2O_2 =$	$H_2O_2 =$				
		(sensitive)	Roots		$H_2O_2 =$	H ₂ O ₂ ↑				
	H_2O_2 and NO									
	Seed	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (S24—intermediate sensitivity)	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	H₂O₂↑	Spectropho- tometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPO	Habib <i>et al.</i> (2020)	
	Seed	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (Fsd-2008—tolerant)	Leaves	$H_2O_2=$	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	$H_2O_2\uparrow$				

This table compiles research from the past 5 years investigating priming of plants with ROS (H₂O₂ and NO) prior to exposing them to drought stress to investigate the ROS production in plants. Columns include the priming agent and application method, plant species, organ studied, observed trends in ROS levels, the method used for ROS detection, and additional redox-related parameters studied. AO-Enz, antioxidant enzymes; AO-Met, antioxidant metabolites; LPO, lipid peroxidation.

^a ROS levels following priming alone are compared with those in control plants (no priming, no stress) to evaluate the specific oxidative response induced by priming.

^b ROS levels following exposure to the final stressor without a previous priming treatment are compared with those in control plants (no priming, no stress) to evaluate the specific oxidative response upon stress exposure.

^c ROS levels following exposure to the final stressor with a previous priming treatment are compared with those in control plants (no priming, no stress) to evaluate the specific oxidative response upon stress exposure after priming.

plant genetic background can affect the extent to which H_2O_2 priming improves drought tolerance, as recently demonstrated in a study by Bhardwaj *et al.* (2021) using different wheat culti-

12.35 vars. Similar to the effects of stress priming, H₂O₂ priming can also positively impact stress tolerance in an intergenerational manner. Priming of *Nicotiana tabacum* via foliar spraying with H₂O₂ improved not only the drought tolerance of the primed generation, but also that of the offspring. This response was likely modulated by epigenetic alterations, as a large number of differentially methylated cytosines were detected in the offspring, many of which were associated with genes involved in cellular responses to environmental stimuli (Villagómez-Aranda *et al.*, 2024).

12.45

12.25

12.30

Phytohormone-based priming strategies

Furthermore, plant tolerance to various stress conditions can be increased by pre-treatment with phytohormone-related compounds (Kerchev *et al.*, 2020) (Fig. 2). Similar to ROS priming, phytohormone priming can be achieved via treatment at the seed stage as well as at later stages during plant development through foliar spray or root application. As summarized in Table 4, priming with brassinosteroids, GA, JA, ethylene, ABA, SA, and strigolactones improves tolerance of

various plant species to drought and/or heat stress. In general, the phytohormone-induced increased stress tolerance coincides with lower ROS levels in primed as compared with 12.90 non-primed plants after stress exposure, suggesting an influence of phytohormone priming on cellular redox homeostasis. It should be noted, however, that prior to the stress treatment, differences in ROS levels between primed and non-primed plants are variable. In some cases, phytohormone priming resulted in significant increases in H₂O₂ levels. This was, for 12.95 example, observed in roots of tomato plants primed with SA (Galviz et al., 2021) as well as in leaves of Oryza sativa treated with ABA (X. L. Liu *et al.*, 2022). In contrast, decreased H_2O_2 concentrations were reported in Brassica napus seedlings (M. N. 12.100 Khan et al., 2020) and leaves of Vicia faba plants primed with GA (Rady et al., 2021) as well as in leaves of JA-primed Glycine max plants (Rahman et al., 2024). Nevertheless, phytohormone priming was generally found to enhance antioxidative enzyme activities, thereby likely contributing to the lower extent of stress-induced ROS production in primed plants compared 12.105 with their non-primed counterparts (Table 4).

In addition to the traditional plant hormones, also signalling molecules with hormone-like functions can be used in priming strategies. In this context, melatonin and polyamines

12.110

12.80

13.50		13.45	13.40	13.35	13.30	13.25	13.15 13.20	13.10	13.5
Table 4. Sumr	nary of stu	idies on phytohor	rmone-inc	duced priming and re	eactive oxygen	species (ROS) pro	duction under subse	equent abiotic stress	in plants
Priming agent and application method	Stressor	Plant species	Organ	Priming ^a	Unprimed stressed plants ^b	Primed stressed plants/unprimed stressed plants $^{\circ}$	ROS detection method	Redox-related parameters	Reference
Brassinosteroid Seed (EBL)	Heat	Brassica iuncea	Seedling	H,0,↑↑↑; 0,*=: H0*I	H,0,1; 0,•1;	H,0,1: 0,•-1: H0•1	Spectrophotometry +	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPC) Neha <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Seed (HBL) Gibberellic acid)	H ₂ O ₂ U; O ₂ •-U; HO•U	17-17-7-1 	H ₂ O ₂ U; O ₂ •-U; HO•U	histochemical staining		~
Seed	Drought	Brassica napus	Seedling	$H_2O_2 =$	H₂O₂↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↓	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LP0) K. K. Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Seed	Drought	Brassica napus	Seedling	H ₂ O ₂ ↓	$H_2O_2\uparrow$	H ₂ O ₂ ↓↓	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LPC) M. N. Khan <i>et al.</i> (2020)
Seed	Drought	Oryza sativa	Leaves Roots		H ₂ O ₂ 11; O ₂ 11 H ₂ O ₂ 11; O ₂ 11	H ₂ O ₂ ↓; O2• ⁻ ↓ H ₂ O ₂ ↓↓; O2• ⁻ ↓	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	K. K. Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Foliar	Drought	Vicia faba	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ 4; O ₂ •-↓	H ₂ O ₂ †; O ₂ •-↑	H ₂ O ₂ ↓; O ₂ •-↓	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, AO-Met, LP0) Rady <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Jasmonic acid Root	Heat Drought Heat	Glycine max	Leaves	1202H	H₂O₂↑ H₂O₂↑ H₂O₂↑↑	H ₂ O ₂ t H ₂ O ₂ t H ₂ O ₂ t	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Rahman <i>et al. (</i> 2024)
Ethylene									
Seed (eth- ephon) Abscisic acid	Drought	Oryza sativa	Leaves Roots	1 1	H ₂ O ₂ ft; O ₂ •*ft H ₂ O ₂ ft; O ₂ •*ft	H ₂ O ₂ J; O ₂ •-1 H ₂ O ₂ J; O ₂ •-11	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	K. K. Zhang e <i>t al.</i> (2024)
		Outro cotino	- coinc		**-• () . ** () I		Concernation		
Root	- ICOL	Oryza sativa Oryza sativa	Leaves	H₂O2 ⁺ , O2 - H₂O21/=; O2 ⁺ =	H2O211; O2 11 H2O211; O2 11	H₂O₂↓; O2 ↓ H₂O₂↓; O2•-↓	Spectrophotometry	LPO	ын өн өн, (2022) Х. L. Liu <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Root	Heat	Gossypium hire: thum	Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =	H₂O₂↑↑	H ₂ O ₂ 44	Spectrophotometry	AO-Enz, LPO	Das <i>et al.</i> (2024)
		Solanum honor	30,000		* (Snootronhotomotn,		Calviz of al (0001)
Oeen	LIOUGIII	sicum	Roots	H2O2 H2O2	H₂O211 Н₂O21	H₂O2≡ H₂O2↑↑	speciroprioriteriy	AO-EIK, LFO	GANNE EL AL (ZUZI)
Root			Leaves	H ₂ O ₂ =	H₂O₂∰ ⊔ O.♠	H ₂ O ₂ ↓			
Seed	Drought	Triticum aestivum	l eaves	П ₂ O ₂ Н.О.=	H2O2 H2O3	H2O2 H2O2	Spectrophotometry	I PO	Maswada <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Strigolactone Root (GR24)	Drought	Triticum aestivum	Leaves	² ²	H ₂ O ₂ 1	H ₂ O ₂ L	Spectrophotometry	MDA, AO-Enz	Song et al. (2023)
This table compil the ROS product for ROS detection	es research ion in plants Λ and addit	r from the past 5 ye s. Columns include iional redox-related	ars investi the primin paramete	igating priming of plants of agent and application srs studied. AO-Enz, an	s with phytohorn n method, the st tioxidant enzyme	nones prior to exposir ressor, plant species as; AO-met, antioxida	ig them to a final stress and organ studied, obs nt metabolites; EBL: 4-	sor (heat, drought, con served trends in ROS I epibrassinolide; HBL:	bination) to investigate evels, the method used 28-homobrassinolide;
^a ROS levels follo ^b ROS levels follo response upon st	wing primin wing expos tress exposu	ig alone are compa ure to the stressor ure.	ired with th without a	nose in control plants (r previous priming treatm	no priming, no sti nent are compan	ress) to evaluate the s ed with those in contr	specific oxidative respor ol plants (no priming, n	nse induced by primin io stress) to evaluate th	g. ne specific oxidative
° ROS levels follo response upon si	wing expos	ure to the stressor ure.	with a pre	vious priming treatmen	t are compared v	with those in unprime	d, stressed plants to ev	/aluate the effect of pri	ming on the oxidative
13.105		13.100	13.95	13.90	13.85	13.80	13.70 13.75	13.65	13.60

Page 14 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

are of particular interest. Melatonin is an indolic compound produced from tryptophan and is known to play important roles in a variety of plant processes, including seed germination, fruit ripening, photosynthesis, circadian rhythm, and many others. Furthermore, its role in plant responses to abiotic stress conditions and its strong connection to the cel-

- lular redox network are well established (A. Khan *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, several recent research efforts have also demonstrated the effectivity of exogenous melatonin applications for priming purposes, as reviewed by Rajora *et al.* (2022). For example, Muhammad *et al.* (2023) reported that melatonin priming improved drought tolerance of maize. This response coincided with less pronounced drought-induced increases in H₂O₂ and O₂⁻⁻ levels and stronger inductions in SOD, POD,
- 14.15 and CAT activities as well as in GSH and AsA concentrations (Muhammad *et al.*, 2023). Similarly, Jahan *et al.* (2019) revealed that melatonin pre-treatment significantly enhanced heat stress tolerance of tomato, which was linked to a lower extent of heat-induced ROS production and stronger induction of an14.20 tioxidant enzyme activities. Exogenous melatonin also affected
- heat-induced alterations in endogenous nitric oxide and polyamine levels, emphasizing its cross-talk with other signalling molecules (Jahan *et al.*, 2019). Notably, exogenous melatonin treatment also enhanced the tolerance of tomato leaves to com-
- 14.25 bined drought and heat conditions, likely as a consequence of increased antioxidative defence (Annadurai *et al.*, 2023). A beneficial effect of melatonin priming on antioxidative enzyme activities was also observed in *Cyperus esculentus* exposed to a combination of salt and drought (N. Wang *et al.*, 2024).
- 14.30 Polyamines such as putrescine, spermidine, and spermine are small polycationic molecules synthesized from amino acids (mainly ornithine, arginine, and methionine) that have been implicated in several plant developmental processes including seed germination, senescence, vascular development, and re-
- 14.35 production (Blázquez, 2024). Furthermore, they are important players in plant stress responses and can be exogenously applied for priming purposes (Wojtyla *et al.*, 2024). For example, Liu *et al.* (2014) reported that pre-treatment with spermidine mitigated waterlogging-induced increases in cellular ROS lev-
- 14.40 els. This response coincided with increased SOD, CAT, POD, and GR activities and lower extents of lipid peroxidation and DNA damage, suggesting the interconnection of polyamines with the cellular redox network (Liu *et al.*, 2014).

14.45 Biostimulant-based priming strategies

Besides ROS and phytohormones, a broad range of biostimulants can be used for priming purposes. Biostimulants are naturally derived molecules that are non-toxic in low concentrations and are typically used to stimulate plant growth. Examples in-

14.50 clude fungi such as *Trichoderma harzianum*, non-pathogenic bacterial strains, protein hydrolysates, and plant-derived extracts (Kerchev *et al.*, 2020). Although detailed knowledge on their mode of action is often lacking, several recent studies revealed an impact of treatment with various biostimulants on

related processes in their underlying mechanism. For example, prior inoculation of Arabidopsis plants with Brevundimonas vesicularis (S1T13) significantly minimized increases in O2° and H₂O₂ concentrations induced by subsequent drought 14.60 stress. This response coincided with stronger drought-induced increases in antioxidative enzyme activities and a stronger transcriptional up-regulation of antioxidative genes and drought-responsive transcription factors of the dehydrationresponsive element binding family (Tran et al., 2023). Similarly, 14.65 priming with two biostimulants based on Ascophyllum nodosum extracts (i.e. Phylgreen) and animal L- α -amino acids (i.e. Delfan plus) resulted in protection of Arabidopsis against short-term heat stress. Analyses at different biological organization levels revealed that both agents were able to reduce the 14.70 extent of oxidative damage in leaves, which could be explained by a priming-induced transcriptional up-regulation of heat shock proteins and antioxidants (Cocetta et al., 2022). Likewise, priming with a pig blood-derived protein hydrolysate through foliar application minimized the extent of drought-induced 14.75 increases in ROS production and lipid peroxidation in tomato plants. At the same time, higher SOD, CAT, POD, and APX activities were measured in roots and leaves of primed compared with non-primed plants. Whereas a concentration of 2 g L^{-1} of the protein hydrolysate showed the most pronounced ben-14.80 eficial effect, both lower and higher concentrations were less potent in promoting drought tolerance (W.Wang et al., 2022). These results emphasize the importance of the concentration applied in determining the effects of biostimulant-mediated priming. Taken together, the application of biostimulants is a 14.85 promising approach to enhancing plant tolerance to various abiotic stress conditions, including those related to climate change. As biostimulants are naturally occurring molecules, their use in priming strategies significantly aids in enhancing 14.90 agricultural sustainability. Furthermore, extraction of biostimulants from waste and by-products contributes to a circular economy (Wazeer et al., 2024; X. Zhang et al., 2024).

stress-induced ROS production, suggesting a role for redox-

Conclusion and perspectives

Although ROS can oxidatively damage cellular macromolecules when present at high concentrations, they are also indispensable for signal transduction in plants exposed to various stress conditions. Furthermore, research suggests that 14.100 they play key roles in plant responses to stress combinations as well as in priming-induced increases in plant stress tolerance. Nevertheless, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying the involvement of ROS in these processes remain largely elusive. To further dissect the importance of ROS signals and their interplay with other signalling molecules in plant acclimation to combined stress conditions and priming-dependent increased stress tolerance, experiments should be performed in plants with disturbed redox homeostasis, either through

14.110

ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 15 of 20

mutations of important pro- or antioxidative genes or through pharmacological treatments. Furthermore, particular attention should be paid to the timing as well as the subcellular localization of ROS production (Figs 1, 2). Indeed, current studies

- 15.5 generally assess ROS concentrations in entire plants or plant organs (roots, leaves, flowers, etc.) without subcellular resolution and analyses are often limited to one specific time point. Nevertheless, it is known that cellular ROS levels are highly dynamic and can rapidly change. Furthermore, most frequently used methods of Concentration and the second second
- 15.10 used methods for ROS detection via spectrometry, histochemical staining, and staining using fluorescent dyes suffer from a lack of specificity (Ortega-Villasante *et al.*, 2018). Hence, caution should be taken when comparing results between studies, as different methodologies for ROS detection might yield
- 15.15 different results. As it allows direct detection of reactive species, electron paramagnetic resonance provides a more accurate method for analysis of O₂^{•-} and •OH levels (Steffen-Heins and Steffens, 2015). However, its use in studies investigating the involvement of ROS in plant responses to combined stress con-
- 15.20 ditions and in priming-mediated plant stress tolerance is scarce. Also genetically encoded biosensors could be highly useful tools in the investigation of these processes, as they could significantly enhance the spatial and temporal resolution of our knowledge on plant responses to combined stress conditions.
- 15.25 This approach is non-invasive and hence allows dynamic monitoring of redox-related parameters (e.g. GSH redox potential, H_2O_2 , NADP⁺/NADPH) as well as the levels of other signalling molecules such as Ca²⁺ and certain phytohormones with high specificity. Furthermore, the sensors can be targeted to
- 15.30 specific subcellular compartments (e.g. mitochondria, plastids, peroxisomes, endoplasmic reticulum) to gain in-depth knowledge on subcellular responses to stress combinations (Walia *et al.*, 2018; Müller-Schüssele *et al.*, 2021). This method can be combined with various large-scale –omics approaches to re-
- 15.35 veal how specific ROS signatures are connected to alterations at the epigenome, transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome level (Joshi *et al.*, 2024).

It is clear from the currently available data that ROS play important roles in establishing improved plant stress toler-

- 15.40 ance through various priming strategies. Such stress memory can be highly beneficial to plants upon encountering future stress conditions. However, maintenance of the memory state can result in suboptimal growth and development under environmentally favourable conditions, as allocation
- 15.45 of resources to sustained memory results in a lower resource availability for growth and development. As such, it is essential for plants to control the duration of the stress memory and reset the cellular state at the appropriate time after a stress episode ends. In heat-stressed plants, autophagy is
- 15.50 known to play an important role in the resetting of thermomemory (Sedaghatmehr and Balazadeh, 2024). In addition, RNA turnover is an essential process involved in forgetting stress memories (Crisp *et al.*, 2016). In-depth investigation of the mechanisms underlying the delicate balance between

maintaining and resetting stress memories and the role of ROS and redox signalling in these processes will further contribute to the development of strategies to improve plant stress tolerance.

Whereas stress-tolerant plant varieties could be obtained 15.60 through conventional breeding, this is highly timeconsuming and might hence not be suitable in the context of rapidly changing climatological and environmental conditions. Genetic modification could yield crop varieties with improved stress resilience in a shorter time frame, but this approach is subject to regulatory restrictions and public concern (Savvides *et al.*, 2016). As such, plant priming through prior stress exposure or treatment with ROS, phytohormones, or biostimulants is an interesting alternative approach to improve plant survival under single as well as combined stress conditions and could 15.70 contribute to sustainable agriculture and a circular economy.

Acknowledgements

We apologise to the authors of any papers not referred to in this review 15.75 due to space limitations.

Author contributions

SH and AC: conceptualization; IV, JC, LBC, MAH, KK and MH: data collection; SH and AC: writing—original draft, writing—revision and editing; IV: visualization. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research was funded by the Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds UHasselt(BOF) and Interuniversitary BOF project through a PhD grant fellowshipfor IV (BOF20DOC08) and LBC (IBOF/23/070), respectively. Additionalfunding was received from the FWO-SBO BASTA project (S000119N)15.95funded by Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) for KK and MH.

References

Amin F, Al-Huqail AA, Ullah S, *et al.* 2024. Mitigation effect of alphatocopherol and thermo-priming in *Brassica napus* L. under induced mercuric chloride stress. BMC Plant Biology **24**, 108.

Angon PB, Tahjib-UI-Arif M, Samin SI, Habiba U, Hossain MA, Brestic M. 2022. How do plants respond to combined drought and salinity stress?—A systematic review. Plants **11**, 2884.

Annadurai MKK, Alagarsamy S, Karuppasami KM, et al. 2023. 15.105 Melatonin decreases negative effects of combined drought and high temperature stresses through enhanced antioxidant defense system in tomato leaves. Horticulturae 9, 673.

Anwar K, Joshi R, Dhankher OP, Singla-Pareek SL, Pareek A. 2021. Elucidating the response of crop plants towards individual, combined and 15.80

15.85

Page 16 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

sequentially occurring abiotic stresses. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22, 6119.

Banti V, Loreti E, Novi G, Santaniello A, Alpi A, Perata P. 2008. Heat acclimation and cross-tolerance against anoxia in *Arabidopsis*. Plant, Cell & Environment **31**, 1029–1037.

16.5 **Bartoli CG, Casalongué CA, Simontacchi M, Marquez-Garcia B, Foyer CH.** 2013. Interactions between hormone and redox signalling pathways in the control of growth and cross tolerance to stress. Environmental and Experimental Botany **94**, 73–88.

Bester AU, Shimoia EP, Da-Silva CJ, Posso DA, Carvalho IR, Corrêea FM. de Oliveira ACB, do Amarante L. 2024. Enhancing stress resilience

16.10 in soybeans (*Glycine max*): assessing the efficacy of priming and crosspriming for mitigating water deficit and waterlogging effects. Functional Plant Biology **51**, FP24064.

> Bhardwaj RD, Singh N, Sharma A, Joshi R, Srivastava P. 2021. Hydrogen peroxide regulates antioxidant responses and redox related proteins in drought stressed wheat seedlings. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants **27**, 151–163.

Biology of Plants 27, 151–163.
 Blázquez MA. 2024. Polyamines: Their role in plant development and stress. Annual Review of Plant Biology 75, 95–117.

Bolat I, Korkmaz K, Dogan M, Turan M, Kaya C, Seyed Hajizadeh H, Kaya O. 2024. Enhancing drought, heat shock, and combined stress tolerance in Myrobalan 29C rootstocks with foliar application of potassium nitrate. BMC Plant Biology 24, 140.

- 16.20 Initrate. BMC Plant Biology 24, 140.
 Bryant C, Harris RJ, Brothers N, Bone C, Walsh N, Nicotra AB, Ball MC. 2024. Cross-tolerance: Salinity gradients and dehydration increase photosynthetic heat tolerance in mangrove leaves. Functional Ecology 38, 897–909.
- 16.25 **Cao H, Ding R, Du T, Kang S, Tong L, Chen J, Gao J.** 2024. A metaanalysis highlights the cross-resistance of plants to drought and salt stresses from physiological, biochemical, and growth levels. Physiologia Plantarum **176**, e14282.

Cejudo FJ, Sandalio LM, Van Breusegem F. 2021. Understanding plant responses to stress conditions: redox-based strategies. Journal of Experimental Botany 72, 5785–5788.

16.30 Chae HB, Bae SB, Paeng SK, Wi SD, Phan KAT, Kim MG, Kim W-Y, Yun D-J, Lee SY. 2023. The physiological role of thiol-based redox sensors in plant defense signaling. New Phytologist **239**, 1203–1211.

> Chou TS, Chao YY, Kao CH. 2012. Involvement of hydrogen peroxide in heat shock- and cadmium-induced expression of ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase in leaves of rice seedlings. Journal of Plant Physiology **169**, 478–486.

 16.35 Choudhury FK, Rivero RM, Blumwald E, Mittler R. 2017. Reactive oxygen species, abiotic stress and stress combination. The Plant Journal 90, 856–867.

> **Cocetta G, Landoni M, Pilu R, et al**. 2022. Priming treatments with biostimulants to cope the short-term heat stress response: a transcriptomic profile evaluation. Plants **11**, 1130.

16.40 Crisp PA, Ganguly D, Eichten SR, Borevitz JO, Pogson BJ. 2016. Reconsidering plant memory: intersections between stress recovery, RNA turnover, and epigenetics. Science Advances 2, e1501340.

Cruz de Carvalho MH. 2008. Drought stress and reactive oxygen species: Production, scavenging and signaling. Plant Signaling & Behavior **3**, 156–165.

16.45 **Das AK, Ghosh PK, Nihad SAI, et al.** 2024. Salicylic acid priming improves cotton seedling heat tolerance through photosynthetic pigment preservation, enhanced antioxidant activity, and osmoprotectant levels. Plants **13**, 1639.

16.50 **Das K, Roychoudhury A.** 2014. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. Frontiers in Environmental Science **2**, 53.

> **Delias DS, Da-Silva CJ, Martins AC, de Oliveira DSC, do Amarante L.** 2022. Iron toxicity increases oxidative stress and impairs mineral accumulation and leaf gas exchange in soybean plants during hypoxia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International **29**, 22427–22438.

De Palma N, Yendo ACA, Vilasboa J, Chacon DS, Fett-Neto AG. 2023. Biochemical responses in leaf tissues of alkaloid producing *Psychotria brachyceras* under multiple stresses. Journal of Plant Research **136**, 397–412.

De Pascali M, De Caroli M, Aprile A, Miceli A, Perrotta C, Gullì M, Rampino P. 2022. Drought stress pre-treatment triggers thermotolerance acquisition in durum wheat. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **23**, 7988.

Desaint H, Aoun N, Deslandes L, Vailleau F, Roux F, Berthomé R. 2021. Fight hard or die trying: when plants face pathogens under heat stress. New Phytologist **229**, 712–734.

Faralli M, Lektemur C, Rosellini D, Gürel F. 2015. Effects of heat shock 16.65 and salinity on barley growth and stress-related gene transcription. Biologia Plantarum **59**, 537–546.

Feijó AR, Viana VE, Balbinot A, Fipke MV, Souza GM, do Amarante L, Avila LA. 2023. Water deficit at vegetative stage induces tolerance to high temperature during anthesis in rice. Plants **12**, 3133.

Fortunato S, Lasorella C, Dipierro N, Vita F, de Pinto MC. 2023. Redox 16.70 signaling in plant heat stress response. Antioxidants **12**, 605.

Foyer C, Kunert K. 2024. The ascorbate–glutathione cycle coming of age. Journal of Experimental Botany **75**, 2682–2699.

Francesca S, Najai S, Zhou R, Decros G, Cassan C, Delmas F, Ottosen C-O, Barone A, Rigano MM. 2022. Phenotyping to dissect the biostimulant action of a protein hydrolysate in tomato plants under combined abiotic stress. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **179**, 32–43.

Friedlingstein P, O'Sullivan M, Jones MW, et al. 2022. Global carbon budget 2022. Earth System Science Data 14, 4811–4900.

Galviz YC, Bortolin GS, Guidorizi KA, Deuner S, Reolon F, de Moraes DM. 2021. Effectiveness of seed priming and soil drench with salicylic acid on tomato growth, physiological and biochemical responses to severe water deficit. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition **21**, 2364–2377.

Gong M, Chen B, Li Z-G, Guo L-H. 2001. Heat-shock-induced cross adaptation to heat, chilling, drought and salt stress in maize seedlings and involvement of H_2O_2 . Journal of Plant Physiology **158**, 1125–1130.

González-Bosch C. 2018. Priming plant resistance by activation of redoxsensitive genes. Free radical Biology and Medicine **122**, 171–180.

Habib N, Ali Q, Ali S, et al. 2020. Use of nitric oxide and hydrogen peroxide for better yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water deficit conditions: Growth, osmoregulation, and antioxidative defense mechanism. Plants **9**, 285.

Hannachi S, Signore A, Adnan M, Mechi L. 2022. Single and associated effects of drought and heat stresses on physiological, biochemical and antioxidant machinery of four eggplant cultivars. Plants **11**, 2404.

Hendrix S, Dard A, Meyer AJ, Reichheld JP. 2023. Redox-mediated responses to high temperature in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany **74**, 2489–2507.

Hong CP, Wang MC, Yang CY. 2020. NADPH oxidase rbohD and ethylene signaling are involved in modulating seedling growth and survival under submergence stress. Plants 9, 471.

Hossain MA, Bhattacharjee S, Armin SM, Qian P, Xin W, Li HY, Burritt DJ, Fujita M, Tran LS. 2015. Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic oxidative stress tolerance: insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 420.

Hossain MA, Mostofa MG, Fujita M. 2013. Heat-shock positively modulates oxidative protection of salt and drought-stressed mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.) seedlings. Journal of Plant Science and Molecular Breeding **2**, 2.

Hsu YT, Kao CH. 2007. Heat shock-mediated H_2O_2 accumulation and protection against Cd toxicity in rice seedlings. Plant and Soil **300**, 137–147.

Huanhe W, Xiaoyu G, Xiang Z, Wang Z, Xubin Z, Yinglong C, Zhongyang H, Guisheng Z, Tianyao M, Qigen D. 2024. Grain yield, biomass accumulation, and leaf photosynthetic characteristics of rice under combined salinity-drought stress. Rice Science **31**, 118–128.

IPCC. 2023. Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the

16.55

ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 17 of 20

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. doi: 10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647

Iqbal H, Yaning C. 2024. Redox priming could be an appropriate technique to minimize drought-induced adversities in quinoa. Frontiers in Plant Science **15**, 1253677.

17.5 **Iqbal H, Yaning C, Waqas M, Ahmed Z, Raza ST, Shareef M.** 2020. Improving heat stress tolerance in late planted spring maize by using different exogenous elicitors. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research **80**, 30–40.

> **Iqbal H, Yaning C, Waqas M, Rehman H, Shareef M, Iqbal S.** 2018. Hydrogen peroxide application improves quinoa performance by affecting

17.10 physiological and biochemical mechanisms under water-deficit conditions. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **204**, 541–553.

Jagadish SV, Muthurajan R, Oane R, Wheeler TR, Heuer S, Bennett J, Craufurd PQ. 2010. Physiological and proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Experimental Botany **61**, 143–156.

17.15 Jahan MS, Shu S, Wang Y, Chen Z, He M, Tao M, Sun J, Guo S. 2019. Melatonin alleviates heat-induced damage of tomato seedlings by balancing redox homeostasis and modulating polyamine and nitric oxide biosynthesis. BMC Plant Biology **19**, 414.

Jethva J, Schmidt RR, Sauter M, Selinski J. 2022. Try or die: Dynamics of plant respiration and how to survive low oxygen conditions. Plants **11**, 205.

Jira-anunkul W, Pattanagul W. 2021. Effects of hydrogen peroxide application on agronomic traits of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. Plant, Soil and Environment **67**, 221–229.

John S, Apelt F, Kumar A, Acosta IF, Bents D, Annunziata MG, Fichtner F, Gutjahr C, Mueller-Roeber B, Olas JJ. 2024. The transcrip-

17.25 tion factor HSFA7b controls thermomemory at the shoot apical meristem by regulating ethylene biosynthesis and signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Communications **5**, 100743.

Joshi S, Patil S, Shaikh A, Jamla M, Kumar V. 2024. Modern omics toolbox for producing combined and multifactorial abiotic stress tolerant plants. Plant Stress **11**, 100301.

17.30 Kerchev P, van der Meer T, Sujeeth N, Verlee A, Stevens CV, Van Breusegem F, Gechev T. 2020. Molecular priming as an approach to induce tolerance against abiotic and oxidative stresses in crop plants. Biotechnology Advances 40, 107503.

Khan A, Khan V, Pandey K, Sopory SK, Sanan-Mishra N. 2022. Thermo-priming mediated cellular networks for abiotic stress management in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **13**, 866409.

17.35 Khan A, Numan M, Khan AL, Lee I-J, Imran M, Asaf S, Al-Harrasi A.
 2020. Melatonin: Awakening the defense mechanisms during plant oxidative stress. Plants 9, 407.

Khan MN, Khan Z, Luo T, Liu JH, Rizwan M, Zhang J, Xu ZH, Wu HH, Hu LY. 2020. Seed priming with gibberellic acid and melatonin in rapeseed: consequences for improving yield and seed quality under drought and nonstress conditions. Industrial Crops and Products **156**, 112850.

Khanzada A, Feng K, Wang X, Cai J, Malko MM, Samo A, Hossain MN, Jiang D. 2022. Comprehensive evaluation of high-temperature tolerance induced by heat priming at early growth stages in winter wheat. Physiologia Plantarum **174**, e13759.

17.45 **Kıran S, Baysal Furtana G.** 2023. Responses of eggplant seedlings to combined effects of drought and salinity stress: Effects on photosynthetic pigments and enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. Gesunde Pflanzen **75**, 2579–2590.

Körner T, Gierholz R, Zinkernagel J, Röhlen-Schmittgen S. 2024. Heat-induced cross-tolerance to salinity due to thermopriming in tomatoes. Metabolites 14, 213.

17.50 **Lamelas L, López-Hidalgo C, Valledor L, Meijón M, Cañal MJ.** 2024. Like mother like son: Transgenerational memory and cross-tolerance from drought to heat stress are identified in chloroplast proteome and seed provisioning in *Pinus radiata*. Plant, Cell & Environment **47**, 1640–1655. Lämke J, Bäurle I. 2017. Epigenetic and chromatin-based mechanisms in environmental stress adaptation and stress memory in plants. Genome Biology 18, 124.

Leisner CP, Potnis N, Sanz-Saez A. 2023. Crosstalk and trade-offs: plant responses to climate change-associated abiotic and biotic stresses. Plant, Cell & Environment **46**, 2946–2963.

Li J, Zhao S, Yu X, Du W, Li H, Sun Y, Sun H, Ruan C. 2021. Role of *Xanthoceras sorbifolium* MYB44 in tolerance to combined drought and heat stress via modulation of stomatal closure and ROS homeostasis. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **162**, 410–420.

Li Q, Wang X, Sun ZZ, et al. 2023. DNA methylation levels of *TaP5CS* and *TaBADH* are associated with enhanced tolerance to PEG-induced drought stress triggered by drought priming in wheat. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **200**, 107769.

Liu B, Zhang L, Rusalepp L, Kaurilind E, Sulaiman HY, Püssa T, Niinemets U. 2021. Heat priming improved heat tolerance of photosynthesis, enhanced terpenoid and benzenoid emission and phenolics accumulation in *Achillea millefolium*. Plant, Cell & Environment **44**, 2365–2385.

Liu H, Able AJ, Able JA. 2022. Priming crops for the future: rewiring stress memory. Trends in Plant Science **27**, 699–716.

Liu L, Cao X, Zhai ZY, Ma S, Tian Y, Cheng JL. 2022. Direct evidence of drought stress memory in mulberry from a physiological perspective: Antioxidative, osmotic and phytohormonal regulations. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **186**, 76–87.

Liu MY, Sun J, Wang KY, Liu D, Li ZY, Zhang J. 2014. Spermidine enhances waterlogging tolerance via regulation of antioxidant defence, heat shock protein expression and plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity in *Zea mays*. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **200**, 199–211.

Liu W, Huang L, Liang X, Liu L, Sun C, Lin X. 2021. Heat shock induces cross adaptation to aluminum stress through enhancing ascorbate-glutathione cycle in wheat seedlings. Chemosphere **278**, 130397.

Liu XL, Ji P, Yang HT, Jiang C, Liang Z, Chen Q, Lu F, Chen X, Yang Y, Zhang X. 2022. Priming effect of exogenous ABA on heat stress tolerance in rice seedlings is associated with the upregulation of antioxidative defense capability and heat shock-related genes. Plant Growth Regulation **98**, 23–38.

Liu XL, Zhong X, Liao JP, *et al.* 2023. Exogenous abscisic acid improves grain filling capacity under heat stress by enhancing antioxidative defense capability in rice. BMC Plant Biology **23**, 619.

Ma Y, Hu J-C, Yu Y, Cheng X, Du Y-L, Zhao Q, Du J-D. 2024. Interactive effects of drought and cadmium stress on adzuki bean seedling growth, DNA damage repair, and Cd accumulation. Scientia Horticulturae **324**, 112624.

Mahmood U, Hussain S, Hussain S, Ali B, Ashraf U, Zamir S, Al-Robai SA, Alzahrani FO, Hano C, El-Esawi MA. 2021. Morphophysio-biochemical and molecular responses of maize hybrids to salinity and waterlogging during stress and recovery phase. Plants **10**, 1345.

Martí-Guillén JM, Pardo-Hernández M, Martínez-Lorente SE, Almagro L, Rivero RM. 2022. Redox post-translational modifications and their interplay in plant abiotic stress tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science **13**, 1027730.

Maswada HF, Sunoj VSJ, Prasad PVV. 2021. A comparative study on the effect of seed pre-sowing treatments with microwave radiation and salicylic acid in alleviating the drought-induced damage in wheat. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation **40**, 48–66.

Mendes KR, Bonifacio A, Martins MO, Sousa RHV, Monteiro MV, Silveira JAG. 2024. Heat shock combined with salinity impairs photosynthesis but stimulates antioxidant defense in rice plants. Environmental and Experimental Botany **225**, 105851.

Miller G, Suzuki N, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler R. 2010. Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. 17.105 Plant, Cell & Environment **33**, 453–467.

Mittler R. 2006. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. Trends in Plant Science **11**, 15–19.

17.80

17.90

17.100

17.60

17.70

17.75

17.40

17.20

18.10

Page 18 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

Muhammad I, Yang L, Ahmad S, et al. 2023. Melatonin-priming enhances maize seedling drought tolerance by regulating the antioxidant defense system. Plant Physiology **191**, 2301–2315.

Müller-Schüssele SJ, Schwarzländer M, Meyer AJ. 2021. Live monitoring of plant redox and energy physiology with genetically encoded biosensors. Plant Physiology **186**, 93–109.

Nair AU, Bhukya DPN, Sunkar R, Chavali S, Allu AD. 2022. Molecular basis of priming-induced acquired tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3355–3371.

Navari-Izzo F, Quartacci MF, Sgherri C. 2002. Lipoic acid: a unique antioxidant in the detoxification of activated oxygen species. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **40**, 463–470.

- **Neha T, Mohapatra S, Sirhindi G, Dogra V.** 2022. Seed priming with brassinolides improves growth and reinforces antioxidative defenses under normal and heat stress conditions in seedlings of *Brassica juncea*. Physiologia Plantarum **174**, e13814.
- Olas JJ, Apelt F, Annunziata MG, John S, Richard SI, Gupta S, Kragler
 18.15
 F, Balazadeh S, Mueller-Roeber B. 2021. Primary carbohydrate metabolism genes participate in heat-stress memory at the shoot apical meristem of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Plant 14, 1508–1524.

Ortega-Villasante C, Burén S, Blázquez-Castro A, Barón-Sola A, Hernández LE. 2018. Fluorescent *in vivo* imaging of reactive oxygen species and redox potential in plants. Free Radical Biology and Medicine **122**, 202–220.

18.20 **Ozgur R, Uzilday B, Iwata Y, Koizumi N, Turkan I.** 2018. Interplay between the unfolded protein response and reactive oxygen species: a dynamic duo. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 3333–3345.

Pandey P, Ramegowda V, Senthil-Kumar M. 2015. Shared and unique responses of plants to multiple individual stresses and stress combinations: physiological and molecular mechanisms. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 723.

18.25 Pandey V, Tiwari DC, Dhyani V, Bhatt ID, Rawal RS, Nandi SK. 2021. Physiological and metabolic changes in two Himalayan medicinal herbs under drought, heat and combined stresses. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 27, 1523–1538.

Patel MK, Pandey S, Burritt DJ, Tran L-SP. 2019. Plant responses to low-oxygen stress: Interplay between ROS and NO signaling pathways. Environmental and Experimental Botany **161**, 134–142.

 18.30 Environmental and Experimental Botany 161, 134–142.
 Peláez-Vico MA, Fichman Y, Zandalinas SI, Van Breusegem F, Karpiński SM, Mittler R. 2022. ROS and redox regulation of cell-to-cell and systemic signaling in plants during stress. Free radical Biology and Medicine 193, 354–362.

 Phua SY, De Smet B, Remacle C, Chan KX, Van Breusegem F. 2021.
 18.35 Reactive oxygen species and organellar signaling. Journal of Experimental Botany 72, 5807–5824.

Pucciariello C, Perata P. 2021. The oxidative paradox in low oxygen stress in plants. Antioxidants **10**, 332.

 Rady MM, Boriek SHK, Abd El-Mageed TA, El-Yazal MAS, Ali EF, Hassan FAS, Abdelkhalik A. 2021. Exogenous gibberellic acid or dilute bee honey boosts drought stress tolerance in *Vicia faba* by rebalancing osmoprotectants, antioxidants, nutrients, and phytohormones. Plants **10**, 748.

> Rahman MM, Mostofa MG, Keya SS, Ghosh PK, Abdelrahman M, Anik TR, Gupta A, Tran LSP. 2024. Jasmonic acid priming augments antioxidant defense and photosynthesis in soybean to alleviate combined heat and drought stress effects. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **206**, 108193.

18.45 **Rajora N, Vats S, Raturi G, et al.** 2022. Seed priming with melatonin: a promising approach to combat abiotic stress in plants. Plant Stress **4**, 100071.

Ravi B, Foyer CH, Pandey GK. 2023. The integration of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and calcium signalling in abiotic stress responses. Plant, Cell & Environment 46, 1985–2006.

18.50 **Renziehausen T, Frings S, Schmidt-Schippers R.** 2024. 'Against all floods': plant adaptation to flooding stress and combined abiotic stresses. The Plant Journal **117**, 1836–1855.

Rezaei EE, Webber H, Asseng S, Boote K, Durand JL, Ewert F, Martre P, MacCarthy DS. 2023. Climate change impacts on crop yields. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment 4, 831–846.

Rillig MC, Ryo M, Lehmann A, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Buchert S, Wulf A, Iwasaki A, Roy J, Yang G. 2019. The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. Science **366**, 886–890.

Rivero RM, Mestre TC, Mittler R, Rubio F, Garcia-Sanchez F, Martinez V. 2014. The combined effect of salinity and heat reveals a specific physiological, biochemical and molecular response in tomato plants. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 1059–1073.

Ru C, Hu X, Chen D, Wang W, Song T. 2022. Heat and drought priming induce tolerance to subsequent heat and drought stress by regulating leaf photosynthesis, root morphology, and antioxidant defense in maize seed-lings. Environmental and Experimental Botany **202**, 105010.

Ru C, Hu X, Chen D, Wang W, Zhen J. 2023. Photosynthetic, antioxidant activities, and osmoregulatory responses in winter wheat differ during the stress and recovery periods under heat, drought, and combined stress. Plant Science **327**, 111557.

Samantaray D, Vankanavath AB, Kadumuri RV, Ramadurai D, Chavali S, Allu AD. 2023. Thermopriming mitigates the effects of heat stress by modulating the expression of heat shock factors in *Brassica juncea* (Indian mustard). Environmental and Experimental Botany **211**, 105371.

Sato H, Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2024. Complex plant responses to drought and heat stress under climate change. The Plant Journal **117**, 1873–1892.

Savvides A, Ali S, Tester M, Fotopoulos V. 2016. Chemical priming of plants against multiple abiotic stresses: mission possible? Trends in Plant Science **21**, 329–340.

Schmidt R, Kunkowska AB, Schippers JH. 2016. Role of reactive oxygen species during cell expansion in leaves. Plant Physiology **172**, 2098–2106.

Sedaghatmehr M, Balazadeh S. 2024. Autophagy: a key player in the recovery of plants from heat stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **75**, 2246–2255.

Sedaghatmehr M, Mueller-Roeber B, Balazadeh S. 2016. The plastid metalloprotease FtsH6 and small heat shock protein HSP21 jointly regulate thermomemory in *Arabidopsis*. Nature Communications **7**, 12439.

Semwal VK, Khanna-Chopra R. 2020. Enhanced oxidative stress, damage and inadequate antioxidant defense contributes towards insufficient recovery in water deficit stress and heat stress combination compared to either stresses alone in *Chenopodium album* (Bathua). Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants **26**, 1331–1339.

Sevilla F, Martí MC, De Brasi-Velasco S, Jiménez A. 2023. Redox regulation, thioredoxins, and glutaredoxins in retrograde signalling and gene transcription. Journal of Experimental Botany **74**, 5955–5969.

Sinha R, Zandalinas SI, Fichman Y, Sen S, Zeng S, Gómez-Cadenas A, Joshi T, Fritschi FB, Mittler R. 2022. Differential regulation of flower transpiration during abiotic stress in annual plants. New Phytologist **235**, 611–629.

Smirnoff N, Arnaud D. 2019. Hydrogen peroxide metabolism and functions in plants. New Phytologist **221**, 1197–1214.

Song M, Hu NY, Zhou SM, et al. 2023. Physiological and RNA-seq analyses on exogenous strigolactones alleviating drought by improving antioxidation and photosynthesis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Antioxidants **12**, 1884.

Sousa B, Rodrigues F, Soares C, Martins M, Azenha M, Lino-Neto T, Santos C, Cunha A, Fidalgo F. 2022. Impact of combined heat and salt stresses on tomato plants—Insights into nutrient uptake and redox homeostasis. Antioxidants **11**, 478.

Steffen-Heins A, Steffens B. 2015. EPR spectroscopy and its use *in planta*—a promising technique to disentangle the origin of specific ROS. Frontiers in Environmental Science **3**, 15.

Sun M, Jiang F, Cen B, Wen J, Zhou Y, Wu Z. 2018. Respiratory burst oxidase homologue-dependent H_2O_2 and chloroplast H_2O_2 are essential for the maintenance of acquired thermotolerance during recovery after acclimation. Plant, Cell & Environment **41**, 2373–2389.

Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. 2014. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. New Phytologist **203**, 32–43.

18.90

18.65

19.10

ROS in plant responses to stress combinations and priming | Page 19 of 20

Tahjib-UI-Arif M, Hasan MT, Rahman MA, *et al.* 2023. Plant response to combined salinity and waterlogging stress: current research progress and future prospects. Plant Stress **7**, 100137.

Tang Y, Zhang J, Wang L, et al. 2023. Water deficit aggravated the inhibition of photosynthetic performance of maize under mercury stress but is alleviated by brassinosteroids. Journal of Hazardous Materials 443, 130365.

Tran CTM, Al Azzawi TNI, Khan M, Ali S, Moon YS, Yun BW. 2023. Brevundimonas vesicularis (S1T13) mitigates drought-stress-associated damage in Arabidopsis thaliana. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 24, 16590.

Uchida A, Jagendorf AT, Hibino T, Takabe T, Takabe T. 2002. Effects of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide on both salt and heat stress tolerance in rice. Plant Science **163**, 515–523.

Ullah A, Tariq A, Zeng F, Asghar MA, Sardans J, Peñuelas J. 2024a. Drought priming reduces *Calligonum mongolicum* sensitivity to recurrent droughts via coordinated regulation of osmolytes, antioxidants, and hormones. Plant Biology. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.13619

19.15 Ullah A, Tariq A, Zeng FJ, Asghar MA, Sardans J, Graciano C, Ali I, Peñuelas J. 2024b. Drought priming improves tolerance of *Alhagi sparsifolia* to subsequent drought: a coordinated interplay of phytohormones, osmolytes, and antioxidant potential. Plant Stress **12**, 100469.

> Villagómez-Aranda AL, Feregrino-Pérez AA, García-Ortega LF, Rivero-Montejo SD, Torres-Pacheco I, Guevara-González RG. 2024.

19.20 H₂O₂ priming: Biostimulation, drought tolerance and DNA methylation profile with intergenerational impact in tobacco plant. Environmental and Experimental Botany **226**, 105859.

Vogelsang L, Dietz K-J. 2022. Plant thiol peroxidases as redox sensors and signal transducers in abiotic stress acclimation. Free radical Biology and Medicine **193**, 764–778.

19.25 Walia A, Waadt R, Jones AM. 2018. Genetically encoded biosensors in plants: pathways to discovery. Annual Review of Plant Biology **69**, 497–524.

Wang H, Huang L, Yang P, Zeng X, Huang Y, Yuan W, Kou Y, Zhang Z. 2024. Short-term soil waterlogging improves cotton tolerance to high temperature by triggering antioxidant defence system in cotton seedlings. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **210**, e12713.

19.30 Wang N, Luo X, Wang Z, Liu J. 2024. Mitigating effect of exogenous melatonin on salt and drought stress in *Cyperus esculentus* L. during the tillering stage. Agronomy **14**, 1009.

Wang P, Liu W-C, Han C, Wang S, Bai M-Y, Song C-P. 2024. Reactive oxygen species: multidimensional regulators of plant adaptation to abiotic stress and development. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **66**, 330–367.

19.35 **Wang S, Hu J, Ren B, Liu P, Zhao B, Zhang J.** 2022. Effects of hydrogen peroxide priming on yield, photosynthetic capacity and chlorophyll fluorescence of waterlogged summer maize. Frontiers in Plant Science **13**, 1042920.

Wang W, Zhang C, Zheng W, Lv H, Li J, Liang B, Zhou W. 2022. Seed priming with protein hydrolysate promotes seed germination via reserve mobilization, osmolyte accumulation and antioxidant systems under PEG-induced drought stress. Plant Cell Reports **41**, 2173–2186.

Wang X, Li Q, Xie JJ, Huang M, Cai J, Zhou Q, Dai TB, Jiang D. 2021a. Abscisic acid and jasmonic acid are involved in drought priming-induced tolerance to drought in wheat. The Crop Journal 9, 120–132.

 Wang X, Li Q, Yang M, Zhang J, Huang M, Cai J, Jiang D. 2021b.
 Crosstalk between hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide mediates priming-induced drought tolerance in wheat. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 207, 224–235.

> Wang X, Li Z, Liu B, Zhou H, Elmongy MS, Xia Y. 2020. Combined proteome and transcriptome analysis of heat-primed azalea reveals new insights into plant heat acclimation memory. Frontiers in Plant Science **11**, 1278.

19.50 **Wang Z, Wei Y.** 2022. Physiological and transcriptomic analysis of antioxidant mechanisms in sweet sorghum seedling leaves in response to single and combined drought and salinity stress. Journal of Plant Interactions **17**, 1006–1016.

Wazeer H, Shridhar Gaonkar S, Doria E, Pagano A, Balestrazzi A, Macovei A. 2024. Plant-based biostimulants for seeds in the context of circular economy and sustainability. Plants **13**, 1004.

Wojtyla L, Wleklik K, Borek S, Garnczarska M. 2024. Polyamine seed priming: a way to enhance stress tolerance in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **25**, 12588.

Xia X-J, Zhou Y-H, Shi K, Zhou J, Foyer CH, Yu J-Q. 2015. Interplay between reactive oxygen species and hormones in the control of plant development and stress tolerance. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 19.60 2839–2856.

Yildirim E, Agar G, Ors S, Yuksel EA, Aydin M, Ekinci M, Kul R. 2023. Growth, physiological, biochemical and DNA methylation responses to cadmium stress of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) grown under different irrigation levels. Plant Growth Regulation **101**, 537–556.

Yu L, Dong H, Li Z, Han Z, Korpelainen H, Li C. 2020. Species-specific 19.65 responses to drought, salinity and their interactions in *Populus euphratica* and *P. pruinosa* seedlings. Journal of Plant Ecology **13**, 563–573.

Yu W-W, Chen Q-F, Liao K, et al. 2024. The calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK16 regulates hypoxia-induced ROS production by phosphorylating the NADPH oxidase RBOHD in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **36**, 3451–3466.

Zafar MM, Chattha WS, Khan Al, *et al.* 2023. Drought and heat stress on cotton genotypes suggested agro-physiological and biochemical features for climate resilience. Frontiers in Plant Science **14**, 1265700.

Zaman QU, Garg V, Raza A, Nazir MF, Hui L, Khan D, Khokhar AA, Hussain MA, Wang H-F, Varshney RK. 2024. Unique regulatory network of dragon fruit simultaneously mitigates the effect of vanadium pollutant and environmental factors. Physiologia Plantarum **176**, e14416. 19.75

Zandalinas SI, Fritschi FB, Mittler R. 2021a. Global warming, climate change, and environmental pollution: recipe for a multifactorial stress combination disaster. Trends in Plant Science **26**, 588–599.

Zandalinas SI, Peláez-Vico MA, Sinha R, Pascual LS, Mittler R. 2024.The impact of multifactorial stress combination on plants, crops, and eco-
systems: how should we prepare for what comes next? The Plant Journal19.80117, 1800–1814.

Zandalinas SI, Sengupta S, Fritschi FB, Azad RK, Nechushtai R, Mittler R. 2021b. The impact of multifactorial stress combination on plant growth and survival. New Phytologist **230**, 1034–1048.

Zhanassova K, Kurmanbayeva A, Gadilgereyeva B, Yermukhambetova R, Iksat N, Amanbayeva U, Bekturova A, Tleukulova Z, Omarov R, Masalimov Z. 2021. ROS status and antioxidant enzyme activities in response to combined temperature and drought stresses in barley. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 43, 114.

Zhang H, Sonnewald U. 2017. Differences and commonalities of plant responses to single and combined stresses. The Plant Journal **90**, 839–855.

Zhang J, Cheng M, Cao N, Li Y, Wang S, Zhou Z, Hu W. 2023. Drought stress and high temperature affect the antioxidant metabolism of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) anthers and reduce pollen fertility. Agronomy **13**, 2550.

Zhang J-H, Huang W-D, Liu Y-P, Pan Q-H. 2005. Effects of temperature acclimation pretreatment on the ultrastructure of mesophyll cells in young grape plants (*Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Jingxiu) under cross-temperature stresses. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **47**, 959–970.

Zhang KK, Khan MN, Luo T, Bi JG, Hu LY, Luo LJ. 2024. Seed priming with gibberellic acid and ethephon improved rice germination under drought stress via reducing oxidative and cellular damage. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition **24**, 2679–2693.

Zhang KK, Khan Z, Wu HH, Khan MN, Hu LY. 2023. Gibberellic acid priming improved rapeseed drought tolerance by modulating root morphology, ROS homeostasis, and chloroplast autophagy. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 42, 5977–5990.

Zhang X, Shen L, Li F, Meng D, Sheng J. 2013. Arginase induction by heat treatment contributes to amelioration of chilling injury and activation of antioxidant enzymes in tomato fruit. Postharvest Biology and Technology **79**, 1–8.

Zhang X, Yin J, Ma Y, Peng Y, Fenton O, Wang W, Zhang W, Chen Q. 2024. Unlocking the potential of biostimulants derived from organic waste

19.55

19.40

19.70

19.90

Page 20 of 20 | Hendrix et al.

and by-product sources: Improving plant growth and tolerance to abiotic stresses in agriculture. Environmental Technology & Innovation **34**, 103571.

Zhang X, Zhou Q, Wang X, Cai J, Dai T, Cao W, Jiang D. 2016. Physiological and transcriptional analyses of induced post-anthesis thermotolerance by heat-shock pretreatment on germinating seeds of winter wheat. Environmental and Experimental Botany **131**, 181–189.

Zhang X, Zhuang L, Liu Y, Yang Z, Huang B. 2020. Protein phosphorylation associated with drought priming-enhanced heat tolerance in a temperate grass species. Horticulture Research 7, 207. Zheng Y, Yin X, Ma H. 2018. Effects of hydrogen peroxide on seed germination, seedling growth and physiological characteristics of *Bombax ceiba* after heat shock. Pakistan Journal of Botany **504**, 1327–1333.

Zhou H, Huang J, Willems P, Van Breusegem F, Xie Y. 2023. Cysteine thiol-based post-translational modification: what do we know about transcription factors? Trends in Plant Science 28, 415–428.
Zhou R, Niu L, Yin J, Jiang F, Wang Y, Zhao T, Wu Z, Zhu W. 2023.

20.60

Differences in physiological responses of two tomato genotypes to combined waterlogging and cadmium stresses. Antioxidants **12**, 1205.

20.10	20.65
20.15	20.70
20.20	20.75
20.25	20.80
20.30	20.85
20.35	20.90
20.40	20.95
20.45	20.100
20.50	20.105
20.55	20.110